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World War II affected virtually every corner of the globe. In the six years between 1939 and 1945, some 50 million people lost their lives, and very few who survived were not affected. It was the costliest and most widespread conflict the world has ever seen.

World War II was fought on land, sea and in the air with weapons which had first been used in the Great War of 1914-18. Ironically, an even greater conflict was to emerge from the burning embers of that "war to end all wars", and with it huge advances in weapons technology. The countries involved in World War II now had the means and the capability to fight each other in a more efficient - and more deadly - manner.

Yet only Great Britain, her Empire allies and Germany were involved during the whole period. For other nations the conflict was of a shorter duration. The USA and Japan, for example, were at war from December 1941 to August 1945 (and the USA was simultaneously at war with Germany, until Hitler's defeat in May 1945).

The situation was so complicated, the skeins of alliance and enmity so intertwined that it would take a very large chart indeed to describe them. Only one factor was more straightforward and common to all the countries involved: the nature of the weapons that the men (and sometimes women) used to fight their way to victory - or defeat.

There were differences in detail, of course: the German Panzerkampfwagen V 'Panther' tank was a very different vehicle from the American M4 Sherman, the Russian T-34, or the British Cromwell. But essentially they were all much the same - armoured vehicles mounting powerful guns running on tracks. The small arms with which the various combatant nations equipped their armies were very different in detail too, but essentially they were all devices for launching projectiles at high speed.

In short, many would simply say that guns are guns, bombs are bombs, aircraft are aircraft, and so on. But there is certainly more to it than that, for the capacity to win or lose a war actually rested on these weapons' qualities, just as much as it did on the fighting skills of those who employed them and on the strategic sense of those who directed them in their use.

We cannot simply bundle these weapons together - not if we really want to understand why and how 20th century history unfolded the way it did.

The Complete Encyclopedia of Weapons of World War II makes a very important contribution to the subject - perhaps even a vital one - for it describes every major weapon and vehicle employed during the full period of the conflict, on land, sea and in the air, in enormous detail, both in textual and in graphic form. It also provides detailed specifications about the 'core' weapon or system and all its major variants. Thus it allows straightforward comparisons to be made accurately and effectively.

Its sheer comprehensiveness makes The Complete Encyclopedia of Weapons of World War II compelling reading. Clearly it will have considerable appeal to all manner of students of the period as the first - and probably the definitive - source of clear, concise information on the nature and history of different weapons, including specifications, capabilities...
Introduction

and capacities, varying forms, the colour schemes in which they appeared and the manner in which they were employed.

The text and tables have been prepared by some of the foremost experts in the field, and this same team provided and approved specifications, plans and drawings and photographic reference material to assist the best graphic artists available to produce illustrations, the like of which, in terms of quality, precision and accuracy, are seldom seen outside official circles.

The Complete Encyclopedia of Weapons of World War II covers the terrestrial equipment of all arms of service, from the infantryman's handgun, rifles and machine-guns, to the support weapons he used to take on tanks and subdue fortified defensive positions; from light armoured cars used for reconnaissance to heavy assault tanks and special-purpose armoured vehicles; from towed anti-tank guns to tank destroyers and from lightweight field artillery pieces to self-propelled guns and howitzers, not forgetting wheeled and tracked utility vehicles.

The war was also conducted at sea, and World War II saw warships of every calibre employed all over the globe, from the 70,000-tonne monster battleships to the diminutive motor gun-boats and motor torpedo-boats, and the best of these are described in detail. Pride of place, however, goes to the new breed of capital ships - the aircraft carriers, which were born in the inter-war period and which achieved maturity just as hostilities broke out. Alongside them space is also given to another new naval weapon: the submarine.

Here, too, are described the last of the old generation of capital ships - for which World War II was to be their swansong. The battleships of both sides were to become household names all over the world between 1939 and 1945, and here they are described and illustrated in full colour and in tremendous detail. Cruisers, destroyers and escorts, coastal craft and assault ships also played vitally important parts, and they, too, are described, illustrated and documented here.

New weapons appeared throughout the war, but it was in the air that the real changes were rung. Until quite late in the 1930s, the world's air forces were equipped with biplanes with relatively low-powered engines, thus limiting their performance, endurance and load-carrying capacity. Germany, risen from the ashes of defeat in 1918 and plagued throughout the next decade by internal strife and near-revolution, was the first to recognize the potential for a new generation of all-metal aircraft, and soon produced such masterpieces as the Bf 109 interceptor/fighter, and the Dornier, Heinkel and Junkers medium bombers.

Britain followed suit, and began turning out long-range heavy bomber aircraft, such as the Lancaster, widely held to be the best of its type, while the USA - slow to get going initially - built up an aircraft industry second to none, which came to dominate the field by the end of the war, producing magnificent aircraft, such as the Mustangs and Thunderbolts, which doubled as both fighters and ground attack aircraft, and the redoubtable B-7 and B-29 Fortresses. The former USSR's powerful aviation industry also had its roots in World War II, and its products, as well as those of Japan, are also covered in great detail.

In all, The Complete Encyclopedia of Weapons of World War II is a unique and essential document, covering the equipment and weapons systems, which themselves dictated the nature of the most widespread, most expensive and most destructive conflict the world has ever seen. World War II quite literally altered the face of the planet and the nature of its peoples' lives, and its reverberations are still to be felt half a century later. Here, at least and at last, we have the means to understand how technological advances and fantastic leaps of imagination of this vitally important period manifested themselves in the tools with which the war was won - and lost.
By the end of World War I the tank was a familiar sight on the battlefield; it took the power of the German Blitzkrieg to convince conventional military strategists that the tank, and more importantly its method of use, can have a profound effect upon the outcome of a battle.

Although Italy and Japan produced significant numbers of tanks before and during World War II, it is the German tanks which are best known. At the outbreak of the war the Panzerkampfwagen (PzKpfw) I and PzKpfw II were the most common models, but within a few years these had been phased out of service and replaced by the PzKpfw III and PzKpfw IV. The latter had the distinction of remaining in production throughout the war. It was an excellent design that proved to be capable of being upgunned and up-armoured to meet the changing battlefield threat. The Panther and Tiger arrived on the scene towards the end of the war, but these could not be produced in anything like the required numbers as a result of shortages in materials and manpower and of the effectiveness of Allied bombing on German plants, even though many of these had been dispersed early in the war. The Panther and Tiger were rushed into production without proper trials, however, and many were lost during their initial deployments as a result of mechanical breakdown rather than direct enemy action. The Tiger was, in particular, a very heavy tank and lacked mobility on the battlefield. Its armour protection and guns were first class, and this tank proved a difficult one to destroy on both the Eastern and Western Fronts. Often four Shermans would be required to neutralize just one Tiger: two would try to draw its fire, often being knocked out in the process, while the others worked round its flanks and attacked it from its more vulnerable sides. Towards the end of World War II Germany turned its attention to producing more and more tank destroyers as by that time the German army was on the defensive, and these vehicles were quicker, easier and cheaper to produce than tanks, such as the Panther and Tiger.

While some of the Italian tanks were fairly modern in 1939, by the early part of Italy’s war they had become completely obsolete. The better armed and armoured P 40 heavy tank never entered service with the Italian army, although a few were taken over by the Germans.

Japan used tanks during the invasion of China before World War II as well as during the Far Eastern campaigns from 1941. As few Allied AFVs were available at that time the Japanese vehicles were quite adequate, the more so as their primary role was infantry fire support rather than tank-against-tank operations.

Czech tanks are included, as many were subsequently taken over by the Germans during the invasion of France in 1940 and remained in production in Czechoslovakia after that country’s occupation.
In October 1934 the Czech army placed an order for two prototypes of a medium tank called the S-IIf (or I-I). which were completed in the following year. Army trials with these vehicles started in June 1935 and soon uncovered many faults as a result of the tank's rushed development. Without waiting for these faults to be corrected an order was placed for a first batch of 160 vehicles in October 1935, and the first five of these were delivered in the following year. So many faults were found with these vehicles that these were returned to Skoda for modifications. A further batch of 138 was ordered for the Czech army, which called it the LT vz 35, while Romania ordered 126 under the designation R-2. Gradually most of the faults were overcome and the vehicle gained a good reputation. The Germans took over the remaining vehicles under the designation Panzerkampfwagen 35(t), and a further 219 were built specifically for the German army in the Skoda works. Such was the shortage of tanks in the German army at the time that the 6th Panzer Division was equipped with the PzKpfw 35(t) in time to take part in the invasion of France in 1940. These continued in service until 1942 when most of these were converted into other roles such as mortar tractors (German designation Mörserzüge, artillery tractors (German designation Zugkraftwagen) or maintenance vehicles with tank battalions. It is often not realized that Czechoslovakia was a leading exporter of armoured vehicles and artillery prime movers before World War II, with sales made to Austria, Bulgaria, Hungary, Latvia, Peru, Romania, Sweden, Switzerland and Turkey.

### LT vz 35 light tank

In October 1934 the Czech army placed an order for two prototypes of a medium tank called the S-IIf (or I-I). which were completed in the following year. Army trials with these vehicles started in June 1935 and soon uncovered many faults as a result of the tank's rushed development. Without waiting for these faults to be corrected an order was placed for a first batch of 160 vehicles in October 1935, and the first five of these were delivered in the following year. So many faults were found with these vehicles that these were returned to Skoda for modifications. A further batch of 138 was ordered for the Czech army, which called it the LT vz 35, while Romania ordered 126 under the designation R-2. Gradually most of the faults were overcome and the vehicle gained a good reputation. The Germans took over the remaining vehicles under the designation Panzerkampfwagen 35(t), and a further 219 were built specifically for the German army in the Skoda works. Such was the shortage of tanks in the German army at the time that the 6th Panzer Division was equipped with the PzKpfw 35(t) in time to take part in the invasion of France in 1940. These continued in service until 1942 when most of these were converted into other roles such as mortar tractors (German designation Mörserzüge, artillery tractors (German designation Zugkraftwagen) or maintenance vehicles with tank battalions. It is often not realized that Czechoslovakia was a leading exporter of armoured vehicles and artillery prime movers before World War II, with sales made to Austria, Bulgaria, Hungary, Latvia, Peru, Romania, Sweden, Switzerland and Turkey.

The hull and turret of the vehicle were of riveted construction, the top of the superstructure being bolted into position. Minimum armour thickness was 10mm (0.4 in) and maximum thickness 25 mm (1 in), although from the Ausf E this was increased to 30 mm (1.96 in). The driver was seated at the front of the tank on the right, with the bow machine-gunner to his left and operating the 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG 37(t) machine-gun. The two-man turret was in the centre of the hull and armed with a 37.2-mm Skoda A7 gun, which could fire both armour-piercing and HE rounds with an elevation of +12° and a depression of -6°. Mounted co-axially with and to the right of the main armament was another 7.92-mm (0.31-in) machine-gun. Totals of 90 rounds of 37-mm and 2,550-rounds of machine-gun ammunition were carried. The engine was at the rear of the hull and coupled to a transmission with one reverse and five forward gears. Suspension on each side consisted of eight small road wheels (two per bogie), with the drive sprocket at the rear, and idler at the front; there were four track-return rollers.

### Specification

- **Weight**: 10500 kg (23,148 lb)
- **Dimensions**: length 4.9 m (16 ft 1 in); width 2.159 m (7 ft 1 in); height 2.209 m (7 ft 3 in)
- **Powerplant**: one Skoda six-cylinder water-cooled petrol engine developing 120 hp (89 kW)
- **Performance**: maximum road speed 40 km/h (25 mph); maximum range 195 km (120 miles); fording 0.8 m (3 ft 4 in); gradient 60 percent; vertical obstacle 0.787 m (2 ft 7 in); trench 1.981 m (6 ft 6 in)

Czechoslovakia provided many of the tanks used by the Wehrmacht in the battle for France. The Pz35(t) equipped the 6th Panzer Division in that campaign, and some tanks continued in service until 1942.

---

**CZECHOSLOVAKIA**

**LT vz 35 light tank**

In October 1934 the Czech army placed an order for two prototypes of a medium tank called the S-IIf (or I-I). which were completed in the following year. Army trials with these vehicles started in June 1935 and soon uncovered many faults as a result of the tank’s rushed development. Without waiting for these faults to be corrected an order was placed for a first batch of 160 vehicles in October 1935, and the first five of these were delivered in the following year. So many faults were found with these vehicles that these were returned to Skoda for modifications. A further batch of 138 was ordered for the Czech army, which called it the LT vz 35, while Romania ordered 126 under the designation R-2. Gradually most of the faults were overcome and the vehicle gained a good reputation. The Germans took over the remaining vehicles under the designation Panzerkampfwagen 35(t), and a further 219 were built specifically for the German army in the Skoda works. Such was the shortage of tanks in the German army at the time that the 6th Panzer Division was equipped with the PzKpfw 35(t) in time to take part in the invasion of France in 1940. These continued in service until 1942 when most of these were converted into other roles such as mortar tractors (German designation Mörserzüge, artillery tractors (German designation Zugkraftwagen) or maintenance vehicles with tank battalions. It is often not realized that Czechoslovakia was a leading exporter of armoured vehicles and artillery prime movers before World War II, with sales made to Austria, Bulgaria, Hungary, Latvia, Peru, Romania, Sweden, Switzerland and Turkey.

The hull of the LT vz 35 was of riveted construction that varied in thickness from 12mm (0.47 in) to a maximum of 35mm (1.38 in). The bow machine-gunner was seated at the front of the vehicle on the left and operated the 7.92-mm (0.31-in) ZB vz 35 or 37 machine-gun, with the driver to his right. The commander/gunner and loader/radio operator were seated in the two-man turret in the centre of the hull. Main armament consisted of a 37.2-mm Skoda vz 34 gun with a 7.92-mm (0.31-in) ZB vz 35 or 37 machine-gun mounted co-axially to the right. Totals of 72 rounds of 37 mm and 1,800 rounds of machine-gun ammunition were carried. The engine and transmission were at the rear of the hull, the transmission having one reverse and six forward gears. The suspension on each side consisted of eight small road wheels (two per bogie), with the drive sprocket at the rear, and idler at the front; there were four track-return rollers.

An unusual feature of the tank was that the transmission and steering were assisted by compressed air to reduce driver fatigue, so enabling the tank to travel long distances at high speed. Problems were encountered with these systems when the tanks were operated by the Germans on the Eastern Front because of the very low temperatures encountered.

**Specification**

- **Crew**: 4
- **Weight**: 10500 kg (23,148 lb)
- **Dimensions**: length 4.9 m (16 ft 1 in); width 2.159 m (7 ft 1 in); height 2.209 m (7 ft 3 in)
- **Powerplant**: one Skoda six-cylinder water-cooled petrol engine developing 120 hp (89 kW)
- **Performance**: maximum road speed 40 km/h (25 mph); maximum range 195 km (120 miles); fording 0.8 m (3 ft 4 in); gradient 60 percent; vertical obstacle 0.787 m (2 ft 7 in); trench 1.981 m (6 ft 6 in)

Used by two Panzer Divisions in 1940, the PzKpfw 38(t) was in production for the German army until 1942. The basic chassis was later used for a number of SP artillery conversions.
PzKpfw 38(t) was widely used as a reconnaissance vehicle, and the Germans even fitted some chassises with the turret of theSdkfz 222 light armoured car complete with its 20-mm cannon. The chassis of the light tank was also used as the basis for a large number of vehicles including the Marder tank destroyer, which was fitted with a new superstructure armed with 75-mm (2.95-in) anti-tank gun, various self-propelled 15-cm (5.9-in) guns, a 20-mm self-propelled anti-aircraft gun, several types of weapons carriers and the Hetzer tank destroyer, to name just a few. The last was armed with a 75-mm (2.95-in) gun in a fully enclosed fighting compartment with limited traverse, and was considered by many to be one of the best vehicles of its type during World War II. A total of 2,584 was built between 1944 and 1945, and production continued after the war for the Czech army, a further 158 being sold to Switzerland in 1946-7 under the designation G-13. These were finally withdrawn from service in the late 1960s.

** Specification **

** TNHP-S **

- **Crew:** 4
- **Weight:** 9700 kg (21,385 lb)
- **Dimensions:** length 4.546 m (14 ft 11 in); width 2.133 m (7 ft 0 in); height 2.311 m (7 ft 7 in)
- **Powerplant:** one Praga EPA six-cylinder water-cooled inline petrol engine developing 150hp (112 kW)
- **Performance:** maximum road speed 42 km/h (26 mph); maximum range 200 km (125 miles); fording 0.9 m (3 ft); gradient 60 per cent; vertical obstacle 0.787 m (2 ft 7 in); trench 1.879 m (6 ft 2 in)

A PzKpfw 38(t) during the invasion of France; the 7th and 8th Panzer Divisions used the tank. The commander of the 7th Division became well known later in the war; his name was Rommel.

---

** Panzerkampfwagen I light tank **

In 1933 the German Army Weapons Department issued a requirement for a light armoured vehicle weighing about 5000kg (11,025 lb) that could be used for training purposes, and five companies subsequently built prototype vehicles. After trials the Army Weapons Department accepted the Krupp design for further development, the design company being responsible for the chassis and Daimler-Benz for the superstructure. To conceal the real use of the vehicle the Army Weapons Department called the vehicle the Landwirtschaftlicher Schlepper (industrial tractor). The first batch of 150 vehicles was ordered from Henschel, and production commenced in July 1934 under the designation PzKpfw 1 (MG) (Sdkfz 101) Ausf A and powered by a Krupp M 305 petrol engine developing only 57 hp (42 kW). There were problems with the engine, however, and the next batch Ausf B had a more powerful engine which meant that the hull had to be longer and an additional roadwheel added on each side. This model was a little heavier, but its more powerful engine gave it a maximum road speed of 40 km/h (25 mph). This entered service in 1935 under the designation of the PzKpfw 1 (MG) (Sdkfz 101) Ausf B. Most of the vehicles were built by Henschel but Wegmann also became involved, and production continued until 1937. The Panzerkampfwagen I was first used operationally in the Spanish Civil War, and at the start of the invasion of Poland in 1939 no less than 1,445 such vehicles were in service. A number of models had already been realized, however, and the vehicle was ill-suited for front-line use because of its lack of firepower and armour protection (7-13mm/0.28-0.51 in), and the design was phased out of front-line service, although the kleiner Panzerbeiwagen I (Sdkfz 265) command model remained in service longer.

Once the light tank was obsolete its chassis underwent conversion to other roles, and one of the first of these was the Munitionsschlepper used to carry ammunition and other valuable cargo. For the anti-tank role the chassis was fitted with captured Czech 47-mm anti-tank guns on top of the superstructure with limited traverse. These were used on both the Eastern and North African fronts, but soon became obsolete with the arrival of the more heavily armoured tanks on the battlefield. The largest conversion entailed the installation of a 15-cm (5.9-in) infantry gun in a new superstructure, but this really overloaded the chassis and less than 40 such conversions were made.

The turret was in the centre of the vehicle, offset to the right and armed with twin 7.92-mm (0.31-in) machine-guns, for which a total of 1,525 rounds of ammunition were carried. The driver was seated to the left of the turret.

Above: Two PzKpfw I Ausf A and a heavier PzKpfw III in France in 1940. 523 of the little light tanks were used in the campaign, in spite of their unsuitability for combat.

Right: The PzKpfw I was heavily involved in the Polish campaign after its operational debut in the Spanish Civil War.

** Specification **

** PzKpfw I AusfB **

- **Crew:** 2
- **Weight:** 6000 kg (13,230 lb)
- **Dimensions:** length 4.42 m (14 ft 6 in); width 2.06m (6 ft 9 in); height 1.72 m (5 ft 8 in)
- **Powerplant:** one Maybach NL38 TR six-cylinder petrol engine developing 100hp (75 kW)
- **Performance:** maximum road speed 40 km/h (25 mph); maximum range 1,400 km (87 miles); fording 0.58 m (1 ft 11 in); gradient 60 per cent; vertical obstacle 0.36 m (1 ft 2 in); trench 1.5 m (4 ft 11 in)
Panzerkampfwagen II light tank

To bridge the gap until the arrival of the PzKpfw III and PzKpfw IV tanks, a decision was made in 1934 to order an interim model which became known as the Panzerkampfwagen II. Development contracts were awarded to Henschel, Krupp and MAN under the designation Industrial Tractor 100 (LaS 100) to conceal its true role. After evaluation of these prototypes the MAN model was selected for further development, MAN being responsible for the chassis and Daimler-Benz for the superstructure. Production was eventually undertaken also by Famo, MIAO and Wegmann, and the tank formed the backbone of the German armoured divisions during the invasion of France, about 1,000 being in front line service. The tank was also used in the invasion of the USSR in the following year although by that time it was obsolete, had inadequate armour protection and lacked firepower. It was in fact intended primarily as a training machine rather than for actual combat.

The first production PzKpfw II Ausf A went into service in 1935, and these were armed with a 20-mm cannon and 7.92-mm (0.31-in) co-axial machine-gun. There was a three-man crew, and combat weight was 7.2 tonnes. Tests with the early production models showed that the vehicle was underpowered with its 130-hp (97-kW) engine, so the PzKpfw II Ausf B was introduced with a 140-hp (104-kW) engine and other improvements (notably thicker frontal armour) which pushed up the weight to 9.5 tonnes.

The PzKpfw II Ausf C was introduced in 1937, and had better armour protection. Additionally, the small bogie wheels were replaced by five independently-sprung bogies with leaf springs on each side, and this was to remain the basic suspension for all remaining vehicles. In 1938 the PzKpfw II Ausf D and PzKpfw II Ausf E were introduced, with new torsion bar suspension which gave them a much increased road speed of 55 km/h (34 mph), although cross-country speed was slower than that of the earlier models. The final production model of the series was the PzKpfw II Ausf F, which appeared in 1940-1. This was uparmoured to 35 mm (1.38 in) on the front and 20 mm (0.79 in) on the sides, this pushing up the total weight to just under 10 tonnes and consequently reducing the speed of the vehicle, which was felt to be acceptable because of the greater protection provided.

The turret of the PzKpfw II was welded steel construction, with the driver at the front, two-man turret in the centre offset to the left, and the engine at the rear. Armament consisted of a 20-mm cannon (for which 180 rounds were provided) on the left side of the turret, and a 7.92-mm (0.31-in) machine-gun (for which 1,425 rounds were carried) on the right of the turret.

The PzKpfw II was also used as the basis for a number of fast reconnaissance tanks called the Luchs (this name was subsequently adopted by the new West German Army in the 1970s for its 8x8 reconnaissance vehicle) but these and similar vehicles were not built in large numbers.

One of the more interesting vehicles was the special amphibious model developed for the invasion of England in 1940. This model was propelled in the water aspect of 10 km/h (6 mph) by a propeller run off the main engine. A model with two flamethrowers was also produced as the Flammpanzer II; 100 of these were in service by 1942.

When the basic tank was obsolete the chassis was quickly adopted for many other roles. One of the first of these was a self-propelled anti-tank gun using captured Soviet 76.2-mm (3-in) guns and called the Marder I. This was followed by a model called the Marder II with a 7.5-cm (2.95-in) German anti-tank gun, and some 1,200 of these were converted or built. The Wespe was a self-propelled gun fitted with a 10.5-cm howitzer and was produced in Poland until 1944.

The PzKpfw II provided the majority of German Panzer strength during the invasions of Poland and France.

Specification
PzKpfw II Ausf F
Crew: 3
Weight: 10,000 kg (22,046 lb)
Dimensions: length 4.64 m (15 ft 3 in); width 2.30 m (7 ft 6.5 in); height 2.02 m (6 ft 8 in)
Powerplant: one Maybach six-cylinder petrol engine developing 140hp (104kW)
Performance: maximum road speed 55 km/h (34 mph); maximum road range 200 km (125 miles); fording 0.85 m (2 ft 10 in); gradient 50 percent; vertical obstacle 0.42 m (1 ft 4 in)

Panzerkampfwagen III medium tank

It was envisaged in the mid-1930s that each German tank battalion would have three companies of relatively light tanks and one company of better armed and armoured medium tanks. The former eventually became the Panzerkampfwagen III (PzKpfw III) or SdKfz 141, and the latter became the Panzerkampfwagen IV (PzKpfw IV) which was to remain in production without interruption throughout World War II. In 1935 the Weapons Department issued contracts for the construction of prototype vehicles against the lighter concept to Daimler-Benz, Krupp, MAN and Rheinmetall-Borsig. At an early stage it was decided to arm the tank with a 37-mm gun which would fire the same ammunition as that used by the infantry anti-tank gun, but provision was made that the turret ring diameter be large enough to permit the upgunning of the vehicle to 50 mm if this should be required. Following trials with the prototype, which included the Daimler-Benz model was selected, although the first three production models, the PzKpfw III Ausf A, PzKpfw III Ausf B and PzKpfw III Ausf C were built only in small numbers, differing from each other mainly in suspension details. In September 1939 the vehicles were formally adopted for service, and mass production was soon under way.

A Panzer III with accompanying infantry during 1942. By this time the German tanks had come up against the excellent Soviet T-34, and armour and armament were being increased.

Continued on page 508
PzKpfw III was first used in combat during the invasion of Poland. The next production models were the PzKpfw III Ausf D and PzKpfw III Ausf F, the former with thicker armour and a revised cupola, and the latter with an uprated engine and only six road wheels. In 1939 it was decided to push ahead with the 50-mm model and this entered production in 1940 under the designation PzKpfw III Ausf F. This was followed by the PzKpfw III Ausf G version with similar armament but more powerful engine. For operations in North Africa the vehicles were fitted with a tropical kit, while for the proposed invasion of England a special version for deep wading was developed. The latter were never used for their intended role but some were successfully used during the invasion of the USSR in 1941. The PzKpfw Aus H introduced wider tracks and a number of important improvements.

The 50-mm L/42 gun was inadequate to cope with the Soviet T-34 tank, so the longer-barrelled KwK 39 L/55 was installed. This had a higher muzzle velocity, and vehicles fitted with the weapon were designated PzKpfw III Ausf J. Many vehicles were retrofitted with the 50-mm gun, and by early 1942 the 37-mm version had appeared from front-line service. The next model was the PzKpfw III Ausf L, which had greater armour protection, pushing its weight up to just over 22 tonnes, almost 50 per cent more than the weight of the original prototype. The PzKpfw III Ausf M and PzKpfw III Ausf N were fitted with the 75-mm L/24 gun which had been installed in the PzKpfw IV; a total of 64 rounds of ammunition were carried for this gun. Production of the PzKpfw III was finally completed in August 1943. The chassis was also used as the basis for the 75-mm assault gun (Gepanzerte Selbstfahrlafette für Sturmgeschütz 7.5 cm Kanone or SdKfz 142), of which a few were used in the invasion of France in 1944; production of improved SP guns on PzKpfw III chassis continued until the end of World War II. Other variants included an armoured recovery vehicle, an armoured observation vehicle (Panzerbeobachtungswagen) and a command vehicle (Panzerbefehlswagen). A total of 15,000 chassis were produced for both the tank and assault gun applications.

The layout of the PzKpfw III was basically the same in all vehicles, with the driver at the front of the hull on the left and the machine-gunner/radio operator to his right. The three-man turret was in the centre of the hull, the commander having a cupola in the centre of the roof at the rear. The engine was at the rear of the hull, and the suspension, which was of the torsion bar type from the PzKpfw III Ausf E, consisted on each side of six small road wheels, with the drive sprocket at the front and the idler at the rear, there were three track-return rollers.

Panzerkampfwagen IV medium tank

The Panzerkampfwagen IV had the distinction of remaining in production throughout World War II, and formed the backbone of the German armoured divisions. In 1934 the Army Weapons Department drew up a requirement for a vehicle under the cover name of the medium tractor (mittener Traktor) which was to equip the fourth tank company of each German tank battalion. Rheinmetall-Borsig built the VK 2001(Rh) while MAN proposed the VK 2002(MAN) and Krupp the VK 2001(K). In the end Krupp took over total responsibility for the vehicle, which was also known as the Bataillons Führerwagen (battalion commander’s vehicle). This entered production at the Krupp-Grusonwerke plant at Magdeburg as the PzKpfw IV Ausf A or SdKfz 161, as by this time all cover names had been dropped. This model was armed with a short-barrelled 75-mm (2.95-in) gun, co-axial 7.92-mm (0.31-in) machine-gun and a similar weapon in the bow. Turret traverse was powered and 122 rounds of 75-mm (2.95-in) and 5,000 rounds of machine-gun ammunition were carried. Maximum armour thickness was 20 mm (0.79 in) on the turret and 14.5 mm (0.57 in) on the hull. Only a few of these were built in 1936-7. The next model was the PzKpfw IV Ausf B, which had increased armour protection, more powerful engine and other more minor improvements. Throughout the PzKpfw IV’s long production life the basic chassis remained unchanged, but as the threat by enemy anti-tank weapons increased so more armour was added and new weapons were installed. (Other chassis often had to be phased out of production as they were incapable of being upgraded to take into account changes on the battlefield.) The final production model was the PzKpfw IV Ausf J, which appeared in March 1944. Total production of the PzKpfw IV amounted to about 9,000 vehicles.

Dimensions: length (including armament) 6.41 m (21 ft 0 in); length (hull) 5.32 m (17 ft 6 in); width 2.95 m (9 ft 8 in); height 2.50 m (8 ft 2.5 in)

Powerplant: one Maybach HL 120 TRM 12-cylinder petrol engine developing 300 hp (224 kW)

Performance: maximum road speed 40 km/h (25 mph); maximum road range 175 km (110 miles); fording 0.8 m (2 ft 8 in); gradient 60 percent; vertical obstacle 0.6 m (2 ft 0 in); trench 2.59 m (8 ft 6 in)

SPECIFICATION

Panzerkampfwagen IV Ausf M

Crew: 5

Weight: 22300 kg (49,160 lb)

PzKpfw AusfG, as used by the Afrika Korps. Tropicalized, and with a 50-mm gun, the German tank proved effective against the lighter British tanks, and was much more mobile than the heavy infantry tanks.

Above: From 1943 the PzKpfw IV began to appear with the long-barrelled 7.5-cm KwK40/48 cannon, which made the tank able to give a good account of itself against almost any armoured opposition.

Below: From 1943 the PzKpfw IV began to appear with the long-barrelled 7.5-cm KwK40/48 cannon, which made the tank able to give a good account of itself against almost any armoured opposition.
The chassis of the PzKpfw IV was also used for other, more specialized vehicles including the Jagdpanzer IV tank destroyer, self-propelled anti-aircraft gun systems of various types (including one with four 20-mm cannon and another with one 37-mm cannon), self-propelled guns, armoured recovery vehicles and bridgelayers to name but a few.

A typical PzKpfw IV was the PzKpfw IV Ausf F2, which had a hull and turret of all-welded steel armour construction, the former having a maximum thickness of 60mm (2.36 in) and the latter of 50 mm (1.47 in). The driver was seated at the front of the hull on the left, with the bow machine-gunner/radio operator to his right. The commander, gunner and loader were seated in the turret in the centre of the hull, with an entrance hatch on each side of the turret and a cupola for the tank commander. The engine was at the rear of the hull and coupled to a manual transmission with six forward and one reverse gears. Main armament comprised a long barrelled 75-mm (2.95-in) KwK gun fitted with a muzzle brake and which could fire a variety of ammunition including HEAT, smoke, APCR, APBCC and high explosive, the last being used in the infantry support role. A 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG34 machine-gun was mounted co-axial with and to the right of the main armament, while a similar weapon was mounted in the bow. Totals of 87 rounds of 75-mm (2.95-in) and 3,192 rounds of 7.92-mm (0.31-in) machine-gun ammunition were carried. Turret traverse was powered through 360°, though manual controls were provided for emergency use.

The additional armour and heavier armament pushed up the weight until in the final production version it reached 25 tonnes, but the PzKpfw IV still had a respectable power-to-weight ratio and therefore good mobility characteristics.

Specification
PzKpfw IV Ausf H
Crew: 5
Weight: 25000 kg (55,115 lb)
Dimensions: length (including armament) 7.02 m (23 ft 0 in); length (hull) 5.89 m (19 ft 4 in); width 3.29 m (10 ft 9.5 in); height 2.68 m (8 ft 9.5 in)
Powerplant: one Maybach HL 120 TRM 12-cylinder petrol engine developing 300 hp (224 kW)
Performance: maximum road speed 38 km/h (24 mph); maximum range 200 km (125 miles); fording 1.0m (3 ft 3 in); gradient 60 per cent; vertical obstacle 0.6 m (2 ft 0 in); trench 2.20 m (7 ft 3 in)

A PzKpfw IV is serviced in the field in the USSR. Visible is the short-barrelled 75-mm gun; this was soon found to be inadequate against Soviet tanks, and had to be replaced by a longer, higher-velocity gun.

GERMANY

Panzerkampfwagen V Panther heavy tank

In 1941 the most powerful tank in service with the German army was the PzKpfw IV, infrequently a match for the new Soviet T-34 tank, which appeared in small numbers on the Eastern Front in that year. Work on a successor to the PzKpfw IV had started as far back as 1937, but progress had been slow because of changing requirements. In 1941 Henschel and Porsche had each completed prototypes of new tanks in the 30/35-tonne class designated the VK 3001(H) and VK 3001(P) respectively. These were not placed in production, and further development resulted in the Tiger (VK 4501). Late in 1941 a requirement was issued for a new tank with a long-barrelled 75-mm gun, well-sloped armour for maximum protection within the weight limit of the vehicle, and larger wheels for improved mobility. To meet this requirement Daimler-Benz submitted the VK 3002(DB) while MAN submitted the VK 3002(MAN). The former design was a virtual copy of the T-34 but the MAN design was accepted. The first prototypes of the new tank, called the Panzerkampfwagen V Panther (SDKfz 171) were completed in September 1942, with the first production models coming from the MAN factory just two months later. At the same time Daimler-Benz started tooling up for production of the Panther, and in 1943 Henschel and Niedersachen were also brought into the programme together with hundreds of sub-contractors. It was probably the finest German tank of the war, but the Panther was hampered by its complexity. Some 4,800 were built, as compared to 11,000-plus T-34/85s built by the Soviets in 1944 alone!
was planned to produce 600 Panthers per month, but the bombing meant that maximum production ever achieved was about 330 vehicles per month. By early 1945 just over 4,800 Panthers had been built.

The Panther was rushed into production without proper trials, and numerous faults soon became apparent: indeed, in the type's early days more Panthers were lost to mechanical failure than to enemy action, and consequently the crew's confidence in the vehicle rapidly decreased. The vehicle first saw action on the Eastern Front during July 1943 during the Kursk battles, and from then on it was used on all fronts. Once the mechanical problems had been overcome confidence in the tank soon built up again, and many consider the Panther to be the best all-round German tank of World War II.

First production models were of the PzKpfw V Ausf A type, and were really pre-production vehicles; the PzKpfw V Ausf B and PzKpfw Ausf C were never placed in production. Later models were the PzKpfw V Ausf D followed for some reason by another PzKpfw V Ausf A, which was widely used in Normandy, and finally by the PzKpfw V Ausf G. Variants of the Panther included an observation post vehicle (Beobachtungspanzer Panther), ARV, Jagdpanther tank destroyer, and command vehicle (Befehlspanzer Panther), while some were disguised to resemble M10 tank destroyers during the Battle of the Bulge.

Main armament of the Panther was a long-barrelled 75-mm (2.95-in) gun for which 79 rounds of ammunition were carried. Mounted co-axial with the main armament was a 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG34 machine-gun, while a similar weapon was mounted in the hull front and another on the turret roof for anti-aircraft defence.

Specification
PzKpfw V Panther Ausf A
Crew: 4
Weight: 45,500 kg (100,310 lb)
Dimensions: length (including armament) 8.86 m (29 ft 0.75 in); length (hull) 6.88 m (22 ft 7 in); width 4.3 m (11 ft 3 in); height 3.10 m (10 ft 2 in)
Powerplant: one Maybach HL 230 P 700 hp (522 kW)
Performance: maximum road speed 46 km/h (29 mph); maximum road range 177 km (110 miles); fording 1.2 m (4 ft)

With its thick armour and powerful gun, the PzKpfw VI Tiger was an outstanding machine, but could command the battlefield.

As far back as 1938 it has been realized that the PzKpfw IV tank would have to be replaced by a more modern design some time in the future. Various prototypes were built by a number of German companies, but none was placed in production. One such prototype was placed with Henschel for a 36-ton tank called the VK 3601 which was required to have a maximum speed of 40 km/h (25 mph), good armour protection and a powerful gun. A prototype of this type was built but further work was stopped as an order was placed in May 1941 for a 45-ton tank called the VK 4501. This was to be armed with a tank version of the dreaded 88-mm (3.46-in) AA/anti-tank gun, which had then become the scourge of European armies. It was required that the prototype be ready for testing on Hitler's next birthday, 20 April 1942. As time was short Henschel incorporated ideas from the VK 3601 and another tank called the VK 3001(H). The end product was the VK 4501(H), the letter suffix standing for Henschel. Porsche also went ahead with its own design and built the VK 4501(Porsche) to meet the same requirement. Both prototypes were completed in time to be demonstrated on Hitler's birthday, and the Henschel design was selected for production in August 1942 under the designation PzKpfw VI Tiger Ausf E (SdKfz 181).

The Tiger was in production from August 1942 to August 1944, a total of 1,350 vehicles being built. It was then succeeded in production by the Tiger II or King Tiger for which there is a separate entry. In case trials proved the VK 4501(H) a failure, a batch of 90 VK 4501(P) tanks was ordered, and these were subsequently completed as 88-mm (3.46-in) tank destroyers under the designation Panzerjäger Tiger (P) Ferdinand (SdKfz 184). The vehicle was named after its designer, Dr Ferdinand Porsche.

There were three variants of the Tiger, these being the Tiger command tank (Befehlspanzer Tiger) which was the basic gun tank with its main armament removed, but fitted with a winch but no crane, and the Sturm tiger which had a new superstructure fitted with a 38-mm (1.49-in) Type 81 rocket-launcher with limited traverse; only 10 of the last were built. For its time the Tiger was an outstanding design with a powerful gun and good armour, but it was also too complicated and therefore difficult to produce. One of its major drawbacks was its overlocking wheel suspension which became clogged with mud and stones. On the Eastern Front this could be disastrous as during winter nights the mud froze and by the morning the tank had been immobilized, often at the exact time the Soviets would attack. When the vehicle travelled on roads a 51.5-cm (20.3-in) wide track was fitted, while a 71.5-cm (28.1-in) wide track was used for travel across country or in combat as this gave a lower ground pressure and so improved traction.

Main armament comprised an 88-mm (3.46-in) KwK 36 gun, with a 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG 34 machine-gun coaxial with the main armament and a similar weapon ball-mounted in the hull front on the right. Totals of 84 rounds of 88-mm (3.46-in) and 5,850 rounds of machine-gun ammunition were carried.

The Tiger was first encountered in Tunisia by the British army and from then on appeared on all of the German fronts.

Specification
PzKpfw VI Tiger Ausf E
Crew: 5
Weight: 55,000 kg (121,250 lb)
Dimensions: length (including armament) 8.24 m (27 ft 0 in); length (hull) 6.20 m (20 ft 4 in); width 3.73 m (12 ft 3 in); height 2.86 m (9 ft 3 in)
Powerplant: one Maybach HL 230 P 700 hp (522 kW)
Performance: maximum road speed 38 km/h (24 mph); maximum range 100 km (62 miles); fording 1.2 m (4 ft)

SS Tigers bivouac on the Brenner Pass, guarding the Italian border with Austria. By this time the Allies had landed in Italy and Mussolini had been overthrown.
No sooner was the Panther in production than the decision was taken to develop an even better armed and armoured version, especially to counter any vehicle that the Soviets could introduce in the future. Once again Henschel and Porsche were asked to prepare designs. Porsche first designed a tank based on the earlier VK 4501 design and armed with a 15-cm (5.9-in) gun. This was rejected in favour of a new design with a turret-mounted 88-mm (3.46-in) gun, which was soon cancelled as its electric transmission used too much copper, which at that time was in short supply. By this time the turrets were already in production and these were subsequently fitted to early-production Henschel tanks. The VK 4503(H) Henschel design was completed in October 1943, somewhat later than anticipated as a decision was taken to incorporate components of the projected Panther II tank.

Production of the Tiger II, or Panzerkampfwagen VI Tiger II Ausf B (SdKfz 182) to give its correct designation, got under way at Kassel in December 1943 alongside the Tiger, the first 50 production vehicles being completed with the Porsche turret. All subsequent tanks had the Henschel turret, and a total of 485 vehicles was built. The Tiger II first saw action on the Eastern Front in May 1944 and on the Western Front in Normandy in August of the same year, the Western Allies calling it the Royal Tiger or King Tiger while the Germans called it the Königstiger (King Tiger).

In many respects the Tiger II was similar in layout to the Panther tank, and was powered by the same engine as later production Panthers, resulting in a much lower power-to-weight ratio, and the tank was therefore much slower and less mobile than the Panther. While its armour gave almost complete protection against all of the guns fitted to Allied tanks, the Tiger II was unreliable and its bulk made it difficult to move about the battlefield and to conceal. Many were abandoned or destroyed by their crews when they ran out of fuel and no additional supplies were to hand.

The hull of the Tiger II was of all-welded construction with a maximum thickness of 150 mm (5.9 in) in the front of the hull. The driver was seated at the front on the left, with the bow machine-gunner/radio operator to his right. The turret was of welded construction with a maximum thickness of 100 mm (3.9 in) at the front, and accommodated the commander and gunner on the left with the loader on the right. The engine was at the hull rear. Main armament comprised a long-barrelled 88-mm (3.46-in) KwK 43 gun that could fire armour-piercing and HE ammunition, the former having a much higher muzzle velocity than the equivalent round fired by the Tiger. A 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG 34 was mounted co-axial with the main armament, and another weapon was mounted in the hull front. Totals of 84 rounds of 88-mm (3.46-in) and 5,850 rounds of 7.92-mm (0.31-in) machine-gun ammunition were carried.

The Tiger II chassis was also used as the basis for the Jagdtiger B, which was armed with a 128-mm (5.04-in) gun in a new superstructure with limited traverse; only 48 of these powerfully armed tank destroyers had been built by the end of the war.

**Specification**

PzKpfw VI Tiger II Ausf B

- **Crew:** 5
- **Weight:** 69,700 kg (153,660 lb)
- **Dimensions:** length (including armament) 10,26 m (33 ft 8 in); length (hull) 7,26 m (23 ft 9.75 in); width 3,75 m (12 ft 3.5 in); height 3,09 m (10 ft 1.5 in)
- **Powerplant:** one Maybach HL 230 P 30 700 hp (522 kW)
- **Performance:** maximum road speed 38 km/h (24 mph); maximum road range 110 km (68 miles); fording 1.6 m (5 ft 3 in); gradient 60 per cent; vertical obstacle 0.85 m (2 ft 10 in); trench 2.50 m (8 ft 2 in)

**Tiger II with Henschel turret passes American prisoners taken during the Ardennes offensive. Many of the tanks were abandoned as the attack failed for lack of petrol.**

**Above:** A Königstiger with Porsche turret. Utilizing the latest in sloped armour and carrying a long-barrelled 88-mm high-velocity gun, the Tiger II was safe from almost any Allied tank at almost any range.

**ITALY**

**Fiat L 6/40 light tank**

In the 1930s Fiat Ansaldo built an export tank based on the chassis of the L3 tankette, itself a development of the British Garden Lloyd Mark VI tankette. The first prototype was armed with twin machine-guns in the turret and a 37-mm gun in a sponson. This was followed by models with a turret-mounted 37-mm gun and a co-axial machine-gun, and another with twin turret-mounted 8-mm (0.315-in) machine-guns. The production version, designated Carro Armato L 6/40, was built from 1939 and armed with a Breda Model 35 20-mm cannon with a co-axial Breda Model 38 88-mm (0.35-in) machine-gun. Totals of 296 rounds of 20-mm and 5,600 rounds of 8-mm (0.35-in) ammunition were carried. At the time of its introduction the L 6/40 was roughly equivalent to the German PzKpfw II, and was used by reconnaissance units and cavalry divisions. A total of 283 vehicles was built, and in addition to being used in Italy itself the type was also used in North Africa and on the Russian front. The L 6/40 continued in service with the militia in post-war Italy, finally being phased out of service in the early 1950s.

The hull of the L 6/40 was of all-riveted construction varying in thickness from 6 mm (0.24 in) to 50 mm (1.26 in). The driver was seated at the front right, the turret was in the centre, and the engine at the rear. The turret was manually operated and could be traversed through 360°; its weapons could be elevated from -12° to +20°.

The commander also acted as gunner and loader, and could enter the vehicle via the hatch in the turret roof or via a door in the right side of the hull. Suspension on each side consisted of two bogies each with two road wheels, with the drive sprocket at the front and idler at the rear; there were three track-return rollers.

There was also a flamethrower version of the L 6/40 in which the 20-mm cannon was replaced by a flamethrower for which 200 litres (44 Imp gal) of flame liquid were carried. The command model had additional communications equipment and an open-topped turret. Some of the L 6/40s were completed as Semovente L 40 47/32 self-propelled anti-tank guns, which were essentially L 6/40 with the turret removed and a 47-mm anti-tank gun mounted in the hull front to the left of the driver. This had an elevation from -12° to +20°, with a total traverse of 27°; 70 rounds of ammunition were carried.

In addition to conversions from the L 6/40, the L 40 was also used as the basis for the L 40/43 on which the 75-mm (2.95-in) gun was mounted. A total of 600 vehicles was built.

In 1941 L 6/40s were used in North Africa, but had little success against the faster and better-armed tanks of the Allies. A total of 181 tanks went into action in North Africa and the USSR with the Western Allies.

Continued on page 518

A knocked-out L 6/40 light tank is inspected by Australians in the desert. In spite of being unsuitable for front-line service, the L 6/40 saw action in North Africa and the USSR as well as in Italy.
the L6/40 tank about 300 vehicles were built from scratch and these saw service in Italy, North Africa and the USSR from 1941. A command version was also built on the same chassis and this had its armament replaced by an 8-mm (0.315-in) Breda machine-gun, which was made to look like the larger calibre gun to make detection of the vehicle more difficult.

**Specification**

**Carro Armato L6/40**
- **Crew:** 2
- **Weight:** 6800 kg (14,991 lb)
- **Dimensions:** length 3.78 m (12 ft 5 in); width 1.92 m (6 ft 4 in); height 2.03 m (6 ft 8 in)
- **Powerplant:** one SPA ISD four-cylinder petrol engine developing 70hp (52kW)
- **Performance:** maximum road speed 42 km/h (26 mph); maximum range 200 km (125 miles); fording 0.8 m (2 ft 8 in); gradient 60 per cent; vertical obstacle 0.7 m (2 ft 4 in); trench 1.7 m (5 ft 7 in)

**Fiat M 11/39 and M 13/40 medium tanks**

In 1937 the prototype of the Carro Armato M 11/39 tank was built, with the suspension system of the L3 tankette but with six road wheels on each side. In layout this was similar to the American M3 Lee tank, but with a 37-mm (rather than 75-mm/2.95-in) gun in the right sponson, driver on the left, and in the centre of the hull a one-man turret armed with twin 8-mm (0.315-in) machine-guns. Further development resulted in a model with eight road wheels and this basic chassis was used for all subsequent Italian medium tanks. Only 100 M 11/39s were built as it was considered that the design was already obsolete, and in 1940 70 of these were sent to North Africa where many were captured or destroyed during the first battles with the British army.

Further development resulted in the M 13/40 which had a similar chassis but a redesigned hull of riveted construction varying in thickness from 6 mm (0.24 in) to 42 mm (1.65 in). The driver was seated at the front of the hull on the right with the machine-gunner to his right; the latter operated the twin Modello 38 8-mm (0.315-in) machine-guns as well as the radios. The two-man turret was in the centre of the hull, with the commander/gunner on the right and the loader on the left, and with a two-piece hatch cover in the turret roof. Main armament comprised a 47-mm 32-calibre gun with an elevation of +20° and a depression of –10°; turret traverse was 360°. A Modello 38 8-mm (0.315-in) machine-gun was mounted coaxial with the main armament and a similar weapon was mounted on the turret roof for anti-aircraft defence. Totals of 104 rounds of .37-mm and 3,048 rounds of 8-mm (0.315-in) ammunition were carried. The engine was at the rear of the hull, its power being transmitted to the gearbox at the front of the hull via a propeller shaft. Suspension on each side consisted of four double-elliptic leaf springs, with the idler at the rear; there were three track-return rollers.

The M 13/40 was built by Ansaldo-Fossati at the rate of about 60 to 70 vehicles per month, a total of 779 being produced. The tank was widely used in North Africa by the Italian army but was cramped, proved to be very unreliable in service and was prone to catching fire when hit by anti-tank projectiles.

Many vehicles were captured by the British army after being abandoned by their crews and subsequently issued to the British 6th Royal Tank Regiment (RTR) and the Australian 6th Cavalry Regiment early in 1941 when tanks were in a very short supply on the Allied side. The Australian regiment had three squadrons of captured vehicles which they called Dingo, Rabbit, and Wombat. So that they were not engaged by Allied units, white kangaroos were painted on the sides, glacis and turret rear.

The Semovente Comando M 40 command vehicle was basically the M 13/40 tank with its turret removed and fitted with additional communications equipment for use in the command role. Further development of the M 13/40 resulted in the M 14/41 and M 15/42, for which there is a separate entry.

Below: With a 47-mm sponson-mounted main gun and twin 8-mm (0.315-in) machine-guns in the two-man turret, the M11/39 was soon outclassed with the introduction of improved Alliance tanks.

Above: M3/40 in the desert, 1941. These are the Semovente Comando version, without turrets and with additional radio gear. Many were abandoned by the Italians and taken over by the British.
The Carro Armato M 14/41 was essentially the M 13/40 fitted with a more powerful diesel engine which was equipped with air filters designed to cope with the harsh conditions of the desert. It was still armed to just over 1,100 of these vehicles, which had a similar specification to the M 13/40 except for an increase in speed to 33 km/h (20 mph) and in weight to 14.5 tonnes. Further development resulted in the Carro Armato M 15/42, which entered service in early 1943. A total of 82 of these was built, most being issued to the Ariete Division which took part in the Italian attempt to deny Rome to the Germans in September 1943. Some of these vehicles were captured by the Germans and then used against the Allies.

The M 15/42 was slightly longer than the M 14/41 and distinguishable from it by the lack of a crew access door in the left side of the hull. It was driven by a more powerful engine which made it slightly faster, and had improved armour protection and other more minor modifications as a result of operator comments.

The hull of the M 15/42 was of all riveted construction which varied in thickness from 42 mm (1.65 in) to 1.4 mm (0.055 in), with a maximum of 45 mm (1.77 in) on the turret front. The driver was seated at the front of the hull on the left, with the bow machine-gunner to his right, the latter operating the twin Breda Modello 38 8-mm (0.315-in) machine-guns as well as the radios. The turret was in the centre of the hull and armed with a 47-mm 40-calibre gun with an elevation of +20° and a depression of -10°; turret traverse, which was electric, was 360°. A Modello 38 8-mm (0.315-in) machine-gun was mounted co-axial with the main armament, and a similar weapon was mounted on the turret roof for anti-aircraft defence. Totals of 111 rounds of 47-mm and 2,640 rounds of 8-mm (0.315-in) ammunition were carried. Suspension on each side consisted of four double-wheel articulated bogies mounted in two assemblies each carried on semi-elliptical springs, with the drive sprocket at the front and the idler at the rear; there were three track-return rollers. The engine was at the rear of the hull and coupled to a manual gearbox with eight forward and two reverse gears.

By the time the M 15/42 had been introduced into service it was already obsolete, and design of another tank had been under way for several years. In 1942 the first prototypes of the Carro Armato P 40 heavy tank were built. This was a major advance on the earlier Italian tanks and used a similar type of suspension to the M 15/42. The layout was also similar with the driver at the front, turret in the centre and engine at the rear. Armour protection was much improved and the hull and turret sides sloped to give maximum possible protection within the weight limit of 26 tonnes. The P 40 was powered by a V-12 petrol engine that developed 420 hp (313kW) to give it a maximum road speed of 40 km/h (25 mph); maximum range 220 km (136 miles); fording 1.0 m (3 ft 3 in); gradient 60 percent; vertical obstacle 0.8 m (2 ft 8 in); trench 2.10 m (6 ft 11 in).

Specification
Carro Armato M 15/42
Crew: 4
Weight: 15,500 kg (34,800 lb)
Dimensions: length 5.04 m (16 ft 7 in);
width 2.23 m (7 ft 4 in); height 2.39 m (7 ft 11 in)
Powerplant: one SPA 15TB M42 eight-cylinder petrol engine developing 192hp (143kW)
Performance: maximum road speed 40km/h (25 mph); maximum range 220km (136 miles); fording 1.0m (3ft 3in);
gradient 60 percent; vertical obstacle 0.8m (2ft 8in); trench 2.10m (6ft 11in).

A squadron of M14/41 tanks in Cyrenaica in 1942. More than 1100 of these tanks, in effect tropicalized M13/40s, were produced.

Another M14/41, abandoned after the first battle of Alamein. The M15/42 looked similar but had no side hatch. Only 82 were built.

The Mitsubishi six-cylinder air-cooled diesel was mounted in the hull rear and coupled to a manual transmission with one reverse and four forward gears. Steering was of the clutch and brake type, and suspension of the bell crank type consisting of each side of
In the mid-1930s a requirement was issued for a new medium tank to replace the Type 89 medium tank which by then was rapidly becoming obsolete. As the Engineering Department and the General Staff could not agree on the better design, two prototypes were built. Mitsubishi built the design of the Engineering Department while Osaka Arsenal built the design of the General Staff. There was in fact little to choose between the two designs, although the Mitsubishi tank was heavier and driven by a more powerful engine. The Mitsubishi prototype was standardized as the Type 97 CHI-HA medium tank and some 3,000 vehicles were built before production was finally completed in the middle of World War II.

The hull and turret of the Type 97 medium tank were of riveted construction that varied in thickness from 8 mm (0.30 in) to 25 mm (0.98 in). The driver was seated at the front of the hull on the right, with the 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Type 97 machine-gunner to his left. The two-man turret was in the centre of the hull, offset to the right, and could be traversed manually through 360°. Main armament consisted of a 57-mm Type 97 gun with an elevation of +11° and depression of -9°, and another 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gunner was located in the turret rear. Totals of 120 rounds of 57-mm (80 high explosive and 40 of armour-piercing) and 2,350 rounds of 7.7-mm (0.303-in) ammunition were carried.

The Type 97 medium tank was mounted at the rear of the hull and transmitted power via a propeller shaft to the gearbox in the nose of the tank; the gearbox had four forward and one reverse gears. Steering was of the clutch and brake type, and suspension on each side consisted of six dual rubber-tyred road wheels, with the drive sprocket at the front and idler at the rear; there were two track-return rollers.

In those days no air-conditioning systems were available to keep the interior of the tank cooled so the walls of the crew compartment were lined with asbestos padding which in addition gave some protection to the crew from injury when travelling across country.

In 1943 a few Type 95 light tanks were modified to carry the 57-mm gun as fitted to the Type 97 medium tank under the name KE-RL, but the variant was not very successful as the turret was too cramped. The KE-NI was the Type 95 with the complete turret of the Type 97 CHI-HA medium tank. The Type 95 was succeeded in production by the Type 98 KE-NI light tank, but only about 100 of these were built before production was completed in 1943 as the type was not considered a very satisfactory design. The Type 2 KA-MI amphibious tank used automotive components of the Type 95 light tank, and this was widely used in the early Pacific campaigns of World War II.

Japan also used tankettes on a large scale including the Types 92, 94 and 97, the last being the most common. When used in China and during the early World War II campaigns against the Americans, the Type 97 proved a useful vehicle, but once confronted by American tanks and anti-tank guns it was outclassed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Type 95</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crew: 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight: 7400 kg (16,314 lb)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimensions: length 4.38 m (14 ft 4 in); width 2.33 m (7 ft 8 in); height 2.23 m (7 ft 4 in)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance: maximum road speed 38 km/h (24 mph); maximum range 210 km (130 miles); fording 1.0 m (3 ft 3 in); gradient 57 per cent; vertical obstacle 0.812 m (2 ft 8 in); trench 2.0 m (6 ft 7 in)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Probably the best Japanese armoured vehicle to see any great amount of service, the Type 97 was a fairly advanced design that was handicapped by an inadequate gun.

Weight: 15000 kg (33,069 lb)  
Dimensions: length 5.516 m (18 ft 1 in); width 2.33 m (7 ft 8 in); height 2.514 m (8 ft 3 in)  
Powerplant: one Mitsubishi NRID-120 six-cylinder air-cooled diesel engine developing 170 hp (127 kW)  
Performance: maximum road speed 38 km/h (24 mph); maximum range 210 km (130 miles); fording 1.0 m (3 ft 3 in); gradient 57 per cent; vertical obstacle 0.812 m (2 ft 8 in); trench 2.514 m (8 ft 3 in)
British and French Tanks

Since the birth of the tank in 1916, the British have led the world in both the design and use of armoured forces, but by 1939 internal army politics and mistaken tactical doctrine had robbed Britain of this important and hard-won advantage.

The tanks discussed here are among some of the least successful of the World War II period. Some of them (such as the British Valentine, Matilda and Churchill) were eventually turned into good fighting machines, but - working in a rush and without a proper development base from which to work up their designs - many British tank designers produced tanks that were no match for their counterparts in the German Panzer units. The reasons for this are described herein, but it is not all a sorry tale: despite their drawbacks, these tanks (both Infantry and Cruiser types) were at times all there was to hand and with them their crews and commanders learned the important lessons that were to produce the eventual Allied victory.

Some of the development and design results were remarkable. Working from a base where virtually no heavy engineering facilities existed, Australia was able to produce the Sentinel from scratch, and it was no fault of the designers that their progeny was never to see action. The same can be said of the Canadians, who produced the Ram in a remarkably short time, again from scratch and with no tank production experience whatsoever. These two projects must rate among the more remarkable production feats of World War II, but today they are little known outside their home nations.

The tale of the Cruiser tanks produced by the United Kingdom has by now been often told but it still bears re-examination, showing as it does, how a doctrine accepted without proper investigation can affect the course of battles, even well past the point when the doctrine has been found wanting. British and Allied tank crews had to drive their charges into battle knowing that their main guns were too weak, their armoured protection too thin and their mechanical reliability all too suspect at a critical moment. But they went into battle all the same and often managed to defeat a better-armed and prepared enemy.

Thus, while reading of the tanks one must think of the men who manned and fought them, for tanks are but lumps of metal constructed in a certain fashion, and are nothing without men to drive and use them in combat.
During the early 1930s the French army, in common with many other European armies, decided to re-equip its ageing tank parks with modern equipment. At that time the French followed the current practice of dividing tank functions into cavalry and infantry usage and one of the new tanks intended for cavalry use was a design known as the Char Léger Hotchkiss H-35. But although intended primarily for cavalry formation use, the H-35 was later adopted for infantry support as well, making it one of the more important of the French tanks of the day. The H-35 was a small vehicle with a crew of two, and it was lightly armed with only a 37-mm (1.46-in) short-barrelled gun and a single 7.5-mm (0.295-in) machine-gun. Armour was also light, ranging in the thickness from 12 mm (0.47 in) to 34 mm (1.34 in). It was also rather underpowered, and after about 400 H-35s had been produced from 1936 onwards the basic model was supplemented by the Char Léger Hotchkiss H-39, first produced during 1939. The production totals for the H-39 were much greater (eventually running to over 1,000 units), but in general French tank production was slow, being severely limited by a lack of mass production facilities, and was constantly beset by labour troubles, even after 1939.

The H-39 differed from the H-35 in having a 120- rather than 75- hp (89.5- rather than 56-kW) engine, and could be recognized by the raised rear decking, which on the H-39 was almost flat compared with the pronounced slope on the H-35. Also a new and longer 37-mm gun was fitted, but this was only marginally more powerful than the earlier weapon and soon proved to be virtually useless against most German tanks.

Both the H-35 and the H-39 were used in action in France in May 1940, and both were able to give a good account of themselves. However, their part in the fighting was more than diminished by their dismal tactical use. Instead of being used en masse (in the way that the Germans used their Panzer columns), the French tanks were scattered along the line in penny packets, assigned to local infantry support instead of being used as an effective anti-armour force and were able to make little impact. On occasion they were able to surprise the Germans, but only in purely local actions, so many were either destroyed or captured by the advancing Germans. Always short of matériel, the Germans took many Hotchkiss tanks into their own service as the PzKpfw 35-H 734(f) and these were used for some years by second-line and occupation units. Many of the H-35 and H-39 tanks later had their turrets removed and replaced by German anti-tank guns for use as mobile tank destroyers.

Not all the French tanks fell into German hands. Many were located in the French Middle East possessions and some were either taken over by the Free French or were used in action by the Vichy French during the campaign in Syria in 1941. Perhaps the Hotchkiss tanks with the most unusual travel tales were those taken by the Germans to the Soviet Union in 1941, when they were so short of short tanks that even the captured French vehicles were found useful.

By 1945 there were few H-35s or H-39s left anywhere: the Middle East examples survived in small numbers, and post-war some were used to form part of the Israeli army tank arm, remaining in service as late as 1956.

**Specification**

**Hotchkiss H-39**

**Crew:** 2

**Weight:** 12.1 tonnes

**Powerplant:** one Hotchkiss 6-cylinder petrol engine developing 120 hp (89.5kW)

**Dimensions:** length 4.22 m (13 ft 10 in); width 1.95 m (6 ft 4.8 in); height 2.15 m (7 ft 0.6 in)

**Performance:** maximum road speed 36 km/h (22.3 mph); maximum road range 120 km (74.5 miles); fording 0.85 m (2 ft 10 in); gradient 40°; vertical obstacle 0.50 m (1 ft 8 in); trench 1.80 m (5 ft 11 in)

---

**FRANCE**

**Hotchkiss H-35 and H-39 light tanks**

The H-39 differed from the H-35 in having a 120- rather than 75- hp (89.5- rather than 56-kW) engine, and could be recognized by the raised rear decking, which on the H-39 was almost flat compared with the pronounced slope on the H-35. Also a new and longer 37-mm gun was fitted, but this was only marginally more powerful than the earlier weapon and soon proved to be virtually useless against most German tanks.

Both the H-35 and the H-39 were used in action in France in May 1940, and both were able to give a good account of themselves. However, their part in the fighting was more than diminished by their dismal tactical use. Instead of being used en masse (in the way that the Germans used their Panzer columns), the French tanks were scattered along the line in penny packets, assigned to local infantry support instead of being used as an effective anti-armour force and were able to make little impact. On occasion they were able to surprise the Germans, but only in purely local actions, so many were either destroyed or captured by the advancing Germans. Always short of matériel, the Germans took many Hotchkiss tanks into their own service as the PzKpfw 35-H 734(f) and these were used for some years by second-line and occupation units. Many of the H-35 and H-39 tanks later had their turrets removed and replaced by German anti-tank guns for use as mobile tank destroyers.

Not all the French tanks fell into German hands. Many were located in the French Middle East possessions and some were either taken over by the Free French or were used in action by the Vichy French during the campaign in Syria in 1941. Perhaps the Hotchkiss tanks with the most unusual travel tales were those taken by the Germans to the Soviet Union in 1941, when they were so short of short tanks that even the captured French vehicles were found useful.

By 1945 there were few H-35s or H-39s left anywhere: the Middle East examples survived in small numbers, and post-war some were used to form part of the Israeli army tank arm, remaining in service as late as 1956.

**Specification**

**Hotchkiss H-39**

**Crew:** 2

**Weight:** 12.1 tonnes

**Powerplant:** one Hotchkiss 6-cylinder petrol engine developing 120 hp (89.5kW)

**Dimensions:** length 4.22 m (13 ft 10 in); width 1.95 m (6 ft 4.8 in); height 2.15 m (7 ft 0.6 in)

**Performance:** maximum road speed 36 km/h (22.3 mph); maximum road range 120 km (74.5 miles); fording 0.85 m (2 ft 10 in); gradient 40°; vertical obstacle 0.50 m (1 ft 8 in); trench 1.80 m (5 ft 11 in)
type used on the Renault cavalry tank designs. The driver’s position was forward, while the commander had to act as his own loader and gunner firing a 37-mm (1.456-in) short-barrelled gun and co-axial 7.5-mm (.295-in) machine-gun mounted in a small cast turret. This turret was poorly equipped with vision devices and was so arranged that the commander had to spend much of his time in action standing on the hull floor. Out of action the rear of the turret opened as a flap on which the commander could sit.

For its day the R 35 was a sound enough vehicle, and was typical of contemporary French design. In 1940 a version with a revised suspension and known as the AMX R 40 was introduced, and a few were produced before the Germans invaded in May 1940. The little R 35s soon proved to be no match for the German Panzers. For a start they were usually allocated in small numbers in direct support of infantry formations, and could thus be picked off piecemeal by the massed German tanks. Their gun proved virtually ineffective against even the lightest German tanks, though in return their 40-mm (1.575-m) armour was fairly effective against most of the German anti-tank guns. Thus the R 35s could contribute but little to the course of the campaign and many were either destroyed or simply abandoned by their crews in the disasters that overtook the French army as the Germans swept through France.

Large numbers of R 35s fell into German hands virtually intact. These were duly put to use by various garrison units in France while many eventually passed to driver and other tank training schools. With the invasion of the Soviet Union many R 35s were stripped of their turrets and used as artillery tractors or ammunition carriers. Later, many of the R 35s still in France had their turrets removed so that their hulls could be converted as the basis of several self-propelled artillery or anti-tank gun models, the turrets then being emplaced in concrete along the coastal defences of the Atlantic Wall. Thus the R 35 passed into history, and despite its numbers its combat record was such that it proved to be of more use to the Germans than the French.

**Specification**

Renault R 35

- **Crew:** 2
- **Weight:** 10000 kg (22,046 lb)
- **Powerplant:** one Renault 4-cylinder petrol engine developing 61 kW (82 bhp)
- **Dimensions:** length 4.20 m (13 ft 9.25 in); width 1.85 m (6 ft 1.75 in); height 2.12 m (6 ft 11.5 in)
- **Performance:** maximum road speed 20 km/h (12.4 mph); range 140 km (87 miles); fording 0.8 m (2 ft 7 in); vertical obstacle 0.5 m (1 ft 7,7 in); trench 1.6 m (5 ft 3 in)

**SOMUA S-35**

When the re-equipment of the French cavalry arm with tanks started during the mid-1930s several concerns became involved, among them a Schneider subsidiary in St Ouen and known as the Société d’Outillage Mécanique et d’Usinage d’Artillerie, better known as SOMUA. In 1935 this concern developed a tank prototype that attracted immediate attention, and its very advanced design was quickly recognized by the award of a producing order. One of the best if not the best AFV of its day, the type was known as the SOMUA S-35 to most of Europe though to the French army it was the Automitrailleuse de Combat (AMC) modèle 1935 SOMUA.

The S-35 had many features that were later to become commonplace. The hull and turret were both cast components at a time when most contemporary vehicles used riveted plates. The cast armour was not only well-shaped for extra protection but it was also much thicker (minimum of 20 mm/0.79 in and maximum of 35 mm/1.216 in) than the norm for the time. For all that it still had a good reserve of power provided by a V-8 petrol engine for lively battlefield performance, and a good operational radius of action was ensured by large internal fuel tanks. Radio was standard, at a time when hand signals between tanks were still common. To add to all these advantages the S-35 was armed with a powerful gun: the 47-mm (1.85-in) SA 35 was one of the most powerful weapons of the day and a gun that could still be regarded as a useful medium tank.

The S-35 was ordered into production but, as in nearly all other sectors of the French defence industry before 1939, this production was slow and beset by labour and other troubles. Only about 400 S-35s had been produced by the time the Germans invaded in May 1940, and of those only about 250 were in front-line service. But in action the S-35 gave a good account of itself though revealing a serious design defect when under fire: the upper and lower hull halves were joined by a ring of bolts along a horizontal join, and if an anti-tank projectile hit this the two halves split apart with obvious dire results. But at the time this mattered less than the way in which the tanks had to be handled: the S-35 had a crew of three (driver, radio operator and command) and it was the commander in his one-man turret who caused the problems, for this unfortunate had not only to keep an eye on the local tactical scene, but also to assimilate orders from the radio while loading and firing the gun. The tasks were too much for one man, so the full potential of the S-35 was rarely attained.

As with other French tanks of the day the S-35s were split into small groups scattered long the French line and were called together on only a few occasions for worthwhile counterstrokes against the Panzer columns.

After the occupation of France the Germans took over as many S-35s as they could find for issue to occupation and training units under the designation PzKpfw 35 St739(f). Some were handed over to the Italian army, but many were still based in France when the Allies invaded in 1944 and S-35s were once more in action, this time in German hands. Any S-35s taken by the Allies were passed over to the Free French, who in their turn used them in the reduction of the beleaguered German garrisons locked up in their Atlantic sea-port strongholds.

Well protected and manœuvreable, the SOMUA S-35 was undoubtedly the best Allied tank in 1940. It had a radio and its 47-mm gun could fire both armour-piercing shot and high explosive, an obvious requirement which had escaped British designers.

**Specification**

SOMUA S-35

- **Crew:** 3
- **Weight:** 19.5 tonnes
- **Powerplant:** one SOMUA V-8 petrol engine developing 190 hp (141.7 kW)
- **Dimensions:** length 5.38 m (17 ft 7.8 in); width 2.12 m (6 ft 11.5 in); height 2.62 m (8 ft 7 in)
- **Performance:** maximum road speed 40 km/h (24.85 mph); maximum road range 220 km (143 miles); fording 1.00 m (3 ft 3 in); gradient 40°; vertical obstacle 0.76 m (2 ft 6 in); trench 2.13 m (7 ft)

In 1940 many SOMUA were damaged and abandoned like the one seen here, but the vehicle was good enough for the Germans to use against the Allies four years later.

Below: Despite the weakness of having the commander operate the main armament the S-35 was a fine tank.
The series of tanks known as the Char B had a definite look of the ‘Great War’ era about them, and this is not surprising for their development can be traced back as far as 1921 and the aftermath of World War I. What was demanded at that time was a tank with a 75-mm (2.95-in) gun set in a hull-mounted embrasure, but it was not until about 1930 that the result of this request was finally built. This was the Char B heavy tank with a weight of about 25 tonnes and prolonged development led in 1935 to the full production version, the Char B1.

The Vickers Light Tanks had their origins in a series of tankettes designed and produced by Carden-Loyd during the 1920s. The story of these little vehicles is outside the scope of this book but one of them, the Carden-Loyd Mk VIII, acted as the prototype for the Vickers Light Tank Mk I. Only a few of these innovative vehicles were produced and issued, but they provided a great deal of insight into what would be required for later models. The Mk I had a two-man crew and had a small turret for a 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun.

The Mk I led via the Light Tank Mk IA (better armour) to the Light Tank Mk II (improved turret and modified suspension) which appeared in 1930, and this formed the basis for later versions up to the Light Tank Mk VI. All these light tanks used a simple hull with riveted armour which was of the order of 10 to 15 mm (0.39 to 0.59 in) thick, From the Light Tank Mk V onwards the turret was enlarged to take two men, making a three-man crew in all, and the same mark also saw the introduction of a 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-gun alongside the original 7.7-mm (0.303-in) weapon. Of course there were changes between all the various marks: for instance the Light Tank Mk IV was the first to use the new turret version to take a 7.7-mm (0.303-in) weapon. The Germans had a great respect for the Char B1, for the 75-mm (2.95-in) gun was quite capable of knocking out even their PzKpfw IV, but they were considerably assisted during the May 1940 fighting by several factors. One was that the Char B1s were complex beasts and required a great deal of careful maintenance: many simply broke down en route to battle and were left for the Germans to take over undamaged. The type’s combat potential was somewhat lessened by the need for a well-trained crew and by the usual drawback in French design and usage of the commander having to serve the gun as well as command the tank and crew. The final drawback for the French was that, as was the case with other tank formations, the Char B1 units were frequently broken up into small local-defence groups instead of being grouped to meet the German tank advance.

The Germans took over the Char B1s as the PzKpfw Flamm(I). In 1944 a few were still around to pass once more into French army use but by 1945 only a handful were left.

The 400 or so Char B1s possessed by the French army in 1940 were potentially a devastating striking force. Some were fitted with flamethrowers as the PzKpfw Flamm(I). The Vickers Light Tanks were widely used throughout the 1930s and the early war years. Many of the early marks were used in India and for imperial policing duties, in which they proved ideal, but in action during the early campaigns of World War II they soon revealed themselves as being virtually useless. Their main drawback was their thin armour, which could be penetrated even by small-calibre armour-piercing projectiles, and their limited traverse of this latter gun was considerably assisted during the May 1940 fighting by several factors. One was that the Char B1s were complex beasts and required a great deal of careful maintenance: many simply broke down en route to battle and were left for the Germans to take over undamaged. The type’s combat potential was somewhat lessened by the need for a well-trained crew and by the usual drawback in French design and usage of the commander having to serve the gun as well as command the tank and crew. The final drawback for the French was that, as was the case with other tank formations, the Char B1 units were frequently broken up into small local-defence groups instead of being grouped to meet the German tank advances.

The Germans took over the Char B1s as the PzKpfw Flamm(I). In 1944 a few were still around to pass once more into French army use but by 1945 only a handful were left.

The 400 or so Char B1s possessed by the French army in 1940 were potentially a devastating striking force. Some were fitted with flamethrowers as the PzKpfw Flamm(I). The Vickers Light Tanks were widely used throughout the 1930s and the early war years. Many of the early marks were used in India and for imperial policing duties, in which they proved ideal, but in action during the early campaigns of World War II they soon revealed themselves as being virtually useless. Their main drawback was their thin armour, which could be penetrated even by small-calibre armour-piercing projectiles, and their

Specifications

**Char B1-bis**
- **Crew:** 4
- **Weight:** 31.5 tonnes
- **Powerplant:** one Renault 6-cylinder petrol engine developing 307 hp (229 kW)
- **Dimensions:** length 6.37 m (20 ft 10.8 in); width 2.50 m (8 ft 2.4 in); height 1.8 in);
- **Performance:** maximum road speed 28 km/h (17.4 mph); maximum road range 180 km (112 miles); fording not known; gradient 50 percent; vertical obstacle 0.93 m (3 ft 1 in); trench 2.74 m (9 ft)

**Vickers Light Tank**

The Vickers Light Tanks had their origins in a series of tankettes designed and produced by Carden-Loyd during the 1920s. The story of these little vehicles is outside the scope of this book but one of them, the Carden-Loyd Mk VIII, acted as the prototype for the Vickers Light Tank Mk I. Only a few of these innovative vehicles were produced and issued, but they provided a great deal of insight into what would be required for later models. The Mk I had a two-man crew and had a small turret for a 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun.

The Mk I led via the Light Tank Mk IA (better armour) to the Light Tank Mk II (improved turret and modified suspension) which appeared in 1930, and this formed the basis for later versions up to the Light Tank Mk VI. All these light tanks used a simple hull with riveted armour which was of the order of 10 to 15 mm (0.39 to 0.59 in) thick, From the Light Tank Mk V onwards the turret was enlarged to take two men, making a three-man crew in all, and the same mark also saw the introduction of a 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-gun alongside the original 7.7-mm (0.303-in) weapon. Of course there were changes between all the various marks: for instance the Light Tank Mk IV was the first to use the new turret version to take a 7.7-mm (0.303-in) weapon. The Germans had a great respect for the Char B1, for the 75-mm (2.95-in) gun was quite capable of knocking out even their PzKpfw IV, but they were considerably assisted during the May 1940 fighting by several factors. One was that the Char B1s were complex beasts and required a great deal of careful maintenance: many simply broke down en route to battle and were left for the Germans to take over undamaged. The type’s combat potential was somewhat lessened by the need for a well-trained crew and by the usual drawback in French design and usage of the commander having to serve the gun as well as command the tank and crew. The final drawback for the French was that, as was the case with other tank formations, the Char B1 units were frequently broken up into small local-defence groups instead of being grouped to meet the German tank advances.

The Germans took over the Char B1s as the PzKpfw Flamm(I). In 1944 a few were still around to pass once more into French army use but by 1945 only a handful were left.

The 400 or so Char B1s possessed by the French army in 1940 were potentially a devastating striking force. Some were fitted with flamethrowers as the PzKpfw Flamm(I). The Vickers Light Tanks were widely used throughout the 1930s and the early war years. Many of the early marks were used in India and for imperial policing duties, in which they proved ideal, but in action during the early campaigns of World War II they soon revealed themselves as being virtually useless. Their main drawback was their thin armour, which could be penetrated even by small-calibre armour-piercing projectiles, and their
lack of a weapon heavier than a machine-gun. In France in 1940 they were frequently incorrectly deployed as combat tanks and suffered accordingly, for they were only reconnaissance vehicles. Their light armour and lack of an offensive weapon made them of little use for anything else, but in 1940 the lack of numbers of tanks on the ground often meant that they were rushed into action against the German Panzers with disastrous results.

The Light Tanks remained in use in the North African desert campaigns for some time until replacements came along. Back in the United Kingdom the later marks were often used for trials. One of them was an attempt to convert some of the otherwise wasted vehicles into anti-aircraft tanks, mounting either four 7.92-mm (0.312-in) or two 15-mm (0.59-in) machine-guns, but although some conversions were made they saw little use. Other attempts were made to fit a 2-pdr (40-mm/1.58-in) anti-tank gun in an enlarged turret, but that idea was not pursued.

**UK Light Tank Mk VII Tetrarch**

The Tetrarch light tank started its life as the Light Tank Mk VII, and was a Vickers private-venture project to continue its line of light tank designs. That was in 1937, and the first prototype started its trials in 1938. These trials demonstrated that the new design, known at that time as the Purdah, lacked any of the attributes that would make it an outstanding weapon; but the type offered some potential, and it was decided to undertake further testing pending a possible production contract.

In its initial form the Purdah, later designated the A17, and later still the Tetrarch, differed from the earlier light tanks by having four large road wheels on each side. A two-man turret was centrally mounted, and this turret was large enough to mount a 2-pdr (40-mm/1.58-in) gun with co-axial 7.92-mm (0.312-in) machine-gun. Various alterations were demanded once the prototype had completed its initial trials, notably to engine cooling and for provision of more fuel tanks to improve range. Eventually the Tetrarch was put into production without any great enthusiasm, but it was at least something ready to hand at a period when the British army had few tanks of any kind to put into the field. Light tanks were recognized as a liability in action by 1941, however, and to the few that were completed became surplus to requirements other than for limited operations such as the invasion of Madagascar in May 1942. Numbers of Tetrarchs were even handed over to the Soviet Union.

But the fortunes of the Tetrarch changed with the establishment of the airborne forces, and it was not long before the lightweight Tetrarch was accepted as the army’s first airborne tank. A new glider, the General Aircraft Hamilcar, was designed and produced as the airborne carrier for the Tetrarch, but it was not until April 1944 that the first trial landings were made, some of them being spectacular in the extreme. For their new role the turrets were fitted with a 7.62-mm (3-in) infantry support howitzer, the vehicle being redesignated Tetrarch ICS.

The Tetrarchs went into action during the Normandy landings of 6 June 1944 during the second airborne wave. Most of them landed near the River Orne, where their combat life was short. They were next used during the Rhine crossings on 24 March 1945, but only a few were used during that event as their numbers had been supplemented by the American M22 Locust. That marked the limits of the type’s airborne operational career, but some were retained for a few years after the war until their Hamilcar gliders were withdrawn from service. The basic design of the Tetrarch was used for a number of developments during the war years. One was the Light Tank Mk VIII Harry Hopkins, a number of which were produced but never used. The Harry Hopkins was virtually a Tetrarch with thicker armour (6-38 mm/0.25-1.5 in) in rather than 4-15 mm/0.15-0.6 in) and many mechanical changes, but it also acted as the basis for another variant known as the Alecto. This was to have been an airborne or light self-propelled gun mounting a 95-mm (actually 94-mm/3.7-in) howitzer, but few of these were produced. Despite plans to produce versions with 25-pdr or even 32-pdr guns, the only versions to be built were fitted with dozer blades for a possible airborne engineer role. In the event the Alectos ended up as hack tractors on Salisbury Plain.

**Specification**

Light Tank MkV

Crew: 3

Weight: 4877 kg (10,752 lb)

Powerplant: one Meadows ESTL 6-cylinder petrol engine delivering 66kW (88 bhp)

Dimensions: length 3.96 m (13 ft); width 2.08 m (6 ft 10 in); height 2.235 m (7 ft 6 in)

Performance: maximum speed 51.5 km/h (32 mph); range 201 km (215 miles)

After suffering heavy losses in France when mistakenly used in close support of the infantry, the MK VI soldiered on in the Middle East and North Africa.

Above: Carried in a Hamilcar glider, the Tetrarch was used by British airborne forces during the Normandy landings. Hopelessly outclassed by German tanks, this Tetrarch has a Littlejohn adapter fitted to its 2-pdr gun to increase muzzle velocity and thus armour penetration.

Right: Originally a Vickers private venture, the Tetrarch was put into production despite lacking armament, effective armament or a properly defined purpose. It eventually saw limited action in Madagascar and the USSR before being adopted as Britain’s first air-portable tank.
Cruiser Tank Mk VI Crusader

The Cruiser Tank Mk VI that became known as the Crusader had its origins around the same time as the Covenant- ter, but was a Nuffield design and therefore used the Nuffield Liberty Mk III engine and a Nuffield gearbox. In overall appearance and layout the Crusader resembled the Covenanter, but there were several differences. One was that the Crusader had five road wheels on each side instead of the Covenanter’s four.

The prototype was known as the A15. It had the unusual feature of two forward miniature turrets, one in front of the driver’s hood and the other for a gunner seated in the front hull. Each of these turrets was fitted with a 7.92-mm (0.312-in) machine-gun, but after early trials the driver’s gun and turret was eliminated. These early trials once more highlighted that engine cooling was inadequate and that the gear-change arrangements were unreliable. These problems, and others, took a long time to remedy and, indeed, many were still present when the Crusader was withdrawn from service.

The first production model was the Crusader I, which had a 2-pdr (40-mm/1.58-in) gun and armour with a 40-mm (1.58-in) basis. When Crusader entered service in 1941 they were already inadequate for combat, and as the new 6-pdr (57-mm/2.24-in) gun was still in short supply the armour alone was increased in thickness to a 50-mm (1.97-in) basis to produce the Crusader II, and it was not until the Crusader III that the 6-pdr gun was fitted. This turned out to be the main ‘combat’ version of the Crusader during the North African campaigns before it was replaced by the American M4 Sherman. In action the Crusader proved fast and nippy, but its armour proved to be too thin, and the Crusaders armed with 2-pdr guns were no match for their German counterparts. Their reliability problems did little for Crusaders’ chances of survival under desert conditions, but gradual improvements were effected. The Crusader ICS was fitted with a 76.2-mm (3-in) howitzer. Once they were no longer combat tanks the Crusaders were used for a variety of special purposes. Some were converted as anti-aircraft tanks. Variants included: Crusader IICS (76.2-mm/3.0-in), with a 76.2-mm howitzer and a single 20-mm (0.787-in) Bofors gun in the hull; Crusader III (76.2-mm/3.0-in), with a 76.2-mm howitzer and a twin or triple 20-mm (0.787-in) Bofors gun (Crusader III AA I) or twin or triple 20-mm (0.787-in) cannon (Crusader III AA II). There was a Crusader ARV armoured recovery vehicle version without a turret (but with an A-frame jib) and another turretless version featured a dozer blade for combat engineering purposes (Crusader Dozer). Many Crusaders were fitted with an open box superstructure for use as high-speed artillery tractors (Crusader Gun Tractor), and were widely used in Europe during 1944 and 1945 to tow 17-pdr (76.2-mm/3.0-in) anti-tank guns. Many more were used for trials that ranged from engine installations via mine warfare devices to wading trials that led to the ‘Duplex Drive’ tanks.

The Crusader was one of the ‘classic’ British tanks of World War II, and had a dashing and attractive appearance that belied its lack of combat efficiency. Despite its low and aggressive silhouette it was outclassed as a battle tank on many occasions, but saw the war out in several special-purpose variants.

Two early model Crusaders are seen during Operation ‘Crusader’. The battle demonstrated that gallantry alone is not a substitute for good equipment.

Cruiser Tank Mk VIII Cromwell

The first Cromwell tanks were produced in January 1943. The first three marks (Cromwell I with one 6-pdr and two Besa machine-guns, Cromwell II with wider tracks and only one machine-gun, and Cromwell III produced by re-engining a Centaur I) had as their main armament the 6-pdr (57-mm/2.244-in) gun, but by 1943 it had been realized that the theoretical maximum speed could often be exceeded.

The first Cromwells were produced in January 1943. The first three marks (Cromwell I with one 6-pdr and two Besa machine-guns, Cromwell II with wider tracks and only one machine-gun, and Cromwell III produced by re-engining a Centaur I) had as their main armament the 6-pdr (57-mm/2.244-in) gun, but by 1943 it had been realized that the theoretical maximum speed could often be exceeded.

Two early model Crusaders are seen during Operation ‘Crusader’. The battle demonstrated that gallantry alone is not a substitute for good equipment.
been decided that something heavier would be required and a new 75-mm (2.95-in) gun was demanded. For once things were able to move relatively swiftly on the production lines and the first 75-mm (2.95-in) Cromwell Mk IV tanks were issued to the armoured regiments in October 1943. Thereafter the 75-mm (2.95-in) gun remained the Cromwell's main armament until the Cromwell Mk VIII, which had a 95-mm (actually 94-mm/3.7-in) howitzer for close support.

Perhaps the main value of the Cromwell to the British armoured regiments during 1943 was as a training tank, for at last the troops had a tank that was something of a match for its German counterparts. There was better armour (8-76-mm/0.315-3-in) on the Cromwell than on any previous Cruiser tank and the 75-mm (2.95-in) gun, which shared many components with the smaller 6-pdr, at last provided the British tankies with a viable weapon. But by the time they were ready for active service the Cromwells were in the process of being replaced by the readily-available M4 Sherman for purposes of standardization and logistic safety. But the Cromwell did see service. Many were used by the 7th Armoured Division in the campaigns that followed from the Normandy landings. Here the excellent performance provided by the Meteor engine made the Cromwell a well-liked vehicle: it was fast and reliable, and the gun proved easy to lay and fire.

The Cromwell was but a stepping stone to the later Comet tank which was to emerge as perhaps the best all-round British tank of the war years. But the Cromwell was an important vehicle, not just as a combat tank but for several other roles. Some were used as mobile artillery observation posts (Cromwell OP) with their main gun removed and with extra radios installed. Others had their turrets entirely removed and replaced by all the various bits and pieces required for the Cromwell to be used as the Cromwell ARV armoured recovery vehicle. The Cromwell was also used as the basis for a heavily armoured assault tank that became known as the A33, which was ready by May 1944 but never got into production.

Specification
Cromwell IV
Crew: 5
Weight: 27942 kg (61,600 lb)
Powerplant: one Rolls-Royce Meteor V-12 petrol engine developing 570bhp (425kW)
Dimensions: length overall 6.42 m (21 ft 0.75 in); width 3.048 m (10 ft); height 3.048 m (10 ft 11 in)
Performance: maximum speed 61 km/h (38 mph); road range 278 km (173 miles); fording 1.219 m (4 ft); vertical obstacle 0.914 m (3 ft); trench 2.286 m (7 ft 6 in)

Above: Cromwell tanks move up to their start line for one of the breakout battles in Normandy, 1944. The price of attacking the well-sited German positions was often heavy, despite the improved quality of British armour.

Cruiser Tank Mk VIII Centaur

The Cruiser Tank Mk VIII Centaur was a contemporary of the Cromwell and was derived from the same general staff specification. But whereas the Cromwell was a Rolls-Royce Meteor-engined vehicle, the Centaur was a Leyland Motors project and was fitted with the Liberty engine. In many other respects the Centaur and the Cromwell were similar in the use of turrets, engine and transmission components and some Centaurs fitted with the Meteor engine at a later stage. The Centaur was a well-liked vehicle: it was fast and reliable, and the gun proved easy to lay and fire. The Centaur was but a stepping stone to the later Comet tank which was to emerge as perhaps the best all-round British tank of the war years. But the Centaur was an important vehicle, not just as a combat tank but for several other roles. Some were used as mobile artillery observation posts (Cromwell OP) with their main gun removed and with extra radios installed. Others had their turrets entirely removed and replaced by all the various bits and pieces required for the Centaur to be used as the Centaur ARV armoured recovery vehicle.

Leyland had already produced a 'Cruiser' known as the Centaur Tank Mk VII Cavalier which had proved to be a generally unsuccessful design as a result of poor performance, mechanical breakdowns and a short engine life. Leyland understandably used some features of the Cavalier on the Centaur but unfortunately it also carried over some of the earlier design's problems, for the Liberty engine was really too low-powered to provide the Centaur with the same performance as the Cromwell; nor was the engine life up to the standards of the Meteor's reliability.

The Centaur I was produced with the usual 6-pdr (57-mm/2.244-in) gun of the period, and the first examples were ready in June 1942. These early Centaurs were used only for training purposes, some with auxiliary fuel tanks mounted at the rear. The Centaur III was produced in small numbers only, but this mounted a 75-mm (2.95-in) main gun. Armour varied in thickness from 20 to 76 mm (0.8 to 3 in). The Centaur IV was the main combat version of the series as it was specially produced for use by the Royal Marines Armoured Support Group during the D-Day landings in Normandy on 6 June 1944. These Mk IVs were fitted with 95-mm (actually 94-mm/3.7-in) close-support howitzers; 80 of them were issued, and these were intended to be used only in the initial stages of the amphibious assault. In fact most of them landed safely and performed so well on the beaches and the area immediately inland that many were retained for some weeks afterwards for the slow and dangerous combat in the bocage country.

Thereafter the Centaurs were withdrawn from combat use and underwent the usual routine of conversion for other purposes. As usual the simplest conversion was to an artillery observation post (Centaur OP) while others simply had their turrets removed to act as Centaur Kangaroo armoured personnel carriers. The usual armoured recovery vehicle variant duly appeared as the Centaur ARV along with the Centaur Dozer turretfless version fitted with a dozer blade for combat engineer duties. Two Centaur conversions that did mount guns were the two marks of Centaur III/IV A1 and Centaur II/III A411 tanks. These had the same 20-mm anti-aircraft turrets as the earlier Crusader AA tanks, but the Centaur AA versions mounted 20-mm (0.787-in) Polsten cannon in place of the earlier Oerlikon cannon. Both of these variants took part in the early stages of the Normandy campaign but were withdrawn once the anticipated threat of air attack did not materialize.

Specification
Centaur III
Crew: 5
Weight: 28549 kg (63,600 lb)
Powerplant: one Nuffield Liberty Mk V V-12 petrol engine developing 295kW (395bhp)
Dimensions: length 6.35 m (20 ft 10 in); width 2.895 m (9 ft 6 in); height 2.489 m (8 ft 2 in)
Performance: maximum road speed 43.4 km/h (27 mph); maximum cross-country speed 25.7 km/h (16 mph); range 265 km (165 miles); fording 0.914 m (3 ft); vertical obstacle 0.914 m (3 ft); trench 2.826 m (9 ft 1 in)

Cruiser Tank Mk VIII Centaur

The Cruiser Tank Mk VIII Centaur was a contemporary of the Cromwell and was derived from the same general staff specification. But whereas the Cromwell was a Rolls-Royce Meteor-engined vehicle, the Centaur was a Leyland Motors project and was fitted with the Liberty engine. In many other respects the Centaur and the Cromwell were similar in the use of turrets, engine and transmission components and some Centaurs fitted with the Meteor engine at a later stage. The Centaur was a well-liked vehicle: it was fast and reliable, and the gun proved easy to lay and fire. The Centaur was but a stepping stone to the later Comet tank which was to emerge as perhaps the best all-round British tank of the war years. But the Centaur was an important vehicle, not just as a combat tank but for several other roles. Some were used as mobile artillery observation posts (Cromwell OP) with their main gun removed and with extra radios installed. Others had their turrets entirely removed and replaced by all the various bits and pieces required for the Centaur to be used as the Centaur ARV armoured recovery vehicle. The Centaur was also used as the basis for a heavily armoured assault tank that became known as the A33, which was ready by May 1944 but never got into production.

Specification
Cromwell IV
Crew: 5
Weight: 27942 kg (61,600 lb)
Powerplant: one Rolls-Royce Meteor V-12 petrol engine developing 570bhp (425kW)
Dimensions: length overall 6.42 m (21 ft 0.75 in); width 3.048 m (10 ft); height 3.048 m (10 ft 11 in)
Performance: maximum speed 61 km/h (38 mph); road range 278 km (173 miles); fording 1.219 m (4 ft); vertical obstacle 0.914 m (3 ft); trench 2.286 m (7 ft 6 in)
The Cruiser Tank Challenger produced during World War II bore no resemblance to its immediate forerunner, the Challenger that is currently being issued to the British army, for the original Challenger was one of the British tank industry's least successful products. It was derived from a 1941 request to mount a heavy gun capable of tackling even the heaviest German tanks and the 17-pdr (76.2-mm/3.7-in) gun, then completing its development, was selected as a likely weapon. The A27 Cromwell/Centaur chassis seemed a suitable basic chassis and work began on adapting this for the heavy gun project.

The new gun would require two things. One was a much larger chassis to accommodate the weights involved and the other a larger turret ring to absorb the recoil forces. At that time all existing designs were too narrow to accommodate so large a turret ring, but by lengthening the existing Cromwell chassis and adding another road wheel the turret ring section could be widened to enable a larger ring to be installed. This formed the basis of what became known as the A30, and eventually the name Challenger was bestowed upon the vehicle.

The first pilot model was ready in March 1942 and the hurriedly improvised it showed up badly during its early trials. The extra weight of the rather high and awkward turret was not balanced by the added suspension, which proved to be a source of many troubles, and the mounting of the heavy gun in the turret made traverse so slow that the original traverse mechanism had to be redesigned and replaced. The large size of the 17-pdr fixed ammunition meant that only a restricted number of rounds could be carried internally, and the hull machine-gun had to be removed to make more room, leaving only the co-axial 7.62-mm (0.3-in) gun. Perhaps the biggest problem was that the weight overall was such that the armour protection had to be reduced to bring weight down to a reasonable level. Armour varied from 20 to 102 mm (0.8 to 4 in) in thickness. Despite all these problems the Challenger was ordered into production purely on the strength of its powerful gun, which was at least something that could destroy any known German tank.

But the Challenger was slow to get into production for a variety of reasons. It was not until March 1944 with the first production examples were ready and by then it was too late for the Challenger to take part in the extensive water-proofing programme that would be required for the Normandy landings. Another blow to the Challenger programme was the fact that the A12 Shermanc had been adapted to take the 17-pdr, and as the Firefly this conversion assumed many of the responsibilities intended for the Challenger during the early stages of the post-Normandy campaign. Thus the Challenger languished while the Firefly fought its way across Europe.

Arguably the ugliest tank design of the period, the Challenger was a 27-pdr, armour being reduced to keep weight down. Fortunately for British tankcrews the Sherman Firefly was adopted instead.

### Infinity Tank

#### Mks I and II Matilda

A requirement for a British army 'Infantry' tank was first made in 1934 and the immediate result was the All Infantry Tank Mk I, later nicknamed Matilda I. This was a very small tank designed with a two-man crew but with armour heavy enough to defeat any contemporary anti-tank gun. The small turret mounted a single 7-mm (0.303-in) Vickers machine-gun and the engine was a commercial Ford V-8 unit. Orders for 140 were issued in April 1937, but by the time it was tried in combat in France in 1940 it revealed many shortcomings: it was too slow and underarmed for any form of armoured warfare, and the small numbers that remained in service after Dunkirk were used only for training.

The Matilda I was intended only as an interim type before the A12 Infantry Tank Mk II became available. This project began in 1936 and the first examples were completed in 1938. The Mk II, known later as Matilda II, was a much larger vehicle than the Matilda I with a four-man crew and a turret mounting a 2-pdr (40-mm/1.575-in) gun and liberal belts of cast armour (varying from 20 to 78 mm/0.8 to 3.1 in in thickness) capable of defeating all known anti-tank guns. The Matilda II was slow as it was intended for the direct support of infantry units, in which role speed was not essential. Overall it was a good-looking tank and it turned out to be far more reliable than many of its contemporaries. And despite the light gun carried it was found to be a good vehicle in combat. The Matilda IIA had a 7.92-mm (0.312-in) Besa machine-gun instead of the Vickers gun.

The main combat period for the Matilda (the term Matilda II was dropped when the little Matilda I was withdrawn in 1940) was the early North African campaign, where the type's armour proved to be effective against any Italian or German anti-tank gun with the exception of the German 88. The Matilda was one of the armoured mainstays of the British forces until El Alamein, after which it was taken by better armed and faster designs. But the importance of the Matilda did not diminish, for it then entered a long career as a special-purpose tank.

One of the most important of these special purposes was as a flail tank for mine-clearing. Starting with the Matilda Baron and then the Matilda Scorpion, it was used extensively for this role, but Matildas were also used to push AMRA mine-clearing rollers. Another variant was the Matilda CDL (Canal Defence Light), which used a special turret with a powerful light source to create 'artificial moonlight'. Matildas were also fitted with dozer blades as the Matilda Dozer for combat engineering, and many were fitted with various flame-throwing devices as the Matilda Frog. There were many other special and demolition devices used with the Matilda, not all of them under British auspices for the Matilda became an important Australian tank as well. In fact Matilda gun tanks were used extensively by the Australian army in New Guinea and elsewhere until the war ended in 1945, and they devised several flame-throwing equipments. The Germans also used several captured Matildas to mount various anti-tank weapons of their own.

It is doubtful if a complete listing of all the many Matilda variants will ever be made, for numerous field modifications and other unrecorded changes have been made. The Matilda was the only British tank with enough armour to withstand German tank guns in the earlyyears.

The Matilda was the only British tank with enough armour to withstand German tank guns in the earlyyears.

After a brief moment of glory at Arras, it won its real reputation with the 8th Army in the desert.
were made to the basic design. But the Matilda accommodated them all and many old soldiers still look back on this tank with affection for, despite its slow speed and light armament, it was reliable and steady, and above all it had good armour.

Specification
Matilda II
Crew: 4
Weight: 26926 kg (59,360 lb)
Powerplant: two Leyland 6-cylinder petrol engines each developing 71 kW (95 bhp) or two AEC diesels each developing 65 kW (87 bhp)
Dimensions: length 3.613 m (11 ft 10 in); width 2.59 m (8 ft 6 in); height 2.51 m (8 ft 3 in)
Weight: 26926 kg (59,360 lb)
Performance: maximum speed 24 km/h (15 mph); maximum cross-country speed 12.9 km/h (8 mph); road range 257 km (160 miles); vertical obstacle 0.609 m (2 ft); fording 0.914 m (3 ft); trench 2.133 m (7 ft)

A Matilda is seen in the desert in June 1941 during Operation 'Battleaxe', an unsuccessful attempt to relieve Tobruk which cost the 4th Armoured Brigade 64 of their Matildas. Tough but slow, the Matildas were cursed with the ineffectual 2-pdr as main armament.

In 1938 Vickers was invited to join in the production programme for the new Matilda II tank, but as the company already had a production line established to produce a heavy 'Cruiser' tank known as the AIO, it was invited to produce an infantry tank based upon the AIO. Vickers duly made its plans and its AIO-derived infantry tank was ordered into production in July 1939. Up to that date the army planners had some doubts as to the effectiveness of the Vickers submissions, resulting mainly in the retention of a small/two-mantlet turret which would limit possible armament increases, but by mid-1939 war was imminent and tanks were urgently required.

The new Vickers tank, soon known as the Infantry Tank Mk III Valentine, drew heavily on experience gained with the AIO, but was much more heavily armoured (8.65 mm (0.33 in) as compared to 2.55 in). As many of the AIO's troubles had already been experienced their solutions were built into the Valentine, which proved to be a relatively trouble-free vehicle. Mass production began rapidly, and the first Valentine I examples were ready in late 1940. By 1941 the Valentine was an established type, and many were used as Cruiser tanks to overcome deficiencies.

The Valentine was undoubtedly one of the most important British tanks, but the main reason for this was quantity rather than quality. In early 1944, when production ceased, 8,275 had been made and during one period in 1943 one quarter of all British tank production was of Valentines. Valentines were also produced in Canada and by several other concerns in the United Kingdom apart from Vickers.

There were numerous variants on the Valentine. Gun tanks ran to 11 different marks with the main armament increasing from a 2-pdr (Valentine I-VII) via the 6-pdr (Valentine VIII-X) to a 75-mm (2.95-in) gun (Valentine XI), and there was even a self-propelled gun version mounting a 25-pdr field gun and known as the Bishop. Special-purpose Valentines ran the whole gamut from mobile bridges (Valentine Bridgelayer) to Canal Defence Lights (Valentine CDL) and from observation posts (Valentine OP) to mine-clearing devices (Valentine Scorpion and Valentine AMRA). The numbers of these variants were legion, many of them being one-off devices produced for trials or experimental purposes, typical of which were the early Duplex Drive Valentine vehicles used to test the DD system. Actually these tanks were so successful that the Valentine was at one time the standard DD tank.

An early model Valentine provides the focus of attention as Malta celebrates King George VI's birthday. The Valentine was one of the more successful pre-war designs, and saw service world-wide. Mass-produced from 1940, the Valentine fought throughout the desert campaigns. Although slow like the Matilda, it was a sturdy vehicle and was able to be re-armed with better guns as the war progressed.
Infantry Tank Mk IV Churchill

Even to provide a list of all the Churchill marks and variants would fill many pages, so this entry can provide only a brief outline of what was one of the most important British tanks of World War II. In production terms the Churchill came second to the Valentine, but in the scope of applications and variants it came second to none.

The Churchill was born in a specification known as the A20 which was issued in September 1939 and envisaged a return to the trench fighting of World War I. Hence the A20 tank was a virtual update of the old World War I British lozenge tanks, but experiences with the A20 prototype soon showed that a lighter model would be required. Subsequently Vauxhall Motors took over a revised specification known as the A22 and designed the Infantry Tank Mk IV, later named the Churchill.

Vauxhall had to work from scratch and yet came up with a well armoured tank with large overall tracks that gave the design an appearance not unlike that of World War I tanks. Unfortunately the early Churchill marks were so rushed into production that about the first 1,000 examples had to be extensively modified before they could even be issued to the troops. But they were produced at a period when invasion seemed imminent and even unreliant tanks were regarded as better than none. Later marks had these early troubles eliminated.

The armament of the Churchill followed the usual path from 2-pdr (Churchill I-II), via 6-pdr (Churchill III-IV) eventually to a 75-mm (2.95-in) gun in the Churchill IV (NA 75) and Churchill VI-VII. There were also CS (close support) variants with 76.2-mm (3-in) and eventually 95-mm (actually 94-mm/3.7-in) howitzers in the Churchill V and Churchill VIII. The Churchill I also had a hull-mounted 76.2-mm (3-in) howitzer. The turrets also changed from being cast items to being riveted or composite structures, and such refinements as track covers and engine cooling improvements were added successively. In all there were 11 Churchill marks, the last three of them 'reworks' of earlier marks in order to update early models to Mk VII standard with the 75-mm (2.95-in) gun.

In action the heavy armour of the Churchill (16-102 mm/0.6-4 in in Mks I-VI and 25-152 mm/1-6 in in Mks VI-VIII) was a major asset despite the fact that the tank's first operational use was in the 1942 Dieppe landings, when many of the Churchills used proved unable to even reach the beach, let alone cross it. But in Tunisia they proved they could climb mountains and provide excellent support for armoured as well as infantry units, though they were often too slow to exploit local advantages.

It was as a special-purpose tank that the Churchill excelled. Many of these special variants became established as important vehicles in their own right, and included in this number were the Churchill AVRE (Armed Vehicle Royal Engineers), the Churchill Crocodile flamethrower tank and the various Churchill Bridgelayer and Churchill Ark vehicles. Then there were the numerous Churchill mine warfare variants from the Churchill Plough variants to the Churchill Snake with its Bangalore torpedoes. The Churchill lent itself to all manner of modifications and was able to carry a wide assortment of odd gadgets such as wall demolition charges (Churchill Light Carrot, Churchill Onion and Churchill Goat) mine-clearing wheels (Churchill AVRE/CIRD), carpet-laying devices for use on bongy ground (Churchill AVRE Carpetlayer), armoured recovery vehicles (Churchill ARV), and so on.

The Churchill may have looked archaic, but it gave excellent service and many were still around in the mid-1950s in various guises, the last Churchill AVRE not being retired until 1965.

Specification

Churchill VII

Crew: 3
Weight: 40642 kg (89,600 lb)
Powerplant: one Bedford twin-six petrol engine developing 261 kW (350 bhp)
Dimensions: length 7.442 m (24 ft 5 in); width 2.438 m (8 ft); height 3.454 m (11 ft 4 in)
Performance: maximum speed 20 km/h (12.5 mph); maximum cross-country speed about 12.8 km/h (8 mph); range 144.8 km (90 miles); fording 1.016 m (3 ft 4 in); vertical obstacle 0.76 m (2 ft 6 in); trench 3.048 m (10 ft)

Above: The Churchill was essentially designed for a return to trench warfare. As such it was a classic infantry tank, slow but heavily armoured. Introduced in 1943, its chassis was subsequently used for a host of specialist vehicles.

Left: Churchills move up to the Normandy front line past a column of US M4 Shermans in early August 1944. Note how the crews have attached large sections of track to the front hull and the turret side as additional armour.

AUSTRALIA

Cruiser Tank Sentinel AC1

In 1939 Australia's armed forces had virtually no modern tanks and lacked almost any form of heavy engineering background to produce them, even an automobile industry was lacking. Nevertheless the Australian government realized that it was unlikely that any large amounts of heavy war materiel would be available to Australia from overseas, and so set to to produce its own. Among the requirements were tanks, and as there was no local expertise on the subject one engineer was sent to the United States and an experienced engineer was obtained from the United Kingdom.

With this experience to hand the Australian army staff issued a specification and Australian industry set to with a will. The first design, known as the AC1 (Australian Cruiser 1) was to have a 2-pdr (40-mm/l,57-in) gun and two

The outbreak of war found Australia with no modern tank force and little industrial infrastructure. The AC1 Sentinel was a home-grown tank developed at a lighting speed to fight off the anticipated Japanese invasion.
7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns, and it was decided to use as many components of the American M3 tank as possible. The powerplant was to comprise three Cadillac car engines joined together and extensive use was to be made of cast armour. A second model, to be known as the AC2, was mooted, but by late 1941 as the Japanese became increasingly aggressive in the Pacific, the AC2 was passed over in favour of the existing AG1, which had armour ranging from 25 mm (1 in) to 65 mm (2.55 in) thickness.

The first AC1s were ready by January 1942 and were soon named Sentinel. The vehicle project from paperwork requests to hardware had taken only 22 months, which was a remarkable achievement since all the facilities to build the tank had to be developed even as the tanks were being built. But only a few AC1 tanks were produced as by 1942 it was realized that the 2-pdr gun would be too small to have any effect against other armour and anyway, the hurried design still had some ‘bugs’ that had to be modified out of the design. Most of these bugs were only minor, for the Sentinel turned out to be a remarkably sound design capable of considerable stretch and modification. This was just as well, for the Sentinel AC8 mounted a 25-pdr (87.6-mm/3.45-in) field gun barrel in the turret to overcome the shortcomings of the 2-pdr. The 25-pdr was chosen as it was already in production locally, but it was realized that this gun would have only limited effect against armour and the Sentinel AC4 with a 17-pdr (76.2-mm/3-in) anti-tank gun was proposed and a prototype was built. This was during mid-1943, and by then the background to the hurried introduction of the AC1 into service had receded. There was no longer the chance that the Japanese might invade the Australian mainland and anyway, M3s and M4s were pouring off the American production lines in such numbers that there would be more than enough to equip all the Allies, including Australia. Thus Sentinel production came to an abrupt halt in July 1943 in order to allow the diversion of industrial potential to more important priorities.

The Sentinel series was a remarkable one, not only from the industrial side but also from the design viewpoint. The use of an all-cast hull was an innovation, which in spite of the speed with which it was produced, the AC1 Sentinel was a remarkably innovative design.

When Canada entered World War II in 1939 it did not have any form of tank unit, and the first Canadian tank training and familiarization units had to be equipped with old World War I tanks from American sources. However, it was not long before the Canadian railway companies by the end of 1938 could manufacture and supply Valentine infantry tanks, and this proved to be a major task for the Canadians who had to virtually build up a tank manufacturing capability from scratch. But the Valentines were ‘Infantry’ tanks and the new Canadian tank units would need large numbers of armoured combat. At that time there was little prospect of obtaining tanks from the United Kingdom and the United States was not involved in the war, so the one thing to do was design and build tanks in Canada.

But what tank? Again, at the time it seemed opportune to build the American M3 (then entering production for the British order) but this design, known as the Grant/Lee, had the drawback of a sponson-mounted 25-mm (1 in) gun at a time when it was appreciated that a turret-mounted gun was much more efficient. The Canadians decided to adopt the main mechanical, hull and transmission components of the M3, but ally them to a new turret mounting a 75-mm (2.95-in) main gun. But there was no prospect of a 75-mm (2.95-in) gun at the time, so the readily-available (40-mm/1.58-in) weapon was chosen for initial installations, with the chance of fitting a larger gun later. This turned out to be the 6-pdr (57-mm/ 2.244-in) gun.

Building such a tank from scratch was a major achievement for the Canadian industry, and the prototype was rolled out from the Montreal Locomotive Works in late June 1941. It was christened the Cruiser Tank Ram Mk I, and turned out to be a remarkably workmanlike design making much use of cast armour; the drive train and suspension demonstrated its M3 origins. It was not long before the initial 2-pdr gun was replaced by a 6-pdr in the Ram Mk II, and production proper got under way by the end of 1941. The secondary armament was one co-axial and one hull-mounted 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-guns. Almost as soon as production commenced numerous design modifications were progressively introduced but none of these changes were fundamental as the Ram was a basically sound tank. Armour thickness ranging from 25 mm (1 in) to 89 mm (3.5 in). All the output went to the new Canadian armoured regiments and many of these regiments, as they were formed, went to the United Kingdom. But the Ram was never to see action as a gun tank. By mid-1943 large numbers of M4 Shermans were pouring off American production lines and as this tank already had a 75-mm (2.95-in) gun it was decided to standardize on the M4 for all Canadian units, Thus the Rams were used for training only. As they were withdrawn many had their turrets removed to produce the Ram Kangaroo, which was a simple yet efficient armoured personnel carrier widely used in the post-June 1944 campaigns. Some Rams had their guns removed and were used as artillery observation posts (Ram Command/OP Tank), while others were more extensively modified to become armoured recovery vehicles. Some were used for various experimental and trial purposes, such as the mounting of a 94-mm (3.7-in) anti-aircraft gun on top of the hull.

But the Ram’s greatest contribution to the conflict was the adaptation of the basic Ram hull to take a 25-pdr anti-tank piece. The gun was placed in a simple open superstructure on top of the hull, and in this form the Ram became the Sexton. A total of 2,150 was produced for the Allied armies so the Ram production line made a definite contribution to the Allied victory.

In the Canadian army, the Ram tank utilized the chassis of the American M3, but mounted its main armament in the turret rather than in a sponson as on the original US vehicle.
Soviet and American Tanks

Nowhere in the course of World War II was the industrial might of what were to become the Superpowers more evident than in the production of armoured vehicles. Manufacture of such war-winning weapons as the M4 Sherman and the Soviet T-34 was on a scale that the Axis could not hope to match.

The tanks described here include some of the best known examples which saw action in World War II. In these pages will be found the Sherman, the T-34, the Lee and the Grant, but also included are some slightly lesser known names. Few outside the former Soviet Union can be familiar with the little T-70 light tank, but in its day it was numerically an important part of the Red Army tank fleet, along with the almost equally unknown T-26.

The numbers and fame of the T-34 and the various Shermans have tended to obliterate the fact that between 1939 and 1945 there were many types of tank lurching around the battlefields. Despite the need for strict standardization to boost mass production totals, no combatant was able to say at any time that only one specific tank type would be produced. Constant supply and demand fluctuations prevented any such thing, although at one point the Soviets got very close to it with the T-34. Also, tanks were generally retained in service for as long as possible, sometimes until they had been outdated or rendered obsolete by events. Thus the M3 series of American light tanks continued to see action right through the war, long after there was no longer a place on the battlefield for their original services.

But if any of the tanks could be said to have overshadowed their fellows they were without doubt the Sherman and the T-34. Together these two examples made major contributions to the final Allied victory over Germany, and so ensured that their names were recorded in history. Both tanks had their faults. The T-34 was cramped inside and manufactured to a standard that was almost crude. The Sherman was high, lacked armour protection and was almost constantly undergunned. However, both types possessed the key attributes of mobility and availability, and in war these advantages can go far towards tipping the balance of fortune towards one side or another. By 1944 both the T-34 and the Sherman were instrumental in forcing the German army back towards the borders of its homeland, and for that alone they will always be remembered.
American light tank development can be traced back to the 1920s when several infantry-support light tanks were developed in small numbers. By the early 1930s these designs had evolved into the Light Tank M2, and there were a series of designs all using the M2 designation. For its day this series were quite well armed, with a 37-mm (1.46-in) main gun, but by 1940 the type was at best obsolescent and was used only for training after reaching its apogee with the M2A4 model.

The events of 1940 in Europe were followed closely by the US Army, which realized that thicker armour would be required by its light tanks. This involved a better suspension to carry the extra weight and the result was the Light Tank M3, based generally on the M2A4. It was in full-scale production by 1941, and mass production of the M3A1 really got underway once the USA had entered the war. Early versions used riveted construction, but welded turrets and eventually welded hulls were successively introduced, and there were also many detail design changes. By the time M3 production ceased 5,811 had been built. Basic armament of the M3A1 was one 37-mm (1.46-in) gun with a coaxial 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine gun, and four other 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-guns (one on the turret roof for AA defence, one in the hull front and two fixed in the sponsons for operation by the driver). Armour thickness ranged from 15 mm (0.59 in) to 43 mm (1.69 in).

The Light Tank M3 was used wherever the USA Army was involved. It proved to be a thoroughly reliable vehicle and was greatly liked by its crews. Large numbers of M3s were passed to the USA’s allies, and the largest recipient was the UK, where the M3 was known as the Stuart. To British eyes the Stuart was large for a light tank, but crews soon learned to appreciate the nippiness and reliability of the vehicle. One thing they did not particularly like was the fact that two main types of engine were fitted to different versions: the normal engine was a four-cylinder radial petrol engine (Stuart I), but in order to expedite production at a time of high demand the Guberson T-1020 diesel engine was fitted to later versions (Stuart IIc). This sometimes caused logistic supply problems but it was a burden the Allies learned to survive. Major variants were the M3A1 (Stuart III and Stuart IV with petrol and diesel engines) fitted with a gyrostabilized gun, power-traverse turret and turret basket, and the product-improved M3A3 (Stuart V) with a larger driving compartment, thicker armour and sponson guns.

The 37-mm (1.46-in) gun was retained throughout the production life of the M3. By 1944 it had very little combat value, so many M3s and Stuarts serving with reconnaissance units had the turret removed to assist concealment. Extra machine-guns were carried instead. Many of these turretless M3s were employed as command vehicles by armoured formation commanders but these were not the only variations upon the M3 theme. The M3 was widely used for all manner of experiments that ranged from mine-clearing expedients to flame-throwers of several kinds. Some vehicles were used for carrying self-propelled artillery, but none were accepted for service. There was even an anti-aircraft version.

With the Allies the M3/Stuarts were used from the North African campaign onwards. Some were passed to the Red Army under Lend-Lease arrangements. The Light Tank M5 was a development powered by twin Cadillac engines that was otherwise generally similar to the M3 series but was recognizable by the raised rear deck that accommodated the twin engines. In British service the M5 was the Stuart VI, the same designation being used for the M3A1 with an improved turret having a bulged rear for radio (as on the M3A3).

**Specification**

**Light Tank M3A1**

- Crew: 4
- Weight: in action 12,927 tonnes
- Powerplant: one Continental W-970-9A 7-cylinder radial petrol engine developing 186.5 kW (250 hp)
- Dimensions: length 4.54 m (14 ft 10.75 in); width 2.24 m (7 ft 4 in); height 2.30 m (7 ft 6.5 in)
- Performance: maximum road speed 58 km/h (36 mph); maximum road range 112.6 km (70 miles); fording 0.91 m (3 ft); gradient 60 percent; vertical obstacle 0.61 m (2 ft); trench 1.83 m (6 ft)

**Light Tank M24 Chaffee**

By 1942 it was evident that the day of the 37-mm (1.46-in) tank gun had passed, and attempts were being made to move from the field for a light tank with a 75-mm (2.95-in) main gun. Attempts to fit such a gun into the Light Tank M5 were unsuccessful, so a new design was started by Cadillac. The first was ready by late 1943 and it carried several innovations including the twin engines, but the main change was to the turret and gun.

The new turret mounted the required 75-mm (2.95-in) gun, whose development was lengthy. Originally it had been the old French 75-mm field gun altered for use in tanks. Various efforts were made to lighten the gun to the extent that it could be mounted in B-25 bomber aircraft for anti-shipping use, and in this form the T13E1 was easily adapted as a light tank weapon.

The new light tank was initially known as T24 but when accepted for service it became the Light Tank M24 and was later given the name Chaffee. It was not in full service until late 1944, and thus was able to take only a small part in the fighting in Europe during 1945. Perhaps its biggest contribution was not really felt until the war was over, for the M24 was designed to be only a part of what the designers called a ‘combat team’ of armoured vehicles. The idea was that a common chassis could be used to provide the basis for a whole family of armoured vehicles that included self-propelled artillery, anti-aircraft tanks and so on. In fact this concept did not make the impression that it might have done as the war ended before it could be put into full effect, and indeed the M24 did not make its full combat impact until the Korean War of the early 1950s.

The M24 was a good-looking little tank, well armed for its size and weight, but the armour (minimum 12 mm/0.47 in) and maximum 38mm/1.5 in) had to be lighter than in heavier tanks to give the vehicle its agility. The M24 had a surprisingly large crew of five men (commander, gunner, loader, radio operator who sometimes acted as assistant driver, and driver). Apart from the main gun there were two 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-guns (one coaxial with the main gun and one in the front hull) and a 12.7-mm (0.5-in) gun on the turret mounted on a pintle. To add to this array there was a 51-mm (2-in) smoke mortar. All this was a considerable armament for a vehicle with a tactical responsibility that was li-
limited mainly to reconnaissance, but by the time the M24 entered service it was a luxury that the Americans could well afford.

As mentioned above, the M24 went on to make its greatest impact after 1945 and many nations retain the M24 to this day, several of them going to the trouble of re-engining the vehicles and updating their fire-control systems.

Performance: maximum road speed 56 km/h (35 mph); maximum road range 161 km (100 miles); fording 1.02 m (3 ft 4 in); gradient 60 per cent; vertical obstacle 0.91 m (3 ft); trench 2.44 m (8 ft)

Specification
Light Tank M24
Crew: 5
Weight: in action 18.37 tonnes
Powerplant: two Cadillac Model 44T24 V-8 petrol engines developing 82 kW (110 hp) each
Dimensions: length, with gun 5.49 m (18 ft); length over hull 4.99 m (16 ft 4.5 in); width 2.95 m (9 ft 8 in); height 2.48 m (8 ft 1.5 in)

Armed with a 75-mm (2.95-in) gun, the M24 was introduced into service during late 1944 and post-war it formed the basis for a new family of armoured vehicles.

Light Tank M24 Chaffee cutaway drawing key

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M6 75-mm gun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M64 combination gun mount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.30 MGM1919A4co-axial with main armament</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Telescope M71K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.30 MGM1919A4 bow gun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.50 HB Browning MGM2 (anti-aircraft)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Commander's cupola</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Direct vision blocks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Commander's periscope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Stowage box</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Pistol port</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Radio set, SCR 508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>M3 grenade launcher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Assistant driver's door</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Hull ventilator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Front cover plate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Portable fire extinguisher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Controlled differential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Differential output yoke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Driver's hand levers (steering brake)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Range selector/transmission lever</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Hand lever transmission unit shift control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Driver's seat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Fire escape hatch door</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Turret control box</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Turret driving mechanism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Stabilizer and turret motor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Firing solenoid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Ammunition storage boxes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Left generator regulator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Left starter relay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Ventilating door</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Master battery switch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Four 6-volt batteries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Fixed fire extinguisher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Radiator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Radiator inlet grille</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Two Cadillac 90 V-type 8-cylinder/Model44T24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Fuel tank covers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Fuel compartment vents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Final drive sprocket</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Shock absorber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Support arm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Track guide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Track wheel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Torsion bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Bumperspring arm bracket</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Track support roller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Compensating wheel and track wheel support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Linkage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Compensating wheel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Track wheel link</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Loader's hatch</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
USA

Medium Tank M3

When the Germans invaded France in May 1940 the consequent tank actions were closely observed by various US Army agencies. From their observations the Americans learned that the next generation of medium tanks had to have at least a 75-mm (2.95-in) gun as their main armament, but this presented them with problems as their next tank generation, already being produced in prototype form, was armed with only a 37-mm (1.46-in) gun of the type already seen to be obsolete.

The American answer was swift and drastic: they simply took their existing design and altered it to accommodate the required gun (2.95-in) gun. The turret of the new design (the Medium Tank M2, destined never to see active service) could not take the larger gun so the weapon had to be situated in the hull. Consequently the revised tank design retained the 37-mm (1.46-in) gun turret, while the main armament was located in a sponson on the right-hand side of the hull. The 75-mm (2.95-in) gun was a revised version of the famous French 75 field piece as made in the USA, but new ammunition converted it into what was for the time a powerful tank weapon. Secondary armament comprised four 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-guns (one in the commander’s cupola atop the 37-mm/1.46-in turret, one coaxial with the 37-mm/1.46-in gun, and two in the hull)

The new design became the Medium Tank M3, and was rushed into mass production in a factory meant for the earlier M2, almost as soon as production started for the US Army. A British mission arrived in the United States on a purchasing trip to obtain tanks to replace those lost in France, and the M3 was high on its shopping list. They requested a few changes to suit their requirements, the most obvious of which were a revised turret rear outline to accommodate radio equipment and the absence of the cupola and this model was produced specifically for the British army. Once delivered the British knew the M3 as the General Grant I (or simply Grant I), and the first of them entered action at Gazala in May 1942 when they provided the Afrika Korps with a nasty fright as their arrival was entirely unexpected, their combined weight and armament (12 mm/0.47-in minimum and 50mm/1.97-in maximum) proving most useful.

The Grants were later joined in British service by the unmodified M3 which was then labelled the General Lee I. Further improvement led to the M3A1 (Lee II) with welded construction, the uparmoured M3A3 (Lee IV) with two General Motors 6-71 diesels delivering 375 hp (280 kW), the M3A4 (Lee V) with the Chrysler A-57 multi-bank engine delivering 370 hp (276 kW), and the M3A5 based on the M3A3 but with a riveted hull. By the time production ended in December 1942 the total had reached 6,258 and the M3 was used in virtually every theatre of war in one form or another. Many were passed to the Red Army on a Lend-Lease arrangement.

The M3 turned out to be a reliable and hard-wearing vehicle, but its hull-located main gun was often a cause of tactical difficulties as its traverse was very limited. But it did provide the punch that Allied ‘tanksies’ required at that time. Its tactical silhouette was really too high for comfort, but considering that the basic design was improvised and rushed into production, at a time when there were more questions being asked than answers provided, it turned out to be a remarkable effort. Many of the suspension and automotive features were later incorporated into other designs and continued to provide excellent service, but perhaps the main lesson to be learned from the M3 was the latent power of American industry that could churn out such a vehicle from scratch in such a short time.

As soon as the M4 entered service the M3s were usually withdrawn and converted to other roles such as armour recovered vehicles, but in the Far East they remained in use until 1945 in both Grant and Lee forms.

Specification

Medium Tank M3A2

Crew: 6
Weight: in action 27,274 tonnes
Powerplant: one Continental R-975-
EC2 radial engine delivering 563.5kW(750hp)
Dimensions: length 5.64 m (18 ft 6 in);
width 2.72 m (8 ft 11 in); height 3.12 m (10 ft 3 in)
Performance: maximum road speed 42 km/h (26 mph); maximum road range 193 km (120 miles); fording 1.02 m (3 ft 4 in); gradient 60 percent; vertical obstacle 0.81 m (2 ft 7 in)

USA

Medium Tank M4

While the Medium Tank M3 was being rushed into production, a new design of medium tank with a turret-mounted 75-mm (2.95-in) main gun was being produced. The one drawing board stages. To save time this was to use the same basic hull and suspension as the M3A1 (Lee II) with welded construction, the uparmoured M3A3 (Lee IV) with two General Motors 6-71 diesels delivering 375 hp (280 kW), the M3A4 (Lee V) with the Chrysler A-57 multi-bank engine delivering 370 hp (276 kW), and the M3A5 based on the M3A3 but with a riveted hull. The first example of the new tank was rolled out in September 1941 as the Medium Tank T6 and proved to be a very good design. The upper hull was cast, and this not only provided added protection but speeded production, at that same time a definite asset.

The new weapon was rushed into production as the Medium Tank M4, with a 75-mm (2.95-in) main gun and coaxial 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-guns, 7.62-mm (0.3-in) bow gun and 12.7-mm (0.5-in) gun for AA defence. This baseline model had minimum and maximum armour thicknesses of 15mm (0.59-in) and 76mm (2.99-in) respectively. It proved to be an excellent fighting platform and went on to be one of the war-winning weapons of the Allies, being constructed in thousands. By the time the production lines stopped rolling in 1945 well over 40,000 had been made, and the type was built in a bewildering array of marks, sub-marks and variants of all kinds. There is no space in these pages even to attempt a complete listing of all the numerous versions, but suffice it to say that once in service the M4 series was differently engined; up-gunned to even more powerful 75-mm (2.95-in), 76-mm (2.99-in) and 105-mm (4.13-in) main weapons; and developed into numerous ‘specials’ such as engineer tanks, assault tanks, tank destroyers, flamethrowers, bridging tanks, recovery vehicles, rocket launchers, self-propelled artillery carriages, anti-mine vehicles and so on, which were produced from scratch or improvised in the field. Gradually the M4 series became the T-34 of the Western Allies. The British army purchased large numbers of M4s or took them over as part of the Lend-Lease programme. To the British the M4 was the General Sherman (or simply Sherman) and they
The M4A3 was one of the most developed of all the Sherman variants used until 1945, as it had a 76-mm (2.99-in) gun and HVSS (horizontal volute spring suspension).

too added their variations to the long list of M4 specials; one of the best-known of these was the 1944 Sherman Firefly, which had a 17-pdr main gun.

The first Shermans went into action with the British at El Alamein in October 1942. Thereafter the Sherman was the most numerous tank in British army service for the rest of World War II.

The main models of this seminally important armoured fighting vehicle were as follows: the M3 (Sherman I) already mentioned, engaged with the 263-kW (353-hp) Wright Whirlwind or 298-kW (400-hp) Continental R-975 radial engines; the M4A1 (Sherman II) with a fully cast rather than cast/welded hull, and alternatively engined with the 336-kW (450-hp) Caterpillar 9-cylinder diesel; the M4A2 (Sherman III) with a welded hull and a 313-kW (420-hp) General Motors 6-71 twin-cylinder diesel; the M4A3 (Sherman IV) with a 373-kW (500-hp) Ford Ranger petrol engine. It is also worth noting that in British service the mark numbers were suffixed whenever the main armament was not the standard 75-mm (2.95-in) gun, A indicating a 76-mm (2.99-in) gun, B a 105-mm (4.13-in) howitzer and C a 17-pdr anti-tank gun. The suffix W in US designations denoted the provision of wet ammunition stowage for reduced fire risk. Armour protection was also considerably developed in the lengthy production run, the M4A2 having a minimum and a maximum of 13 and 105 mm (0.51 and 4.13 in), equivalent figures for the M4A3 and M4A4 being 15 and 100 mm (0.59 and 3.94 in), and 20 and 85 mm (0.8 and 3.35 in) respectively.

It was the numerical superiority of the M4 that in the end made it a war winner. The M4 had many drawbacks and was far from being the ideal battle tank. It was often left behind in firepower as the German tank guns increased in power and calibre, and the armour thicknesses and arrangement were frequently found wanting. Indeed many field improvisations had to be used to beef up the armour, these including the simple expedient of using stacked sandbags. The silhouette was too high for comfort and the interior arrangements far from perfect. Another problem frequently encountered was that with so many variants in use spares were often not available and engine interchangeability was frequently impossible, causing considerable logistical troubles.

Heavy Tank M26 Pershing

The heavy tank did not have an easy time during World War II as far as the Americans were concerned. Early on they realized the operational need for a heavy tank but initially concentrated their considerable production potential on the medium tank, the M3 and M4 series in particular. A promising design, the Medium Tank T20, was put out of action because of the result of this concentration of effort, but low-priority development facilities were thereafter accredited to the heavy tank. This requirement was emphasized when the German Panther and Tiger arrived on the battlefield, and the Americans realized the shot-trap potential of the Henschel turret shape was criticized for its shot-trap potential, and the retention of the bow machine-gun was even then seen as something of an anachronism (later developments did away with it). In fact the M26 was only the start of a new generation of American tank design. After 1945 the M26 was progressively developed through various models including the M47 into the M48 Patton, which is still in widespread service with the US Army and also with other armies all over the world.

The M26 saw extensive action during the Korean War and was for long one of the main tanks fielded by the US Army in Europe as part of NATO. The M26 also spawned many variants and hybrids as post-war development continued.

Specification
Heavy Tank M26
Crew: 5
Weight: in action 41.73 tonnes

Powerplant: one Ford GAF V-8 petrol engine delivering 373 kW (500 hp)
Dimensions: length, with gun 6.9 m (22 ft 10 in); width 2.68 m (8 ft 9 in); height 2.77 m (9 ft 1 in)
Performance: maximum road speed 48 km/h (30 mph); maximum road range 148 km (92 miles); fording 1.22 m (4 ft); gradient 60 percent; vertical obstacle 1.17 m (3 ft 10 in); trench 2.59 m (8 ft 6 in)

The M26 Pershing mounted a main 90-mm (3.54-in) gun and had a crew of five. It entered service in 1945, just too late to have any major impact on the fighting in Europe but in time to see action during the Okinawa campaign in the Pacific. It was the first of a series leading to the M60 of today.
During the 1920s and 1930s the tankette was a continuing attraction for the military mind and the tank designer, and the Soviet Union was no exception in this trend. By the late 1930s the Red Army had progressed through the stages where the one-man tankette had been tested and dropped and was in the usual stage where the tankette had developed into the two-man light tank. By the time the Germans attacked in 1940 the Red Army had invested fairly heavily in the light tank, and the models in service were the result of many years of development.

One of the main types in 1940 was the T-40 amphibious light tank, armed with a 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-gun. It was the latest in a long line of models that could be traced back to the T-27 of the early 1930s. This had progressed through the T-33, the T-34 (not to be confused with the T-34 medium tank), the T-36, the T-37 and finally the T-38. Most of these lacked the amphibious capabilities of the T-40 which was placed in production in about 1940, so that by the time the invasion of 1941 started only a few (about 230) were ever completed. Many of the latetype T-40 models (with streamlined nose and foldable trim vane) were converted into Katyusha rocket-launcher carriers and were never used as turretted tanks, whose normal armament was one 12.7-mm (0.5-in) and one 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-gun. Armour ranged from 6 to 13 mm (0.24 to 0.51 in) in thickness.

While the amphibious T-40 was being developed, a T-40S amphibious version, known as the T-40S, was proposed. When the Germans invaded, the call was for many more tanks delivered as rapidly as possible, so the simpler T-40S was rushed into production and redesignated the T-60 light tank. Unfortunately it was a bit of a horror in service and carried over the primary bad points of the T-40: it was too lightly armoured and, having only a 20-mm cannon plus a co-axial 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-gun, was useless against other tanks. Also it was so underpowered that it could not keep up with the heavier T-34 tanks across country. T-60s were kept in production simply because they could be churned out quickly from relatively small and mobile assembly lines. However, the T-60 was far from a bad tank, many components being taken from the same source, and the slightly improved T-60A appeared in 1942 with slightly thicker frontal armour (35 mm/1.38 in instead of 25 mm/0.98 in) and solid instead of spoked wheels.

During the late 1920s Red Army planners inaugurated a programme to equip the tank elements of the Soviet armour with a tank. In common with many other nations they decided upon an infantry support tank for their non-cannonary tank force; it was felt that a tank armed only with a light gun was of limited use against other tanks. The first models used a twin-turret arrangement mounting two machine-guns (the T-70A and thicker-armour T-70A with 45-mm (1.77-in) and 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-guns. This was still only of limited use against other tanks but was better than a mere machine-gun. The crew remained at two men, the commander having to act as his own gunner and loader in a fashion hardly conducive to effective operation of tank or units.

Production of the T-70 and thicker-armour T-70A ceased in October 1943, by which time 8,226 had been produced. In service the type proved remarkably unremarkable, and the vehicles appeared to be confined to the close support of infantry units and some limited reconnaissance tasks. By 1943 the light tank was an anachronism, but the Soviets nonetheless went ahead with a replacement known as the T-80. Almost as soon as it was rolled out into production its true lack of value was finally realized and the production line was switched to manufacturing components for the SU-76 self-propelled gun.

Specification T-40
Crew: 2
Weight: 5.9 tonnes
Powerplant: one GAZ-202 petrol engine delivering 52 kW (70 hp)
Dimensions: length 4.11 m (13 ft 5.9 in); width 2.32 m (7 ft 7.3 in); height 2.04 m (6 ft 8.3 in)
Performance: maximum road speed 45 km/h (28 mph); road range 360 km (223.7 miles); fording not known; gradient 34°; vertical obstacle 0.70 m (2 ft 3.75 in); trench 1.85 m (6 ft 1 in)
Specification T-70
Crew: 2
Weight: 6.4 tonnes
Powerplant: one GAZ-203 petrol engine delivering 63 kW (85 hp)
Dimensions: length 4.11 m (13 ft 5.9 in); width 2.3 m (7 ft 6.5 in); height 1.74 m (5 ft 8.5 in)
Performance: maximum road speed 45 km/h (28 mph); road range 450 km (280 miles); fording not known; gradient 29°; vertical obstacle 0.54 m (1 ft 9.3 in); trench 1.85 m (6 ft 1 in)

T-26 light infantry tank

During the late 1920s Red Army planners inaugurated a programme to equip the tank elements of the Soviet armour with a tank. In common with many other nations they decided upon an infantry support tank for their non-cannonary tank force; it was felt that a tank armed only with a light gun was of limited use against other tanks. The first models used a twin-turret arrangement mounting two machine-guns (the T-26A-2, and one 12.7-mm/0.5-in and one 7.62-mm/0.3-in gun in the T-26A-3), but some models had a machine-gun in one turret only (27-mm in the T-26A-4 and 37-mm T-26A-5); this arrangement did not survive for long and later T-26B models had a single turret mounting only a gun (37-mm in the T-26B-1, though a 45-mm gun was used later).

The early T-26 tanks were straightforward copies of the British original, and were simple, robust vehicles of mainly riveted construction. The first model was the T-26 Model 1931 (T-26A), replaced by the T-26B Model 1933 (T-26B) which had some design improvements. Before 1941 the Model 1933 was the most widely produced of all Soviet tanks, about 5,500 being built by the time production of that particular version ceased in 1936. Another model, the T-26S Model 1937, was then placed in production and this series had several changes compared with the earlier versions, The T-26S carried the 45-mm (1.77-in) main gun fitted to later versions of the Model 1933, but allied this to an improved turret design and all-welded construction as introduced on the T-26B-3.

The welding was introduced following operational experiences in the border clashes with Japan that took place along the Mongolian and Manchurian boundaries in 1934 and 1935. Experience showed that a T-26 which encountered hostile fire was likely to have its rivets knocked out of the interior. Welding was introduced with the later Model 1933 tanks but was standard on the T-26S.

Throughout their lives the T-26 tanks underwent many production and service changes, most of them aimed
at improving armour protection (minimum of 6 mm/0.24 in and maximum of 25 mm/0.98 in) and armament. There were also many special versions. Perhaps the most numerous of these were the flame-throwing tanks prefixed by the designation OT. Again there were several of these, the earliest being the OT-26 and the last the OT-133. Most of these had the flame-throwing projector in the turret and carried no main gun, but later models did carry a gun in addition to the projector. There were also bridge-carrying versions (the ST-26) and attempts were made to mount 76.2-mm (3-in) guns for increased infantry fire support. The type was also developed as a command vehicle, variants being the T-26A-4(U) and T-26B-2(U).

Production of the T-26 series ceased entirely in 1941 when the Germans overrun most of the production facilities. New production centres set up in the Soviet hinterlands launched the production of later tank designs, but by 1941 well over 12,000 T-26 tanks of all kinds had been made. Consequently they were among the most numerous of the AFVs used during the early stages of the ‘Great Patriotic War’, and were also used in the 1939-1940 campaign in Finland. Some had been used during the Spanish Civil War.

After 1941 huge numbers of T-26 tanks were destroyed or passed into German hands. Many were later converted to artillery tractors or self-propelled gun carriers, usually by the Germans who always had a need for such vehicles.

The Soviet T-28 medium tank was one of the most numerous of the AFVs used during the early stages of the ‘Great Patriotic War’, and were also used in the 1939-1940 campaign in Finland. Some had been used during the Spanish Civil War.

After 1941 huge numbers of T-26 tanks were destroyed or passed into German hands. Many were later converted to artillery tractors or self-propelled gun carriers, usually by the Germans who always had a need for such vehicles.

Overall the T-26 was an unremarkable little tank that was unable to stand up to the demands of 1941, but it enabled the Soviet Union to establish its own mass production facilities and know-how, and these stood them in good stead after 1941.

**Specification**

**T-28**

Crew: 3

Weight: 9.4 tonnes

Powerplant: one GAZ T-26 8-cylinder petrol engine developing 68 kW (91 hp)

Dimensions: length 4.88 m (16 ft); width 2.81 m (9 ft 3 in); height 2.41 m (7 ft 11 in)

Performance: maximum road speed 28 km/h (17.4 mph); maximum road range 175 km (108.7 miles); fording not known; gradient 40°; vertical obstacle 0.79 m (2 ft 7 in); trench 1.90 m (6 ft 2.8 in)

**One of the many variants of the T-28 light infantry tank was the Model 1931, which had dual turrets, usually mounting two 7.62-mm (0.30-in) machine-guns, but sometimes having one of the machine-guns replaced by a 37-mm (1.46-in) short infantry support gun. The later T-26 Model 1933 had a single turret.**

**The Soviet T-28 heavy tank weighed 28 tons but was termed a medium tank. I had a crew of six and had a short 76.2-mm (3-in) gun as its main armament, plus machine-guns in the two extra turrets mounted in front of the main turret. They were clumsy vehicles with armour that proved to be too thin once in action.**

Dimensions: length 7.44 m (24 ft 3.8 in); width 2.81 m (9 ft 2.75 in); height 2.82 m (9 ft 3 in)

Performance: maximum road speed 37 km/h (23 mph); maximum road range 220 km (136.7 miles); fording not known; gradient 45°; vertical obstacle 1.04 m (3 ft 0.5 in); trench 2.90 m (9 ft 6 in)

**The T-28 medium tank was one of the least successful pre-war Soviet tank designs in action in 1940. It proved to be cumbersome, inadequately armoured and under-gunned. The main gun was a short 76.2-mm (3-in) weapon that was replaced in some cases by a longer-barrelled gun of the same calibre.**

**The T-28 Model 1934, known as the T-28E, was also known as the T-28M or T-28 Model 1940.**

In 1941 the surviving T-28s demonstrated themselves to be of only limited combat value. Their large slab sides and stately performance made them easy prey for German anti-tank weapons. They also proved vulnerable to mines, and during the ‘Winter War’ of 1939-1940 some T-28s were modified to carry anti-mine rollers in front of the vehicle. These rollers were not a success, but again the experience gained with them proved to be of great value later. Thus the T-28 passed from the scene, proving itself to belong to an earlier era of tank design.

**Specification**

**T-28**

Crew: 6

Weight: 28 tonnes

Powerplant: one M-17 V-12 petrol engine developing 373 kW (500 hp)

Dimensions: length 7.44 m (24 ft 3.8 in); width 2.81 m (9 ft 2.75 in); height 2.82 m (9 ft 3 in)

Performance: maximum road speed 37 km/h (23 mph); maximum road range 220 km (136.7 miles); fording not known; gradient 45°; vertical obstacle 1.04 m (3 ft 0.5 in); trench 2.90 m (9 ft 6 in)

**The T-28 Model 1934, known as the T-28E, was also known as the T-28M or T-28 Model 1940.**

In 1941 the surviving T-28s demonstrated themselves to be of only limited combat value. Their large slab sides and stately performance made them easy prey for German anti-tank weapons. They also proved vulnerable to mines, and during the ‘Winter War’ of 1939-1940 some T-28s were modified to carry anti-mine rollers in front of the vehicle. These rollers were not a success, but again the experience gained with them proved to be of great value later. Thus the T-28 passed from the scene, proving itself to belong to an earlier era of tank design.

**Specification**

**T-28**

Crew: 6

Weight: 28 tonnes

Powerplant: one M-17 V-12 petrol engine developing 373 kW (500 hp)

Dimensions: length 7.44 m (24 ft 3.8 in); width 2.81 m (9 ft 2.75 in); height 2.82 m (9 ft 3 in)

Performance: maximum road speed 37 km/h (23 mph); maximum road range 220 km (136.7 miles); fording not known; gradient 45°; vertical obstacle 1.04 m (3 ft 0.5 in); trench 2.90 m (9 ft 6 in)
When the Red Army tank staff decided to modernize its tank fleet during the late 1920s it authorized the design bureaux to use whatever sources they liked to obtain the best ideas available. Accordingly many promising design concepts from all over the world were embraced, and among these were ideas of the American J, Walter Christie. His advanced suspension designs had little effect in his own country at that time, but the Soviets embraced his concepts willingly and took them over for their own further development. The Christie suspension was integrated into the BT series (bystrochodya tank, or fast tank).

The first Soviet BTs were copied exactly from a Christie prototype delivered to the Soviet union in 1930 and designated BT-1. The first Soviet model was the BT-2, and from 1931 onwards the BT series progressed through a series of design developments and improvements until the BT-7 was produced in 1935. Like the earlier BT tanks the BT-7 was a fast and agile vehicle intended for Red Army cavalry units, and was powered by a converted aircraft engine. The suspension used the Christie torsion bars that allowed a large degree of flexibility at high speeds. The hull was all-welded and well shaped, but the main gun was only a 45-mm (1.77-in) weapon, although this was still larger than that fitted on many contemporary equivalents. The secondary armament was two 7.62-mm (.3-in) machine-guns, and armour varied from 10 to 22 mm (0.39 to 0.87 in).

The BT-7 proved to be very popular with its users. By the time it entered service its original BT-7-1 form with a cylindrical turret, replaced by a conical turret in the BT-7-2) many of the automotive snags that had troubled some of the earlier BTs had been eliminated, and the BT-7 thus proved to be fairly reliable. Also, by the time it appeared there were many variants of the BTs: some were produced as flamethrower tanks, and there was a special BT-7A close-support version carrying a short 76.2-mm (3-in) main gun. Other experiments included amphibious and bridging tanks, and variants with various tracks to improve terrain-crossing capabilities.

The BT-7 did have one major tactical disadvantage in that it was only lightly armoured. On the entire BT series armour protection had been sacrificed for speed and mobility, and once in action during 1939 the BTs, including the BT-7, proved to be surprisingly vulnerable to anti-tank weapons as small as anti-tank rifles. BT-5s had demonstrated this fact when small numbers were used during the Spanish Civil War, but even though the BT-7 had some armour increases this was still not enough, as revealed in Finland during 1939 and 1940. As a result the design of a successor to the BT series was undertaken and this led ultimately to the adoption of the T-34. Variants of the BT-7 were the BT-7-l(U) command tank and BT-7M (or BT-8) improved model with full-width and well-sloped glacis plate plus a V-2 diesel engine. Thus the BT-7 played its major part in World War II well before the Germans invaded the Soviet Union in 1941. Large numbers were still in service in 1941, but they fared badly against the advancing Panzers. Despite their mobility the Soviet tank formations were poorly handled and many tanks, including BT-7s, were lost simply because they broke down as the result of poor maintenance or poor training of their crews. It was an inauspicious beginning for the Red Army, but worse was soon to follow and the large fleet of BT-7s was virtually eliminated by the end of 1941.

**Specification**

**BT-7**

**Crew:** 3

The BT-2 was the first Soviet tank design to incorporate the Christie suspension, and led to a whole string of BT variants that were eventually developed into the T-34 series. The Christie suspension gave the BT-2 a good cross-country performance, as this photograph graphically demonstrates.

**Weight:** 14 tonnes

**Powerplant:** one M-17T V-12 petrol engine developing 373 kW (500 hp)

**Dimensions:** length 5.66 m (18 ft 6.8 in); width 2.29 m (7 ft 6 in); height 2.42 m (7 ft 11.3 in)

**Performance:** maximum road speed 86 km/h (53.4 mph); maximum road range 250 km (155 miles); fording 1.22 m (4 ft); gradient 32°; vertical obstacle 0.76 m (2 ft 6 in); trench 1.83 m (6 ft)

The BT-7 proved to be very popular with its users. By the time it entered service its original BT-7-1 form with a cylindrical turret, replaced by a conical turret in the BT-7-2) many of the automotive snags that had troubled some of the earlier BTs had been eliminated, and the BT-7 thus proved to be fairly reliable. Also, by the time it appeared there were many variants of the BTs: some were produced as flamethrower tanks, and there was a special BT-7A close-support version carrying a short 76.2-mm (3-in) main gun. Other experiments included amphibious and bridging tanks, and variants with various tracks to improve terrain-crossing capabilities.

The BT-7 did have one major tactical disadvantage in that it was only lightly armoured. On the entire BT series armour protection had been sacrificed for speed and mobility, and once in action during 1939 the BTs, including the BT-7, proved to be surprisingly vulnerable to anti-tank weapons as small as anti-tank rifles. BT-5s had demonstrated this fact when small numbers were used during the Spanish Civil War, but even though the BT-7 had some armour increases this was still not enough, as revealed in Finland during 1939 and 1940. As a result the design of a successor to the BT series was undertaken and this led ultimately to the adoption of the T-34. Variants of the BT-7 were the BT-7-I(U) command tank and BT-7M (or BT-8) improved model with full-width and well-sloped glacis plate plus a V-2 diesel engine. Thus the BT-7 played its major part in World War II well before the Germans invaded the Soviet Union in 1941. Large numbers were still in service in 1941, but they fared badly against the advancing Panzers. Despite their mobility the Soviet tank formations were poorly handled and many tanks, including BT-7s, were lost simply because they broke down as the result of poor maintenance or poor training of their crews. It was an inauspicious beginning for the Red Army, but worse was soon to follow and the large fleet of BT-7s was virtually eliminated by the end of 1941.

**Specification**

**BT-7**

**Crew:** 3
It is now difficult to write of the T-34 medium tank without using too many superlatives, for the T-34 has passed into the realms of legend. It was one of the main war-winning weapons of World War II, and it was produced in such vast numbers and in so many versions that entire books have been written on the subject without exhausting the possibilities of the vehicle and its exploits.

In simple terms the T-34 had its origins in the shortcomings of the BT-7 and its forebears. The first result of the BT series' up-dating were the designs known as the A-20 and A-30, produced in 1938 as developments of the BT-7, but passed over in favour of a heavier-gunned tank with increased armour and known as the T-32. In the T-32 can be seen most of the features of the later T-34. It had a well-shaped hull with sloped armour, and a cast and sloped turret which mounted a 76.2-mm (3-in) high-velocity gun. The Christie suspension, suitably beefed up, was carried over from the BT series, but the ability to run on wheels without tracks was abandoned.

Good as the T-32 was, a selection panel recommended more armour and so the T-34 was born. It went into production in 1940 and mass production of the T-34/76A soon followed. When the Germans attacked the Soviet Union in 1941 the type was already well established, and its appearance caused a nasty shock to the Germans. The T-34's well-sloped and thick armour (minimum of 18 mm/0.71 in and maximum of 60 mm/2.36 in) was proof against most of their anti-tank weapons and the IV30 76.2-mm (3-in) gun, soon replaced in service by an even more powerful IV40 gun of the same calibre, was effective against most German Panzers. The secondary armament was two 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-guns.

From 1941 onwards the T-34 was developed into a long string of models, many of them with few external differences. Production demands resulted in many expediences, the finish of most T-34s being rough to an extreme, but the vehicles were still very effective fighting machines. Despite the disruption of the production lines during 1941, ever-increasing numbers poured off the extemporized lines, and all manner of time-saving production methods (ranging from automatic welding to leaving whole sections of surface unpainted) were used. The second production model was the T-34/76B with a rolled-plate turret.

In service the T-34 was used for every role, ranging from main battle tank to reconnaissance vehicle, and from engineering tank to recovery vehicle. It was converted into the carrier of armoured personnel carriers by simply carrying infantry on the hull over long distances; these 'tank descent' troops became the scourge of the Germans as they advanced westwards through the liberated Soviet Union and then Eastern Europe. Successively improved models of the T-34/76 were the T-34/76C with a larger turret containing twin roof hatches in place of the original single hatch; the T-34/76D with a hexagonal turret and wider mantlet, plus provision for jettisonable exterior fuel tanks; the T-34/76E with a cupola on the turret and of all-welded construction; and the T-34/76F identical to the T-34/76E apart from its cast rather than welded turret. (It should be noted that the designations are Western, designed to provide a means of identification in the absence of Soviet information.) In time the 76.2-mm (3-in) gun was replaced by an 85-mm (3.34-in) gun using the turret taken from the KV-85 heavy tank. This variant became the T-34/85, which remains in service to this day in some parts of the world. Special assault gun versions using the 85-mm (3.34-in) gun and later the 100-mm (3.94-in) or 122-mm (4.8-in) artillery pieces were developed, and flame-throwing, tractor, engineer and mine-cleaning versions were also produced.

But it was as a battle tank that the T-34 has its main claim to fame. Available in thousands, the T-34 assumed mastery of the battlefield, forcing the Germans back on the defensive and taking from them the tactical and strategic initiative thus winning the 'Great Patriotic War' for the Soviet Union. Post-war the T-34 and its successors went on to gain more laurels, but it was as a war-winner in World War II that the T-34 must best be remembered. It was a superb tank.

**Specification**

**T-34/76A**

- Crew: 4
- Weight: 26 tonnes
- Powerplant: one V-2-34 V-12 diesel developing 373 kW (500 hp)
- Dimensions: length 5.92 m (19 ft 5.1 in); width 3.6 m (11 ft 10 in); height 2.44 m (8 ft)
- Performance: maximum road speed 55 km/h (34 mph); maximum road range 186 km (115 miles); fording 1.37 m (4 ft 6 in); vertical obstacle 0.71 m (2 ft 4 in); trench 2.95 m (9 ft 8 in)

**Above:** The commander of an early production T-34/76 tank looks out from his large one-piece hatch during Red Army exercises held during 1940, before the German invasion. At that time the T-34/76 was kept under security wraps and its appearance during the 1941 campaigns came as a nasty shock for the German Panzer troops.

**Below:** T-34s in East Prussia during the winter of 1944-5. By that time the main production version of the T-34 mounted an 85-mm (3.34-in) gun and was known as the T-34/85. This was an excellent tank that is still good enough to remain in service with many armies to this day - not bad for a vehicle introduced in 1944.
The T-35 was one of the major disappointments for the Soviet tank designers before World War II. It had its origins in design studies that began in 1930, and the first prototype was rolled out in 1932. In appearance and in many other ways the T-35, via the T-28, was greatly influenced by the design of the British Vickers Independent, a tank that was produced as a one-off only and which featured in a notorious spy court case of the period. The T-28 carried over from the Vickers design one major feature, namely the multi-turret concept.

Although there were changes between the various production batches, the tanks of the main batch (produced between 1935 and 1938) were longer than the originals. This increase in length made the T-35 an unwieldly beast to steer, and its ponderous weight did little to improve matters. The multi-turret approach to tank weaponry also proved to be of doubtful value. Aiming and co-ordinating the fire of the five turrets proved very difficult, and the overall effectiveness of the armament was further limited by the relatively small calibre of the main gun. In fact the main gun and turret were exactly the same as those used on the lighter T-28 medium tank. Armour varied from 10 to 30 mm (0.39 to 1.18 in) in thickness. Production of the T-35 was slow and limited compared with that of other Soviet tank programmes of the time.

The KV-1 heavy tank

By 1938 Soviet tank designers had realized that the T-35 heavy tank would need replacement and set about the design of its successor. Several design bureaux were involved and many proposed designs with multiple turrets, but by the time prototypes were produced most had just two turrets. This approach still did not appeal to one of the teams, which designed a heavy tank with only one turret and named it after Klimenti Voroshilov, who was defence commissar at the time. Known as the KV-1, the new design was far more mobile than the other submissions and was field-tested during the campaign in Finland in 1940. This first variant was armed with a short 76.2-mm (3-in) gun and three or four 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-guns, and armour up to 100-mm (3.94-in) thick was provided.

The KV-1 was ordered into production in two main forms: one was the KV-1A armed with a long 76.2-mm (3-in) gun, while the other version was the KV-2, a marriage of the KV-1 hull, chassis and suspension with a large slab-sided turret mounting a 152-mm (5.98-in) howitzer (originally a 122-mm/4.80-in howitzer). Thus the KV-2 did not lack in firepower, but the high turret was a ponderous load for the vehicle and the KV-2 (and improved KV-2B) did not shine in action.

With the KV-1 the future for Soviet tank design was established for some time to come. The old multi-turret concept was finally set aside, and the KV-1 emerged as a formidable heavy tank that was to serve the Red Army for years. It was used often as an assault or breakthrough tank, forming the spearhead of many attacks, and as the war against Germany progressed the basic design was gradually improved.

High on the list of improvements were increased armament and protection. Amongst these was a main gun that in KV-1B that had an extra 25-35 mm (0.98-1.38 in) added to the hull front and sides. Other changes were made in the turret which progressed from being a mainly plated affair to a fully cast component, which on the KV-1C also gave an increase in protection. Much of the extra armour was simply bolted onto existing armour. For its size the KV-1 was undergunned, but a scheme to increase the armament to a 107-mm (4.2-in) weapon never came to anything other than trials. Instead the 76.2-mm (3-in) gun
was lengthened and the 152-mm (5.98-in) gun in its clumsy turret was withdrawn. After 1943 numbers of 85-mm (3.34-in) guns were fitted, and this model was known as the KV-85.

The KV-1 was a sound design, but had some serious automotive problems. On early models it was almost impossible to change gear because of clutch problems, and there were other transmission difficulties. Many of them were eventually eliminated but the numerous increases in armour protection were usually carried out with no increases in engine power and this inherent handicap. In the campaigns of 1942 many KV-1s were lost due to mechanical failure. The tank was improved, however, and was to lead to the powerful Josef Stalin tanks.

A KV-I rolls through snowy streets to the front in December 1941. The heavy tank had a 76-mm main gun (later to be replaced by an 85-mm weapon) and was used by the Red Army as a breakthrough tank where its lack of speed was not a handicap.

One serious problem tactically was the omission of applique armour to improve, it was a massive vehicle, its size emphasized by the long gun barrel. The turrett and hull were more than amply supplied with armour (maximum of 122 mm/4.8-in), but the Red Army tank crews placed greater importance on the tank-killing power of the 122-mm (4.7-in) gun. This gun had a slow rate of fire and used separate ammunition, which further slowed the loading time (the A-19 was originally designed as a naval gun), and the ammunition was so large that only 28 rounds could be carried inside the tank. The secondary armament comprised one 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-gun. Later versions introduced a modified fire-control system and a revised breech to increase the speed of loading. Other changes were introduced at the same time, but more were to come. Good as the IS-2 was, it was felt that it could still be improved. The result was the IS-3 which retained the 122-mm (4.8-in) gun but in a drastically revised well-rounded turret behind a new and heavily sloped bow shape combined with the usual armour. Only a few IS-3s were completed before the war ended, but the type went on to worry Western military thinkers for many years afterwards as it remained the most powerful tank in the world for well over a decade (it is still employed in some Soviet-influenced nations).

By the time 1943 was through the Red Army had gained the strategic initiative from the Germans and was starting the series of advances that took it to Berlin in 1945. Along the way the Soviets attempted to maintain an overall tank design supremacy over their enemies and on the whole it was successful. This as true for the heavy tanks as it was for the T-34 series, and the KV-1 was progressively developed until by 1943 the KV-85 with its 85-mm (3.34-in) gun and reshaped turret was in service. But by gradually reworking the transmission, reshaping and redesigning the hull and suspension, a new lower and lighter tank design was evolved. The new design was named the IS-1 (IS for Josef Stalin).

The IS-1 retained the 85-mm (3.34-in) gun of the KV-85, and was in its earliest forms known as the IS-85, but it was felt that the new design could accommodate a more powerful weapon. Trials were carried out with a new 100-mm (3.94-in) gun (IS-100 variant) and a long 122-mm (4.8-in) gun, the 100-mm (3.94-in) gun proving to be the better armour-penetration weapon. However, the 122-mm (4.8-in) gun was almost as effective and also had the explosive power to blow off an enemy tank turret even if it could not penetrate its armour. To cap things in the 122-mm (4.8-in) gun’s favour, potential numbers were available while the 100-mm (3.94-in) gun was still not in full production.

So the IS tank was fitted with the long 122-mm (4.8-in) gun and this became the IS-2, which had a number of other improvements. The first examples appeared in 1944 and remained in production and service until the war ended. It was a massive vehicle, its size emphasized by the long gun barrel. The turrret and hull were more than amply supplied with armour (maximum of 122 mm/5.2 in), but the Red Army tank crews placed greater importance on the tank-killing power of the 122-mm (4.8-in) gun. This gun had a slow rate of fire and used separate ammunition, which further slowed the loading time (the A-19 was originally designed as a naval gun), and the ammunition was so large that only 28 rounds could be carried inside the tank. The secondary armament comprised one 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-gun. Later versions introduced a modified fire-control system and a revised breech to increase the speed of loading. Other changes were introduced at the same time, but more were to come. Good as the IS-2 was, it was felt that it could still be improved. The result was the IS-3 which retained the 122-mm (4.8-in) gun but in a drastically revised well-rounded turret behind a new and heavily sloped bow shape combined with the usual armour. Only a few IS-3s were completed before the war ended, but the type went on to worry Western military thinkers for many years afterwards as it remained the most powerful tank in the world for well over a decade (it is still employed in some Soviet-influenced nations).

The IS-2 was introduced in to service with the Red Army during 1944 and was the most powerful of all the Soviet heavy tanks. It mounted a long 122-mm (4.8-in) gun in a well-protected cast turret, and carried a crew of four. Armament stowage was limited to 28 rounds.

Specification IS-2
Crew: 4
Weight: 46 tonnes
Powerplant: one V-2-4S (V-2K) V-12 diesel developing 447 kW (600 hp)
Dimensions: length 9.9 m (32 ft 5.8 in); width 3.09 m (10 ft 1.6 in); height 2.73 m (8 ft 11.5 in)
Performance: maximum road speed 37 km/h (23 mph); maximum road range 240 km (149 miles); fording not known; gradient 36°; vertical obstacle 1.0 m (3 ft 3 in); trench 2.49 m (8 ft 2 in)

When the Red Army finally reached Berlin in May 1945 the two tanks were at the head of the armoured forces; this example is seen near the Reichstag. Note the great length of the 122-mm (4.8-in) gun and the well-shaped turret and front glacis plate that could deflect armour-piercing projectiles.
Successful tank designs are the product of a careful compromise between firepower, protection and mobility. Nevertheless, during World War II many of the belligerent nations resorted to producing 'tank destroyers', vehicles which sacrificed armour, speed or flexibility to carry a much more powerful gun than contemporary tanks.

The tank destroyer was very much a product of the military and economic developments peculiar to World War II. During the war years the tank destroyer flourished for various reasons which will be described in this study, but in the years that followed 1945, this type of tank all but vanished, and it is a rare thing to find in modern tank parks. The truth was (and still is) that the tank destroyer had severe limitations as a fighting vehicle, but that it was capable of carrying a large-calibre gun, one far heavier than the corresponding tank chassis of the time could ever carry and fire.

The tank destroyer and the tank were very different beasts. Although they often used identical chassis, and at times even looked alike, they were markedly dissimilar when it came to combat. The tanks, with their combination of firepower, mobility and protection, usually had the combat edge over the tank destroyer with its limited-traverse armament and relatively thin armoured protection, but to be set against this the tank destroyer usually had the more powerful gun and a low silhouette that gave it the edge in concealment. There was at one time the philosophy that as tanks were not expected to fight tanks, specialized tank destroyers would have to be used. This approach did not last long under the severe strictures of combat, where it was soon learned that the best way to defeat a tank was to use another tank. The tank destroyer could be used for this purpose but at a cost in weapon flexibility and all too often in protection for the crew.

Tank destroyers were also important during World War II for purely economic and production reasons. Among the types of tank destroyer and German Panzerjager (tank hunter) were some superb fighting vehicles such as the Hetzer, the M18 Hellcat and the superlative Jagdpanther, the latter of which would be a viable fighting machine today. But there were some dreadful lash-ups that were unclergunned, lacked protection for their unfortunate crews and, in addition to these failings, were so underpowered they had difficulty in moving at combat speeds. Add to these lumbering monsters such as the Jagdtiger, and the scope of this study can be appreciated.
Panzerjäger I

When the first PzKpfw I (Panzerkampfwagen I) light tanks were produced in 1934 it was intended that they would be used only as training vehicles, but in the event they had to be used as combat tanks during the early war years for the simple reason that larger and heavier tanks were not yet available in sufficient numbers. But the PzKpfw I had a crew of a mere two men, carried only a machine-gun armament, and was protected by thin armour. No stretch of the imagination was it a viable battle tank and most were phased out of use after the end of 1940 (but retained for the original training units). This left a number of spare tank chassis with no operational role, so the opportunity was taken to convert these vehicles into the first German self-propelled gun.

It had already been decided that some form of mobile anti-tank gun would be a great asset to the anti-tank units who would otherwise have to use towed guns. Thus the first example of this requirement was met by mounting a 3.7-cm (1.45-in) Pak 35/36 onto a turretless PzKpfw I. While this conversion showed promise it was not adopted because even by mid-1940 it was appreciated that the 37-mm gun lacked power to deal with future armour. Thus a Czech 47-mm (1.85-in) anti-tank gun was mounted instead, and this combination was adopted for service as the Panzerjäger I für 47-cm Pak(t).

The Czech gun was a powerful, hard-hitting weapon that was well capable of penetrating most armour it was likely to encounter and Alkett AG produced a total of 132 conversions. The result was very much a stop-gap attempt, for all that was required was to remove the original turret, plate over the front of the turret ring and arrange a small working platform over the engine covers. The gun was mounted in a small shield that was left open at the top and rear. The crew consisted of the driver, still using his original PzKpfw I position, and two men serving the gun. A total of 74 rounds could be carried as standard, although more could be added to this total. The chassis mainly used for the conversion was that of the PzKpfw I Ausf B.

The Panzerjäger I served in North Africa and during the early stages of the campaigns in the Soviet Union. They proved to be powerful enough to defeat opposing tanks, but their overall lack of protection for the crew made them very vulnerable targets. Accordingly when better equipments became available they were withdrawn from front-line use and assigned to theatres where they could be used for policing rather than for combat duties. Among the locations so honoured were the Balkans, where the vehicles were used on anti-partisan operations. Units operating on the Eastern Front after about the end of 1942 frequently removed the guns and used the chassis for supply carrying, and some units replaced their Czech guns with captured ex-French 47-mm guns. Few Panzerjäger Is remained in use after mid-1944.

**Specification**

Panzerjäger I

- Crew: 3
- Weight: 6000 kg (13,228 lb)
- Powerplant: one Maybach NL 38 6-cylinder petrol engine developing 74.6kW (100hp)
- Dimensions: length overall 4.14 m (13 ft 7 in); width 2.01 m (6 ft 7.25 in); height 2.1 m (6 ft 10.7 in)
- Performance: maximum road speed 40 km/h (24.8 mph); range 140 km (87 miles); gradient 57 per cent; vertical obstacle 0.37 m (14.6 in); trench 1.4 m (4 ft 7 in); ford 0.6 m (2 ft)

The Panzerjäger I light tank. The gun was powerful enough, but the mounting provided virtually no protection.

Marder II

As with the PzKpfw I, when the PzKpfw II entered service in 1935 it was meant to be used only as a training and development tank. In the event it had to be used as a combat tank from 1939 to 1942 simply because there were not enough combat tanks to replace the type, which acquired itself well enough despite the fact that its main armament was limited to a 2-cm cannon: by 1941 the PzKpfw II was over-due for replacement as its armament was not able to deal with other than soft-skin targets and the small turret ring could not accommodate a heavier weapon. However the production line for the chassis was still in being and at the time it seemed to be too valuable to waste so the opportunity was taken to convert the PzKpfw II to a Panzerjäger.

The prototype of this new Panzerjäger was fitted with a 5-cm (1.97-in) anti-tank gun, but the full production version was fitted with a special version of the 7.5-cm (2.95-m) Pak 40 anti-tank gun known as the Pak 40/2. This powerful gun was the German army’s standard anti-tank weapon in corporation of greater mobility added considerably to the gun’s anti-armour potential. The Pak 40/2 was placed behind a 10-mm (0.39-in) thick armour shield, that sloped to the rear to provide the gun crew with adequate protection. To accommodate the weight of the gun the engine was moved to the rear of the hull and the engine covers were used as a working platform to service the gun. The vehicle was known as the Marder II (Marder meaning marten) although other and more cumbersome designations (such as 7.5cm PzKpfw Ausf F (Feldpanzerwagen) or PzKpfw Ausf K with Flakmount was produced to be used on all fronts. The crew was four, including the driver.

This photograph of a Panzerjäger I shows the extemporized nature of this early German conversion, made in an attempt to prolong the service life of the PzKpfw I light tank. The gun was powerful enough, but the mounting provided virtually no protection.

The Marder II remained in production until 1944 and became one of the most widely used of all the many German self-propelled gun conversions. In production terms it was manufactured in greater numbers than any other weapon of its type, for 1,217 were made. The Marder II certainly a handy and efficient weapon in combat for it was relatively small, had a good cross-country performance and the gun could knock out virtually any enemy tank other than super-heavy Soviet tanks such as the IS-2. Racks for 37 rounds were provided over the engine covers and there was also space for stowing 600 rounds for the machine gun usually carried; this was a 7.92-mm (0.312-in) MG34 or MG42.

Most Marder II production was sent to the Eastern Front, but the Marder II version which was found wherever German troops were in action. By 1944 the type was out of production and the crew was often reduced by one man to conserve manpower, but the development of the type did not cease. During the latter stages of the war some Marder Us were equipped with infra-red searchlights for engaging targets at night and some of these equipments were used in action on the Eastern Front during the last stages of the war. By then such novel equipment could have but little impact on the outcome of the war.

**Specification**

Marder II

- Crew: 3-4
- Weight: 11000 kg (24,251 lb)
A Marder II with the 7.5-cm (2.95-in) Pak 40/2 gun barrel clamps lowered. Although this vehicle was one of the more important (numerically) of the Panzerjäger, it was rather high and generally lacked protection.

GERMANY

Marder III

There were two self-propelled guns that were known as the Marder III, and both used the same chassis, a derivative of the Skoda TNHP-S tank chassis. This tank had originally been produced by the Skoda factory at Pilsen for the Czech army, but with the annexation of the Czech state by Germany in 1939 the Skoda works continued production of tanks under the designation PzKpfw 38(t) for the German army. However, with some early conversions (including the original Marder III) the vehicles were nose-heavy, which at times limited mobility. Using the original Czech design as a basis, German engineers now relocated the engine at the front of the chassis and moved the 'working platform' to the rear to produce a specialized self-propelled gun carrier. As soon as this became available Marder III production changed once more to the new Panzerjäger 38(t) Ausf M für 7.5-cm Pak 40/3 configuration with the gun and its protection mounted at the rear of the vehicle. This provided a much better-balanced vehicle and the new chassis was also used to mount a variety of other weapons. The late type of Marder III was manufactured by BMM of Prague, and when production ceased in May 1944 799 had been made. They were used on all fronts.

Specification

Panzerjäger 38(t) Ausf M
Crew: 4
Weight: 11000 kg (24,250 lb)
Powerplant: one Praga AC petrol engine developing 111,9 kW (150 hp)
Dimensions: length overall 4.65 m (15 ft 3 in); width 2.35 m (7 ft 8.5 in); height 2.20 m (7 ft 2.8 in)
Performance: maximum road speed 40 km/h (24.8 mph); roadrange 190 km (118 miles); gradient 57 per cent; vertical obstacle 0.42 m (16.5 in); trench 1.8 m (5 ft 11 in); fording 0.9 m (35 in)

This Marder III was captured in North Africa in April 1943 and mounted its 7.5-cm (2.95-in) Pak 40/3 in a central position. It was a very simple conversion of a Czech tank chassis but was effective enough.

Powerplant: one Maybach HL 62 petrol engine developing 104.4 kW (140 hp)
Dimensions: length 6.36 m (20 ft 10.4 in); width 2.28 m (7 ft 5.8 in); height 2.20 m (7 ft 2.6 in)
Performance: maximum road speed 40 km/h (24.8 mph); roadrange 190 km (118 miles); gradient 57 per cent; vertical obstacle 0.42 m (16.5 in); trench 1.8 m (5 ft 11 in); fording 0.9 m (35 in)

This profile of the Marder II shows the rather high mounting of the 7.5-cm (2.95-in) Pak 40/2, a special version of the standard German anti-tank gun of the later waryears.
Although tank-destroyer conversions of existing tank chassis to produce weapons such as the Marder III were moderately successful, the results were, in overall terms, high and clumsy vehicles that lacked finesse and showed every sign of the haste in which they had originally been produced. In contrast, the various Sturmgeschütz close-support artillery vehicles demonstrated on many occasions that they too could be used as tank destroyers, and thus in 1943 it was decided to produce a light Panzerjäger along Sturmgeschütz lines, the chassis of the PzKpfw 38(t) being taken as the basis.

The result was one of the best of all the German Panzerjäger: the Jagdpanzer 38(t) für 7.5-cm Pak 39, or Hetzer (hauler, as in bull-baiting). The Hetzer used the basic engine, suspension and running gear of the PzKpfw 38(t) allied to a new armoured hull that sloped inwards to provide extra protection for the crew of four. The armament was the usual 7.5-cm (2.95-in) Pak 39 modified for the vehicle, along with a roof-mounted machine-gun. Production of the new vehicle began in Prague at the end of 1943 and also involved were factories at Pilsen, Königgrätz, Böhmen and Breslau. These factories were soon working flat out, for the Hetzer proved to be a very successful gun/chassis combination: it was small and low, yet it was well protected and had very good cross-country performance. The gun could knock out all but the very heaviest enemy tanks, yet the Hetzer itself was very difficult to knock out and in combat it was so small as to be virtually invisible to the enemy gunners. Calls for more and more came from the front line, to the extent that by late 1944 all available PzKpfw 38(t) production was diverted towards the Hetzer. Production continued until the factories were overrun in May 1944, by which time 1,577 had been built.

Several versions of the Hetzer were produced: one was a flamethrower, the Flammpanzer 38(t), and another a light recovery version, the Bergepanzer 38(t). But the Hetzer itself did not cease in 1945. It was not long before the Hetzer was placed back in production for the new Czech army. The Hetzer was even exported to Switzerland between 1947 and 1952, the Swiss army using these Hetzers until the 1970s.

The wartime Hetzers were used for a series of trials and various weapon mountings. At one point trials were carried out with guns connected directly to the front hull armour and with no recoil mechanism fitted to see if the concept would work (it did). A trial model was an assault howitzer mounting a 15-cm (5.9-in) infantry howitzer and there were several similar projects, but none reached the production stage for the assembly lines had to concentrate on churning out more and more basic Hetzers to meet demands.

The Hetzer is now regarded as one of the best of all the German Panzerjäger for it was a powerful little vehicle that was much more economical to produce and use than many of the larger vehicles. Despite being armed with only a 75-mm gun, it could knock out nearly every tank it was likely to find and yet it was little higher than a standing man.

### Specification

**Hetzer**

- **Crew:** 4
- **Weight:** 14500 kg (31,967 lb)
- **Powerplant:** one Praga AC/2800 petrol engine developing 111.9-119.3 kW (150-160hp)
- **Dimensions:** length overall 6.20 m (20 ft 4.1 in) and hull 4.80 m (15 ft 9 in); width 2.50 m (8 ft 2.4 in); height 2.10 m (6 ft 10.7 in)

**Performance:** maximum road speed 39 km/h (24.2 mph); road range 250 km (155 miles); gradient 75 percent; vertical obstacle 0.65 m (25.6 in); trench 1.3 m (4 ft 3.2 in); fording 0.9 m (35 in)

**Hetzer**

The low height of the Hetzer can be clearly appreciated. Note the well-shaped 'Saukopf (pig's head) gun mantlet that provided extra head-on protection and the lack of a muzzle brake, usually fitted to other German vehicles of this type.

### Jagdpanzer IV

Combat experience gained during the 1942 campaigns indicated to German staff planners that the existing Sturmgeschütz close support artillery vehicles would have to be upgunned if they were to continue to be used as tank destroyers, and the future standard weapon was selected as the long version of the 7.5-cm (2.95-in) tank gun fitted to the Panther tank. This gun was 70 calibres long (as opposed to the 49-calibre length of the tank and anti-tank versions of the Pak 40 family) and to house this gun in vehicles such as the Sturmgeschütz III would require considerable modifications. These modifications would take time so it was decided to adapt the larger PzKpfw IV tank chassis to act as a 'fail safe' model.

Design work was soon under way on this new model, which emerged in 1943 as the Jagdpanzer IV Ausf F für 7.5-cm Pak 38 or Panzerjäger 38(f). By the time the first examples were ready the long 7.5-cm guns were earmarked for the Panther tanks and so the first examples had to be content with 48-calibre guns.

The first of these Jagdpanzer IVs appeared in October 1943. They consisted of the well-tried suspension and engine layout of the PzKpfw IV allied to a new armoured carapace with well-sloped sides. This hull was much lower than the hull/turret combination of the tank, and mounted the gun in a well-protected mantlet on the front hull. The result was well liked by the Panzerjäger crews, who appreciated the low silhouette and the well-protected hull, so the Jagdpanzer IV was soon in great demand. The gun was powerful
enough to tackle virtually any enemy tank, and in action the Jagdpanzer IV was soon knocking up appreciable 'kill' totals, especially on the Eastern Front where most were sent. The secondary armament of two 7.92-mm MG34 or MG42 machine-guns also proved highly effective.

Many Panzer commanders considered that the Jagdpanzer IV was good enough in its original form to require no upgrading but Hitler insisted that the change to the long gun had to be made. Thus during 1944 some Jagdpanzer IV mounted the 7.0-litre 7.5-cm Pak 42 equipment with the longer L/70 gun appeared, but the changeover on the production line took too long, too much time and Hitler, who insisted that the changeover to the new gun had to be made even if it meant diverting all PzKpfw IV tank production to that end. Thus a third Jagdpanzer IV appeared, this time a hastily conversion of a basic PzKpfw IV hull to take a form of Jagdpanzer IV with an elongated carapace and mounting the 70-calibre gun. This conversion was known as the Panzer IV/70 Zwischenlosung (interim) and was in production by late 1944.

In service the 70-calibre gun Jagdpanzer IVs proved to be powerful tank killers, but the extra weight of the long gun made the vehicle nose-heavy to the extent that the front road wheels had to be ringed with steel instead of rubber to deal with the extra weight. The gun weight also reduced the overall performance of the vehicle, especially across rough terrain. But by late 1944 and early 1945 such drawbacks had simply had to be overlooked, for the Allies were at the gates of the Reich and anything that could be put into the field was used.

The Jagdpanzer IV proved to be a sound Panzerjäger that enabled the Germans to utilize existing production capacity and maintain the PzKpfw IV line in being when it would otherwise have been phased out. In service the Jagdpanzer IV was a popular vehicle and a powerful tank-killer.

Specification
Jagdpanzer IV mit 7.5-cm Stuk 42
Crew: 4
Weight: 25800 kg (56,879 lb)
Powerplant: one Maybach HL 120 petrol engine developing 197.6 kW (265 hp)
Dimensions: length overall 8.58 m (28 ft 1.8 in); width 2.93 m (9 ft 7.4 in); height overall 1.96 m (6 ft 5.2 in)
Performance: maximum road speed 35 km/h (22 mph); road range 241 km (150 miles); gradient 57 per cent; vertical obstacle 0.6 m (23.6 in); trench 2.3 m (7 ft 6.6 in); fording 1.2 m (3 ft 11 in)

This early production Jagdpanzer IV has the muzzle brake still fitted. Later versions used a much longer 7.5-cm (2.95-in) main gun, but this longer gun rather overloaded the chassis, and later versions also used side armour plates. This Panzerjäger was later considered to be one of the best of its type.

GERMANY

Nashorn

During the mid-war years the German army carried out a large number of hurried improvisations in order to get useful numbers of Panzerjäger onto the field, and some of these improvisations fared better than others. One of these hasty modifications was the adoption of the special weapon-carrier vehicle that had originally been produced to carry the large 15-cm (5.9-in) sFH 18 field howitzer and known as the Geschützwagen III/IV as it was based on the chassis of the PzKpfw IV but used some of the drive components of the PzKpfw III. Despite the great demand for the artillery version of this weapon carrier it was decided to adapt it to carry the large 8.8-cm (3.46-in) Pak 43 anti-tank gun as the 8.8-cm SdKfz 164 Nashorn, with the official name Nashorn (rhinoceros) but Hornisse (hornet) was also widely applied.

The Nashorn was very much one of the 'interim' Panzerjäger designs, for although the gun was mounted behind armour at the front and sides this armour was relatively thin and the top and rear were open. The gun mounting itself was rather high, so the Nashorn had definite combat deficiencies, not the least of which was the problem of concealing the height and bulk of the vehicle on a battlefield. As the changeover had been intended as only a temporary measure, making the stalking of tank targets very difficult. Thus the Nashorn was often used as a 'stand-off weapon' that was able to use the considerable power and long-range accuracy of its gun to pick off targets at ranges of 2000 m (2,187 yards) and more; most of the other Panzerjäger types fought at much closer combat ranges.

The Nashorn carried a crew of five with only the driver under complete armoured protection. The rest of the crew was carried in the open fighting compartment with only a canvas cover to protect it from the elements. Most of the rounds carried were located in lockers along the sides of the open compartment and the gunner was equipped not only with the usual direct sighting devices but also with artillery dial sights for the occasions when the Pak 43 could be used as a long-range artillery weapon. During the latter stages of production the Pak 43 gun was replaced by the similar 3-cm Pak 43/41, a weapon introduced to speed up production of the Pak 43; although it was manufactured differently from the original it was identical as far as ballistics were concerned. The Nashorn carried a machine-gun for local defence and the crew was supposed to be issued with at least two sub-machine guns.

Most Nashorn production was concentrated at the Deutsche Eisenwerke at Teplich-Schönau and Duisburg, and by the time the last of the vehicles rolled off the lines during 1944 473 had been made. In combat the powerful gun made the Nashorn a potent vehicle/weapon combination, but it was really too high and bulky for the Panzerjäger role and only a shortage of anything better at the time maintained the type in production. As it was it was succeeded by the Jagdpanther.

Specification
Nashorn
Crew: 5
Weight: 24400 kg (53,793 lb)
Powerplant: one Maybach HL 120 petrol engine developing 197.6 kW (265 hp)
Dimensions: length overall 8.44 m (27 ft 8.3 in) and hull 5.80 m (19 ft 3.0 in); width 2.86 m (9 ft 4.6 in); height 2.65 m (8 ft 8.3 in)
Performance: maximum road speed 40 km/h (24.8 mph); range 210 km (130.5 miles); gradient 57 per cent; vertical obstacle 0.6 m (23.6 in); trench 2.3 m (7 ft 6.6 in); fording 0.8 m (2 ft 7.5 in)
When the tank that was to become the Tiger was still in its planning stage two concerns, Henschel and Porsche, were in competition for the production contract. The Porsche entry was at one time the more favoured, mainly as a result of Professor Porsche's influence with Hitler, but also because the design featured a radical approach by employing a petrol engine driving electric motors actually propelling the vehicle. However, the Porsche approach proved to be too risky, and the Henschel entry went on to become the PzKpfw VI Tiger.

When the tank that was to become the PzKpfw VI Tiger (P) denoted Porsche, the (P) denoted Porsche. The Porsche entry was at one time the more favoured, mainly as a result of Professor Porsche's influence with Hitler, but also because the design featured a radical approach by employing a petrol engine driving electric motors actually propelling the vehicle. However, the Porsche approach proved to be too risky, and the Henschel entry went on to become the PzKpfw VI Tiger. But by the time the Henschel design was in production, Porsche had decided that the hulls to put them in were also ready for production. It was then decided to place the Porsche design in production for use as a heavy tank-destroyer mounting the new 8.8-cm (3.46-in) Pak 43/2 anti-tank gun, a development of the earlier Flak 18-37 anti-aircraft gun series. (Actually the Pak 43 was virtually a new gun and fired more powerful ammunition than the earlier guns.) The gun would be placed in a large armoured superstructure with limited traverse, and 90 of these vehicles were produced to become the Panzerjäger Tiger (P), later known as either Ferdinand or Elephant. The (P) denoted Porsche.

The Elephants were produced at the Nibelungwerke in something of a hurry during early 1943, the urgency being occasioned by the fact that Hitler demanded them to be ready for the opening of the major campaign of 1943, which was to commence against the Kursk salient on the Eastern Front; the new Panther tanks were also scheduled to make their combat debut in the same battle. Production delays and training the Panzertruppen to use their new charges delayed the start of the offensive until 5 July 1943.

By then the Red Army was more than ready for them. The defences of the Kursk salient were formidable, and the delays had enabled the Red Army to add to their effectiveness in depth so that when the Germans attacked their efforts were of little avail. Where Elephants had been used in the Kursk battles were a dreadful baptism of fire. The Elephants were organized in two battalions (Abteilungen) of Panzerregiment 654, and even before going into action their troubles began. The Elephants had been rushed into use before their many technical bugs had been entirely removed, and many broke down as soon as they started to move forward. Those that did make it to the Soviet lines were soon in trouble, for although the vehicles were fitted with the most powerful anti-tank guns then available they lacked any form of secondary armament for self-defence. Soviet tank-killer infantry squads swarmed all over them and placed charges that either blew off their tracks or otherwise disabled them. The Elephant crews had no way of defending themselves at all and those that could either withdrew or abandoned their vehicles and ran.

Some Elephants did survive Kursk and were later fitted with machine-guns to defend themselves, but the Elephant never recovered from its inauspicious debut. The few that were left were withdrawn to other fronts such as Italy but even there their unreliability and lack of spare parts rendered them useless. Some were captured by the Allies in Italy.

Specification
Elephant
Crew: 6
Weight: 65000 kg (143,300 lb)
Powerplant: two Maybach HL 120 TRM V-12 petrol engines each developing 395.2 kW (530 hp) and driving a twin-engine powerpack driving an electric transmission that did not work too well in service. It was heavy, slow and ponderous, making it more of a heavy assault gun than a Panzerjäger. Most were used in Russia but a few ended up in Italy in 1944.

The Elefant was one of the failures of the German Panzerjäger designers, for despite its main 8.8-cm (3.46-in) gun it was too cumbersome and, more importantly, the first examples lacked any kind of self-defence armament. It was also too complicated and was generally unreliable.

height 2.997 m (9 ft 10 in)
Performance: maximum road speed 20.1 km/h (12.5 mph); road range 153 km (95 miles); gradient 40 per cent; vertical obstacle 0.8 m (31.5 in); trench 2.65 m (8 ft 8 in); fording 1.0 m (3 ft 3 in)

GERMANY
Panzerjäger Tiger (P) Elefant

When the vehicle now known as the Jagdpanther was first produced in February 1944, it marked a definite shift away from the tanklines where Panzerjäger were hasty conversions or improvisations to a point where the tank-destroyer became a purpose-built fighting vehicle and destined to be one of the most famous of all the many armoured fighting vehicles of World War II. The Jagdpanther was first mooted in early 1943, at a time when tank destroyers were required in quantity, and by taking the best available tank chassis it was hoped that production totals would meet demand. Thus the Panther chassis was used virtually unaltered as the basis for the new Panzerjäger. Where Panzerjäger (P) was 43 anti-tank gun was mounted on a well-sloped armoured hull superstructure, with a 7.92-mm (0.312-in) MG34 or MG42 machine-gun for local defence. The prototype, then known as the Panzerjäger Panther, was demonstrated to Hitler in October 1943, and it was Hitler himself who decreed that the name should be changed to Jagdpanther.

The Jagdpanther was one of those vehicles where superlatives could be justifiedly lavished, for it was a superb fighting vehicle and destined to be one of the most famous of all the many World War II armoured fighting vehicles. It was fast and well protected, and it mounted a potent gun, but not content with all that it had about it a definite aura that distinguished it from all its contemporaries. So well balanced was the design that it would not be out of place in any tank park today, 40 years after it first appeared. The Jagdpanther could knock out virtually any enemy tank, including the heavy Soviet IS-2, although for them a side shot was required for a certain kill. At times single Jagdpanthers or small groups of them could hold up Allied armoured advances for considerable periods. Fortunately for the Allies, production of the Jagdpanther never reached the planned rate of 190 per month. By the time the production facilities were overrun during April 1945 only 382 had been completed, a fact for which Allied tank crews must have been very grateful. The main cause of these low production totals was the disruption and damage caused by Allied bomber raids on the two main centres of production, the MIAG plant at Braunschweig and the Brandenburg Eisenwerk Kirchmöser. These disruptions led to their being several variations of Jagdpanther in use. Some had large bolted-on gun mantlets while others had much smaller mantlet collars. Late-production versions used guns built with the barrels in two parts to ease barrel changing when the bores became worn, and the stowage of tools and other bits and pieces on the outside also varied considerably.

The Jagdpanther had a crew of five and there was space inside the well-armoured superstructure for 60 rounds of ammunition. When the war ended plans had been made to produce a new version mounting a 12.8-cm (5.04-in) anti-tank gun, though in the event only a wooden mock-up had been built. But even with the usual 8.8-cm gun the Jagdpanther was truly a formidable tank destroyer that was much feared and respected by Allied tank crews. Few other armoured fighting vehicles of World War II achieved its unique combination of power, lethality, mobility and protection.

Specification
Jagdpanther
Crew: 5
Weight: 46000 kg (101,411 lb)
Powerplant: one Maybach HL 230 petrol engine developing 447.4-522.0 kW (600-700 hp)
Dimensions: length overall 8.128 m (26 ft 6 in); width 3.378 m (11 ft 1 in); height 2.997 m (9 ft 10 in)
Performance: maximum road speed 55 km/h (34.2 mph); road range 153 km (95 miles); gradient 40 per cent; vertical obstacle 0.8 m (31.5 in); trench 2.65 m (8 ft 8 in); fording 1.0 m (3 ft 3 in)
By 1943 it was an established German policy that as soon as any new tank design became available, a fixed-superstructure version mounting a limited-traverse gun would be produced. Thus when the massive Tiger II or Königstiger (King Tiger) appeared, a corresponding Panzerjäger was developed. An iron mock-up development model of this super-heavy tank destroyer appeared in October 1943, and production began during 1944 under the designation Panzerjäger Tiger Ausf B, more commonly known as the Jagdtiger.

With the Jagdtiger the Germans produced the heaviest and most powerful armoured vehicle of World War II. The Jagdtiger had an official weight of no less than 70000 kg (154,324 lb) but by the time extra combat equipment and a full load of ammunition plus the crew of six had been added the weight rose to around 76000 kg (167,551 lb). Much of this weight was attributable to the armour, which was no less than 250 mm (9.84 in) thick on the front of the superstructure. The main armament was originally a 12.8-cm (5.04-in) Pak 44 anti-tank gun, but this was later changed to the similar Pak 80 and at one time a shortage of these guns caused by Allied bomber raids meant that the much smaller 8.8-cm (3.46-in) Pak 43/3 had to be used. The 12.8-cm guns were the most powerful anti-tank weapons used by any side during World War II, and the large size of its ammunition meant that each Jagdtiger could carry only 38 or 40 rounds. The defensive armament was two 7.92-mm (0.312-in) machine-guns.

Without a doubt the Jagdtiger was a massive and powerful vehicle as far as weapon power and protection were concerned, but in respect of mobility it could be regarded only as ponderous. It was driven by the same engine as that used in the Jagdpanther, but this engine had to drive the much greater weight of the Jagdtiger and to do this had usually to be driven full out, considerably increasing the fuel consumption and reducing range. When moving across country the Jagdtiger had a speed of only 14.5 km/h (9 mph) and often less, and the maximum possible cross-country range was only 120 km (74.5 miles). This reduced the Jagdtiger from being a true Panzerjäger to a sort of mobile defensive pill-box, but by the time the Jagdtiger was in service the Germans were fighting a defensive war so the lack of mobility was not so desperate as it once might have been.

The production line for the Jagdtiger was at the Nibelungwerk at St Valentin where total production ran to only 70 vehicles, as a result mainly of the disruption caused by Allied bombing, not only at the factories but in the raw material supply lines. By the time the war ended two types of Jagdtiger were to be encountered, one with Henschel suspension and later versions with an extra road axle and Porsche suspension. In both forms the Jagdtigers were p ponderous to an extreme and although on paper they were the most heavily armed and protected of all the armoured fighting vehicles used during World War II (and for many years afterwards) they remained considerably underpowered, a fact that rendered them little more than mobile weapon platforms.

**Specification**
- **Jagdtiger**
  - **Crew:** 6
  - **Weight:** 76000 kg (167,551 lb)
  - **Powerplant:** one Maybach HL 230 petrol engine developing 447.4-522.0kW (600-700 hp)
  - **Dimensions:** length overall 10.654 m (34 ft 11.4 in); width 3.625 m (11 ft 10.7 in); height 2.945 m (9 ft 8 in)
  - **Performance:** maximum speed 34.6 km/h (21.5 mph); road range 170 km (105 miles); gradient 70 per cent; vertical obstacle 0.85 m (33.5 in); trench 3.0 m (9 ft 10 in); fording 1.65 m (5 ft 5 in)

The massive Jagdtiger with its 128-mm (5.04-in) gun was a powerful weapon, but it was underpowered and too heavy to be anything other than a purely defensive weapon. Not many were made before the war ended, but the 250-mm (9.84-in) frontal armour made it a difficult vehicle to knock out.

**Germany**

**Jagdtiger**

**Italy**

**Semovente L.40 da 47/32**

During World War II the Italians were never noted for dramatic innovations as far as armoured vehicle design was concerned. However, in one aspect they were abreast of tactical thinking elsewhere for they became interested in the tank-destroyer concept during the late 1930s. At that time they produced an intriguing design known as the Semovente L.3 da 47/32 mounting a 47-mm (1.85-m) anti-tank gun with a barrel 32 calibres long (hence 47/32). The L.3 mounted the gun on an open

The Italian Semovente L.3 da 47/32 was an early attempt to mount an anti-tank gun on a light tank chassis, and was much used for trials and various gunnery tests. It generally lacked protection and was later replaced by better designs.
were asked to get their Semovente 8.8-cm Flak series the Italians would be similar to that of the Semovente M.41.40 rounds were carried in a trailer and another tank that used a box-like superstructure was later widely used as a 'stand-off weapon, instead be used as a mobile command post or ammunition carrier. The Semovente L.40 da 47/32 was used in some numbers by the Italian and German armies, and was a conversion of the L.6/40 light tank to take the powerful Italian 47-mm (1.85-in) anti-tank gun. Its box-like superstructure was later widely used to act as a mobile command post or ammunition carrier.

**Performance:**
- Maximum road speed: 42.3 km/h (26.3 mph); road range: 200 km (124 miles); gradient: 84 per cent; vertical obstacle: 0.8 m (31.5 in); trench: 1.7 m (5 ft 7 in); fording: 0.8 m (31.5 in)

The Semovente L.40 da 47/32 was used in some numbers by the Italian and later the German armies, and was a conversion of the L.6/40 light tank to take the powerful Italian 47-mm (1.85-in) anti-tank gun. Its box-like superstructure was later widely used as a mobile command post or ammunition carrier.
During the late 1930s and early 1940s the US Army formulated a novel tactical doctrine, whereby fast-moving armoured formations were to be countered by a new tank destroyer force comprising towed and self-propelled high-speed anti-tank guns. This tank destroyer force was to be used en masse and was to be armed with powerful guns, and one of the first operational results of this doctrine was the Gun Motor Carriage M10 (76.2-mm) gun in an open-topped turret. The armour protection was relatively thin, as the weight of better armour was sacrificed for all-round performance and speed once in action.

Above: The American M18 was designed to be the main weapon of the Tank Destroyer Command’s mobile units, and mounted a 3-in (76.2-mm) gun in an open-topped turret. The armour protection was relatively thin, as the weight of better armour was sacrificed for all-round performance and speed once in action.

The M10 used the main chassis of the M4A2 medium tank (the Sherman) and was armed with a 76.2-mm (3-in) gun mounted in the M10A1 turret. The M10A1 was in use as a tank destroyer by the US Army during the early days of World War II, and it was used as the main weapon of the US tank destroyer battalions. The M10A1 was later replaced by the M18 Hellcat, which was armed with a 76.2-mm (3-in) gun and had a more powerful engine.

In service the M10 proved to be less than a complete success, for despite its thin armour it was a large and bulky vehicle and as time went on the gun lost much of its anti-armour effectiveness. But the M10s were still in use when the war ended. By then the British had re-gunned many of their M10s with 17-pdr guns and re-named the type Achilles.

The M18 Hellcat had the distinction of being the fastest of all AFVs used during World War II. Armed with a long 76-mm (3-in) gun, it was an ideal tank-hunting vehicle, but as with other vehicles of its type it generally lacked armour and was fitted with an open-topped turret.

The M18 had the distinction of being the fastest of all AFVs used during World War II. Armed with a long 76-mm (3-in) gun, it was an ideal tank-hunting vehicle, but as with other vehicles of its type it generally lacked armour and was fitted with an open-topped turret.

The American M18 proved to be one of the best examples of the American tank destroyer concept. It was much smaller than the M10 and weighed only about half as much, but it carried a more powerful gun and was much faster. Indeed, the M18 was the fastest tracked vehicle to be used in action during World War II. The gun was the 76.2-mm (3-in) M1A1 or M1A2, the latter having a muzzle brake. The M1A1 gun was a development of the gun used in the M10, but had a better all-round performance and it was mounted in an open-topped turret. In appearance the M18 resembled a tank, and at 9.6 metric tons it was indeed more than would be expected in a tank and the M18 relied upon its mobility and striking power to defend itself. The engine was positioned to the rear of the hull and was a radial air-cooled petrol engine with aviation origins that was powerful enough to give the M18 a good power-to-weight ratio to provide the vehicle with excellent acceleration and agility. Internal storage was such that as well as carrying the crew of five men there was space for 45 76.2-mm rounds and a 12.7-mm (0.5-in) heavy machine-gun for local and anti-aircraft defence.

In service with the tank destroyer battalions the M18 was given the name Latein the war the M1O(left) was supplemented by the M36 (right), which used a 90-mm (3.54-in) gun, still in an open-topped turret. The M36 was designed as early as 1942 but took a long time to get into production, so that it was late 1944 before the first of them reached Europe. By then they were mainly used as assault guns.

**Specification**

**M10**

**Crew:** 5

**Weight:** 29,937 kg (66,000 lb)

**Powerplant:** Two General Motors 6-cylinder diesel engines each developing 276.6 kW (375 hp)

**Performance:**
- **Maximum road speed:** 51 km/h (32 mph)
- **Road range:** 322 km (200 miles)
- **Gradient:** 25°
- **Vertical obstacle:** 0.46 m (18 in)
- **Trench:** 2.26 m (7 ft 5 in)
- **Fording:** 0.91 m (3 ft)

**USA**

3-in Gun Motor Carriage M18

Whereas the M10 was produced for the tank destroyer battalions by converting an existing tank chassis (the M4A2), the Gun Motor Carriage M18 was designed from the outset for the tank destructor role. Development began during 1942, and the first examples were ready during 1943.

In service the M18 proved to be one of the best examples of the American tank destructor concept. It was much smaller than the M10 and weighed only about half as much, but it carried a more powerful gun and was much faster. Indeed, the M18 was the fastest tracked vehicle to be used in action during World War II. The gun was the 76.2-mm (3-in) M1A1 or M1A2, the latter having a muzzle brake. The M1A1 gun was a development of the gun used in the M10, but had a better all-round performance and it was mounted in an open-topped turret. In appearance the M18 resembled a tank, and it did indeed have a 360° traverse turret, but its armour protection was much less than would be expected in a tank and the M18 relied upon its mobility and striking power to defend itself. The engine was positioned to the rear of the hull and was a radial air-cooled petrol engine with aviation origins that was powerful enough to give the M18 a good power-to-weight ratio to provide the vehicle with excellent acceleration and agility. Internal storage was such that as well as carrying the crew of five men there was space for 45 76.2-mm rounds and a 12.7-mm (0.5-in) heavy machine-gun for local and anti-aircraft defence.

In service with the tank destructor battalions the M18 was given the name Latein the war the M1O(left) was supplemented by the M36 (right), which used a 90-mm (3.54-in) gun, still in an open-topped turret. The M36 was designed as early as 1942 but took a long time to get into production, so that it was late 1944 before the first of them reached Europe. By then they were mainly used as assault guns.

**Performance:**
- **Maximum road speed:** 51 km/h (32 mph)
- **Road range:** 322 km (200 miles)
- **Gradient:** 25°
- **Vertical obstacle:** 0.46 m (18 in)
- **Trench:** 2.26 m (7 ft 5 in)
- **Fording:** 0.91 m (3 ft)

**USA**

3-in Gun Motor Carriage M18

Whereas the M10 was produced for the tank destroyer battalions by converting an existing tank chassis (the M4A2), the Gun Motor Carriage M18 was designed from the outset for the tank destructor role. Development began during 1942, and the first examples were ready during 1943.

In service the M18 proved to be one of the best examples of the American tank destroyer concept. It was much smaller than the M10 and weighed only about half as much, but it carried a more powerful gun and was much faster. Indeed, the M18 was the fastest tracked vehicle to be used in action during World War II. The gun was the 76.2-mm (3-in) M1A1 or M1A2, the latter having a muzzle brake. The M1A1 gun was a development of the gun used in the M10, but had a better all-round performance and it was mounted in an open-topped turret. In appearance the M18 resembled a tank, and it did indeed have a 360° traverse turret, but its armour protection was much less than would be expected in a tank and the M18 relied upon its mobility and striking power to defend itself. The engine was positioned to the rear of the hull and was a radial air-cooled petrol engine with aviation origins that was powerful enough to give the M18 a good power-to-weight ratio to provide the vehicle with excellent acceleration and agility. Internal storage was such that as well as carrying the crew of five men there was space for 45 76.2-mm rounds and a 12.7-mm (0.5-in) heavy machine-gun for local and anti-aircraft defence.

In service with the tank destructor battalions the M18 was given the name Latein the war the M1O(left) was supplemented by the M36 (right), which used a 90-mm (3.54-in) gun, still in an open-topped turret. The M36 was designed as early as 1942 but took a long time to get into production, so that it was late 1944 before the first of them reached Europe. By then they were mainly used as assault guns.

**Performance:**
- **Maximum road speed:** 51 km/h (32 mph)
- **Road range:** 322 km (200 miles)
- **Gradient:** 25°
- **Vertical obstacle:** 0.46 m (18 in)
- **Trench:** 2.26 m (7 ft 5 in)
- **Fording:** 0.91 m (3 ft)
Helldog. Despite their success in action the M18s were gradually switched from the tank destroyer battalions as the enthusiasm for the exclusive tank destroyer concept dwindled, and by 1945 many M18s were used by conventional armoured formations within the US Army. By then they were being used more and more as assault guns and conventional self-propelled artillery.

The production run of the M18 lasted from July 1943 to October 1944, when it was obvious that the war was not going to last much longer. Between those dates 2,507 M18s were produced, some being completed without turrets as the M29 for use as high-speed troop or supply carriers. There was also a T65 Flame Tank based on the M18 with a much revised upper hull mounting a flame gun in front. The T88 Howitzer Motor Carriage was an attempt to mount a 105-mm (4.13-in) howitzer on the basic M18 and there were other attempts to mount a 90-mm (3.54-in) gun and turret on the chassis. None of these versions got past the experimental stage, a fate shared by many other trial versions of the basic M18 including a mobile command post, a utility carrier and an amphibious variant.

**Specification**

- **M18**
  - Crew: 5
  - Weight: 17036 kg (37,557 lb)
  - Powerplant: one Continental R-975 C1 radial petrol engine developing 253.5 kW (340 hp)
  - Dimensions: length overall 6.65 m (21 ft 10 in); height 2.58 m (8 ft 5 in); width 2.87 m (9 ft 5 in); height 2.58 m (8 ft 5 in)
  - Performance: maximum road speed 88.5 km/h (55 mph); roadrange 169 km (105 miles); gradient 60 per cent; vertical obstacle 0.91 m (36 in); trench 1.88 m (6 ft 2 in); fording 1.22 m (4 ft)

- **M18 Hellcats went out of production in October 1944 after 2,507 had been built. The M18 was the only vehicle specifically designed for the US Army’s tank destroyer role, and was a most successful combat vehicle capable of tackling all but the very heaviest German tanks.**

**Archers**

Although the British army tended to lag behind the Germans in upgunning its tanks as World War II progressed, an early decision by British planners to make a quantum leap in anti-tank gun calibres from the 57-mm (2.244-in) of the 6-pdr to 76.2 mm (3 in) was a bold one, for it was made at a time when the 6-pdr was just getting into production. It was realized that the new 76.2-mm gun, soon to be known as the 17-pdr, would be a very large and heavy weapon on its towed carriage, so it was decided to find some means of making it mobile. Ideally the 17-pdr was to be used as a tank gun, but the tanks large enough to carry such a large weapon were still a long way off (indeed had not even left the drawing boards) so a short-term alternative had to be found.

After investigating such in-production means as the Crusader tank chassis it was decided to mount the 17-pdr on the Valentine infantry tank chassis. The Valentine was in production and could be rapidly adapted for its new gun-carrying role by adding a sloping superstructure, open at the top, on the forward part of the hull. To ensure the tank-chassis combination would not be nose-heavy and unwieldy, it was decided to place the gun in a limited-traverse-mounting facing over the rear of the chassis. This vehicle was obviously meant to be a tank destroyer and it was placed in production in late 1943.

It was March 1943 before the first SP 17-pdr Valentine rolled off the production line, the initial example of 800 that had been ordered. The troops looked at the new vehicle with some trepidation, for the idea of having a gun that faced to the rear only was against established practice. Drivers were even less than enchanted, for they were positioned at the centre front of the fighting compartment and the gun breech was directly behind their heads; on firing the breech block came to within a short distance of the back of the driver’s head. The rest of the crew was made up of the gun layer, the commander and the loader. Protective fire could be supplied by one 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Bren gun.

It was October 1944 before the first of these Valentine/17-pdr combinations reached the fighting in Europe. By then the type had become known as the Archer, and in action the Archer’s tank-killing capabilities were soon demonstrated. The rearward-facing gun was soon seen to be no problem, but rather a virtue. The Archer was soon in use as an ambush weapon where its low silhouette made it easy to conceal in a hide. As enemy tanks approached a few shots could be fired to kill a tank and then the Archer was facing the right way to make a quick getaway before enemy retaliation arrived. The Archers were used by the anti-tank companies of the Royal Artillery, and they were definitely preferred to the weight and bulk of the towed 17-pdr guns used by the same companies.

The end of the war brought about a halt in Archer production at a point where 655 of the original order for 800 had been produced. The Archers went on to equip British army anti-tank units until the mid-1950s.

**Specification**

- **Archers**
  - Crew: 4
  - Weight: 16257 kg (35,840 lb)
  - Powerplant: one General Motors 6-71 6-cylinder diesel developing 145.2 kW (192 hp)
  - Dimensions: length overall 11.08 m (36 ft 2 in); hull 5.34 m (17 ft 7 in); width 2.76 m (9 ft 1 in); height 2.25 m (7 ft 4 in)
  - Performance: maximum road speed 32.2 km/h (20 mph); roadrange 225 km (140 miles); gradient 32%; vertical obstacle 0.84 m (33 in); trench 2.36 m (7 ft 9 in); fording 0.91 m (3 ft)

The British Archer was a conversion of the Valentine infantry tank to mount a 17-pdr (3-in/76.2-mm) anti-tank gun that fired over the rear hull. The first of them were used in action in late 1944 and proved to be very useful weapons with a low silhouette. They were used by the Royal Artillery.
Modern armies can call upon a whole family of specialist armoured vehicles for combat engineering operations, but in World War II such vehicles were a novelty. Several nations developed tanks for roles, such as armoured recovery, but Britain led the way with a bewildering variety of tank conversions known as 'Funnies'.

The contents of this section show something of an imbalance in comparison with the contents of other sections in this book, for they deal mainly with the many types of special purpose vehicles used by the British 79th Armoured Division. For once there is no preponderance of US designs because during World War II the Americans spent little of their considerable potential on the types of vehicle included here. They concentrated on combat vehicles pure and simple, and from the factories of the United States poured streams of combat tanks and all manner of fighting vehicles.

But it was a different matter once these vehicles reached Europe. Once they had arrived many of them were reworked for special purposes, which included developments ranging from armoured engineer vehicles to mine-clearing tanks of several types. The situation was different in Europe as far as the British were concerned: they had a special task to perform, namely the invasion of Europe in order to take on the German army on the continent. The only way they could do that was by using special vehicles of all kinds: those which could clear battlefield obstacles, recover precious disabled vehicles and perform special tasks such as burning out stubborn strongpoints. The Germans and Americans did not bother to use specialist vehicles on such a large scale. Instead they decided to make do with what they had, and they often suffered accordingly. For the simple fact is that many of the special purpose vehicles included in this section actually saved lives. Combat engineers operating from inside the protection of an armoured vehicle were much safer in action than hapless soldiers attempting to work out in the open, while men using mine-clearing tanks of whatever type were safer than men using manual clearing methods.

However, not all special purpose vehicles fell into this category. Those described in this section include command vehicles, ammunition or cargo carriers, and even such oddities as the Rammtiger, which was supposed to knock down buildings in urban warfare. They are a motley bunch but full of interest and a subject worthy of study in its own right.
Demolition charges

The demolition charges used by the British 'Funnies' were nearly all carried by Churchill AVREs. For the placement of these special powerful charges was one of the tasks for which the AVRE was intended. The charges themselves were special obstacle-dismantling packs of high explosive that had to be placed against the target, which might be anything from a sea or anti-tank wall to a blockhouse or an offending building. Sometimes the charges were large single chunks of explosive, and in others they were small charges set in a pattern and held in a steel frame. One thing all the various charges did have in common and that was odd and even bizarre names.

One of the more straightforward of these charge devices was the Bangalore Torpedo. These pipe charges were intended for mine- or barbed wire-clearing, but could be used for other purposes and on the AVRE they were held in front-mounted frames, also used for the Jones Onion. The Jones Onion first appeared in 1942 and was the codename given to a frame onto which various charges could be attached. The frame was carried on two arms, one on each side of the AVRE, and was held upright as the target was approached. Once in position the frame was released by pulling on a cable and two legs on the bottom of the frame were so arranged that the frame always fell against the target obstacle. The charges could then be fired electrically by a trailing cable after the AVRE had reversed away. The side-mounted arms could then be jettisoned if required.

Another device that appeared in 1942 was the Carrot. This was deemed a simpler device than the large Onion and consisted of a charge held in front of the AVRE on a simple steel arm. The idea was that the AVRE simply moved up to the target and the charge was then ignited. The charges involved ranged in weight from 5.44 kg (12 lb) up to 11.34 kg (25 lb), the smaller charge rejoicing in the name of Light Carrot. The Carrot was used extensively for trials but was abandoned during late 1943 and was not used in action.

However, the Goat was used in action. This may be considered as a development of the Onion but it was much larger and involved the use of a frame 3.2 m (10 ft 6 in) wide and 1.98 m (6 ft 6 in) long. Onto this frame could be arranged up to 816 kg (1,800 lb) of explosive, and the whole device was carried on the AVRE by side arms. The Goat was so arranged that it could be fired against the structure to be demolished and the frame would then automatically release in a vertical position. The AVRE would then reverse away leaving the charges in position to be fired either electrically or by means of a pull igniter. A close cousin of the Goat was the Elevatable Goat.

This was intended for use against high obstacles such as anti-tank walls, and when fitted on the AVRE was carried on the nose of the hull rather like an assault bridge. The 'bridge' was in fact a frame on which linked charges were slung. The frame was placed against the wall to be demolished and then released from the AVRE. Once in position another release cable allowed the linked charges to fall away from the frame. The top section of the frame was above the top of the wall, and this allowed the charges to fall onto each side of the wall, which could then be destroyed once the AVRE had moved away.

Bangalore Torpedo tanks

The Bangalore Torpedo is an ancient combat engineering device that was revived during World War I for clearing barbed-wire entanglements. In its simplest form the Bangalore Torpedo is a metal tube filled with explosive and sealed at both ends. Most types have attachment points at each end to enable other torpedoes to be joined to make up extra lengths. The charges are set off either by a burning fuse or by some form of remote detonator. These torpedoes were soon in use by armoured combat engineers to clear paths through minefields, and simple delivery devices such as that fitted to the front of a Churchill AVRE were soon devised.

However, the normal Bangalore Torpedo is only about 1.5 m (5 ft) long, and armoured engineers were often called upon to bridge minefields many metres deep. It would obviously save time and effort if longer torpedoes could be joined up to clear paths through deep minefields, and this course of action was followed to produce the 76.2-mm (3-in) Snake. On the Snake the lengths of explosive-filled

The Snake was a form of Bangalore Torpedo used to clear large minefields. Seen here carried on a Churchill, the Snake was assembled on the edge of a minefield, pushed across by the tank and then detonated to clear a path.
tubing or pipe were 6.1 m (20 ft) long and could be joined together into lengths of up to 366 m (1,200 ft) to enable them to be pulled across a minefield and then detonated to clear a path up to 6.4 m (21 ft) wide. It was better if the Snake tubing could be pushed across a minefield, but when this happened the lengths involved were less. Only from the start of production could the tubing be pushed forward in front of a tank with any degree of control. The tanks involved with Snake were usually Shermans, and the Crab system was developed, a device to follow ground contours and enable the flail drum to rise and fall accordingly. Crabs were used by the 1st Armoured Division and later a number were handed over to the US Army for use in North West Europe. The main advantages of the Crab system were that it was very effective in its own right, and also permitted the carrier to retain its turret and main gun, enabling it to be used as a gun tank if the occasion arose. Needless to say there were many other experimental models of mine flails. One was the Lobster, a device that came chronologically before the Crab but was not accepted for service. The Pram Scorpion was an off-shoot of the Lobster with the drum drive coming from gears on the front sprockets of the carrier tank. Again, it was passed over in favour of the Crab.

The Americans did not spend much development time on mine flails. Instead they concentrated on anti-mine rollers and when they did require flails, as they did when they encountered the large defensive mine belts along the German borders in the winter of 1944-5, they used numbers of from the trailer the explosive was detonated to clear any mines that might have been missed by the rollers. The 15.2 m (50 ft) of hose nearest to the towing tank was filled with sand for safety purposes. Perhaps the smallest of the Bangalore-type devices was the Flying Bangalore. This was used on Shermans fitted with CIRD rollers and was intended for barbed-wire clearing. Each of the CIRD arms carried a Bangalore Torpedo fitted with a rocket motor. The rockets carried the Bangalores across the wire and as they landed small grapnels held the torpedoes against the wire for exploding.

The Sherman Crab was the most widely-used mine flail tank of World War II. Although fitted to other types of tank, the Sherman was the preferred carrier. The odd-looking device at the hull rear is a stationary keeping marker to guide other Hail tanks.

The development work carried out in the UK resulted in a device known as the Crab which was usually fitted to Sherman tanks to produce the Sherman Crab. The Crab had 43 chains mounted on a drum powered by a take-off from the main engine and had such features as side-mounted wire-cutting discs to hack through barbed-wire entanglements, screens to shield the front from flying dust and debris and, later, in the Crab's development, a device to follow ground contours and enable the flail drum to rise and fall accordingly. Crabs were used by the 79th Armoured Division and later a number were handed over to the US Army for use in North West Europe. The main advantages of the Crab system were that it was very effective in its own right, and also permitted the carrier to retain its turret and main gun, enabling it to be used as a gun tank if the occasion arose. The theirs to a point close to the target, and here the crew assembled the Snake, which was then pushed into position across the minefield and detonated. Although Snake was used for training and trials it was not used operationally. The Conger was towed behind a Churchill AVRE or a Sherman in an engineless Universal Carrier that carried a rocket, a length of hose and a tank of liquid explosive. The rocket carried the hose across the minefield, and when in place the hose was filled with the liquid explosive and detonated. The Tapeworm was another hose device that was carried in a trailer to the edge of a minefield where it was deposited. A tank with a CIRD (Canadian Indestructible Roller Device) then moved across the minefield and as it proceeded the tank pulled the explosive-filled hose across the minefield. When the full length of hose (457 m/500 yards) had been pulled out, for the early flails had demonstrated some unwelcome traits, Among these were uneven beating patterns that left unbeaten patches, and flail chains that either became tangled and useless or simply beat themselves to pieces. Another problem became apparent on uneven ground, where the flails were unable to beat into sudden dips.

The development work carried out in the UK resulted in a device known as the Crab which was usually fitted to Sherman tanks to produce the Sherman Crab. The Crab had 43 chains mounted on a drum powered by a take-off from the main engine and had such features as side-mounted wire-cutting discs to hack through barbed-wire entanglements, screens to shield the front from flying dust and debris and, later, in the Crab's development, a device to follow ground contours and enable the flail drum to rise and fall accordingly. Crabs were used by the 79th Armoured Division and later a number were handed over to the US Army for use in North West Europe. The main advantages of the Crab system were that it was very effective in its own right, and also permitted the carrier to retain its turret and main gun, enabling it to be used as a gun tank if the occasion arose. Needless to say there were many other experimental models of mine flails. One was the Lobster, a device that came chronologically before the Crab but was not accepted for service. The Pram Scorpion was an off-shoot of the Scorpion with the drum drive coming from gears on the front sprockets of the carrier tank. Again, it was passed over in favour of the Crab.

The Americans did not spend much development time on mine flails. Instead they concentrated on anti-mine rollers and when they did require flails, as they did when they encountered the large defensive mine belts along the German borders in the winter of 1944-5, they used numbers of
One of the hard lessons learned during the Dieppe raid of 1942 was that the Canadian engineers were unable to proceed with their obstacle demolition and general beach-clearing because of a complete lack of cover from enemy fire. In the period after the raid a Canadian engineer officer put forward the idea of using a tank converted to the combat engineer role that could carry engineers to the point at which they had to operate, and be capable of carrying a heavy demolition weapon. This would enable the combat engineers to operate from under armoured cover and would also enable them to operate in close cooperation with armoured formations.

The idea was accepted, and after some deliberation the Churchill tank was selected as the basic vehicle for conversion. The task consisted mainly of completely stripping out the interior of the Churchill tank and removing the main armament. The interior was completely rearranged to provide stowage for the various items of combat engineers equipment, and to use, such as demolition explosives, special tools, mines etc. The main turret was retained but in place of the normal gun a special device known as a Petard was fitted. This was a spigot mortar that fired a 290-mm (11.4-in) demolition charge known to the troops from its general shape as the ‘Flying Dustbin’. The Petard projectile weighed 18.14 kg (40 lb) and could be fired to a range of 73 m (80 yards) to demolish structures such as pillboxes, bunkers and buildings. The Petard could be reloaded from within the vehicle.

The Churchill version was known as the Churchill AVRE (Armoured Vehicle Royal Engineers) and it quickly became the standard equipment of the armoured engineers attached to formations such as the 79th Armoured Division and the assault brigades, RE. As well as providing protection for combat engineers, the AVRE was soon in demand to carry all manner of special equipment.

The Churchill versions used for the AVRE were the Mk III and Mk IV. Many of the conversions were carried out using specially-produced kits, some by industry and some by REME workshops. The conversions included brackets and other attachment points around the hull to which items of special equipment could be fixed. A hook at the rear was used to tow a special AVRE sledge for carrying combat stores.

The AVREs were first used on a large scale during the Normandy landings of June 1944, where they excelled themselves to such an extent that the AVRE has been with the Royal Engineers ever since, the current in-service version being the Centurion Mk V AVRE. The Churchill AVRE remained in service until the mid-1950s and even later with some units. They were used to carry fascines, lay mats across soft ground, demolish strong points with their Petard mortars, bring forward combat engineering stores, place heavy demolition charges and generally make themselves useful.

**Specification**

**Churchill AVRE**

- **Crew**: 6
- **Weight**: 38 tons
- **Powerplant**: one Bedford Twin-Six petrol engine developing 261 kW (350 hp)
- **Dimensions**: length 7.67 m (25 ft 2 in); width 3.25 m (10 ft 8 in); height 2.79 m (9 ft 3 in)
- **Performance**: maximum road speed 24.9 km/h (15.5 mph); maximum road range 193 km (120 miles)
- **Armament**: one Petard mortar, and one 7.92-mm (0.312-in) Besamachine-gun

Dustbin. The Petard projectile was supposed to dazzle an enemy by providing a flickering impression to an observer in front. This flickering made the range of the CDL difficult to determine, and anyway the light was so powerful that it was difficult to look into the beam even at quite long ranges.

Some 300 CDL turrets were ordered to convert Matildas to the CDL role, and one brigade of Matilda CDL vehicles was based in the UK and another in North Africa. The military planners determined to use the impact of the CDL units to the full and constantly awaited the chance to use them to maximum effect. That chance somehow never came and the North African campaign was over before the CDLs could prove their worth. However the Normandy landings lay ahead, and it was planned to use the CDLs there. But at the same time it was felt that the CDL turrets should be placed on something rather more up-to-date than the slow and stately Matildas, so Grant tanks became the chosen carriers for the CDL.

**The Grant CDL (Canal Defence Light) was a special vehicle mounting a turret in which was located a powerful searchlight that was supposed to dazzle an enemy during night operations or illuminate targets at night.**
post-June 1944 campaigns. But once again the chance to use the Grant CDL in combat never arrived. Instead the CDLs were used for the relatively unexciting task of providing ‘artificial moonlight’ to illuminate the crossings of the Rhine and Elbe in early 1945.

Thus the CDL was carried throughout the war but never used. However, the idea certainly attracted attention. The US Army was most impressed by what it saw of the CDL at various demonstrations and decided to adopt the CDL for itself, and thus produced 355 CDL turrets for mounting on otherwise obsolete M3 Lee tanks. These were used to equip seven tank battalions for special operations in Europe. The cover name T10 Shop Tractor was used for US CDL vehicles, but once again the CDL was destined not to be used in combat. As with the British the Americans awaited the right moment to use their lights and the war ended before that could happen.

**UK**

The ARK bridging tanks were only one type of armoured bridging vehicles used by the Allies during World War II. The British army had for long had an interest in producing bridging tanks and actually produced its first such equipment during the latter stages of World War I. In the years just before World War II it carried out a great deal of experimental work and one of its main achievements was a scissors-type bridge carried on and laid by a Covenanter tank. During the early war years this work had to be put aside in favour of more pressing things until the 1942 Dieppe landing emphasized the need for armoured bridging vehicles, not only to cross wet or dry gaps, but to enable other vehicles to cross obstacles such as sea walls.

It was the 79th Armoured Division that produced the first ARK (Armoured Ramp Carrier) in late 1943. Known as the ARK Mk I, this was a conversion of a Churchill tank with the turret removed and a blanking plate (with an access hatch in the centre) welded over the turret aperture. Over the top of the body being used as the roadway instead.

The ARK Mk I was soon supplanted by the ARK Mk II (Italian Pattern). There were numerous variations on the basic ARK design. One was a raised ramp system carried on a Churchill and known as the Churchill Great Eastern, but that project was discontinued. Some Shermans were converted in Italy to what was roughly the equivalent of the ARK Mk II, but the numbers involved were not large.

The 8th Army in Italy produced its own ARK Mk Us, but made them much simpler by omitting the trackways over the Churchill tank, the tank tracks and the top of the body being used as the roadway instead. These versions were known as the ARK Mk II Italian Pattern.

Another system, known as the Churchill Woodlark, was generally similar to the ARK Mk II but went into action with the ramps closed down: they were meant to be opened up into position by the use of rockets on the end of each ramp and more rockets were used to soften the shock of the ramps hitting the ground. The type did not pass the trials stage.

No data can be provided regarding the Churchill conversions but a Churchill ARK Mk II had a crew of four and weighed 38.5 tons. Most conversions were made using Churchill Mk IIs and Mk IVs.

**Specification**

Matilda CDL
Crew: 3 or 4
Weight: 26 tons
Powerplant: two Leyland E148/E149 diesel engines each developing 70.8kW (95hp)

A Churchill ARK Mk I is shown with its approach ramp raised. These vehicles were supposed to be driven up against anti-tank walls as far as possible, to enable other vehicles to be driven up and over the roadway carried above their tracks.

**Matilda CDL**

**UK**

Two ARK Mk IIs are used to allow other vehicles to cross a deep ravine. The first ARK was driven into the ravine and the second ARK was then driven onto it, after which its ramps were lowered to form a bridge. The ravine was formed by the River Senio in Italy, April 1945.
**UK**

**Fascine and mat-laying devices**

The fascine is an item of combat engineering equipment that dates back to ancient times, and for armoured warfare the type was resurrected during World War I to be dropped by tanks taking part in the Battle of Cambrai. At that time they were used traditionally, being dropped into trenches to allow other tanks to cross, and they were used for the same purpose during World War II. The advantage of the fascine for the combat engineer is that he can make them on the spot when they are required. The usual method was to cut brushwood and tie it into large bundles 3.35m (11 ft) long. These bundles were tied into rolls between 1.83 m (6 ft) and 2.44 m (8 ft) in diameter and pulled onto wooden or steel cradles on the front of the tank. They were then held in place by cables that could be released from within the carrier tank. The main disadvantage was that the fascines usually restricted the driver’s vision so that a crew member had to position himself to give driving instructions. Attempts were made to use periscopes to overcome this drawback but in the end the solution was found by redesigning the form of fascine cradle.

A type of fascine could also be used to make an assault roadway over soft or rough ground. This was formed by rolling up lengths of chespaling joined together by wire, rather like a length of fencing. A Churchill AVRE would carry this roll into position, where one end of the roll could be placed under the front tracks. As the AVRE moved forward it unrolled the mat and rolled over it to allow other vehicles to use the rough roadway so formed. Rolls of up to 30.5m (100ft) could be laid using this method, and more durable roadways could be produced by using a similar arrangement involving logs tied together (Log Carpet).

These chespaling or log roadways were intended for heavy use, but for assault purposes hessian mats were also employed. These mats were carried in front of a Churchill AVRE on bobbins held by side arms or (on one model) above a Churchill AVRE Carpet layer turret. There were two main types: the Bobbin Carpet unrolled a hessian mat reinforced by chespaling at intervals that was wide enough to cover the full width of a tank; the other was only wide enough to cover a track width. Both were intended to cover wire obstacles to allow foot soldiers or wheeled vehicles to cross and the first of them was used during the Dieppe raid of 1942. On all types the bobbin could be jettisoned once it was empty or in an emergency.

Most of these fascine- or mat-laying devices were carried on Churchill AVREs, but Shermans were also used. In fact a special fascine carrier, known as the Crib, was developed for the Sherman. This was a special carrier frame that could be tilted forward to drop a fascine or a log mat, Some ‘war weary’ Shermans even had their turrets removed to allow them to be used as full-time fascine carriers.

It should be stressed that the mat-laying devices, both hessian or timber, were meant for short-term use only. Prolonged use by heavy or tracked vehicles soon broke them up or simply tore them to pieces so they were usually used for assault purposes only or during amphibious landings to cover soft ground. It was not until well after World War II that flexible metal roadways were developed to replace the earlier devices.

A Churchill AVRE operates a Carpet-Layer Type C, used to lay a continuous hessian mat over rough or soft ground to enable other wheeled or tracked vehicles to follow. These devices were used to cross the sand on some of the Normandy beaches on 6 June 1944.
To the front-line soldier every tank is a valuable asset and any damaged or disabled tank that can be got back into action is a viable weapon. Therefore the recovery of damaged or breakdown tanks from a battlefield is an important aspect of armoured warfare, but very often these recovery operations have to be undertaken under enemy fire. It therefore makes sense to provide the recovery crews with their own armoured vehicles and even more sense to provide these vehicles with mechanical handling devices, winches and other special recovery tools. Thus World War II saw the first large-scale use of recovery vehicles, and on the Allied side there were many different types.

Nearly all Allied Armoured Recovery Vehicle (ARV) types were conversions of existing tanks, usually models that were past their best and could be spared for the role. Nearly every type of Allied tank was used for the ARV role at some time or another but the main types involved on the British side were Crusader, Covenanter, Centaur, Cavalier, Cromwell, Ram and inevitably the Churchill. Most of these ARV conversions involved the removal of the turret (along with the main armament) and its replacement by either a fixed superstructure or an open compartment for the crew. Winches were installed and various forms of jib crane or sheerlegs were added. Many types also had the assistance of an earth spade to provide the winch with better purchase and thus extra pull. The British also made extensive use of turretless Shermans for the ARV role.

The American ARVs were generally more involved vehicles. They too were based on existing tank chassis, but the conversions were often carried out in factories rather than the base workshops of the other Allied armies (including the British) and thus more detail design care could be lavished upon the final product. A typical American product was the Tank Recovery Vehicle M32. On this the turret was fixed and a smoke-firing 81-mm (3.2-in) mortar was fitted. In the space normally taken up by the fighting compartment was placed a powerful 27216-kg (60,000-lb) capacity winch, and an A-frame jib was mounted on the forward hull. Extra stowage points for special equipment were added all over the hull. Several sub-variants of the M32 were produced. The M3 medium tank series was also used to produce the Tank Recovery Vehicle M31 with a jib crane over the rear of the hull. The British also made their own conversion of the M3 Grant by removing all the armament and installing a winch in the main compartment.

The American ARVs were produced in large numbers, so large in fact that some of the M32s could be converted as artillery tractors. But one factor that both British and American ARVs had in common and that was that none of them matched the power of the German Bergepanther. The Bergepanther remained the most powerful ARV of World War II as far as operational models were concerned, but the Allied ARVs could still tackle most recovery tasks without difficulty, for they did not have to cope with the Tigers and Panthers of the German army.

**Specification**

**Churchill ARV Mk II**
- Crew: 5 or 6
- Weight: 40 tons
- Powerplant: one Bedford Twin-Six petrol engine developing 261 kW (350 hp)
- Dimensions: length 8.28 m (27 ft 2 in); width 3.35 m (11 ft); height 3.02 m (9 ft 11 in)
- Performance: maximum road speed 24.9 km/h (15.5 mph); maximum road range 193 km (120 miles)
- Armament: one or two machine-guns

**A Churchill ARV (Armoured Recovery Vehicle) Mk I has its front jib erected and twin 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Bren machine-guns mounted in the hull. This vehicle had a crew of three and carried special tools and welding equipment for the recovery role. The vehicle was basically a turretless Churchill MkIV.**

**A Cromwell ARV (Armoured Recovery Vehicle) is used to tow a captured German PzKpfw IV tank out of the way of other vehicles. The Cromwell ARV was a turretless conversion of an early mark of Cromwell tank that could be fitted with a jib crane and other gear.**

**A Sherman ARV Mk I tows a Sherman gun tank during the campaign in Normandy, June/July 1944. This ARV was a British conversion of a Sherman tank that involved removing the turret and fitting a front-mounted jib crane and other equipment.**
By late 1943 plans for the amphibious landings in northern France had reached the stage where it was decided to have deep-sinking recovery vehicles on hand at the beaches to assist any vehicles that got bogged or broken down while still in the water. It was decided to convert Churchill and Sherman tanks for the role but the Churchill conversion did not get past the prototype stage and all work concentrated on the Sherman.

The result was known as the Sherman Beach Armoured Recovery Vehicle (Sherman BARV). It was little more than an ordinary Sherman with the turret replaced by a tall superstructure. This superstructure was open at the top and had plates that sloped to a boat bow profile at the front. The turret opening was closed off and all air intakes and cowls were extended upwards. Waterproofing was extensive and a bilge pump was added to the hull.

The first BARV was ready for trials in December 1943 and these trials proved to be so successful that a request for 50 conversions (later increased to 66) was made immediately. By the time the D-Day landings were made in Normandy there were 52 BARVs ready to hand, and one of them was actually the first armoured vehicle to touch down on the beaches. They had plenty to do for the weather on D-Day was rough, to the extent that many armoured and other vehicles were swamped as they made their way from the landing craft to the safety of the beaches. The BARV was thus used as a towing vehicle to get them ashore. It could tow only, for in the haste to produce the BARVs it was decided to omit the usual winches. In their place some measure of assistance to stranded vehicles could be provided by nudging them with baulks of timber secured to the BARV nose. These nudgers could be used not only for vehicles but with small landing craft that got themselves stuck on the beaches.

The BARVs could operate in up to 3.05 m (10 ft) of water, depending on weather conditions, and often took on a nautical air enhanced by the use of lifelines fixed around the upper superstructure. Many BARV crews included a drier in their number and lifejackets were frequently worn. The BARVs were a REME responsibility as they were primarily recovery vehicles. The REME even had a hand in their production, for this corps supervised BARV production in two small Ministry of Supply workshops.

The BARVs went on to a long post-war service career during which they acquired the name Sea Lion. They were eventually replaced by the Centurion BARV which closely followed the general outlines of the Sherman BARV. Over the years the Sherman BARVs were gradually updated with better radios and such refinements as ropes to soften the impact of their ‘nudgers’, but they never acquired winches or any form of earth spade to enable increased-capacity pulls to be made.

The Sherman BARV (Beach Armoured Recovery Vehicle) was developed during 1943 to tow bogged-down vehicles from deep water during amphibious operations. It was a tractor device only, and the crew usually included a trained diver to secure towing cables to stranded vehicles for towing.

Mine-clearing rollers

The mine-clearing roller was one of the very first anti-land mine devices used with tanks and in theory rollers are among the simplest to use. They consist of a set of heavy rollers pushed ahead of the tank, their weight and pressure alone being sufficient to destroy the mines by setting them off in front of the tank. Translating this theory into practice should also have been simple but was not. The main problem was the weight and bulk of the rollers that had to be used in order to make the rollers heavy enough they had also to be large, and this made them very difficult loads to handle using the average tank of the period. In fact some of them were so large and awkward to push that it sometimes took two tanks (the carrier tank plus another behind it to provide extra ‘push’) to move them forward. This two-tank arrangement was often necessary when rollers had to be pushed over soft or rough ground.

The British were probably the first to develop anti-mine rollers, and experimented with them in the years before World War II fitted to vehicles such as the Covenanter. They knew their first models as the Fowler Roller or the Anti-Mine Roller Attachment (AMRA). From these were developed the Anti-Mine Reconnaissance Castor Roller (AMRCR) system that was fitted to Churchills and British Shermans. These rollers used leaf springs to keep

The Lulu roller device did not detonate mines by pressure, as the front rollers were only light wooden containers carrying electrical sensor devices to denote the presence of buried metal objects such as mines. Although it worked, Lulu was too fragile for operational use.
the rollers in contact with the ground, but they were so cumbersome that they were not used operationally. A more successful design appeared in 1943 and was known as the Canadian Indestructible Roller Device (CIRD). This used two heavy armoured rollers mounted on side arms, and was so arranged that if a roller detonated a mine the resilient blast lifted the roller and a lever came into contact with the ground, the subsequent movement of the tank operating the lever to return the roller to the ground again for further use. CIRD was fitted to both Churchill's and Shermans, but the system was not used in action.

The Americans also became involved with mine rollers and produced three main models. The first version was the Mine Exploder TI and was intended for use with M3 Lee tanks, but not many were made as these tanks had passed from front-line service by the time the rollers had been developed. From them evolved the Mine Exploder TIEI or Earthworm, but again this was devised for use by one vehicle only, in this case the M32 Tank Recovery Vehicle. For use with the M4 Shermans came the Mine Exploder TIES (later the Mine Exploder M1) which was generally known as the Aunt Jemima. This used two very large sets of roller discs mounted on side arms in front of the carrier, and the system was used in action despite its great bulk and awkwardness. It proved to be successful enough, and was even developed into an MIAI version which was even heavier.

The Americans developed a whole string of other types of mine roller, few of which got past the experimental stage. Perhaps the oddest of them was the Mine Exploder T10 on which the rollers became the road wheels for an M4 tank body, complete with gun turret. Two rollers were mounted forward and another set of roller discs was at the rear with the tank body slung between them. This device got no further than trials, and neither did the series of vehicles known as the Mine Resistant Vehicle T15. This was an M4 tank fitted with extra body and belly armour and intended to set off mines by simply driving over them, relying on its extra protection for survival. None of these vehicles was ready for use by the time the war ended, and work on the type then ceased.

Gun tractors

As a general rule most artillery tractors were specially developed for the task but some artillery weapons developed during the war years had to make do with what was at hand. In nearly every case this meant the conversion of an obsolete or obsolescent tracked vehicle, especially for the larger weapons. The use of tracked vehicles gave the gunners considerably more tactical mobility that could have been achieved by using wheeled tractors, but in general terms tracked vehicles was expensive and it was a measure that was only bearable in wartime.

A typical use of an obsolete tank chassis can be seen with the British Crusader Gun Tractor Mk I. This was developed to tow the bulky 17-pdr anti-tank gun, and used the Crusader II tank chassis as the basis. Onto the chassis was built an open superstructure fitted out with seating for the gun crew and with ammunition lockers. These tractors were widely used by Royal Artillery anti-tank regiments serving with armoured divisions in Europe during 1944 and 1945, and proved to be well-liked and fast tractors capable of towing their 17-pdr guns almost anywhere. Some turretless Shermans were also used in this role.

The Crusader Gun Tractor was almost the only conversion of a tracked vehicle made by the British, but it was otherwise with the Americans. Their far more extensive automotive manufacturing resources enabled them to produce all manner of special artillery tractors, many of them based on existing vehicles. Typical of these was the Full-track Prime Mover M34, an odd vehicle, for it was an M32 Tank Recovery Vehicle stripped of its recovery kit and used as a tractor only. It was reserved for really large items of artillery such as the 240-mm (9.45-in) howitzer. Other attempts were made to convert old M2 Lee tanks for the tractor role, but they were not developed fully as special vehicles could be produced without difficulty.

There were two main types of tractor produced in the United States. One was the High-Speed Tractor M4 and the other the High-Speed Tractor M5. The M4 used components of the M2A1 Lee tank, allied to a new box-type body that could house the crew and a quantity of ammunition. Compared to other types of tractor the gun crew could travel in comfort as the cab was weatherproof and fitted with such luxuries as heaters, and yet there was still plenty of room for storage. The M5 tractor was smaller and used components from the M3 light tank series. The crew accommodation was more open than that of the M4 but the tractor still had plenty of space and was equipped with such handy items as winches. The M4 was used to tow artillery up to 155mm (6.1in) in calibre, and the M5 was used for artillery up to 203 mm (8in) in calibre. Both types were produced in considerable numbers and many of both are in use to this day. Large numbers were handed out by the Americans to the Allied armed forces, and a few were used by the British before the war ended.

Specification

High-Speed Tractor M5
Crew: 9
Weight: 12837 kg (28,300 lb)
Powerplant: one Continental R-6572 petrol engine developing 175.2 kW (235 hp)
Dimensions: length 4.85 m (15 ft 11 in); width 2.54 m (8 ft 4 in); height 2.64 m (8 ft 8 in)
Performance: maximum towing speed 56.3 km/h (35 mph); maximum road range 241 km (150 miles)
Armament: none
The M35 Full Track Prime Mover was a turrettless conversion of the M1A1 tank destroyer for use as a tractor for heavy artillery. This example is towing the barrel of a 203-mm (8-in) Gun M1 towards Germany in February 1945; the Carriage M2 would have been towed separately.
During the early war years the German army used the SdKfz 9/1 and 9/2 for recovering broken-down or damaged tanks, but with the arrival of the heavy tanks such as the Tiger and Panther these vehicles were no longer able to recover the weights involved. The only way they could be used effectively was in complicated tandem arrangements with one vehicle's crane acting in combination with the other, and it was not always possible to get two of these large halftracks to some locations, even supposing two were on hand. The only solution to the problem of large vehicle recovery was the development of a new heavy recovery vehicle. Some of the early Tiger units converted their machines to take winches in the turret in place of the main gun for recovery purposes, but this was a waste of a valuable gun tank and Tigers were always in short supply. In the end it was decided to use the Panther tank as the basis for the new vehicle.

The new vehicle became known as the SdKfz 179 Bergepanther, or Bergepanzer Panther. The first of these appeared during 1943, and they were conversions of early models of Panther gun tanks. On the conversion the turret and fighting compartments were completely removed and replaced by an open superstructure housing a large and powerful winch. To increase the "pull" of this winch the vehicle had at the rear a large earth spade. In use this spade was lowered to the ground and the vehicle was reversed, the spade thus being dug down into the ground to act as a stable anchor when the winch was in use with the cable running out over the vehicle rear. The combination of spade and winch enabled the Bergepanther to recover even the heaviest vehicles, and it also carried all manner of other recovery equipment, including a light crane jib on the left-hand side for use when carrying out running repairs.

It was spring 1944 before the first Bergepanthers reached the troops, the conversions being carried out by DE-MAG in Berlin. By the time the war ended 297 had been produced, but not all of them were fully equipped. For supply reasons some vehicles were issued without the rear-mounted spade which reduced them to little more than towing vehicles; they were of such limited utility that many of these incomplete vehicles had their winches removed to enable them to be employed as supply and ammunition carriers. The full standard Bergepanthers proved to be invaluable and not surprisingly they were concentrated in the Panther, Tiger and Königstiger formations. In service they had a crew of five, and most retained their front hull 7.92-mm (0.312-in) machine-gun. Many were also armed with a 2-cm cannon carried just forward of the open superstructure on a mount that allowed it to be used either in the anti-aircraft or ground target role.

When they were first introduced the Bergepanthers were well in advance of other contemporary recovery vehicles. Although it was a conversion of an existing tank, its combination of winch, earth spade and overall layout meant that it was quite simply the best recovery vehicle produced during World War II.

**Specification Bergepanther**
- Crew: 5
- Weight: 42 tons

**The Bergepanther was the best-armoured recovery vehicle produced in World War II. Only 297 were completed by the end of the war, and they were generally concentrated in the heavy tank battalions.**
facing to the rear. The main platform was used as the carrying area for the projectiles, with space for two or three shells. Small metal side walls were fitted, but these were often removed in the field.

Much of the movement of the Karl equipments had to be carried out on railways, and the train that carried the components of Karl also had a couple of flat-cars to carry Munitionpanzer or Munitionsschlepper ammunition carriers. Once close to the firing position the Karls were assembled and they moved off to the exact firing position. Projectiles for the weapons were taken from the train box-cars either by overhead gantry or by using the crane mounted on the carriers. The carriers then moved to the firing position and unloaded their projectiles by parking next to the Karl breech and lifting the ammunition directly to the breech loading tray with the crane. Special ammunition handling grabs were used on the crane itself. Once their load had been fired the carriers trundled off for more.

Not all Karl moves were made by rail. There was an arrangement whereby a Karl could be broken down into relatively small loads for road transit, but this involved a long and arduous process to assemble the weapon on site. When this occurred the carriers were towed on special wheeled trailers towed by large halftracks. The usual allotment of carriers to a single Karl was two. Also included in each Karl ‘train’ were two trucks, two light staff cars and at least one 12-ton halftrack to carry the Karl crew.

The Karl howitzers were among the most specialized of all German artillery weapons. They were designed as fortification smashers, and during World War II were just much in demand. But they and their PzKpfw IV-based ammunition carriers did see use during the siege of Sevastopol, and in 1944 saw more action during the Battle of Warsaw against the unfortunate Polish home army.

The Munitionpanzer IVa was a self-propelled mortar on a platform over the hull. They were fitted on to the Karl loading tray by a front-mounted jib crane, seen here folded over the shell platform. The Munitionpanzer IVa was fitted with a large report on the battle with which could be seen in the Bovington Tank Museum.

GERMANY

kleiner Panzerbefehlswagen

Once the German army had accepted the concept of the Panzer division with its large tank component it was appreciated that the large mass of tanks would carry with it considerable command and control problems. Tank formation commanders would have to move forward with the tanks and maintain contact with them at all times, and at first it seemed that the best way of doing this was to have the commanders travelling in tanks. But it was also appreciated that commanders would have to carry with them all manner of special equipment and extra personnel to transmit orders and generally assist the commander in his task. Thus some form of dedicated command tank would be needed.

In typically thorough style the German designers came up with an answer as early as 1938. They decided to convert the little PzKpfw I training tank for the command role, and the result was the SdKfz 265 kleiner Panzerbefehlswagen (small armoured command vehicle). The command vehicle was a relatively straightforward conversion of the basic tank in which the rotating tank turret was replaced by a box-like superstructure to provide extra internal space. The crew was increased from the two of the tank to three, in the form of the driver, the commander and a signaller/general assistant. The extra internal space was taken up with items such as a small table for the commander to work on, map display boards, storage for more maps and other paperwork, and two radios, one for communicating with the tanks and the other to provide a link to higher command levels. These radios required the provision of extra dynamo capacity to power them and keep their associated batteries fully charged. For armament a 7.92-mm (0.312-in) MG34 machine-gun was mounted in the front plate.

There were three variations of this command vehicle, one with a small rotating turret set onto the superstructure. This latter feature was soon discontinued as it took up too much of the limited internal space and was soon found to be unnecessary. The other two variants differed only in detail. In all of them the small size of the vehicle inflicted space limitations, and with two men attempting to work within the close confines of the body things could get very cramped. But the concept worked very well and about 200 conversions from the PzKpfw I tank were made. The first of them saw action during the Polish campaign of 1939 and more were used in France during May and June 1940. Later they equipped the Afrika Korps. One of these North African campaign examples was captured by the British army and taken back to the United Kingdom. There it was closely examined by tank experts who produced a large report on the vehicle, which can now be seen in the Bovington Tank Museum.

Despite their relative success in the command role the little PzKpfw I tank conversions were really too small and cramped for efficiency and in time they were replaced by conversions of larger tank models.

kleiner Panzerbefehlswagen

Crew: 4
Weight: 25 tonnes
Powerplant: one Maybach HL 120 TRM petrol engine developing 223.7kW (300hp)
Dimensions: length 5.41 m (17 ft 9 in); width 2.83 m (9 ft 5.5 in); height not recorded
Performance: maximum road speed 39.9 km/h (24.8 mph); maximum road range 209 km (130 miles)
Armament: none

The kleiner Panzerbefehlswagen was a command version of the PzKpfw I light tank. It had a crew of three and the fixed superstructure contained two radios, a map table and extra electrical equipment. The vehicles were widely used, as they allowed commanders to keep up with armoured formations.

Below: Just how cramped the PzKpfw I tank command tank was can be gauged from this photograph of the basic model PzKpfw I. About 200 conversions were made but they proved too small for the task, and they were replaced by modified versions of later tanks.

Specification

kleiner Panzerbefehlswagen 1

Crew: 3
Weight: 5.8 tonnes
Powerplant: one Maybach NL 38TR petrol engine developing 74.6 kW (100 hp)
Dimensions: length 4.445 m (14 ft 7 in); width 2.08 m (6 ft 9.9 in); height 1.72 m (5 ft 7.7 in)
Performance: maximum road speed 40 km/h (25 mph); maximum road range 290 km (180 miles)
Armament: one 7.92-mm (0.312-in) machine-gun

The Munitionpanzer IVa was fitted with a large report on the battle with which could be seen in the Bovington Tank Museum.

Specification

Munitionpanzer

Crew: 4
Weight: 25 tonnes
Powerplant: one Maybach HL 120 TRM petrol engine developing 223.7kW (300hp)
Dimensions: length 5.41 m (17 ft 9 in); width 2.83 m (9 ft 5.5 in); height not recorded
Performance: maximum road speed 39.9 km/h (24.8 mph); maximum road range 209 km (130 miles)
Armament: none
Amphibious Vehicles

Most of the Great Powers used amphibious vehicles during World War II. Some of these vehicles, such as the Soviets' pre-war amphibious light tanks, proved to be superfluous but others, like the DD Shermans and the DUKW, were of crucial importance.

The range of vehicles contained in this section is much wider than usual, simply because the range of amphibious vehicles used during World War II was very large. At the lower end of the range was the little German Schwimmwagen, while at the upper end of the scale the German LWS took some beating for sheer size even if the slab-sided American LVTs were far more numerous. Such a diversity of vehicles was a result of the many and various roles that amphibious vehicles needed to undertake. Some armed forces wanted them simply as personnel or supply carriers that could support amphibious operations, while other forces needed specialized reconnaissance vehicles that could cross water obstacles, and yet others required load carriers to transport supplies anywhere. They are all included in this section so it would be unfair to make comparisons between, say, the M29C Weasel and the Soviet T-38 amphibious light tank. The same disparities make comparisons between the DD Shermans and the Japanese Type 2 Ka-Mi impossible, because the DD Sherman was intended simply to accomplish a short journey from a vessel to a nearby shore where it immediately became a gun tank, while the Type 2 was more of a reconnaissance vehicle that was able to cross water obstacles.

Yet for all these differences the contents of this study include many of the most interesting vehicles used during World War II. Each of the types described here has some special design or other point in its favour, although a few have more against than for them. Perhaps the most interesting of all, and not only because of its military importance, were the American LVTs. These vehicles were very much a compromise design to obtain the best possible performances overland and on water. The two are disparate requirements, but the LVTs achieved a good working compromise and were thus able to carry amphibious warfare from the Rhine to the islands of the Pacific. Amphibious tanks were remarkable vehicles, but one can only wonder at how their crews had the courage to use their flimsy charges to approach a defended enemy shore and to drive them right at the muzzles of the defender's guns.
In 1931 the USSR purchased from Vickers Carden-Loyd of the UK a number of light tankettes. Among the purchases were a small number of Carden-Loyd A4E11 amphibious tanks, and these so impressed the Soviets that they decided to undertake local licencing production in order to meet a Red Army requirement for light scouting tanks. However, it was not long before the Soviet design teams realized that the Carden-Loyd A4E11 did not meet all their requirements, and so they set about developing their own light amphibious tank based on the British design. This resulted in the T-37, which was subjected to some rigorous trials before it was deemed unsatisfactory. Further design work resulted in the T-37 light amphibious tank.

By the time the T-37 was produced there was little left of the original British design other than the concept. The T-37 had a GAZ AA engine and the suspension was an improved version of that used on the French AMR light tank. Once again the first T-37s were subjected to a thorough testing programme, and as a result changes were introduced to the full production models, which first came off the line in late 1933 and early 1934. The production T-37 was a small vehicle with a two-man crew, the commander in a turret offset to the right and the driver seated in the hull to the immediate left. Most of the buoyancy came from two pontoons on each side of the upper hull above the tracks, and at the rear there was the usual single propeller and a rudder. The T-37 was meant to be amphibious on inland waterways only. As it was designed as a light scouting or reconnaissance vehicle, the T-37 had only light armament, comprising a single 7.62-mm (.3-in) air-cooled machine-gun.

Production of the T-37 continued until 1936, and during the production run several variants occurred. One was known as the T-37TU and had a prominent radio frame aerial around the upper hull; this was used only by commanders needing to maintain contact with rear command levels, while orders were transmitted to other tanks by signal flags. On some vehicles the usual riveted turret was replaced by a cast item. As was to be expected on such a small and light tank, armour was very thin, the maximum being only 9 mm (.354 in) thick and the norm only 3 mm (.118 in). This armour could not withstand even light anti-armour projectiles, but the T-37s were to be used as scouting vehicles only and were not intended for employment in a stand-up armoured fight. Nevertheless they were so used during the desperate days of 1941 and 1942 when the Soviet army had at times virtually nothing with which to stem the advance of the German forces. By the end of 1942 the last of the T-37s had passed from use, though a few hulls were retained for use as light tractors.

### T-37 Light Amphibious Tank

**Specification**
- **Crew:** 2
- **Weight:** 3200 kg (7,055 lb)
- **Powerplant:** one GAZ AA petrol engine developing 29.8 kW (40 hp)
- **Dimensions:** length 3.75m (12ft 3.6in); width 2.10m (6ft 10.7in); height 1.82m (5ft 11.7in)
- **Performance:** maximum road speed 56.3km/hr (35 mph); maximum road range 185 km (115 miles)
- **Armament:** one 7.62-mm (.3-in) DT machine-gun

Above: The tiny T-37 light amphibious tank was produced in several versions, but all were lightly armoured and had only two-man crews. They were in production from 1935 onwards, but few survived after the end of 1941 as they were too frail to stand up to prolonged combat.

Disabled T-37 light amphibious tanks are seen in the snow of the Winter War against the Finns in 1939-1940. In this campaign the T-37s showed up badly, as they had only light armour. The front vehicle is a T-37(TU) command tank with the remains of an aerial showing.

Above: T-37 light amphibious tanks were rolling off the production lines in late 1933 and early 1934. The production T-37 was a small vehicle with a twoman crew, the commander in a turret offset to the right and the driver seated in the hull to the immediate left. Most of the buoyancy came from two pontoons on each side of the upper hull above the tracks, and at the rear there was the usual single propeller and a rudder. The T-37 was meant to be amphibious on inland waterways only. As it was designed as a light scouting or reconnaissance vehicle, the T-37 had only light armament, comprising a single 7.62-mm (.3-in) air-cooled machine-gun.

Production of the T-37 continued until 1936, and during the production run several variants occurred. One was known as the T-37TU and had a prominent radio frame aerial around the upper hull; this was used only by commanders needing to maintain contact with rear command levels, while orders were transmitted to other tanks by signal flags. On some vehicles the usual riveted turret was replaced by a cast item. As was to be expected on such a small and light tank, armour was very thin, the maximum being only 9 mm (.354 in) thick and the norm only 3 mm (.118 in). This armour could not withstand even light anti-armour projectiles, but the T-37s were to be used as scouting vehicles only and were not intended for employment in a stand-up armoured fight. Nevertheless they were so used during the desperate days of 1941 and 1942 when the Soviet army had at times virtually nothing with which to stem the advance of the German forces. By the end of 1942 the last of the T-37s had passed from use, though a few hulls were retained for use as light tractors.

### T-38

Almost as soon as the first T-37 amphibious light tanks were rolling off the production lines a redesign was under way. A team based in Moscow virtually took apart the design of the T-37 and did whatever it could to improve and modernize it, for it was realized by 1934 that the basic T-37 design approach was already out of date. The result was known as the T-38, and although it looked very different to the T-37 it was very little advanced over the original concept as the T-37 and the two-man crew was retained, but the turret position was switched to the left and the driver's position was also switched.

The T-38 was wider than the T-37 and had better floating characteristics. Carried over from the T-37 was the armament of a single 7.62-mm (.3-in) DT machine-gun and the power train of the GAZ AA truck.

The first T-38 was built in 1936 and full production commenced in the following year. Manufacture continued until 1939, by which time about 1,300 had been completed. Some changes were introduced during the production run, the first of which was the T-38-M1, an attempt to introduce a new transmission system that in the end proved too complicated for mass production. Then came the T-38-M2, which was accepted, for it used the power
train and engine of the then-new GAZ-M1 truck. One field modification was the changing of the machine-gun for a 20-mm ShVAK cannon to produce more firepower.

When the T-38 went into action alongside the T-37 during the 1939-40 campaign in Finland, the weaknesses of the design became very apparent. The tank was quite simply too lightly protected, for even machine-gun projectiles could pierce the thin armour and knock out the vehicle. Despite attempts by their crews to keep out of the way and simply observe enemy positions, the T-37s and T-38s were shown to be too vulnerable on the battlefield; but they were not immediately withdrawn, for the simple reason that there was nothing to replace them at that time. Instead the T-38s were still in use until 1942 to the detriment of their crews, who suffered heavy casualties as Soviet army commanders attempted to use them as light support tanks.

In an attempt to continue the use of the existing T-38 production facilities an effort was made to develop the T-38 by adding some extra armour but the result offered few advantages over the original and the project was terminated. The T-38 did take part in some interesting experiments involving radio control. The idea was that T-26 light tanks packed with explosives should be directed towards bridges or other demolition targets and then exploded by radio command from a T-38. The T-38 was equipped with special radios for the purpose and was even given a new designation, NII-20. There are references to this demolition method being used during the Finnish campaign, but its success is not recorded.

Specification
T-38
Crew: 2
Weight: 3300 kg (7,275 lb)
Powerplant: one GAZ AA or GAZ M-1 petrol engine developing 29.8 kW (40 hp)
Dimensions: length 3.78 m (12 ft 4.8 in); width 3.33 m (10 ft 11.1 in); height 1.63 m (5 ft 4.2 in)
Performance: maximum road speed 40 km/h (24.9 mph); maximum road range 170 km (105.6 miles)
Armament: one 7.62-mm (0.3-in) DT machine-gun or one 20-mm ShVAK cannon

The T-38 (left) could easily be distinguished from the T-37: the turret was now mounted on the left hand side, and the driver’s position moved to the right. It remained utterly inadequate as a combat vehicle.

The T-40 was in small-scale service with reconnaissance units of cavalry and armoured car formations in 1941, but was already being replaced by the slightly improved T-60.
The Terrapin was the British equivalent of the American DUKW, and although it was never built in the numbers that the DUKW achieved, it made a useful addition to the amphibious load-carrying fleet used by the British army in 1944-5.

The Terrapin was designed by Thornycroft, but production was carried out by Morris Commercial. About 500 were built, and the bulk of them were used by the 79th Armoured Division, first going into action during the autumn of 1944 when they were used to supplement DUKWs during operations to open up the water approaches to Antwerp. The Terrapin was a straightforward amphibious design but it had some odd features, some of which were not to its advantage. One concerned its two Ford V-8 petrol engines, each one driving the four wheels set along each side; with the Terrapin in the water, the engines each drove one of the two propellers at the rear. The snag with this arrangement turned out to be that if one of the engines stalled for any reason the other engine kept driving, causing the vehicle to go into a rapid turn which could cause alarm and damage to all concerned. Thus Terrapin drivers had to be specially alert to this hazard. The two engines were mounted almost centrally to spread wheel loadings, but this had the effect of dividing the cargo compartments into two halves. Thus although the Terrapin could carry more than the DUKW it could not carry the really large loads such as guns or large vehicles.

The overall performance of the Terrapin was poor. It was rather slow on land and in the water, and it was in the water that this performance really mattered. When fully loaded the Terrapin had only a limited freeboard and it could be all too easily swamped in rough water. The top of the vehicle was completely open, but raised moulding boards around the holds could keep out the worst of the water. The driver was located roughly in the centre of the vehicle and his view to the front and rear was rather limited, meaning that other crew members had to give directions during tight landings or when travelling through restricted urban areas. The Terrapin was also a rather uncomfortable vehicle during bad weather conditions. Being seated in the open the driver and crew had to rough it, but an awning could be raised over the front compartment. This was meant to act as a spray shield in the water, but it could also double as weather protection; the problem was that it restricted even further the driver's forward view.

For all these drawbacks the Terrapin gave good service. Even before it was used operationally some of the drawbacks had been realized and Thornycroft was asked to produce a new design. This emerged as the Terrapin Mk 2, the original model thereupon becoming the Terrapin Mk 1. It had a large ‘one-piece’ hold, much better all-round performance and the driver positioned well forward under cover. The hull shape was improved to provide better seaworthiness and water maneuverability was much improved. But the Terrapin Mk 2 arrived on the scene too late: the war ended before it could be placed into production and the large numbers of DUKWs to hand meant there was no point in developing it further, and with the end of the war the Terrapin Mk Is were also withdrawn.

**Specification**

**Terrapin Mk 1**

- **Crew:** 1+ at least 2
- **Weights:** unloaded 6909 kg (15,232 lb); loaded 12015 kg (26,488 lb)
- **Powerplant:** two Ford V-8 petrol engines each developing 63.4 kW (85 hp)
- **Dimensions:** length 7.01 m (23 ft); width 2.67 m (8 ft 9 in); height 2.92 m (9 ft 7 in)
- **Performance:** maximum land speed 24.14 km/h (15 mph); maximum water speed 8 km/h (5 mph)
- **Armament:** none

The DD Sherman was a British development that started during 1941. An engineer named Alfred Nicholas Strausser who had been involved with armoured vehicles for some time, turned his attention to producing a method by which an ordinary tank could float in water during amphibious operations. Early experiments involved the Terrapin light tank (Valentines were also used at a later date) but in the end it was decided to standardize the eventual results on the Sherman tank, then available in large numbers. To provide a cover, these floating tanks were named Duplex Drive (DD) Shermans. The first DD Shermans were ready in 1943 and were converted by the addition of a collapsible fabric screen and 36 rubber air tubes or pillars. This screen/tube assembly was attached to a boat-shaped platform welded around the hull of the tank. The idea was that the pillars were inflated from two air cylinders carried on the tank, and as the inflation continued the pillars raised the screen to a point above the level of the turret. The screen was then locked into position by struts. All these operations could be carried out by the crew, and the whole operation could be carried out in 15 minutes aboard a tank landing craft. Once ready, the tank could be driven off the landing craft ramp into the water, where the tank would then float with the turret at water level, about 0.914-m (3-ft) freeboard being provided by the screen. Drive in the water was provided by two small screw propellers at the rear. These were driven via a gearbox from the track drive, and steering was accomplished by swivelling the propellers. Extra steering could be carried out by the tank commander using a simple rudder and tiller arrangement behind the turret.

Forward progress in the water was slow, depending on the sea state, and the sea state also severely affected the ability of the DD tanks to float. Anything over sea state 5 was considered too risky, but at times this limitation was disregarded, often with dire results. Once the DD Sherman was in about 1.5m (5 ft) of water the screen could then be collapsed. It was here that the main advantage of the DD Sherman became apparent, for it was able to retain its main gun for immediate use after landing. The bow machine-gun could not be fitted to the DD Shermans but the main gun was often used to support 79th Armoured Division operations directly after landing on the beaches, especially during the D-Day landings of 6 June 1944.
Once out of the water the twin propellers could be raised out of the way, and off the beaches the DD Shermans could be used in a normal combat role. The DD Shermans were the only item of specialized amphibious warfare vehicles used by the US Army in June 1944, and the Americans even produced their own versions. During the Normandy landings the DD Shermans came as a nasty surprise for the German defenders as their own experiments with ‘floating’ tanks had proved unsuccessful and had been terminated some years earlier. The sight of DD Shermans clambering up the beaches was too much for some defenders who promptly made themselves scarce. In other locations the DD Shermans provided invaluable immediate fire support for units already in action on the beaches and in the immediate hinterland. DD Shermans were also used at the Rhine crossings and during some north Italian operations in 1944-5.

Below: The DD Sherman in the foreground is in the process of lowering its wading screen just after a river crossing. The wading screens were supported on columns of air contained in rubber tubes, and the soldier in the foreground is assisting their collapse.

Right: The twin propellers of this DD Sherman can be clearly seen under the wading screen, which is in the collapsed position. Only Shermans were used operationally with DD equipment from D-Day onwards until the crossing of the Elbe in 1945.

USA
DUKW

The amphibious truck that became universally known as the ‘Duck’ first appeared in 1942, and was a version of the standard CMC 6x6 truck fitted with a boat-like hull to provide buoyancy. It derived its name from the GMC model designation system - D showed that it was a 1942 model, U that it was amphibious, K indicated that it was an all-wheel-drive model, and W denoted twin rear axles. From this came DUKW, and this was soon shortened to ‘Duck.

The Duck was produced in large numbers. By the time the war ended 21,147 had been built, and the type was used not only by the US Army but also by the British army and many other Allied armed forces. Being based on a widely-used truck chassis it was a fairly simple amphibious vehicle to maintain and drive, and its performance was such that it could be driven over most types of country. In the water the Duck was moved by a single propeller at the rear driven from the main engine, and steering was carried out using a rudder behind the propeller; extra steering control could be achieved by using the front wheels. The driver was seated in front of the main cargo compartment, which was quite spacious and could just about carry loads such as light artillery weapons - it was even possible to fire some weapons such as the 25-pdr field guns during the ‘run in’ to a beach. The driver was seated behind a folding windscreen and a canvas cover could be erected over the cargo area. For driving over soft areas such as sand beaches the six wheels used a central tyre pressure-control system.

The Duck was meant for carrying supplies from ships over beaches, but it was used for many other purposes. One advantage was that it did not always have to unload its supplies directly on the beach; on many occasions it was able to drive its load well forward to where the freight was needed and then return. Many were used as troop transports and the number of special-purpose versions were legion. Some were fitted with special weapons, such as the 114.3-mm (4.5-in) rocket-firing version used in the Pacific and known as the Scorpion. Mention has been made of field guns firing from the cargo area, and some Ducks were armed with heavy machine-guns for self-defence or anti-aircraft use. A tow hook was fitted at the rear and some vehicles also had a tow hook at the front. The main use of the DUKW was as a logistical stores carrier loading supplies from ships standing offshore. They could also carry overland to supply dumps. This DUKW is seen during a training operation in the pre D-Day period in 1944.
self-recovery winch. Twin bilge pumps were fitted as standard.

Many Ducks were sent to the USSR, and the type so impressed the Soviet army that the USSR produced its own copy, known as the BAV-485. This differed from the original by having a small loading ramp at the rear of the cargo area. Many of these BAV-485s are still in use by the Warsaw Pact nations, and the DUKW still serves on with a few Western armed forces. The British army did not pension off its Ducks until the late 1970s.

The Duck has been described as one of the war-winners for the Allies and certainly gave good service wherever it was used. It had some limitations in that the load-carrying capacity was rather light and performance in rough water left something to be desired, but the Duck was a good sturdy vehicle that was well-liked by all who used it.

Specification: DUKW
Crew: 1+1

USA

LVT 2 and LVT 4

Developed from a civil design intended for use in the Florida swamps, the LVT-1 was not really suited for combat, being intended solely as a supply vehicle. The Pacific war was to prove the need for a more capable amphibious assault vehicle. This emerged as the LVT 2, which used a better all-round shape to improve water performance, though it was still a high and bulky vehicle. Another improvement was a new suspension and the track grousers were made better by the use of aluminium W-shaped shoes that were bolted onto the track and could thus be easily changed when worn or damaged. A definite logistic improvement was introduced by use of the engine, final drive and transmission from the M3 light tank. At the time the LVT 2 was being developed these components were readily available and made spare-part supply that much easier.

The steering system of the LVT 2 gave considerable trouble at first, for the brake drums operated in oil and could thus be easily changed when worn or damaged. A definite logistic improvement was introduced by use of the engine, final drive and transmission from the M3 light tank. At the time the LVT 2 was being developed these components were readily available and made spare-part supply that much easier.

The ordinary LVT 2s and LVT 4s were used in action at Guadalcanal, and thereafter every island-hopping operation involved them. Some were used in Europe during the Scheldt and Rhine operations of 1944-5 and there were numerous odd 'one-off attempts to mount various types of weapon in them, ranging from rocket batteries to light cannon. Flamethrowers were fitted in some numbers, but all these types of armament should not disguise the fact that the LVT 2 and LVT 4 were most often used to carry ashore the first waves of US Marines.

The gun proved to be too light for this role, so it was later supplanted by the short 75-mm (2.95-in) howitzer mounted in the turret of the M8 Howitzer Motor Carriage to produce the LVT(A) 4. On both of these gun vehicles the turrets were mounted towards the rear of the cargo area, which was covered in by armoured plate.

The ordinary LVT 2s and LVT 4s became the main load carriers of the early Pacific operations. The first LVTs were used in action at Guadalcanal, LVTs lumber ashore during a training exercise, with others following in a non-tactical line; in an assault the LVTs would land in waves side-by-side. The LVT on the right has shielded weapons that could be either machine-guns or flamethrowers. The LVT(A) 1 was an LVT with an M3 light tank turret mounting a 37-mm gun; this was intended to supply fire support during the early phases of an amphibious landing during the interval immediately after reaching the beaches.
Specification

**LVT2**
- Crew: 2+7
- Powerplant: one Continental W970-9A petrol engine developing 186.4 kW (250 hp)
- Weights: unloaded 11000 kg (24,250 lb); loaded 13721 kg (30,250 lb)
- Dimensions: length 7.975 m (26 ft 1 in); width 3.25 m (10 ft 8 in); height 2.5 m (8 ft 2 in)
- Performance: maximum land speed 32 km/h (20 mph); maximum water speed 12 km/h (7.5 mph); road radius 241 km (150 miles); maximum water radius 161 km (100 miles)
- Armament: one 12.7-mm (0.5-in) and one 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-guns

**LVT3**
- Compared with the earlier LVT 1 and LVT 2, the LVT 3 (or Bushmaster) was an entirely new design. For a start it had two engines (Cadillac units), each mounted in a side sponson. This allowed an increase in size of the cargo-carrying area and enabled a loading ramp to be installed at the rear. The overall outline remained the same as on the earlier vehicles, but there were numerous changes. The track was entirely new, being rubber-bushed, and the width was reduced with no detriment to water propulsion which continued to be used.

The first LVT 3 appeared during 1945 and by the time production ended 2,692 had been produced. It went on to be the 'standard' post-war vehicle of its type but by 1945 the LVTs were used not only by the US Marines but by the US Army. This service had its origins to the LVTs.

The LVT 3 represented the final wartime point in the line that could be traced back to the Roebling tractors, but it was not the end of the line. During the post-war years the concept was developed still further and many of the present vehicles now in use can trace their origins to the LVTs.

**Specification**

**LVT3**
- Crew: 3
- Powerplant: two Cadillac petrol engines developing a total of 164.1 kW (220 hp)
- Dimensions: length 7.95 m (26 ft 1 in); width 3.25 m (10 ft 8 in); height 3.023 m (9 ft 11 in)
- Performance: maximum road speed 27.3 km/h (17 mph); maximum water speed 9.7 km/h (6 mph); road radius 241 km (150 miles); water radius 120.7 km (75 miles)
- Armament: one 12.7-mm (0.5-in) and two 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-guns
In 1943 plans were made to invade German-held Norway, and it was appreciated that some form of snowcrossing cargo carrier would be required. After a series of trials a tracked vehicle known as the T15 Weasel was selected for service and this was later developed into the T24, still named Weasel and developed for use not only over snow but over mud, rough terrain and swamps. In time the T24 was standardized as the M29 Cargo Carrier and from this evolved the M29C amphibious light cargo carrier. The name Weasel was once more carried over, even though the official name Ark was promulgated.

The M29C was a simple conversion of the land-use M29. Changes were made to the flexible rubber tracks to enable them to provide propulsion in water, flotation chambers were provided at front and rear, and twin rudders were added for steering in water. The land M29 had already demonstrated its ability to cross just about any type of terrain, including snow and rough stony ground, and the M29C retained all these qualities. In water it was somewhat slow and it could not operate in other than inland waterway conditions, so its use in surf or rough water was very limited. But when used correctly the M29C soon proved to be a valuable vehicle. Its uses were legion, especially during the many land-hopping campaigns in the Pacific theatre. Once ashore they were used to cross terrain that no other vehicle could attempt, and they carried men, supplies and even towed artillery using their rear-mounted towing pintle. Rice fields were no obstacle and the M29C was equally at home crossing sand dunes. The M29C and the land-based M29 Weasels were used as ambulances on many occasions. Another use was for crossing minefields as the Weasel’s ground pressure was very low, often too low to set off anti-tank mines. A large, weighty vehicle for the Japanese navy. One of these projects was to add kapok-filled floats to a Type 95 Kyu-Go light tank, the tank/floating combination being propelled by two outboard motors. The idea was to produce a tank/landing or river-crossing system only, but although the floats worked the combination was very difficult to steer and the project was abandoned at the trials stage.

But the idea of making the Type 95 Kyu-Go into an amphibious light tank did not disappear. Instead of the float devices it was decided to redesign the hull of the Type 95 and use steel pontoons fore and aft to provide buoyancy. The wheels, track, suspension and engine (along with many other components of the Type 95) were retained, but the hull became a larger and bulkier shape. Large slabs of armour plate were used on the hull, which had built-in buoyancy chambers, and a redesigned turret carried a 37-mm anti-tank gun with a co-axial 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun. Special-to-role extras included a bilge pump, and drain holes were inserted into the road wheels. In the water the two steel pontoons were held in place by securing clamps, and once ashore the pontoons were discarded. Steering was effected by rudders on the rear pontoon, controlled by cables from a steering wheel in the turret. As there was very little freeboard when floating a trunking arrangement was usually erected around the engine intake grills on the hull top.

The amphibious light tank was designated the Amphibious Tank Type 2 Ka-Mi and it went into production during 1942. Compared with the land-based light tanks it had several innovations, not the least of which was radio

American personnel undergo a rather bumpy ride in an M29C Weasel over swampy terrain. The rear-mounted rudders can be clearly seen, and these were lowered once the vehicle actually entered the water.

The M29C Studebaker Weasel was used as an amphibious cargo carrier, but could be used to carry personnel. Although small, it could carry useful loads over almost any type of terrain, and once in the water its tracks to provide propulsion at slow but steady speed.

The Type 2 Ka-Mi amphibious light tank was the most commonly-used of the Japanese swimming tanks. 11 had pontoon floats fore and aft to give most of the swimming buoyancy, and the bulky hull also had large buoyancy chambers to provide even more notation once in the water.

The Type 2 Ka-Mi amphibious light tank was the most commonly-used of the Japanese swimming tanks. 11 had pontoon floats fore and aft to give most of the swimming buoyancy, and the bulky hull also had large buoyancy chambers to provide even more notation once in the water.

The Type 2 Ka-Mi amphibious light tank was the most commonly-used of the Japanese swimming tanks. 11 had pontoon floats fore and aft to give most of the swimming buoyancy, and the bulky hull also had large buoyancy chambers to provide even more notation once in the water.

The Type 2 Ka-Mi amphibious light tank was the most commonly-used of the Japanese swimming tanks. 11 had pontoon floats fore and aft to give most of the swimming buoyancy, and the bulky hull also had large buoyancy chambers to provide even more notation once in the water.

The Type 2 Ka-Mi amphibious light tank was the most commonly-used of the Japanese swimming tanks. 11 had pontoon floats fore and aft to give most of the swimming buoyancy, and the bulky hull also had large buoyancy chambers to provide even more notation once in the water.
and a telephone intercom system for all crew members. Compared with land-based tanks, the Type 2 also had an increase in crew numbers: the Type 95 Kyō-Go had a crew of only three, but the Type 2 could house five, mainly as a result of the increased internal volume of the hull. Of this increased crew was a mechanic who looked after the engine and the power transfer from the road wheels to the two propellers that drove the vehicle in water.

For its period the Type 2 Ka-Mi was a successful little amphibian, and was used operationally on several occasions by the Japanese navy. However, it suffered the fate of most Japanese armour, being used in dribs and drabs to provide purely local infantry support. By 1944 they were more often than not used simply as land-based pillboxes in attempts to defend islands against invasion, which was a waste of their amphibious potential. Their other problem was that there were never enough of them. Japanese industry could never produce enough to meet demands, and as every vehicle was virtually hand-built production was always slow. But for all that the Type 2 Ka-Mi was one of the best designs of its period.

### Specification

**Type 2 Ka-Mi**
- **Crew:** 5
- **Weights:** with pontoons 11301 kg (24,914 lb); without pontoons 9571 kg (21,100 lb)
- **Powerplant:** one 6-cylinder air-cooled diesel developing 82 kW (110 hp)
- **Armament:** one 37-mm gun and two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns
- **Dimensions:** length with pontoons 7.417 m (24 ft 1 in); length without pontoons 4.826 m (15 ft 10 in); width 2.79 m (9 ft 1.8 in); height 2.337 m (7 ft 8 in)
- **Performance:** maximum land speed 37 km/h (23 mph); maximum water speed 9.65 km/h (6 mph); land radius 149.6 km (93 miles); water radius 149.6 km (93 miles)

This Type 2 Ka-Mi light amphibious tank has its forward pontoon float detached and resting on the ground, clearly showing the large size of this component. The tractor is a 2-ton Nissan 180 cargo vehicle produced during the latter stages of the war in the Pacific.

### GERMANY

#### Land-Wasser-Schlepper

In 1936 the German army general staff called upon Rheinmetall Borsig AG to develop a special tractor that could be used in amphibious operations. The idea was that the tractor could tow behind it a special trailer that could also float, capable of carrying vehicles or other cargo up to a weight of about 18000 kg (39,683 lb). All that the tractor would act as was a tug for the floating trailer, but once ashore the tractor would have to pull the trailer to a point where it could be safely unloaded.

Rheinmetall undertook the project and produced the Land-Wasser-Schlepper (land-water tractor) or LWS. The LWS was very basically a motor tug fitted with tracks and was a large and awkward-looking machine that nevertheless turned out to be a remarkably workmanlike vehicle. The LWS had a flat bottom on each side of which were two long sets of tracks. On each side four sets of road wheels were suspended in pairs from leaf-spring suspensions. The LWS had a pronounced bow and top on was a cabin for the crew of three men and space for a further 20. What appeared to be a small tunnel was in fact an air intake for the engine. At the rear, or stern, two large propellers were placed for water propulsion. To round off the nautical flavour of the LWS the sides of the cabin had portholes.

In contrast the floating trailer was a large slab-sided affair that, on land, moved on wheels located on one axle forward and two at the rear. At the rear a ramp could be folded down for loading, a typical load being an Sd.Kfz 9 18-tonne halftrack whose crew transferred to the LWS for the water journey.

The LWS and trailer concept was conducted through a series of trials with no great sense of urgency until the aftermath of May and June 1940 brought the prospect of 'Sea Lion' (Operation 'Seelöwe', the invasion of the UK) to the forefront. The LWS and trailer could no doubt have been used for such an operation but it was really intended for the calmer waters of inland water obstacles. Even so the LWS was pushed with a greater sense of urgency for the Sd.Kfz 9 18-tonne halftrack was to be a small funnel was in fact an air intake for the engine. At the rear, or stern, two large propellers were placed for water propulsion. To round off the nautical flavour of the LWS the sides of the cabin had portholes.

In contrast the floating trailer was a large slab-sided affair that, on land, moved on wheels located on one axle forward and two at the rear. At the rear a ramp could be folded down for loading, a typical load being an Sd.Kfz 9 18-tonne halftrack whose crew transferred to the LWS for the water journey.

The LWS and trailer concept was conducted through a series of trials with no great sense of urgency until the aftermath of May and June 1940 brought the prospect of 'Sea Lion' (Operation 'Seelöwe', the invasion of the UK) to the forefront. The LWS and trailer could no doubt have been used for such an operation but it was really intended for the calmer waters of inland water obstacles. Even so the LWS was pushed with a greater sense of urgency for the Sd.Kfz 9 18-tonne halftrack was to be a small.

In 1945 the LWS was captured in Germany and brought to the UK for a thorough technical evaluation by the British.

### Specification

**LWS**
- **Crew:** 3+20
- **Weight:** 13000 kg (28,660 lb)
- **Powerplant:** one Maybach HL 120 TRM V-12 engine developing 197.6 kW (265 hp)
- **Armament:** none
- **Dimensions:** length 8,60 m (28 ft 2.6 in); width 16 m (10 ft 4.4 in); height 3.13 m (10 ft 3.3 in)
- **Performance:** maximum road speed 40 km/h (24.85 mph); maximum water speed unloaded 12.5 km/h (7.8 mph); road range 240 km (149 miles)

The German LWS was built to carry up to 20 men and a crew of 3, and it could also tow a floating trailer carrying a vehicle or some form of weapon.
The term Schwimmwagen that is usually applied to the amphibious version of the military Volkswagen was not strictly correct, for the term merely means amphibious vehicle. The correct designation was Schwimmfahiger Gelandeng Typ 166, though the vehicle was usually just called the Schwimmwagen. It was originally developed during 1940 for use by airborne troops, and was supposed to have a good cross-country performance coupled with an amphibious capability. It was designed to make as much use of existing Kübelwagen (the military version of the Volkswagen) components as possible. In the end most of those built were used mainly on the Eastern Front, and the production reached a total of 14,625.

The Schwimmwagen was used to supplement the various types of motorcycle/sidecar combinations used by reconnaissance and other units. It was a small, sturdy little vehicle with a rather bulky body to provide flotation, and with a propeller at the rear for water propulsion. It could seat four men at a squeeze, especially if they carried all their equipment, for internal space was rather limited. The production line was at the Volkswagen plant at Wolfsburg, and it was often disrupted by Allied bombing raids before the line was closed during 1944, mainly as a result of raw material shortages.

But the German army demanded more and more of these vehicles during the early war years, for the Schwimmwagen was a handy little machine. Apart from its reconnaissance role, many were used by commanders of all types of unit who found them very useful for visiting scattered units, especially over the wide expanses of the Eastern Front. The vehicle was powered by a 1.3-litre petrol engine that was slightly more powerful than that of the Kübelwagen and which gave the Schwimmwagen a better all-round performance. To make sure that none of the cross-country power was lost special all-terrain tyres were fitted.

The propeller used to drive the vehicle in water was located on a swinging arm at the rear. Before the vehicle entered the water this arm had to be lowered to align the screw with the drive chain, and once propeller drive had been selected the rest of the transmission was isolated. Water steering was effected via the front wheels. Despite its handiness in water the Schwimmwagen proved to be equally at home in the desert wastes of North Africa, some ending in that theatre with the Deutsches Afrika Korps. Rommel made requests for more, but instead the bulk of the production went to the Eastern Front where the air-cooled engine and the presence of more water obstacles meant it could be used to better effect; Rommel instead got Kübelwagens.

Many of the Eastern Front models were fitted with a special tank containing a very volatile fuel for starting under winter conditions.

The Schwimmwagen was an attractive little vehicle and many were used by the Allies, often as trophies but more usually for runabouts by Allied commanders. Many still exist as collector's items.

**Specification**
Schwimmfahiger Gelandeng Typ 166  
Crew: 1+3  
Weights: unloaded 903.5 kg (1,992 lb); payload 434.5 kg (958 lb)  
Powerplant: one VW 1.13-litre petrol engine developing 18.64 kW (25 hp)  
Dimensions: length 3.825 m (12 ft 6.6 in); width 1.48 m (4 ft 10.3 in); height 1.615 m (5 ft 3.6 in)  
Performance: maximum road speed 80 km/h (50 mph); maximum water speed 11 km/h (7 mph); range 400-450 km (250-280 miles)  
Armament: none

Waffen SS soldiers from one of the SS Balkan units are about to board their Schwimmwagen during operations against partisans. During such operations the amphibious qualities of this vehicle could often be used to good advantage, as water obstacles were no problem.
**Allied and Axis Halftracks**

The need for the supporting arms to keep pace with the tanks was obvious to serious students of armoured warfare in the 1930s, but wheeled vehicles were roadbound and tracked support vehicles seemed an extravagance. Halftracks appeared to be the answer, and Germany and the USA built them by the thousand.

Between 1939 and 1945 the mobility of the halftrack imparted to all arms the ability to move at a pace that had not been even contemplated in 1918. Halftracks of all kinds moved infantry, combat engineers, signallers and artillery around the battlefields of World War II at speeds not even the prophets of armoured warfare had envisaged. Instead of the long lines of marching infantry that advanced across the battlefields of 1918, the front-line soldier of 1945 moved in formations of halftracks carrying not only the vanguard of the infantry but also all the support arms.

The impact of the internal combustion engine on the battlefield is frequently quoted with reference to the tank, but it was soon learned that the tank by itself could not operate without support, of which the most important was that furnished by the infantry, followed by that of the engineers and artillery. With the latter two went all the other supply, command and communication functions, which had to have the mobility and speed of the tank.

The halftrack was the best way of satisfying this operational requirement, and of all the nations involved in World War II the Soviet Union was alone in not producing such vehicles. Even the British, with their penchant for the tracked Bren Gun and Universal Carriers, were pleased to receive American halftracks, and the Soviet Union also used many when it suited them to accept such machines. The French developed many models of halftracks but had little chance to use the type in combat in 1940, mainly as a result of the rapid acceptance of armoured warfare principles by their German opponents. It was the Germans who made the best use of the halftrack's capabilities, and not even the massive output of the American arsenals can overshadow the impact that the German halftracks made at the time: even after a period of more than 40 years that impact still remains in the popular imagination. Thus, although the Americans produced more of their halftracks than can be easily appreciated, the main emphasis in this study is on the German halftracks, from the tiny Kettenrad to the mighty StuKfz 9.

But one factor must be borne in mind when reading this section; in cost terms, weight for weight the halftrack was, and still is, more expensive than the tank. The high degree of technology required to make the halftrack reliable is such that each example was an engineering achievement purchased at high cost in time and facilities. If only a small sector of that effort had been diverted to other weapons or equipment things might have been different for the German armed forces.
SdKfz 2 kleines Kettenrad

The SdKfz 2 kleines Kettenrad (SdKfz standing for Sonderkraftwagen or special vehicle, and kleines Kettenrad (meaning small wheel-track or half-track) was developed initially for use by the new German army and Luftwaffe airborne and paratroop units, and was supposed to be a very light type of artillery tractor. It was originally intended as a towing vehicle for the specialized 5.7-cm Pak 38 anti-tank gun developed for the airborne role, and also for the range of light recoiless guns that had also been developed for use by these specialized troops.

The first of these small tractors entered service in 1941. The initial service model was the SdKfz 101, a small but complex vehicle that could carry three men, including the driver who sat behind his steering bar close to the centre of the vehicle. The relatively long tracks took up much of the length of the vehicle on each side, and the engine was located under and behind the driver. Two men could sit at the rear, facing backwards, and the equipment to be towed was connected by a hitch at the rear. Apart from light artillery pieces the vehicle could also tow a specially-designed light trailer that could carry ammunition or fuel, and very often the seating for the two extra men was removed to make more room for cargo and supplies.

By the time the Kettenrad entered service its main intended use had passed with the mauling of the Luftwaffe airborne forces on Crete. Therafter the German airborne formations fought as infantry troops, and the need for their light artillery tractors was no longer pressing. Accordingly the Kettenrad was used mainly as a supply vehicle for troops operating in areas where other supply vehicles could not move without difficulty. While the little Kettenrads could carry out supply missions over seemingly impassable tracts of mud or sand they could not carry very much, and their towing capacity was limited to 600 kg (1,323 lb). This was a drawback compounded by the fact that relatively few Kettenrads were built, so the few that were available were usually reserved for really difficult missions. At one point it was proposed that a larger version to be known as the HK102 would be produced. This would have a larger 2-litre (122-cu in) engine (the original version had a 1.5-litre/91.55-cu in engine) that could power a larger vehicle capable of carrying five men or a correspondingly larger payload of supplies. It reached the design stage but got no further, since by 1944 it was finally appreciated that the Kettenrads were an expensive luxury that the German armed forces could no longer afford and the type went out of production.

Those Kettenrads in use in 1944 served up to the end, and there was even a specialized type for high speed forward area supply vehicles for use over difficult terrain. Three men could be carried.

Specification

- SdKfz 2
- Crew: 3
- Weights: 1200 kg (2,646 lb)
- Powerplant: one Opel Olympia 38 petrol engine developing 26.8kW (36 hp)
- Dimensions: length 2.74 m (8 ft 11.9 in); width 1.0 m (3 ft 3.4 in); height 1.01 m (3 ft 3.4 in)
- Performance: maximum road speed 49.7 mph

The SdKfz 2 kleines Kettenrad was originally intended for use as a light artillery tractor by airborne units, but after Crete these vehicles were more often used as light forward area supply vehicles for use over difficult terrain. Three men could be carried.

SdKfz 10 leichter Zugkraftwagen It

From the mass and weight of the 18-tonne SdKfz 9 the numerical sequence changed back to the lightest of the artillery tractors, the SdKfz 10 leichter Zugkraftwagen It. This light tractor had its origins in a 1932 army requirement, and the development work was carried out by Demag of Wetter-Ruhr. The first prototype was completed during 1934 and in 1937 the production model (the D 7) emerged. This remained in production until 1944 with its basic form virtually unchanged, and later attempts to replace this model never did get very far since the original was deemed more than adequate for its role.

The task was to tow light infantry and other weapons, and to carry the weapon detachment of up to eight men. The weapons included the 5.7-cm (1.456-in) Pak 35/36 anti-tank gun, the 7.5-cm (2.95-in) leIG 18 infantry support gun, the 5-cm (1.97-in) sIG 33 infantry gun. Other weapons towed included light anti-aircraft guns and later in the war the 5-cm (1.97-in) Pak 38 and 7.5-cm Pak 40 anti-tank guns. The basic vehicle was also used as the basis for the armoured SdKfz 250 series. All in all the SdKfz 10 was a very popular light tractor that remained in demand by all arms throughout the war. Production was carried out at two main centres, one of which was the Sauerwerke in Vienna, but by 1943 production concentrated at the other main centre (the Mechanische Werke at Cottbus) where the Vienna plant switched to other things. By German terms the production totals were large, more than 17,000 being completed.

By far the most numerous of this production total was the basic tractor but as usual this vehicle was used for other things. The first variants were produced as a reflection of the expected nature of the coming war for three variants, the SdKfz 101, SdKfz 102 and SdKfz 105 were all produced as chemical warfare vehicles: the first was a specially-equipped chemical recon

Below: The little SdKfz 2 kleines Kettenrad was originally intended for use as a light artillery tractor by airborne units, but after Crete these vehicles were more often used as light forward area supply vehicles for use over difficult terrain. Three men could be carried.

Above: The first SdKfz 2 kleines Kettenrad was originally intended for use as a light artillery tractor by airborne units, but after Crete these vehicles were more often used as light forward area supply vehicles for use over difficult terrain. Three men could be carried.

British soldiers try out a captured SdKfz 2 kleines Kettenrad. The driver sat in a well between the two tracks, with the engine just behind him.

SdKfz 10 leichter Zugkraftwagen It tractors are being used here in their intended role to tow 5-cm (1.97-in) Pak 38 anti-tank guns. The vehicles have their canvas covers stowed, and the gun crews' kit and equipment is stowed at the vehicle rear.
The first winter of the war in the USSR (1941-2) demonstrated to the German army that most of its wheeled transport was completely unable to deal with the dreadful muddy conditions produced during the freeze-thaw weather that marked the beginning and end of the Russian winter. During these conditions it was only the halftracks that could make any headway, but to divert the precious halftracks from their operational purposes to carry out the mundane day-to-day supply functions was obviously uneconomical, so it was decided to produce low-cost halftrack trucks. This was done quite simply by taking Opel and Daimler-Benz trucks from the production lines and removing their rear axles. In their place went new driveways connected to tracked assemblies made from PzKpfw II running wheels and tracks. In itself this was a considerable economic advantage since the PzKpfw II was then going out of production and existing capacity could be retained, making the truck conversion an even more cost-effective venture.

The new halftrack trucks were provided with the name Maultier (mule). In the end the conversions of mainly Opel Typ SSSM trucks, and in service they were generally a success although they tended to lack the overall mobility of the ‘proper’ halftracks. Not surprisingly, their use was confined to the Eastern Front, and the vehicles were used mainly for routine supply purposes.

Not content with a good thing, the Germans as ever were forced to employ the Maultiers for yet another purpose. The German Nebelwerfer (rockets) batteries had become an established part of the army artillery system by late 1942, and it was decided that the Panzer formations should have their own dedicated rocket units. At that time most Nebelwerfer units used towed launchers, so in order to keep up with the Panzers a self-propelled version was required. The halftrack was the obvious choice as a starting point, but as none could be allocated the Maultier was pressed into use.

The basic truck was provided with a fully armoured cab, engine cover and hull. On the hull roof a 10-barrel launcher known as the sWS when production totals of the latter allowed, which they never did (apart from a small batch of prototypes). The first of these Maultiers was used during 1943, and had a crew of three. The rockets were carried in the launcher, with reloads in compartments along each side of the lower hull. A machine-gun was usually carried. Some of these armoured Maultiers were produced without the launcher and were used to carry extra rockets for the launcher vehicles, and some of these were used by units other than the Nebelwerfer batteries as front-line ammunition supply vehicles, although their armour was proof only against small arms projectiles and shell splinters.

Specification
Maultier (rocket launcher)

GERMANY
Maultier

This SdKfz 10/4, a variant of the basic 1-tonne tractor, is fitted with a 2-cm (0.79-in) Flak 30 complete with its curved shield and with the sides folded down for action. Some of these vehicles were fitted with armoured cabs to protect the driver. Extra armament was usually carried in a towed trailer.

The light SdKfz 10 could mount either the 2-cm (0.79-in) Flak 30 or the Flak 38. These guns could be used against ground targets if required, as seen here. This is an SdKfz 10/4 with a Flak 30 and with the sides folded down to provide a firing platform.

specification
SdKfz 10
Crew: 8
Weight: 4900 kg (10,803 lb)
Powerplant: one Maybach HL 38 or 42 6-cylinder petrol engine developing 74.6kW (100 hp)

Dimensions: length 4.74 m (15 ft 6.6 in); width 1.83 m (6 ft); height 2.5 m (8 ft 2 in)
Performance: maximum road speed 65 km/h (40.4 mph); range 150 km (93 miles)
Armament: see text

The basic SdKfz 10 could mount either the 2-cm Flak 30 or the Flak 38. These guns could be used against ground targets if required, as seen here. This is an SdKfz 10/4 with a Flak 30 and with the sides folded down to provide a firing platform.

Armament: see text
**Germay**

**SdKfz 250 leichte Schützenpanzerwagen**

The vehicle that was to become the SdKfz 250 leichte Schützenpanzerwagen series had its origins in the same operational requirement produced during the mid-1930s that led to the SdKfz 251 series. It was intended that there would be both 1-tonne and 3-tonne halftracks to provide mobility for the infantry and other units operating with the Panzer divisions, and the smaller 1-tonne model became the SdKfz 250.

The SdKfz 250 was first produced by Demag AG of Wetter in the Ruhr, although later vehicle concerns were also involved in manufacture. The vehicle was based on the chassis of the SdKfz 10 leichte Zugkraftwagen 1-tonne variant, it featured an armoured hull with an open top to accommodate the crew of five men plus the driver. The first examples were produced during 1939, and the SdKfz 250 first went into action during the May 1940 campaign in France. Compared with its larger counterpart, the SdKfz 251, the SdKfz 250 halftrack was built and used on a much smaller scale but the type's production total was impressive enough (5,930 were made between 1942 and 1944) and by the time the war ended no less than 14,000 had been produced. The SdKfz 250 first went into action during the May 1940 campaign in France.

The main run of SdKfz 250 vehicles commenced with the SdKfz 250/1, which had a crew of six men and carried two machine-guns. There followed a number of models equipped for either radio (SdKfz 250/3) or telephone (SdKfz 250/2) communications, and a variety of weapon-carrying variants. These were armed with all manner of weapons from an 8.1-cm (3.2-in) mortar (SdKfz 250/7) to a 2-cm anti-aircraft cannon (SdKfz 250/9). Perhaps the oddest of these weapon carriers was the SdKfz 250/8, which appeared to be rather overloaded with a short 7.5-cm (2.95-in) tank gun (from the early versions of the PzKpfw IV tank) allied with a coaxial/ranging 7.92-mm (0.312-in) MG34 or MG42 machine-guns. There were two variants that were allocated their own special designation numbers. One was the SdKfz 252 which was supposed to be a special ammunition carrier towed behind a trailer; the reshaped and fully-enclosed interior was meant to carry ammunition for the StuG III assault gun batteries, but only a few were made before it was realized that the ordinary SdKfz 250 could carry out the role just as well and the SdKfz 252 was, thus replaced by the SdKfz 250/6 which could carry 70 7.5-cm rounds.

The other special version was the SdKfz 253 which acted as an observation post for the Sturmgeschütz batteries and was given a special radio fit. Other SdKfz 250 variants included the SdKfz 250/9, a special turretless version for the reconnaissance role; the SdKfz 250/12 range-finding and artillery survey model; light anti-tank models armed with either a 37-mm (1.456-in) anti-tank gun (SdKfz 250/10) or a special lighter anti-tank rifle (SdKfz 250/11); and a variety of models and command and communication substitute SdKfz 251s. Unofficial versions mounted a 2-cm anti-aircraft cannon, and there were at least one attempt to mount a 5-cm (1.97-in) anti-tank gun. Type SdKfz 250/7 was popular little halftracks, and they remained in production right up till the end of the war. They were expensive to produce, but they were used on every front and in service proved reliable and sturdy.

**Germay**

**SdKfz 11 leichte Zugkraftwagen 3t**

The SdKfz 11 leichte Zugkraftwagen 3t series had a somewhat different early development life, for the first versions that appeared in early 1934 were produced by Borgward and Goliath who later combined to form Borgward AG. For various reasons development of these early vehicles passed to Hanomag of Hanover, which became responsible for the chassis from then onwards, and by 1939 the SdKfz 11 leichte Zugkraftwagen 3t was in full production.

The basic SdKfz 11 was intended for use primarily as an artillery tractor, and once in service it became a standard artillery support vehicle overall. The 3.7-cm (1.456-in) Flak 18 field howitzer batteries, and was later used to tow 7.5-cm (2.95-in) Pak 40 and 8.8-cm (3.46-m) Pak 43 and Pak 43/1 anti-tank guns.

The SdKfz 11 was so successful with the Flak 18 batteries that the larger SdKfz 6 which was also meant to tow these howitzers was withdrawn from production in favour of the lighter (and less expensive) tractor, The SdKfz 11 tractors were also used by the Luftwaffe to tow light flak weapons, such as the Flak 36 and 37, and it was by the army’s Nebelwerfer (literally smoke-thrower) batteries that the SdKfz 11 was mainly used.

Despite their name the Nebelwerfer units were primarily rocket troops firing their missiles to bolster artillery barrages. The SdKfz 11s used with these batteries not only towed various multi-barrel launchers but also carried spare rockets, launcher frames for the statically-emplaced launchers and the crews to carry out the fire missions. Since the Nebelwerfer units were supposed to retain their smoke-producing skills for laying down smoke screens at times, some SdKfz 11s (the SdKfz 11/1 and SdKfz 11/4 models) were fitted with smoke-generating equipment but this could usually be removed for the more usual rocket-firing duties. These smoke-generating models had a crew of only two men, one with the nine tubes that could be carried when the vehicle was used as a tractor.

Two variants, the SdKfz 11/2 and SdKfz 11/3, were produced specifically for the chemical warfare decontamination role. These vehicles could carry more equipment and decontaminants than the smaller SdKfz 10 equivalents, and were intended for use with larger equipment such as tanks; but as with the smaller models few appear to have been produced and no records have survived of any being encountered. No doubt they were converted to become normal tractors.

At one point several production centres were busy churning out SdKfz 11s and it was one halftrack that remained in production until the end although by then only one factory, Auto-Union at Chemnitz, was in full spate. The Borgward plant at Bremen was supposed to remain in production, but was damaged by bomber raids and could turn out components only. By then some changes had been made to simplify manufacture. The metal superstructures of the early models was replaced by wooded units, and to increase the operational radius of the new vehicles the suspension springs were strengthened. The number of rounds that could be carried when the vehicle was used as a tractor.

An SdKfz 11 leichte Zugkraftwagen 3t of the Afrika Korps towed a 10.5-cm (4.13-in) leFH 18 field howitzer soon after the arrival of the Korps in North Africa in 1941 - hence the pith helmets (soon discarded). This tractor was developed by Hanomag and remained in production until 1944.

Tractor vehicles by 1945 was such that many were in use towing far heavier artillery pieces (and other loads) than those for which they were intended, one example of which was the large 8.8-cm (3.46-m) Pak 43 and Pak 43/1 anti-tank guns.
The SdKfz 251 was primarily used as a tractor for medium field artillery such as the 10.5-cm (4.13-in) howitzer and 7.5-cm (2.95-in) Pak 40 anti-tank gun. The SdKfz 11 was so successful that it largely superseded the bigger SdKfz 6.

**Specification**
- **SdKfz 251**
  - Crew: 9
  - Weight: 7100 kg (15,653 lb)
  - Powerplant: one Maybach NL 38.6-cylinder petrol engine developing 74.6 kW (100 hp)
  - Dimensions: length 5.48 m (17 ft 11.3 in); width 2.5 m (8 ft 2.5 in); height 1.62 m (5 ft 3.8 in)
  - Performance: maximum road speed 52.5 km/h (32.5 mph); road range 300 km (186 miles); gradient 24°; fording 0.6 m (24 in); 2.0 m (6 ft 7 in)
  - Armament: see text

The SdKfz 251/10 was known as 'Uhu' (owl) and carried an infra-red searchlight to illuminate targets for small groups of Panzer tanks at night. These variants were produced late in the war and were used mainly on the Eastern Front.

**SdKfz 251 mittlerer Schützenpanzerwagen**

The SdKfz 251 mittlerer Schützenpanzerwagen series of halftracks had its origins in the same staff requirement as the SdKfz 250, but whereas the SdKfz 250 was a light 1-tonne vehicle the SdKfz 251 was classed as a medium (mittlerer) 3-tonne vehicle. The SdKfz 251 was a product of the Hanomag concern, based at Hanover, but the hull and superstructure were produced by Bussing-NAG. The basis of the SdKfz 251 was the SdKfz 11 leichter Zugkraftwagen-3-tonne artillery tractor halftrack, and the first production examples were issued to the 1st Panzer Division early in 1939.

The SdKfz 251 was primarily an armoured personnel carrier capable of carrying up to 12 men (a complete infantry section), and it was this SdKfz 251/1 version that was produced in the greatest numbers. Armed with at least two machine-guns plus the carried crew weapons, the SdKfz 251/1 was a very useful fighting platform capable of keeping up with the fast-moving Panzer formations. No fewer than four differing hull versions were introduced, mainly as a result of the need to churn out more and more vehicles to meet the ever-expanding demand of front-line troops, but that was nothing compared to the number of variants produced for other roles. Armour varied in thickness from 6 to 14.5 mm (0.24 to 0.57 in).

There were no fewer than 22 of these special-purpose variants, plus the usual local and unofficial modifications. They ranged from weapon carriers of all kinds to ambulances, and in between came observation vehicles for various forms of artillery, command and communications versions (both radio and telephone), versions carrying infra-red searchlights or anti-aircraft weapons, (including the SdKfz 251/20) and even tank-killers mounting long 7.5-cm (2.95-in) anti-tank guns. The full listing is given elsewhere in this study, but perhaps the most powerful of the weapon carriers was a version of the basic SdKfz 251/1 known as the 'Stuka zum Fuss' (diavelbomer on foot, or infantry Stuka).

This was the personnel carrier with a tubular steel frame over the hull but also carried three rocket-launcher frames on each side of the vehicle: 28-cm (11 in) or 32-cm (12.6-m) rockets were mounted on these side frames while still in their carrying crates and fired at short ranges against fixed or area targets. They were powerful weapons, especially for street fighting, but other SdKfz 251 versions, such as the SdKfz 251/9 armed with a short 7.5-cm tank gun, were far more accurate. There was even a flamethrower version (the SdKfz 251/16) and one model was a late-war low-level anti-aircraft defence expedient, the SdKfz 251/21 mounting three 1.5-cm or 2-cm aircraft guns (the MG151) on a single mounting.

The SdKfz 251 in all its forms was produced in thousands and became a virtual ‘trademark’ of the Panzer formations. It was used on all fronts, usually in close co-operation with tanks, and although the early versions displayed some unfortunate reliability problems the type settled down to become a rugged and dependable vehicle in whatever role it was used.

**Specification**
- **SdKfz 251**
  - Crew: 12
  - Weight: 7810 kg (17,218 lb)
  - Powerplant: one Maybach HL 42 6-cylinder petrol engine developing 74.6 kW (100 hp)
  - Dimensions: length 5.80 m (19 ft 0.3 in); width 2.10 m (6 ft 10.7 in); height 1.75 m (5 ft 8.9 in)
  - Performance: maximum road speed 72.5 km/h (45.2 mph); road range 300 km (186 miles); gradient 24°; fording 0.6 m (24 in); 2.0 m (6 ft 7 in)
  - Armament: see text

The badge on the front of this SdKfz 251/9 denotes that it is part of the schwere Kanonenzug of the reconnaissance battalion of the 2nd Panzer Division. This is an early example of the mounting for the 7.5-cm (2.95-in) short anti-tank gun used to provide local light support; this vehicle is one of six in the company.
The SdKfz 6 mittlerer Zugkraftwagen 5t was a medium tractor. Development of this vehicle commenced during 1934, the early work being carried out by Bussing-NAG in Berlin. There were two main purposes: one was for the SdKfz 6 to act as the main tractor vehicle for the 10.5-cm (4.13-in) leFH 18 batteries, and the other was for the engineer units, where the tractor would be able to tow the heavy combat engineer equipment on trailers. In both cases the vehicle could carry up to 11 men, and more at a squeeze.

Production of the SdKfz 6 vehicles was carried out by Bussing-NAG and Daimler-Benz, but the numbers involved came to no more than about 737. The main reason for this was that the SdKfz 6 was rather an interim vehicle that fell between two stools: lighter vehicles could be used to tow the artillery pieces, and it was really too light for some of the heavier engineer equipment. It was also rather costly to produce, so by 1941 a decision was made to phase the vehicle from production and replace it with the far less expensive sWS. Even so, it was late 1942 before production finally finished and the vehicle already produced continued in use right until the war ended, sometimes pulling artillery pieces far heavier than those for which the type had been designed.

Two versions of engine were produced for the SdKfz 6, the first developing 67.1 kW (90 hp) and the later version 74.6 kW (100 hp). Surprisingly enough, the SdKfz 6 was modified only slightly during its service career. Most were produced as standard tractors with seating for the artillery detachment that could be covered by a canvas tilt, but there were also three weapon-carrier variants. The first was the 7.5-cm StL70/40.5 and never really got past the prototype stage; it was an attempt to produce a mobile 7.5-cm (2.95-in) gun for use with cavalry units, and at least three prototypes were produced between 1934 and 1935. The type was never placed in production, but at least one was captured during the fighting in North Africa. Then there was the model known as the Duna or 7.62-cm Pak 36(r) auf Panzerjäger Stf Zugkraftwagen 5t, an attempt to mount captured Soviet 76.2-mm (3-in) guns in a high armoured superstructure built onto the rear of an SdKfz 6. This superstructure was open and rather high and the gun was placed on the vehicle complete with its wheels and attenuated trials. The gun was the Soviet Model 1936 which was used as a dual anti-tank/field gun. Only nine were produced and again one was captured in North Africa by the Allies. The third SdKfz 6 weapon earner was the SdKfz 6/2, which mounted a 3.7-cm (1.456-in) Flak 36 anti-aircraft gun on an open platform behind the driver’s position; the sides folded down to act as a working platform for the gun crew. The first of these variants was produced during 1937 and most of them went to the Luftwaffe. They had a crew of seven and were widely used.

Specification

SdKfz 6
Crew: 11
Weights: 8700 kg (19,180 lb)
Powerplant: one Maybach NL 38 six-cylinder petrol engine developing 86 kW (115 hp), giving a maximum road speed of 50 km/h (31 mph).

SdKfz 7 mittlerer Zugkraftwagen 8t

The SdKfz 7 mittlerer Zugkraftwagen 8t had its origins in a series of Krauss-Maffei design projects that dated back as far as 1928, but it was not until an army staff requirement for an 8-tonne halftrack tractor was made in 1934 that development really got under way. Between 1934 and 1938 a number of trial versions were produced until the final version appeared in 1938 as the SdKfz 7 mittlerer Zugkraftwagen. This vehicle earned its primary fame as the main tractor for the well-known 8.8-cm (3.46-in) Flak 18, 36 and 37 guns, but it was also used as a tractor for many other artillery weapons including the 15-cm (5.9-in) sFH 18 and the 10.5-cm (4.13-in) K 18.

In its tractor form the SdKfz 7 could carry up to 12 men and their kit, and there was still space left for ammunition and/or other supplies. The gun detachment sat on open bench seats behind the driver, and could be covered by a canvas tilt to keep out some of the weather. The vehicle could tow the 10.5-cm (4.13-in) leFH18, 36 and 37 guns, and also was used as a tractor for many other artillery weapons including the 15-cm (5.9-in) sFH 18 and the 10.5-cm (4.13-in) K 18.

In its AA variant a 3.7-cm (1.456-in) Flak 36 was mounted on an open platform. The presence of a crew member with range finder da tes the picture as early in the war; later they were withdrawn to save manpower.

Dimensions: length 6.01 m (19 ft 8.6 in); width 2.20 m (7 ft 2.6 in); height 2.48 m (8 ft 1.6 in)
Performance: maximum road speed 50 km/h (31 mph)
Armament: see text

Famous as themain tractor for the 88-mm Flak 18, 36 and 37 guns, the SdKfz 7 also towed a wide variety of field artillery. Over 3,000 of these halftracks were in service by the end of 1942, and it was still in widespread use at the end of the war.
weights up to 8000 kg (17,637 lb), and most vehicles were fitted with a winch that could pull up to 3450 kg (7,606 lb). The SdKfz 7 proved to be a most useful vehicle and was widely admired, A captured example was copied in the United Kingdom by Bedford Motors with a view to manufacture for Allied use, and the Italian Etablissements produced a near-copy known as the Breda 61. But the Germans carried on churning out as many as they could. By the end of 1942 there were 3,262 in service. Not all of these were tractors, for the load-carrying capacity of the SdKfz 7 was such that it also made an ideal weapon platform.

The first of these weapon carriers was the SdKfz 7/1, which mounted a 2-cm Flakvierling four-gun anti-aircraft mounting on the open rear. On many of these vehicles the driver's position and the engine cover were provided with armoured protection. The SdKfz 7/1 was used extensively for such that it also made an ideal weapon platform.

The SdKfz 7/1 was used extensively for infantry units, for which it would act as a forward supply vehicle. The schwerer Wehrmachtsschlepper (sWS) was intended to be a low-cost general-purpose tractor to fulfil a number of roles. Production started during 1943 but always lagged behind demand, leading to the development of the Maus. This version was fitted with an armoured cab as a forward supply vehicle.

### Specification sWS

- **Crew:** 2
- **Weights:** about 13300 kg (29,762 lb)
- **Powerplant:** one Maybach HL42 six-cylinder petrol engine developing 74.6kW (100 hp)
- **Dimensions:** length 6.68 m (21 ft 11 in); width 2.50 m (8 ft 2,4 in); height 2.83 m (9 ft 3,4 in)
- **Performance:** maximum road speed 30 km/h (18.7 mph)
- **Armaments:** none

By the end of 1941 experience in the field had demonstrated that the German halftrack fleet was in some need of revision. At the bottom end of the range the 1-tonne and 3-tonne cargo and supply/artillery tractors were well capable of carrying on as they were, but the medium to heavy range was proving more complex. It was decided that the 5-tonne range would be discontinued since the 8-tonne range would be required for heavy artillery and other purposes. Thus an intermission between the 3-tonne and 8-tonne vehicles was sought, but it had to be a relatively low-cost solution. By 1941 the German war machine was being stretched, not in capacity alone but in the range of types of equipment required; a low cost halftrack was thus needed.

The design accepted was a Büssing-NAGoffering, and eventually became known as the schwerer Wehrmachtsschlepper (sWS, or army heavy tractor). It was intended to be a general personnel carrier and supply vehicle. Accordingly it was virtually a half-tracked truck with virtually no armour in its cargo-carrying area and an open cab with a soft top for the driver and one passenger. In order to keep costs as low as possible the tracks did not use the time-consuming and expensive rubber capped tracks of front-line vehicles, but instead used single dry-pin all-steel tracks.

The sWS went into production at the Büssing-NAG plant in Berlin and also at the Ringhofer-Tatra plant in Czechoslovakia, but production was very slow. The sWS did not have a very high production priority and from time to time Bomber Command weighed in to production priority and from time to time to have had a crew of five, but it is doubtful if many actually reached the service stage. Although few were actually produced when compared to the totals of other German halftracks, the sWS proved efficient enough in service, and was proportionately far more cost-effective than some other models.

### Specification SdKfz 7

- **Crew:** 12
- **Weights:** 11550 kg (25,463 lb)
- **Powerplant:** one Maybach HL62 six-cylinder petrol engine developing 104.4kW (140 hp)
- **Dimensions:** length 6.85 m (20 ft 3 in); width 2.40 m (7 ft 10.5 in); height 2.62 m (8 ft 7.1 in)
- **Performance:** maximum road speed 50 km/h (31 mph)
- **Armaments:** see text

The schwerer Wehrmachtsschlepper was intended to be a low-cost general-purpose tractor to fill a number of roles. Production started during 1943 but always lagged behind demand, leading to the development of the Maus. This version was fitted with an armoured cab as a forward supply vehicle.
As has already been mentioned, the SdKfz designation followed no logical sequence and the SdKfz 8 schwerer Zugkraftwagen 12t was actually the first of the German halftracks to be developed and produced. It consequently established many of the features and design details that were later to be used on other German halftrack designs. The line of development that led to the SdKfz 8 can be traced back to some early halftrack design work, one result of which was an advanced vehicle known as the Marienwagen. After 1919 Daimler-Benz continued its development work, bringing out a series of vehicles, one of which attracted the attention of the Red Army (in 1931 there was even talk of a Soviet production order). This appears never to have come about, for instead the German army ordered a model known as the Daimler-Benz DB S 7. Later versions followed the general layout of this vehicle, but gradually more powerful engines were fitted until the series reached the Daimler-Benz DB 10.

The SdKfz 8 was designed as an artillery tractor, and an artillery tractor it remained throughout its service life. There was only one variation, a 1940 conversion of the vehicle, but gradually more powerful engines were fitted until the series reached the Daimler-Benz DB 10. The SdKfz 8 was designed as an artillery tractor, and an artillery tractor it remained throughout its service life.

By late 1942 there were 1,615 SdKfz 8s in service. Production was concentrated at two main centres, the Daimler-Benz works at Berlin-Manenfelde and the Kruppwerke at Mülhausen. At one time some production work was also carried out at the Skodawerke at Pilsen, and in the years after the war the new Czech army used a large number of SdKfz 8s, some of them lasting until well into the 1960s.

One variation of the SdKfz 8 was a vehicle known as the HK 1601. This differed from the normal SdKfz 8 in many ways and was an attempt to combine the features of the large 18t halftracks and the SdKfz 8. The prototype appeared in late 1941 and after three more had been built it was decided to produce a batch of another 30. These were apparently built and used on the Eastern Front. They had a cargo-type body to carry the crew of 13, Production of the SdKfz 8 ceased during 1944.

**Specification**

**SdKfz 8**
- Crew: 13
- Weight: 15000 kg (33,069 lb)
- Powerplant: one Maybach HL 85 12-cylinder petrol engine developing 158.0kW (215 hp)

**SdKfz 9**
- Crew: 9
- Weight: 18000 kg (39,683 lb)
- Powerplant: one Maybach HL 12 petrol engine developing 186.4 kW (250 hp)

**Dimensions**
- Length: 7.35 m (24 ft 1.4 in)
- Width: 2.50 m (8 ft 2.4 in)
- Height: 2.81 m (9 ft 2.6 in)
- Performance: maximum road speed 51 km/h (31.7 mph)
American halftracks

It is difficult to condense the entire story of the American halftrack into a few hundred words, for to even list the number of types would probably fill this study. The American halftrack development history started during the late 1920s, when some Citroen-Kégresse halftracks were purchased, and subsequent trials led to a long series of development models before the hull of the White Scout Car M2 was allied with a Kégresse halftrack suspension and the ‘classic’ American halftrack emerged as the Half-Track Car M2 that went into production in early 1941, the first examples reaching the troops in May of that year. Thereafter the halftracks rolled off the assembly lines in their thousands. It would be easy to say that most of them were personnel carriers, but also in- cluded in the totals were mortar car- riers, multiple gun motor carriages, gun motor carriages, trucks and a vast array of experimental types of all kinds. All manner of weapons were hung upon the basic halftrack chassis at one time or another but among those that were used in action were 57-mm (2.24-m) anti-tank guns, 75-mm (2.95-in) field guns and even 105-mm (4.13-m) howitzers. Anti-aircraft versions carried varying multiples of 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns, 20-mm cannon and 40-mm Bofors guns. Combat engineer equipment was another widely carried load (each model had racks along the sides to carry anti-tank mines).

It was the personnel carriers that were the most widely used, and in several versions. The early M2 was supplemented by the later Half-Track Personnel Carrier M3 which could also be used as a communications vehicle, an artillery tow vehicle, and as an armoured ambulance. The even later Half-Track Personnel Carrier MS differed in production methods and there was also a Half-Track Car M9. Seating varied between models from 10 to 13, and there were various dispositions of machine-gun mountings. The usual arrangement was a 12.7-mm Browning at the front on a large ring mounting and a 7.62-mm (0.3-in) Browning on a pintle at the rear. To this could be added the weapons of the carried troops, and the picture of halftracks firing away as they went into action is complete. It now seems impossible to visualize troops operating in Europe in 1944 and 1945 without halftracks, where in the picture, for the Americans issued halftracks of all kinds to their Allies, including the British who started to use American halftracks even before the fighting in North Africa ended. Production of halftracks was some 41,170 units.

Above: The American M3 halftrack was such a widely used vehicle that it became a virtual trademark of the US Army and other Allied forces, including the Red Army. This M3 is complete with the canvas tilt, a forward-mounted winch and the ‘pulpit’ machine-gun mounting, here with a 0.50-in (12.7-mm) Browning.

After the war the halftrack story did not end, and even now is still not over for the halftrack in several forms is still a front-line vehicle for the Israel Defence Forces. Re-engined and refurbished for the umpteenth time, halftracks continue to be used by the mechanized formations of the Israeli army although most have now been relegated to the Reserve Forces. Other armed forces still use halftracks, but now the most common use is as a recovery vehicle, a role that commenced during World War II with the Allied forces. It should not be forgotten that during World War II one of the halftrack user nations was the Soviet Union, for large numbers were shipped there from 1942 onwards. Rumour has it that some still survive with some of the smaller Warsaw Pact nations.

**Specification**

M3

Crew: 13

Weight: 9299 kg (20,500 lb)

Powerplant: one White 160AX-series cylinder petrol engine developing 109.6kW (147hp)

Dimensions: length 4.86 m (15 ft 11.5 in); width 2.22 m (7 ft 3.5 in); height 2.26 m (7 ft 5 in)

Performance: maximum road speed 64.4 km/h (40 mph); range 282 km (175 miles); gradient 31%; fording 0.81 m (32 in)

Armament: one 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-gun and one 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-gun

An early shot of the M2 halftrack, taken when the US Army was still using the World War I helmets and equipment. This vehicle still has the original centre-mounted machine-gun mount for a 0.30-inch (7.62-mm) Browning machine-gun, and lacks the side racks for anti-tank mines that were often fitted to operational vehicles.

---

Unie Kégresse P 107

Some confusion still remains as to the actual manufacturer of the French half- track known as the P 107. Sources state it was produced by the Unie concern while others refer to this vehicle as the Citroen-Kégresse P 107. The truth is that both companies produced the P 107, Citroen having what may now be described as the design parentage. Citroen employed Kégresse for some years after the engineer’s return from Russia to France, and accordingly Citroen produced a long string of half-track designs using the Kégresse rubber-based track under the Citroen-Kégresse label. The P 107 was but one of these designs, and the first of this type appeared during the late 1930s. The P 107 went on to be among the more numerous of the many French halftracks.

The P 107 was produced in two forms. One was an artillery tractor for light field pieces and anti-tank guns. This version had a soft top covering the space for the crew of from five to seven men, and lockers at the rear for ammunition and other supplies. The second version, produced in smaller numbers, was an engineer tractor. This had an open cargo body behind the cab and was used to tow trailers carrying combat engineer equipment such as bridging pontoons. By 1939 both types were in French army service in some numbers. Both were sound and reliable vehicles and the demand for them was such that both Unie and Citroen had production lines devoted to them, hence the confusion in name.

The events of May 1940 caused a change of ownership for the P 107s. Large numbers of both types of vehicle fell into German hands and they had another change of name; this time to leichter Zugkraftwagen U 304(f). Always short of halftracks, the German army took the type into immediate service and the French halftracks that had c 16 towed French anti-tank guns were used to tow German weapons such as...
During World War II, other than the hybrid 7.5-cm (2.95-in) Pak 97/38, the Germans converted the French vehicles to become substitute leichter Schützenpanzerwagen. The French vehicles were stripped of their superstructures and fitted with armoured hulls almost exactly like those of the SdKfz 250 series and when completed they were used in exactly the same way as their German counterparts (apart from the fact that some were used as armoured ambulances). The one ‘French’ feature the Germans did not change was the forward-mounted roller under the nose of the vehicle. This was used to assist the vehicle in and out of ditches and similar obstacles and proved so useful it was not removed. Most of these conversions were retained for use in France by the units based there, and many were used during the fighting in Normandy in June 1944. Some of the original tractors were also encountered, so not all the P 107s underwent the armoured conversion.

**Specification**

- **P 107**
- **Crew:** 5-7
- **Weight:** empty 2350 kg (5,181 lb); loaded 4050 kg (8,929 lb)
- **Powerplant:** one 4-cylinder petrol engine developing 41.0 kW (55 hp)
- **Dimensions:** length 4.85 m (15 ft 10.9 in); width 1.80 m (5 ft 10.9 in); height 1.95 m (6 ft 4.8 in)
- **Performance:** max road speed 45 km/h (28mph); range 400km (248.5 miles)
- **Armament:** none

**USA**

**Soviet halftracks**

For various reasons the Soviet Union did not make great use of halftracks during World War II other than employing American halftracks supplied to them under Lend-Lease. One of the main reasons for this was the relative cost in expense and production facilities that the halftrack demanded, and as the Soviet Union already had a large and productive full-tracked tractor industry geared to the requirements of the various agricultural Five-Year Plans, tracked tractors were frequently used for artillery when halftracks might otherwise have been considered. This suggests that the Soviets were not interested in the halftrack concept; but they were. They recognized the strength of their mobility and handling advantages, and in 1951 considered the purchase of 12-tonne halftracks from Germany. At that time their interest was such that two indigenous designs were placed into limited production. These were the YaSP and the Zis-33 trucks converted to the half-track configuration, and later also used as artillery tractors. The YaSP was produced at Yaroslavl and was a Ya G-5 Komit truck fitted at the rear with a halftrack suspension (derived from the track system of the T-26 light tank) allied to a new drive shaft from the main engine at the front. The Zis-33 was a somewhat simpler vehicle that retained the main rear drive wheel allied to a halftrack suspension, and was built using the Zis-5 truck.

The relative success of these two design ventures engendered more during 1936. Most of these did not get very far. One was the VM Pikap, a version of the Zis-6 light truck. In 1937 more models appeared, most of them intended for the artillery tractor role. They included a 1'/4-ton model (the Vezdekhods Model B), a 1 '/2-ton model (the BM) and a 2-ton model (the VZ). As far as can be determined only the latter two models actually got to the production stage, and again they were halftrack conversions of existing trucks.

By the time 1941 came around the Soviet armed forces had few halftracks in service compared with the number of wheeled or fully-tracked vehicles. Many of what they did have were soon lost during the German advances of 1941, and all captured German halftracks were pressed into Soviet use. The Red Army soldiers soon learned how useful these were, and from 1942 onwards there was a deliberate programme to make use of even damaged German halftracks. Hulks were salvaged from battlefields and stripped of all useful items, especially the running wheel, tracks and drive components. These were taken to the GAZ plant in the Urals where they were fitted with GAZ-63 halftracks to form GAZ-60 troop carriers. The GAZ-60 used all manner of German components, the most favoured being those from the SdKfz 251 series of vehicles. Few of these wartime expedient vehicles survived the war years.

One other known Soviet halftrack produced during 1942 was known as the Zis-42. It was a 2'/4-ton semi-tracked weapons carrier, but no other details have survived so it does not appear to have been produced in quantity.

Above: this little Citroën-Regresse five-seater was one of many French light halftracks that were used during the 1920s to develop the Regresse rubber-based track. Many of these light halftracks were still in use in 1939, mainly as staffcars.

Left: The Soviets made extensive use of USM3 halftracks supplied under Lend-Lease, modifying them for their own use. Here two M3s of the Red Army are seen fitted with 76.2-mm (3-in) guns as improvised tank destroyers, an arrangement the US army also experimented with.

Below: One of the most successful Soviet pre-war halftracks, the Zis-33 was built on a truck chassis. This vehicle is seen with a propaganda unit broadcasting news of Red Army victories in the south to German positions in the north.

The Soviets made great use of captured German halftrack components to construct hybrids like this GAZ truck.
Armoured Cars

Battles are often won as a result of a commander having more accurate information than his opponent. For a long time, such battlefield information was provided by the cavalry, but in the fast-moving mechanized war of 1939-45 it was the armoured car that operated on many fronts, ranging far ahead of its parent formations.

The armoured car today retains a niche in modern armoured warfare largely unchanged since the early days of World War II: its primary function was one of scouting and reconnaissance. The armoured car has this role because it is generally much faster and handier to employ than the more ponderous tank, though the armoured car does pay for these attributes by being relatively thinly armoured and lightly armed, if, indeed, it possesses any armament at all. In short, the armoured car has to rely on speed and manoeuvrability to survive. However, its reconnaissance role is a vital one as modern armoured and infantry formations cannot operate without knowing what is happening 'on the other side of the hill'.

By 1939 the armoured car had settled into an established form. It usually had a 4 x 4 drive configuration (although many larger designs had as many as eight wheels on four axles) and it was usually purpose-built. This did not prevent extemporized designs, such as the early South African Marmon Herringtons, from providing excellent service, but generally speaking most armoured cars were designed specifically for their job and were not the hurried conversions from commercial chassis that were the general rule in World War I. The role of the armoured car had also been formalized, and by 1939 this car and the little scout cars were an integral part of the reconnaissance structure of virtually every type of operational structure from the German Panzer division to the ordinary infantry division.

It would be safe to say that the armoured car units had a relatively free and easy war. Their casualties were often heavy, but in general they enjoyed the benefits of operating well away from the formal methods and organizational structure of the rest of their army, and they were thus able to employ their own initiative and tactics in a way that was impossible in most other units. They ranged far and wide, sometimes took part in spectacular raids and generally took the battle to the enemy, but in all armies their primary function was one of reconnaissance. The success of the armoured cars was not measured in casualties and combat but in the quality and accuracy of the information and intelligence they were able to pass back to the rear. Their armament was primarily defensive, and although superlative fighting vehicles, such as the Puma and M8 Greyhound, were put in service, it should be borne in mind that perhaps the most successful of the vehicles described here was the little Daimler scout car, a vehicle type that survives to this day in the British Ferret reconnaissance vehicle.

A German Panzerspähwagen or armoured car belonging to the 'Das Reich' Division of the Waffen-SS on the Eastern Front in the summer of 1941.
The Automitrailleuse Panhard et Levassor Type 178 armoured car was first produced in 1935, and was developed from a design known as the TOE-M-32, which was intended for use in the French North African colonies and mounted a short 37-mm turret gun. Panhard used this design as a basis for a new French army requirement but gave the new vehicle a 4x4 drive configuration and moved the engine to the rear of the vehicle. The result was the Panhard 178 and the armament varied from a single 25-mm cannon on some vehicles to two 7.5-mm (0.295-m) machine-guns on others, while some command vehicles had extra radios but no armament. The Panhard 178 was known also as the Panhard Modèle 1935.

The Panhard 178 was put into production for the French infantry and cavalry formation reconnaissance groups. Production was slow, but by 1940 there were appreciable numbers available for the fighting which followed the German invasion in May. Many of the Panhard 178s were in widely scattered units and were unable to take much part in the fighting that ensued, so many were seized intact by the victorious Germans. The Germans liked the sound design of the Panhard 178 and decided to take it into their own service as the Panzerspähwagen P 204(f), some of them being rearmed with 37-mm anti-tank guns and/or German machine-guns. Some of these were retained for garrison use in France, but others were later sent to the USSR, where the type was intended for use in France in 1940. The Germans found these vehicles good enough for them to take into their own service, and many were used for anti-partisan operations in the USSR.

Powerplant: one 6.33-litre water-cooled petrol engine developing 105bhp (78kW)
Performance: maximum road speed 72 km/h (45 mph); road range 300 km (186 miles); fording 0.6 m (1 ft 11 in); gradient 40°; vertical obstacle 0.3 m (11 in); trench 0.6 m (11 in)

specifications

**Panhard 178**
- Crew: 4
- Weight: (in action) 8.5 tonnes
- Dimensions: length over all 4.79 m (15 ft 9 in); width 2.01 m (6 ft 7 in); height 2.31 m (7 ft 7 in)

Germany

**schwerer Panzerspähwagen SdKfz 231**

The schwere Panzerspähwagen SdKfz 231 6x4 heavy armoured car had its origins at the Kazan test centre established in the Soviet Union during the 1920s. There the German automobile industry developed an 8x8 armoured car chassis that proved to be too expensive for further development, so a 6x4 chassis was tried instead. This model used a truck chassis as its basis, and originally this was a Daimler-Benz product but later Büssing-NAG and Magirus chassis and engines were employed. These chassis were fitted with suitable armoured hulls and turrets, and modifications were made to allow steering from either end of the hull. Early trials demonstrated the need for stronger front axles and revised radiators, and the resulting vehicle was issued to German army units in 1932. Production continued until 1935, by which time about 1,000 had been produced.

The 6x4 armoured cars were not a great success but they were produced at a time when the German army lacked experience in the use of armoured vehicles, and were thus invaluable as training and preparation equipments. Using forsyth chassis carrying armoured hulls that were really too heavy for their supporting structures, the six-wheeled armoured cars were underpowered and had only limited cross-country capabilities. But when used on roads they were as good as anything else available, and they were used to good effect during the occupations of Austria and Czechoslovakia during 1938 and 1939, and were also used in combat in Poland and France. Their very appearance had great propaganda impact, and they were accordingly given great media coverage at the time. After 1940 they gradually faded from front-line use and were relegated to a training role.

Schwerer Panzerspähwagen SdKfz 231 armed with a 20-mm (0.787-in) cannon. This pre-war design used a truck chassis as its basis, but the overall weight made the vehicle unsuitable for prolonged cross-country use.
Armoured cars had provision for only one 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG 34 machine-gun in the turret, but the version used mainly by the heavy platoons of the German army motorized units was the SdKfz 231. This had a turret mounting a 20-mm cannon, originally the KwK 30 but later the KwK 38 with a higher rate of fire. Mounted co-axially with this cannon was a 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG 34, and there was provision for an anti-aircraft machine-gun on the turret roof. The SdKfz 231 was used as a tactical vehicle (undertaking a combat role in direct fire support of motorized infantry units mounted on trucks or later on half-tracks), but at the front it also provided support of light reconnaissance units for Panzer formations. Another vehicle that was very similar to the SdKfz 231 was the SdKfz 232, which was basically a SdKfz 231 fitted with a long-range radio set that required the fitting of a large and prominent frame aerial above the turret and over the hull rear, the turret acting as a support for the forward part of the aerial. Another similar vehicle was the SdKfz 263, which also had a large frame aerial, though on this vehicle the turret was fixed and had provision for a single machine-gun only. The SdKfz 263 was used as a command vehicle.

Almost as soon as the first six-wheeled armoured cars were issued to the expanding German army during the mid-1930s the German staff planners realized that they were not the vehicles that would be required in the long term as they were underpowered and lacked cross-country mobility. They requested an eight-wheeled armoured car with an engine to match, and decided to develop a Bussing-NAG 8x8 lorry chassis for use as an armoured car. Development began in full during 1935 and the first production examples were issued to the Army in 1937. This 8x8 heavy armoured car was known as the schwerer Panzerspähwagen SdKfz 231, and to avoid confusion with the six-wheeled armoured cars with the same designation the new series was always suffixed (8-Rad), and the troops knew the type as the Achtrad. When the new eight-wheelers appeared in service they were among the most advanced cross-country vehicles yet produced, but the high road-speed and mobility had been purchased only at a high price in chassis complexity, for the layout was highly complicated, expensive and slow to produce. The chassis had all-wheel drive and steering, and fully independent suspension, and the vehicle was even able to travel across the thick mud of the Eastern Front. If the vehicle had one major fault other than its complexity it was that it was rather high and showed up prominently in combat.

The SdKfz 231 series remained in production until 1942, when it was phased out in favour of the SdKfz 232 series. By then 1,235 had been produced, and the type remained in widespread use throughout the war on all fronts. The type was particularly prominent in the North African campaigns.

The first SdKfz 233 was issued during late 1942 and proved to be highly effective, but there were times when the gun’s limited traverse and lack of armour-piercing performance proved to be a liability. However, when pitted against the usual run-of-the-mill reconnaissance vehicles it was likely to encounter, the SdKfz 233 was very effective and often provided covering fire for other Achtrads.

The SdKfz 231 (8-Rad) had a turret with a 20-mm KwK 30 or KwK 38 cannon with a co-axial 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG 34 machine gun. The SdKfz 232 (8-Rad) was the radio version with a prominent frame aerial, and the SdKfz 263 (8-Rad) was a command version with a fixed superstructure in place of the rotating turret, and featuring a large frame aerial for the long-range radio equipments carried. The SdKfz 233 had no direct six-wheeler equivalent, for it mounted a short 75-mm (2.95-in) tank gun (Stummelkanone) as used on early PzKpfw IV tanks. This gun was mounted in an open compartment formed by the removal of the normal turret and there was only a limited traverse for the gun. This vehicle had a crew of only three men, and was used to provide armoured reconnaissance units with improved offensive power.

The SdKfz 233 was seen during a pre-war parade in Berlin. These vehicles were equipped with a large and cumbersome radio antenna mounted over the turret which remained static while the turret rotated.

Almost as soon as the first six-wheeled armoured cars were issued to the expanding German army during the mid-1930s the German staff planners realized that they were not the vehicles that would be required in the long term as they were underpowered and lacked cross-country mobility. They requested an eight-wheeled armoured car with an engine to match, and decided to develop a Bussing-NAG 8x8 lorry chassis for use as an armoured car. Development began in full during 1935 and the first production examples were issued to the Army in 1937. This 8x8 heavy armoured car was known as the schwerer Panzerspähwagen SdKfz 231, and to avoid confusion with the six-wheeled armoured cars with the same designation the new series was always suffixed (8-Rad), and the troops knew the type as the Achtrad. When the new eight-wheelers appeared in service they were among the most advanced cross-country vehicles yet produced, but the high road-speed and mobility had been purchased only at a high price in chassis complexity, for the layout was highly complicated, expensive and slow to produce. The chassis had all-wheel drive and steering, and fully independent suspension, and the vehicle was even able to travel across the thick mud of the Eastern Front. If the vehicle had one major fault other than its complexity it was that it was rather high and showed up prominently in combat.

The SdKfz 231 series remained in production until 1942, when it was phased out in favour of the SdKfz 232 series. By then 1,235 had been produced, and the type remained in widespread use throughout the war on all fronts. The type was particularly prominent in the North African campaigns.

The first SdKfz 233 was issued during late 1942 and proved to be highly effective, but there were times when the gun’s limited traverse and lack of armour-piercing performance proved to be a liability. However, when pitted against the usual run-of-the-mill reconnaissance vehicles it was likely to encounter, the SdKfz 233 was very effective and often provided covering fire for other Achtrads.

The SdKfz 231 (8-Rad) had a turret with a 20-mm KwK 30 or KwK 38 cannon with a co-axial 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG 34 machine gun. The SdKfz 232 (8-Rad) was the radio version with a prominent frame aerial, and the SdKfz 263 (8-Rad) was a command version with a fixed superstructure in place of the rotating turret, and featuring a large frame aerial for the long-range radio equipments carried. The SdKfz 233 had no direct six-wheeler equivalent, for it mounted a short 75-mm (2.95-in) tank gun (Stummelkanone) as used on early PzKpfw IV tanks. This gun was mounted in an open compartment formed by the removal of the normal turret and there was only a limited traverse for the gun. This vehicle had a crew of only three men, and was used to provide armoured reconnaissance units with improved offensive power.

This early example of a schwerer Panzerspähwagen 231 (8-Rad) is armed with a 20-mm (0.787-in) cannon and shows the distinctive spaced armoured storage box mounted on the front hull. The size and bulk of this vehicle in relation to the armament carried can be seen in this view; the complexity cannot be overlooked.

The first SdKfz 233 was issued during late 1942 and proved to be highly effective, but there were times when the gun’s limited traverse and lack of armour-piercing performance proved to be a liability. However, when pitted against the usual run-of-the-mill reconnaissance vehicles it was likely to encounter, the SdKfz 233 was very effective and often provided covering fire for other Achtrads.

The SdKfz 231 (8-Rad) had a turret with a 20-mm KwK 30 or KwK 38 cannon with a co-axial 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG 34 machine gun. The SdKfz 232 (8-Rad) was the radio version with a prominent frame aerial, and the SdKfz 263 (8-Rad) was a command version with a fixed superstructure in place of the rotating turret, and featuring a large frame aerial for the long-range radio equipments carried. The SdKfz 233 had no direct six-wheeler equivalent, for it mounted a short 75-mm (2.95-in) tank gun (Stummelkanone) as used on early PzKpfw IV tanks. This gun was mounted in an open compartment formed by the removal of the normal turret and there was only a limited traverse for the gun. This vehicle had a crew of only three men, and was used to provide armoured reconnaissance units with improved offensive power.

The first SdKfz 233 was issued during late 1942 and proved to be highly effective, but there were times when the gun’s limited traverse and lack of armour-piercing performance proved to be a liability. However, when pitted against the usual run-of-the-mill reconnaissance vehicles it was likely to encounter, the SdKfz 233 was very effective and often provided covering fire for other Achtrads.

The SdKfz 231 (8-Rad) had a turret with a 20-mm KwK 30 or KwK 38 cannon with a co-axial 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG 34 machine gun. The SdKfz 232 (8-Rad) was the radio version with a prominent frame aerial, and the SdKfz 263 (8-Rad) was a command version with a fixed superstructure in place of the rotating turret, and featuring a large frame aerial for the long-range radio equipments carried. The SdKfz 233 had no direct six-wheeler equivalent, for it mounted a short 75-mm (2.95-in) tank gun (Stummelkanone) as used on early PzKpfw IV tanks. This gun was mounted in an open compartment formed by the removal of the normal turret and there was only a limited traverse for the gun. This vehicle had a crew of only three men, and was used to provide armoured reconnaissance units with improved offensive power.
When the Nazis came to power in Germany, the army was given a virtually free hand in selecting new equipment for the expanding German armed forces, and among the equipment requested was a new series of light armoured cars to be built on a standard chassis. The requirements laid down by the army were so demanding that commercial models could not be adapted to meet them, so an entirely new design was produced and in 1935 this was used as the basis for the leichter Panzerspähwagen SdKfz 221 4 x 4, a light three-man vehicle with a small turret mounting a single 7.92-mm (0.31-in) machine-gun. From this evolved the SdKfz 222 armoured car with a slightly larger armoured turret with an open top and the potential to mount a slightly heavier armament. The first SdKfz 222 appeared in 1938 and thereafter was adopted as the standard German army armoured car for use by the new divisional reconnaissance units.

The SdKfz 222 was initially referred to as a Waffenwagen, or weapons vehicle, as it mounted a 20-mm KwK 30 cannon, a version of the standard anti-aircraft weapon, adapted for use in armoured vehicles. Later the 20-mm KwK 38 was also used. Mounted alongside this cannon was a 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG 34 machine-gun. The driver sat far forward on the right side of the vehicle hull and the superstructure was made up from well-sloped armoured plates to provide extra protection. During the war the thickness of the front hull plates was increased from 14.5mm (0.57 in) to 30 mm (1.18 in) and the 20-mm cannon mounting was adapted to provide more elevation for use against aircraft targets. Once in widespread service the SdKfz 222 proved to be a reliable and popular little vehicle. It served well in France during 1940, often racing far ahead of the following Panzer columns, and in North Africa the type proved to be a problem during the invasion of the Soviet Union after 1941, often there was adapted to take a wide range of armament that ranged from heavy machine-guns to light anti-tank guns. Numbers of SdKfz 22 Is were also sent to China.

USA

Light Armored Car M8

Armoured cars have long been a feature of the American armoured fighting vehicle scene, and in 1940 and 1941 the US Army was able to observe operational trends in Europe and so develop a new armoured car with a good performance, a 37-mm (1.45-in) gun, 6x6 drive, a low silhouette and light weight. In typical American fashion design submissions were received from four manufacturers. One of the manufacturers, Ford, produced a design known as the T22, and this was later judged to be the best of all.
with an excellent cross-country perfor-
mance, and a manifestation of its sound design can be seen in the fact that many were still in use with several armies until the mid-1970s. It was a low sound design can be seen in the fact that over 11,667 had been pro-
munications system provided by one 7.62-mm (0.3-in) Browning machine-
guns mounted co-axisially, and there was a single Browning heavy machine-guns (for anti-aircraft use) on the turret rear.

A close cousin of the M8 was the Armored Utility Car M20, in which the turret was removed and the compartment cut away to allow the in-
terior to be used as a personnel or supplies carrier. A machine-gun could

be mounted on a ring mount over the open area. In many way the M20 be-
came as important as the M8 for it proved to be an invaluable run-about for any number of purposes, ranging from an observation or command post to an ammunition carrier for tank units. The US Army employed the M8 and M20 widely from the time the first production examples left the production lines in March 1943. By November of that year over 1,000 had been deli-
vered, and during 1943 the type was issued to British and Commonwealth formations. The British knew the M8 as the Greyhound but it proved to be too thinly armoured to suit British thinking, the thin belly armour proving too vulnerably to anti-tank mines. Operationally this shortcoming was over-
come by lining the interior floor areas with sandbags. But these drawbacks were more than overcome by the fact that the M8 was available in large num-
bers and that it was able to cross almost any terrain. The 37-mm (1.46-in) main gun was well able to tackle almost any enemy reconnaissance vehicle the M8 was likely to encounter, and the vehicle's crew could defend the M8 against infantry with the two machine-guns. The M8 could be kept going under all circumstances, but its

main attribute was that it nearly always seemed to be available when it was wanted.

Specification
Light Armored Car M8
Crew: 4
Weight: (in action) 7.94 tonnes
Dimensions: length 3.00m (16ft5in); width 2.3m (8 ft 0in); height 2.428 m (7 ft 11in)
Powerplant: one Hercules JXD6-
cyliner petrol engine developing 110hp(82kW)

An MS in a typical reconnaissance situation during the Normandy fight-
ing of 1944. The crew have stopped to observe some enemy movement in an open area, In many way the M8 be-
erved, and during 1943 the type was issued to British and Commonwealth units. The Staghound was a sturdy and well-armoured car that gave excellent service.

Specification
Staghound Mk I
Crew: 5
Weight: (in action) 13.92 tonnes
Dimensions: length 5.486 m (18ft0in); width 2.69m (8ft10in); height 2.36m (7ft9in)
Powerplant: two GMC 2706-cylinder petrol engines each developing 97 hp (72 kW)
Performance: maximum speed 89 km/h (55 mph); maximum range 563 km (350 miles); fording 0.6 m (24 in); gradient 60%; vertical obstacle 0.3 m (12 in)

Although the Staghound armoured car was an American product, it was not used by the American forces, all the output going to the British army and other Allied and Commonwealth forces. The design had its origins in a US Army requirement for a heavy armoured car which was not produced despite the building of prototypes since the requirement was changed to a call for a medium armoured car. Following the drawing up of a specification, which was much influenced by input from British experience in com-
bat, two vehicles emerged. One was the Light Armoured Car T17, a 6x6 vehicle by Ford, and the other the Light Armoured Car T17E1 from Chevo-
relot.

Only relatively few of the 6x6 T17 armoured cars were produced, as by the time the type was ready for pro-
duction the requirement for a large 6x6 vehicle appeared to have passed. However, the 4x4 T17E1 went into large-scale production even though the US Army no longer had any re-
quirement for the design. The British Tank Mission asked for an initial batch of 500, but production followed and by the end of 1942 the first examples were coming off the production lines. After the perilous journey across the Atlantic the T17Es were issued to Brit-
ish and Commonwealth units as the Staghound Mk I.

The Staghound emerged as a large and well-armoured vehicle with a tur-
ret mounting a 37-mm (1.46-in) gun and a co-axial 7.62-mm (0.3-in) Browning machine-gun. The vehicle looked good and in service proved to be easy to drive and maintain, and in addition was fast and had a good operational range. The type first went into action in Italy in 1943, where it proved well able to deal with the difficult conditions that prevailed. Thereafter the Staghound was issued to Canadian, New Zealand, Indian and Belgian units. The

Staghound had several unusual fea-
tures for the day, not the least of which was the fully automatic hydraulic trans-
mition. The vehicle had two engines mounted side-by-side at the rear, and the crew were well provided with periscopes. The turret was hydrauli-
cally traversed, and additional arma-
ment was provided by two more 7.62-
mm (0.3-in) Browning machine-guns, one pintle-mounted for AA use and the other in the hull front.

Once the Staghound was in service several variations appeared. One was the fitting of a 76.2-mm (3-in) tank hoist with place of the 37-mm (1.46-in) gun for use as a close-support weapon. The Americans had produced the T17E3 version with a short 75-mm (2.95-in) hoist in the turret, but with the introduction of the British version, known as the Staghound Mk II, this was not further developed. Another British innovation was the Staghound Mk III, a rather drastic conversion of the vehi-
cle to accommodate a Crusader tank turret mounting a 75-mm (2.95-in) gun.

Small numbers of these were issued to the heavy troops of armoured car reg-
iments during 1944. A production variant developed in the USA was the Staghound AA (T17E2) which had the usual turret replaced by a new power-
operated turret mounting two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) Browning machine guns for anti-aircraft use. An order for 1,000 of these was placed, but production ceased in April 1944 after 789 had been built: by then the decline of the Luftwaffe was such that there was no longer seemed to be any real need for the type.

There were numerous other conver-
sions and local variations of the Staghound, ranging from mine-clearing experimental models pushing heavy rollers to the Staghound Com-
mmand, a version with the turret re-
moved and increased internal stow-
age for radios, plus a folding canvas tilt. Local modifications such as the provi-
sion of extra external stowage boxes were common, and extras such as smoke dischargers and machine-guns were added for additional protection.

After 1945 the Staghound served on in the British army for several years, and the type was also passed to such na-
ensions as India, South Africa and Den-
mark (which used the Staghound Mk III for some years). The Staghound was a sturdy and well-armoured car that gave excellent service.
Marmon Herrington Armoured Cars

Despite the fact that the vehicle construction industry in South Africa had never before produced any armoured vehicles, in 1938 the government of the day ordered the development of two types of armoured car. Work on these was slow until the outbreak of war in 1939 when, after a quick survey of possible alternatives, the experiments with particular production facilities were ordered into production. Orders soon swelled to 1,000 and, despite the fact that no facilities existed for the large-scale production of such vehicles, within only a few months the first examples were appearing.

The South Africans produced their armoured cars by importing Ford truck chassis from Canada, four-wheel drive transmissions from Marmon Herrington in the USA and the armament from the United Kingdom. Local assembly and production was undertaken in local vehicle assembly plants and railway workshops, and the armoured plate was produced at local steel mills. The first vehicles were known under the designation South African Reconnaissance Vehicle Mk I, and these had a long wheelbase and a 4x2 drive configuration. The South African Reconnaissance Vehicle Mk II had a shorter wheelbase and a full 4x4 drive. After early experience with the Mk I against the Italians in East Africa, the South Africans thereafter confined the vehicle to training purposes, but the Mk Us went on to better things.

The Mk II, known to the British as the Armoured Car, Marmon Herrington, Mk II, was a fairly simple but effective conversion of the original truck chassis to take the Ford transmission and a well-shaped armoured hull. The early versions had a turret on the roof mounting a Vickers 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun, another light machine-gun being located in the hull front, but once this combination had been tried in action it was changed to a Boys 15-pdr (3.81-in) anti-tank rifle mounted alongside a 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun in the turret. The vehicle had a crew of four housed in the roomy hull, and the engine was a Ford V-8. When they were first produced and issued to South African and British units in the North African Theatre, the first Marmon Herrington armoured cars were the only armoured cars available in any numbers and they formed the main equipment used by the reconnaissance units during the early Western Desert campaigns. They proved to be surprisingly effective vehicles, but their 12-mm (0.47-in) armour was too thin to be of much use, and the armament was really too light. The troops in the field made their own changes to the armament and all manner of weapons sprouted from the turrets or from the open hulls once the turrets had been removed.

One of the more common weapon fits was a captured Italian 20-mm (0.78-in) cannon, but Italian and German 37-mm (1.45-in) and 45-mm (1.77-in) tank or anti-tank guns were also used. One vehicle mounted a British 2-pdr (40-mm) tank gun, and this became the preferred armament for later marks. The Armoured Car, Marmon Herrington Mk III was basically similar to the Mk II though based on slightly shorter chassis, and lacked the double rear doors of the Mk II.

The Mk Us had a hard time during the desert campaigns, but they kept going and were well liked and sturdy vehicles. Local modifications were many and varied, and ranged from command and repair vehicles to versions with as many as four Bren guns in a turret. Gradually they were supplemented and eventually replaced by more formal armoured car designs such as the Humber. Later marks of Marmon Herrington served in other theatres, some even falling into Japanese hands in the Far East, and the number of formal versions was later extended to eight, including the Mk IV inspired by the German eight-wheeler armoured cars, but after the Mk IV most remained as prototype vehicles only. The Armoured Car, Marmon Herrington Mk IV was a markedly different vehicle, being a monocoque design with rear engine. Weighing 6.4 tons, the Mk IV was armed with a 2-pdr (40-mm) gun and coaxial 7.62-mm (0.3-m) Browning machine-gun. A variant was the MK IVF with Canadian Ford rather than Marmon Herrington automotive components.

For a nation with limited production and development potential the Marmon Herrington armoured cars were an outstanding South African achievement.

Specification

Armoured Car, Marmon Herrington MkII

- Crew: 4
- Weight: (in action) about 6 tonnes
- Performance: maximum speed 80.5 km/h; maximum range 322 km (200 miles)

Marmon Herrington MkII armoured car in its original form with a Vickers 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun in the turret and another in a side-mounted mantlet. This latter weapon position was soon discarded and extra weapon positions were provided around the open turret.

UK Humber Armoured Cars

The Humber armoured cars were numerous contemporary tank destroyers produced in the United Kingdom, for production eventually reached a total of 3,400. The type had its origins in a post-war Guy armoured car known as the Tank, Light, Wheeled Mk I, of which Guy produced 101 examples by October 1940. In that month it was realized that these facilities would be fully occupied producing light tanks, so production was switched to the Rootes Group and Karner Motors Limited of Luton in, although there was a variant with a turret mounted on a Karrier KT 4 artillery tractor chassis, Guy continuing to supply the armoured hulls and turrets. Although the new model was virtually identical to the original Guy design it was subsequently re-named the Armoured Car, Humber Mk II.

The Humber Mk I had a relatively short wheelbase, but it was never manoeuvrable and used a welded hull. The turret mounted two Besa machine-guns, a heavy 15-mm (0.59-in) and a lighter 7.92-mm (0.31-in) weapon. The type had a crew of three: a commander who acted as his own wireless operator, a gunner and the driver in the front hull. The first production batch ran to 500 vehicles before the Armoured Car, Humber Mk II introduced some improvements, mainly to the front hull which had a pronounced slope. The Armoured Car, Humber Mk III had a larger turret that allowed a crew of four to be carried, while the Armoured Car, Humber Mk IV reverted to a crew of three as the turret housed an American 37-mm (1.45-in) gun. An odd feature of this vehicle was that the driver was provided with a lever which raised a hatch covering an aperture in the rear bulkhead for use as rear vision in an emergency.

The first Humber armoured cars were 4x2 drive configuration. The South African Reconnaissance Vehicle Mk II had a shorter wheelbase and a full 4x4 drive. After early experience with the Mk I against the Italians in East Africa, the South Africans thereafter confined the vehicle to training purposes, but the Mk Us went on to better things.

The Mk II, known to the British as the Armoured Car, Marmon Herrington, Mk II, was a fairly simple but effective conversion of the original truck chassis to take the Ford transmission and a well-shaped armoured hull. The early versions had a turret on the roof mounting a Vickers 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun, another light machine-gun being located in the hull front, but once this combination had been tried in action it was changed to a Boys 15-pdr (3.81-in) anti-tank rifle mounted alongside a 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun in the turret. The vehicle had a crew of four housed in the roomy hull, and the engine was a Ford V-8. When they were first produced and issued to South African and British units in the North African Theatre, the first Marmon Herrington armoured cars were the only armoured cars available in any numbers and they formed the main equipment used by the reconnaissance units during the early Western Desert campaigns. They proved to be surprisingly effective vehicles, but their 12-mm (0.47-in) armour was too thin to be of much use, and the armament was really too light. The troops in the field made their own changes to the armament and all manner of weapons sprouted from the turrets or from the open hulls once the turrets had been removed.

One of the more common weapon fits was a captured Italian 20-mm (0.78-in) cannon, but Italian and German 37-mm (1.45-in) and 45-mm (1.77-in) tank or anti-tank guns were also used. One vehicle mounted a British 2-pdr (40-mm) tank gun, and this became the preferred armament for later marks. The Armoured Car, Marmon Herrington Mk III was basically similar to the Mk II though based on slightly shorter chassis, and lacked the double rear doors of the Mk II.

The Mk Us had a hard time during the desert campaigns, but they kept going and were well liked and sturdy vehicles. Local modifications were many and varied, and ranged from command and repair vehicles to versions with as many as four Bren guns in a turret. Gradually they were supplemented and eventually replaced by more formal armoured car designs such as the Humber. Later marks of Marmon Herrington served in other theatres, some even falling into Japanese hands in the Far East, and the number of formal versions was later extended to eight, including the Mk IV inspired by the German eight-wheeler armoured cars, but after the Mk IV most remained as prototype vehicles only. The Armoured Car, Marmon Herrington Mk IV was a markedly different vehicle, being a monocoque design with rear engine. Weighing 6.4 tons, the Mk IV was armed with a 2-pdr (40-mm) gun and coaxial 7.62-mm (0.3-m) Browning machine-gun. A variant was the MK IVF with Canadian Ford rather than Marmon Herrington automotive components.

For a nation with limited production and development potential the Marmon Herrington armoured cars were an outstanding South African achievement.

Specification

Armoured Car, Marmon Herrington MkII

- Crew: 4
- Weight: (in action) about 6 tonnes
- Performance: maximum speed 80.5 km/h; maximum range 322 km (200 miles)
were used operationally in the North African desert from late 1941 onwards, while the Humber Mk IV did not see service until the early stages of the Italian campaign, but thereafter all four marks were used wherever British and Allied troops fought in Europe. A version was produced in Canada with some changes made to suit Canadian production methods. This was known as the Armoured Car, General Motors Mk I, Fox I, and the main change so far as the troops in the field were concerned was that the main armament was a 12.7 mm (0.5-in) Browning heavy machine-gun plus a 7.62 mm (0.3-in) Browning medium machine-gun. There was also an extensive conversion of the Humber Mk III as a special radio carrier known as a Rear Link vehicle. This had a fixed turret with a dummy gun. Another radio-carrying version was used as a mobile artillery observation post, and numbers of Canadian Foxes were converted for this role. A later addition to many Hum ber armoured cars was a special anti-aircraft mounting using Vickers ‘K’ machine-guns that could be fired from within the turret; this mounting could also be used with Bren Guns. Smoke dischargers were another operational addition. A more extreme conversion was made with the Armoured Car, Humber, AA, Mk I, which had four 7.92-mm (0.31-in) Besa machine-guns in a special turret. These were introduced during 1943 at the rate of one troop of four cars for every armoured car regiment, but they were withdrawn during 1944 as there was no longer any need for them.

After 1945 many Humber armoured cars were sold or otherwise passed to other armies. Some were still giving good service to armies in the Far East as late as the early 1960s.

**Specification**

**Armoured Car, Humber Mks I to IV**

- **Crew:** 3 (4 in Mk III)
- **Weight:** (in action) 6.85 tonnes (Mk I) or 7.1 tonnes (Mks II to IV)
- **Dimensions:** length 4.572 m (15 ft 0 in); width 2.184 m (7 ft 2 in); height 2.34 m (7 ft 10 in)
- **Powerplant:** one Rootes 6-cylinder water-cooled petrol engine developing 90 bhp (67 kW)

**Performance:** maximum speed 72 km/h (45 mph); maximum range 402 km (250 miles)

---

**UK Daimler Armoured Cars**

When the BSA Scout Car was undergoing its initial trials, it was decided to use the basic design as the foundation for a new vehicle to be known as the Tank, Light, Wheeled. As with the Scout Car, Daimler took over the development of the project, and the result was a vehicle that outwardly resembled the little Scout Car but was nearly twice as heavy and had a two-man turret. Work started on the project in August 1939 and the first prototypes were running by the end of the year, although troubles soon arose as the extra weight of the turret and armour overloaded the transmission. It took some time before these problems were overcome, and it was not until April 1941 that the first production examples appeared. By then the vehicle was known as the Armoured Car, Daimler Mk I.

The Daimler Armoured Car was basically a Scout Car enlarged to accommodate a turret mounting a 2-pdr (40-mm) gun. The turret was the same as that designed for the Tetrarch light tank intended for use by airborne forces, but when this was placed on the Daimler it was the first such installation on a British armoured car. The turret also mounted a co-axial 7.92-mm (0.31-in) Besa machine-gun, and many vehicles also had smoke dischargers mounted on the sides of the turret. The four-wheel drive used double-coil springs on each wheel station although the early idea of using four-wheel steering was discarded as being too complex an idea without real operational benefit. One advanced feature was the use of Girling hydraulic disc brakes, well in advance of general use elsewhere. A fluid flywheel was used in place of the more usual clutch arrangement. A duplicate steering wheel and simple controls were pro-
vided for use by the commander in an emergency to drive to the rear. The commander also had to double as loader for the main gun.

The Daimler underwent surprisingly few changes once in service. An Armoured Car, Daimler Mk II version was later introduced with a new gun mounting, a slightly revised radiator arrangement and a new escape hatch through the engine compartment for the driver. There was also an experimental Armoured Car, Daimler Mk I CS which had a 76.2-mm (3-in) howitzer in place of the 2-pdr (40-mm) gun to provide close support fire with high explosive and smoke projectiles (the 2-pdr/40-mm gun could fire only armour-piercing projectiles), but only a few were produced. Another armament alteration was to a small number of operational Mk Is, which were fitted with the Littlejohn Adaptor, a squeeze-bore muzzle attachment that enabled the 2-pdr (40-mm) gun to fire small projectiles that could penetrate thicker armour than the normal-calibre projectile.

When the first Daimler Armoured Cars arrived in North Africa during 1941 and 1942 they were able to assume many of the operational roles of the Marmon-Herrington vehicles currently deployed in that theatre. They soon gained for themselves an enviable reputation for good all-round performance and reliability which was to remain for many years. By the end of the war not all were still in use as armoured cars, some being employed as scout or command vehicles with their turrets removed, but this was only a temporary measure and turreted vehicles served for many years after 1945. Total production was 2,694.

Specification
Armoured Car, Daimler Mk I
Crew: 3
Weight: (in action) 7.5 tonnes
Dimensions: Length 3.96 m (13 ft 0 in); width 2.44 m (8 ft 0 in); height 2.235 m (7 ft 4 in)
Powerplant: one Daimler 6-cylinder petrol engine developing 95 bhp (71 kW)
Performance: maximum speed 80.5 km/h (50 mph); maximum range 330 km (205 miles)

UK

Daimler Scout Cars

During the late 1930s the British Army was converting to mechanized traction and forming its first armoured divisions. One of the requirements to equip the new formations was a small 4x4 scout car for general liaison and reconnaissance duties, and three companies produced prototypes for comparative trials. The three companies were BSA Cycles Ltd, Morris Commercial Cars Ltd and Alvis Limited. Of the three designs entered, the BSA submission emerged as the clear winner and a production contract was placed by the War Office in May 1939. A total of 172 examples was ordered as the Car, Scout, Mk I, and more orders followed later.

By the time the order was placed the BSA project had been taken over by Daimler, and the designation Car, Scout, Daimler Mk I was applied to the vehicle. But by the time the original order was placed the War Office had called for more all-round protection for the Scout Car, as in its original form it provided the two-man crew with frontal armour only. The resultant changes needed to provide the extra armour and a folding roof over the main crew compartment added enough weight to require an improved suspension and a more powerful engine, but once these changes had been incorporated in the Daimler Mk IA, the Daimler Scout Car remained virtually unaltered throughout its long service life. It was a simple enough design with a full 4x4 drive configuration and front-axle steering from the Daimler Mk II onwards. The engine was at the rear and the crew was seated side-by-side in an open compartment with only the folding roof for overhead cover. This roof was removed on the Daimler Mk III as experience showed that it was rarely used operationally. The only armament carried was a single 7.7-mm
AEC Armoured Cars

The first AEC (Associated Engineering Company Ltd of Southall, London, a company that normally made London buses) armoured car was produced as a private venture based on information filtering back from the North African battlefields. What AEC had produced was virtually a wheeled tank, for the resultant vehicle was fairly large by contemporary standards and was equipped with armour nearly as thick as that used on the current 'cruiser' tanks. The basic chassis used for the AEC armoured cars was based on that used for the Matador artillery tractor, but by the time it was completed for the armoured car role many changes had been introduced, including an engine set at a slight front-to-rear angle to enable the overall height of the vehicle to be lowered. The first example was demonstrated in early 1941, and an order was placed in June of that year. The Armoured Car, AEC Mk I mounted a 2-pdr (40-mm) gun and co-axial 7.92-mm (0.31-in) Besa machine-gun in the same turret as that used on the Valentine infantry tank, but only 120 vehicles had been produced before calls came for something more powerful for use in North Africa. The result was a revision that introduced a new three-man turret mounting a 6-pdr gun with a calibre of 57 mm (2.244 in), but even this was not powerful enough for the troops in the field and the Armoured Car, AEC Mk II was replaced in production by the Armoured Car, AEC Mk III with the same turret mounting the British-developed version of the American M3 75-mm (2.95-m) tank gun. This made the AEC Mk III a very powerful armoured car, and it was used as a fire-support vehicle for armoured car regiments until the end of the war, mainly in Italy.

The AEC vehicles had a conventional layout with the engine at the rear. Although the vehicle had a full 4x4 drive configuration, it was possible to alter this to a 4x2 form with the drive and steering on the front wheels, though this configuration was used only for road travel. The degree of protection for the crew was taken to the point where the vehicle had no direct vision devices when closed down; he had to rely on periscopes alone. With the hatch open the driver's seat could be raised to allow him to raise his head out of the hatch. The vehicle had a rather slab-sided appearance, largely as a result of the provision of large lockers between the front and rear mudguards, and on the Mk II revisions had to be made to the bluff front hull shape to improve obstacle crossing and to improve armour protection. The heavy turret of the Mk II and III was provided with electric power for traversing.

Production of all the AEC armoured car marks ceased after 629 had been produced. The vehicles were used in North Africa and Tunisia and thereafter in Italy. Some Mk IIs were used in north west Europe until the end of the war, most of them in the heavy troops of armoured car regiments. A few were used for odd experiments such as pushing mine-clearing rollers, and at least one example was fitted with a special anti-aircraft turret mounting two 20-mm cannon. In 1944 a batch of AEC armoured cars was sent into Yugoslavia for use by the partisans, but the activities of these vehicles have still to be fully uncovered. After 1945 numbers were issued to the newly re-formed Belgian reconnaissance regiments, and these vehicles served until at least 1950.

Specification

Armoured Car, AEC Mk I
Crew: 3
Weight: (in action) 11 tonnes
Dimensions: length 5.18 m (17 ft 0 in); width 2.70 m (8 ft 10 in); height 2.55 m (8 ft 4 Vain)
Powerplant: one AEC 6-cylinder diesel engine developing 105 bhp (78 kW)
Performance: maximum speed 88.5 km/h (55 mph); maximum range 322 km (200 miles)

Armoured Car, AEC Mk II and Mk III
Crew: 4
Weight: (in action) 12.7 tonnes
Dimensions: length overall (Mk II) 5.182 m (17 ft 0 in) or (Mk III) 5.613 m (18 ft 4 in); width 2.70 m (8 ft 10 in); height 2.69 m (8 ft 10 in)
Powerplant: one AEC 6-cylinder diesel engine developing 155 bhp (116 kW)
Performance: maximum speed 66 km/h (41 mph); maximum range 402 km (250 miles)

An AEC Mk I armoured car proceeding through Aleppo, Syria during April 1945. This vehicle has an anti-aircraft Bren gun mounted on the turret and the driver's hatch is fully open. Behind are Marmon Herrington armoured cars, which were by then being phased out of service.
Autoblinda 40 and 41

The Autoblinda 40 and Autoblinda 41 armoured cars had their origins in a requirement for a high-performance car for use by the Italian colonial police in the new Italian colonies in Africa. The Italian cavalry branch had a requirement for a new armoured car at about the same time, so the two projects were merged to produce a new vehicle design that appeared in 1938. This new design had the engine at the rear and a turret (mounting a machine-gun) towards the front. There was another machine-gun in the hull rear and the vehicle could be driven from either the normal front position or another position in the hull rear. From this design evolved the Autoblinda 40, of which production began by the middle of 1940.

When the original production order was placed it was specified that a small number of Autoblinda 40s would be produced with a 20-mm cannon in place of the two 8-mm (0.315-in) turret machine-guns. This was achieved by using the turret of the L 6/40 light tank in place of the original turret, and with the appearance of this version known as the Autoblinda 41, it was realized that this vehicle/weapon combination was far more effective than the machine-gun version, and thereafter production centred on the Autoblinda 41. Relatively few Autoblinda 40s were produced, and many of these were later converted to the Autoblinda 41 configuration.

For its time the Autoblinda 41 was an advanced design and possessed good performance marred only by recurrent steering troubles that were never entirely eliminated. The main armament was a converted 20-mm Breda modello 35 anti-aircraft cannon, and this weapon was mounted co-axially with an 8-mm (0.315-in) Breda modello 38 air-cooled machine-gun specially designed for use in armoured vehicles. Another of these machine-guns was mounted at the hull rear. One vehicle in four had provision for an anti-aircraft machine-gun mounting on top of the turret. Special sand or normal road tyres could be fitted, and there was a kit available to convert the vehicle for use on railway tracks. This kit included railway wheels and extra lightening on the suspension, with a searchlight to be mounted on the turret. Autoblinda 41s fitted with these kits were used extensively for anti-partisan patrols in the Balkans.

The Autoblinda 40 and Autoblinda 41 were extensively used by Italian reconnaissance units in the Western Desert and Tunisia. At the end of September 1942 there were 298 Autoblinda 41s in use, and more were employed by the colonial police. Some development work was carried out on the basic design, which later led to the mounting of a 47-mm (1.85-in) gun in the turret (AB 43), while an open-hulled variant had a German 50-mm (1.97-in) tank gun but neither of these vehicles was placed in production. There was also an open-hulled variant that was produced in small numbers as a command vehicle or mobile observation post for artillery units.

Specification
Autoblinda 41
Crew: 4

The Soviet BA-10 armoured car looked as though it belonged to a previous era, but despite its weight and bulk it proved to be well suited to the distances and terrain of the Soviet Union. The large turret mounted a 37-mm (1.46-in) or 45-mm (1.77-in) main gun.

The first BA-10 six-wheeled armoured car appeared in 1932. It was produced at the Gorki automobile plant, and was the logical outcome of a series of six-wheeled armoured cars that could be traced back to World War I, even though the configuration had been in abeyance for some years. The BA-10 was built on the chassis of the GAZ-AAA six-wheeled commercial truck, though the suspension was modified to assume the loads involved and some reinforcements were made to the chassis members. The layout of the BA-10 was orthodox, with the engine under an armoured cover at the front and the turret mounted at the rear over the twin rear axles. There were several variations in the armament carried, but the main armament was either a 37-mm (1.46-in) tank gun or a 12.7-mm (0.5-in) DShK heavy machine-gun. Later versions used a 45-mm (1.77-in) main gun.

The BA-10 was a functional and hefty item of equipment. It had several typically Soviet design subfeatures such as the ability to wear tracks or chains on the rear axles to assist traction in mud and snow, and the spare wheels were located so that they could be used when obstacles under the chassis were encountered, and thus take some of the load. There was a crew of four, one of whom attended to the 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-gun fitted into a mounting on the front superstructure to the right of the driver.

Later versions of the BA-10 are sometimes known as the BA-32, and to confuse matters further one of these latter variants is sometimes known as the BA-10M. This first appeared in 1937 and used the turret of the T-26B light tank with its 45-mm (1.77-in) gun. This was the only tank turret so used, for others known to have been fitted were the turret of the experimental T-30 light tank and that of the BT-3 tank. One odd variation of the BA-10 that appeared in 1932 was the BAZ amphibious vehicle, which used the basic BA-10 hull allied to a floating body derived from contemporary German experimental vehicles. Only a few were produced.

When the Germans invaded the Soviet Union in 1941 the BA-10 and its later derivatives were in service in some numbers with the Red Army, the number 1,200 often being quoted. However, the events of 1941 and 1942 decimated the numbers of BA-10s, and large numbers fell into German hands. The Germans found them to be serviceable vehicles, although they considered them not really modern or mobile enough for use with their Panther units, and kept them for use with anti-partisan units both in the Soviet Union and in the Balkans. The Germans knew the BA-10 as the Panzerwagen BAF 203(r); some of their reports mention the vehicle as a Ford.

After 1942 the Soviets started to phase out the use of heavy armoured cars such as the BA-10. Those that remained were often relegated to the armoured personnel carrier role, having their turrets removed and the interiors stripped of all equipment other than the driver’s seat and controls.

Specification
BA-10M
Crew: 4
Dimensions: length 4.70 m (15 ft 6 in); width 2.09 m (6 ft 10 in); height 2.42 m (7 ft 11 in)
Powerplant: one GAZ-M-1 4-cylinder water-cooled petrol engine developing 85 hp (63 kW)
Performance: maximum speed 87 km/h (54 mph); maximum range 320 km (199 miles)
Allied and Axis Trucks

The sweeping strategic manoeuvres of armoured forces in World War II were made possible by large-scale mechanization of transport; without massive fleets of lorries, Blitzkrieg would not have been possible and the tempo of the conflict could not have been sustained.

Never had there previously been - and in all possibility will there ever be again - so mobile a war as was seen during World War II.

From the very outset, with the German Blitzkrieg on Poland, such warfare relied on mobility to push home the attack. During these early years much reliance was placed on the speed and efficiency of armoured thrvists backed by a mobile supply line. Unfortunately for the Germans, much of their supply line 'was still horsedrawn and the number of available motor transport vehicles was totally inadequate for the task. To compensate for this inadequacy, many civil trucks were conscripted into service along with the few surviving vehicles of the Polish army. In contrast with this, the British Expeditionary Force that landed in France in 1939 was a fully mechanized formation.

During the evacuation of Dunkirk very few vehicles could be rescued. They were thus captured (along with many different types of French trucks) by the Germans and pressed into service, leading to yet more spare parts problems. After this the German logistics department tried to rationalize matters in a standardization programme involving the Schell system, but even this never reached its target before the end of the war.

Perhaps the loss of about 90,000 vehicles in France was a blessing to the British military transport organization as it cleared all the 'dead wood', and thus paved the way for fresh ideas. The chronic shortage of transport forced a further temporary introduction of impressment until specific types of vehicles could be produced in greater numbers. The Commonwealth with its many assets was given the orders to produce many of these urgently needed types. Canada made a contribution out of all proportion to the size of its small automotive industry with its series of all-wheel-drive tactical trucks ranging from 15-cwt 4 x 4 to 3-ton 6 x 6, produced with various types of cabs from 1940 to 1943. During the early period the Canadian chassis and cabs were built to Canadian designs but to British specifications. The early wooden bodies were later replaced by pressed steel bodies.

The invasion of Europe was soon in the minds of the Allied planners, and considerable thought was being given to supplying the vast armies that would make the attack across Europe into Germany. It would require a supply system of a magnitude never before envisaged, and the production of trucks would be at a premium for the next two to three years. The British truck industry thus began to produce its own four-wheel-drive vehicles, with such established names as Bedford, Ford, Karrier, Thornycroft and Albion being to the fore. Once the Allied assault had gained momentum the supply lines would soon be overstretched, and to help overcome this problem heavier 10-ton trucks were also put into production. The biggest supplier of all military trucks during World War II was the USA, although it was slow at first to respond to the ever-growing transport need of its own army and the now famous Lend-Lease system to the UK. As the whole might of American industry turned on to a war footing, however, trucks were produced in countless thousands, ranging from the 1½-ton Dodge 4 x 4 to the massive Mack prime movers and Diamond T transporters.
To meet her urgent need for motor transport the UK turned to the Commonwealth for a degree of support, the major supplier to the UK from the Commonwealth being Canada. Canada herself, once on a war footing, had urgent need to supply her own armies with equipment as every transport vehicle then in service was of civil origin. During early 1937 Ford of Canada had been approached to produce 15-cwt trucks based on similar lines to those of British design. General Motors of Canada also participated. Ford’s experimental vehicle was produced in no great haste at the Windsor plant, the pilot model being built up around a Ford V-8 chassis with wheels and tyres imported from England. When completed in 1937 the vehicle was tested at the then small army testing ground at Camp Petawawa, near Ottawa. On arrival it was discovered that the specification had changed to a four-wheel drive application. Nevertheless, the type gave a good account of itself, and the Canadian Military Pattern Chassis formed the basis of many 15-cwt and 8-cwt trucks. During early 1940 the standard pattern of Canadian truck began to emerge with four-wheel drive, and in July of 1940, after Dunkirk, the UK placed a preliminary order for 7,000 vehicles. By 1941 Canada was the Empire’s main supplier of light and medium trucks. Standardization was again of the utmost importance within a range of trucks including 8-cwt, 15-cwt, 30-cwt and 3-ton 4x4, 3-ton 6x4 and 3-ton 6x6 vehicles. Various Canadian cabs were produced through the different stages of development: the number 11 cab was identifiable by the radiator externally mounted to the bonnet; the number 12 cab had the radiator mounted inside the bonnet; the number 13 cab was a complete revision in design to allow more cab interior space and better placing of the foot pedals, and also had a forward sloping windscreen; and the number 43 was basically a number 13 with a soft top.

The 3-ton 4x4 became the mainstay of Canadian production, and was a reliable vehicle produced by both Ford and Chevrolet. The body variations were enormous and can only be touched briefly within this text. All models were produced in the general-service role, some with timber and some with all-pressed-steel bodies, and other types included water and petrol tankers, mobile gun carriages, wireless house bodies, machinery vehicles (various types from 15-cwt mounted welding units to 6x6 fully-equipped workshops), office bodies, ambulances and other medical requirement vehicles, and breakdown and recovery vehicles. Canada also supplied many conventional types from all the large manufacturers, fitted with military tyres/wheels and bodies. Over 900,000 Canadian vehicles were produced within the five-year period.

The Australian commitment was not on so grand a scale, the majority of production trucks being in the light range. Most of the medium to heavy trucks were supplied in kit or chassis and cab form, usually from Canada, to which locally-built bodies were added. Some of the conventional trucks supplied were used in half-track conversions, but this never progressed beyond the experimental stage. All Canadian Fords were reassembled at the Ford subsidiary plant at Geelong, in Victoria state some 48 km (30 miles) west of Melbourne.

Specification

Ford F60
Powerplant: one 70.8-kW (95-bhp) Ford V-8 petrol engine
Dimensions: length 6.20 m (20 ft 4 in); width 2.29 m (7 ft 6 in); height 3.05 m (10 ft 0 in)
Performance: max speed 80 km/h (50 mph); range 270 km (168 miles)

Above: An Australian-built Ford 3-ton truck is carrying a curious cargo of lighting equipment. Canadian Fords were also produced in Australia, the Ford subsidiary plant in Victoria State re-assembling vehicles for use in the Pacific theatre.
German light trucks

German light trucks before the outbreak of World War II were of commercial 4x2 design with only superstructure details to identify them as army vehicles.

The Phaenomen Granit had an air-cooled engine and was used in great numbers, most specifically in the ambulance role. Although the Granit was a useful vehicle for the transport of goods and supplies on hard roads, the type's cross country ability left much to be desired. A specification for a 6x4 truck was published and the response from the industry was immediate. Daimler-Benz had already built its Daimler-Benz G3 6x4 model from 1928, many for service with the German railways. Bussing-NAG of Braunschweig was also involved with its Bussing-NAG G31, in production from 1933 to 1935. Whilst all vehicles were fitted as standard with petrol engines, a few diesel engines were also fitted experimentally. Daimler-Benz, Bussing-NAG and Krupp produced chassis which were also used as the basis for armoured car bodies. Although a wide range of vehicle types were still in service during the invasion of Poland the Schell programme had introduced the idea of standard truck designs. For example the Daimler-Benz 1500A was built as the planned replacement for all current 2-ton payload types in service, many of which served in the German army for general-service use. Troop carrier versions were built on the lines of heavy cars with fold-down hoods.

Steyr of Austria built three basic types: a general-service truck, a heavy command car and a troop carrier, all powered by an air-cooled V-8 engine. Steyr also provided a 6x4 cargo Steyr Model 640, which was also produced in ambulance and command car variants. One of the most common types used during the early days of World War II was the Krupp Kfz 81 6x4, which was generally employed as an artillery tractor, a role in which it superseded the earlier Kfz 69 purpose-built artillery tractor. The Krupp Boxer, as it became known, was powered by a 4-cylinder horizontally opposed engine and had all-round independent suspension.

Hungary built few vehicles: one 6x4 personnel carrier for 14 men was the Botond. In Czechoslovakia Tatra produced the Tatra T92 2-tonner powered by a V-8 engine; this model was first used by the Czech army, and later by the Germans. The Praga RV models were again 6x4 types, and were built as general-service trucks, wireless vehicles and command cars. The Schell programme was designed to make these 4 vehicles obsolete, but as production of Schell types could never keep up with demand, the older models soldiered on to the end of the war, some still being used immediately after the war in civilian hands.

**Specification**

**Krupp Kfz 81**
- **Powerplant:** one 38.8-kW (52-bhp) Krupp M304 4-cylinder engine
- **Dimensions:** length 4.95 m (16 ft 2.9 in); width 1.95 m (6 ft 4.8 in); height 2.30 m (7 ft 6.6 in)
- **Weight:** 2600 kg (5,732 lb)

Below: The Krupp Kfz 81 (L2H43) had an air-cooled 'Boxer' engine and an all-independent suspension; it was used in a number of different roles, including prime mover for the 20-mm (0.78-in) anti-aircraft gun.

**Opel Blitz**

By the late 1930s the German military inventory presented an enormous logistic problem, with over 100 different vehicle types in service.

A desperate programme to rationalize this situation was put in hand under the leadership of General von Schell, who was then director of mechanization. His aim was to cut down the vast number of types and bring in a degree of standardization which, when plans were finalized, allowed just 30 vehicle types.

In the 3-ton medium category Opel's design was the most successful. The Opel Blitz 4x2 was of a conventional layout and featured a pressed steel commercial type cab with wooden body. Under the so-called Schell programme all 4x2 vehicles were designated Typ S. The 4x2 was produced in many different variants, for example general service, fuel tankers, house body etc. As the need for better cross-country performance became a premium it was decided by Opel to produce a four-wheel-drive 3-ton truck with the designation Typ A and based on the same basic vehicle design as the Typ S. The addition of a driven front axle gave tremendous advantages over the normal 4x2 truck, and the wheelbase for the 4x4 was shortened.
tended by 15 cm (5.9 m). A two-speed transfer box gave the vehicle a choice of 10 forward gears. During the production span from 1937 to 1944 some 70,000 Opel Blitz trucks were built, as well as over 25,000 Allrad (four wheel drive) models. By late 1944, however, manufacture was totally disrupted by Allied bombing and the Allied advance across Europe, making plans to produce vehicles in 1945 fruitless. The variations of body design were numerous, the most popular model being the house body. The Blitz's possibilities were endless, and the vehicles were used as field ambulances, mobile laboratories, laundries, mobile command posts, field caravans, radio vans, cipher offices, and mobile workshops to name just a few. The body was made of timber and compressed card to save valuable steel. Later during the war when raw materials were desperately short, the cabs were produced from wood and pressed card and termed Ersatz cabs. During the winter campaigns on the Eastern Front even the four-wheel-drive vehicles were almost brought to a standstill, and the Waffen-SS developed a unique three-quarter track vehicle from an Opel Typ A and obsolete PzKpfw I tank track assemblies: the rear shaft was shortened and the driven axle was moved forward to line up with the sprockets, and because of its performance the Maultier, as the vehicle became known, was accepted for standard production. Similar conversions to Ford and Daimler-Benz vehicles were also carried out, but were not so numerous.

GERMANY

German heavy trucks

Most German heavy trucks were basically civil-based vehicles or Typ S models under the Schell programme. The majority were 4x2 4VA to 6 tonners, such as the MAN ML4500 which was also built in Austria by OAF. The Mercedes-Benz L4500A is a typical example of the type of German vehicle used by the Wehrmacht. Powered by a Daimler-Benz OM67/4 6-cylinder diesel engine, it formed part of the backbone of German army transport, and in one variant mobile anti-aircraft equipment was built on the Mercedes chassis in the form of a 37-mm Flak 41 gun.

An attempt was made to produce a tank transporter unit for use with PzKpfw I tanks, and a 4x4 version of the Büssing-NAG 6.5-ton lorry was used. Very little progress was made in this direction, and most tank haulage was carried out by the 6x4Faun L900D567 with a payload capacity of 8800 kg (19,400 lb). The German truck industry was never able to supply enough types to transport tanks, half-tracks with trailers eventually taking over this role.

With the German takeover of Czechoslovakia in 1938 many useful industries fell into German hands and were put to use supplying the German armed forces. In Kolin the excellent 6x6 Tatra 6.5-ton truck was produced with some outstanding features: they included a tubular frame and independent front and rear suspension, and power was supplied by a 12-cylinder air-cooled 157-kW (210-hp) engine. Skoda also supplied heavy trucks to the Germans, the Skoda 6 ST 6x4.

A Büssing-Nag 454 6x4-ton 4x4 truck carries a PzKpfw I command tank in Afrika Korps colours. Only a small number of these vehicles were produced, the most widely used tank transporter being the Faun 6x4, which was capable of carrying the PzKpfwI.

Specification
Opel Blitz
Powerplant: one 54.8-kW (73.5-bhp) Opel 6-cylinder petrol engine
Dimensions: length 6.02 m (19 ft 9 in); width 2.265 m (7 ft 5.2 in); height 2.175 m (7 ft 1.6 in)
Weights: chassis 2100 kg (4,630 lb); payload 3290 kg (7,253 lb)

Right: The control of far-flung armoured forces depended on a reliable network of radio communications, based on mobile radio stations mounted on heavy trucks. This vehicle is part of a German divisional headquarters outside Tobruk in 1941. Heavy trucks were mainly used for specialist tasks, general supplies being entrusted to lighter vehicles and the railways.

Above: An Opel Blitz Kfz 31 ambulance model. The Germans also used heavy car chassis ambulances and captured some, like the Austin K2. It was also used to carry mobile operating theatres.

Overall the German transport system relied mainly on the railways, and on the road greater emphasis was placed on medium trucks.

Specification
Faun L900D567
Powerplant: one 111.8-kW (150-bhp) Deutz F6M517 6-cylinder diesel engine
Dimensions: length 10.40 m (34 ft 1.4 in); width 2.50 m (8 ft 2.4 in); height 2.60 m (8 ft 6.4 in)
Weight: 9200 kg (20,282 lb)

Performance: maximum speed 80 km/h (50 mph); range 410 km (255 miles)
The AEC Matador 4x4 tractor first appeared in 1939, and was built to a War Office specification to tow 4.5-in (114-mm), 5.5-in (140-mm) and 6-in (152-mm) howitzers. The requirement was for a four-wheel tractor with seating for the crew and ammunition stowage. The early production vehicles had a cab roof of different shape to that of later production trucks, the latter having a circular hatch for air observation, when not in use this was covered by a small canvas sheet. The basic design of the cab was very simple and robust, being built on a wooden frame with steel sheets. The body was of conventional timber construction with a drop tailboard and a side door for use by the gun crew. Special runners were fitted to the floor to allow shells to be moved to the rear tailgate for unloading. The Matador was powered by a 6-cylinder 7.58-litre AEC engine producing 71 kW (95 bhp), allowing a top speed of 58 km/h (36 mph). For pulling purposes (for example extracting guns from mud) a 7-ton winch was fitted with 76 m (250 ft) of wire rope. The Matador was used in most theatres of the war. In the desert it proved to be extremely popular with the gun crews for its reliability, and photographic evidence shows that some had the tops of the cabs cut down to door level. Matadors were also pressed into service in the desert to tow transporter trailers because of the lack of proper tractors for this purpose. Total production of Matadors was 8,612. The RA F was also a major user of this vehicle, 400 being supplied in various offerings. The General Load Carrier had a special all-steel body with drop down sides and tailgate to facilitate easy loading, and the support posts could also be removed. Special flat platform trucks were also supplied to transport heavy equipment such as dumpers and compressors. An armoured command post was also built on this chassis, called the Dorchester, in which accommodation was provided internally for high- or low-powered radio transmitting and receiving equipment, and an external penthouse could be erected. As these vehicles were considered prime targets they were carefully disguised to look like general-service trucks. Approximately 175 Matadors were built in 1942 as self-propelled gun carriers and comprised a 6-pdr anti-tank gun mounted in an armoured box. The cab and body were also armoured. Other variants included power equipment 20 kVA, power equipment 50 kVA, air-traffic control, and an experimental 25-pdr portée. The last did not progress beyond the prototype stage.

The last of the Matadors were auctioned off in the mid-1970s, this late disposal date proving the soundness of the basic design.

**Specification**

**AEC Matador**

**Powerplant:** one 70.8-kW (95-bhp) AEC 6-cylinder diesel engine

**Dimensions:**
- Length: 6.32 m (20 ft 9 in)
- Width: 2.40 m (7 ft 10.5 in)
- Height: 2.87 m (9 ft 5 in)

**Weights:**
- Unladen: 7,189 kg (15,848 lb)
- Laden: 11,024 kg (24,304 lb)

**Performance:**
- Maximum speed: 58 km/h (36 mph)
- Radius: 579 km (360 miles)

This is a 1943 AEC Matador with the ‘streamline’ cab roof. Developed from a Hardy (AEC) design of the 1930s, the Matador was a medium artillery tractor used to move the 5.5-in (140-mm) medium gun. Used by the Army fire service, the Bedford QL fire tender was introduced in 1943 and saw service in north-west Europe. It towed a trailer pump, and carried an integral water tank, hoses and PTO (power take-off) pump in the main body.
wheel drive on rough terrain, but could disengage the front drive for use on hard roads to ease the wear on tyres and gearbox, the change being effected by moving a lever on the secondary gearbox. Another feather in Bedford’s cap (and a surprise one) was the lack of normal teething troubles during the QL’s early use. It was only after about one year in service that the first sign of trouble occurred, and a rather peculiar one at that: a tendency for the vehicle to shudder when the brakes were applied slightly. These reports were followed up immediately, and it was found that only a small proportion of vehicles were showing this fault. After some time spent on investigating the fault it was found to be simple, and the deep-treaded cross-country tyres were replaced by normal road tyres, whereupon the problem ceased.

The first production vehicle was the steel-bodied QLD issued to units of the Army Service Corps as a general carrier. From this model stemmed many variants, including the QLT 3-ton truck, a carrier with a modified and lengthened chassis to accommodate the extra long body to carry 29 troops and kit. The QLT was popularly known as the ‘Dropper’. The QLR wireless house type was used by all arms of the signals. The truck featured an auxiliary generator and other units, and the house type body were command, cipher office and mobile terminal carrier vehicles. A special requirement for use in the Western Desert was a 6-pdr portée, a vehicle designed to transport and fire a 6-pdr anti-tank gun from the body. It was necessary to modify the cab by cutting off the upper half and fitting a canvas top, and when this type became redundant the surviving vehicles were converted back to general-service types after being re-bodied. The RAF was a major operator of the Bedford QL, many being used as fuel tankers with swinging booms to refuel aircraft. Two experimental vehicles that never progressed beyond the prototype stage were the Giraffe and Bren. The Giraffe was designed for amphibious landings: all the major components were removed, of a Coles Mk 7 or Neal Type QMC crane.

A Bedford QLB light AA (Bofors) tractor comes ashore from a ‘Class 9’ ferry during the 21st Army Group’s Rhine crossing in March 1945. The QL saw service for many years after the war, finally retiring in the early 1960s.

Specification
Bedford QLD
Powerplant: one 53.7-kW (72-bhp) Leyland 6-cylinder petrol engine
Dimensions: length 5.99 m (19 ft 8 in); width 2.26 m (7 ft 5 in); height 3.0 m (9 ft 10 in)
Performance: maximum speed 61 km/h (38 mph); radius 370 km (230 miles)

UK
Leyland Hippo

Designed as a heavy load carrier, the Leyland Hippo 6x4 10-ton truck entered military service in 1944 and eventually proved its worth hauling supplies during the closing stages of the Allied advance across North West Europe. The huge bodies on these trucks had a well-type floor incorporating the wheel arches, this giving a lower loading height, an important element in the war days as fork-lift trucks were few and much loading was accomplished by hand. Steel hoops and a canvas tilt gave weather protection to the stores carried. The Hippo Mk 1 initial version was based on a pre-war commercial type with an open cab with canvas tilt and fixed windscreen, while the Hippo Mk 2 had an all-steel cab. The Hippo Mk 2 had single rear wheels, whilst the Hippo Mk 2A had dual wheels fitted with 10-50-22 tyres. The difficulty experienced with the Mk 2A was the need to carry two spare wheels, one for the front and one for the rear. It is perhaps quite amazing to see these trucks still in service in the 1980s. Besides the general-service vehicle, many were fitted with large van type bodies, and several expandable body types were built, albeit of similar design. The side panels were moved horizontally, the upper half being raised to form extra roof area and the lower half forming extra floor space to provide additional freedom around machinery. The vehicles could also be linked together to form a consolidated workshop area. Van bodies included an automatic processing type for developing photographs, an enlarging and rectifying type for exposing original film, a printing type with a rotary offset printing machine, and a photo-mechanical type equipped with a rotary offset printer, work tables and platetracks. Entrance to all these bodies was through a single door in the rear. Because of the length of the body, the spare wheel had to be transferred from behind the cab and placed under the rear of the chassis.

A post-war fitting was the adoption of a 9092-litre (2000-Imp gal) AVTUR refueller body and, with the rear body removed, of a Coles Mk 7 or Neal Type QMC crane.

Specification
Leyland Hippo Mk 2 GS
Powerplant: one 74.6-kW (100-bhp) Leyland Type L 6-cylinder diesel engine
Dimensions: length 8.31 m (27 ft 3 in); width 2.46 m (8 ft 1 in); height 3.33 m (10 ft 11 in)

The 10-ton 6x4 format became widely used by the British army after the war. Manufacturers included Albion, Foden and Leyland. The Leyland Hippo, introduced in 1943, is seen here with WDPattern open cab and the GS body.

Weights: unladen 8941 kg (19,712 lb) and laden 19711 kg (43,456 lb)
Performance: radius 837 km (520 miles)
**Italian trucks**

Many of Italy’s trucks were of old design, but during the build-up of the Italian armed forces before the outbreak of World War II some measure of standardization was achieved. The largest supplier of trucks to the Italian army was Fiat. Fiat vehicles equipped most of the transport units, vehicles like the Fiat TL37 4x4 light truck having large wheels and tyres to suit the terrain of Ethiopia and the Western Desert. The OM Autocarretta 32 was a unique light truck, and was highly regarded by its crews, and even by British troops when examples were captured. The type was intended primarily for mountain operations, and featured a 4-cylinder air-cooled diesel engine and independent suspension front and rear. The gearbox was centrally mounted and drove both front and rear axles direct. The medium-truck range was dominated by the Fiat 38R 4x2 and the Lancia 3 RO N 6 '/2-ton 4x2. The latter vehicle also formed the basis of a mobile anti-aircraft mount. To start these trucks a hand-cranked inertia start unit was placed forward of the crankshaft. The power unit was a Junkers two-stroke engine. The Fiat 633 BM was built on similar lines to the Lancia.

Most Italian tanks were of the lighter types, and could therefore be carried in the bodies of the Lancia, though a tank-transporter trailer could also be used. Two other widely used vehicles were the Fiat 626BL powered by a 46-kW (62-bhp) engine, and the Fiat 665NL. The latter was quite advanced in truck body and cab design, but many of the other members of Italian vehicles, these seeing service on almost every German front. In Libya the British discovered that Italian diesel-engined trucks were of great value because of their lack of a carburettor, which had a tendency to clog up in dusty conditions.

**Specification**

**OM Autocarretta**
- Powerplant: one 15.7-kW (21-bhp) OM Autocarretta 32 4-cylinder engine
- Dimensions: length 3.80 m (12 ft 5.6 in); width 1.30 m (4 ft 3.2 in); height 2.15 m (7 ft 0.6 in)
- Weight: 1615 kg (3,560 lb)

**Dodge WC62**

During 1941 the US logistic organization decided a vehicle was required to complement the 3'/4-ton Dodge T214 WC52 weapons carrier. The design was to include a larger payload area for stores or troops and the requirement called for a standardization of vehicle parts to be easily interchangeable. The front of the 6x6 Dodge WC62 was typical Dodge, but the rear body was lengthened by 1.24 m (49 in) and a third axle was added. The third axle allowed the doubling of payload compared with the 3'/4-ton Dodge. Other considerations besides interchangeability were envisaged during production, with the need for new military trucks increasing as the war drew on and it was decided that rather than design a specific new truck this type of adapted vehicle would enable the production lines to complete vehicles at a much faster rate. The two types used the same engine, clutch, transmission, front axle, steering gear, wheels, brakes, tyres, radiator, fan and belt, windshield, seats and electrical system. Basically designed as infantry carriers, these vehicles found their way into all arms of the American forces, including the Army Air Force. During stringent military tests the vehicle proved to have excellent stability as a result of its low centre of gravity and wide-tread tyres. Six-wheel drive and high ground clearance enabled A WC62 towing an anti-tankgun (the MI 57-mm adaptation of the British 6-pdr) halts in front of Munich city hall as the 7th Army moves through the city in April 1945.
The 6-ton Dodge T214 was elongated to produce the WC62, both shown here with French troops in the south of France in August 1944.

The majority of these vehicles were produced between 1943 and 1945. Very few variants were developed on this chassis: one such was a scout car with an armoured shell fitted to the passenger side of the cab to carry a 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-gun. Approximately 43,300 Dodge 6x6 trucks were produced. Another was the mounting of twin 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns (on a Gun Mount M33) on the rear body. This was basically used in the USA, very few others were made. The 6x6 saw extensive use post-war until the Korean War, after which the type became surplus as a result of wear and the introduction of new equipment. Today the WC62 is a much prized vehicle within the ranks of vehicle preservation societies.

Specification
Dodge WC62
Powerplant: one 68.6-kW (92-bhp) Dodge 6-cylinder petrol engine

American medium trucks

When one thinks of US military medium trucks, the CMC 2½-ton 6x6 immediately springs to mind. However, this type is discussed overleaf and other types are treated here.

Semi-trailer tractors come into this category with designations from 2½-ton to 5-ton. These special-purpose vehicles were used to haul large trailers of all descriptions. The general-service bodies were used in great numbers during the advance across Europe, proving extremely useful in such organized deployments as the ‘Red Ball Express’ route. Starting with some of the less publicized vehicles, the Autocar Model U4144T 4x4 tractor was basically used in the USA, very few crossing the Atlantic and the US Army Air Force being a major user for the fuel bowser-towing role. Another early model, the CMC AFKX-502-8E COE tractor, was used to tow early horse box trailers for the cavalry. The CMC was powered by a 6-cylinder 91-kW (122-bhp) engine. Perhaps the two most popular and publicized tractors were the Autocar Model U7144T and the Federal 9463, which were used in quite large numbers for haulage. The Autocar was used by artillery units to tow van bodies, fitted out with radio equipment mostly for use by anti-aircraft units. These tractors were designed to use a front dolly wheel for use as full towing trailer, though when the trailer was coupled to the tractor the dolly could be bowed behind the whole assembly. Early vehicles had fixed steel cabs, these later being changed to soft tops in line with most other American-produced military transport vehicles. Many soft-top vehicles were fitted with a ring mount for a 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-gun. The Federal model was used in the same basic way, the power unit for this type being the Hercules 6-cylinder RXC engine.

In the 4-ton cargo range the FWD HARI saw extensive service with American, British and Canadian forces. It was powered by a Waukesha GB2 6-cylinder engine. Many of the trucks were instrumental in hauling supplies along the Allied supply line from Persia to the USSR. One interesting deployment of the FWD in British service was its use to tow mobile smoke generators. The RAF used the truck as mobile power supply vehicles and as snow ploughs, the latter being fitted with a Bros rotary plough, for which the rear body was replaced by a large Climax R6 petrol engine unit. Transmission of power to the plough was twofold, first by V-belts to the rotary parts then through transmission shafts to the rotor assembly with a chain drive for final power to the rake.

Diamond T supplied a 6x6 medium truck, the Diamond T 968, this being one of the US Army’s cargo trucks until the end of the war. Variants included tipper, map reproduction, wrecker and bitumen tank vehicles. A total of 10,551 was built, and a further 2,197 were supplied as long- and short-wheelbase vehicles (cab and chassis) for fitment of special engineering bodies. These were supplied to many other countries during and after World War II.

Studebaker produced almost 200,000 2½-ton trucks, similar to the CMC 6x6, but more than half of that production went to the Soviet Union under Lend-lease. Many were produced with the Studebaker commercial-type closed cab.
World War II saw much in the way of innovation, and one of the major changes involved the vastly increased mobility of armies. For the first time, whole formations were motorized, and supporting the fighting troops were a host of vehicles of many types.

In recent years a wealth of information has been published about armoured fighting vehicles, but relatively little has appeared on the ubiquitous 'B' vehicle, the unarmoured vehicle designed specifically for military use, without which modern armies would be unable to move. On the Allied side, there was almost total reliance upon US production: Britain had lost a major proportion of its 'B' vehicles with the retreat from Dunkirk; and the Soviet Union, in evacuating its industry to the east before the rapid German advance, had concentrated its industrial might upon AFV production, relying almost entirely upon US Lend-Lease vehicles for logistical and support functions.

All nations had gone to great efforts to achieve standardization and to reduce the variety of vehicle types to a minimum. In the Allies' case this was not difficult; the USA managed to restrict its unarmoured vehicle programme to a very limited number of types (six basic classes), and the fact that it was the principal contributor to Allied production facilitated widespread standardization with major advantages in maintenance and resupply. The Germans also had begun the war with a standardization programme, introducing the Einheit (standard) or 'E' vehicles, each class of which had several manufacturers producing models that were built to the same specification. Unfortunately for them, these vehicles suffered from severe mechanical reliability problems, were complex to service and maintain, and could not be easily mass-produced in the quantities required; so civilian models had to be adopted. As the Germans occupied country after country, they gathered more and more vehicles, and by the time the Soviet campaign was well under way there were some 1500 different types of unarmoured vehicle in German service. This made maintenance and resupply a nightmare, and contributed as a significant factor to the eventual German defeat. Towards the end of the war the Germans completed a new standardization programme (the Schell Programme) under which the famous Volkswagen Kubel and Opel-Blitz lorry bore most of the brunt of the requirements. By this time, however, it was far too late.
During 1934 the Germans made the first attempts to create standardized (Einheits) vehicles for the Wehrmacht. Until this time vehicles employed for cross-country work had been based on commercial designs or were conversions of them. The new army motorization programme placed great emphasis upon the design of vehicles from not only technical but also operational considerations. A new system of Kfz (Kraftfahrzeug, or motor vehicle) numbers was introduced, whereby numbers were allotted to vehicles (irrespective of make or model) to denote their tactical or military function. With few exceptions, for the vehicles covered here these Kfz numbers were broken down on the following classes: 1 to 10 covered L.Pkw (leichter Personenkraftwagen, or light personnel carrier); 11 to 20 covered M.Pkw (mittelster Personenkraftwagen, or medium personnel carrier); and 21 to 30 covered S.Pkw (schwerer Personenkraftwagen, or heavy personnel carrier).

The L.Pkw was a standard vehicle irrespective of its models or manufacturers, with the exception of the engine, which was always that of the manufacturer and commercially available. The engine was made by Stöwer, BMW and Hanomag from 1936 onwards. The slower model (Kraftfahrzeug 2) used AW2 and R180W water-cooled 4-cylinder OHV petrol engines with dry-sump lubrication. The chassis was of normal type with a frame of rectangular section, side- and cross-members, and bracing to support the engine, transmission and body. The hood was hinged down the centre and fastened on each side by two clips. The chassis was used for the 4-seater light car (Kfz 1) and for a variety of other special-purpose vehicles.

Made from 1936, the Kfz 2 was a standard body design based on mechanical components of several manufacturers. The S.U.40 was a 4x4 design, and, as here, was often the basis for radio cars.

### Specification
**Kfz 2 (Slower 40)**

Dimensions: length 3.58 m (11 ft 9 in); width 1.57 m (5 ft 2 in); height 1.78 m (5 ft 10 in); wheelbase 2.24 m (7 ft 4 in)

Weight: net 1815 kg (4,001 lb)

Powerplant: one Slower AW2 or R180W 4-cylinder OHV petrol engine developing 50 bhp (37.3 kW)

Transmission: five forward and one reverse gears

Tyres: 5.50x18 (metric)

A Luftwaffe Kfz 2 in the desert. The JunkersJu 87 'Stukas' are just returning from a mission, as shown by their bombless condition.

---

## Volkswagen Kübel

One of the most famous military cars of World War 2 was the Volkswagen Kübel, the German Jeep. During 1933 Hitler had instructed two car designers (Dr Porsche of Auto-Union and Werlin of Mercedes-Benz) to develop a ‘people’s car’ (Volkswagen). The basic Volkswagen took shape on Porsche’s drawing board as early as 1934. In 1936 the first design for a Volkswagen cross-country appeared, designated Volkswagen Typ 62. When the decision was reached that the only new personnel carrier to be employed by the Wehrmacht would be the Volkswagen, serving as the standard light passenger car for all arms, design changes were requested resulting in the Typ 82. During 1938 work was undertaken on the Volkswagen plant at Wolfsburg, and production began in March 1940.

The vehicle was designed for lightness and ease of manufacture. Built as cheaply as possible, it comprised components of simple design. Generally, the layout was very similar to that of the Jeep. The method of suspension, together with the use of a self-locking differential, gave it remarkably good cross-country performance. After initial problems, the 998-cc Volkswagen Typ 1 4-cylinder HİAR air-cooled engine soon became one of the most reliable powerplants ever. With its excellent automotive qualities and simple maintenance level, the vehicle fully met the high demands of military use, especially in the desert and USSR. The military version had a touring body of sheet metal with a folding top. Four doors were provided, and weather protection was afforded by a folding canvas hood and side screens. The body panels were mostly of 18-gauge stampings. Tubular struts were used as the basic structural members of the body. The engine cylinders were of H form and laid flat at the bottom of the car. The chassis consisted of a central welded-steel tube biarticulating at the rear to support the engine and transmission, and the steel floor on each side of the central member supported the body. The front axle consisted of a steel tube which housed the two tension bars of the suspension. At each side of the differential were universal joints providing centres about which the two rear driving axles could articulate, and the rear wheels were stabilized laterally from the differential housing. The auxiliary gearboxes in each rear wheel brought the two half-shafts higher and so gave a greater ground clearance. There was independent suspension on all four wheels, and double-action hydraulic shock-absorbers controlled the movement of the rear springing. The steering gear and connectors were of conventional type. The brakes were mechanical, cable-operated, and had double lever action on the brake shoes. Transmission was through a single-plate clutch.
gearbox. An overdrive was incorporated in fourth gear. The fuel tank was located below the instrument panel, facing the front right-hand seat. This vehicle was also designed with an enclosed body, designated Typ 92. All models built from March 1943 had a larger engine (1131-cc capacity). By the end of the war some 55,000 Typ 82s had been produced (production ceased in mid-1944). To accommodate the various bodies required, an order was issued on 2 August 1940 demanding widening of the chassis by between 6 and 8 cm (2.36 and 3.15 in) in what became the Typ 86. The normal Kübelwagen was not very successful in the desert and so the Tropenfest (tropical) version was developed with numerous changes including the use of larger sand tyres. Volkswagen Kübels used in Africa were often referred to as Deutsches Kamel (German camel). There were numerous special-purpose models of the Volkswagen Kübel, many of them adopted by the Wehrmacht.

### Specification

**Volkswagen Kübel**

- **Dimensions:** length 3.73 m (12 ft 3 in); width 1.60 m (5 ft 3 in); height 1.35 m (4 ft 5 in); wheelbase 2.39 m (7 ft 10 in)
- **Weight:** net 635 kg (1,400 lb)
- **Powerplant:** one Volkswagen Typ 14-cylinder HIAR 998-cc petrol engine developing 24 bhp (17.9 kW), or from March 1943 one Volkswagen 4-cylinder 1131-cc petrol engine developing 25 bhp (18.6 kW)
- **Transmission:** limited-slip differential giving four forward and one reverse gears, with overdrive on fourth gear
- **Tyres:** 5.25x16

Kübels were not at first successful in the desert, so a Tropenfest (tropical) version was developed. Changes were numerous, including the use of sand tyres, and the altered model came to be known as the 'German camel'.

**Kraftfahrzeug 11 (Auto-Union/Horch Typ 830)**

Before the introduction of the standard (Einheit) vehicles, the German army made extensive use of commercial cars as a means of motorizing the various arms and services. The Auto-Union/Horch Typ 830 was one of the many commercial passenger car chassis fitted with various military bodies between the late 1920s and early 1930s. V-8 powerplants with a capacity of 3, 3.2 and 3.5 litres were installed. Since only the rear wheels were driven, larger tyres and different rear-axle ratios helped to increase the types' cross-country performance. The vehicles saw action in most theatres of war, the majority of them fitted with open superstructures and used as prime movers for light infantry guns, as well as radio communications vehicles. The signal troops also used a variety of enclosed van-type bodies. The Kfz 11 was a closed-bodied communications or radio vehicle based on this chassis with two seats and a boot. The closed body was often made of wood. Later production models were fitted with sheet-metal doors and removable side windows. Eventually production was discontinued in favour of the medium standard cross-country personnel carrier built from 1937 onwards by Horch and, after 1940, by Opel.

### Specification

**Kfz 11 (Auto-Union/Horch Typ 830)**

- **Dimensions:** length 4.80 m (15 ft 9 in); width 1.80 m (5 ft 11 in); height 1.85 m (6 ft 1 in); wheelbase 3.20 m (10 ft 6 in)
- **Weight:** net 990 kg (2,183 lb)
- **Powerplant:** one Horch V-8 2,98-litre petrol engine developing 70 bhp (52.2 kW)
- **Transmission:** ZF Aphon with four forward and one reverse gears
- **Tyres:** 6.0x18 (metric)

The Kfz 11 saw action in Poland as a personnel carrier. Infantry who were not lucky enough to get places in the cars or trucks had to make do with bicycles or their own two feet.

The Horch Typ 830 was one of many commercial designs fitted with military bodies in the 1930s. Originally used as a troop carrier and radio car, the vehicle saw action in most theatres.
Kraftfahrzeug 15 (Mercedes-Benz 340)

The Kfz 15 mittlerer geländegängiger Personenkraftwagen (m. Gtk, or medium cross-country personnel carrier) was used as a communications (Fernsprech) or radio (Funk) car. It had an open 4-seater body and a boot, and it was fitted with a tow hook. The vehicle was powered by a V-8 engine. Commercial chassis used for this role were: in 1933-8 the Horch 830 and 830B1, in 1937-9 the Wanderer W23S, and in 1938-40 the Daimler-Benz 340.

The Mercedes-Benz 340, a larger version of the 320, was powered by a 3.5-litre engine and had a very long wheelbase, which tended to impair its cross-country performance. Like the Kfz 11 described above, production of this vehicle was discontinued in favour of the medium standard (Einheit) cross-country personnel carrier. This latter vehicle differed basically from the light model (described under Kfz 2, or Stöwer 40) in that the rear wheels were not steerable. As before, however, all-wheel-drive was used. The chassis was a conventional type used for staff cars, radio vehicles and other specialized types. Depending upon the manufacturer, the engine had a swept volume of between 2.9 and 3.5 litres. Horch engines were standard for most models, the few exceptions being equipped with an Opel type.

**Specification**

**Kfz 15 (Mercedes-Benz 340)**

- Dimensions: length 4.44 m (14 ft 7 in); width 1.68 m (5 ft 6 in); height 1.73 m (5 ft 8 in); wheelbase 3.12 m (10 ft 3 in)
- Weight: net 2405 kg (5,302 lb)
- Powerplant: one Mercedes-Benz 6-cylinder petrol engine developing 90 hp (67 kW)
- Tyres: 6.5x20 (metric)

Daimler-Benz G 5

During 1925-6 the first proposals were considered for the development of specialized vehicles for the Reichswehr (predecessor of the Wehrmacht). Among others, a requirement was laid down for a fully cross-country personnel carrier. This was to have six seats and use a six-wheeled chassis with more than one driven axle. The development of such a vehicle was taken up by the firms of Horch-Werk AG of Zwickau, Daimler-Benz AG of Stuttgart and Selve Automobilwerk AG of Hamelin, each of them supplying several models for trial purposes. The first Daimler-Benz model, designated Daimler-Benz G 1, several of which were produced between 1926-8, was powered by a 50-hp M03 6-cylinder engine. This had the drive taken to the four rear wheels. The unladen weight was 1200 kg (2,645 lb), and the payload was 1000 kg (2,025 lb). Daimler-Benz alone continued development of the three-axled personnel carrier. Between 1933 and 1934 it produced a small number of its G 4 model. This was a vehicle widely known for its use by Hitler, although it was never suited to military usage. It had a poor cross-country performance and was too large, too heavy and too expensive. Between 1933 and 1934 57 were built, although only a few were actually adopted by the Wehrmacht. The vehicle had four-wheel drive and steering. A few were fitted with elaborate superstructures for desert travel.

**Specification**

**Daimler-Benz G 5**

- Dimensions: length 4.52 m (14 ft 10 in); width 1.70 m (5 ft 7 in); height 1.80 m (5 ft 11 in); wheelbase 2.79 m (9 ft 2 in)
- Weight: net 1630 kg (3,593 lb)
- Powerplant: one Mercedes-Benz 6-cylinder petrol engine developing 90 hp (67 kW)
- Tyres: 5.50x18 (metric)
The Ford C 11 ADF Heavy Utility Car was a commercial Canadian vehicle, based on the 1942 Ford Fordor Station Wagon, adopted for military use with only minimal changes. Canadian Ford produced the vehicle mainly for the British army, although several were used by the Canadian army. The type used extensively in the Western Desert and Italy by HQ staffs. The military version had right-hand drive (for the UK), heavy-duty tyres, black-out equipment, simplified and strengthened bumpers, internal rifle racks, a map-container, first-aid and medical kit, radio-interference suppression, fire-extinguishers, entrenching tools and other standard fittings, including a removable roof rack. In addition to the driver the all-steel body had seating for five passengers, two in front and three on the single bench-type rear seat. Access was via four doors. In addition there was a full-width rear door split horizontally and hinged top and bottom so that the lower portion formed a tailboard.

A similar vehicle, seating seven passengers and designated Ford C 11A S, was also used, this having lighter tyres and axes and making use of the luggage space for the additional two seats.

Another Ford Heavy Utility Car was essentially the same as the C 11 ADF but based on the 1941 production chassis. Weighing 91 kg (200 lb) more than its predecessors, this variant had a slightly different estate car body and front radiator grill. Some of these vehicles had roof hatches added and jerry and water cans fitted externally.

Specification

Car, Heavy Utility, 4X2, Ford C 11 ADF
Dimensions: length 4.93 m (16 ft 2 in); width 2.01 m (6 ft 7 in); height 1.83 m (6 ft 0 in); wheelbase 2.90 m (9 ft 6 in);

Powerplant: one Ford Mercury V-8 engine developing 95bhp (70.8 kW)
Transmission: three forward and one reverse gears
Tyres: 9.00 x 13 for C 11 ADF, and 6.00 x 13 for C 11 A S

Heavy utility cars were of two major types, those of military design and those converted from civilian models. The C 11 was developed from a commercial station wagon model, and was used extensively in the right-hand drive version by the British, in a variety of roles, and equipped HQ staffs in Italy and the Desert.

USA

Truck, V/2-ton, 4X4, Weapons Carrier, Dodge T207-WC3

Specification

Truck, V/2-ton, 4X4, Weapons Carrier, Dodge T207-WC3
Dimensions: length 6.00 m (19 ft 8 in); width 2.01 m (6 ft 7 in); height 1.83 m (6 ft 0 in); wheelbase 2.95 m (9 ft 8 in);

Powerplant: one Dodge 6-cylinder petrol engine developing 85 bhp (63.4 kW)
Transmission: four forward and one reverse gears
Tyres: 7.50 x 16

USA

Truck, Va-ton, Ambulance, 4X4, Dodge T215-WC27

Dodge trucks were adapted to serve as ambulances, with sheet steel bodies accommodating up to four stretcher cases. Early versions had enclosed cabs as shown, but later reverted to the open cab of the weapons carrier version.
A \( \frac{1}{2} \)-ton Dodge 4x4, the T215 model, preceded the 3 1/2-ton T214 in 1941. It was at this time that the Dodge division of Chrysler became the large-scale producer of such vehicles for the US forces.

Dodge Brothers Corporation Division of the Chrysler Corporation of America and also, in a modified form, in Canada. The International M-1-4 range was similar in layout but produced solely for the US Marine Corps and US Navy. A great number of these \( \frac{1}{2} \)-ton trucks were supplied to the USSR under the Lend-Lease programme.

**Specification**

- **Truck, 3/4-ton Ambulance, 4x4, Dodge T215-WC27**
  - Dimensions: length 4.67 m (15 ft 4 in); width 1.93 m (6 ft 4 in); height 2.13 m (7 ft 0 in); wheelbase 2.95 m (9 ft 8 in)
  - Weight: net 2046 kg (4,510 lb)
  - Powerplant: one Dodge T215 6-cylinder petrol engine developing 92bhp (68.6kW)
  - Transmission: four forward and one reverse gears
  - Tyres: 7.50x16

**Truck, 3/4-ton, 4X4, Command Reconnaissance, Dodge T214-WC56**

- Introduced during 1942, the Dodge 3/4-ton 4x4 range of light trucks superseded the original \( \frac{1}{2} \)-ton 4x4 range. Both Ford and Dodge, previously the main suppliers of \( \frac{1}{2} \)-ton 4x4 vehicles, each produced prototypes for US Army evaluation: these were slightly wider and lower than their predecessors, had larger wheels and tyres, and possessed stronger suspensions. The Dodge version was selected and officially introduced during June 1942 when production started into full swing.

- As with the \( \frac{1}{2} \)-ton vehicles there were several special body types. The Dodge T214 series comprised the WC51 weapons carrier, WC52 weapons carrier with winch, WC53 general-purpose and field command vehicle, WC54 ambulance, WC55 37-mm Gun Motor Carriage M6, WC56 command reconnaissance vehicle, WC57 command reconnaissance with winch, WC58 radio vehicle, WC59 light maintenance and installation vehicle, WC60 emergency repair vehicle, WC61 telephone maintenance and installation vehicle, and WC64 ambulance.

- Generally, the vehicles in this series were referred to as 'Beeps' (contraction of Big Jeeps). The WC51 weapons carrier was used principally to transport personnel, weapons, tools and equipment. It had an open body with a canvas tilt and canvas side-screens. The WC53 was fitted with a 'safari' type body with rear side doors, a map table, special seats and internal lighting. The WC56 command reconnaissance was the most common variant, and was used for reconnaissance and liaison, and as a staff car for high-ranking officers. It was fitted with map-boards and had a detachable canvas top and side-screens.

**Specification**

- **Truck, 3/4-ton, 4x4, Command Reconnaissance, Dodge T214-WC56**
  - Dimensions: length 4.24 m (13 ft 11 in); width 1.99 m (6 ft 6.5 in); height 2.07 m (6 ft 9.5 in); wheelbase 2.49 m (8 ft 2 in)
  - Weight: net 2449 kg (5,400 lb)
  - Powerplant: one Dodge T214-6 cylinder petrol engine developing 92bhp (68.6kW)
  - Transmission: four forward and one reverse gears
  - Tyres: 9.00x16

Above: Superseding the T207-WC3, the T214 range of trucks were 3 1/2-ton vehicles. Introduced early in 1942, they were in full production by June. Used to transport personnel, weapons, tools and equipment, the T214 range were sometimes known as 'Beeps' (Big Jeeps).

Below: Dodge T214s can still be found in use in many parts of the world, a tribute to the vehicle’s sturdy design. The command and reconnaissance version, the WC53, was used in much the same way as the jeep.
UK

Lorry, 8cwt, 4X2, FFW, Humber

Just before the outbreak of war in 1939 the British army was in the process of intensive mechanization, and several classes of load capacity had been defined for 'B' vehicles. The second class was the 8-cwt truck which fulfilled such roles as the OS (General Service) and FFW (Fitted For Wireless). Such 8-cwt trucks with both 4x2 and 4x4 wheel arrangements were produced in considerable numbers from a period just before the war, but were eventually phased out of production in order to rationalize output and reduce the number of types in service. The 5-cwt and 15-cwt classes could carry out any duties that had been allocated to the 8-cwt class. These vehicles were manufactured by Ford, Morris and Humber. Similar in appearance, these vehicles had detachable well-type bodies with seating for three men (two facing offside and one nearside) and canvas tilts, though the wireless version had seating for two men only. Folding legs were fitted which enabled the body to be placed on the ground for use as a mobile command centre or wireless station. The Humber 8-cwt Lorry early production vehicles employed the chassis of the original 1939 Humber Snipe saloon with louvres in the bonnet sides. The Lorry, 8-cwt, 4X4, FFW incorporated the No. 11 wireless set, a map table and other fittings necessary for command operations. The wireless batteries could be recharged from a generator driven off the main engine, The OS model had the same body but lacked the radio equipment.

Specification
Lorry, 8cwt, 4X2, FFW, Humber
Dimensions: length 4.44 m (14 ft 7 in); width 1.96 m (6 ft 5 in); height 1.89 m (6 ft 3 in); wheelbase 2.84 m (9 ft 4 in)
Weight: net 1769 kg (3900 lb)
Powerplant: one Humber 6-cylinder petrol engine developing 85 bhp (63.4 kW)
Transmission: four forward and one reverse gears
Tyres: 9.00x13

AIGHT: The Humber FFW (Fitted for Wireless) was an 8-cwt 4x2 truck with seating for two wireless operators in the body. The body was detachable for use on the ground as a wireless station or as a command centre.

UK

Car, Heavy Utility, 4X4 (FWD), Humber

Together with the Ford 4x2 Heavy Utility, the Humber Heavy Utility Car was the basic staff and command car of the British army during World War II at all levels of command. Nicknamed the Humber 'Box', this was the only British-built four-wheel drive utility car, and production began during May 1941, continuing for the duration of the war. Employed on a very wide scale, this staff car remained in service until the late 1950s. The cab and body were integral and of all-steel construction, and later models were fitted with a sliding roof. The body was a six-seater with four individual seats and, at the rear, two tip-up occasional seats which could be folded down to leave the body clear for stowage; there was a folding map table behind the front seats. There were two hinged doors on each side with a full-width double door arrangement at the rear. The front mudguards, radiator grill and bonnet were identical to those of the Humber 8-cwt 4x4 chassis. In the Western Desert this vehicle was sometimes modified by replacement of the roof by a canvas folding tilt. Some vehicles,
especially those used by high-ranking officers, were also fitted with a sliding roof.

Specification
Car, Heavy Utility, 4X4 (FWD), Humber
Dimensions: length 4.29 m (14 ft 1 in); width 1.88 m (6 ft 2 in); height 1.96 m (6 ft 5 in); wheelbase 2.84 m (9 ft 3.75 in)
Weight: net 2413 kg (5,320 lb)
Powerplant: one Humber 6-cylinder 1-L-W-F 4.08-litre petrol engine developing 85 bhp (63.4 kW)
Transmission: four forward and one reverse gear with auxiliary two-speed

Fitted with a folding map table, the Humber Heavy Utility was used mainly as a staff car. Some were given folding canvas tops for operations in North Africa. Staff officers occasionally had forward sliding roofs fitted.

UK Tractor, Artillery, 4X4, Morris C8

The Morris Company produced a whole range of vehicles for the British army, one of the most successful being the Morris C8 Artillery Tractor (popularly known as the Quad). Introduced in 1939, this vehicle had four-wheel drive and was equipped with a 4-ton winch driven from the transfer case. It had a distinctive beetle-shaped body and usually a towed limber and 18- or 25-pdr gun/howitzer. As far as the army was concerned the vehicles built for gun-towing had to have the same characteristics as the horse-drawn gun carriage team which they replaced, such as good cross-country performance, seating for the gun crew, and adequate stowage space for equipment and ammunition. They were always manned by artillerymen. In this vehicle there was accommodation for the driver, gun-crew commander and five men. The final model, introduced in 1944, was automatically identical but had a new body (no longer beetle-shaped) with an open top and canvas tarpaulin cover. This was introduced as a dual-purpose vehicle to tow the 17-pdr anti-tank gun or the 25-pdr gun/howitzer, and could now seat eight men including the driver. Two doors were provided on each side. At the rear of the body ammunition racks were installed to take all types of standard British artillery ammunition. This vehicle remained in service until the 1950s. The original vehicle was powered by a Morris 4-cylinder petrol engine and the gearbox had five forward and one reverse gear driving all four wheels. When the C8 Mk III version was introduced, however, four-wheel drive could be disengaged except in first gear and reverse.

Specification
Tractor, Artillery 4X4, Morris C8 Mk III
Dimensions: length 4.49 m (14 ft 8.75 in); width 2.21 m (7 ft 3 in); height 2.26 m (7 ft 5 in); wheelbase 2.51 m (8 ft 3 in)
Weight: net 3402 kg (7,500 lb)
Powerplant: one Morris 4-cylinder 3.5-litre petrol engine developing 70 bhp (52.2 kW)
Transmission: five forward and one reverse gears

Above: The C8 Artillery Tractors were originally four-wheel-drive versions of the C8 trucks. The Mk III, introduced in 1944-5, had a canvas top and square contour body.

Morris was one of the many suppliers of GSpattern trucks, and the C8x2 was one of the major types lost in numbers at Dunkirk. Some were used in the desert, however. The C8 was eventually upgraded to four-wheel drive.
During 1935 the War Office carried out trials with new lorry models, and the Bedford Truck Division of Vauxhall Motors Ltd submitted various prototype vehicles. One of these was a modification of the commercial 2-ton lorry with rear-wheel drive. Following the trials the vehicle was fitted with a new radiator and larger tyres. After further trials in 1936 the chassis was modified to increase the ground clearance and a new engine cooling system was incorporated. In 1937 a special prototype Bedford WD prototype was produced on this chassis, rated at 15-cwt payload capacity. The most noticeable feature was the flat full-width bonnet necessitated by the extra-large air filter specified by the War Mechanisation Board. During 1938 a more powerful engine was used. An initial order for 2,000 Bedford 15-cwt Truck vehicles was placed in August 1939, the first 50 being constructed as special portée vehicles to carry the 2-pdr anti-tank gun. Originally, the vehicle had an open cab with folding windscreen and collapsible canvas tilt, but from 1945 an enclosed cab with side-doors, canvas top and perspex side screens was adopted. By the end of the war Bedford had produced a total of 250,000 vehicles, a large proportion of which were this model. The vehicle remained in service with the British army until the late 1950s. Although intended mainly as a workhorse for the infantry, the Bedford 15-cwt GS eventually became used by all arms including the Royal Navy and the RAF.

Specification
Truck, 15 cwt, GS, 4X2, Bedford MWD
Dimensions: length 4.38 m (14 ft 4.5 in); width 1.99 m (6 ft 6.5 in); height 2.29 m (7 ft 6 in) with GS tilt and 1.93 m (6 ft 4 in) with GS tilt; wheelbase 2.51 m (8 ft 3 in)
Weight: net 2132 kg (4,700 lb)
Powerplant: one Bedford 6-cylinder OHV 3.5-litre petrol engine developing 72 bhp (53.7 kW)
Transmission: four forward and one reverse gears
Tyres: 9.00x16

The 15-cwt class of truck was most important to the British army, numbers in use rising from 15,000 in 1939 to 230,000 in 1945. The Bedford MWD with GS body is typical of a late-war 15-cwt 4x2 truck.

The GAZ-67 (named for the Gorky Avtomobil Zavod, or Gorky Car Factory) was first manufactured in the Soviet Union during 1943 as a cross-country vehicle for the transportation of personnel and light equipment. It was obviously greatly influenced in design and construction by the US Bantam Jeep (the USSR received some 20,000 Jeeps during World War II under the Lend-Lease programme). In particular the body and headlamp arrangement were very similar to those of the Bantam. The vehicle was powered by the Soviet Ford (GAZ) Model A 4-cylinder side-valve engine, and the wheels, suspension and other automotive components were similar to those used on other GAZ cars, with the exception of the use of four-wheel drive. Suspension was through quarter-elliptic springs. The fuel tank was located below the dashboard. The vehicle was fitted out with four seats and was capable of speeds up to 90 km/h (56 mph). Compared with the US Jeep it had very poor acceleration. The GAZ-67B differed from the original GAZ-67 in that it had a longer wheelbase (1.85 m/6ft 0.75 m as against 1.27 m/4ft 2in). This model saw extensive service in Indo-China (where the only existing specimen in the West was captured by the French) and Korea. Production ceased in 1953, the role being taken over by the GAZ-69A. This class of light vehicle has always been used as the workhorse of the airborne divisions.

Specification
GAZ-67B
Dimensions: length 3.34 m (10 ft 11.33 in); width 1.68 m (5 ft 6 in); height 1.30 m (5 ft 7 in); wheelbase 1.85 m (6 ft 0.75 m)
Weight: net 1220 kg (2,690 lb)
Powerplant: one GAZ-A 4-cylinder 3.28-litre petrol engine developing 54 bhp (40.3 kW)
Transmission: four forward and one reverse gears
Tyres: 6.50x16 or 7.00x16 (metric)

Above: First made in 1943 at Corky, the GAZ-67 was influenced by early Jeeps (20,000 having been sent under Lend-Lease). Simple and rather crude, the GAZ-67 was nonetheless strong and a good off-road performer.

Right: The GAZ-67B saw extensive service in Korea, where this particular vehicle was captured, as well as being used in numbers during World War II. It was eventually replaced by the GAZ-69.

Weight: net 1220 kg (2,690 lb)
Powerplant: one GAZ-A 4-cylinder 3.28-litre petrol engine developing 54 bhp (40.3 kW)
Transmission: four forward and one reverse gears
Tyres: 6.50x16 or 7.00x16 (metric)
Most light vehicles used by the Italian armed forces were of Fiat manufacture. Where the Germans had designed and produced several pseudo-military vehicles before the war, so had the Italians, the Autovettura Fiat 508 C.M. being one of them. The Italians referred to the type as a colonial vehicle, specially designed for use on rough terrain such as that encountered in Africa and Ethiopia. Also known as the Fiat 1100 Torpedo Militare, the Fiat 508 C.M. was the most prolific Italian military vehicle of World War II. Just before the war the Ispettorata della Motorizzazione (Inspectorate of Motorization) had requested development of a light, simple and robust vehicle capable of achieving high speeds on roads and reasonable performance cross country, with low production costs. As the result the Fiat Company developed the Torpedo 508, derived from a similar civilian model, from which it differed in an increase in ground clearance, a reduction in the gearbox ratios and a special military body. The vehicle was built in substantial numbers and in various versions between 1939 and 1945, one of which was a special colonial model adapted to avoid ingress of sand and sinking in soft terrain (Modello 1100 Col.).

**Specification**

*Autovettura Fiat 508 C.M.*

Dimensions: length 3.35 m (11 ft 0 in); width 1.37 m (4 ft 6 in); height 1.57 m (5 ft 2 in); wheelbase 2.26 m (7 ft 5 in)

Weight: net 1065 kg (2,348 lb)

Powerplant: one Fiat 108C 4-cylinder petrol engine developing 32 bhp (23.9 kW)

Transmission: four forward and one reverse gears

Tyres: 5.00x18 (metric)

---

**Type 95 Scout Car (Kurogane Black Medal)**

The Type 95 was a lightweight reconnaissance vehicle developed after the Manchurian Incident, which had indicated a real need for such a vehicle. Some 4,800 examples were built by Kurogane with variations in bodywork. This was about the only native vehicle of its type used by the Imperial Japanese Army. Most others were of American origin or patterned on American designs. The air-cooled engine was ideal for operations in Manchuria and northern China, where there was often a lack of unpolluted water and frequently very low temperatures. Initial difficulties were experienced with the four-wheel drive and front universal joints, but these were eventually overcome. Special tyres, with heavy rubber treads, were provided for exceptionally difficult terrain. Power was supplied by a 4-stroke, 2-cylinder V-1-A-F 1399-cc air-cooled engine operating on petrol and developing a maximum of 33 bhp (24.6 kW). The engine had a compression ratio of 5:1 and a removable cylinder head. Ignition was provided by a high-tension magneto with a 12-volt generator for charging the battery; a 12-volt electric starter motor was used. Oil pressure was maintained by a gear-pressure feed pump, and a conventional fuel pump was used. There was a main fuel tank for 35 litres (7.7 Imp gal) and an auxiliary fuel tank of 4-litre (0.8 Imp gal) capacity. Fuel consumption was stated to be 4 litres (0.88 Imp gal) per hour. A dry single-plate clutch was used. The foot-brakes were mechanical contracting with an emergency mechanical expanding type.

**Specification**

*Type 95 Scout Car*

Dimensions: length 3.38 m (11 ft 1 in);

width 1.52 m (5 ft 0 in); height 1.68 m (5 ft 6 in); wheelbase 3.84 m (12 ft 7 in)

Weight: net 1100 kg (2,425 lb)

Powerplant: one 2-cylinder 4-stroke V-1-A-F petrol engine developing 33 bhp (24.6 kW)

Transmission: selective sliding type giving three forward and one reverse gears

Tyres: 18x6
Self-Propelled Guns

Once Germany had demonstrated the new pace of armoured warfare, most belligerent nations began to develop fully mechanized divisions. Field guns were mounted on tank chassis and a new generation of armoured fighting vehicles was born. Self-propelled guns became more important, and largely replaced towed artillery.

Self-propelled artillery was very much a product of the type of warfare that evolved during World War II: before 1939 self-propelled artillery scarcely existed (apart from a few trial weapons), but by 1943 it was used by all the combatant nations. The sudden rise of this new form of weapon can be attributed almost entirely to the impact of the battle tank on tactics, for warfare no longer took place at the speed of the marching soldier and the scouting horse, but at the speed of the tank. These swarmed all over Poland, France and eventually the Soviet Union, and the only way that the supporting arms, including the artillery, could keep up with them was to become equally mobile.

Many of the early self-propelled artillery platforms were simply conversions of existing tanks in order to mount artillery pieces, but the measure of conversion varied widely. Some were scarcely more than lash-ups to meet a hasty requirement or were built locally to suit a particular task. Others, however, were carefully designed from the outset and may be regarded as virtually new products. But two distinct trends can be discerned in the way self-propelled artillery was used in action. One school regarded mobile artillery as a simple adjunct to existing artillery doctrines, and this school designed and used the self-propelled platforms to deliver indirect supporting fire in the usual way. The other school regarded the mobile gun as a form of close-range direct-fire weapon to be used in close support of armour, and this school was responsible for the assault gun. Today both types of weapon are extant, but in the West the modern accent is on the indirect-fire weapon and in the East it is on the close-support assault gun.

Only a selection of the many types of self-propelled artillery that proliferated between 1939 and 1945 can be found in this section. While some important types have been omitted, some 'one-offs' have been included to demonstrate the variety of design concepts that were attempted. The number and approaches of the different designs were enormous before 1945, but only relatively few models actually found their way into action. Most of these are covered here.
The German infantry battalions each had a small artillery complement of four 7.5-cm (2.95-in) light howitzers and two 15-cm (5.9-in) infantry howitzers for their own local fire support. The 15-cm howitzer was known as the schwere Infantrie Geschütz 33 (sIG 33, or heavy infantry gun) and was a very useful and versatile weapon, but it was heavy and the only 'equipment' allocated to most infantry formations for the mounting of the weapons were horse teams. Thus, when an increasing degree of mechanization began to filter through to the German army the sIG 33 was high on the list for consideration.

The first form of mobile sIG 33 was used during the French campaign of May 1940. It was one of the simplest and most basic of all the German self-propelled equipments, for it consisted of nothing more than a sIG 33 mounted complete with carriage and wheels on to a turretless PzKpfw I light tank as the 15-cm sIG 33 auf Geschützwagen I Ausf A. Armoured shields were provided for the crew of four, and that was that. It was not a very satisfactory conversion, as the centre of gravity was rather high and the chassis was overloaded. Moreover, the armour protection was not good, and so in 1942 the PzKpfw II(S) went on the subject for conversion. This 15-cm sIG 33 auf Geschützwagen II ausf C SdKfz 121 conversion had the howitzer mounted low on the hull and it was so successful that during 1943 a version with a lengthened hull was produced as the 15-cm sIG 33 auf Geschützwagen I Ausf Fgst Kpfw II (Sf) Verlängert. The ex-Czech PzKpfw 38(t) was also converted to act as a sIG 33 carrier. In 1942 the first of a series of vehicles known collectively as the 15-cm sIG 33 (Sf) auf PzKpfw 38(t) Bison SdKfz 138 were produced. The first series had the sIG 33 mounted forward on the hull top behind the superstructure, and this weapon/vehicle arrangement proved to be so successful that it was formalized in 1943 by the production of a specially prepared factory-produced model rather than a conversion of existing tanks and had the vehicle engine mounted forward (instead of at the rear as originally located) this entailed the movement of the fighting compartment to the hull rear. This was the SdKfz 138/1 (SdKfz for Sonder Kraftfahrzeug, or special vehicle) and it was this vehicle that was retained as the German army's standard sIG 33 carrier until the end of the war. The SdKfz 138/1 had a crew of four including the driver, and 15 shells were carried on the vehicle. There was no room for more because the fighting compartment was rather restricted for space.

There was one other sIG 33 self-propelled version, this time on a PzKpfw III chassis. This 15-cm sIG 33 auf PzKpfw III appeared in 1941 and used a large box superstructure on a PzKpfw III to house the sIG 33. This proved to be rather too much of a good thing, for the chassis was really too large for the weapon which could be easily carried by lighter vehicles. Thus production never got properly under way, being terminated after only 12 conversions had been made. These vehicles were used in action on the Eastern Front.

All the sIG 33 self-propelled equipments were used for their original role, i.e. the direct fire-support of infantry units in the field. Perhaps the most successful of these self-propelled carriages were the Bison and the later SdKfz 138/1. Over 370 of the vehicles were produced, and they were still in production in late 1944.

Specification SdKfz 138/1
Type: self-propelled infantry-support howitzer
Crew: 4
Weight: 11500 kg (25,353 lb)
Powerplant: one Praga 6-cylinder petrol engine developing 111.9 kW (150hp)
Dimensions: length 4.835 m (15 ft 10.4 in); width 2.15 m (7 ft 0.6 in); height 2.4 m (7 ft 10.5 in)
Performance: maximum road speed 35 km/h (21.75 mph); maximum road range 185 km (115 miles); fording 0.914 m (3 ft)
Armament: one 15-cm (5.9-in) howitzer

Even as early as 1939 it was obvious that the days of the little PzKpfw II tank were numbered, for it lacked both armament and armour. However, it was in production and quite reliable, and so the need arose for self-propelled artillery the PzKpfw II was selected to be the carrier for the 10.5-cm (4.13-in) leFH 18 field howitzer. The conversion of the tank hull to carry the howitzer/Rh 15cm (43-calibre) straight forward, for the/howitzer was mounted behind an open topped armoured shield towards the rear of the hull and the area where the turret had been was armoured over and the space used for ammunition stowage. Maximum armour thickness was 18 mm (0.7 in).

The result was the self-propelled howitzer known as the Wespe (wasp) though its full official designation was rather more cumbersome: leFH 18/1 auf Fgst Kpfw II (Sf) SdKfz 124 Wespe, but to everyone it was just the Wespe. It was a very popular little self-propelled weapon that soon gained for itself a reputation for reliability and mobility. The first of them were based on the PzKpfw II Ausf F chassis and went into action on the Eastern Front during 1943. On this front they were used by the divisional artillery batteries of the Panzer and Panzergrenadier divisions. They were usually organized into batteries of six howitzers with up to five batteries to an Abteilung (battalion).

The Wespe was so successful in its artillery support role that Hitler himself made an order that all available PzKpfw II chassis production should be allocated to the Wespe alone, and all the many other improvised weapons on the PzKpfw II chassis were dropped or their armament diverted to other chassis. The main Wespe construction centre was the Famo plant in Poland, and there production was so rapid that by mid-1944 682 examples had been built. Some time around that...
date manufacture of the Wespe ceased, but not before 158 had been completed without howitzers; these vehicles had the gap in the armour plate for the howitzer sealed off, the space behind the armour being used for resupply ammunition needed by batteries in the front line.

A typical Wespe went into action carrying its crew of five, including the driver, and 32 rounds of ammunition. A Wespe battery was completely mobile, although some of the vehicles were soft-skinned trucks for carrying ammunition and other supplies. The forward observers were usually carried in light armoured vehicles although some batteries used ex-Czech or captured French tanks for this purpose. Fire orders were relayed back to the battery by radio, and from the battery fire command post the orders were further relayed to the gun positions by land lines. The howitzer carried on the Wespe was the standard 10.5-cm leFH 18 as used by towed batteries (although most were fitted with muzzle brakes) and so used the same ammunition. They also had the same range of 10675m (11.675 yards).

**Specfication**

**Wespe**

Type: self-propelled field howitzer

Crew: 5

Weight: 11000 kg (24,25 lb)

Powerplant: one Maybach 6-cylinder petrol engine developing 104.4kW (140hp)

Dimensions: length 4.81m (15 ft 9.4 in); width 2.28m (7 ft 5.75 in); height 2.3m (7 ft 6.6 in)

Performance: maximum road speed 40km/h (24.85 mph); road range 220km (137 miles); fording 0.8 m (2 ft 7.5 in)

Armament: one 105-mm (4.13-in) howitzer and one 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG34 machine-gun

**Hummel**

The self-propelled artillery vehicle that became known as the Hummel (bumble bee) was a hybrid combining components of the PzKpfw III and PzKpfw IV tanks into a new vehicle known as the Geschützwagen III/IV. The first of these hybrids was produced during 1941 and used a lengthened PzKpfw IV suspension and running gear combined with the final drive assemblies, track and transmission of the PzKpfw III. Onto this new hull was built an open superstructure formed with light armour plates, and two types of weapon were mounted. Vehicles intended for use as tank destroyers mounted a version of the 88-mm (3.46-in) anti-tank gun, but vehicles intended for use as self-propelled artillery mounted a special version of the 15-cm (5.9-in) FH 18 field howitzer. The FH 18 vehicle was the 15-cm Panzerfeldhaubitze 18M auf GW III/IV Sdkfz 165 Hummel, and it formed the heavy field artillery element of the Panzer and Panzergrenadier divisions from 1942 onwards. The ordnance was known as the Panzerfeldhaubitze 18/1, and could fire a 43.5-kg (95.9-lb) projectile to a range of 13325m (14,572 yards). The first howitzers produced for the self-propelled role were fitted with large muzzle brakes, but experience demonstrated that these were not really necessary and were accordingly left off later production versions. Maximum armour thickness was 50mm (1.97 in).

The Hummel had a crew of five, including the driver who sat in an armoured position forward. The provision of an armoured compartment for the driver alone was considered a luxury in war-production terms, but instead of eliminating this feature the designers made the whole thing cheaper by enlarging the armoured position and employing the vehicle for the desired mobility to enable them to keep up with the Panzer divisions. Thus more internal space was provided for one of the crew members. The Hummel could carry only 18 rounds of ammunition forward, but in stead of eliminating this feature the designers made the whole thing cheaper by enlarging the armoured position and employing the vehicle for the desired mobility to enable them to keep up with the Panzer divisions. Thus more internal space was provided for one of the crew members. The Hummel could carry only 18 rounds of ammunition forward, but instead of eliminating this feature the designers made the whole thing cheaper by enlarging the armoured position and employing the vehicle for the desired mobility to enable them to keep up with the Panzer divisions. Thus more internal space was provided for one of the crew members. The Hummel could carry only 18 rounds of ammunition forward, but instead of eliminating this feature the designers made the whole thing cheaper by enlarging the armoured position and employing the vehicle for the desired mobility to enable them to keep up with the Panzer divisions. Thus more internal space was provided for one of the crew members. The Hummel could carry only 18 rounds of ammunition forward, but instead of eliminating this feature the designers made the whole thing cheaper by enlarging the armoured position and employing the vehicle for the desired mobility to enable them to keep up with the Panzer divisions. Thus more internal space was provided for one of the crew members. The Hummel could carry only 18 rounds of ammunition forward, but instead of eliminating this feature the designers made the whole thing cheaper by enlarging the armoured position and employing the vehicle for the desired mobility to enable them to keep up with the Panzer divisions. Thus more internal space was provided for one of the crew members. The Hummel could carry only 18 rounds of ammunition forward, but instead of eliminating this feature the designers made the whole thing cheaper by enlarging the armoured position and employing the vehicle for the desired mobility to enable them to keep up with the Panzer divisions.

**Specification**

**Hummel**

Type: self-propelled howitzer

Crew: 5

Weight: 11000 kg (24,25 lb)

Powerplant: one Maybach 6-cylinder petrol engine developing 104.4kW (140hp)

Dimensions: length 7.17m (23 ft 6.3 in); width 2.87m (9 ft 5 in); height 2.81m (9 ft 2.8 in)

Performance: maximum road speed 42km/h (26.1 mph); road range 215 km (134 miles); fording 0.99 m (3 ft 3 in)

Armament: one 155-mm (6-in) howitzer and one 7.92-mm (0.31-in) machine-gunn

A battery of four Hummels stand ready for action on a Russian steppe in 1942. The closeness of the guns and the overall lack of concealment room for the crews to serve the gun, and the carriage gave the howitzer the desired mobility to enable them to keep up with the Panzer divisions. Demonstrates that the Luftwaffe had air superiority at this time, otherwise the guns would have been much more dispersed and camouflaged.

Powerplant: one Maybach V-12 petrol engine developing 197.6kW (265 hp)

Dimensions: length 7.17m (23 ft 6.3 in); width 2.87m (9 ft 5 in); height 2.81m (9 ft 2.8 in)

Performance: maximum road speed 42km/h (26.1 mph); road range 215 km (134 miles); fording 0.99 m (3 ft 3 in)

Armament: one 155-mm (6-in) howitzer and one 7.92-mm (0.31-in) machine-gunn
The Waffenträger (literally weapons carrier) was a novel concept for the Germans when it was first mooted during 1942. The idea was that the Waffenträger was to be not so much a form of self-propelled artillery but a means of carrying an artillery piece in a turret into action, because it would be removed from the tank, emplaced, used in action, and picked up again when no longer required. The exact tactical requirement for this arrangement is still uncertain, for in 1942 the Panzer divisions were still dictating mobile warfare to all opponents and the need for a static artillery piece seems remote.

Be that as it may, a series of eight vehicles known generally as Heuschrecke IVB (locust) were produced during 1942. These vehicles were converted PzKpfw IV tanks with a gantry at the rear to lift off the turret mounting a 10.5-cm (4.13-in) field howitzer. The turret could be emplaced on the ground for action or it could be towed behind the vehicle on wheels carried on the rear specifically for this purpose; this arrangement allowed the vehicle to be used as an ammunition carrier for the turret.

The eight vehicles produced were no doubt used in action, for one of them was captured and is now to be seen in the Imperial War Museum in London, but at the time no more were requested. But by 1944 things had changed somewhat. The German army was everywhere on the defensive and no longer able to hold up the advancing Allies was investigated. The Waffenträger concept came within this category, and more designs were initiated. One was an interim design in which a normal field howitzer, a 10.5-cm leFH 18/40, was carried in an armoured superstructure on top of a modified Geschützwagen III/HIV (normally used for the Hummel). The howitzer could be fired from the vehicle, but it was designed to be removed from the carrier using a block and tackle and mounted on the ground as a normal field piece once the wheels and carriage trails had been fitted. This design did not get far for it was overtaken by a series of design projects that were in turn overtaken by the end of the war.

These late-1944 and early-1945 Waffenträger all adopted the removable turret concept used in the 1942 Heuschrecke IVB. They had a variety of chassis, including both the modified PzKpfw IV and Geschützwagen III/HIV. The artillery pieces involved ranged from 10.5-cm to 15-cm (5.9-m) howitzers. One that got as far as model form was to have carried either the 10.5-cm or 15-cm howitzer on a cruciform carriage that would have been used with the 43 series of weapons that were later advanced further than the prototype stage. These howitzers were mounted in an open-backed turret, and could be fired from the carrier or from a ground mounting. They could also be towed behind the carrier on their field carriages. It was all rather complicated and overengineered as it involved the use of ramps and winches, and the concept was typical of many that never got to the hardware stage. But a few such equipments were built only to be overtaken by the end of the war, being broken up or scrapped in the post-war years.

**Specification**

**Heuschrecke IVB**

**Type:** self-propelled howitzer carrier  
**Crew:** 3  
**Weight:** 17000 kg (37,479 lb)  
**Powerplant:** one Maybach petrol engine developing 140.2 kW (188 hp)  
**Dimensions:** length 5.90 m (19 ft 4.3 in); width 2.87 m (9 ft 5 in); height 2.25 m (7 ft 4.6 in)  
**Performance:** maximum road speed 45 kph (28 mph); range 250 km (155 miles)  
**Armament:** one 10.5-cm (4.13-in) howitzer  

**Heuschrecke IVB was one of a number of experimental German vehicles that were meant to carry an artillery piece to a firing site and then lower the piece to the ground for firing. The Heuschrecke was the only one of many similar designs to be produced in any numbers.**

**Karl series**

The weapons known as Karl were originally devised as anti-concrete weapons for the demolition of the Maginot Line forts and other such fortified locations. They were produced during the 1930s following a great deal of mathematical and other theoretical studies during the 1920s. Work on the actual hardware began during 1937, and the first equipment was ready by 1939. The Karl series must be regarded as being the largest self-propelled artillery weapons ever produced. They were produced during the 1930s following a great deal of theoretical studies during the 1920s. Work on the actual hardware began during 1937, and the first equipment was ready by 1939. The Karl series must be regarded as being the largest self-propelled artillery weapons ever produced. They were produced during the 1930s following a great deal of theoretical studies during the 1920s. Work on the actual hardware began during 1937, and the first equipment was ready by 1939. The Karl series must be regarded as being the largest self-propelled artillery weapons ever produced. They were produced during the 1930s following a great deal of theoretical studies during the 1920s. Work on the actual hardware began during 1937, and the first equipment was ready by 1939. The Karl series must be regarded as being the largest self-propelled artillery weapons ever produced. They were produced during the 1930s following a great deal of theoretical studies during the 1920s. Work on the actual hardware began during 1937, and the first equipment was ready by 1939.

The eight vehicles produced were no doubt used in action, for one of them was captured and is now to be seen in the Imperial War Museum in London, but at the time no more were requested. But by 1944 things had changed somewhat. The German army was everywhere on the defensive and no longer able to hold up the advancing Allies was investigated. The Waffenträger concept came within this category, and more designs were initiated. One was an interim design in which a normal field howitzer, a 10.5-cm leFH 18/40, was carried in an armoured superstructure on top of a modified Geschützwagen III/HIV (normally used for the Hummel). The howitzer could be fired from the vehicle, but it was designed to be removed from the carrier using a block and tackle and mounted on the ground as a normal field piece once the wheels and carriage trails had been fitted. This design did not get far for it was overtaken by a series of design projects that were in turn overtaken by the end of the war.

These late-1944 and early-1945 Waffenträger all adopted the removable turret concept used in the 1942 Heuschrecke IVB. They had a variety of chassis, including both the modified PzKpfw IV and Geschützwagen III/HIV. The artillery pieces involved ranged from 10.5-cm to 15-cm (5.9-m) howitzers. One that got as far as model form was to have carried either the 10.5-cm or 15-cm howitzer on a cruciform carriage that would have been used with the 43 series of weapons that were later advanced further than the prototype stage. These howitzers were mounted in an open-backed turret, and could be fired from the carrier or from a ground mounting. They could also be towed behind the carrier on their field carriages. It was all rather complicated and overengineered as it involved the use of ramps and winches, and the concept was typical of many that never got to the hardware stage. But a few such equipments were built only to be overtaken by the end of the war, being broken up or scrapped in the post-war years.

**Specification**

**Heuschrecke IVB**

**Type:** self-propelled howitzer carrier  
**Crew:** 3  
**Weight:** 17000 kg (37,479 lb)  
**Powerplant:** one Maybach petrol engine developing 140.2 kW (188 hp)  
**Dimensions:** length 5.90 m (19 ft 4.3 in); width 2.87 m (9 ft 5 in); height 2.25 m (7 ft 4.6 in)  
**Performance:** maximum road speed 45 kph (28 mph); range 250 km (155 miles)  
**Armament:** one 10.5-cm (4.13-in) howitzer  

**This Heuschrecke prototype was one in a series of experimental German vehicles that were meant to carry an artillery piece to a firing site and then lower the piece to the ground for firing. The Heuschrecke was the only one of many similar designs to be produced in any numbers.**
and break-down was carried out using special mobile countries. The whole process was difficult to an extreme, but the Karl weapons were not intended for mobile warfare. They were produced to reduce fortresses and that meant a long, planned approach to the firing site, a slow rate of fire (the best was one round every 10 minutes) and a steady withdrawal once the fortress had been reduced.

The Karls were too late for the Maginot Line, which fell along with the rest of France in 1940. Their first real engagement was the siege of Sevastopol in exactly their designed role. Following the successful end of that siege more Karls were used during the Warsaw uprising when they were used to demolish the centre of Warsaw and crush the Polish underground fighters. By then it was 1944. Most of the early 60-cm barrels had then been replaced by 54-cm barrels, but Warsaw was their last period in action. The increasing mobile warfare of the last year of the war gave the Karls no chance to demonstrate their destructive powers, and most were destroyed by their crews in the last stages of the war. Only a few of the special PzKpfw IV ammunition carriers produced to carry projectiles for the Karls survived for Allied intelligence staffs to examine. It is possible that one example of the Karl may survive as a museum piece in the Soviet Union, but that is all.

**Specification**

**Gerät 041**  
Type: self-propelled siege howitzer  
Crew: not recorded  
Weight: 124000 kg (273,373 lb)  
Powerplant: one V-12 petrol engine developing 894.8 kW (1,200 hp)  
Dimensions: length of barrel 11.24m (20ft 7.5in); length of carriage 11.15m (36ft 7in); track 2.65m (8ft 8.3in)  
Performance: not recorded  
Armament: one 54-cm (21.26-in) howitzer/mortar

**The massive 60-cm and 54-cm Karl howitzers were really fortification-smashing equipments, and they had only limited tactical mobility. They had to be carried to the firing positions by special trailers in pieces and assembled on site.**

**Brummbär**

Despite their overall success, the StuG III assault guns were considered by 1943 as being too lightly armoured for the assault role, and a new heavy assault vehicle was required. The existing 15-cm (5.9-in) StG 33 self-propelled equipments lacked the armour protection required for the close-support role and so, with the PzKpfw IV tank gradually being replaced by the Panther and Tiger tanks, there was the chance to produce a purpose-built vehicle using the later versions of the PzKpfw IV as a basis.

The first examples of this new vehicle appeared during 1943 under the designation Sturmpanzer N Brummbär - grizzly bear. The Brummbär used a box structure formed from sloping armour plates set over the front of a turretless PzKpfw IV, and mounted a specially developed howitzer in a ball mounting on the front plate. This howitzer was known as the Sturmhaubitze 43 and was a shortened version, only 12 calibres long, of the 15-cm StG 33. Armour was provided all round (the frontal armour being 100 mm/2.54 in thick), so the crew of five men were well protected. Later stand-off side armour was added, and most vehicles acquired a coating of Zimmerit plaster paste to prevent magnetic charges being stuck on to the hull by close-in tank killer squads. A machine-gun was mounted on the hull front plate on late production models, earlier versions having lacked this self-defence weapon.

The roomy fighting compartment of the Brummbär could accommodate up to 38 rounds of 15-cm ammunition. The commander sat to the rear of the howitzer using a roof-mounted periscope to select targets. Two men served the howitzer/mortar, while another acted as the gun layer. The driver normally remained in his seat at the left front. Most targets were engaged with direct fire, but provision was made for indirect fire.

The Brummbär was normally used when infantry tank-killer squads were likely to be encountered. It was therefore liberally covered with a plaster-like substance known as 'Zimmerit' that prevented magnetic charges from sticking to the hull.

**German self-propelled equipments carried only light armour, so when a call was made for a special close-support assault gun the result was the heavily-armoured Brummbär. The Brummbär was often used for street fighting, as this captured example shows.**

- **Armour**: 115 mm (4.53 in)  
- **Dimensions**: length 5.93 m (19 ft 5.5 in); width 2.88 m (9 ft 5.4 in); height 2.52 m (8 ft 3.2 in)  
- **Weight**: 313 Brummbär vehicles were produced before the war ended, and most appear to have been used in direct support of Panzergrenadier and infantry units. The vehicles moved forward with the first waves of attacking troops and provided fire to reduce strongpoints and smash bunkers. Infantry had to remain close to prevent enemy tank-killer squads from coming too close to the Brummbär vehicles which were always vulnerable to close-range anti-tank weapons, especially as some of their side armour was as thin as 30 mm (1.18 in). Brummbär vehicles were generally used in ones and twos split up along an area of attack. As defensive weapons they were of less use, for the short howitzer had only a limited performance against armour as its prime mission was the delivery of blast effect HE projectiles. One factor that restricted the Brummbär's overall mobility was its weight, which gave the vehicle a rather poor ground-pressure 'footprint': it was nippy enough on roads, but across country it could get bogged down in soft ground.

The Brummbär was a well-liked vehicle that often provided exactly the degree of fire support required by infantry formations. On the debit side it was heavy, rather ponderous and the early examples lacked close-in protection. But they were well protected against most weapons and they carried a powerful howitzer.

**Specification**

**Brummbär**  
Type: self-propelled heavy assault howitzer  
Crew: 5  
Weight: 28200 kg (62,170 lb)  
Powerplant: one Maybach V-12 petrol engine developing 197.6kW (265 hp)  
Dimensions: length 5.93 m (19ft 5.5in); width 2.88 m (9ft 5.4in); height 2.52 m (8 ft 3.2in)  
Performance: maximum road speed 40 km/h (24.85 km/h); maximum road range 210 km (130 miles); fording 0.90 m (3 ft)  
Armament: one 15-cm (5.9-in) howitzer and one or two 7.92-mm (0.31-in) machine-guns
Sustlgrad taught the German army many lessons, not least of which was that the defenses were ill-equipped for the art of close-quarter street fighting. In typical fashion they decided to develop special urban warfare requirements by a form of overkill by using a super-heavy weapon that would do away with the need for house-to-house fighting by simply blowing away any defended houses or structures. This they decided to do with a land version of a naval weapon, the depth charge.

In 1943 the Germans produced a version of the Tiger tank known by several names including 38-cm Sturmgeschütz V and Sturmtiger. Whatever the designation, the weapon was a Tiger tank with the turret replaced by a large box-shaped superstructure with a short barrel poking through the front sloped plate. This barrel was not a gun but a 38-cm (14.96-in) Raketenwerfer 61 rocket projector of an unusual type, for it fired a rocket-propelled depth charge that weighed no less than 345 kg (761 lb). As this was based upon the design of a naval depth charge nearly all the weight was high explosive; the effect of this upon even the stoutest superstructure would be imagined. The rockets had a maximum range of 3650 m (6,180 yards), and the projector barrel was arranged so that the rocket eflusgases were diverted forward to vent from vents around the muzzle ring. The Sturmtiger was exceptionally well armoured, with 150 mm (5.9 in) at its front and between 80 and 85 mm (3.15 and 3.35 in) at the side.

The Sturmtiger had a crew of seven including the commander, a fire control officer, a driver and three loaders. Of the four other men served the rocket projector. Because of their massive size, only 12 projectors could be carried inside the superstructure, with the possibility of one more inside the projector. Loading the rockets into the vehicle was helped by a small crane jib mounted on the superstructure rear, and a small hatch nearby allowed access to the interior. Once inside overhead rails assisted in the movement of the rockets to and from their racks along each side, and loading into the projector was carried out using a loading tray.

Although the Sturmtiger prototype was ready by late 1943, it was not until August 1944 that production of this massive vehicle got under way. Only about 10 were ever produced, and these were used in ones and twos on most fronts but in situations where their powerful armament was of little advantage. Consequently most were soon either knocked out in action or simply abandoned by their crews once their fuel allocation had been used.

Below: Largest of all the German close-support weapons was the Sturmtiger, carrying a 38-cm rocket projector that fired a form of naval depth charge to demolish buildings. This example has been captured by American troops.

Above: This side shot of a Sturmtiger shows the large armoured superstructure, mounting the 38-cm (14.96-in) rocket projector with the roof-mounted crane needed to load the projectiles in to the interior through a hatch at the rear.

Specification
Sturmgeschütz III
Type: assault gun
Crew: 4
Weight: 6500 kg (14,300 lb)
Powerplant: one Maybach V-12 petrol engine developing 484.7 kW (650 hp)
Dimensions: length 6.69 m (21 ft 11 in); width 2.95 m (9 ft 8 in); height 2.16 m (7 ft 1 in)
Performance: maximum speed 40 km/h (24.86 mph); roadrange 120 km (75 miles); fording 0.8 m (2 ft 6 in); armourment: one 8-cm (3.15-in) rocket projector and one 7.92-mm (0.31-in) machine-gun

Following from its experiences in World War I, the German army saw the need for an armoured mobile gun that could destroy low-intensive attacks and provide fire support and the firepower to knock out strongpoints and bunkers. During the late 1930s such a gun was developed using the chassis, suspension and running gear of the Pzkpfw III tank. This armoured gun was known as the Sturmgeschütz III (literally ‘skirts’ along its front) and its formal designation was Gepanzerte Selbstfahrlafette fur Sturmgeschütz 7.5-cm Schützen, with the 7.5-cm gun being the main armament.

The latter gun also provided the StuG III series with an anti-tank capability, which was a form of assault-support concept, for it was far easier to produce a StuG than it was a tank, so many StuG IIs with L/48 guns were diverted to the Panzer divisions in place of battle tanks. Used as a tank-killer the StuG III had its moments, but it lacked traverse and adequate protection for the task. It had to be retained as such, however, for German industry simply could not supply enough tanks for the Panzer divisions.

As an assault gun the StuG III series was far more successful. Eventually the type was upgunned to the stage late in the war when many StuG IIs were armed with the powerful 10.5-cm (4.13-in) Sturmhaubitze, a special assault howitzer produced for the StuG III fur 10.5-cm StuH42. The first of these was completed in 1943, but manufacture of this variant was initially slow. Instead the version with the 75-mm L/48 gun was rushed off the production lines for the Panzer divisions.

The StuG III had a crew of four and extra machine-guns were often carried behind a shield on the roof. The protective mantlet for the main gun underwent many changes before it ended up as a StuK (literally ‘pig’s head’) mantlet which proved very good protection. More protection against short-range hollow-charged warheads was provided with the addition of Schützen (literally ‘skirts’) armor around both sides. These were simply sheets of stand-off armour to detonate the warheads before they hit the vehicle armour, and were used on many German tanks after 1943.

As a close-range assault support weapon the StuG III series was an excellent vehicle/weapon combination. It was relatively cheap and easy to produce, and in war-time Germany that mattered a lot. Therefore the series was built in numbers and numerically it was one of the most important German armoured vehicles.

Specification
StuG III Ausf E
Type: assault gun
Crew: 4
Weight: 23900 kg (52,600 lb)
Powerplant: one Maybach V-12 petrol engine developing 197.6 kW (265 hp)
Dimensions: length 7.77 m (22 ft 6 in); width 2.95 m (9 ft 8 in); height 2.16 m (7 ft 1 in)
Performance: maximum speed 40 km/h (24.85 mph); roadrange 165 km (102 miles); fording 0.8 m (2 ft 7.5 in)
Armament: one 75-mm (2.95-in) gun and two 7.92-mm (0.31-in) machine-guns
The Japanese were behind in armoured warfare development throughout all their World War II campaigns. Their early military excursions into China and Manchuria misled them into disregarding the need for heavy armoured vehicles, and instead they concentrated on what were regarded elsewhere as light tanks and tankettes. This approach was supported by the state of Japanese industry, which was still in a relatively early state of industrial development and lacked large-scale production capability. Thus it was that the Japanese army fell way behind in the development of self-propelled artillery, and ultimately only a small number of equipments were produced.

One of these was the Type 4 HO-RO, a self-propelled howitzer that allied the Type 38 150-mm (5.9-in) howitzer with the Type 97 medium tank. The conversion to the self-propelled role was a straightforward design task in which the howitzer was mounted in a shield which provided forward and side armour protection while leaving the top and rear open; the side armour, it is worth noting, did not extend even to the rear of the fighting compartment. The howitzer dated from 1935 and was derived from a Krupp design. It fired a 35.9-kg (79.15-lb) projectile to a range of 5900m (6,452 yards), but most of these weapons were so old and worn that they had been withdrawn from use after about 1942. They had a slow rate of fire as a result of the type of breech mechanism employed, but they were apparently thought good enough for the self-propelled role.

The chassis used for the Type 4 was the Type 97 Chi-Ha, a medium tank by Japanese standards and dating from 1937. It was a mobile enough vehicle, but showed a relative lack of development in its thin armour, which was only about 25 mm (1 in) thick on the gun shield frontal armour, and in its overall riveted construction. The use of rivets in tank construction had elsewhere long disappeared, but the Japanese had no option but to retain the method as they lacked any other form of construction capability.

They also lacked the ability to produce the Type 4 HO-RO in anything but small numbers. Even those were virtually hand-built, with few preten-

### Semovente da 149/40

The Italian army was not far behind the Germans in realising the need for assault guns, and developed a string of vehicles that outwardly resembled the German StuG III. These Italian assault guns were produced in appreciable numbers, for they were better armoured and in relative terms quicker to produce than contemporary Italian tanks. But by the time significant numbers had been issued Italy was effectively out of the war, and most of these Italian assault guns fell into German hands.

The majority of Italian self-propelled weapons, known as semovente, mounted 75-mm (2.95-in) or 105-mm (4.13-in) guns and howitzers of varying lengths, but since these were direct-fire mounts the Italian artillery arm still required self-propelled artillery weapons to support the armoured formations. Accordingly Ansaldo di-

Above: The Type 97 mounted a short Type 38 howitzer with limited range, but the Japanese were never able to produce the numbers required and they were mainly used in ones and twos as local fire-support weapons.

The Type 97 had its 150-mm howitzer mounted in place of the turret and was normally carried. The howitzer was meant to be used as a form of mobile field artillery but was normally used as close support artillery.

**Specification**

**Type:** Semovente da 149/40  
**Type:** self-propelled howitzer  
**Crew:** 4 or 5  
**Weight:** not recorded, but about 13600 kg (29,982 lb)  
**Powerplant:** one V-12 diesel developing 126.8 kW (170 hp)  
**Dimensions:** length 5.537 m (18 ft 2 in); width 2.286 m (7 ft 6 in); height to top of shield 1.549 m (5 ft 1 in)

**Performance:** maximum road speed 38km/h (23.6mph)
During the desperate days of 1941 the Red Army lost so much materiel, that Soviet planners were forced to list mass production as their top priority, and in order to cut down the numbers of equipments being produced only a few types were selected for future use. One of these types was the superlative ZIS-3 76.2-mm (3-in) gun, which was not only an excellent field piece but at that period also a good anti-tank gun. Thus when it was decided to adopt the ZIS-3 in quantity the Red Army had a very good weapon for the future, especially when the chance arose to make the weapon a self-propelled one.

The events of 1941 had shown the Red Army that its light tanks were virtually useless, and the type was scheduled for withdrawal from production and service. A production line was in existence for the T-70 light tank, however, and it was decided to convert the T-70 to take the ZIS-76 gun as a highly mobile anti-tank weapon. Thus was born the SU-76 (SU for Semovente Ussr, or self-propelled mounting). The conversion to take the 76.2-mm gun and 62 rounds of ammunition was a simple one, but the T-70 chassis had to be widened somewhat, and an extra road wheel was added to take the extra weight. The first examples had the gun mounted centrally, but later models had the gun offset to the left. Maximum armour thickness was 25 mm (0.98 in). It was late 1942 before the first SU-76s were produced, and it was mid-1943 before they were in Red Army service in numbers.

By that time the ZIS-3 gun had lost much of its edge against the ever-thickening German tank armour, and thus the SU-76 was gradually phased over to the direct fire-support of the SU-76s wait to take part in one of the massive artillery actions that usually took place before any major Red Army action. The open structure of the SU-76 must have made life very uncomfortable for its crews under such conditions, as only tarpaulin covers were carried.

Specification
SU-76
Type: self-propelled gun
Crew: 4
Weight: 10800 kg (23,810 lb)
Powerplant: two GAZ 6-cylinder petrol engines each developing 52.2 kW (70 hp)
Dimensions: length 4.88 m (16 ft 0.1 in); width 3.00 m (9 ft 10 in); height 2.00 m (6 ft 7 in)
Performance: maximum road speed 45 km/h (28 mph); road range 450 km (280 miles); fording 0.89 m (2 ft 11 in)
Armament: one 76.2-mm (3-in) gun and one 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-gun

a wartime expedient vehicle with no crew comforts whatsoever, apart from a few examples that had an armoured roof, the crew compartment of the SU-76 was open to the elements and the driver had to sit next to the twin engines with no intervening bulkhead. The Red Army knew the SU-76 as the Sukarno (bitch).

Thus the SU-76 started life as a mobile anti-tank weapon and ended up as an artillery support weapon. It was no doubt a very useful weapon in the latter role, but essentially it was a hasty expedient rushed into production at a time of desperate need. Surprisingly, the type may still be encountered in odd parts of the world.

The Soviet SU-76 was a wartime and rather rushed conversion of the T-70 light tank to carry a 76-mm field gun, and although it was produced in large numbers it was little liked by its crews, who called it the 'Bitch'.
The first of the heavy Soviet self-propelled artillery carriages was the SU-152, which first appeared in 1943, just in time to take part in the tank battles at Kursk. It was built on a KV-2 heavy tank chassis and was typical of later World War II designs in that the tank chassis was taken virtually unchanged and a large armoured box was built on to the front of the hull. The weapon was a 152-mm (6-in) M-1937 howitzer mounted in a large and heavy mantlet on the front superstructure. It was produced in large numbers for the close-support artillery weapon; it was also a powerful tank killer. The howitzer's muzzle brake was ballistically identical to the A-19S (with 30 rounds), the ordnance being a modification of the then-standard 122-mm M-1931/37, though there was also another gun known as the D-25S which was often a hazardous undertaking. But the massive weapon carried a virtual constant supply of ammunition brought forward by armoured carriers, which was a serious disadvantage. The crew members were not trained to use the weapon, and loading was done by hand.

An ISU-152 crosses a river during the latter stages of World War II. These vehicles appear to be carrying their crews on the roof; but in action they would be carrying squads of 'tank descent' infantry instead. Note the size of the howitzer's muzzle brake.

The SU-122 was a conversion of the T-34 tank to carry a front-mounted 122-mm howitzer in a well-armoured and well-sloped superstructure. It was produced in large numbers for the close-support role, but could be used for 'stand-off artillery fire.
During early 1941 the British Purchasing Commission in Washington asked the Americans if the M7 Priest could be altered to allow it to carry the British 25-pdr (87.6-mm/3.45-in) gun-howitzer. While the British appreciated the amenities of the M7 Priest, it had the major disadvantage of mounting a 105-mm (4.13-in) howitzer that was not a standard British weapon calibre at that time. The Americans accordingly produced the M7 with the 25-pdr and named it the T51, but at the same time announced that there was no way that they could produce it in quantity as they had their production hands full already. The British accordingly looked around and noted that the Canadians had set up a production line for the Ram tank, a type that was soon to be replaced by the American M3 and M4. The Ram was accordingly altered to accommodate the 25-pdr, and thus was born the Sexton.

The Sexton used the overall layout of the M7 Priest, but many changes were introduced to suit British requirements. These included the movement of the driver's position to the right-hand side. The Sexton lacked the pronounced 'pulpit' of the M7, but the fighting compartment was left open with only a canvas cover to provide weather protection for the crew. The Sexton had a crew of six, and much of the interior was taken up with lockers for ammunition and some of the crew's personal kit; more stowage was provided in boxes at the rear. Maximum armour thickness was 32 mm (1.25 in).

The 25-pdr gun-howitzer was carried in a special cradle produced by the Canadians specifically for the Sexton. This allowed a traverse of 25° left and 40° right, which was very useful for the anti-tank role (18 AP rounds) but in the event the Sexton had little need of this facility. Instead it was used almost exclusively as a field artillery weapon (87HE and smoke rounds) supporting the armoured divisions in North West Europe from 1944 onwards. There were several variations, all of them incorporating the production changes progressively introduced on the lines of the Montreal Locomotive Works at Sorel. Production continued there until late 1945, by which time 2,150 Sextons had been manufactured.

The Sexton was a well-liked and reliable gun and weapon combination that proved so successful that many are still in use in odd corners of the world to this day. The British army used the type until the late 1950s, and one is preserved as a museum piece at the Royal School of Artillery at Larkhill in Wiltshire. It originally came from Portugal, where it was sent during the years after 1945.

There were a few in-service variants of the Sexton, some being converted to 'swim' for possible use on the beaches of Normandy on D-Day, but none appear to have been used in this role on the day. A more common conversion was the replacement of the gun-howitzer by extra map tables and radios in the Sexton Gun Position Officer command vehicle; there was usually one of these to a battery. In post-war years some Sextons were handed over to nations such as Italy who preferred the 105-mm (4.13-in) howitzer; in this instance the 25-pounders were replaced with German 105-mm howitzers.

**Specification**

- **Type:** self-propelled gun-howitzer
- **Crew:** 6
- **Weight:** 25,855 kg (57,000 lb)
- **Powerplant:** one Continental 9-cylinder radial piston engine developing 298.3 kW (400 hp)
- **Dimensions:** length 6.12 m (20 ft 1 in); width 2.72 m (8 ft 11 in); height 2.44 m (8 ft 0 in)
- **Performance:** maximum road speed 40.2 km/h (25 mph); road range 290 km (180 miles); fording 1.01 m (3 ft 4 in)
- **Armament:** one 25-pdr gun-howitzer, two unmounted 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Bren Guns and (on some vehicles) one pintle-mounted 12.7-mm (0.5-in) Browning machine-gun

The British gunners nicknamed the American M7 the ‘Priest’ after seeing the ‘pulpit’ that housed the 12.7-mm machine-gun for AA defence.
ish Army. The British soon named the M7 the Priest, legend having it that the prominent machine-gun mounting gave the impression of a pulpit. The British gunners adopted the M7 with alacrity, and the type first went into action with them at the 2nd Battle of El Alamein in October 1942. The British asked for 5,500 M7s to be produced for their use alone by the end of 1943, but this order was never completed in full. The figure nonetheless provides an indication of the success of the M7 with the British gunners. They appreciated the space and mobility of the carriage and also the extra space for personal stowage. The one snag was the howitzer, which was not a standard British Army type: the elevation (which was provided for 69 rounds on each vehicle) had to be supplied separately for the M7 batteries, which made for a considerable logistic complication. This was not resolved until the first Sextons with the 25-pdr weapons began to be issued in 1944. Until that time the British M7s were used all through the Italian campaign, and some were landed in Normandy in June 1944 though they were soon replaced by Sextons. The M7 then began a new service career in a revised form: the howitzers were removed and the hulls were used as armoured personnel carriers nicknamed Kangaroos. This soon became a normal fate for unwanted M7s, and the idea soon spread to Italy.

The US Army also made wide use of the M7, although production for the US Army was not a constant process. After 1942 M7 production proceeded in fits and starts. At one stage the original M3 chassis was replaced by the later M4A3 Sherman chassis, and these M7s were known by the designation M7B1. After 1945 large numbers of M7s were handed over to other countries, and some remain in use to this day in such nations as Brazil and Turkey. The 105-mm howitzer is still a standard weapon all over the world, and thus the M7s continue to fire a 14.97-kg (33-lb) shell to a range of 11430 m (12,500 yards). Throughout their service life the M7s have always showed outstanding reliability, and have demonstrated their ability to cross all types of rough terrain.

Specification
M7
Type: self-propelled howitzer
Crew: 5
Weight: 22967 kg (50,634 lb)
Powerplant: one Continental 9-cylinder radial piston engine developing 279.6 kW (375 hp)
Dimensions: length 6.02 m (19 ft 11 in); height 2.54 m (8 ft 4 in)
Performance: maximum speed 41.8 km/h (26 mph); maximum road range 201 km (125 miles); fording 1.219 m (4 ft)
Armament: one 105-mm (4.13-in) howitzer

Although the M40 arrived on the scene later in the war, it was one of the best of all wartime self-propelled equipments and went on to a long post-war career. It used the chassis of the M4 tank as a basis.

**Carriage, Motor, 155-mm Gun, M40**

The first 155-mm (6.1-in) self-propelled gun produced in quantity by the Americans during World War II was the M12, a design originally known as the T6 and built on to a converted M3 medium tank chassis. Initially this weapon was not considered for service as it used an obsolete World War I ordnance that had become available once the type’s original carriages had become too worn for further use. However, once accepted for service, they gave good performance although it was agreed that a new ordnance was required if a long-term weapon was to be procured. Starting in December 1943 a new weapon/carriage combination was initiated. The gun was the 155-mm M4A1 known as the ‘Long Tom’ (with 20 rounds) and the carriage was based on the chassis of the M4A3 medium tank, though much widened and fitted with the latest high volumetric suspension springing. The engine was moved from the rear to a new forward position, and to absorb some of the recoil forces a spade was added to the rear: this latter could be raised for travelling. A working platform under the breech was also provided. The gun had a range of 23514 m (25,715 yards) and fired a projectile weighing 43.1 kg (95 lb), which made it a very useful counterbattery and long-range bombardment weapon. Maximum armour thickness was 12.7 mm (0.5 in).

The development of this Carriage, Motor, 155-mm Gun, M40 (known as M40s) took part in the bombardment of Kiel and the short campaigning after this. Between January and May 1945 no less than 311 M40s were built, and production continued after the war. The M40 was to see its most concerted use during the Korean conflict, where it proved to be an excellent weapon/carriage combination.

On the M40 there was no protection for the crew so far behind the front line that none would be necessary. The M40 had a crew of eight, and there was provision on the carriage for their weapons and kit. The same carriage was also used to mount a 203-mm (8-in) howitzer, but this version (the Carriage, Motor, 8-in Howitzer, M43), was not used in great numbers; only 48 were built.

After 1945 M40s were distributed to many other armies. The British Army accepted a number and used them for some years. More were used by nations such as France, with whom the type saw extensive service in Indo-China. There was one variant of the M40, the T30 Cargo Carrier. As its designation implies, it could be used as a general supply carrier though its normal deployment was for the ammunition supply of M40 batteries. Not many were built as most of the manufacturing potential was concentrated on producing gun carriers.

One of the main claims to importance of the M40 was that it paved the way for the current generation of self-propelled weapons. It was produced at a time when nuclear warfare was just making its debut, and the need for protection against this new battle hazard was particularly noticeable on the M40 with its open fighting platform. The type was therefore used extensively for trials and experiments designed to provide protection for the crew. The M40 proved beyond doubt that the only proper protection comes from an armoured turret, and most modern self-propelled weapons now use such an arrangement.

Specification
M40
Type: self-propelled gun
Crew: 8
Weight: 37195 kg (82,000 lb)
Powerplant: one Continental 9-cylinder radial piston engine developing 294.6 kW (395 hp)
Dimensions: length overall 9.04 m (29 ft 5 in); height 2.8 m (9 ft 2 in); width 3.15 m (10 ft 4 in); height 2.44 m (8 ft 0 in)
Performance: maximum speed 38.6 km/h (24 mph); range 161 km (100 miles); fording 1.067 m (3 ft 6 in)
Armament: one 155-mm (6.1-in) gun

An M1 in action in the Ardennes, 1945, with the open fighting compartment covered by a tarpaulin to keep out the worst of the bitter weather. The tank obstacles behind the M7 are part of the infamous Siegfried Line defences that in the event were taken without too much trouble.

An M3 in action in the Ardennes, 1945, with the open fighting compartment covered by a tarpaulin to keep out the worst of the bitter weather. The tank obstacles behind the M7 are part of the infamous Siegfried Line defences that in the event were taken without too much trouble.
The vehicle that became known as the Bishop was conceived at a time when 25-pdr batteries in the North African desert were perforce used as anti-tank weapons and were taking a terrible pounding as a result. It was decided to place the 25-pdr on a mobile carriage to increase protection for the gun crews, and it was soon clear that the Valentine infantry tank would make a good basis for such a conversion. Unfortunately the exact role of this gun/tank combination was uncertain from the start. The tank exponents saw it as a variant of the heavy-gun tank theme, while the gunners wanted a self-propelled carriage. These arguments were never really solved, and the result was something of a compromise even though the gunners won in the end.

The Valentine 25-pdr emerged as a straightforward conversion (officially the Mounting, Valentine, 25-pdr Gun Mk I on Carrier, Valentine, 25-pdr Gun, Mk I) the usual turret being replaced by a much larger turret mounting the 25-pdr. This new turret was fixed, and was a large slab-sided design too large for battlefield concealment and too small to allow much room inside for the gun crew. The turret design also had one major disadvantage for the gunners in that it restricted the elevation of the barrel and thus curtailed range to only 5852 (6,400 yards) which was a considerable reduction from the normal 12253m (13,400 yards). The only way to increase this performance was the tedious and tactically-hampering construction of earth ramps up which the vehicle could be driven to increase the elevation angle. Traverse was also severely restricted, to a maximum of 8° to each side. Internal ammunition stowage was 32 rounds but more could be carried in a limber towed behind the vehicle. Armour varied in thickness from 8 mm (0.315 in) to 60mm (2.36 in).

The 25-pdr Valentine went into action in North Africa during the latter stages of the campaign in that theatre, by which time the 25-pdr was no longer in use as an anti-tank gun, so the vehicles were used as self-propelled artillery with no distraction and the Royal Artillery learned a lot from their use. The type was eventually named Bishop, and it went on to be used in Sicily and Italy during the opening stages of that campaign. Throughout these campaigns the Bishop demonstrated all its several drawbacks, but also provided an indication of the potential of self-propelled artillery for it was the first British self-propelled weapon to see active service. The need for supporting logistics was more than emphasized, as was the need for improved radio links with forward observers.

Above: The Bishop was an early British attempt to produce self-propelled artillery by placing a 25-pdr gun onto a Valentine tank chassis. The gun was mounted in a fixed turret with only limited elevation and the result was not a success, being replaced in service by the Priest as soon as possible.

The Bishop also demonstrated things to avoid in future designs. The most obvious one was for the gun to have its full range of movement if it was to be of any use; additionally, more room was needed to serve the gun, for the turret of the Bishop was cramped and ill-ventilated. More internal ammunition stowage was needed and the carrier had to be fast enough to keep up with tanks. Being an infantry tank, the Valentine chassis was too slow to keep up with the armoured formations.

All these things were put right when the gunners were issued numbers of M7 Priests. The gunners took to the Priest with a will, and before long the Bishops had been discarded. They may have been less than perfect, but they taught the gunners a lot and the Bishop has the distinction of being the British Army’s first self-propelled artillery piece.

Above: A Bishop on the ranges with the gun detachment commander outside the fixed turret, as there was room for only two gunners inside. The fixed turret restricted the barrel elevation and thus range.

Above: Ammunition stocktaking takes place on a Bishop with the projectiles laid out on the engine covers for counting. The Bishop could carry only 32 rounds in internally, as space inside the fixed turret was cramped. The projectiles are 25-pdr HE shells, the normal round fired, although smoke could also be carried.

Specification
Bishop
Type: Self-propelled gun-howitzer
Crew: 4
Weight: 7911 kg (17,440 lb)
Powerplant: one AEC 6-cylinder diesel developing 97.7 kW (131 hp)
Dimensions: length 5.64m (18ft 6 in) width 2.77m (9ft 1 in) height 3.05m (10ft)
Performance: maximum road speed 24 km/h (15 mph); road range 177 km (110 miles); fording 0.91 m (3 ft)
Armament: one 25-pdr (87.6-mm/3.45-in) gun-howitzer
Heavy Artillery

_The dramatic success of the German advance in 1940 seemed to herald a return to an era of mobile warfare, but the moment their advance into the Soviet Union became bogged down heavy artillery reappeared on the battlefield. The battles that were to decide the war, from North Africa to Berlin, were dominated by these monsters._

During World War II heavy artillery was as important a weapon as it had been in the past. Despite the overall impression given by much current military literature, the tank could not operate without the support and covering fire provided by heavy-calibre artillery of all types, and for all its many advantages the tank is of limited use against heavily protected strongpoints and defended localities. It is only heavy artillery that can be used to any effect against such targets and, while the same might be said of the bomber aircraft, the fact remains that only artillery can carry out its fire missions around the clock and under all weather conditions.

Thus heavy artillery was highly important during World War II. It was used on nearly all fronts and the weapons involved were many and varied. Not all of them can be mentioned here but an overall impression of the types of artillery involved has been provided. This section also includes some of the oddities of the artillery world, such as 'Little David' - even though this particular weapon did not see active service - and the massive German 35.5cm (14in) Haubitze M.1. Such items did exist during World War II and some were even used in action but, while their effect was no doubt devastating to the recipients, in the overall sense their impact was slight. Instead, attention should be given to weapons which were in the range from 150mm (5.9in) to 210mm (8.26in) in calibre, for it was with these weapons that the really hard heavy artillery work was accomplished. One has only to look at the power of the massive Red Army artillery battering ram to realize this fact: despite all its power this arm used nothing heavier than the Model 1931 203mm (8in) howitzer.

This section deals with the weapons that were used to reduce fortified areas, to lay down the counterbattery fire that silenced the enemy's field artillery batteries and to carry out the heavy and long-range fire support without which the infantry and armoured formations of all combatants could neither move nor fight. Included here are the weapons that during World War II were the modern equivalents of the types of ordnance that were once 'the last argument of kings', but served instead on all sides of the most destructive war in history.
Skoda 149-mm vz 37 howitzer (K4)

By the early 1930s the Skoda works at Pilsen in Czechoslovakia were in a position to develop and produce entirely new artillery pieces that owed nothing to the old World War I weapons that had hitherto been the company’s main output. By 1933 they had produced, among other things, an entirely new 149-mm (5.87-in) range of howitzers known as the ‘K’ series. The first of these, the K1, was produced in 1933 and the entire output of these vz 33 weapons went for export to Turkey, Romania and Yugoslavia. The K1 was a thoroughly modern piece with a heavy split trail, and was designed for either horse or motorized traction. For the latter the piece could be towed as one load, but for the former the barrel could be removed for towing as a separate load.

Despite the success of the K1, the Czech army decided that the weapon did not meet its exact requirements and funded further development to the stage where a K4 model met the specification. The K4 had much in common with the earlier K1, but had a shorter barrel and (as the Czech army was making considerable strides towards full mechanization) the need for removing the barrel for separate horse traction was required. The K4 also used pneumatic wheels (the K1 had solid rubber-rimmed steel wheels) and some other modifications to suit it for the mechanized tractor-towing role.

With these changes the Czech army decided to adopt the K4 as its standard heavy field howitzer to replace the large range of elderly weapons remaining from World War I. The K4 was given the arm designation 15-cm houfnice vz 37, vz 37 (vz for vzor, or model) denoting the equipment’s year of acceptance for service, Skoda drew up production plans, but as always this took time and in the interim the Germans occupied the Czech Sudetenland. Plans for production became even more frantic, but with the Sudetenland line of defences in German hands Czechoslovakia was wide open to further German aggression and in 1939 they duly marched in to take over the rest of the country.

The Germans also secured the Skoda works at Pilsen, finding on the production lines the first of the full production vz 37 weapons. By that time only a few models had been produced, and these the German army tested on ranges back in the Reich, discovering that the vz 37 was a sound and serviceable howitzer with a good range of 15100m (16,515 yards) and firing a very useful 42-kg (92.6-lb) projectile. The Germans decided to keep the vz 37 in production at Pilsen for their own requirements, and thus the vz 37 became the German army’s 15-cm schwere Feldhaubitze 37(t), or 15-cm heavy field howitzer Model 1937 (Czech), the (t) denoting tschechisch, or Czech. With the German army the SFH 37(t) became a standard weapon of many divisions, forming part of the divisional artillery equipment and even being used by some corps batteries. It was used during the French campaign of May and June 1940, and later in the invasion of the Soviet Union during 1941. Some were still in service in the Soviet Union as late as 1944, but by then many had been passed to the various Balkan forces under German control and operating within what is now Yugoslavia; the Soviet army was one such recipient.

Specification

SFH 37(t)
Calibre: 149.1 mm (5.87 in)
Length of piece: 3.60 m (11 ft 9.7 in)
Weight: 5730 kg (12,632 lb)

The high water mark of German success in the late summer of 1942: elements of Army Group A penetrated over 300 km (200 miles) south-east of Stalingrad. Here a Czech-built vz 3715-cm howitzer pounds Soviet positions in the foothills of the Caucasian mountain range, and in action 5200 kg (11,464 lb)
Elevation: -5° to +70°
Traverse: 45°
Muzzle velocity: 580 m (1,903 ft) per second
Maximum range: 15100m (16,515 yards)
Shell weight: 42 kg (92.6 lb)

Skoda 220-mm howitzer

Whereas the Skoda vz 37 howitzer was a completely new design, the slightly earlier Skoda 220-mm howitzer was very much a product that had its origins in earlier days. In the period up to 1918, when the Skoda works were the largest armament producers for the Austro-Hungarian empire, the Pilsen works had been only slightly behind the German Krupp concern in the manufacture of really heavy artillery, and the heavy Yugoslav howitzers were second to none in overall efficiency. Thus when the Skoda works started production again the ‘classic’ howitzer was one of their main products.

However, the accent was no longer on heavy calibres alone. Despite their dreadful efficiency in demolishing fortifications, such equipments were ponderous beasts to move and their rate of fire was extremely slow. They were also fearfully expensive, so when some of the new nations formed after the Treaty of Versailles started to arm themselves against a difficult future they stuck to heavy artillery, but not too heavy. An interim calibre of about 220 mm (8.66 in) was still about right for the destruction of heavy structures, but a howitzer itself need not be too ponderous. Skoda sensed the market and produced the required 220-mm design incorporating much of its considerable experience in such matters, and it was not long before customers arrived.

The first was Yugoslavia, formed from some of the pre-World War I Balkan states. The new nation decided it had much to fear from its neighbours, and thus was involved in numerous purchases of weapons of all kinds throughout Europe. Yugoslavia was a good customer of Skoda, and in 1928 took delivery of a batch of 12 220-mm Skoda howitzers under the designation M.28. Another customer was Poland, which ordered no less than 27. These Polish howitzers featured prominently in many pre-war propaganda photographs of the Polish army, all with one feature in common: in all of these photographs the breech mechanism was obscured in some way, usually by a soldier, as part of the normal Polish security procedure in any artillery illustration intended for publication. It did the Poles no good, for in 1939 the Germans invaded and captured or destroyed the entire Polish gun park.

The unfortunate Yugoslavs followed just over a year later. Thus the Germans found themselves with a useful quantity of 220-mm howitzers, which promptly became part of the German army’s inventory. There was not much of a role for such a relatively heavy piece in the German Blitzkrieg concept, so the captured howitzers were distributed mainly to garrison and static units in the occupied territories. Some of these were as distant as Norway, but in late 1941 a number of these howitzers were gathered together and added to the siege train that was sent to invest the fortress of Sevastopol in the Crimea. This was the last classic example of Skoda production of some of the best heavy artillery pieces of World War I, and continued the tradition with the 220-mm howitzer, which was exported to both Poland and Yugoslavia. After the Germans invaded Eastern Europe they used the captured weapons against the fortress of Sevastopol.

Weight: travelling 5730 kg (12,632 lb) Length of piece: 3.60 m (11 ft 9.7 in) Weight: 5730 kg (12,632 lb)

The high water mark of German success in the late summer of 1942: elements of Army Group A penetrated over 300 km (200 miles) south-east of Stalingrad. Here a Czech-built vz 3715-cm howitzer pounds Soviet positions in the foothills of the Caucasian mountain range.
investment of a fortress by the age-old method of assembling and using a siege train, and the fortress fell after the Skoda howitzers had played a useful part. Thereafter they were once more scattered and saw little use during the remainder of the conflict.

**Specification**

**Skoda 220-mm howitzer**
- Calibre: 220 mm (8.66 in)
- Length of piece: 4.34 m (14 ft 2.8 in)
- Weight: travelling 22700 kg (50,045 lb) and in action 14700 kg (32,408 lb)
- Elevation: +40° to +70°
- Traverse: 350°
- Muzzle velocity: 500 m (1,640 ft) per second
- Maximum range: 14200 m (15,530 yards)
- Shell weight: 128 kg (282.19 lb)

**Obice da 210/22 modello 35**

During the late 1930s the Italian army decided to attempt to replace the bulk of its heavy artillery park, which by that time resembled an oversized artillery museum. It selected two good and thoroughly modern designs, one a gun with a calibre of 149 mm (5.87 in) and the other a howitzer with a calibre of 210 mm (8.26 in). The howitzer was designed by an army organization known as the Servizio Tecnici Armi e Munizioni (STAM), but production was carried out by Ansaldo at Pozzuoli.

The howitzer was known as the Obice da 210/22 modello 35. Although shown in prototype form in 1935, it was not accepted for service until 1938 when a production order for no less than 346 was placed. The modello 35 was a very sound and modern design. It used a split-trail carriage with two road wheels on each side. When the howitzer went into action these wheels were raised off the ground and the weight was assumed by a firing platform under the main axle. The entire weapon could then be traversed easily through 360° once the stakes that anchored the trail spades to the ground had been raised.

The main problem for the Italians was that having designed a first-rate howitzer they could not produce it quickly enough. Despite the good intentions of the Italian army, it had to enter the war with its antique gun park still largely undisturbed by modern equipments, and by the autumn of 1942 the grand total of modello 35s was still only 20, five of them in Italy and the rest in action in the Soviet Union. Part of this state of affairs was due to the fact that despite the requirements of the Italian army, modello 35s were sold to Hungary as they came off the production line, no doubt in exchange for raw materials and food products. The Hungarians found it necessary to make their own carriage modifications to suit this 21-cm Haubitze to the rigours of their service and eventually set up their own 21-cm 40.R production line in 1943.

In service the modello 35 was successful enough. It could be transported in two loads, but for prolonged moves it could be further broken down into four loads with an extra load for assembly equipment and accessories. The modello 35 attracted the attention of the Germans, and when the Italians surrendered in September 1943 the Ansaldo concern was forced to continue production for German units based in Italy. Thus the modello 35 became the 21-cm Haubitze 520(i) and was still in action with the Germans when the war ended.

After 1945 attempts were made by Ansaldo to sell the modello 35 on the home and export markets. There were no takers as the home market was satiated with American equipment that was freely supplied to the Italian army and war-surplus equipment was widely available elsewhere.

**Specification**

**Obice da 210/22**
- Calibre: 210 mm (8.26 in)
- Length of piece: 5m (16 ft 4.85 in)
- Weight: travelling (two loads) 24030 kg (52,977 lb) and in action 15885 kg (35,020 lb)
- Elevation: 0° to +70°
- Traverse: 75°
- Muzzle velocity: 560 m (1,837 ft) per second
- Maximum range: 15407 m (16,850 yards)
- Shell weight: 101 or 133 kg (222.7 or 293.2 lb)

Most of Italy's 210-mm howitzers found their way in to Hungarian hands for service on the Eastern Front. Those still in Italy at the time of the Italian surrender were promptly manned by Germans, and made their contribution to the tenacious defence of the peninsula until 1945.

Italy made extensive use of heavy artillery in World War I, but by the 1930s her big guns were looking decidedly obsolete and new weapons were ordered. The 210-mm howitzer pictured here was an excellent design, but Italian industry could not produce the guns with sufficient speed.

**Obice da 210/22**
- Calibre: 210 mm (8.26 in)
- Length of piece: 5m (16 ft 4.85 in)
- Weight: travelling (two loads) 24030 kg (52,977 lb) and in action 15885 kg (35,020 lb)
- Elevation: 0° to +70°
- Traverse: 75°
- Muzzle velocity: 560 m (1,837 ft) per second
- Maximum range: 15407 m (16,850 yards)
- Shell weight: 101 or 133 kg (222.7 or 293.2 lb)
After the United States entered World War I in 1917, among the various types of heavy artillery its army received once US troops arrived in France was the British 8-in Howitzer Mk I, VII, and VIII, which were incidentally being produced in the United States to a British order. The Americans took to this howitzer with a will, for they soon discovered that it was a very accurate weapon and in the years after 1918 set about producing their own version. This was under the aegis of an advisory body known as the Westervelt Board, which also recommended the introduction of the 155-mm Gun M1. The board also recommended that the 155-mm (6.1-in) gun and the 203-mm (8-in) howitzer should share the same carriage and thus the new howitzer used the same M1 carriage as the 155-mm Gun M1.

Despite the recommendations of the Westervelt Board, however, the development of the new howitzer was slow and erratic, and at times ceased altogether for years on end. Thus it was not until 1940 that the howitzer was standardized as the 8-in Howitzer M1. The M1 owed much to its British origins but was longer, and as it used the M1 carriage it was even more accurate than its predecessor. However, it should not be thought that because the 8-in Howitzer M1 and the 155-mm Gun M1 shared the same carriage the two barrels were interchangeable. They were not, for to exchange the two barrels involved a great deal of workshop time and a great deal of trouble.

Once the Howitzer M1 had been introduced into service it soon became a very popular and powerful weapon. Because of its accuracy it could be used to bring down heavy fire on spot targets quite close to friendly troops and was frequently used thus in the elimination of enemy strongpoints and bunkers. The shell fired by the M1 was initially a 90.7-kg (200-lb) high explosive shell also used by 203-mm (8-in) coast guns, but this was later replaced by a special high explosive shell known as the M106 which had the same weight as the earlier shell but which could be fired to a range of 16596m (18,150 yards). The M106 is still in service with the 8-in Howitzer M1, which in a post-war designation reshuffle was redesignated M115.

Like the 155-mm Gun M1 the 203-mm howitzer also went self-propelled, although the first version did not

In addition to receiving the French 155-mm gun, the US Army in France received during 1918 the British 8-in howitzer, which was subsequently used as the basis for post-war US heavy gun design. The M1 howitzer resulted from years of intermittent, underfunded research and was not standardized until 1940. Once in action, however, it was an impressive piece; accurate and hard-hitting, it is still in service worldwide and was developed into a self-propelled gun, the M10.
The blast effect of an 8-in howitzer hits not just the ears but the whole body as the shock wave passes outwards. This is the first 8-in howitzer in action in Normandy, 1944, firing during the barrage the Americans organized to celebrate the Fourth of July.

appear until 1946. This was the M46 which used a much-modified M25 tank chassis as the carrier. Subsequent development along these lines has now led to the M10 series which originally used the 203-mm howitzer in a form virtually unchanged from its towed version but which has now been developed to the M110A2 which uses a much lengthened 203-mm howitzer barrel.

The towed 8-in Howitzer M15 is still in widespread service all over the world, and there are few signs that it is likely to be replaced in the near future. Thus the 203-mm howitzer can lay claim to being one of the longest-lived of all modern heavy artillery pieces: it can trace back its origins to World War I and is still in service.

**Specification**

8-in Howitzer M1
Calibre: 203 mm (8 in)
Length of piece: 5.324 m (17 ft 5.59 in)
Weight: travelling 14515 kg (32,000 lb) and in action 13471 kg (29,698 lb)
Elevation: -2° to +65°
Traverse: 60°
Muzzle velocity: 594 m (1,950 ft) per second
Maximum range: 16596 m (18,150 yards)
Shell weight: 90.7 kg (200 lb)

Right: Driving through the bitter December weather of 1944, these 8-in howitzers are travelling through Belgium to join the US First Army. Artillery was particularly effective in areas like the Ardennes, where roads were few and choke points obvious.
When the United States entered World War 1 in 1917 it was ill-equipped with heavy artillery, and consequently was issued with various Allied artillery models, including the French 155-mm (6.1-in) GPF (Grand Puissance Filloux). This gun was the best of its type at that time, but in the years after 1918 the American design teams sought to improve the overall efficiency of the gun and howitzer by introducing a series of prototypes throughout the 1920s. Sometimes this programme stood in abeyance for years, but by the late 1930s the new design (very basically the original GPF barrel equipped to accommodate an Asbury breech mechanism) was standardized as the 155-mm Gun M1 on Carriage M1, and production started at a steady pace at various American arsenals.

The M1 gun and carriage combination was very much an overall improvement on the old French GPF design, but introduced some new features. The carriage was a heavy split-trail type carried on four double-tyred road wheels forward. This carriage arrangement was such that in action the wheels were lifted to allow the carriage to rest on its forward firing platform that in use proved to be an excellent arrangement and very stable. This stability made the gun very accurate, and eventually the carriage was adopted by the British for use with their 7.2-in (183-mm) howitzer. For towing the trail legs were hitched up to a limber device. There were two of these, the M2 and the M5, the latter having a rapid up-and-over lift arrangement that permitted quick use in action but which could also be dangerous to an untrained crew. For this reason the M2 limber was often preferred.

The M1 was gradually developed into an M1A1 form and then into the M2 in late 1944. These changes were mainly limited to production expedients and did not affect the gun’s performance, which proved to be excellent: a 43.1-kg (95-lb) shell could be fired to a range of 23221 m (25,395 yards). The M1 soon became one of the standard heavy guns of the United States Army and was often used for counter-battery work. Numbers were issued to various allied nations, and the M1 was the standard heavy gun of the British army in 1940, which used the type in action in Europe from the Normandy landings onwards. In the meantime it also saw the end of the limber devices as a result of the fixed charges used, and it gradually being replaced by more modern designs. But it will still be some years before it is replaced in the armies of nations such as Austria, South Korea, Taiwan and Turkey.

Specifications
- Calibre: 155 mm (6.1 in)
- Length of piece: 22.4 m (73 ft 9 in)
- Weight in action: 11,200 kg (24,640 lb)
- Traverse: 45°
- Muzzle velocity: 881 m (2890 ft) per second
- Maximum range: 40.1 km (25 miles)

The story of British heavy artillery after 1918 is the familiar one of inaction and neglect. When war broke out again, heavy guns had to be improvised by re-lining the old 8-in howitzers to a calibre of 7.2-in to give them a respectable range.
The Westervelt Board of 1919 made many recommendations as to the future state of American artillery, too many in fact for the military funds available at the time. Thus some parts of the re-equipment programme had to be postponed following some preliminary design investigations that lasted until 1921. One part of these postponed projects concerned a common carriage that could mount either a 203-mm (8in) gun or a 240-mm (9.45-in) howitzer. At that time the 240-mm howitzer project could be postponed because the US Army was still trying to develop a 240-mm howitzer based on a French Schneider design, but that project was beset with problems and eventually came to nothing, only a few equipment being produced for training purposes.

But in 1939 things looked different, and the 203-mm gun/240-mm howitzer project was resurrected. The 203-mm gun took far longer to get into service than was at first envisaged, and it was not until 1944 that the first equipments were issued. But the 240-mm howitzer project was less problematical and was ready by May 1943. This 240-mm Howitzer M1 turned out to be a fairly massive piece of artillery using what was virtually an enlarged M1 carriage as used on the 155-mm (6.1-in) Gun M1. But the 240-mm howitzer carriage did not travel with the barrel fitted. Instead it travelled on a six-wheeled carriage and once on site its wheels were removed. The barrel was towed on a form of semi-trailer. At the chosen site the carriage had to be carefully emplaced and a pit was dug to permit the barrel to recoil at full 65° elevation. The barrel was then lifted into position, usually by a mobile crane that was also used to place the carriage into position and spread the trails. Emplacement of the 240-mm howitzer was thus no easy task, and sometimes took up to eight hours of arduous labour.

But once in place the howitzer proved to be a powerful weapon. It was first used extensively during the Italian campaign and afterwards in North West Europe whenever the fighting settled down behind static lines for any time. There was little call for its use in this type of action, but when they were used the heavy 163.3-kg (360-lb) high explosive shells were devastating weapons. The 240-mm howitzers were used by both the US and British armies, and they served on for many years after the war.

A few attempts were made to place the 240-mm howitzer onto some form of a trailer chassis but none of these projects got very far despite the advantages that self-propulsion would have given this heavy weapon. Instead attempts were made to simplify the assembly procedure or even allow the piece to travel in one load. Nothing came of these ideas and the 240-mm howitzer was gradually withdrawn from use during the late 1950s.

Today the only 240-mm Howitzer M1s still in use are those emplaced on the Chinese Nationalist-held island off the coast of mainland China. There they act as heavy coast-defence weapons and are kept fully serviceable.

**Specification**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>240-mm Howitzer M1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calibre: 240 mm (9.45 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of piece: 8,407 m (27 ft 7 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight: complete 29,268 kg (64,525 lb)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation: ±15° to ±65°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverse: 45°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muzzle velocity: 701 m (2,300 ft)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum range: 23,093 m (25,255 yds)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell weight: 163.3 kg (360 lb)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A 240-mm howitzer prepared for action: it travelled on a six-wheeled carriage, which was placed over a pit dug to absorb the recoil. The barrel was then lifted into place by a crane which was also used to spread the trails. Setting up the howitzer could take over eight hours.

The largest-calibre artillery piece of modern times, Little David was originally a device for testing aircraft bombs by firing them at various targets. Some suggested that it could be used as a gun proper, and with the invasion of Japan in prospect the US Army welcomed the idea of a monster howitzer to smash Japanese fortifications.

**USA**

**Little David**

Despite the fact that many artillery pieces were much larger than the strange device known as Little David, the fact remains that this weapon still holds the record of having the largest calibre of any modern artillery piece at no less than 914 mm (36 in), and not even the largest German railway gun, the huge 80-cm K(E), got anywhere near that with its calibre of 800 mm (31.5 in).

Little David was one of the oddities of the artillery world. It had its origins in a device used to test aircraft bombs by firing them from converted large-calibre howitzers at chosen targets. Existing howitzers could not manage to fire the heavier bombs so a device known as the Bomb Testing Device T1 was designed and produced. It performed well enough and gave some idea of a monster howitzer to smash Japanese fortifications.
was explosive. Such a projectile would have had dreadful effects on any target, but Little David was never used in action. During its firing trials it was soon demonstrated that accuracy was poor, and the US Army was less than enamoured by the 12-hour emplacement time required every time the weapon was used. The war ended before the development trials were complete and the US Army promptly put the whole project on ice before finally cancelling it during late 1946. Thus Little David never even left the Aberdeen Proving Grounds in Maryland where all its development and firing trials had been conducted, and the weapon promptly became a museum piece for the wonderment of all. Today it can still be seen there, forming part of the extensive ordnance museum that occupies much of the site open to the public. The weapon is still relatively complete. What appears to be a small metal shed is in fact the main mounting which was supposed to be dug into a pit. The barrel rests on its transporter wheels ready to be towed in semi-trailer fashion by a heavy tractor, and one of the oddly-shaped shells is still to hand.

**Specification**

**Little David**

- Calibre: 914 mm (36 in)
- Length of piece: with elevating arc 8.534 m (28 ft 0 in)
- Weight complete: 82808 kg (182,560 lb)
- Elevation: +45° to +65°
- Traverse: 26°
- Muzzle velocity: not recorded
- Maximum range: 8867 m (9,500 yards)
- Shell weight: 1678 kg (3,700 lb)

Once the atomic bomb had saved the Allies from mounting a conventional invasion of Japan the fortress-crusher ‘Little David’ was without a role and the project cancelled.

---

**Soviet 152-mm guns**

When considering Soviet artillery development it is as well to remember that the Soviet artillery design teams rarely produced anything innovative. Instead they placed great emphasis upon a steady programme of development in which a new piece of ordnance was placed on an existing carriage, or in which a new carriage was allied to an existing gun or howitzer. Their continual aim was to produce an artillery piece that was as light as possible but firing as heavy a projectile as possible to as great a range as possible.

This was particularly true of the Soviet 152-mm (6-in) heavy guns. There were three main types of these, although others existed and the earliest of them could trace its origins back to 1910. The first was a mounted weapon, designated the 152-mm Pushka obr. 1910g. This was updated in 1930 to become the 152-mm Field Gun Model 1910/30. In this form it was still in service when the Germans invaded in 1941. The Model 1910/30 was an unremarkable piece of artillery, so heavy that it had to be carried in two loads. This was considered to be too much of a disadvantage for modern use, and by 1941 the Model 1910/30 was being phased out of use. The Germans designated captured equipments 152-mm K 43/3(r).

In 1937 the Soviet design teams came up with a replacement. This was the 152-mm Gaubitsa-Pushka obr. 1937(r). This emerged as a new and rather long gun barrel mounted on the carriage of an existing piece, the 122-mm (4.8-in) Field Gun Model 1931/37 (A-19). This combination was a gun-howitzer rather than a gun, and turned out to be a very versatile and powerful weapon, known to the Germans as the 15.2-cm K 43/3(r) in captured service.

**Specification**

- Calibre: 152.4 mm (6 in)
- Length of piece: 4.925 m (16 ft 1.1 in)
- Weight: travelling 7820 kg (17,483 lb)
- Elevation: +2° to +65°
- Traverse: 58°
- Muzzle velocity: 655 m (2,149 ft) per second
- Maximum range: 17265 m (18,880 yards)
- Shell weight: 43.5 kg (95.9 lb)

These two major field gun designs, the Model 1937 and the Model 1910/34, formed the mainstay of the heavy field gun batteries of the Red Army throughout the war. Later development tended to concentrate on howitzers, but the field guns proved to be very useful weapons. They were often able to outrange their German counterparts and so impressed the German gunners that they used as many captured Soviet 152-mm guns as they could lay their hands on. Many of these captured weapons were used against their former owners and as many again were diverted to the Atlantic Wall defences.

Perhaps the best indication of how good the Model 1937 gun-howitzer was at the time it was introduced can be seen by the fact that it is still in widespread service to this day. Now known as the ML-20, it remains in service with many Soviet-influenced armies throughout the world, from Cuba to China.

---

**The 152-mm gun-howitzer M1937**

has a box section split trail carriage, and its double tyres were filled with sponge rubber. On the move, a two-wheeled limber is secured under the trails.

The Soviets wanted vast numbers, but the Artillery Plant Number 172 at Perm could not produce enough so another source of these gun-howitzers was sought. This turned out to be the same barrel as the Model 1937 but mounted on the carriage of an earlier 122-mm (4.8-in) field gun, the Model 1931. This combination was known for some reason as the 152-mm Gun-Howitzer Model 1910/34, to the Soviets and as the 15.2-cm K 43/3(r) to the Germans.

There was also one other Soviet 152-mm (6-in) field gun about which little is now known. This was apparently a long 152-mm naval barrel placed on the carriage of the 203-mm (8in) howitzers produced as a form of emergency design in 1941-2. Few details now exist.

**Specification**

- Calibre: 152.4 mm (6 in)
- Length of piece: 4.725 m (15 ft 6 in)
- Weight: travelling 7930 kg (17,483 lb)
- Elevation: +45° to +65°
- Traverse: 67°
- Muzzle velocity: 647 m (2,126 ft) per second
- Maximum range: 17065 m (18,680 yards)
- Shell weight: 43.5 kg (95.9 lb)
In 1941 the Red Army still had substantial numbers of short 152-mm (6-in) howitzers such as the Field Howitzer Model 1909/30 and Field Howitzer Model 1910/30, but these were long in the tooth and despite an interim updating programme carried out after 1930, they lacked range. It was realized that these howitzers would have to be replaced and in 1938 the replacement appeared. For once this weapon was an all-new design combining a long 152-mm barrel with a sturdy and steady split-trail carriage. It went into production at two artillery factories, Artillery Plant Number 172 at Perm and Artillery Plant Number 235 at Volksk, the Field Howitzer Model 1938, later known as the M-10, turned out to be a great success and was widely used, later becoming one of the main types in Red Army service throughout the war.

The Red Army came to value the flexibility of the howitzer over the long-range capabilities of the gun to a great extent, and found during the early days of the war with the invading German army that the heavy 51.1-kg (112.6-lb) high explosive shell was also a powerful anti-tank weapon. This derived from the Red Army practice of using every available field piece as an anti-tank weapon, and was so successful that a special solid-shot projectile was introduced for use by the Model 1938. This weighed 40 kg (88.2 lb) and could knock out any known tank. The Germans also prized the Model 1938 highly, using as many as they could capture under the designation 15.2-cm sFH 44(3(t)), either in the Soviet Union or as part of the Atlantic Wall defences. More turned up in Italy and France.

In their constant striving to make their progeny as light and efficient as possible, the Soviet artillery designers later converted the Model 1938 to be mounted on the carriage of the 122-mm (4.8 in) Model 1938 howitzer. A larger muzzle brake was fitted to reduce, at least in part, the recoil forces of the heavier barrel, and the new combination became the 152-mm Field Howitzer Model 1943. As its designation implies this new howitzer/carriage combination was first produced in 1943 and soon replaced the earlier Model 1938 in production. It continued to fire the same range of ammunition as the Model 1938 and the range capabilities remained the same. By 1945 it was in service with the Red Army in huge numbers and was later designated the D-1.

Post-war the Model 1938 and Model 1943 went on to serve in many more conflicts. Gradually the Model 1938 faded from use and is now known to be used only by Romania, but the Model 1943 is still very much in evidence. It is still in Red Army service; although now mainly with reserve units. It has been bestowed the accord of being thought fit to be copied by the Chinese army, which now has its own version, known as the Type 54. The Model 43 is used by nearly every nation that has come under Soviet influence, ranging from Ethiopia and Mozambique. There seems to be no sign of its ever passing away.

**Specification**
- **Model 1943**
  - Calibre: 152.5 mm (6 in)
  - Length of piece: 4.207 m (13 ft 9.6 in)
  - Weight: travelling 3640 kg (8,025 lb) and in action 3600 kg (7,937 lb)
  - Elevation: -3° to +63.5°
  - Traverse: 35°
  - Muzzle velocity: 508 m (1,667 ft) per second
  - Maximum range: 12400 m (13,560 yards)
  - Shell weight: HE 51.1 kg (112.6 lb)

The heaviest of the field-type weapons used by the Soviets between 1941 and 1945 was the 203-mm Howitzer Model 1931, also known as the B-4. This was a powerful but heavy weapon that is now generally remembered as being one of the few artillery weapons to use a carriage that ran on caterpillar tracks. This was an outcome of the huge Soviet investment in tractor factories during the 1920s and 1930s, and the use of these tractor tracks was thus an obvious and economic measure for the Soviet carriage designers to take. The use of these tracks meant that the Model 1931 could traverse very bad or soft terrain where other weapons of similar weight could not venture.

This was an important point for the Model 1931, which was a heavy piece. It was so heavy that although most versions could be towed for short distances in two loads, long moves involved the breaking down of the weapon into as many as six separate parts.
loads. Some versions could move in five loads but there were about six different variants of the Model 1931. All of them used the tracked carriage but varied in the way they were towed. Movement of the Model 1931 involved the use of a limber onto which the split trail was lifted to be towed, usually by some form of heavy tracked tractor with (again) agricultural origins. Some of these limbers used tracks again but others had large single road wheels. Others used twin road wheels of smaller diameter.

To the soldier at the front all these variations made little difference as the howitzer itself remained much the same throughout its service life. It was rather a ponderous weapon to use in action, and the rate of fire was usually limited to one round every four minutes, although higher rates could be attained. It made a powerful barrage weapon but was also used for the demolition of heavy strongpoints, a heavy 100-kg (220.46-lb) high explosive shell being provided for the role. But essentially it was a weapon for static use as it was a ponderous beast, being limited on the move to a maximum speed of no more than 15 km/h (9.3 mph). Not surprinsingly, whenever mobile warfare was possible the Model 1931 was at a disadvantage and consequently many fell into German hands as they could not be moved quickly enough. The Germans were so short of heavy artillery that they used as many as they could, mainly in the Soviet Union but also in Italy and in North West Europe after 1944, under the designation 203-cm H 503(r).

After 1945 the Model 1931 appeared to fade from service but in recent years it has once more emerged. It is still part of the equipment of the current Red Army heavy artillery brigades and is still used for the destruction of strongpoints and any fortresses that might still be encountered. It has now lost the tracked travelling arrangements and has in their place a new wheeled road-wheel suspension with two wheels in tandem on each side. It is now very likely that this form of carriage allows the Model 1931 to be towed in one load, and it is also believed that this veteran will be replaced in the near future by a new 203-mm (8-In) howitzer on a self-propelled carriage.

### Specification

**Model 1931**
- Calibre: 203 mm (8 in)
- Length of piece: 5.087 m (16 ft 8.3 in)
- Weight: in action 17700 kg (39,022 lb)
- Traverse: 8°
- Muzzle velocity: 607 m (1,991 ft) per second
- Maximum range: 18025 m (19,712 yards)
- Shellweight: 100 kg (220.46 lb)

**15-cm schwere Feldhaubitze 18**

Within Germany the two major artillery manufacturing concerns had been Krupp and Rheinmetall since the turn of the century. Both firms survived World War I intact, but with their usual markets shattered both decided to start again with new products. Thus for both the 1920s was a period of re-tracement and research so that by the time the Nazi party came to power in 1933 both were ready to supply their new customer, The new customer was shrewd enough to invite both parties to submit designs for every new artillery requirement made by the expanding German forces, and thus when a call was made for a new heavy field howitzer each company was ready with a suitable design.

The trouble for the army selectors was that the submissions were as good as each other. Thus the eventual equipment was a compromise, the Rheinmetall ordnance being placed on the Krupp carriage. This selection was made in 1933 and given the designation of 15-cm schwere Feldhaubitze 18 (15-cm sFH 18), although the actual calibre was 149 mm (5.87 in). The howitzer quickly became the standard German heavy field howitzer and it was churned out from numerous production lines all over Germany.

The first version of the sFH 18 was intended for horse traction and was towed in two loads, namely barrel and carriage. But before long a version intended to be towed by a halftrack tractor was produced, and this soon became the more common version. It proved to be a sound and sturdy howitzer and served well throughout all of Germany’s World War II campaigns.

The invention of the Soviet Union was under way in 1941, however, it soon became apparent to the Germans that the piece was outranged by its Soviet 152-mm (6-in) equivalents. Various attempts were made to increase range, including two more powerful propellant charges to be added to the six already in use. These extra charges worked to a limited extent but caused excessive barrel wear in the process and also overstrained the carriage recoil mechanism. To overcome the latter problem some howitzers were fitted with a muzzle brake to reduce recoil forces, but this modification was no great success and the idea was dropped; weapons so modified were designated 15-cm sFH 18(M).

As the war went on the sFH 18 was placed on a self-propelled carriage known as the Hummel (bumblebee) and thus formed part of the artillery component of a few Panzer divisions. Not all were used in the field role, Divisions that found themselves installed along the Atlantic Wall defences used their sFH 18s to bolster coastal defences, usually under German navy control. Some sFH 18s were handed out to some of Germany’s allies, notably Italy (obice da 149/28) and, for a while, Finland (m/40).

The sFH 18 was still in use in very large numbers when the war ended in 1945 and for a period the howitzers were used by many armies. Czechoslovakia used an updated version of the sFH 18 until quite recently, and the type was also used by the Portuguese army for a considerable period. Some still survive in parts of Central and South America, and the sFH 18 has surely been one of the soundest and sturdiest of all German artillery pieces.

**Specification**

15-cm sFH 18
- Calibre: 149 mm (5.87 in)
- Length of piece: 4.44 m (14 ft 6.8 in)
- Weight: travelling 6304 kg (13,898 lb) and in action 5512 kg (12,152 lb)
- Traverse: 6°
- Muzzle velocity: 520 m (1,706 ft) per second
- Maximum range: 13325 m (14,570 yards)
- Shellweight: 43.5 kg (95.9 lb)

This 15-cm sFH 18 is being towed into the cavernous mouth of an Me 323 transport by an SdKfz 7 half track. The majority of German artillery was horse-drawn, but the 15-cm howitzer was modified early in the war to be towed by vehicles.
When a German army requirement for a heavy gun to arm the new divisional artillery batteries was made in 1933, Rheinmetall was able to land the contract. Using the same carriage as that submitted for the 15-cm sFH 18 competition, Rheinmetall designed a long and good-looking gun with a range of no less than 24500m (26,800 yards), which was well in excess of anything else available at the time. Production did not begin immediately for at the time priority was given to the sFH 18, so it was not until 1938 that the army got its first examples as the 15-cm Kanone 18 (15-cm K 18).

When the army began to receive the weapon it was very happy with the range and the projectiles, but was less than enchanted with some of the carriage features. One of these was the fact that as the gun was so long the gun and carriage could not be towed together except over very short distances. For any long move the barrel had to be withdrawn from the carriage and towed on its own special transporter carriage. The carriage itself was towed on its own small limber axle carrying another two wheels. All this took time, an undesirable feature when getting the gun into and out of action, and this time was increased by another carriage feature, the use of a two-part turntable onto which the gun was lifted to provide 360° traverse. This too had to be got into and out of action, and the carriage was equipped with ramps and winches so that even when sectionalized for towing it made up into two heavy loads.

As the time-consuming installation and removal workloads were not enough, the rate of fire of the K 18 was at best two rounds per minute. Not surprisingly, the gunners asked for something better but in the interim the gun was in production and the gunners had to put up with things as they were. As things turned out, many of the K 18s were allocated to static coastal-defence batteries or garrison divisions where their relative lack of mobility was of small account. Not surprisingly, the coastal batteries soon found that the K 18 made a good coastal gun: its long range and the easily-traversed carriage made it ideal for the role, and the coastal batteries soon found that new heavier weapons, but for the guns themselves, all this was dangerously time-consuming to deploy or withdraw.

**Specification**

**15-cmK18**

Calibre: 149.1 mm (5.87 in)
Length of piece: 8.20 m (26 ft 10.8 in)
Weight travelling: 18700 kg (41,226 lb)
Weight in action: 12460 kg (27,470 lb)

Elevation: -2° to +43°
Traverse: 360° on platform
Muzzle velocity: 865 m (2,838 ft) per second
Maximum range: 24500 m (26,800 yards)
Shell weight: 43 kg (94.8 lb)

A 15-cm K18 forms the centrepiece of a German artillery park captured by the British in Libya. This Rheinmetall design had an impressive range, but was dangerously time-consuming to deploy or withdraw.

The gun that became known to the Germans as the 15-cm Kanone 39 (15-cm K 39) came to them via a roundabout route. The gun was originally designed and produced by Krupp of Essen for one of its traditional customers, Turkey, during the late 1930s. The gun was intended to be a dual field/coastal-defence gun and so used a combination of split-trail carriage allied with what was then an innovation, namely a portable turntable onto which the gun and carriage would be hoisted to provide 360° traverse, a feature very useful in a coastal-defence weapon. Two of the ordered batch had been delivered in 1939 when World War II broke out, and there was then no easy way of delivering any more to Turkey. With a war on its hands the German army decided it needed as many new field guns as possible and the design was taken into German service without modification as the 15-cm Kanone 39, and the type remained on the production lines at Essen for the German army alone.

Thus the German army found itself

The 15-cm Kanone 39 was a Krupp design commissioned by Turkey. Only two examples had been supplied when war broke out and the German army adopted it instead, along with large stocks of ammunition built to Turkish specifications.
with a large and useful gun that had to be transported in three loads: barrel, carriage and turntable. For most purposes the turntable was not really necessary and was only used when the gun was emplaced for coastal defence; the unit consisted of a central turntable onto which the carriage was placed, a series of outrigger struts and an outer traversing circle. The whole turntable was made of steel, and in use was anchored in place. The spread trails were secured to the outer traverse circle, and the whole gun and carriage could then be moved by using a hand crank arrangement. This platform afforded a great deal of attention from many other design teams, including the Americans who used it as the basis for the 'Kelly Mount' used with 155-mm (6.1-in) M1 guns. The K 39 could fire conventional German ammunition, but when first introduced into service it came with sizable stocks of ammunition produced for Turkish use and to Turkish specifications. This involved a three-charge system and included a high explosive shell and a semi-armour-piercing projectile originally intended by the Turks to be used against warships. All this non-standard ammunition was gradually used up before the Germans switched to their normal ammunition types. By that time the K 39 was no longer in use as one of the standard weapons of the German army. The full production run for the army was only about 40, and this was understandably thought to be too awkward a number for logistical comfort. Thus the K 39s were diverted to the training role and then to the Atlantic Wall defences, where they were diverted to their intended purpose. On the static Atlantic Wall sites the turn-tables could be carefully emplaced to best effect and the guns could use their long range to good purpose.

**Specifiation**
15-cmK 39
Calibre: 149.1 mm (5.87 in)
Length of piece: 8.25 m (27 ft 0.6 in)
Weight: travelling 18282 kg (40,305 lb) and in action 12200 kg (26,896 lb)
Elevation: -4° to +50°
Traverse: on turntable 360° and on carriage 60°
Muzzle velocity: 865 m (2,838 ft) per second
Maximum range: 24700 m (27,010 yards)
Shell weight: 43 kg (94.8 lb)

A 15-cm K 39 lies abandoned on the frozen steppe, providing a subject of interest for the columns of Soviet troops marching westwards. The K 39 was eventually withdrawn to a training role for logistic reasons. Some were emplaced in the Atlantic Wall as a coastal defence gun.

When it came to artillery design in the years during both world wars, Krupp of Essen can be regarded as the virtual leader. The company's sound approach, coupled with the thorough development of innovations, led to some of the most remarkable artillery pieces in use anywhere in their day, and one of these innovations featured on what were two of the most remarkable artillery pieces in service during World War II. This innovation was the 'double recoil' carriage on which the normal recoil forces were first taken up by the orthodox recoil mechanism close to the barrel and then by the carriage sliding inside rails set on the bulk of the travelling carriage. In this way all these recoil forces were absorbed with virtually no movement relative to the ground, and firing accuracy was thus enhanced. Further improvements ensured that the whole barrel and carriage could rest on a light firing platform that formed a pivot for easy and rapid traverse.

This double-action carriage was used mainly with two Krupp weapons. The smaller was the 17-cm Kanone 18 (actual calibre 172.5 mm/6.8-in) and the larger the 21-cm Mörser 18 (the Germans often followed the continental practice of calling heavy howitzers a mortar). These two weapons were first introduced in 17-cm (6.8-in) form in 1941 and in 21-cm (8.3-in) form in 1939. Both proved to be excellent weapons and demand was such that Krupp had to delegate extra production to Hanomag at Hannover. Of the two weapons priority was at first given to the 21-cm Mrs 18, and a wide range of special projectiles was developed for this weapon, including concrete-piercing shells. But with the advent of the 17-cm Mrs 18 it soon became apparent that the 17-cm shells were only marginally less effective than their 21-cm equivalents, and that the 17-cm gun had a much greater range (29600 m/32,370 yards as opposed to 16700 m/18,270 yards). Thus in 1942 priority was given to the 17-cm K 18, production of the 21-cm Mrs 18 ceasing.

But the 21-cm Mrs 18 remained in use until the end of the war, as did the 17-cm K 18 which continued to impress all who encountered it, either as recipients of the 68-kg (149.9-lb) shell or as ammunition. In fact the Allies sometimes acted as gunners, for in 1944 some Allied batteries used captured 17-cm K 18s when ammunition supplies for their normal gunners were disrupted by the long logistical train from Normandy to the German border. For all their weight and bulk, both the 17-cm (6.8-in) and 21-cm pieces were fairly easy to handle. A full 360° traverse could be made by one man, and although both pieces had to be carried in two loads the carriage was well equipped with winches and ramps to make the process of removing the barrel from the carriage a fairly light and rapid task. For short distances both weapons could be towed in one load by a heavy halftrack tractor.

**Specifications**
17-cm K 18
Calibre: 172.5 mm (6.79 in)
Length of piece: 8,529 m (27 ft 11.8 in)
Weight: travelling 22700 kg (50,045 lb) and in action 16700 kg (36,817 lb)
Elevation: 0° to +50°
Traverse: on platform 360° and on carriage 16°
Muzzle velocity: 565 m (1,854 ft) per second
Maximum range: 16700 m (18,270 yards)
Shell weight: HE 121 kg (266.8 lb)

A 21-cm Mörser 18, so called because the Germans referred to their heavy howitzers as mortars, used the same carriage as the 17-cm K 18.

As the 8th Army advanced deeper into Tunisia, this 17-cm K 18 was captured in tact and used against its Afrika Korps former owners. Longer ranged than the 21-cm M 18, production facilities were devoted exclusively to the K 18 after 1942.

21-cm Mrs 18
Calibre: 210.9 mm (8.3 in)
Length of piece: 6.51 m (21 ft 4.3 in)
Weight: travelling 27700 kg (60,405 lb) and in action 21700 kg (47,837 lb)
Elevation: 0° to +50°
Traverse: on platform 360° and on carriage 60°
Muzzle velocity: 565 m (1,854 ft) per second
Maximum range: 16700 m (18,270 yards)
Shell weight: HE 121 kg (266.8 lb)
During 1935 Rheinmetall began design work on a new heavy gun to meet a German army requirement for a long-range counterbattery gun firing a heavy projectile. The first example was produced during 1938, and a small batch was ordered soon after as the 24-cm Kanone 3 (24-cm K 3). The K 3 was a fairly massive piece of artillery that used the ‘double recoil’ carriage coupled to a firing table that could be easily traversed through 360°. The barrel could be extended to 56° and thus fired in the upper register to ensure that plugging fire against fortifications and field works would make the shells as effective as possible.

The K 3 carriage was well endowed with all manner of technical novelties. In order to make the gun as mobile as possible the whole gun and carriage were broken down into six loads, and assembly on site was made as easy and rapid as possible by a number of built-in devices such as ramps and winches. Various safety measures were incorporated in case assembly was in some way incorrect; for instance, incorrect breech assembly left the gun unable to fire. Other safety measures ensured that if a winch cable broke the component involved could not move far enough to cause any damage. For all these measures it took some 25 men 90 minutes to get the gun into action. Once the gun was in action, a generator, an integral part of the carriage, was kept running to provide power for the gun’s services.

Not many K 3s were produced; most references mention eight or 10. They were all used operationally by one unit, schwere Artillerie Abteilung (mot) 83. This motorized unit, which had three batteries (each with two guns), and it was in action all over Europe from the USSR to Normandy. The K 3 was the subject of much experimentation by German designers. Special barrels were produced in order to fire experimental projectiles with body splines that aligned with the barrel rifling as the projectile was rammed into the chamber. Other barrels fired projectiles fitted with sabot to increase range, and there was even a device fitted over the muzzle that ‘squeezed’ back skirts around special sub-calibre projectiles, again in an attempt to increase range. Some smooth-bore barrels were produced to fire the long-range Peenemünder Pfleiggeschosse (arrow shells).

By a quirk of production schedules the Rheinmetall-designed K 3 weapons were actually manufactured by Krupp of Essen. The Krupp engineers were not highly impressed by the engineering of the K 3 and decided they could do better, so producing their own version, the 24-cm K 4. This was a very advanced design with the mounting carried on the move between two turretless Tiger tanks. There was even supposed to be a self-propelled version, but in 1943 the prototype was destroyed during an air raid on Essen and the whole project was terminated.

The K 3 was still in action when the war ended and at least one example fell into US Army hands. This was taken to the United States and underwent a great deal of investigation. Once the trials were over it went to Aberdeen Proving Grounds in Maryland, where it can still be seen.

**Specification 35.5-cm Haubitze M.I**

| Calibre | 355.6 mm (14 in) |
| Length of piece | 10.265 m (33 ft 8.1 in) |
| Elevation | -1° to +56° |
| Traverse | on turntable 360° and on carriage 6 |
| Muzzle velocity | 870 m (2,854 ft) per second |
| Maximum range | 37500 m (41,010 yards) |
| Shell weight | 152.3 kg (335,78 lb) |

In 1935 the German army asked Rheinmetall to produce an enlarged version of its 24-cm K 3, and although the design of that gun was still at an early stage the Rheinmetall company went ahead and produced a new design with an actual calibre of 355.6mm (14 in). The first example was produced ready to enter service in 1939, and emerged as a scaled-up version of the 24-cm (9.37-in) design. The new weapon was designated the 35.5-cm Haubitze M.I (35.5-cm H M.I) and incorporated many of the features of the 24-cm (9.37-in) design including the double-recoil carriage. The weapon was even carried in six loads, and an extra load had to be involved for the special gunnery needed to assemble and disassemble the massive weapon.

This gun used electrical power from a generator carried on the same 18-tonne halftrack tractor that towed the disassembled gun. Other 18-tonne halftracked tractors were also used to tow the other components; these were the cradle, top carriage, barrel, lower carriage, turntable and rear platform.

For all its weight and bulk, the H M.I had a range of only 20850m (22,800 yards), so the efficiency of the weapon must have been questionable even at the time. Looking back it now seems doubtful that the considerable investment of money, manpower and equipment in a howitzer with such a limited range was generally not worth the efforts involved. But the H M. I fired a shell that must have been devastating in effect when it landed on target. Even the strongest fortification would be hard put to remain operational after a few hits from such a shell, and this no doubt made the howitzer a viable weapon for the Germans. But the truth was that during World War II there were few such targets for the H M. I to pulverize, and the only time that the howitzers were put to any great use was during the siege of Sevastopol.

There are records of these howitzers firing 280 rounds, though they must have taken some time to accomplish this, for the rate of fire of the H M. I was at best one round every four minutes.
Field Artillery

From the earliest days of gunpowder, artillery has often had a decisive impact upon the battlefield. The evolution of field artillery meant that armies could carry their own fire support with them, and by 1939 the field gun was an important weapon of all the major armies.

In all this interlocking system the guns and howitzers have only one part to play: they are but the delivery system for what is really the gunner’s weapon, the projectile itself. The gun merely acts as the method of delivering that projectile, but this is often forgotten in the attraction that artillery has for so many. The guns of all nations are constantly cosseted and cleaned in a way no electronic black box can ever be, for each gun somehow acts as a gleaming example of a gunner’s pride in his role and function: it is the guns that win battles, it is the guns that control the destinies of nations, and it is the guns upon which are lavished the care that would otherwise go to such equally intangible symbols as a regiment’s colours.

Thus, the guns and howitzers discussed here are just a part of a much larger method of waging war. The selection contained in the following pages are merely an example. There were far more types and models of artillery pieces used during World War II than are shown in these pages, but the examples shown here are a good cross-section of the range of types used, from the ancient to the modern and from the little-used to those that were mass-produced.
During World War I the French 75 or, more formally, the Canon de 75 modèle 1897, passed into French national legend as the gun that enabled the French to win the war. It was famous even before 1914 as what may now be regarded as the first of all modern field artillery designs: it coupled a highly efficient recoil mechanism with a rapid-action breech design and a carriage that enabled hitherto unheard-of rates of fire to be maintained. Before 1914 the 75 was a virtual state secret but once in action it more than proved its worth, to the extent that the French army depended on its high rate of fire to make up for deficiencies in the availability of heavier artillery weapons.

By 1939 the 75 was rather past its best, and was outranged by more modern field gun designs, but the French still had over 4,500 of them in front-line use. Other nations also had the 75. The list of these nations was long and included the USA (which was producing its own 75-mm M1897A2 and 75-mm M1897A4 versions), Poland (armata polowa wz 97/17), Portugal, many of the French colonies, some Baltic states, Greece, Romania, Ireland and many other nations. The 75 of 1918 was also very different from the 75 of 1939 in many cases. The Americans and Poles had introduced split trail carriages to the 75 in place of the original pole trail, and many nations (including the French) had introduced rubber-tyred wheels for motor traction in place of the original spoked wheels.

The 75 has also undergone some other changes in role. Before 1918 many 75 barrels had been placed on rudimentary anti-aircraft carriages, both static and mobile, and despite their limited value many were still around in 1939. The 75 has also undergone some adaptation as a form of tank weapon, but it was to be left to the Americans to make the full development of this possibility when they later adapted the type as the main gun for their M3 and M4 tank series. In France the 75 was updated Canon de 75 modèle 1897/33 standard with a new split trail carriage, but by 1939 there were few of these in service.

In the shambles of May and June 1940 huge numbers of 75s fell into the hands of the Germans, who were only too happy to use many of them for their limited value as the 7.5-cm FK 231(f), a conversion of the 75. The 7.5-cm FK 231(f) was introduced as the 7.5-cm Pak 97/38 anti-tank gun, but it worked for the period until proper anti-tank guns arrived on the scene. The 7.5-cm Pak 97/38 was not the only war-time development of the 75, for later the Americans developed the 75 to the stage where it could be carried in North American B-25 bombers as an anti-ship weapon.

After 1945 the 75 lingered on with many armies, and it would not be surprising if it is still in service here and there. In its day it was an excellent artillery piece that well deserved its famous reputation.

Specification
Canon de 75 modèle 1897
Calibre: 75 mm (2.95 in)
Length of piece: 2.72 m (107.08 in)
Elevation:-11° to+ 18°
Traverse: 6°
Muzzle velocity: 575 m (1,886 ft) per second
Range: 11110 m (12,140 yards)
Elevation:-11° to+ 18°
Traverse: 6°
Muzzle velocity: 575 m (1,886 ft) per second
Range: 11110 m (12,140 yards)
Elevation:-11° to+ 18°
Traverse: 6°
Muzzle velocity: 575 m (1,886 ft) per second
Range: 11110 m (12,140 yards)

The Canon de 75 modèle 1897 was still in widespread service in 1939; this example has been fitted with large pneumatic tyres for mechanized traction. Not all World War II examples were so fitted, but in any form the old 75 was still a viable field gun in 1939 and went on to serve with the Germans after 1940.
In the first decade of this century the French Schneider concern took over the Russian Putilov armaments factory as part of a deliberate plan of commercial expansion. The factory had for long been the main Russian armament concern, but during the early 1900s had been restricted in its expansionist ideas by the backwardness of the Russian commercial scene, so the infusion of French capital was a decided advantage.

Among the designs found on the Putilov drawing boards was an advanced design of 107-mm (4.21-in) field gun that appeared to offer considerable improvement on the then available, inferior models. The Schneider concern took over the Russian design and developed it further as the 10.5-cm K 331(f), and it was ready to play its most important part in World War II. Ex-Belgian guns were designated 10.5-cm K 333(f).

The Germans took the guns of their occupation forces and mounted them on special turntables protected by curved or angled armour shields. These were placed in bunkers along the French and other coasts, and many of the bunkers can still be seen among the Atlantic sand dunes to this day. As a beach defence gun the L 13 S was more than suitable, and the bunkers were hard nuts for any attacking force to crack. Fortunately the Normandy landings of June 1944 bypassed most of these bunkers. Not all the guns in these bunkers were directly ex-French; some found their way into the defences from as far away as Yugoslavia and Poland. Captured guns used by the Germans were the 10.5-cm K 338(f) and 10.5-cm K 338 (i) Italian and Yugoslav weapons, while unmodified and modified Polish weapons were the 10.5-cm K 13 (p) and 10.5-cm K 29 (p) respectively.

**Specification**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Canon de 105 court mie 1935 B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calibre: 105 mm (4.134 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of piece: 2.987 m (117.6 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight: travelling 2650 kg (5,843 lb) and in action 3200 kg (5,070 lb)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverse: 6° to +37°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muzzle velocity: 550 m (1,805 ft) per second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range: 12000 m (13,130 yards)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell weight: 15.7 kg (34.62 lb) for French guns and 16.24 kg (35.8 lb) for Italian guns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed of shell: 804 m (2,639 ft) per second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation: 6° to +50°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverse: 88°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muzzle velocity: 442 m (1450 ft) per second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range: 10000 m (11,270 yards)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell weight: 15.7 kg (34.62 lb)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**This photograph of a Canon de 105 mie 1935 B provides a good indication of how the steel carriage wheels were ‘toed in’ to provide extra protection for the carriage and gun crew.**
When the USA entered World War I in 1917 the US Army was poorly equipped with artillery and once in France was issued mainly with French or British equipments. The Americans decided to equip themselves properly with the French 75\textquotesingle and began production in the USA for their own use. Production was just getting under way when the war ended, leaving the US Army with a huge stockpile of 75s that was to last them until 1942. Thus when an investigating body met to report on the future equipments for the US Army the findings of its initial reports were not implemented.

The investigating body was the Westerveldt Board of 1919, and among its recommendations was the desirability of a 105-mm (4.13-in) howitzer. At the time little was done to put the suggestions into practice, so it was not until 1939 that the design of the proposed howitzer was completed. The weapon was placed into production the following year and thereafter the 105-mm Howitzer M2A1 poured off the American production lines in thousands.

The M2A1 was destined to become one of the most widely used of all American weapons in World War II. A measure of its success can be seen in the fact that it is still in widespread service in this decade, and even now some production batches are still run off.

The M2A1 was an orthodox piece of artillery with little of note in its overall design. The associated Carriage M2A2 was a split-trail design with the gun assembly mounted in such a way that the centre of balance was just forward of the breech. The weapon was never intended for animal traction and so was fitted with rubber-tyred wheels from the outset. Overall the weapon was heavy for its calibre, but this meant that strength was so 'built-in' that the howitzer never seemed to wear out. The barrel and carriage could take enormously hard use and still keep firing.

The M2A1 was used in all theatres where the US forces fought, from Europe to the Pacific. Throughout the war years the basic design was the subject of numerous trials and improvements, and the ammunition underwent the same development process. By the time the war ended the range of ammunition fired by the M2A1 ranged from the usual HE through to propaganda-leaflet shells, various smoke marker shells and tear gas shells. Not all of the 105-mm (4.13-in) howitzers were towed. Some were placed on various self-propelled carriages, one of the most widely used being the M7, known to the British gunners who used it for a while as the 'Priest'. Later, Sherman tank chassis were used to mount the howitzer, and there was at least one attempt to mount the M2A1 on a half-track. Thus the M2A1 was able to provide fire support for armoured formations as well as infantry formations, and was among the first such weapons to provide mobile fire support, even though many others had undergone trials for the task.

Post-war the M2A1 was given a later form of designation (it is now the M102) and it is still a front-line weapon with the US Army and of the armies of many other nations. It is still used as a yardstick by which other artillery designs are measured.

The howitzer that was to become the 105-mm M2A1 was planned during 1919, but the first example was not ready until 1939. Thereafter it was produced in thousands and became the standard US Army field artillery howitzer. Rugged and basically simple, it was able to withstand all manner of use.

**Specification**

**Howitzer M2A1**

- Calibre: 105 mm (4.134 in)
- Length of piece: 2.574 m (101.35 in)
- Weight: travelling and in action 1934 kg (4,260 lb)
- Elevation: -5° to +65°
- Traverse: 46°
- Muzzle velocity: 472 m (1,550 ft) per second
- Range: 11430m (12,500 yards)
- Shell weight: 14.97 kg (33 lb)

**Right:** A 105-mm Howitzer M2A1 in action during the Korean War. Although taken in 1950, this photograph could be typical of many actions in which the howitzer was used in World War II. The M2A1 was eventually re-designated M102, and it is still in service with the USA Army Reserve.

**Below:** 105-mm Howitzer M2A1s on a training range. In the foreground is a 37-mm (1.45-in) sub-calibre barrel that was used mounted over the barrel during training to decrease costs by firing smaller calibre and cheaper ammunition and to reduce wear and tear on the full-calibre howitzer barrels.
One of the most elderly of all field artillery pieces still in service during World War II was the Italian army’s Cannone da 75/27 modello 06 (gun of 75 mm, 27 calibres long, model 1906, in the standard way of interpreting Italian artillery designations). This was originally a German Krupp export model adopted by the Italian army in 1906 and retained thereafter until 1943. The original Krupp designation was M.06, and the weapon was an entirely orthodox design with little of note other than a sound and sturdy construction. The carriage used a form of one-piece pole trail which restricted elevation and thus range, but for all that the 75/27 still had a useful range for a field gun. Not surprisingly, the original models had wooden spoked wheels for horse traction, but by 1940 some had been modified to take all-steel wheels and rubber tyres for powered traction, and it was this model that was most usually encountered outside the Italian mainland. The wheeled gun was widely used throughout the North African and other Italian colonial campaigns and was supplied to Italian field artillery pieces in North Africa when their own equipment was not available. The Germans even supplied the 75/27 with their own designation, Cannone da 75/27 modello 11. This was not be produced in the numbers required as the light howitzer components of World War II (the Obice da 75/18 modello 35). By 1940 and was used mainly in support of cavalry units, although some were issued to field batteries. As with the modello 06 some were modified to take steel wheels with rubber tyres for powered traction and some were also used by the Germans at one time or another as the 7.5-cm FK 244(i).

Not surprisingly, the original models were mostly adopted by the Italians as swift replacements for their old equipment, although some were also supplied to other states to pay for raw materials. More went to some South American states to pay for raw materials. More went to some South American states to pay for raw materials.

By the 1940s, this had emerged as the Obice da 75/18 modello 34, a sound and thoroughly useful light howitzer that was intended for the mountain role and could be broken down into eight loads for transport. In the interests of standardization and logistics it was decided that the 75/18 was just what was required as the light howitzer component of the normal field batteries, and thus the weapon was ordered for them as well, but this time with a more orthodox carriage with no provision for being broken down into loads. This field version became the Obice da 75/18 modello 35.

The modello 35 was ordered into full-scale production but like its contemporary, the modello 37 gun, could not be produced in the numbers required. This was despite the fact that the carriage used by the modello 35 howitzer had many features in common with the later modello 37 gun, and the same barrel and recoil mechanism as that used for the mountain howitzer was carried over to the field howitzer design.

The supply situation was not eased in any way by the need for the Italians to sell the modello 35 abroad in order to obtain foreign currency. In 1940 a sizable batch was sold to Portugal, and more went to some South American states to pay for raw materials. More production capacity was diverted to the production of versions for use on various forms of Italian semovente (self-propelled) carriages, but very few of these ever reached the troops. Those that did proved to be as efficient as any of the comparable German Sturmgeschütze (assault guns).

After 1943 the Germans took the modello 35 under their control as swiftly as they took over the rest of the available Italian gun parks, and the little howitzers took on a new guise as the 7.5-cm leFH 255(i).
When Italy emerged from World War I its economy, never particularly sound, was in no state to support a rearmament programme, and thus the weapons of World War I were built out by reparations from the Austro-Hungarian Empire, and the army was otherwise left to cope with what it already had. By the 1930s even the large numbers of weapons at hand were seen to be no real answer to the numbers required. There was quite simply no industrial potential to spare to produce the guns and all the raw materials, or at least the bulk of them, had to be imported. Thus gun production had to get under way at a time when all other arms of the Italian forces were in the process of rearmament; the air force was given a far higher degree of priority than the artillery, and the Italian navy was also absorbing a large proportion of the few available manufacturing and raw material resources. So demand for the modello 37 constantly exceeded supply, and by 1943 most of the Italian artillery park was still made up of weapons that dated from World War I or even earlier.

In 1943 the Italians changed sides. The Germans had already noted the finer points of the modello 37 and as the Italian nation withdrew from the Axis the guns were widely moved in to take over the Italian armoury, or at least as much of it as they could lay their hands on. In this grab for possession large numbers of modello 37s on the Italian mainland changed their designation to 7.5-cm FK 248(i). The Germans used their booty until the war ended, not only in Italy but also in the confused campaigns against Yugoslavia partisan forces.

### Specification
- **Cannone da 75/32 modello 37**
- **Calibre:** 75 mm (2.95 in)
- **Length of piece:** 2,574 m (101.3 in)
- **Weight:** travelling 1250 kg (2,756 lb) and in action 1816 kg (4,004 lb)
- **Elevation:** -10° to +45°
- **Traverse:** 8°

### Specification
- **100-mm houfnice vz 30**
- **Calibre:** 100 mm (3.93 in)
- **Length of piece:** 3,060 m (120.47 in)
- **Weight:** travelling 2977 kg (6,564 lb) and in action 1766 kg (3,894 lb)
- **Elevation:** -8° to +80°
- **Traverse:** 8°

The Skoda 76.5-mm kanon vz 30 and 100-mm houfnice vz 30 was another Ansaldo design, and was a good modern weapon that could stand comparison with any of its contemporaries. Its main fault for the Italian army was that there was never enough of them. After 1943 the Germans took over as many as they could find for their own use.

### Specification
- **100-mm vz 30**
- **Calibre:** 100 mm (3.93 in)
- **Length of piece:** 2,5 m (98.4 in)
- **Weight:** travelling 3077 kg (6,785 lb) and in action 1816 kg (4,004 lb)
- **Elevation:** -8° to +80°
- **Traverse:** 8°

### Specification
- **100-mm vz 30**
- **Calibre:** 100 mm (3.93 in)
- **Length of piece:** 3,060 m (120.47 in)
- **Weight:** travelling 2977 kg (6,564 lb) and in action 1816 kg (4,004 lb)
- **Elevation:** -8° to +80°
- **Traverse:** 8°

Muzzle velocity: 600 m (1,968 ft) per second

- **Range:** 1305 m (14,770 yards)
- **Weight:** 8.6 kg (19.0 lb)

**100-mm vz 30**
- **Calibre:** 100 mm (3.93 in)
- **Length of piece:** 2,5 m (98.4 in)
- **Weight:** travelling 3077 kg (6,785 lb) and in action 1816 kg (4,004 lb)
- **Elevation:** -8° to +80°
- **Traverse:** 8°

Muzzle velocity: 430 m (1,410 ft) per second

- **Range:** 16000 m (17,500 yards)
- **Weight:** 16 kg (35.2 lb)

When the Austro-Hungarian Empire vanished in the aftermath of World War I, the new state of Czechoslovakia was left with a manufacturing complex at Pilsen. Consequently the Czech state became a major supplier of all manner of arms to the Central European nations, but in the years after 1919 the arms market was still staked with the residue of World War I. The only way to break into the market was to offer something that was not already in the market and by 1928 the Skoda gun designers decided that they had found such a breakthrough.

What the Skoda designers discovered was that there was a definite market for a gun that would be all things to all men. Their suggestion was for a field gun with a high angle of barrel elevation that would enable it to be used as an anti-aircraft gun, or as an alternative act as a useful mountain artillery piece. The result was a more than adequate field gun and howitzer combination to suit the standard Czech calibre requirements, resulting in the 76.5-mm kanon vz 30. The 100-mm houfnice vz 30 was fitted with a new pattern of rubber-tyred wheels and the result was a more than adequate field gun and howitzer combination to suit the field batteries of the Czech army.

These weapons never got a chance to prove their worth in Czech hands. The events of 1938 and 1939 meant that the Germans were able to take over the large Czech army gun parks and the assets of the Skoda complex at Pilsen without a shot being fired. All the Czech guns and the bulk of the various export models eventually found their way into German army service and Skoda was forced to supply ammunition, spares and even more guns for German army requirements. In German service the 7.65-cm FK 30(t) field guns and 10-cm leFH 30(t) howitzers were used by all manner of units from front-line batteries to beach defence positions on the Atlantic Wall. They provided excellent service wherever they were, but not as anti-aircraft guns.

**Muzzle velocity:** 600 m (1,968 ft) per second

- **Range:** 1305 m (14,770 yards)
- **Weight:** 8.6 kg (19.0 lb)

**Muzzle velocity:** 430 m (1,410 ft) per second

- **Range:** 16000 m (17,500 yards)
- **Weight:** 16 kg (35.2 lb)
Skoda 100-mm houfnice vz 14 and houfnice vz 14/19

In the days of the Austro-Hungarian Empire, the name of Skoda ranked only to that of Krupp in European armaments manufacture, and many of the old European nations armed themselves almost entirely with weapons produced at the massive Skoda works at Pilsen. By 1914 Skoda's designs were as good as any produced anywhere, and the range of weapon products was greater than most as Skoda also specialized in mountain guns. One of its products was a 100-mm (3.93-in) mountain howitzer mounted on a special carriage that could be broken down into loads for carrying over difficult terrain, and this weapon attracted the attention of many armies. Unfortunately they did not like the idea of the special carriage which was heavier than many would want for field artillery use so a new field carriage was produced. This was the 100-mm houfnice vz 14.

The vz 14 was destined to be used mainly in Central Europe, which received large numbers in the upheavals of the break-up of the empire in 1918 and 1919. The type became a standard weapon of the entrance of the Obice da 100/17 modello 14, and was still in service in 1940 in large numbers. The numbers involved were so large that the Italian army produced their own spare parts and ammunition. The type saw action in North Africa and served with Italian units on the Eastern Front alongside the Germans. But in 1943 the Italians withdrew from the conflict and their modello 14 howitzers were taken over by the German forces and remained in use until 1945 under the designation 10-cm leFH 315 (i), supplementing similar weapons taken over from the Austrians as the 10-cm leFH 14(0). The type was also in service with the Polish and Romanian armies.

When Skoda resumed production for its new Czech owners the vz 14 was one of the first weapons placed back into production. However, the opportunity was taken to modernize the design, the main change being to the barrel length which was increased from 19 calibres (L/19) to 24 calibres (L/24), i.e. the length of the barrel was increased to 24 times that of the calibre (10prmx24 for 2400mm/78.8 in). This improved the range, and new amounts was also introduced to provide the new design, now known as the 100-mm houfnice vz 14/19, with an improved all-round performance. The vz 14/19 was soon in demand and numbers were exported to Greece, Hungary, Poland (Haubica wz 1914/1919) and Yugoslavia (M. 1914/19). Italy also acquired the parts to modernize a proportion of its modello 14s to the vz 14/19 as one of its standard field pieces. All in all the vz 14/19 became one of the most important Central European field pieces, and by 1939 the howitzer was in service in numbers that ran into the thousands. It was a stout weapon with few design frills and it was capable of prolonged hard use. Many Italian examples were fitted with rubber-tyred wheels for motor traction (Obice da 100/24) but even after 1939 many examples retained their original spiked wheels and were pulled into action by horse teams.

After 1940 many vz 14/19s passed into German army service. The Czech army stocks had by then already passed into German hands as a result of the take-overs of 1938 and 1939 and the vz 14/19 was widely used during the French campaign of May- June 1940 as the 10-cm leFH 14/19(0). Many more were used during the initial stages of the invasion of the Soviet Union during 1941 but thereafter the vz 14/19s were gradually relegated to second-line use and many were incorporated into the Atlantic Wall defences where they remained until 1945. Examples taken over from Greece were 10-cm leFH 318(g), those from Poland 10-cm leFH 14/19(p) and those from Yugoslavia 10-cm leFH 3160).

**Japanese Field Gun Type 38 (Improved)**

Field Gun Type 38 (Improved) was a title given by Western intelligence agencies to a field gun that was in widespread use with the Japanese field batteries between 1935 and 1945. The gun had its origins in a Krupp design that was obtained for licence production as far back as 1905. This was the original Type 38, and during World War I the Japanese had observed enough of artillery developments elsewhere to be able to make improvements to the original design.

Perhaps the most obvious of these Japanese innovations was the introduction of a form of box trail in place of the original Krupp pole trail. This innovation made possible extra elevation, and thus range was increased accordingly. Other alterations were made to the trunnions of the barrel on its cradle, and yet more minor changes were made to the recoil mechanism. Although the updated gun was given the full title Field Gun Type 38 (Improved) by the Allies, by 1941 few, if any, of the Type 38 guns had been left unmodified, so the extra terminology was soon dropped.

Despite the changes introduced to the Type 38 by the Japanese, the overall design was unremarkable, and the overall performance was also unimpressive. Throughout its service life the gun was never adapted for vehicle traction, so horse or mule teams were used right up to 1945. In appearance the gun was archaic, and it was indeed a design relic of a former era, mainly by the Italian army, but in 1943 the Itali-ans withdrew from the conflict and their modello 14 howitzers were taken over by the German forces and remained in use until 1945 under the designation 10-cm leFH 315 (i), supplementing similar weapons taken over from the Austrians as the 10-cm leFH 14(0). The type was also in service with the Polish and Romanian armies.

When Skoda resumed production for its new Czech owners the vz 14 was one of the first weapons placed back into production. However, the opportunity was taken to modernize the design, the main change being to the barrel length which was increased from 19 calibres (L/19) to 24 calibres (L/24), i.e., the length of the barrel was increased to 24 times that of the calibre (10prmx24 for 2400mm/78.8 in). This improved the range, and new amounts was also introduced to provide the new design, now known as the 100-mm houfnice vz 14/19, with an improved all-round performance.

Field Gun Type 38 (Improved) was a title given by Western intelligence agencies to a field gun that was in widespread use with the Japanese field batteries between 1935 and 1945. The gun had its origins in a Krupp design that was obtained for licence production as far back as 1905. This was the original Type 38, and during World War I the Japanese had observed enough of artillery developments elsewhere to be able to make improvements to the original design. Perhaps the most obvious of these Japanese innovations was the introduction of a form of box trail in place of the original Krupp pole trail. This innovation made possible extra elevation, and thus range was increased accordingly. Other alterations were made to the trunnions of the barrel on its cradle, and yet more minor changes were made to the recoil mechanism. Although the updated gun was given the full title Field Gun Type 38 (Improved) by the Allies, by 1941 few, if any, of the Type 38 guns had been left unmodified, so the extra terminology was soon dropped.

**JAPAN**

75-mm Field Gun Type 38 (Improved)

Field Gun Type 38 (Improved) was a title given by Western intelligence agencies to a field gun that was in widespread use with the Japanese field batteries between 1935 and 1945. The gun had its origins in a Krupp design that was obtained for licence production as far back as 1905. This was the original Type 38, and during World War I the Japanese had observed enough of artillery developments elsewhere to be able to make improvements to the original design. Perhaps the most obvious of these Japanese innovations was the introduction of a form of box trail in place of the original Krupp pole trail. This innovation made possible extra elevation, and thus range was increased accordingly. Other alterations were made to the trunnions of the barrel on its cradle, and yet more minor changes were made to the recoil mechanism. Although the updated gun was given the full title Field Gun Type 38 (Improved) by the Allies, by 1941 few, if any, of the Type 38 guns had been left unmodified, so the extra terminology was soon dropped.

The Japanese Field Gun Type 38 dated back to a Krupp design of 1905, but by World War II it had been modernized in several respects to obtain the (Improved) designation. It was an unremarkable gun, but the Japanese were short of artillery production facilities that the type was kept in service until 1945.

**Specifications**

**Field Gun Type 38 (Improved)**

- **Calibre:** 75 mm (2.95 in)
- **Length of piece:** 2.286 m (90 in)
- **Weight:** travelling 1910 kg (4,211 lb) and in action 1505 kg (3,318 lb)
- **Elevation:** -7.5° to +48°
- **Traverse:** 7°
- **Muzzle velocity:** 603 m (1,978 ft) per second
- **Range:** 9970 m (10,907 yards)
- **Shell weight:** 14 kg (30.87 lb)

**The Japanese Field Gun Type 38 was one of the better field weapons of the old Austro-Hungarian Empire during World War I, and went on to serve with many armies in World War II. By then it had been updated to the vz 14/19 standard by several modifications.**

**The Skoda 100-mm houfnice vz/4 was one of the better field weapons of the old Austro-Hungarian Empire during World War I, and when it had been updated to the vz 14/19 standard by several modifications.**

**Field Gun Type 38 (Improved) was a title given by Western intelligence agencies to a field gun that was in widespread use with the Japanese field batteries between 1935 and 1945. The gun had its origins in a Krupp design that was obtained for licence production as far back as 1905. This was the original Type 38, and during World War I the Japanese had observed enough of artillery developments elsewhere to be able to make improvements to the original design. Perhaps the most obvious of these Japanese innovations was the introduction of a form of box trail in place of the original Krupp pole trail. This innovation made possible extra elevation, and thus range was increased accordingly. Other alterations were made to the trunnions of the barrel on its cradle, and yet more minor changes were made to the recoil mechanism. Although the updated gun was given the full title Field Gun Type 38 (Improved) by the Allies, by 1941 few, if any, of the Type 38 guns had been left unmodified, so the extra terminology was soon dropped.**
The gun that was to become one of the most famous of all British artillery pieces had its origins in operational analysis after World War I that indicated that it would be possible to provide the Royal Artillery with a light field piece that could combine the attributes of a gun and a howitzer. Some development work on this concept was carried out in the 1920s and 1930s, but funds for the project were very limited and it was not until the mid-1930s that the go-ahead was given to develop the new weapon to replace the British Army’s ageing stock of 18-pdr field guns and 114-mm (4.5-in) howitzers.

Since there were large stocks of the old 18-pdr guns still around in the 1930s the Treasury dictated that some way would have to be found to use them. From this came the Ordnance, O.P., 25-pdr Mk 1, which was a new barrel placed on an 18-pdr carriage, and it was with this gun that the BEF went to war in 1939. The old carriages had been updated with new pneumatic wheels and other changes (some even had split trails), but the 25-pdr Mk 1 had little chance to shine before most of them were lost at Dunkirk.

By then the 25-pdr Mk 2 on Carriage 25-pdr Mk 1 was on the scene. This was a purpose-built weapon that was intended to be the full replacement for the old pieces, and was among the first examples of what can now be described as a gun-howitzer. It used an ammunition system with variable charges but could be used for lower-register firing with no loss in efficiency. The barrel itself was orthodox and used a heavy vertical sliding breech mechanism, but the carriage had some unusual features. It was a humped box trail carried on a circular firing table that enabled one man to make large changes of traverse angle easily and quickly. The design was intended from the start for powered traction, the usual tractor being one of the large ‘Quad’ family.

Almost as soon as the first 25-pdr guns saw action in North Africa they were pressed into use as anti-tank guns. The little 2-pdr anti-tank gun proved to be useless against the Afrika Korps’ tanks, and the 25-pdr had to be used as there was nothing else to hand. It was then that the circular firing table came into its own, for the guns could be rapidly moved from target to target, but the 25-pdr had to rely on shell power alone for its effects as there was no armour-piercing ammunition. Such a round was developed, but it entailed the use of a muzzle brake, and in this form the 25-pdr was used throughout the rest of World War II.

Some changes were made to the carriage design to suit local requirements. A narrower version was developed for jungle and airborne warfare (25-pdr Mk 2 on Carriage 25-pdr Mk 2) and a version with a hinged trail (25-pdr Mk 2 on Carriage 25-pdr Mk 3) was produced to increase elevation for hill warfare. The Australians produced a drastic revision for pack transport, and there was even a naval version mooted at one time. The 25-pdr went ‘self-propelled’ in the Canadian Sexton carriage and there were numerous trial and experimental versions, one classic expedient being the stopgap mounting of 17-pdr anti-tank barrels on 25-pdr carriages. Captured examples were designated 8.76-cm FK 280(e) by the Germans.

The 25-pdr provided sterling service wherever it was used. It had a useful range, and the gun and carriage proved capable of absorbing all manner of punishment and hard use. It remained in service with numerous armies for many years after 1945 and is still in service with many. The 25-pdr was one of those artillery pieces that will go down in history as a ‘classic’, and many gunners remember the weapon with what might almost be termed affection.

**Specification**

Ordnance, Q.F., 25-pdr Mk 2

- Calibre: 87.6 mm (3.45 in)
- Length of piece: 2.40 m (94.5 in)
- Weight: travelling and in action 1800 kg (3,968 lb)
- Elevation: -5° to +40°
- Traverse on carriage: 8°
- Muzzle velocity: 532 m (1,745 ft) per second
- Range: 12253 m (13,400 yards)
- Shell weight: 11.34 kg (25 lb)

25-pdr s on a training range are manned by Canadian gunners, the 25-pdr being the standard field gun for many Commonwealth armies. This photograph probably dates from mid-1943.
The German army had chosen the calibre of 105 mm (4.134 in) for its standard field howitzers well before World War I, and then stuck with it. During World War I the standard field howitzer had been the 10.5-cm leFH 16 (leichte FeldHaubitze, or light field howitzer) which used the same carriage as the then-standard 7.7-cm FK 16. After 1918 numbers of these howitzers remained with the rump of the German army and were used to train the generation of gunners who were to be the battery commanders and NCOs of World War II.

The operational analysis carried out by German war planners during the 1920s indicated that in future conflicts a 105-mm (4.13-in) projectile would be far more effective than the 75-mm (2.95-in) equivalent for no great cost in delivery system weight, that is the artillery piece involved. Thus they plumped for a new 105-mm (4.13-in) howitzer, and design work started as early as 1928-9. Rheinmetall was the project leader, and the result of its efforts was ready for service in 1935. The new weapon was the 10.5-cm leFH 18, a conventional and sound howitzer with a useful projectile weight and adequate range. If there was a fault with the leFH 18 it was that it was so soundly constructed that it was rather heavy, but as motor traction was expected to provide the bulk of the pulling power that was no great disadvantage, at least in theory. The leFH 18 became a valuable export item, and numbers were sold to Spain, Hungary, Portugal and some South American nations; large numbers also came off the production lines to equip the expanding German forces.

As ever the gunners were soon asking for more range, and as a result an increased propellant charge was introduced for the leFH 18. This dictated the introduction of a muzzle brake which meant a change of designation to 10.5-cm leFH 18(M), the suffix denoting Mundungbremsen, or muzzle brake. The introduction of this muzzle attachment meant that a special sabot sub-calibre 88-mm (3.46-in) projectile could not be fired until a new revised design was introduced slightly later. Thus the leFH 18 series went to war and proved itself efficient enough until the winter campaign in the Soviet Union took its toll in 1941-2. During the thaws involved in that campaign large numbers of 105-mm (4.13-in) howitzers were lost because the weights involved were too great for the available towing vehicles to drag weapons clear of the all-prevailing mud. Thus the overweight howitzers showed their disadvantage with a vengeance, and a hurried search for some form of alternative carriage then began.

The result was an unsatisfactory improvisation. The carriage of the 7.5-cm Pak 40 anti-tank gun was simply taken as the new mount for the leFH 18(M) gun, its associated cradle and the large shield. The result was slightly lighter than the original (but not by very much), and the improvised arrangement gave constant problems that were never properly eradicated. It was intended that the new howitzer/carriage combination, designated 10.5-cm leFH 18/40, would become the standard field howitzer for all the German army, but this never happened and in 1945 even the old FH 16 was still in the line.

### Specification

**10.5-cm leFH 18/40**

| Calibre: 105 mm (4.134 in) |
| Length of piece: 3.31 m (130.23 in) |
| Weight: travelling and in action 1955 kg (4,310 lb) |
| Elevation: -5° to +42° |
| Traverse: 60° |
| Muzzle velocity: 540 m (1,770 ft) per second |
| Range: 12325 m (13,478 yards) |
| Shell weight: 14.81 kg (32.65 lb) |
Almost as soon as the German army began to adopt new field guns in the late 19th century they adopted the calibre of 77 mm (3.03 in) as their standard field gun calibre. In 1896 they introduced the Cannone, or new model, and among the changes that emerged from this study was the adoption of a new standard calibre of 75 mm (2.95 in); this calibre was (and still is) a standard field gun ammunition calibre, so the Germans were following a well trodden path. The Versailles Treaty had left the rump of the German army with a stockpile of the old FK 16s, so in order to modernize these guns they were rebarrelled with new 75-mm (2.95-in) barrels. The guns were then known as the 7.5-cm FK 16 nA, with the nA denoting neuer Artillerie, or new model.

The rebarrelled guns were issued during 1934, initially to horse-drawn batteries supporting cavalry units. The Germans continued to use horse cavalry units until 1945, but by then the FK 16 nA had fallen out of use for it was really a relic of a past era, and was as such too heavy and lacking in mobility for the cavalry role. Instead many were relegated to the training role or were issued to various second-line units. Large numbers were still in service when the war ended, and one fired its way into history when it held up an Allied armoured formation for some time during the fighting near the Normandy beach-heads in June 1944. That particular gun was not destroyed until it had knocked out at least 10 Allied tanks.

Even while the rebarrelling of the old FK 16s was taking place, there was a call for a new design of cavalry gun to be produced. Consequently not many of these guns were produced and for a while the new gun, known as the 10.5-cm leFK 18 (leichte Feldkanone, or light field gun), and it had such modern features as a split trail carriage to increase the on-carriage traverse (so useful for anti-armoured warfare) and a range of ammunition that included a hollow-charge warhead for use against tanks. The leFK 18 was judged to be a great success, its range was less than that of the weapon it was intended to replace, and the complex carriage made it an expensive and difficult item to produce. Consequently not many were produced and the emphasis for field artillery calibres changed to the 10.5 cm (4.134 in). However, the leFK 18 was kept in production for export sales to gain influence and foreign currency. Some sales were made to various South American countries and in one of them (Brazil) the leFK 18 is still in limited use.

**Specification**

**leFK 18**
- Calibre: 7.5 mm (2.95 in)
- Length of piece: 1.94 m (76.4 in)
- Weight: travelling 2415 kg (5,324 lb)
- Elevation: -9° to +44°
- Traverse: 4°
- Muzzle velocity: 662 m (2,172 ft) per second.
- Range: 12875 m (14,080 yards)
- Shell weight: 5.83 kg (12.85 lb)

**10.5-cm Kanone 18 and 18/40**

Among the post-war requirements for a new German artillery park to replace the lost relics of World War I was the need for a new long-range gun for use by corps rather than field artillery batteries. This project was one of the very first put out to the underground German armaments industry, for by 1926 both Krupp and Rheineckal had produced specimen designs and by 1928 both were ready with prototype hardware.

As it turned out the German army could not decide which design to approve; in the end it compromised by accepting the Rheinmetall barrel and the Krupp carriage. The Krupp carriage was destined to become one of the most widely used of all the German artillery carriages, for it was the same as that used on the larger 15-cm sFH 18 howitzer series. It was 1934 before the first guns actually reached the troops and for a while the new gun, known as the 10.5-cm K 18 (Kanone, or gun), was the standard weapon of the medium artillery batteries.

This state of affairs did not last long for the choice of 10.5-cm (4.134-in) calibre for a medium gun was to prove a happy one. In a nutshell the gun is too heavy and lacking in mobility for the cavalry role. Instead many were relegated to the training role or were issued to various second-line units. Large numbers were still in service when the war ended, and one fired its way into history when it held up an Allied armoured formation for some time during the fighting near the Normandy beach-heads in June 1944. That particular gun was not destroyed until it had knocked out at least 10 Allied tanks.

Even while the rebarrelling of the old FK 16s was taking place, there was a call for a new design of cavalry gun to be produced. Consequently not many of these guns were produced and for a while the new gun, known as the 10.5-cm leFK 18 (leichte Feldkanone, or light field gun), and it had such modern features as a split trail carriage to increase the on-carriage traverse (so useful for anti-armoured warfare) and a range of ammunition that included a hollow-charge warhead for use against tanks. The leFK 18 was judged to be a great success, its range was less than that of the weapon it was intended to replace, and the complex carriage made it an expensive and difficult item to produce. Consequently not many were produced and the emphasis for field artillery calibres changed to the 10.5 cm (4.134 in). However, the leFK 18 was kept in production for export sales to gain influence and foreign currency. Some sales were made to various South American countries and in one of them (Brazil) the leFK 18 is still in limited use.

**Specification**

**leFK 18**
- Calibre: 75 mm (2.95 in)
- Length of piece: 2.70 m (106.3 in)
- Weight: travelling 2415 kg (5,324 lb)
- Elevation: -9° to +44°
- Traverse: 30°
- Muzzle velocity: 485 m (1,590 ft) per second
- Range: 9425 m (10,310 yards)
- Shell weight: 5.83 kg (12.85 lb)

A 10.5-cm K18 stands in splendid isolation in the middle of an abandoned German field position in the Western Desert. In the background is one of the famous '88' Flakguns, giving an indication that the position was intended to be some form of strongpoint, advantage in its long range, even if the projectile weight was still rather low. To enable it to be used to greater advantage when firing at marine targets a new range of ammunition was introduced, among which was a special sea marker shell for ranging purposes.
The family of field guns based on the old Russian 00/02 design are among those which have provided excellent service over a long period. They are still hardly known outside the Soviet Union, but they were used throughout two world wars (and in a great number of other conflicts as well) and have all played their part in world history.

The original gun in the series was the Russian 76.2-mm Field Gun Model 00, produced in 1900 by Putilov. The origins of the Model 00 may have been in a Krupp design, for the Russian weapon never had many of the current Krupp features, but by 1902 the full production model, the Model 00/02 was being issued to the Tsarist armies. The type was used throughout the many large-scale campaigns on the Eastern Front in World War I, and throughout them all was used in an un-spectacular but effective manner.

After the upheavals of 1918 the Model 00/02 was retained by the new Red Army but were either sold or handed over to some of the new Baltic States and such nations under Soviet influence such as Finland, Poland and Germany which they proceeded to convert from the original 76.2-mm (3-in) to 75-mm (2.95-in) calibre to match the rest of their French-supplied equipments. The Poles knew the gun as the armata wz 02/26, and it was still in service when Germany attacked in 1939, examples passing into German service being designated 75-cm FK 02/26(p).

In the Soviet Union the Red Army decided to modernize its large but elderly gun stocks and the Model 00/02 was an early candidate for the process. In 1930 most of the in-service guns were updated by the introduction of new ammunition, better propellants and in some cases new barrels. To confuse matters some guns retained their original IV30 barrels while others were fitted with entirely new IV40 barrels. Both of these modernized guns were then known as the Model 02/30, and became two of the standard Red Army artillery field pieces. Large numbers were in use from 1941, and the Germans in turn took over the types as two of their own standard field weapons 7.62-cm FK 295/30(r) for the L/30 and 7.62-cm FK 295/2(r) for the L/40. The guns were later relegated to the usual round of second-line units and Atlantic Wall beach-defence purposes.

The Model 02/30 was not used only by the Red Army. Numbers found their way all over the world, especially in the years following 1945 when many started to appear in the Far East. Large numbers were handed over to the Communist Chinese, who used them both against their Nationalist foes and later against the United Nations forces in Korea. The type turned up again in the hands of the Viet Minh in Indochina and it may be doubted if the last has yet been heard of this gun.

For all its longevity and variety of forms, the Model 00/02 and Model 02/30 were entirely orthodox guns in almost every way. Most never lost their original spoked wheels or their simple box trails, and the majority appear to have retained their gun shields throughout their service lives. They must have been produced in thousands, but perhaps the greatest reasons for their longevity were their essential simplicity and design to meet the worst rigours of the Russian terrain and climate. Any weapon that could resist them could stand up to virtually anything.

### Specification

**Model 00/02**

- **Calibre:** 76.2 mm
- **Length of piece:** 2,286 m (90 in)
- **Range:** 12,400 m (13,565 yards)
- **Weight:** In action 1220 kg (2,700 lb)
- **Muzzle velocity:** 646 m (2,119 ft) per second
- **Traverse:** 5° to +37°

**Model 02/30**

- **Calibre:** 76.2 mm
- **Length of piece:** 2,286 m (90 in)
- **Range:** 12,400 m (13,565 yards)
- **Weight:** In action 1220 kg (2,700 lb)
- **Muzzle velocity:** 646 m (2,119 ft) per second
- **Traverse:** 5° to +37°

Soviet field guns were captured in huge numbers during the early stages of the war in the east, and with Germany having a huge requirement for weapons both to continue the war and to control occupied territories many of these captured weapons were pressed into service. This 76.2-mm field gun is on the Atlantic Wall.

### Conclusion

By the early 1930s the Red Army artillery was beginning aware that its stock of field pieces was falling behind those of the rest of Europe in power and efficiency, and so in the early 1930s the USSR began a programme for new weapons. One early attempt, made in 1933, was the placing of a new 76.2-mm (3-in) barrel on the carriage of a 107-mm (4-in) field gun, but this was intended only as a stopgap until the introduction of what was intended to be one of the best all-round field guns in the world.

The new gun was introduced in 1936, and was thus known as the 76.2-mm Field Gun Model 1936, usually known as the 76-36. It was an excellent design that made quite an impression on artillery designers elsewhere when the details became known over the next few years. The 76-36 had a very long and slender barrel mounted on a deceptively simple split-trail carriage that provided a wide angle of traverse. This wide angle had been deliberately designed into the gun by the early 1930s to allow the Red Army's anti-tank defence philosophy had been formulated to the extent where every gun and howitzer in the Soviet armoury had to have its own inherent anti-tank capability. Even when firing a standard high explosive shell the 76-36 had a powerful anti-armour effect, and this factor was a constant benefit throughout the service life of the gun.

The 76-36 first saw active service in Finland in the Winter War of 1939-1940. It performed effectively enough in this campaign, but in its second major deployment it did not fare so well. The second campaign was the
invasion of the Soviet Union by Germany, in which it was not so much that the 76-36s did not perform well but rather that they had little chance to do anything. The advancing German armies moved so fast that whole Soviet armies were cut off and destroyed. Huge numbers of 76-36s fell into German hands and, more disastrously for the Soviets, the Germans also captured a great deal of the manufacturing plant that produced the guns. Thus almost the whole Red Army stock of 76-36 guns was lost within a very short time.

German artillery experts swarmed over the captured guns. They took measurements, carried out their own firing trials and came up with two suggestions. One was that the 76-36 should become a standard German field gun, 76.2-mm F.K. 296(r) as there was enough ammunition to hand to make them useful for some time, and long-term plans were laid to produce more ammunition in Germany. The second suggestion was also acted upon. That was to convert the 76-36 into a specialized anti-tank gun for use against even the most powerfully armoured Soviet tanks, and this suggestion was also implemented. Large numbers of 76-36 guns were taken to Germany, and there modified to take new ammunition for the guns to become the 7.62-cm Pak 36(r), one of the best all-round anti-tank guns of World War II. The changes for the anti-tank role also involved some on-carrige changes (such as all the fire control wheels being used by the layer instead of the original two men) and a few other modifications. Thus a Soviet field gun ended up being used just as much by the Germans as by the Red Army. With the disruption in production imposed by the German advances the 76-36 was never put back into full production, although spare parts were made in a few places for use on the few 76-36s remaining in Red Army hands. By 1944 the 76-36 was no longer a Red Army weapon, for they had by then a new gun in service.

**Specification**

Field Gun Model 1936

- Calibre: 76.2 mm (3 in)
- Length of piece: 3,895 m (153.3 in)
- Weight: travelling and in action 1,020 kg (2,247 lb)
- Elevation: -5° to +75°
- Traverse: 60°
- Muzzle velocity: 706 m (2,316 ft) per second
- Range: 13,850 m (15,145 yards)
- Shell weight: 6.4 kg (14.1 lb)

With much of their artillery production facilities lost to the advancing German forces during 1941, the Soviet staff planners had some difficult decisions to make. Vast stockpiles of weapons of all kinds had been lost to the Germans and in order to make new weapons production capacity had to be hurriedly improvised in outlying areas where factories did not even exist. One factor in the Soviet's favour was that their weapon design bureaux were inherently conservative and made few innovations, depending rather on the gradual evolution of design and on the practice of using a new gun or carriage in conjunction with an existing carriage or gun.

This practice served the Soviets well after 1941, for in 1939 they had introduced a new gun known as the 76.2-mm Field Gun Model 1936, or 76-39. This was introduced mainly because it was realized that good as the 76-36 was, it was really too bulky and a smaller design was thus desirable. The 76-39 used a shorter barrel on the carriage derived from that of the 76-36. When the Germans struck in 1941 they did not capture the main plant for 76-39 barrels, though they did take the carriage for the 76-36. Thus it was possible to use the barrel and recoil mechanism of the 76-39 on a new carriage to allow production to once more get underway. As a result was the 76.2-mm Field Gun Model 1942, later known as the 76-42 or Zis-3.

The 76-42 was to achieve fame by being produced in greater numbers than any other gun during World War II. It was produced in its thousands, and if this had not been enough it turned out to be an excellent all-round weapon capable of being used not only as a field gun but an anti-tank gun, a form of tank gun and a self-propelled gun. The new carriage was a very simple but sturdy affair using split pole trails and a simple flat shield. The gun assembly was modified to take a muzzle brake to reduce firing stresses and keep the carriage as light as possible and throughout the design process emphasis was given to ease of mass production. Once in action the 76-42 proved light and easy to handle, and it also had excellent range. To simplify the Red Army's logistic load the ammunition was ruthlessly standardized to the point where the 76-42 used the same types of ammunition as the 76.2-mm (3-in) guns carried by the T-34 tanks and many other similar guns. Only two basic types of projectile were used in World War II: namely HE and AP (though smoke was fired on occasion).

The 76-42 was produced in such large numbers that it remains in service with some nations to this day. Examples were encountered in Korea and Indo-China, and the gun is still widely used in Africa and the Far East. The 76-42 has been widely issued to various guerrilla groups such as the PLO and SWAPO in South West Africa, and there seems to be no time-limit on its active life.

Numerous attempts were made to mount the 76-42 on various self-propelled carriages but only one was ever produced in any quantity. This was the SU-76, another ex-Soviet weapon that is still in widespread service.

**Specification**

Field Gun Model 1942

- Calibre: 76.2 mm (3 in)
- Length of piece: 3,246 m (127.8 in)
- Weight: travelling and in action 1,120 kg (2,470 lb)
- Elevation: -5° to +37°
- Traverse: 54°
- Muzzle velocity: 706 m (2,230 ft) per second
- Range: 13,215 m (14,450 yards)
- Shell weight: 6.21 kg (13.7 lb)

A 76.2-mm Field Gun Model 1942, or Zis-3, in action in the ruins of the Tractor Works in Stalingrad during the ferocious fighting in the winter of 1942-3. Both sides discovered that this gun had a very good anti-tank capability.
Heavy Anti-Aircraft Guns

The dramatic rise in the power of aircraft between the wars saw many areas formerly safe from battle come under threat. While the major counter to high-altitude bombing was the defending fighter, ground forces also had a part to play, notably centred around the anti-aircraft gun.

World War II was the scene of the last large-scale use of the heavy anti-aircraft gun - it was also its heyday. The weapon had been born during World War I, but by 1939 the heavy anti-aircraft gun was basically the same as that used in 1918, along with the fire-control systems which were hardly more advanced in 1939 than they had been in 1918. Although the guns appeared to be similar to the World War I weapons they had in fact been considerably advanced in performance: more powerful charges fired larger and more effective projectiles to greater heights than ever before and at much higher muzzle velocities. Their carriages had also been updated.

Here and there some leftovers from World War I had survived, especially among the French 75mm (2.95in) guns. But by 1939 many of the guns in service were no longer the hasty improvisations of 1918 and earlier, but purpose-designed and purpose-built weapons of considerable power. Upon them fell the brunt of the defence of cities and field armies against air attack, and the same guns defended the important centres of communication and production. At many and diverse locations these guns stood and waited for an enemy which often never arrived, but elsewhere the enemy came in droves and the heavy anti-aircraft guns were in action for as long as their crews could load them.

Among the guns discussed here is one that has by now become almost a legend, namely the German '88'. This famous gun earned its reputation outside its design spectrum as an anti-armour weapon, but all its details are provided here along with accounts of its use in action. Nevertheless, as will be seen, the '88' was not endowed with magical powers; nor did it have a specification that made it differ from many other weapons in this book. It was simply the way it was used that attracted so much notoriety. Many other guns could have been used in a similar way against armour but their owners were either not so inclined or not organized to use anti-aircraft guns against land targets. They were used instead for the role for which they were designed, namely the engagement of aircraft targets in defence of a locality or installation. Most of them were able to carry out this task more than adequately, and certainly as well as any German '88'.

The combatting of raiding bombers was the task of anti-aircraft guns, such as these Soviet 85mm (3.34in) examples, a design highly prized by the Germans who sent captured pieces to the Reich.
Between the two world wars the Italian armaments industry produced many good designs, but not many got to the hardware stage for the Italian economy was constrained, then as now, by an overall shortage of raw materials of every kind. Thus before any new weapon design was introduced into service it had to be studied carefully to ensure that it was as good a design as possible to justify the expenditure involved. So when Ansaldo produced a new anti-aircraft gun in 1926 it was examined over a long period before production was authorized, and it was not until 1934 that the gun was actually in service.

The new gun was the Cannone da 75/46 C.A. modello 34 (75/46 denoting the calibre of 75 mm and the barrel length of 46 calibres). In overall design the 75/46 was a sound though unremarkable effort that owed much to the influence of the contemporary Vickers designs produced in the United Kingdom. This was especially apparent in the carriage design, with a central pivot on which the gun saddle swivelled and a cruciform platform arrangement. On the move the platform legs were folded together, leaving the pivot resting on a trestle-like arrangement. When the equipment was ready for emplacement, the legs were swung forward and the wheels removed once the load had been taken by the centre of the carriage. The arrangement of the ordnance on the carriage was very simple and straightforward, and the instruments on the carriage were simple but adequate.

As always for the Italian armaments industry, the main problem with the 75/46 was one of production. Despite ever-increasing demands from the field, production was slow and erratic. Initially 240 equipments were ordered, but even by the end of 1942 only 226 had been delivered. Not all of these were used primarily as anti-aircraft guns, some being employed as dual-purpose anti-aircraft and coastal defence guns at selected points. This meant that many of the rather ancient AA weapons in use at the time had to be retained well past their planned replacement dates. Things were not helped greatly by the diversion of some finished barrels for use in semovente (tracked assault gun) mountings.

Despite this dispersion of effort, the 75/46 was spread as thinly as possible for home defence of the Italian mainland and the North African territories. When Italian army units moved to serve on the Eastern Front they took a further 54 guns with them, leaving even fewer to defend Italy. But even these were uncompetitive in a varied service career, for in 1943 after the Italian surrender the guns still around were taken over by the German occupation forces. The 75/46 then became the 7.5-cm Flak 264/3(i), but the type was not used by the Germans outside Italy other than in some of their anti-Yugoslav partisan operations.

Even this change of hands did not mark the end of the ownership list for the 75/46, for following the Allied invasion of Italy, the Italian mainland numbers were captured by the advancing Allied armies and eventually used in a coastal defence role around such ports as Naples.

Specification

**Cannone da 75/46 C.A. modello 1934**
- Calibre: 75 mm (2.95 in)
- Weight: travelling 8950 kg (19,731 lb) and firing 6240 kg (13,757 lb)
- Length: 4.046 m (13 ft 3.8 in); length of rifling 4.736 m (15 ft 6.5 in); length of barrel 2.50 m (8 ft 2.4 in); length of barrel 11.2 in); width 2.30 m (7 ft 6.5 in); height 4.465 m (14 ft 8 in)
- Muzzle velocity: 830 m (2,723 ft) per second

The Germans valued the 90/53 so highly that following the Italian surrender of 1943 they impressed as many 90/53s as they could find. Many of these were sent back to Germany for the defence of the Reich as the 9-cm Flak 41(i) though the official designation was 9-cm Flak 309/1(i), and by December 1944 315 such equipments were mentioned in German records, though many of these would no doubt have been emplaced in Northern Italy. Numbers 90/53s also went to German hands during their advance north through Italy, and many of these were impressed for the coast defence role by British coastal batteries around the main captured ports.

Specification

**Cannone da 90/53**
- Calibre: 90 mm (3.54 in)
- Weight: travelling 8950 kg (19,731 lb) and firing 6240 kg (13,757 lb)
- Length: 7.60 m (24 ft 11.2 in); width 2.30 m (7 ft 6.5 in); height 2.50 m (8 ft 2.4 in); length of barrel 4.736 m (15 ft 6.5 in); length of rifling 4.046 m (13 ft 3.3 in)
- Elevation: +85° - 2°
- Traverse: 360°
- Maximum effective ceiling: 12000 m (39,370 ft)
- Shell weight: 10.33 kg (22.77 lb)

This Cannone da 90/53 was rendered obsolete by mounting on an Auto carro Pesante Lancia 3/RO heavy truck. The gun is seen here fitted with a protective shield for the gun crew in action, and very noticeable are the outriggers used to stabilize the gun when firing. Only a few of these combinations were made.

Muzzle velocity: 830 m (2,723 ft) per second
**Type 88 75-mm anti-aircraft gun**

The 75-mm (2.95-m) Type 88 Mobile Field AA Gun was a Japanese army weapon introduced into service in 1928. At that period the Type 88 was as good a gun as any in service, and was well capable of tackling the aerial weapons then likely to be encountered. But it was soon overtaken by increases in aircraft performance, to the extent that it had to be described as an efficient but indifferent performer.

The Type 88 design was chosen after an examination of other current and prospective anti-aircraft guns, and was an amalgam of some of the better points of several weapons. The barrel was a simple sliding breech, mounted on the then-fashionable central pivot. The firing platform had five legs which folded fore and aft for transport, and to assist the overall balance on the move the barrel was partially retracted. In action each outrigger leg was supported on an adjustable foot for levelling and there was another adjustable foot under the central pivot. A central pair of wheels was used to tow the gun along roads, these being removed before firing.

Like so many other contemporary Japanese weapons, the Type 88 was difficult to produce. Virtually everything on the gun had to be hand-made. It gradually became the standard Japanese anti-aircraft gun and at one time or another was used by every army field formation, starting in China and Manchuria during the 1930s. It was also widely used during the early Japanese advances in the Pacific. However, once the Japanese mainland came increasingly under threat of air attack from 1943 onwards the Type 88s were gradually withdrawn from the more outlying island garrisons and sent to the home islands. Their places were taken by a motley array of diverse weapons, mainly ex-naval pieces dug into improvised land emplacements.

Back in Japan the Type 88 soon demonstrated that it suffered from a low maximum effective ceiling (the altitude to which the projectiles could be fired to engage an aircraft target for a useful amount of time). For the Type 88 this was about 7250m (23,785ft), and on many occasions Boeing B-29 bombers could operate at well above this altitude. But for the Japanese it was the Type 88 or nothing, for as always they lacked the large manufacturing base and design experience to produce anything better in the time available. Instead they had to impress all manner of modified naval guns for the home defence role and even resorted to the use of simple mortars for low-level defences in some areas.

The Type 88 is mentioned in some Allied intelligence reports as having an anti-armour role, but there appears to be little (if any) evidence of the Type 88 being used in this role. A special armour-piercing projectile known as the Type 95 was produced for use by the Type 88, but the usual high explosive projectile was the Type 90.

### Specification

**Type 88**
- **Calibre:** 75 mm (2.95 in)
- **Weight:** travelling 2747 kg (6,056 lb) and firing 2443 kg (5,386 lb)
- **Dimensions:** length travelling 4.542 m (14 ft 10.5 in); length of barrel 3.315 m (10 ft 10.5 in); length of rifling 2.578 m (8 ft 5.5 in)
- **Elevation:** +85°/-0°
- **Traverse:** 360°

**Maximum effective ceiling:** 7250m (23,785 ft)

**Shell weight:** 6,58 kg (14.5 lb)

**Muzzle velocity:** 720 m (2,362 ft) per second

---

**The French 75-mm guns**

When the problem of anti-aircraft defences arose during World War I the French army reacted in its usual manner, taking the ordnance of the famous 75, the mie 1897 field gun, and placing it onto a simple high-angle mounting.

There were several of these mountings, one being a simple arrangement of the gun on a fixed turntable with the carriage knocked up from steel assemblies. This simple arrangement was the Canon de 75 mm anti-aérien mie 1915, but a better arrangement was produced by the Canon de 75 mm anti-aérien mie 1913, which was an early attempt to produce a self-propelled anti-aircraft gun by mounting a mie 1897 on a truck. Despite the early design date this turned out to be a remarkably good anti-aircraft weapon but it was not the only use of the mie 1897 for the role. There was also a Canon de 75 mm contre aéronefs mie 1917 which was a towed piece similar to the old mie 1897 equipments and also on the almost-as-old mie 1917 equipments, but so slow was this gradual rebarburring programme that many guns still had their original mie 1897 barrels in use in appreciable numbers in 1939 when World War II began for the simple reason that there appeared to be no real need to replace them; moreover, funds for new equipment for the French army were scant while the Maginot Line was being constructed. However, by the late 1920s it was appreciated that the old mie 1897 field gun was being rapidly outmoded as an anti-aircraft weapon and that higher-velocity weapons would soon be needed. Thus there started a desultory programme of re-equipping the many old batteries. Some of the first to be updated were the fixed batteries around such locations as Paris, where the old fixed mie 1915 equipments simply had their barrels replaced with a more powerful Schneider ordnance to produce the Canon de 75 mm contre aéronefs mie 1928. This new barrel provided a much better performance with less time-of-flight and improved service ceiling. Similar barrels were placed on the old mie 1913 home mounted equipments and also on the almost-as-old mie 1917 equipments, but so slow was this gradual rebarburring programme that many guns still had their original mie 1897 barrels in small numbers. This example was captured in North Africa from the Vichy French in 1945.
Some completely new equipments were produced during the 1930s. Using the new Schneider barrel a completely new anti-aircraft gun known as the Canon de 75 mm contre aéronefs mie 1933 was produced during the mid-1980s. This was an odd-looking gun mounted on a cruciform platform with the barrel trunnions mounted well down the barrel near the breech: 192 equipments were in service in 1940. Another totally new Schneider weapon was produced in two forms as the Canon de 75 mm contre aéronefs mie 1932 and 1936, which differed only in detail. This was a thoroughly modern weapon designed from the outset for mobility. The mie 1932 had a crew of nine men and could fire up to 25 rounds per minute. On the road it could be towed at speeds of up to 40 km/h (24.85 mph).

When the Germans invaded in May 1940, the French army was thus still in a state of confusion regarding anti-aircraft guns. The planned programme of replacement of the old weapons was still far from complete, and many guns still had their obsolete mie 1897 barrels. There were really too many types of guns in service for logistical comfort but in the event the advances of May and June 1940 swept the French army away before the anti-aircraft guns could make any impact on the Luftwaffe. Huge amounts of French 75-mm and 80-mm Model 1929 and Model 1930 anti-aircraft equipment were captured by the Germans, who took over many for their own use - but not the old mie 1897's, which were removed from their carriages and were later used as beach defence weapons in the Atlantic Wall. However, many of the more modern Schneider guns were still in German use in 1944. The designations were 7.5-cm FK 97(t) for the 75-mm anti-aérien, 7.5-cm Flak M.17/34(t) for the mie 17, 7.5-cm Flak M.33(t) for the mie 1933, and 7.5-cm Flak M.36(t) for the mie 36.

The Germans were always short of anti-aircraft guns, and used as many ex-French guns as they could. This gun in German hands is a 75-mm mie 1933 formed by placing a modernized Schneider barrel onto a revised and updated World War I carriage. At one time the Germans had 180 of these in service.

**SWeden**

**Bofors 75-mm and 80-mm Model 1929 and Model 1930**

The widely acknowledged success of the 40-mm Bofors gun has tended to overshadow the fact that the Swedish company of Bofors also made a larger and quite successful 75-mm (2.95-in) anti-aircraft gun. The Bofors concern has always been insistent that this gun was evolved by the company alone, but it cannot be overlooked that the design was being formulated at a time when Bofors was working in close association with the Krupp team resident in Sweden as a means to avoid the terms of the Versailles Treaty. It now seems almost certain that some form of cross-fertilization occurred between the two teams, for almost at the same instant the Krupp team produced a 75-mm (2.95-in) gun that led eventually to the famous German '88' and Bofors produced its 75-mm (2.95-in) Model 1929.

The Model 29 differed in many details from the Krupp 75-mm (2.95-in) design, but the two weapons had a very similar performance. Other similarities were that both used a cruciform carriage with a central traverse, and that both guns used barrels of similar length and construction. But whereas the Krupp gun was used in only limited numbers by the German navy and a few South American states, the Bofors model was adopted by the Swedish armed forces in two versions.

There were two main models of the Bofors gun, the Model 29 and Model 30. These differed only in detail, but to confuse matters both were produced for export in calibres of 75 mm and 80mm (2.95 in and 3.15 in). Export versions were sold to Argentina, China, Finland, Greece, Hungary, Iran and Thailand, some in 75-mm (2.95-in) and some in 80-mm (3.15-in) calibre. One of the largest customers was Hungary, which received 80 mm guns; these were used extensively during the period when the Hungarian army was allied with the Germans along the Eastern Front from 1941 to 1944, and more were retained for home defence. In Hungary the Model 29 was known as the 8-cm 29 M. Another 80-mm (3.15-in) customer was the Dutch East Indies, but few of these weapons survived after 1942. The Bofors gun was a sound but unspectacular performer. It used a cruciform firing platform that was lowered from the ground to two wheeled axles, which were then completely removed before firing. A horizontal breech block mechanism was fitted, and this was virtually the same as that used on the Krupp gun. However, the Bofors gun did have one thing that the Krupp design lacked, namely an overall simplicity of design: the Bofors gun had little of the complicated fire-control equipment that was used on the Krupp design and proved to be easy to operate, even in the hands of relatively untrained personnel. Thus when the Bofors gun was used in China it proved to be remarkably effective, and the type was chosen for its overall simple approach by such armed forces as those in the Dutch East Indies, which had to rely on a personnel force with few technical assets. Overall, the Bofors gun was a sound gun but one that was soon outperformed by later designs.
8.8-cm Flak 18 and Flak 37

The terms of the 1919 Versailles Treaty laid down strict guidelines as to what artillery production could be carried out in Germany, so the largest German armaments company, Krupp of Essen, was given permission to carry on research and development outside the imposed restrictions. Working with Bofors the Krupp workshops were initially on a 75-mm (2.95-in) anti-aircraft gun using clandestine German army funds, but the army was not particularly happy with the result and asked for something heavier. The 'Swedish' Krupp team accordingly produced a new and advanced 88-mm (3.465-in) gun that by 1933 was in series production at Essen as the NSDAP came to power.

This new gun was the 8.8-cm Flak 18 (Flak standing for Fliegereibewehrkanone, or anti-aircraft gun), and it was an immediate success. It was a long-barrelled gun mounted on a pivoted cruciform carriage which was in turn carried on the move by twin axles that allowed the gun to be rapidly placed into the firing position. The Flak 18 had a one-piece barrel but was later supplemented by an improved version, the 8.8-cm Flak 36, which had a multi-section barrel on which only the worn part nearest the chamber needed to be changed after prolonged firing. Then came the 8.8-cm Flak 37, which was a Flak 36 with a revised system of fire-control data transmission more suited to static use than field use. In practice the three models were interchangeable to a high degree, and it was not until Flak 18 barrel on a Flak 37 carriage. Several changes were introduced to the weapons once they were in service, including a revised twin-axle carriage arrangement, and the 8.8-cm Flak series was adapted to be carried on a variety of self-propelled mountings, including railway flatcars.

The 8.8-cm Flak series became one of the most celebrated weapons in the entire German army, for it went on to be as famous as an anti-tank weapon as it was as an anti-aircraft gun: following the gun’s ‘blooding’ in Spain during the Civil War and again in France in 1940, it was as an anti-aircraft gun: following the gun’s ‘blooding’ in Spain during the Civil War and again in France in 1940, then came the 8.8-cm Flak series was the mainstay of the German field armies and of the defence of the Reich under Luftwaffe control. The type was never replaced by later models as had been planned, and in August 1944 there were 10,704 of all three models in service. Production was undertaken at several centres, and a wide range of ammunition was produced for these weapons, including a high proportion of armour-piercing. By the end of the war versions for static emplacement only were being produced, but by then the 8.8-cm Flak series had been used on self-propelled platforms, railway mountings, coastal defence locations, light shipping and in several experimental forms.

The 8.8-cm Flak guns were also used by the Italian army, and for a while in 1944 the type was even used operationally by the US Army along the German borders when its own supply lines became overstretched. Many were used by several armies post-war, and the Yugoslav army uses the 8.8-cm Flak as a coastal gun to this day.

Specification
8.8-cm Flak 18
Calibre: 88 mm (3.465 in)
Weight: travelling 6861 kg (15,126 lb)

This Flak 36 is seen in action during the Soviet campaign. After the tribulations of the bitter winter of 1941, the German army had become more familiar with sub-zero fighting, but ‘General Winter’ was still a potent contributor to the Soviet war effort.

and firing 5150 kg (11,354 lb)
Dimensions: length overall 7.62 m (25 ft 0 in); width 2.305 m (7 ft 6.75 in); height 2.418 m (7 ft 11.2 in); length of barrel 4.93 m (16 ft 2.1 in); length of rifling 4.124 m (13 ft 6.4 in)
Elevation: +857°-3°
Traverse: 360°
Maximum ceiling: 8000 m (26,245 ft)
Shell weight: HE 9.24 kg (20.34 lb)
Muzzle velocity: 820 m (2,690 ft) per second

8.8-cm Flak 41

By 1939 it was obvious to the long-term German military planners that the expected increases in aircraft performance then on the way would render the existing 8.8-cm (3.465-in) and 10.5-cm (4.13-in) Flak weapons obsolete, so they initiated the development of a new 8.8-cm (3.465-in) weapon. Rheinmetall was given the contract for this new gun, and the company accordingly attempted to integrate into the design all the various lessons learned from the existing 8.8-cm Flak 18 and Flak 37 series. Thus the new weapon, known as the Gerät 37, was intended for use not only as an anti-aircraft gun but also had to be suited for use as an anti-tank weapon and even as a field artillery piece.

The result was that when development of the Gerät 37 was completed in 1941 a highly complicated weapon was produced that was very expensive. The Gerät 37 was adopted as the 8.8-cm Flak 41, but service development took until 1943 for the design was full of ‘bugs’, some of which were never entirely eliminated. An example of this can be quoted as the ammunition, which in typical German style used a long and expensive cartridge case. These cases frequently jammed on extraction after firing, to the extent that special high-grade brass cases had to be manufactured specifically for some of the early examples. Both three- and four-section barrels were produced, and the weapon even had an automatic fuse setter on the loading mechanism. There were no fewer than three separate firing circuits, and a powered rammer was fitted.

The first production examples were sent to Tunisia during the opening phase of the North African campaign: here their technical troubles continued and they were given little chance to shine. Thereafter they were assigned to use within the borders of the Reich only, where they could be near the very necessary workshop facilities that they constantly demanded. But it should not be thought that the Flak 41 was an unsuccessful weapon, for when it worked it was an excellent anti-aircraft gun. After the war it was generally regarded as the best of all the German anti-aircraft guns from a technical point of view, but one that required an inordinate amount of maintenance and repair time. When it did work properly it had a rate of fire of up to 25 rounds per minute and had a maximum effective ceiling of 14700 m (48,230 ft). It fired a different round from the other 8.8-cm (3.465-in) weapons.

Despite the technical promise of the Flak 41, the type was never produced in anything but limited numbers. It consumed a great deal of manufacturing potential and production was not assisted by the constant attention given by the Allied air forces to the weapon’s main production centre at Düsseldorf. Further lengthy production delays were imposed when an attempt was made to switch some production to the Skoda Werke at Pilsen, but for all their efforts the Germans could ever field was 318 and that was in January 1945.

Specification
8.8-cm Flak 41
Calibre: 88 mm (3.465 in)
Weight: travelling 11240 kg (24,780 lb) and firing 7840 kg (17,284 lb)
Dimensions: length overall 9.658 m (31 ft 8.2 in); width 2.4 m (7 ft 10.5 in); height 2.36 m (7 ft 8.9 in); length of barrel 4.93 m (16 ft 2.1 in); length of rifling 5.41 m (17 ft 9 in)
Elevation: +907°-3°
Traverse: 360°
Maximum effective ceiling: 14700 m (48,230 ft)
Shell weight: HE 9.4 kg (20.7 lb)
Muzzle velocity: 1000 m (3,280 ft) per second
As far back as 1933 the German military planners saw a need for an anti-aircraft gun heavier than the 8.8-cm (3.465-in) Flak series, and both Rheinmetall and Krupp were invited to submit designs for a shoot-off contest for 10.5-cm (4.13-in) weapons held in 1935. Rheinmetall won the contract with its Gerät 38, which duly went into production as the 10.5-cm Flak 38. This model had an electrical control system and a powered loading mechanism, but was soon replaced in production by the 10.5-cm Flak 39 with a revised electrical and fire-control data system.

Both 10.5-cm (4.13-in) Flak guns were intended for use by the German field armies, but in the event they were almost all employed in the home defence of the Reich. In appearance the Flak 38 and Flak 39 resembled scaled-up Flak 18 guns, but there were many detail differences and proportionally the Flak 38 and Flak 39 were much heavier and bulkier weapons. In overall terms the Flak 38 and Flak 39 were complex weapons and were made more complex to manufacture by the use of a sectional barrel (for rapid change of the worn portion only after firing) on the Flak 39. Unfortunately, in action they proved to be little better than the 8.8-cm (3.465-in) Flak series as far as overall performance was concerned, and at one point it was even intended to replace them in production by the 8.8-cm (3.465-in) Flak 41 though this never happened: production of the Flak 41 was so slow that the 10.5-cm (4.13-in) Flak guns were kept on the production lines. When the war ended there were still 1,850 in service, most of these within the borders of the Reich.

Although intended as a field weapon, the Flak 38 and Flak 39 were really too heavy for the role. They used a scaled-up version of the mobile twin-axle carriage of the 8.8-cm (3.465-in) Flak series, but even with the aid of integral winches and pulleys the guns were slow and awkward to emplace. Many were subsequently assigned to static emplacements, and 116 were mounted on special Flak railway trucks that rumbled around the Reich wherever they were needed. Each model needed a crew of a commander and nine men, though use of the manual loading system required a further two men.

The 10.5-cm (4.13-in) Flak series never acquired the fame of the 8.8-cm (3.465-in) Flak series, mainly because it was not widely used in the field and because its bulk and weight meant that it was only rarely used as an anti-aircraft weapon. Overall its performance was not as good as had been originally hoped, and despite a great deal of development work on a project known as the 10.5-cm Flak 40, which was to have had a longer barrel to fire a heavier projectile, the 10.5-cm (4.13-in) Flak guns were never ‘stretched’ to the same extent as the other German Flak guns. Instead production went steadily ahead at several centres until the war ended.

**Specification**

- **10.5-cm Flak 39**
  - Calibre: 105 mm (4.13 in)
  - Weight: travelling 14,600 kg (32,187 lb) and firing 10,240 kg (22,575 lb)
  - Dimensions: length overall 10.31 m (33 ft 9.9 in); width 2.45 m (8 ft 0.5 in); height 2.9 m (9 ft 6 in); length of barrel 6,646 m (21 ft 9.7 in); length of rifling 5,351 m (17 ft 1 in)
  - Elevation: +85°-3°
  - Traverse: 360°
  - Maximum ceiling: 12,800 m (41,995 ft)
  - Shell weight: 15.1 kg (33.3 lb)
  - Muzzle velocity: 880 m (2,887 ft) per second

A 10.5-cm Flak 39 in action on a special railway truck mounting, here being used for harbour defence. These railway mountings were moved around the occupied territories and the Reich itself.

**GERMANY**

**12.8-cm Flak 40**

The idea of producing a German 128-mm (5.04-in) anti-aircraft gun was first mooted in 1936 when Rheinmetall was requested to produce a design known then as the Gerät 40. Progress on this design was not placed at a very high priority, so it was not until 1940 that the first prototype was ready. At that time it was intended that the Gerät 40 would be a weapon for the field army, but when the military saw the size and bulk of the prototype they decided that the weapon would be produced for static use only. The weapon was ordered into production as the 12.8-cm Flak 40.

By that time plans had already been made for a production-line mobile version, so the first six were produced on mobile carriages. The Flak 40 was so large that it proved impossible to carry the gun in one load over other than very short distances, so a two-load system was initially employed. Even this proved to be too cumbersome, and was later revised to a single load once again. Later versions were produced for static use only, and such was the overall performance of the Flak 40 that it was carefully emplaced around some of the main production and population centres such as Berlin and Vienna. Special Flak Towers were built in some locations to make best use of these guns, and there was also a special railcar version to provide the guns with some sort of mobility.

Production of the static version began in 1942, but it was a costly and complex gun so by January 1945 there were only 570 in service, all of them based inside the borders of the Reich. Soon after full-scale production began, the Flak 40 was joined by a twin version of the same gun known as the 12.8-cm Flak 40.
12.8-cm Flakzwilling 40. This consisted of two 12.8-cm (5.04-in) Flak guns mounted side-by-side on a wheeled platform, the lockers housed ready-use ammunition and the sights.

In 1939 there were no fewer than eight marks of guns in service, some with sliding breech blocks, some with interrupted thread blocks, some with loose barrel liners, and so on. There was an equally formidable array of carriages in use as well: some of these had four wheels, others had but two and still more were statically emplaced in concrete. By 1940 nearly all in-service anti-aircraft (ack-ack) guns had been trained on the 76.2-mm (3-in) gun for not only was it the standard weapon of the services, but forces but it was also the major equipment of the growing number of Territorial Army batteries that were formed during the late 1930s.

The British 76.2-mm (3-in) anti-aircraft gun had the distinction of being one of the very first, if not the first gun to be designed specifically for the anti-aircraft role, the initial examples being in service as early as 1914. From that time the basic design was gradually modified and generally updated, and by 1940 there were still many in service as the Ordnance, QF, 3 in 20 cwt. The updating meant that the gun was still alleged to be a viable weapon for its role, but its overall performance was such that it lacked the power of later designs and it was intended in 1939 that most of them would be replaced by modern equipment (mainly the 94-mm/3.7-in weapon) by 1941.

In 1939 there were no fewer than eight marks of guns, some with sliding breech blocks, some with interrupted thread blocks, some with loose barrel liners, and so on. There was an equally formidable array of carriages in use as well: some of these had four wheels, others had but two and still more were statically emplaced in concrete. By 1940 nearly all in-service anti-aircraft (ack-ack) guns had been trained on the 76.2-mm (3-in) gun for not only was it the standard weapon of the services, but forces but it was also the main equipment of the growing number of Territorial Army batteries that were formed during the late 1930s.

The gun was of simple design, being little more than a barrel and recuperator/recoil mechanism slung between two side mounting plates carried on a turntable. The turntable could be either mounted on a heavy cruciform firing platform or carried on a four-wheeled platform, the field army preferring the latter by 1939. The gun was the mainstay of the anti-aircraft batteries with the BEF, for although some batteries had been issued with the 94-mm (3.7-in) gun by 1940, they by far preferred the much lighter and handier 76.2-mm (3-in) gun with which they were familiar. However, the Dunkirk episode put paid to that source of dissension for most of the 76.2-mm (3-in) guns with the BEF were either destroyed or captured by the Germans (they later took over the type for their own use by uprating it for the designations 7.5-cm Flak Vickers (e)). There were few servicable 76.2-mm (3-in) guns left in the United Kingdom other than the few static installations, but gradually even they were soon phased out as front-line weapons and many of the mobile platform carriages were converted to rocket-launching platforms.

UK

Ordnance, QF, 3 in 20 cwt

The British 76.2-mm (3-in) anti-aircraft gun had the distinction of being one of the very first, if not the first gun to be designed specifically for the anti-aircraft role, the initial examples being in service as early as 1914. From that time the basic design was gradually modified and generally updated, and in 1940 there were still many in service as the Ordnance, QF, 3 in 20 cwt. The updating meant that the gun was still a viable weapon for its role, but its overall performance was such that it lacked the power of later designs and it was intended in 1939 that most of them would be replaced by modern equipment (mainly the 94-mm/3.7-in weapon) by 1941.

In 1939 there were no fewer than eight marks of guns in service, some with sliding breech blocks, some with interrupted thread blocks, some with loose barrel liners, and so on. There was an equally formidable array of carriages in use as well: some of these had four wheels, others had but two and still more were statically emplaced in concrete. By 1940 nearly all in-service anti-aircraft (ack-ack) guns had been trained on the 76.2-mm (3-in) gun for not only was it the standard weapon of the services, but forces but it was also the main equipment of the growing number of Territorial Army batteries that were formed during the late 1930s.

The gun was of simple design, being little more than a barrel and recuperator/recoil mechanism slung between two side mounting plates carried on a turntable. The turntable could be either mounted on a heavy cruciform firing platform or carried on a four-wheeled platform, the field army preferring the latter by 1939. The gun was the mainstay of the anti-aircraft batteries with the BEF, for although some batteries had been issued with the 94-mm (3.7-in) gun by 1940, they by far preferred the much lighter and handier 76.2-mm (3-in) gun with which they were familiar. However, the Dunkirk episode put paid to that source of dissension for most of the 76.2-mm (3-in) guns with the BEF were either destroyed or captured by the Germans (they later took over the type for their own use by uprating it for the designations 7.5-cm Flak Vickers (e)). There were few servicable 76.2-mm (3-in) guns left in the United Kingdom other than the few static installations, but gradually even they were soon phased out as front-line weapons and many of the mobile platform carriages were converted to rocket-launching platforms. About 100 platforms were eventually converted for this rocket role, and of the barrels removed some were used as the main armament for a tank destroyer using a Churchill tank chassis. That project eventually came to nothing, and mystery still surrounds a project to place 50 old 76.2-mm (3-in) guns onto surplus 17-pounder anti-tank guns for home defence during 1944. There were few, if any, 76.2-mm (3-in) anti-aircraft guns left in service by 1945.

Specification

The British 3-in (76.2-mm) was one of the first designed for an anti-aircraft use during World War I and was still in widespread use in 1939–40. They had been progressively modernized, and many gunners preferred them to the new 3.7-in (94-mm) guns as they were so much handier. Many were lost at Dunkirk.

The British 3-in (76.2-mm) was one of the first designed for an anti-aircraft use during World War I and was still in widespread use in 1939–40. They had been progressively modernized, and many gunners preferred them to the new 3.7-in (94-mm) guns as they were so much handier. Many were lost at Dunkirk.

The usual model of the 3-in (76.2-mm) anti-aircraft gun with the BEF in 1940 was this platform version, complete with twin axles. The platform used outriggers when firing and the feet for these can be seen below the gun platform. The locker housing ready-use ammunition and the sights.
UK

Ordnance, OF, 3.7 in

Soon after World War I ended it was suggested that something heavier and more powerful than the existing 76.2-mm (3-in) anti-aircraft gun would be required by the UK to meet anticipated increases in aircraft performance, but at that time (1920) the report was simply shelved as there was then no prospect of any funding for even initial research into such a project. Instead it was not until 1936 that Vickers produced a prototype of a new gun with a calibre of 94-mm (3.7 in). The design was approved for production as the Ordnance, OF, 3.7 in, but initial progress towards this goal was so slow that it was not until 1938 that the pilot production models were issued for development trials.

The main reason for this slow progress was the gun’s carriage. While the gun was a fairly straightforward but modern component, the carriage was complex to what seemed an extreme. The gun was intended for use in the field by the army and thus had to be fully mobile, but the final assembly was what can only be classed as ‘semi-mobile’. The gun and its cradle and saddle rested on a large firing platform which in action rested on four outriggers. The front wheels were raised off the ground in action in order to provide some counter-balance for the weight of the gun mass, and the rear (towing end) axle was removed. Production of the carriage soon proved to be a time-consuming bottleneck, to the extent that production began of what was to be a purely static carriage for emplacement in concrete. As time went on the carriage was re-engineered to a more manageable form. Thus the first production carriage was the Mk I, the static carriage the Mk II and the final production version the Mk III; there were sub-marks of all of these.

When the equipment was first issued the gunners did not take kindly to it as they by far preferred the handier and familiar 76.2-mm (3-in) gun, but even they came to appreciate that the performance of the 94-mm (3.7-in) ordnance by far exceeded that of the older gun. In fact the 94-mm (3.7-in) had an excellent all-round performance even if emplacing and moving it was sometimes less than easy. As more equipments sheltered in concrete they were gradually fitted with improved centralized fire-control systems and such extras as power rammers and fuse setters. By 1941 the type formed the mainstay of the army’s anti-aircraft defences, and went on through the rest of the war to prove itself to be an excellent weapon.

The 94-mm (3.7-in) gun was impressed into use as an anti-armour weapon in the Western Desert campaigns, but its weight and bulk made it less than effective in this role although it could still knock out any tank set against it. Instead it was retained for what it was best suited, the anti-aircraft role, and thus the 94-mm (3.7-in) never really got a chance to prove itself as the British equivalent of the German ‘88’. It was used on occasion as a long-range field piece and was even at one stage of the war used as a coastal defence gun. However, its use in this role was in the hands of the Germans, who had captured some of the type at Dunkirk. They appreciated the effectiveness of the weapon they termed the 9.4-cm Flak Vickers M.59(e) so much that they even went to the trouble of manufacturing their own ammunition for them for both the Flak and the coastal defence roles. In the latter they were particularly effective at Walcheren, where 94-mm (3.7-in) guns sank several Allied landing craft.

The gun soldiered on in British use until Anti-Aircraft Command was disbanded during the 1950s. Many were sold or handed over to other nations, and some still survive in use in such locations as South Africa and Burma.

**Specification**

Ordnance, OF, 3.7 in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carriage Mk III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calibre: 94 mm (3.7 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight: complete 9317 kg (20,541 lb)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimensions: length overall travelling 8,687 m (28 ft 6 in); width 2,438 m (8 ft 0 in); height 2,502 m (8 ft 2.5 in); length of barrel 14.7 m (15 ft 5 in); length of rifling 3,987 m (13 ft 0.95 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation: +80-7°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverse: 360°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum effective ceiling: 9754 m (31,999 ft)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell weight: HE 12.96 kg (28.56 lb)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muzzle velocity: 792 m (2,600 ft) per second</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A victory salute is fired in May 1945 by a complete battery of 123.7-in (94-mm) guns, probably on the Larkhill ranges on Salisbury Plain.

These guns were only just entering production when the war began, but they remained in British service until the late 1950s.
The British 4.5-in anti-aircraft gun was not meant to be an easily transportable weapon, as it was originally a naval gun. In order to move these guns across the country a special transporting carriage was produced, but even so moving the gun was slow and awkward.

The gun that was to become the British army's 4.5-inch anti-aircraft gun had a rather muddled provenance, for it was actually a naval gun intended for use on board heavy vessels. It was undergoing acceptance trials in 1936 when it was decided that it would make an ideal anti-aircraft weapon for the army, and after some inter-service discussion the Admiralty agreed to divert some of its anticipated production to the army, but only on the understanding that the guns would be emplaced for the local defence of naval dockyards and other such installations. More muddle ensued when it was discovered by the army that the naval guns (actual calibre 113 mm/4.45 in) were intended for mounting in pairs. The army wanted single mountings, so time was lost while the necessary changes were made and tested. When the type did eventually get into service (as the Ordnance, OF, 4.5 in AA Mk II) in time for the difficult days of 1940, it was emplaced as a static weapon only. Some measure of mobility could be provided by using a special heavy transporter trailer but such moves were difficult and lengthy, and required a great deal of preparation. Once emplaced, the guns demonstrated their naval origins by the retention of a turret-type mounting that rested on a base of heavy steel plate. The turret-type shelter over the gun had only limited protective value against steel splinters or falling shrapnel, but was welcome on some of the bleak gun-sites at which the weapons were located.

The gun had all the usual naval attributes, namely items such as a power rammer, a heavy counter-weight over the breech and a fuse setter on the loading tray. The equipment was very necessary, for each complete round weighed 38.98 kg (85.94 lb) and the movement of such weights in the short period would soon have exhausted the ammunition handlers.

By 1941 the need to locate the guns away from Admiralty-significant areas had been relaxed somewhat, allowing some of the guns to be relocated on stretches of coastline. There they could be used in a dual anti-aircraft coastal defence role, but the numbers involved were never large as most of the guns remained in their static emplacements. These guns were issued with a special armour-piercing ammunition, but the projectiles generally fired were of the HE type, although there was a special but little-used shrapnel projectile intended for local defence against low-flying aircraft.

By 1944 it was intended that the gun should be phased out in favour of the more powerful 133-mm (5.25-in) weapon, but this never happened and some 113-mm (4.45-in) weapons were still in their static emplacements as late as 1951.

When the USA decided to adopt an anti-aircraft gun during World War I it saved a great deal of development time by taking a couple of 76.2-mm (3-in) coast defence guns and adapting them for the new task. Two main versions, known as the regular gun and the mounted gun, were produced, and both were mounted on a more modern mobile equipment, and starting in the mid-1920s a great deal of experimental and development work was carried out on the gun. During both World Wars coastal defence guns still being used as the basis.

By the time that this development work had been completed, the original gun was virtually unrecognizable. The rifling had been changed and practically every other item on the gun was altered to some degree as well. The main trouble was that the gun itself proved to be far too difficult to make and required a great deal of machining to very close tolerances. Some redesign resulted in the 3-in Antiaircraft gun M3, which also had a semi-automatic breech block. It was this gun that was standardized for use with the new mobile platform, itself the result of a great deal of development. The original World War I platform had been very much a rushed job, and as such left much to be desired in the eyes of the US Army, which sought an ideal solution. In time this emerged as the carriage known as the M2 or Spider Column; this was a pedestal mounting with a number of long outrigger legs over which a thick mesh platform was laid for the gun crew. The arrangement was certainly practical but the long outrigger legs, which folded upwards to the centre, took up a great deal of ground space.

By the mid-1930s it was obvious that the days of the M3 were coming to an end, and the basic design was once again revamped in an effort to secure better performance. An entirely new 90-mm (3.54-in) design was already on the way, however, and thus the revamped M3 did not prosper. Instead the existing equipments were gradually withdrawn from front-line use as the new 90-mm (3.54-in) guns appeared. This took time, and when the USA entered the war in 1941 the old M3 was still in use in the Philippine Islands, where the weapons were used as long as the islands held out. Some lingered on for a while in other Pacific areas, and during early 1942 some were paraded through US west coast towns and cities in a programme to boost civilian morale. These west coast guns were culled mainly from training stocks, for by early 1942 the M3 was in use as a training gun only. Many of the gunners who subsequently went on to man the 90-mm (3.54-mm) guns started their service training using up the existing ammunition stocks for the M3 guns. Once this training role had been completed the old guns still found a use, for many were removed from their Spider Mountings and renovated for use as the barrels for the M5 anti-tank
gun. For this role the breech of the 105-mm (4.13-in) M2 howitzer was used, and thus the old anti-aircraft guns went on to a new service career.

At one point in the days immediately after Dunkirk there were plans to sell numbers of M3 guns to the United Kingdom to replenish AA guns lost at Dunkirk, but in the event none made the Atlantic crossing.

Specification
3-in Antiaircraft Gun M3 on Mount M2A2
Calibre: 76.2 mm (3 in)
Weight: complete 7620 kg (16,800 lb)
Dimensions: length = travelling 7.62 m (25 ft 0 in); width = 2.108 m (6 ft 11 in); height = 2.87 m (9 ft 5 in); length of barrel = 3.81 m (12 ft 6 in); length of rifling = 3.196 m (10 ft 5.83 in)
Elevation: +80°T
Traverse: 360°
Maximum ceiling: 9510 m (31,200 ft)
Shell weight: 5.8 kg (12.8 lb)
Muzzle velocity: HE 853 m (2,800 ft) per second

USA
90-mm Gun M1

Once it was realized that the old 76.2-mm (3-in) anti-aircraft guns were coming to the end of their service life during the late 1930s, it was decided by the US Army to produce a weapon not only with a better performance but one capable of firing a heavier projectile. Since a 90-mm (3.54-in) projectile was considered the upper weight limit of what a soldier could handle manually this was fixed as the new calibre, and design work began in 1938. By 1940 the prototypes were approved for service use as the 90-mm Gun M1 on Antiaircraft Mount MIA1, and production commenced with a high priority cachet.

The M1 was a handsome but a rather complex weapon which proved difficult to produce. The gun assembly itself was straightforward, but the carriage was another matter. It was designed to be towed on a single axle with two pneumatic tyres on each side, and in action it stood on a cruciform mounting with the crew standing around the gun on a folding platform. The problem was to get all this carriage and platform folded onto the single axle. The result can be described only as complicated.

Soon after the M1 gun was placed in production it was supplemented by the MIA1, which had provision for the fitting of a spring rammer. In practice this rammer proved to be more trouble than it was worth and was usually removed, but another change was on the way. In July 1941 it was decided that in future the 90-mm (3.54-in) gun and carriage would have to be capable of engaging sea and land targets as well. This meant a revision of the carriage as on the MIA1 carriage the gun could not
be depressed below 0°, and the opportunity was taken to incorporate a radical redesign. The M2 carnage had a totally different design with a low firing platform carried on four outrigger legs, but was much handier and quicker to get into action, and some versions also had a small shield. The main change, however, was to the gun, which became the M2 in which the ammunition feed for new fuse setter and rammer was added, this making fuse setting much more handier and quicker to get into action, and also raising the rate of fire to a possible 27 rounds per minute. Yet more accuracy and lethality was added in late 1944 when the 90-mm (3.54-in) gun was used as one of the first weapons on land to fire the new proximity-fused round, one of the most advanced weapon developments of the war years. Using this fuse one gunner managed to shoot down a Focke-Wulf Fw 190 fighter with a single shot as the unfortunate aircraft attempted to intervene in the Ardennes campaign. The 90-mm (3.54-in) gun and the proximity fuse were also instrumental in the defeat of the V1 flying bombs over southern England.

The 90-mm (3.54-in) gun in all its forms was manufactured in large numbers. By August 1945 a total of 7,831 of all types had been produced. This included some guns intended for static mounting only, and some guns were indeed used around the coasts of the continental USA in a dual anti-aircraft/coastal role.

The 90-mm (3.54-in) gun was also used in a purely coastal defence mounting in a special armoured turret, and at one stage it was proposed that these turrets would even have their own automatic loaders, thus removing the need for men to crew them in action as they would be aimed and fired by remote control. The 90-mm (3.54-in) gun was also used in M36 tank destroyers mounted on Sherman chassis, and there were several advanced designs involved in the production of a towed 90-mm (3.54-in) anti-tank gun, but none of these saw service.

### Specicication

#### 90-mm Gun M2 on Mount M2
- Calibre: 90 mm (3.54 in)
- Weight: complete 14651 kg (32,300 lb)
- Dimensions: length travelling 9.021 m (29 ft 7.15 in); height 3.073 m (10 ft 1 in)
- Wheelbase 4.166 m (13 ft 8 in); length of barrel 4.50 m (14 ft 9.2 in)
- Elevation: +80° to -10°
- Traverse: 360°
- Maximum ceiling: 10500 m (34,450 ft)
- Shell weight: 9.2 kg (20.29 lb)
- Muzzle velocity: 800 m (2,625 ft) per second

The 90-mm anti-aircraft gun was developed from the success of the 76.2-mm series, and proved highly effective in service. Much prized by the Germans, captured equipment was used alongside the famous ‘88’. Many were used in the defence of Germany from the Allied bombing campaign.

The 85-mm anti-aircraft gun was so good that some were still in use in Vietnam during the early 1970s. The gun was also known as the KS-12, and was much used by the Germans after 1941, many being rebored to take 88-mm (3.465-in) German ammunition.

### The Soviet 85-mm guns

By the late 1930s the Soviet armed forces, in common with many other armed forces of the time, decided that the anticipated increases in aircraft performance over the next few years would soon render their current anti-aircraft weapons obsolete. Accordingly they set about looking for a more modern anti-aircraft gun with a better all-round performance, but, in typical Soviet fashion, instead of designing a new weapon they used an old design as the basis for a new weapon. They simply took the 76.2-mm (3-in) Model 1938 and enlarged it all round to become an 85-mm (3.346-in) gun. The new gun was designated the 85-mm Anti-Aircraft Gun Model 1939, and is sometimes known as the KS-12.

The Model 1939 was very similar to the 76.2-mm (3-in) Model 1938, but could be easily recognized by its multi-baffle muzzle brake, a feature lacked by the 76.2-mm (3-in) gun. A shield was an optional extra. Production of the Model 1939 was just getting under way at Kaliningrad, near Moscow, when the Germans invaded in 1941, so the entire plant was moved to the Urals for the rest of the war. Once back in production the Model 1939 became the standard heavy anti-aircraft gun of the Red Army, though it was replaced in production during 1944 by the more powerful 85-mm Anti-Aircraft Gun Model 1944 or KS-18. This was virtually the same weapon as the Model 1939, but could use a more powerful charge to boost all-round performance with the same projectile as that of the Model 1939.

Both the Model 1939 and the Model 1944 were designed from the outset to be used as anti-armour weapons in the same manner as the German 88. They were so successful in this role that the Germans prized them as war booty. They were rebored to take 88-mm German ammunition, and used any captured examples alongside their own 88s under the designations 8.5-cm Flak M.39(r) and 8.5-cm Flak M.44(r). As with the Soviet 76.2-mm (3-in) guns, captured examples were also shipped back to the USSR (but not the Soviet Union itself) and they are likely to be encountered in countries as diverse as the Sudan and Vietnam. Large numbers were active during the Vietnam conflict against the US Air Force. These ‘modern’ guns now usually rely on some form of centralized fire-control system, usually radar-based, and the original on-carner fire controls are now either removed or little used.

The 85-mm (3.346-in) gun itself was used as the basis for a number of other Soviet weapon projects. It was adopted to become the main armament of the SU-85 assault gun/tank destroyer, and was even adapted for use on a towed anti-tank gun mounting.

#### Specification

85-mm Anti-Aircraft Gun Model 1939
- Calibre: 85 mm (3.346 in)
- Weight: travelling 4220 kg (9,300 lb) and firing 3057 kg (6,739 lb)
- Dimensions: length travelling 7.049 m (23 ft 1.5 in); width 2.15 m (7 ft 1 in); height 2.25 m (7 ft 4.6 in); length of barrel 4.693 m (15 ft 4.76 in); length of rifling 3.494 m (11 ft 5.54 in)
- Elevation: +80° to -10°
- Traverse: 360°
- Maximum ceiling: 12040 m (39,500 ft)
- Shell weight: 10.6 kg (23.4 lb)
- Muzzle velocity: 823 m (2,700 ft) per second

The 85-mm anti-aircraft gun was developed from the success of the 76.2-mm series, and proved highly effective in service. Much prized by the Germans, captured equipment was used alongside the famous ‘88’. Many were used in the defence of Germany from the Allied bombing campaign.

The Soviet 85-mm (3.346-in) Model 1939 was so good that some were still in use in Vietnam during the early 1970s. The gun was also known as the KS-12, and was much used by the Germans after 1941, many being rebored to take 88-mm (3.465-in) German ammunition.
Light Anti-Aircraft Guns

1939 saw tactical air power take its place as a significant factor in battle, its importance calling for newer, more effective methods to protect forces on the ground. High volumes of light anti-aircraft fire were seen to be the answer, with the Wehrmacht taking the lead.

In 1939 the light anti-aircraft gun was a relatively new concept. For the most part such weapons had calibres of between 20mm (0.787in) and 40mm (1.575in), and were all capable of putting into the air large volumes of automatic fire. They were mainly intended for the defence of an area of sky that extended to no more than 3000m (9843ft) at best, although they were usually employed against targets flying at altitudes much lower than that.

Most of the guns included in this study had a calibre of 20mm. This calibre had been established by the end of World War I as the optimum for a projectile that could carry a useful explosive payload and yet remain economic to fire automatically. However, after 1941 this calibre had to be revised upwards in size as aircraft targets increased in speed and in the degree of protection they carried. Some nations, such as Germany, had foreseen this trend and had equipped themselves accordingly with 37mm (1.457in) weapons; it is indicative that the best all-round weapon in this category in service during the war years was the Swedish Bofors gun with a calibre of 40mm (1.575in), one that is still in widespread use today. But the smaller-calibre weapons remained in use even though the only way that they could ensure a target ‘kill’ was to increase the number of projectiles actually hitting the target. Since to increase the rate of fire of most guns would mostly have entailed a major redesign, the only way to boost the weight of fire was to increase the number of barrels firing from one mounting at any one time. The best example of this concept could be seen with the change of the single-barrelled 2cm Flak 38 to the four-barrelled 2cm Flakvierling 38, one of the German weapons most feared by Allied tactical flyers.

Not all the guns included in this section attained the fame of the German light Flak weapons. Some, such as the Schneider 37mm (1.457in) gun, were somewhat less than successful, but others, such as the Bofors gun, the Oerlikon cannon and the Soviet Model 1939, are assured of a place in artillery, if not world, history. They defended the ground forces against tactical attack aircraft and they defended home areas against more formal bomber forces in a war in which the aircraft became a dominant weapon.

The operation to liberate Europe meant the building and maintenance of vast stockpiles in England ready to equip the troops once the battle began; these are 40mm (1.6in) Bofors Light AA guns.
One of the main American weapons produced as a counter to the low-flying aircraft was not of the same calibre as the other weapons in this study, for instead of using what are normally regarded as cannon calibres, the American solution used heavy machine-guns with a calibre of 12.7 mm (0.5 in). This was the Maxson Mount, which used a combination of four 12.7-mm Browning M2 heavy machine-guns on a single mounting with two guns on each side of a central pedestal-type housing. The proper service designation for this arrangement was Multiple Caliber .50 Machine-Gun Carriage M51.

The Maxson Mount was used on a variety of different carriages. One of the most common was a trailer towed by a light truck or even a Jeep. This trailer used twin axles, and in action legs could be lowered to the ground at each corner to provide increased stability when firing. The trailer also earned a number of batteries and a battery-charging set, for the Maxson Mount was electrically powered. The electrical supply was used for elevation and traverse, and the motors used were powerful enough to meet the most demanding calls made upon them by the gunner, who sat on the turret between the two pairs of machine-guns. The motors could move the guns from the horizontal to +60° in one second, and the turret could traverse at the same rate. In order to keep the two main batteries topped up at all times, they were normally kept on constant charge in action.

The combined fire of the four Browning machine-guns was sufficient to bring down any aircraft caught in their fire, despite the fact that the rounds carried no explosive payload. The guns were aimed using a naval reflector sight, but the tracer fired by the guns could also be used to assist aim and some gunners relied on the tracer alone to make fire control corrections.

The Maxson Mount was also used on halftracks as well as towed trailers. On both types of carriage the guns were supplied with 200 rounds each, fed into the guns from belted carried in enclosed chests mounted outboard of the guns. On some turrets the belts could be fed into the guns under electrical control but the normal gun action was more commonly used.

The Maxson Mounts were normally used to provide protection for convoys or mobile units against air attack, and after 1945 continued to serve with many armies. Many are still in use today, but recent years have seen a move away from the retention of the four machine-guns to a new configuration using two 20-mm cannon. Israel has adapted all the Maxson Mounts it has in service to this new form, and Brazil is another nation taking the same path. Israel continues to use its modernized Maxson Mounts on halftracks, but there is a towed version as well.

**Specification**

**Maxson Mount**
- **Calibre:** 12.7 mm (0.5 in)
- **Length:** (guns) 1.654 m (65.1 in)
- **Weight:** in action 1087 kg (2,396 lb)
- **Elevation:** -5° to +85°
- **Traverse:** 360°
- **Muzzle velocity:** 884 m (2,900 ft) per second
- **Maximum effective ceiling:** about 1000 m (3,280 ft)
- **Rate of fire:** (cyclic, all guns) 2,300 rpm
The development work that led to the 37-mm Antiaircraft Gun M1 on Carriage M3 series started in 1921. It was yet another product of the fertile mind of John M. Browning, who continued to work on the gun until he died in 1926. Development of the project then lapsed until 1934, mainly as a result of defence spending cuts of the period. When work resumed it was not long before the gun was in production, not only for the US Army but for the US Navy (37-mm AN-M4) and US Army Air Corps (37-mm Aircraft Automatic Gun M4 and MIO) as well. Production started in 1940 under the auspices of the Colt Company, and to some the gun is still known as the Colt 37 mm.

The 37-mm (1.457-in) gun was an unremarkable design that performed well enough, but it was rather let down by its ammunition which proved to be underpowered and was thus of limited value against low and fast aircraft targets. Various production and carriage changes were introduced until the M1A2 stage was reached, and at that point the British requested that the Americans should use some of their industrial potential to build Bofors guns for them. A quick perusal of the Bofors gun convinced the Americans that it was much better than their 37-mm design, and they promptly replaced the Bofors in its place. But it was some time before Bofors production could get under way, so the M1A2 continued to roll off the Colt production lines.

Somewhere along the line combat analysis revealed that many anti-aircraft gunners were not using the gunsights to aim their weapons, but were instead watching the tracer elements as they fired and correcting the aim onto the target by this means alone. While this was, and still is, a positive way to aim a gun, at calibres of 37 mm and above, it soon becomes an uneconomic practice on any scale.

Accordingly a new Combination Mount M58 was developed that carried two 12.7-mm (.5-in) Browning heavy machine-guns, one on each side of the central 37-mm barrel. As the machine-guns were ballistically very similar to the main gun their tracer could be used as the aiming element and once on target the main gun could be fired. This worked out very well in practice. Most of these combination mounts were used on halftracks or on board US Navy vessels right through the war, but there was a drawback as far as the original gunners were concerned: far from using the two machine-guns as the aiming elements of the combination, many enthusiastic gunners continued to use all three weapons to fire tracer all the time, thereby negating the original intention.

During the war large numbers of M1A2 guns and combination mounts were delivered to the USSR as part of Lend-Lease. Many of these weapons never found their way back to the United States and still appear in odd corners of the world where Soviet influence is paramount. Some remain in service with Warsaw Pact militia forces, long after they have passed from use in the West.

**Specification**

M1A2 on Carriage M3A1
- Calibre: 37 mm (1.457 in)
- Length of piece: 1.986 m (78.2 in)
- Weight in action: 2778 kg (6,124 lb)
- Muzzle velocity: 853 m (2,800 ft) per second
- Maximum ceiling: 5669 m (18,600 ft)
- Traverse: 360°
- Elevation: -5° to +90°
- Rate of fire: (cyclic) 120 rpm
- Projectile weight: 0.61 kg (1.34 lb)

**37-mm Antiaircraft Gun M1**

It had been intended that the 37-mm (1.457-in) Antiaircraft Gun M1A2 was to be the standard US Army light anti-aircraft weapon, but the Bofors Gun took over that role. However, the M1A2 remained in production until there were enough Bofors Guns to hand.

**20-mm Oerlikon**

The 20-mm Oerlikon gun has a rather long history, stretching back as far as 1914 when it was produced by Remhold Becker in Germany. Versions of this gun were used during World War I as German air force weapons, but in 1919 Becker transferred his brainchild to Switzerland, where it was produced by a firm known as SEMAG until that concern was taken over by the Werkzeug Maschinenfabrik Oerlikon at Oerlikon, still in Switzerland. Under this new concern, production of many types of Oerlikon gun expanded greatly (the original Becker weapon as the Type F, the SEMAG model as the Type L and its own version as the Type S), and the usual practice of licence production elsewhere soon followed. France was one early manufacturer (Type cm Mitrailleuse C.A. Oerlikon), and Japan another (Type 98). Sales were made worldwide, and Oerlikon aircraft and anti-aircraft guns were a common sight in many nations.

The Oerlikon was a gas-operated gun with the mechanism action assisted by the large coil springs around the barrel that was a recognition feature of the weapon. After 1935 the Oerlikon was produced in the United Kingdom for the Royal Navy so that by 1939 there were considerable numbers of this Gun, 20-mm, Oerlikon in use. This was just as well, for by 1940 they were being pressed into service on land mountings of all kinds. Some of these British mountings were simple in the extreme but others such as the Haszard semi-mobile mount were much more ‘formal’. Later in the war a triple-gun mounting with the three guns one over the other was placed into production and some of these types of mounts were later used on tracks.

**First introduced in its earliest form in 1914, the Oerlikon 20-mm saw its widest service as a naval weapon, as here, mounted on the escort carrier HMS Trumpeter on convoy duty in 1944.**

**The Swiss 20-mm (0.79-in) Oerlikon cannon was manufactured in the United Kingdom and many other countries, and was one of the most important weapons of its type in use during World War II. Although used mainly as a naval weapon, many were employed by land forces. This is the British HB Mk I mounting.**
The Oerlikon normally used a 60-round drum magazine for the feed system, but a 20-round box magazine was used on some versions, including those used by the Germans, who knew the Oerlikon as the 2-cm Flak 28 or Flak 29, and some of their guns were later passed to the Italians (Cannone Mitragliera da 20 Oerlikon). Over the Atlantic the Americans were producing Oerlikons by 1940 as the 20-mm Automatic Gun Mk IV, originally for the Royal Navy but later for their own use, and the type was particularly useful in the Pacific against Japanese kamikaze attacks. Thus the Oerlikon was another of those weapons that started life in a neutral state but ended up being used by all sides, and some of the kamikaze aircraft that attacked US Navy shipping were shot down by Oerlikon guns carried on those same ships, firing back at aircraft that also carried Oerlikon guns. The same situation prevailed in Europe, for many Luftwaffe aircraft carried Oerlikon guns of one type or another.

Many of the guns used by the United Kingdom armed forces had their origins in Royal Navy models, but these were fairly easy to adapt to a number of land mountings. At sea most Oerlikons were used on simple pedestal mountings whatever navy happened to be using them. Many are still in use today (but only rarely on land) for they continue to be a popular naval weapon, which cannot be bad for a design that can trace its origins to 1914. Since then it is probable that more Oerlikon Guns have been produced than any other weapon of their type.

The Polsten never replaced the Oerlikon gun in service, for although the Oerlikon was expensive it was very robustly made and could last for a very long time. Instead the Polsten and the Oerlikon soldiered on side by side with the British army until both were withdrawn some time during the 1950s. Even now Polstens continue to appear at odd spots around the world, and as the ammunition for them and the Oerlikon is still in widespread production there is no reason why they should not continue to work on for many years to come.

**Specification**

**Automatic Gun Mk IV**
- Calibre: 20 mm (0.787 in)
- Length of piece: 2.1 m (87 in)
- Weight: (gun only) 66.68 kg (147 lb)
- Elevation: -10° to +75°
- Traverse: 360°
- Muzzle velocity: 831 m (2,725 ft) per second
- Maximum effective ceiling: 1097 m (3,600 ft)
- Rate of fire: (cyclic) 465-480 rpm
- Projectile weight: 0.14 kg (0.31 lb)

**Twin 20-mm Oerlikons are cleaned aboard the Indian Navy sloop HMIS Narabda late in war. Still in service at sea after more than 70 years, the Oerlikon is now rarely encountered in towed form, although modern guns by the same firm are in wide use on land.**

**Polsten**

In many ways the Polsten gun may be regarded as a Polnish rather than a British weapon, but it was produced only in the United Kingdom. It had its origins in the fact that although the Oerlikon gun was a highly successful weapon it was difficult to manufacture and required a large number of machining processes. The Poles decided to make production easier: they took the basic design but introduced changes to make the weapon simpler. They just about to complete the project when the Germans invaded Poland in September 1939. Subsequently the members of the design team fled to the UK, taking their drawings and experience with them and re-established the team there. They were joined by expatriate Czechs and some British designers and in time their results were placed in production in a weapon known as the Polsten ('Pol' after Poland and 'sten' after the British Sten Company, the same company that manufactured the cheap and cheerful Sten sub-machine gun).

The Polsten was a remarkable piece of design and production engineering. The Oerlikon gun used 250 components, but the Polsten reduced this to 119; the costs were considerably reduced as a result, falling from a nominal £320 for the original to between £60 and £70 for the Polsten. Not surprisingly, as soon as the Polsten was ready it was rushed into production. That was in March 1944 and thereafter production of the Oerlikon ceased in favour of the cheaper weapon.

Although the Polsten was cheaper and easier to make it was every bit as effective as the Oerlikon original. The Polsten could fit into any mounting intended for the Oerlikon, so it was used in a diversity of roles ranging from aircraft gun to tank co-axial weapon. On ground mountings it was used as an anti-aircraft gun on a Universal Mounting that could accommodate either an Oerlikon or a Polsten. The same mounting could also accommodate a British Hispano aircraft cannon, also in 20-mm (0.787-in) calibre.

One change that was introduced as standard on the Polsten was a new magazine. The old Oerlikon drum magazine proved to be unpopular in service as it was a bulky and awkward item and took some time to load properly. It was also very difficult to make and consumed a large number of machining operations. On the Polsten it was replaced by a vertical box magazine holding 30 rounds arranged in a 'double-stack' configuration that was not only easier to load but which was much easier to change on the gun. It was also far cheaper to make.

Above: Broken down into its operating position, a Polsten gun shows the sparse construction of this simplified, lightened version of the well-tried Oerlikon.

Right: 20-mm (0.79-in) Polsten Mk I Gun on the Mounting, Universal Mk I (the wheel outline is diagramatic). The Polsten was a simplified Oerlikon Gun designed by a team of Polish engineers who fled from Poland to the United Kingdom in 1939.
### Type 98 20-mm Machine Cannon

The Army Type 98 20-mm Machine Cannon was a Japanese army weapon introduced into service in 1938, and was designed from the outset as a dual-purpose weapon capable of use against aircraft and armoured ground targets. Thus it had a rather odd-looking carriage that added to its somewhat archaic appearance. This appearance was deceptive, for the Type 98 was a thoroughly modern weapon with good overall performance.

The carriage was rather high and mounted on two spoked wooden wheels that were used to move the weapon, either as a towed unit behind a light truck or animal team, or by manpower. Once in position the tripod legs opened to form the rear components of a tripod with another outrigger leg forward. Once the tripod had been deployed the wheels were lifted off the ground to permit 360° traverse with the gunner/aimer behind the gun on a small seat. If required the entire weapon could be broken down into separate loads for animal or man-pack transport. It was possible to fire the gun direct from the wheels but since the weapon had a rather high centre of gravity it soon became unstable; moreover, it took only about three minutes to get the gun into action on its tripod with a two- or three-man crew.

The Type 98 was a very hard-hitting weapon. This was due mainly to its 20-mm (0.787-in) ammunition, which was similar to that fired from the Type 97 anti-tank rifle, though the Type 98 ammunition used a slightly longer and wider cartridge case. This cartridge enabled the Type 98 projectiles to penetrate 30 mm (1.18 in) of armour at a range of 247 m (270 yards), so the effect of the same projectile against a low-flying aircraft can well be imagined. According to many accounts the Type 98 was used more in the anti-aircraft than anti-tank role, despite the fact that its cyclic rate of fire was rather low (120 rounds per minute), decreased in service by the use of a box magazine holding 20 rounds in a vertical row.

A twin-barrelled version of the Type 98 was produced in small numbers, but this was not the only other 20-mm weapon used by the Japanese. By 1944 anti-aircraft guns were in great demand and all manner of odd weapons were impressed for the role. Surplus aircraft cannon were one source, and the Japanese navy often gave up precious weapons for extemporized mountings in the defence of strategic islands. Among these were 25-mm (0.98-in) cannon that were lifted direct from their original naval mountings into shore-located weapon pits in single-, double-, and triple-barrelled mountings. These Navy Type 96 25-mm Machine Cannon weapons had a performance very similar to that of the Army Type 98 and were used by army personnel. To provide these naval weapons with mobility, some were mounted on simple sledges for towing across level ground.

#### Specification

| Type 98 |
| --- | |
| Calibre: 20mm | 0.787 in |
| Length of piece: 1.54 m (60.6 in) | |
| Weight: in action 268.77 kg (593 lb) | |
| Elevation: -10° to +85° | |
| Traverse: 360° | |
| Muzzle velocity: 830 m (2,723 ft) per second | |

This Type 98 is placed for the anti-aircraft role and has the barrel at a full elevation. The 20-box magazine is fitted, and here the figure 11 points to a cocking handle.

The seemingly high carriage is converted to a low and stable firing platform for the anti-aircraft role; note the combination of a muzzle brake with a rather short barrel.

#### Specification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scotti</th>
<th>Calibre: 20mm</th>
<th>0.787 in</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length of piece: 1.46 m (57.5 in)</td>
<td>1.46 m (57.5 in)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight: in action 227.5 kg (502 lb)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation: -10° to +85°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverse: 360°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muzzle velocity: 830 m (2,723 ft) per second</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Cannone-Mitragliera de 20/77 (Scotti) was used alongside the Breda as the standard Italian light anti-aircraft cannon. It was longer than the Breda and could use a 60-round drum magazine, but 12-round trays could also be used. Two types were produced, one static and the other for towing by light trucks.
The 40-mm Bofors gun has by now passed virtually into legend as one of the most successful weapons of its type that has ever been produced, and it was made in nearly all the countries during World War II, and a measure of its effectiveness can be seen by the fact that it is still in service to this day.

The 40-mm (1.575-in) Bofors gun had its origins in a 1928 request from the Swedish navy for AB Bofors to design a light anti-aircraft gun. The first weapon was manufactured in 1930 and was subsequently produced in single- and twin-gun mountings for the navy, and on a mounting for the Bofors gun that it also purchased quantities from Poland and Hungary. France wanted to set up line but purchased guns from Poland.

Some nations, such as Poland, incorporated their own modifications, contributing a lighter carriage (in the 0.787-in). The 40-mm armata przeciwlotnicza wz. 36), which was later adopted by the British.
Between the world wars the French army retained great weapon stockpiles from World War I battles. Thus when it came to considerations of anti-aircraft weapons it was decided that an updated 75 (the famous 75-mm/2.95 in Model 1897) was all that was required, and that a new 12.7-mm (0.5-in) heavy machine-gun would suffice for low-level defences. The French armament manufacturers, including Hotchkiss, thought otherwise and in 1932 brought out a new 25-mm (0.98-in) automatic weapon and presented it to the military authorities.

The response was negative. The staff planners saw no need for a weapon such as the 25-mm Hotchkiss and were unwilling to consider the type. They did agree to carry out trials with the new gun, but that was all and by the mid-1930s it appeared that the project was defunct. Thus the French Civilian War, and French military observers on the spot noted that there most definitely existed a requirement for a machine-gun heavier than a machine-gun to counter the activities of ground-attack aircraft. Thus there was a rushed order to Hotchkiss for large numbers of its 25-mm weapon. But this order was beset with uncertainties regarding rates of fire, type of cartridge and so on. It was late 1938 before the order was finally sorted out and by then things had got a bit out of hand, for Hotchkiss had already started production of a model for Romania and the French order meant changes to the design and the production line. But eventually the guns started to flow from the factory.

There were two types of 25-mm Hotchkiss. One was the Mitrailleuse de 25mm sur affût universel Hotchkiss Modèle 1938, which was a light weapon transported on a single-axle carriage; the other was the Hotchkiss modèle 1939, which was a heavier weapon intended for static use but capable of being moved if required. Both were basically simple and inadequate weapons with a high rate of fire and good ammunition that was also intended for use against ground targets if the opportunity arose. Thus anard-piercing projectile was available. A version for use by the French navy was produced using a pedestal mounting, and just before the Germans invaded France in May 1940 Hotchkiss produced a twin-barrelled variant known as the Hotchkiss modèle 1940, which did not get past the initial trials stage. The main problem for the French army was that the Hotchkiss production lines could not churn out the guns in sufficient numbers. Despite wartime urgency, the Hotchkiss works were beset by industrial troubles and other delays to the extent that when the Germans invaded France only just over 1,000 Hotchkiss guns were in service, which was way below the numbers required. In the event those that were produced mainly fell into the hands of the Germans. Some were retained by the Vichy French armed forces and some used by the Free French in the Middle East; but the bulk that survived until May 1940 were impressed into German use and issued to various units based in France; some were later incorporated into the Atlantic Wall beach defences. The German designations were 2.5-cm Flak Hotchkiss 38 and 2.5-cm Flak Hotchkiss 39.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Hotchkiss modèle 38</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calibre</td>
<td>25 mm (0.98 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of piece</td>
<td>1.50 m (59 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight in action</td>
<td>850 kg (1,874 lb)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation</td>
<td>5° to +80°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverse</td>
<td>360°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muzzle velocity</td>
<td>900 m (2,953 ft) per second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of fire</td>
<td>Cyclic 350 rpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projectile weight</td>
<td>0.29 kg (0.64 lb)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The 37-mm Schneider gun was produced initially during the early 1930s, and was at the time rejected by the French army which could then see no reason for obtaining such a weapon. A similar Hotchkiss proposal met with the same response. The Schneider concern decided to go ahead with development of the design under its own auspices, and in time these efforts were rewarded by a number of export orders from nations such as Romania. More were taken by the French navy, but the numbers involved were never large.

The Spanish Civil War changed French official thinking to a radical degree: it was now clear that the bulk of the anti-aircraft weapons used by the French armed forces were at best obsolescent or, in the case of low-level defence weapons, ineffective. Accordingly large production orders were placed for weapons initially to supplement and eventually to replace existing stocks. But in the case of the 37-mm guns the French staff planners were in something of a quandary, for they had nothing in what they came to regard as the medium-calibre bracket. At the bottom end (75-mm) and 25-mm (0.98-in) weapons were selected, and at the upper end of the weapon bracket the old 75s (75-mm/2.95-in) weapons were being updated and new designs were in prospect; but there was nothing in the medium bracket and so a rushed procurement programme was launched by the French army.

The Schneider 37-mm gun was an immediate candidate for selection, but at the same time it was appreciated that it was not a very satisfactory weapon. The gun itself had a rather short barrel (resulting in a lack of range and power) and the ammunition was also not particularly powerful. Moreover it was considered that the carriage was too heavy and awkward, and took too long to get into action. Thus although the Schneider gun was ordered as the Mitrailleur de 37 mm Schneider modèle 1930, it was only ordered in parallel with the Swedish 40-mm (1.575-in) Bofors from Poland. An order for 700 Schneider guns was placed for delivery in time for the Germans to invade, but with the outbreak of war in September 1939 all apparently vanished into the scrap yards.

Between the world wars the French armed forces were at best mediocre. The Spanish Civil War changed French official thinking to a radical degree: it was now clear that the bulk of the anti-aircraft weapons used by the French armed forces were at best obsolescent or, in the case of low-level defence weapons, ineffective. Accordingly large production orders were placed for weapons initially to supplement and eventually to replace existing stocks. But in the case of the 37-mm guns the French staff planners were in something of a quandary, for they had nothing in what they came to regard as the medium-calibre bracket. At the bottom end (75-mm) and 25-mm (0.98-in) weapons were selected, and at the upper end of the weapon bracket the old 75s (75-mm/2.95-in) weapons were being updated and new designs were in prospect; but there was nothing in the medium bracket and so a rushed procurement programme was launched by the French army. The Schneider 37-mm gun was an immediate candidate for selection, but at the same time it was appreciated that it was not a very satisfactory weapon. The gun itself had a rather short barrel (resulting in a lack of range and power) and the ammunition was also not particularly powerful. Moreover it was considered that the carriage was too heavy and awkward, and took too long to get into action. Thus although the Schneider gun was ordered as the Mitrailleur de 37 mm Schneider modèle 1930, it was only ordered in parallel with the Swedish 40-mm (1.575-in) Bofors from Poland. An order for 700 Schneider guns was placed for delivery in time for the Germans to invade, but with the outbreak of war in September 1939 all apparently vanished into the scrap yards.

Similarly the Schneider 37-mm gun was not easy to manufacture, and it took time to establish the production facilities. In fact things got so far behind schedule that by early 1940 the French army planners actually approached the United States and requested large numbers of Colt 37-mm anti-aircraft guns. Nothing came of this venture before the Germans invaded.

Thus the Schneider 37-mm gun faded from the scene. The numbers taken over by the Germans were too small to be considered for the usual German inventory, and by the time 1945 came around they had all apparently vanished into the scrap furnaces. Thus the Schneider gun may be regarded as one of World War II's least successful weapons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Schneider modèle 1930</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calibre</td>
<td>37 mm (1.45 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of piece</td>
<td>not recorded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight in action</td>
<td>1,340 kg (2,954 lb)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation</td>
<td>-5° to +80°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverse</td>
<td>360°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muzzle velocity</td>
<td>800 m (2,625 ft) per second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum effective ceiling</td>
<td>3000 m (9,843 ft)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of fire</td>
<td>Cyclic 350 rpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projectile weight</td>
<td>0.29 kg (0.64 lb)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 37-mm Schneider Specification

- **Calibre**: 25 mm (0.98 in)
- **Length of piece**: 1.50 m (59 in)
- **Weight in action**: 850 kg (1,874 lb)
- **Elevation**: -5° to +80°
- **Traverse**: 360°
- **Muzzle velocity**: 900 m (2,953 ft) per second
- **Rate of fire**: Cyclic 350 rpm
- **Projectile weight**: 0.29 kg (0.64 lb)
2-cm Flak 30

By the time the new German army was ready to re-arm during the early 1930s, the German armament manufacturers had built up a considerable degree of expertise in heavy automatic weapons. This was especially true of the giant Rheinmetall-Borsig concern, and accordingly it was given a contract to produce a light anti-aircraft gun with a calibre of 20mm (0.787 in), and this was ready for service by 1935. Known as the 2-cm Flak 30, the term Flak standing for Fliegerabwehrkanone (anti-aircraft gun), this light weapon was of the type often known as a cannon, and was the first of a series of weapons that were to become dreaded by low-flying Allied aircraft crews.

The Flak 30 was for its light calibre a rather complex weapon mounted on a carriage that could be towed on two wheels and in action rested on a ground platform. This platform provided a stable firing base with 360° traverse, and had a seat behind the gun for the firer who used, in the Flak 30’s original form, a rather complicated form of iron sights. These sights became even more complicated when simple predictor systems were built into it, and at one point the small sight had reached the stage that it had to be driven by clockwork. In fact they got so complicated that the whole idea was dropped and later versions reverted to simple ‘cartwheel and bead’ iron sights. The gun had a crew of five, but in action was frequently managed by less, especially when the guns were located in static positions. Generally the number was at least four, and usually one man held and operated a stereoscopic rangefinder, though after 1944 this function was deleted as it was found to be operationally unnecessary.

Ammunition was fed into the gun in 20-round magazines, but for some never-fully determined reason the Flak 30 was prone to ammunition jams. Also, although it was perfectly adequate when first introduced, it was later discovered that its rate of fire was too slow to cope adequately with the increased aircraft speeds that prevailed after 1940. Consequently it was replaced on the production line by the later Flak 38, and those already in service were not replaced until they became worn out or were lost to enemy action.

In army light anti-aircraft Abteilungen (battalions) there were usually three 2-cm batteries to one 3.7-cm (1.457-in) battery, but as the war continued there were many variations on this theme. The Flak 30 was used not only by the Germans. Before 1939 some were sold to the Netherlands and even to China. In Germany the Flak 30 was also used by the Luftwaffe for ground defences, and the German navy had many specialized naval mountings. Some saw service for the defence of armoured trains, and the weapon was one of those mounted on several types of halftracks or trucks for the defence of mobile formations and convoys. The Flak 30 was frequently used in the ground target role, and there was even a special armour-piercing round for use against tanks.

**Specification Flak 30**

- Calibre: 20 mm (0.787 in)
- Length of piece: 2.30 m (90.6 in)
- Weight: in action 450 kg (992 lb)
- Elevation: 12° to +90°
- Traverse: 360°
- Muzzle velocity: 900 m (2,953 ft) per second
- Maximum effective ceiling: 2,200 m (7,218 ft)
- Rate of fire: (cyclic) 280 rpm
- Projectile weight: 0.119 kg (0.262 lb)

2-cm Flak 38 and Flakvierling 38

By 1940 it was already appreciated that the low rate of fire of the 2-cm (0.787-in) Flak 30 was too low for future target speeds, so steps were decided to increase the rate of fire in order to increase the possible numbers of projectiles hitting the target. It was also decided to redesign the gun to get rid of the inherent jamming problem. Rheinmetall-Borsig was not given the contract for this project. It went instead to Mauser, who had by this time a new gun that was outwardly similar to the Flak 30 but internally much was changed to provide a cyclic rate of fire of 420 to 480 rounds per minute. The ammunition, feed system and most of the carriage remained much the same as before. So did the complicated sights which were later simplified, as on the Flak 30.

The 2-cm Flak 38, as the Mauser design was known, entered service in late 1940 and eventually replaced the Flak 30 on the production lines. It served alongside the Flak 30 and was also used by the Luftwaffe and the German navy. There was even a special version for use by the German army’s mountain units that could be broken down into two units. This used the same gun as the Flak 38, but the carriage was much smaller and lighter; it was known as the 2-cm Gebirgsflak 38 and was intended to be a dual-purpose weapon for use against ground targets as well as against aircraft.

By 1940 it was appreciated that aircraft targets were not only getting faster but also heavier and better protected against ground and air fire. Undertaken with typical German thoroughness an operational analysis revealed that although the high rate of fire of the Flak 38 was more likely to ensure a target hit, the low explosive payload of the projectile was unlikely to inflict enough damage to ensure a kill. The only easy and immediate way to remedy this was to increase the number of barrels firing from one mounting, and thus the 2-cm Flakvierling 38 was developed. This was simply a single Flak 38 carriage modified to accommodate four barrels capable of firing at once. This combination became a dreaded aircraft-killer that constantly drew a toll of low-flying Allied aircraft right to the end of the war. The first such equipments entered service in late 1940 and there were never enough of them. They were used by the German army, the Luftwaffe and the navy, and many armoured mountings were improvised or produced to make them more mobile. There was a special version for use on armoured trains and at one point there was even a radar-controlled version under development. The Flakvierling required a greater number of men to serve it in action (usually six or seven), but those curved box magazines can be seen protruding from the guns, and it was these magazines with their 20-round capacity that limited the fire rate of the guns.

A British soldier examines a captured 2-cm (0.79-in) Flakvierling 38. The arrangement of the four barrels can be clearly seen, and the reflector sight is prominent. The
For the Germans there were never enough of them and throughout the Reich many production facilities were devoted to manufacture of the guns, their carriages and ammunition. This last was produced in several forms including high explosive (HE), high explosive with tracer and various forms of armour-piercing.

**Specification**

**Flak 36**
- Calibre: 20 mm (0.787 in)
- Length of piece: 2.2525 m (88.7 in)
- Weight: in action 1514 kg (3,338 lb)
- Elevation: -10° to + 100°
- Traverse: 360°
- Muzzle velocity: 900 m (2,953 ft) per second
- Maximum effective ceiling: 2200 m (7,218 ft)
- Rate of fire: (cyclic) 1,800 rpm
- Projectile weight: 0.119 kg (0.262 lb)

**Flakvierling 38**
- Calibre: 20 mm (0.787 in)
- Length of piece: 2.2525 m (88.7 in)
- Weight: in action 1514 kg (3,338 lb)
- Elevation: -10° to + 100°
- Traverse: 360°
- Muzzle velocity: 900 m (2,953 ft) per second
- Maximum effective ceiling: 2200 m (7,218 ft)
- Rate of fire: (cyclic) 1,800 rpm
- Projectile weight: 0.119 kg (0.262 lb)

**A 2-cm (0.79-in) Flakvierling 38 is mounted on a SdKfz. 71 halftrack with the crew ready for immediate action. This conversion was first produced during late 1941 and was widely used, not only against aircraft but also against tank targets. This vehicle had a crew of 10 men.**

**3.7-cm Flak 18, 36 and 37**

When the 3.7-cm Flak 18 entered service in 1935 it was regarded by the German army and Luftwaffe as a medium-calibre anti-aircraft weapon. It had been developed in Switzerland by Rheinmetall to avoid the stipulations of the 1919 Versailles Treaty, and for a time was known as the ST 10 or Solothurn S10-100. When it was first introduced the Flak 18 suffered from many teething troubles which were eventually ironed out, but even in its final form was not regarded as much of a success. In the weapon's original form, the gun and carriage were moved on a heavy and complex twin-axled arrangement, but getting in and out of action with this carriage was slow. Moreover, carriage traverse was slow and the gun mechanism was so prone to stoppages that crews had to be highly trained to cope with them. For all these drawbacks the 3.7-cm (1.457-in) Flak 18 was never replaced in service. Some examples were exported to China before 1939.

Manufacture of the original Flak 18 ceased in 1936, and in the same year production began of a new gun with the same calibre. This appeared to be the same design as before, but there were many changes, not the least of which was a new type of ammunition with only one driving band in place of the original two. The carriage was much altered to allow towing on a single axle only. Overall the new gun, known as the 3.7-cm Flak 36, retained the same performance as the earlier weapon but was much handier to use in action. There was one further variant, the 3.7-cm Flak 37, but this differed only in the type of sight fitted; this was a complex predictor-type sight powered by clockwork.

The Flak 36 and 37 were produced in large numbers, and by August 1944 the Luftwaffe alone had 4,211 in service. The German navy used various forms of the basic gun on special naval mountings, and there was a version for use on submarines. There were also several self-propelled types, some hastily mounted on tracks and converted tank chassis, and some on half-tracks. In action the usual number of men to each gun was seven, one of them operating a portable rangefinder, but after 1944 this crew member was withdrawn. Ammunition was fed into the gun in linked six-round clips.

After about 1940 the Flak 18, 36 and 37 became the standard defence weapons against low-flying aircraft and were usually organized into nine- or 12-gun batteries. Many were statically emplaced on special flak towers that provided good all-round fire close to important target areas. Special flak trains that moved around the Reich to be in position wherever Allied air attacks were heaviest also carried numbers of Flak 36s or 37s. The type was also used in the field as an anti-tank weapon on occasion and one weapon developed for use on the Eastern Front was a muzzle-loaded stick bomb that was fired against tanks using a special blank cartridge.

Production of the Flak 36 and 37 continued right up to the end of the war at three main centres (one in Czechoslovakia), but the Flak 36/37 was not an easy or cheap weapon to produce, a fact which led to the introduction of the Flak 43 guns.

**Below: The 3.7-cm (1.457-in) Flak series was used on numerous self-propelled mountings, one of which was nicknamed the 'Möbelwagen' (furniture van). It used a PzKpfwIV chassis with the gun mounted centrally and with sides that folded down to form a firing platform for the crew of seven (including the driver).**

**Right: A 3.7-cm (1.457-in) Flak 36 is in position as part of the Atlantic Wall coastal defences. This photograph was taken during 1940 or 1941, for the emplacement is still an earthwork (later it would be concrete) and the rangefinder is still included in the crew; later he would be removed to conserve manpower.**

**Specification**

**Flak 36 and Flak 37**
- Calibre: 37 mm (1.457 in)
- Length of piece: 3.626 m (142.75 in)
- Weight: in action 1550 kg (3,417 lb)
- Elevation: -8° to + 85°
- Traverse: 360°
- Maximum effective ceiling: 4800 m (15748 ft)
- Rate of fire: (cyclic) 160 rpm
- Projectile weight: 0.64 kg (1.41 lb)
By 1942 the Allied air threat over all the various battlefields was reaching the point where there were not enough air defence weapons available. The 3.7-cm (1.457-in) guns were always in demand as they were the standard weapon against low-flying aircraft, and in 1942 Rheinmetall-Borsig was busy developing a gun to replace the existing costly and slow-to-make Flak 367. As ever, Rheinmetall-Borsig came up with a novelty, not in the gun or carriage design, but in the manner of manufacture: it decided to adopt methods already in use for small-arms production.

Rheinmetall-Borsig was in competition with Krupp for the new gun contract, and at one point the order was given to the Krupp gun, which used conventional production methods. But at the last moment the Krupp design developed weaknesses and Rheinmetall-Borsig got the award. This immediately resulted in the internal party and factional wrangling that often beset the German wartime industrial dream, so by the time Rheinmetall-Borsig was actually to go ahead on a new production line well over a year had passed, Rheinmetall-Borsig was partially able to make up the leeway by the fact that its gun, known as the 3.7-cm Flak 43, was produced with stencillings, weldings and simply-fabricated components in the same way as sub-machine guns. The production time for a gun was cut by a factor of four, and the overall performance boosted by an increased rate of fire.

It was early 1944 before the first of the new guns was ready, and thereafter the type poured off the lines at Dürkopp. In service the Flak 43 proved very successful, but in the initial rush to get the new gun into production it had been decided to retain the original Flak 367/37 ammunition and barrel designs. Thus the Flak 43 was at a disadvantage from the start, for the increased speeds of low-flying aircraft and their increased degree of protection meant that a single strike from a Flak 43 did not always bring down the target aircraft. The only immediate answer to this was to multiply the number of barrels on a single carriage, and this led to the 3.7-cm Flakzwilling 43 with two barrels, one above the other, on a single mounting. This made a kill much more likely and the Flakzwilling became preferred over the single-barrel version. In the event both were produced until the end of the war, and there were even plans for a four-barrel mounting at one stage. There was also a project on which the two barrels were mounted side-by-side.

The single- and twin-barrel Flak 43s were potent weapons, but the twin-barrelled version was something of an unwieldy brute to get in and out of action because of its general top-heaviness. Fortunately for Allied aircrews, the number of Flak 43s was never enough to meet demands, especially regarding the Flakzwilling 43. By February 1945 there were 1,032 Flak 43s of both types in service, but of these only 280 were of the twin-barrelled version. In action both types required six-man crews, and if a gun was to be maintained in action for any length of time more men were needed to supply ammunition to the gun.

**Specification**

**Flak 43**  
Calibre: 37 mm (1.457 in)  
Length of piece: 3.30 m (10.83 ft)  
Weight: in action 1392 kg (3,069 lb)  
Elevation: -7.5° to +90°  
Muzzle velocity: 840 m (2,756 ft) per second  
Maximum effective ceiling: 4800 m (15,748 ft)  
Rate of fire: (cyclic) 250 rpm  
Projectile weight: 0.64 kg (1.41 lb)

**Flakzwilling 43**  
Calibre: 37 mm (1.457 in)  
Length of piece: 4.686 m (184.5 in)  
Weight: in action 3100 kg (6,834 lb)  
Elevation: -10° to +90°  
Traverse: 360°  
Muzzle velocity: 840 m (2,756 ft) per second  
Maximum effective ceiling: 3050 m (10,007 ft)  
Rate of fire: (cyclic) 180 rpm  
Projectile weight: 2.2 kg (4.85 lb)
War
Rockets

*Used to attack strongpoints, lay smokescreens and simply to support the fire of conventional artillery, the rockets of World War II are most famous for their use in massive bombardments on the Eastern Front. Firing them in huge numbers would often more than compensate for their inaccuracy.*

The war rocket is an old weapon that was resurrected during World War II to supplement existing attack and defence systems (artillery and anti-aircraft weapons). The rocket has much to offer the weapon designer as it is a relatively cheap and simple device that can be mass-produced with comparative ease, and when used *en masse* is capable of fearful devastation. But in the term *en masse* lies the main failing of the war rocket: it has to be used in great numbers to ensure that it will hit a precise target, for the rocket is inherently a projectile that will depart from a pre-select ed trajectory with alarming ease and with little apparent reason. Set against this is the fact that it can carry a powerful payload for the costs involved, and so the arguments for and against such weapons continue.

The arguments for the rocket were in the ascendancy during World War II, nearly all the major protagonists making operational use of them to some degree. Mainly it was used to supplement existing weapons, but the Soviets discovered that the rocket could at times also be regarded as a weapon in its own right. Technologically, the Germans were the most advanced of all the World War II rocket users; however, they operated rockets as a supporting weapon to eke out artillery barrages, and only rarely attempted to deploy their rocket systems in the same offensive manner as the Red Army used its various Katyushas. The Katyushas were nearly always in the forefront of the offensive to oust the German invaders from the Soviet Union, and some of the Soviet rocket types used during those times are still in service all over the world. Indeed the Russian Army has maintained the multiple rocket-launcher as an important item in its military inventory, and has improved the performance of the relevant rockets to an extraordinary degree. Only now are the Western nations beginning to relearn the importance of this weapon as a counter to massed armoured and infantry attacks.

Nevertheless, during World War II the rocket made a considerable impact on many ground campaigns. For example, the German Nebelwerfer units often tipped the balance in their favour by their application of heavy barrage fire during several battles. British rocket batteries played their part in the defence of the nation. At lower tactical levels the various American M8 weapons were often used to devastating effect in the reduction of strongpoints and bunkers. Only the Japanese failed to use the rocket to its full effect. They did make some attempts in this direction, but for the Japanese the main problem was production, not tactics.
The 15-cm (5.9-in) German artillery rockets were the mainstay of the large number of German army Nebelwerfer (literally smoke-throwing) units, initially formed to produce smoke screens for various tactical uses but later diverted to use artillery rockets as well. The 15-cm (5.9-in) rockets were extensively tested by the Germans at Kummersdorf West during the late 1930s, and by 1941 the first were ready for issue to the troops.

The 15-cm (5.9-in) rockets were of two main types: the 15-cm Wurfgranate 41 Spreng (high explosive) and 15-cm Wurfgranate 41 w Kh Nebel (smoke). In appearance both were similar and had an unusual layout, in that the rocket venturi that produced the spin stabilization were located some two-thirds of the way along the rocket body with the main payload behind them. This ensured that when the main explosive payload detonated the remains of the rocket motor added to the overall destructive effects. In flight the rocket had a distinctive droning sound that gave rise to the Allied nickname ‘Moaning Minnie’. Special versions were issued for arctic and tropical use.

The first launcher issued for use with these rockets was a single-rail device known as the ‘Do-Gerät’ (after the leader of the German rocket teams, General Dornberger). It was apparently intended for use by airborne units, but in the end little used. Instead the main launcher for the 15-cm (5.9-in) rockets was the 15-cm Nebelwerfer 41. This fired six rockets from tubular launchers carried on a converted 3.7-cm Pak 35/36 anti-tank gun carriage. The tubes were arranged in a rough circle and were fired electrically one at a time in a fixed sequence. The maximum range of these rockets was variable, but usually about 6900m (7,545 yards), and they were normally fired en masse by batteries of 12 or more launchers. When so used the effects of such a bombardment could be devastating as the rockets could cover a considerable area of target terrain and the blast of their payloads was powerful.

On the move the Nebelwerfer 41s were usually towed by light halftracks that also carried extra ammunition and other equipment, but in 1942 a half-tracked launcher was issued. This was the 15-cm Panzerwerfer 42 which continued to use the 15-cm (5.9-in) rocket tubes. The launcher tubes were arranged in two horizontal rows of five on the top of an SdKfz 4/1 Maultier armoured halftrack. These vehicles were used to supply supporting fire for armoured operations. Up to 10 rockets could be carried ready for use in the launcher and a further 10 weapons inside the armoured body. Later in the war similar launchers were used on armoured schwer Wehrmachtschlepper (SWS) halftracks that were also used to tow more Nebelwerfer 41s. The SWS could carry up to 26 rockets inside its armoured hull.

The 15-cm (5.9-in) rockets were also used with the launchers intended for the 30-cm (11.8-in) rockets, with special rails for the smaller rockets fitted into the existing 30-cm (11.8-in) launcher rails.

**Specification**

15-cm Wurfgranate 41 Spreng

- **Dimension:** length 979 mm (38.55 in);
- **Diameter:** 158 mm (6.22 in);
- **Weights:** overall 31.8 kg (70 lb);
- **Performance:** initial velocity 342 m (1,120 ft) per second; range 7055 m (7,715 yards);

**Specification**

15-cm Wurfgranate 41 w KhNebel

- **Dimension:** length 1020 mm (40.16 in);
- **Diameter:** 158 mm (6.22 in);
- **Weights:** overall 35.9 kg (79 lb);
- **Performance:** initial velocity 342 m (1,120 ft) per second; range 6905 m (7,550 yards);

The 15-cm rockets were among the earliest in widespread use by the German army, following an extensive pre-war test programme.

**Originally fired from a 6-barrel mount converted from the Pak 35/36 gun carriage, by 1942 the 10-tube launcher had been developed.**

Following on from the success of their 15-cm (5.9-in) rockets, German designers decided to produce a larger rocket which by 1941 emerged as a 210-mm (8.27-in) design. At first sight this rocket, known as the 21-cm Wurfgranate 42, looked exactly like a conventional artillery projectile, but closer examination showed that the base had 22 angled venturi to impart the important spin stabilization. The long streamlined nose was also deceptive, for it was hollow and the warhead proper was located some distance from the tip. This rocket contained no less than 10.17 kg (22.4 lb) of high explosive, which on detonation produced a powerful blast effect. The weapon was so successful in this destructive role that only high explosive versions were produced.

**Specification**

15-cm Wurfgranate 42

- **Dimension:** length 1020 mm (40.16 in);
- **Diameter:** 158 mm (6.22 in);
- **Weights:** overall 35.9 kg (79 lb);
- **Performance:** initial velocity 342 m (1,120 ft) per second; range 6905 m (7,550 yards);

The 21-cm rocket superficially resembled a conventional artillery round, but its streamlined nose was hollow and its base had 22 angled venturi to produce spin stabilization.
The 21-cm (8.27-in) rocket was used with only one type of projector, the 21-cm Nebelwerfer 42. This weapon, equipped with only one type of projector, the 21-cm Nebelwerfer 42, appeared in action in the Soviet Union during 1943 as it took some time to finalize the launcher design. Originally designed to have been a simple enlargement of the existing 15-cm (5.9-in) Nebelwerfer 41 complete with six launcher tubes, but the number of tubes was eventually reduced to five and that solved the problems. In all other respects the carriage was the same as the earlier design and was a modification of the 3.7-cm (1.456-in) Pak 35/36 anti-tank gun carriage. As with the 15-cm (5.9-in) rockets the firing of the 21-cm (8.27-in) weapon was by electrical means. Once the rockets had been loaded in their tubes the launcher crew withdrew to a safe distance (or even took cover), and on receipt of the firing order one of the crew operated a special switch-gear box and the full load of rockets were fired one at a time in a fixed sequence. The salvo firing of the rockets produced a considerable amount of smoke and dust that revealed the launcher and battery position to the enemy, and during their trajectory the rockets produced their characteristic moaning noise that made them so distinctive a weapon. This combination of smoke, dust and noise meant that the Nebelwerfer troops had to be experts at getting an artillery section quickly ready for firing of the large salvos necessary to cover a target quickly produced counterbattery artillery or rocket fire that could neutralize the launcher units.

The 21-cm (8.27-in) rockets made a considerable impression on all who had to endure their effects, and the Americans in particular considered the rocket and launcher design to be so far in advance of anything they could produce that they took some examples back to the USA and copied them. The US version was the 210-mm (8.27-in) R3, which was used for a series of trials and research programs that did nothing to produce an operational weapon but which added considerably to the Americans knowledge of artillery rocket technology.

Specification
21-cm Wurfgranate 42 Spreng
Dimensions: length 1.25 m (49.21 in); body diameter 210 mm (8.27 in)
Weights: overall 109.55 kg (241.5 lb); propellant 18.27 kg (40.25 lb);
explosive charge 10.17 kg (22.4 lb)
Performance: initial velocity 320 m/s (1,050 ft) per second; range about 7850 m (8,585 yards)

The 28-cm (11-in) and 32-cm (12.6-in) rockets preceded the 15-cm (5.9-in) rockets in service with the German army, the first of them being issued for use during 1940. The two rockets shared the same rocket motor, but differed in their payload. Both were awkward and bulky rockets with a poor ballistic shape, but both had powerful payloads.

The smaller weapon was the 28-cm Wurfrkörper M Fl 50 which entered service in 1943, the 21-cm Wurfgranate 42 was to have used the same carriage as the 15-cm rocket, but the number of tubes had to be reduced to five to compensate for the increased charge. The Americans were so impressed by the 21-cm weapon that they copied it.

The short-ranged but powerful 28-cm and 32-cm rockets were among the first to be fitted to vehicles, in this case the ubiquitous SdKfz 251. This conversion was known as the 'TootStuka' or 'Howling Cow'.

Specification
28-cm Wurfkörper Spreng
Dimensions: length 1.19 m (46.85 in); body diameter 280 mm (11 in)
Weights: overall 82.2 kg (181 lb); propellant 6.6 kg (14.56 lb); filling 49.9 kg (110 lb)
Performance: range about 2138 m (2,337 yards)

Specification
32-cm Wurfrkörper M Fl 50
Dimensions: length 1.289 m (50.75 in); body diameter 320 mm (12.6 in)
Weights: overall 79 kg (174 lb); propellant 6.6 kg (14.56 lb); filling 59.8 kg (87.7 lb)
Performance: range about 2028 m (2,217 yards)
30-cm Wurfkörper 42

Compared with 28-cm (11-in) and 32-cm (12.6-in) rockets which preceded it, the 30-cm Wurfkörper 42 Spreng (also known as the Wurfkörper Spreng 4491) was a considerable improvement on the earlier designs when it appeared on the artillery scene during late 1942. Not only was it in aerodynamic terms a much smoother and cleaner design, but it had a much higher propellant/payload ratio than any other German artillery rocket. However, to the troops in the fields these technical niceties were far less important than the fact that the more advanced type of propellant used with the new rocket produced far less smoke and exhaust trails than the other rockets, and was thus far less likely to give away the firing position. But for all this improvement the 30-cm (11.8-in) rocket did not have any marked range advantages over the existing rockets. It had a theoretical range of some 6000 m (6,560 yards), but practical ranges were of the order of 4550 m (4,975 yards).

The first launcher used with the new 30-cm (11.8-in) rockets was the 30-cm Nebelwerfer 42. This was a simple conversion of the 28/32-cm Nebelwerfer 41 with the simple rail launching frames to accommodate the new rocket shape and size. But this simple conversion did not last long, for as almost as it was issued a new programme of rationalization was drawn up and the special trailer of the Nebelwerfer 41 and 42 was eliminated. Instead a new trailer based on the carriage of the 5-cm Pak 38 anti-tank gun was placed into production and the 30-cm (11.8-in) launcher frames were placed on this to produce the 30-cm Nebelwerfer 56.

Raketwerfer 56e, to ensure that the new launcher could be used to the maximum each was provided with a set of launcher rail inserts to allow 15-cm (5.9-in) rockets to be fired if required. When not in use, these 15-cm (5.9-in) rails were stacked on top of the 30-cm (11.8-in) frames. Yet another rationalization was that the 30-cm (11.8-in) rockets could also be fired from the schwerer Wurfrahmen launcher frames of the 28-cm 251/1 half-track, originally intended for use by the 28-cm (11-in) and 32-cm (12.6-in) rockets. When launched from the special trailer, the 30-cm (11.8-in) rockets were fired from their carrying crates or packkiste, and no doubt the 30-cm (11.8-in) rockets were used by assault pioneers for direct firing from their crates in the same manner as the earlier 28-cm (11-in) and 32-cm (12.6-in) weapons.

Despite its relative improvements on the earlier artillery rockets, the rationalization was that the 30-cm (11.8-in) rocket was an improvement over its immediate predecessors, being much cleaner aerodynamically and leaving much less telltale smoke in its wake. Despite these advantages it could not be produced in sufficient quantity to supplant the earlier designs.

A gunner places some rather optimistic camouflage over a 30-cm rocket launcher. Initially fired from modified 28/32 cm launchers, the 30-cm rocket was soon provided with its own carriage, based on that of the 5-cm Pak 38 anti-tank gun.

The 30-cm (11.8-in) rocket was not used in very great numbers. The earlier launchers remained in service right until the end of the war despite a late attempt to replace all existing weapons, including the 30-cm (11.8-in) type, by an entirely new 12-cm (4.72-in) spin-stabilized design. This decision was made too late in the war for anything actually to reach the troops, and it now appears doubtful if any 12-cm (4.72-in) rockets were ever made.

Specification
30-cm Wurfkörper 42
Dimensions: length 1,320 m (4,371 in); body diameter 300 mm (11.8 in)
Weights: overall 125.7 kg (277 lb); propellant 15 kg (33.07 lb); explosive 180.7 kg (398 lb)
Performance: initial velocity 230 m (754 ft) per second; range about 4550 m (4,975 yards)

Raketwerfer 56e
Dimensions: length 1,900 m (6,234 in); body diameter 300 mm (11.8 in)
Weights: overall 90.12 kg (198.5 lb); propellant 8.3 kg (18.3 lb); filling 17.52 kg (38.6 lb)
Performance: initial velocity not known; range not known

Japanese rockets

The Japanese recognized the value of the artillery rocket to their under-armed and often improvised armed forces and carried out considerable design and development work in order to provide a weapon that could make up for their lack of industrial capacity. Unfortunately, for them their efforts were patchy and well behind the work carried out by the Allies.

The Army 20-cm Rocket may be regarded as the better of the two projects. It was a spin-stabilized rocket using six base vents to impart propulsion and spin, and had an overall resemblance to an artillery projectile. To fire this rocket the army provided what appeared to be an oversize mortar known as the Type 4 Rocket Launcher. The rocket was inserted into the ‘barrel’ by raising part of the upper section of the barrel and part of the tube base was open. This launcher was supposed to deliver the rocket relatively accurately, but few equipment appeared to have been issued and most of these were used for coastal defence.

The Navy 20-cm Rocket resembled the army weapon in many respects, but was intended for launching from troughs made from simple wooden planks, or in some cases more sophisticated metal troughs. At times the rockets were simply emplaced to be launched directly from holes dug in the ground. A more conventional launcher used in small numbers only was a simple barrel on a light artillery-type carriage.

These 20-cm (7.87-in) rockets formed the bulk of the Japanese programmes but there were others. One was the Type 10 Rocket Motor, which was a simple propulsion unit designed to push aircraft bombs along ramps or troughs to launch them. At least two versions of the Type 10 existed but they were very inaccurate and had a maximum range of only 1830 m (2,000 yards). The launchers used for these rocket motors were often improvised, and improvisation was also used in at least one case where the conventional fins of an aircraft 250-kg (551 lb) bomb were replaced by a large rocket motor for launching from a simple wooden trough. Some intelligence reports from the period (1945) speak of these launchers mounted on trucks, but no confirmation of these has been established.

The largest of all the Japanese rockets had a diameter of 447 mm (17.6 in), and this 44.7-cm Rocket was a somewhat crude spin-stabilized design that was used in action on Iwo Jima and Luzon. It had a range of 1958 m (2,140 yards) at best, and was launched from short wooden racks or frames. It was wildly inaccurate, but it did have a warhead weighing 180.7 kg (398 lb).

By the time these rockets were used Japanese industrial capacity was in such a state that the conventional high explosive warheads for these rockets often had to be replaced by simple picric acid.

Specification
Army 20-cm Rocket
Dimensions: length 984 mm (38.75 in); diameter 202 mm (7.95 in)
Weights: overall 92.6 kg (44.95 lb); propellant not known; filling 16.2 kg (35.8 lb)
Performance: initial velocity not known; range not known

Specification
Navy 20-cm Rocket
Dimensions: length 1,041 m (41 in); diameter 210 mm (8.27 in)
Weights: overall 121 kg (268 lb); propellant 8.3 kg (18.3 lb); filling 17.52 kg (38.6 lb)
Performance: initial velocity not known; range 1800 m (1,970 yards)
M-8 82-mm rocket

During the 1920s and 1930s the Soviet Union used a great deal of its research potential to determine exactly how propellants suitable for rockets could be mass produced. Even before 1918 the Russians had been great advocates of the war rocket, and after this the Soviets were determined to remain in the forefront of rocket technology despite the fact that they were hampered by a lack of industrial potential, which in turn led to their selection of the simpler and more easily produced fin-stabilized over the more accurate spin-stabilized rockets. One of their very first designs, produced during the late 1930s, was one of their most famous rockets, namely the 82-mm (3.23-in) M-8.

The M-8 rocket was an off-shoot of an aircraft rocket programme. The aircraft rocket was the RS-82, and such was the state of the Soviet rocket development programme that it actually entered service after the 132-mm (5.2-in) rocket. The M-8 was a small rocket with a maximum range of 5900 m (6,455 yards) that carried a fragmentation warhead. It was carried on and fired from a series of rails carried on 6x6 trucks, and these rail launchers were just one type of the series of weapons known as Katyusha. One of the first of these multiple launchers was carried on a ZiS-6 6x6 truck. As this arrangement could carry and launch up to 36 M-8 rockets it was known as the BM-8-36, the BM denoting ‘combat vehicle’ as a cover name. It was not the only vehicle that fired the M-8 rocket, for when sufficient US-supplied Lend-Lease trucks became available these too were used as M-8 launcher vehicles: typical of these was the Studebaker 6x6, which was large enough to take rails for 48 rockets and which thus became the BM-8-48. But being wheeled, these launchers could not always traverse the rough terrain of the Soviet Union or keep up with the tank units they were meant to support. At one point experiments were made to fit single-rail launchers to the sides of tank turrets, but they came to nothing. Instead numbers of the T-60 light tank, which had proved to be of little combat value in its designed role, were converted to take rails for 24 M-8 rockets and the type thus became known as the BM-8-24.

There were other launchers for the M-8 rocket, including a special eight-rocket frame intended for use by mountain troops. On all of the M-8 launchers the rockets were fired not in a massed salvo but in ripples under the control of an electrical rotary switch box.

The M-8 rockets had quite an effect on the recipient German troops who had to endure the high fragmentation warheads fired into them in large numbers. The Waffen SS was so impressed that it decided to copy the design direct (along with the launcher rails) as its own ‘Himmlerorgel’. The M-8 rockets remained in service throughout the war, but following 1945 was gradually phased from use in favour of the heavier Soviet war rockets and in particular the 132-mm (5.2-in) and 310-mm (12.2-in) rockets.

M-13 132-mm rocket

The most widely used of all the Soviet war rockets during World War II was the M-13 132-mm (5.2-in) weapon. It was designed during the late 1930s, and when the Germans invaded the Soviet Union in 1941 there were only a few production launchers and a small stock of rockets to hand. These were pressed into service as an emergency measure and first went into action on the Smolensk front in July 1941, when they caused near-panic among the hapless German troops. This is hardly surprising, for in a period of under 10 seconds a single M-13 battery could swamp a large area in high explosive to an extent hitherto unseen in warfare.

These first M-13 batteries were very much special units. The launchers for the M-13 fin-stabilized rockets were carried by ZiS-6 6x6 trucks with rails for 16 rockets. The rails were known as ‘Flute’ launchers to the Soviet troops as a result of their perforated appearance, but they soon gained the name Katyusha, and at one time were known as ‘Kostikov guns’ after their supposed designer. For security purposes the launchers were usually shrouded in tarpaulins when not in use, and the crews were culled from Communist party members in order to maintain tight security. But it was not long before the M-13 launchers were in widespread use and their secrets became common knowledge.

The basic M-13 rocket had a range of about 8000 to 8500 m (8,750 to 9,250 yards). The usual warhead was of the HE fragmentation type, and as always with fin-stabilized rockets accuracy was not of a high order. But as the M-13s were usually used in massed
barrages this last mattered only little. Later versions of the M-13 used a form of efflux diversion to introduce more spin for increased accuracy, but this measure reduced the range slightly. As mentioned above, the first launcher type used 16 rails and was known as the BM-13-16, but when supplies of Lend-Lease trucks became available they too were used as Katyusha carriers. Several types of truck, including Studebakers, Fords, Chevrolets and Internationals were so used, along with STZ-5 artillery tractors and other vehicles. These BM-13-16 launchers had no traverse and only limited elevation, and were laid by pointing the carrier vehicle towards the target. Some carrier vehicles used steel shutters to protect the cab and crew during the launching sequence.

As the war progressed more types of M-13 warheads were introduced, including armour-piercing to break up tank formations, flare for night illumination, incendiary and signal. One variation was the M-13-DD, which used two rocket motors burning together at launch to produce a possible range of 11800 m (12,905 yards), and this rocket was launched from the upper rails of the launcher only. The M-13-DD had the greatest range of all solid-propellant artillery rockets in World War II. After 1945 the M-13 rocket batteries remained in Red Army use right up to 1980, when they were finally replaced by later models. The M-13 is still in service with many countries, although modern trucks are now used as carriers in place of the old war-time models. In fact the development life of the basic M-13 is still not over, for the Chinese are now using the rocket as a form of mine-laying device known as the Type 74.

Themost widely used rocket of the war, the Russian M-13132-mm weapon came as a disagreeable surprise to German troops on the Smolensk front in July 1941. It continued to serve in the Red Army until 1980 and still equips several Russian allies today.

### M-30 and M-31 300-mm rockets

The M-30 300-mm (11.8-in) rocket was introduced during 1942 when it was appreciated as good as the M-31 and M-30 rockets were, a heavier explosive warhead would be an advantage. The M-30 used a modified M-13 rocket motor allied to a bulbous warhead which contained 28.9 kg (63.7 lb) of explosive, which more than met the requirement though the range was limited to no more than 2800 m (3,060 yards). The first M-30s were fired from their carrying crates with the aid of a crane known as Rama, which was a close copy of the German method of using the Packkiste for launching from the schwere Wurfgerat. These Ramas were cumbersome devices that were laborious to set up close to the front line, and were little liked by the Red Army troops. But they did like the M-30 rocket for its powerful effects, even going to the extent of using the M-30 for ambushes against tanks or for house-to-house fighting. When used in this role the M-30 was simply aimed at the target while still in its carrying crate and fired at very close range.

By the end of 1942 a newer version of the M-30 rocket (11.8-in) rocket was ready and this was known as the M-31 to differentiate it from the earlier model. The M-31 had an improved rocket motor, that gave a range of 4300 m (4,705 yards). This rocket could be fired from the Rama frames in the same manner as the M-30, but later Ramas could take six M-31s or M-30s in place of the original four. By March 1944 the first mobile launchers for the M-31 appeared. These could carry up to 12 M-31s (the short range of the M-30 ruled out their use with the mobile launchers), and the type was thus known as the BM-31-12. Early versions of this launcher were carried by the ZiS-6 6x6 truck, but most wartime production examples were carried on Lend-Lease Studebaker US-6 6x6 trucks. These American trucks were fitted with steel shutters over the cab windows for protection against blast when the rockets were fired.

After 1945 the M-31 rockets did not survive for many years as they were essentially short-range weapons, and as such often suffered from counterbattery fire. But the basic M-31 did undergo some development before it was dropped. There was an M-31-UK which used some of the efflux gases to impart a measure of spin for increased stabilization and hence accuracy. By the time the M-13-UK could greatly decrease the area of ground covered by a battery and thus increase the amount of explosive falling upon a point target. The M-30 and M-31 rockets were fitted only with HE warheads. They were undoubtedly powerful projectiles, but they lacked range and for much of the war their mobility was virtually nonexistent as they had to be fired from the static Rama frames. It was not until the later stages of the war that they were provided with mobility in the form of the BM-31-12, a tardiness for which the German troops on the Eastern Front were no doubt grateful.

### Specification

**M-30**

- **Dimensions:** length 1.41 m (55.9 in); body diameter 132 mm (5.2 in).
- **Weights:** overall 42.5 kg (93.7 lb); propellant 7.2 kg (15.87 lb); explosive 4.9 kg (10.8 lb).
- **Performance:** initial velocity 355 m (1,165 ft) per second; range 8500 m (9,295 yards).

**M-31**

- **Dimensions:** length 1.76 m (69.3 in); body diameter 300 mm (11.8 m).
- **Weights:** overall 91.5 kg (201.7 lb); propellant 7.2 kg (15.87 lb); explosive 28.9 kg (63.7 lb).
- **Performance:** initial velocity 255 m (836 ft) per second.

---

**Entering service in 1942, the M-30 300-mm rocket carried almost six times as much explosive as the M-13, but its heavy payload reduced its range to under 3 km (1.8 miles). The first mobile launchers were introduced in 1944.**
When the USA entered the war in 1941, the US forces had no rockets at all in service or in prospect, but with typical American energy they used their considerable industrial potential and technical knowledge to remedy this deficit with great speed. In what seemed like no time at all they had erected huge facilities for producing rocket propellants of all kinds and were busy designing and producing rockets for all purposes. One of these rockets was a relatively straightforward fin-stabilized weapon known initially as the T12 but later standardized as the Rocket, HE, 4.5-in, M8. This nose-fused 114.3-mm (4.5-in) rocket was destined to be fabricated in larger numbers than any other World War II artillery rocket, no fewer than 2,537,000 being produced by the time the war ended.

The M8A1 and M8A2 were slight variations of the M8 and were used in the same manner: the former had a strengthened motor body and the latter had a smaller warhead with thicker walls. The M8A3 was an M8A2 with modified fins.

Being only fin-stabilized, the M8 was inherently inaccurate and was accordingly used not for the engagement of point targets but for the saturation of large areas with fire. Thus it was used extensively for the mass bombardment of target areas before amphibious landings or as a supplement to massed artillery bombardments. Even at short ranges its accuracy was erratic, so nearly all the launchers used with the M8 were multiple types. Typical of these was the T27 Multiple Rocket Launcher which fired eight M8 rockets and was carried on the back of a CMC or Studebaker 2 1/2-ton truck. There were several variations of this launcher, one (the T27E2) with capacity for up to 24 rockets. The T34 or Calliope was a large launcher carried over the turret of an M4 Sherman medium tank. The Calliope had no fewer than 60 launching tubes and was constructed from plywood as it was a one-shot weapon for use against strongpoints. After firing or in an emergency the whole device could be jettisoned. The T44 was even larger than the Calliope as it had 120 launcher tubes, and was designed for installation in the cargo area of a DUKW or LVT amphibious vehicle. This was a simple area-saturation launcher as there was no method of varying elevation or traverse. A similar device known as the Scorpion but mounting 144 launchers was used on DUKWs in the Pacific theatre. The T45 was a twin 14-barrel launcher that could be fitted to the sides of various vehicles, including light trucks. Yet another launcher that fired the M8 rocket was the M12 which was a single-shot 'bunker-buster' along the lines of the British LIL.

Despite the large-scale use of the M8, its inaccuracy was such that it was considered inadequate as an artillery rocket. Using knowledge gained from trials with captured German rockets, the Americans developed a 114.3-mm (4.5-in) spin-stabilized rocket known as the M16, along with a multiple launcher known as the T66 which could fire 24 rockets in two seconds. This combination arrived on the battlefronts somewhat late in the war and was used during only one engagement in Germany before the end of the war in Europe. It was not used in the Pacific theatre, but was retained on the books for some years after 1945.

**Specification**

*Rocket, HE, 4.5-in, M8*

- **Dimensions:** length 838 mm (33 in); body diameter 114.3 mm (4.5 in)
- **Weights:** overall 17.5 kg (38.5 lb); propellant 2.16 kg (4.75 lb); explosive 1.95 kg (4.3 lb)
- **Performance:** maximum velocity 259 m (850 ft) per second; maximum range 4205 m (4,600 yards)

*Left:* M4 Sherman tanks sport the T-34 60-tube launcher known as Calliope. The tubes were made of plywood and could only be used a few times before disintegrating, but the launcher provided tank units with awesome close-range firepower.

*Above:* A gunner checks the sights of the simple T-27 eight-tube launcher, generally fitted to CMC or Studebaker 2 1/2-ton trucks. The M8 was also fired from massive 120- or even 140-round launchers fitted to DUKW amphibious vehicles for beach assault.
During the late 1930s the need for improved defence of the United Kingdom against air attack was finally appreciated, but at the time it was thought that to produce enough anti-aircraft guns to meet immediate needs would take too long. Thus the rocket was investigated to see if it could provide a cheaper and more easily-manufactured alternative to the gun, and among the first designs investigated was a type known as the 2-in Rocket. As things turned out later 76.2-mm (3-in) rockets proved to be more promising, but at the time the smaller rocket seemed quite encouraging and work went ahead on the design with some momentum.

The 51-mm (2-in) rocket was a simple device that used a propellant known as solventless cordite or SCRK. The overall simplicity of the weapon could be seen in the fact that the earliest designs used a direct-action wind vane on the nose to arm the fuse after firing, with a self-destruct timer to destroy the weapon after it had been in flight for 4.5 seconds, by which time it would have reached a maximum height of about 1,370 m (4,500 ft).

In the event the 51-mm (2-in) rocket was used mainly to arm light naval vessels and some merchant shipping. There were many and various simple naval rocket launchers, the basic vertical launchers that were mounted on each side of the bridge on many light vessels. These were supposed to launch their rockets as a low-flying aircraft attacked the ship. As the rockets rose they were designed to carry aloft a length of light wire that would entangle itself in the aircraft's propellers and bring it down. The system never worked and neither did many other similar and somewhat optimistic devices. There was a high explosive version that could carry a 0.25-kg (0.56-lb) warhead, but by the time this was ready it was appreciated that the larger 76.2-mm (3-in) rocket was much better for this role and relatively few 51-mm (2-in) rockets were produced.

One naval mounting that was used on land was the one known as the 2-in Rocket Mounting Mk II, Pillar Box mounting. This was used during the desperate days of 1940 and 1941 to provide at least a measure of coastal anti-aircraft defence, and could launch up to 20 rockets. The rockets were arranged in two vertical rows of five on each side of a central drum housing in which the aimer operated the simple controls. This drum housing gave the Pillar Box mounting its name. The aimer could fire all 20 of the rockets in one salvo or two salvos of 10 rockets using electrical ignition.

Other forms of land-mounted 51-mm (2-in) rocket launchers existed and were used but only in very small numbers as temporary defensive measures. The 51-mm (2-in) rocket was really too small and light to have any great destructive effect, but the lessons learned in the design and development of these early attempts at war rockets had a good effect on later designs.

The next improvement in launching methods was the Projector, Rocket, 3-in, No. 2 Mk 1 came along. This used a two-rail launching system and was produced in some numbers, still firing the 76.2-mm (3-in) rocket but fitted with more sophisticated fusing systems including early efforts at long-range fusing and other electro-magnetic devices. Some of these No. 2 projectors saw action in North Africa, including port defence at Tobruk.

The next improvement in launching methods was the Projector, Rocket, 3-in, No. 4 Mk 1 and Mk 2. This had no fewer than 36 launcher rails to fire nine rockets in a ripple sequence. This projector was mobile as it was carried on converted 76.2-mm (3-in) anti-aircraft platform trailers. Again some of these projectors were used in North Africa. The largest of all the British 76.2-mm (3-in) rocket projectors was the Projector, Rocket, 3-in, No. 6 Mk 1, which could fire 20 rockets in four salvos. This entered service in 1944 and was intended for use in static locations for
By home defence. The time they were ready many were manned by Home Guard units as they were considered simple enough for relatively untrained users, especially when they were fired en masse by battery at easily-visible targets. In the event they were little used.

One unexpected offshoot from the anti-aircraft rocket programme was that the 76.2-mm (3-in) rocket was taken up as an aircraft weapon. Fired from short launcher rails it proved to be a devastating ground attack missile, especially against tanks, and during 1944 proved to be one of the most powerful of all anti-tank weapons when used by 'cab-rank' Hawker Typhoons over the Normandy battlefields. By the time the war ended the airborne 76.2-mm (3-in) rocket had reached a considerable state of design development and was even being used to sink U-boats.

Specification
3-in Rocket
Dimensions: length 1.93 m (6 feet 11 in); body diameter 82.6 mm (3.25 in)
Weights: overall 24.5 kg (54 lb); propellant 5.76 kg (12.7 lb); warhead 1.94 kg (4.28 lb)
Performance: maximum velocity 457 m (1,500 ft) per second; service ceiling 6770 m (22,200 ft); horizontal range 3720 m (4,070 yards)

UK

LILO

By 1944 the Allies were becoming accustomed to the Japanese tactic of using heavily-protected bunkers to delay Allied advances, not only on the Pacific Islands but also in the land warfare raging in South East Asia. The only effective way to demolish these formidable defensive works was by the use of heavy artillery at close ranges, but the Japanese did not always build their bunkers where such heavy weapons could get at them. The rocket was obviously a relatively portable method of dealing with such obstacles, and thus there emerged a programme known by the cover name LILO.

LILO was a very simple single-barrel launcher designed to fire a rocket at short range against bunker-type targets. It fired a projectile powered by the Motor, Rocket, 3-in, No. 7 Mark 1 to which two types of warhead could be fitted. Both were HE types, one weighing 17.8 kg (39.25 lb) complete and the other 35.5 kg (78.25 lb) complete. The idea was that the LILO projector could be carried to its firing location by one man, with another carrying a rocket on a suitable backpack. The projector was then set up as close to its intended target as possible and the rocket loaded into the launcher tube from the front. Open sights were used to aim the weapon, the back legs of the launcher being moved for changes in elevation. When all was ready the rocket was fired electrically, using a light 3.4-volt battery. The LILO rockets were capable of penetrating 3.05 m (10ft) of earth plus a layer of logs, so they could normally penetrate any Japanese bunker. But the main problem was hitting the target: despite the fact that a degree of spin was imparted to the rocket as it was launched, the inherent inaccuracy of the rocket was such that to ensure a 95 per cent chance of hitting a point target distant only some 45 to 50 m (49 to 55 yards), five rockets had to be fired. This may sound uneconomic but the alternative was to bring up heavy artillery with all its attendant risks and labour.

The Americans also used a short-range rocket for the same purpose as LILO. Their device was known as the M12 Rocket Launcher which fired a 114.3-mm (4.5-in) rocket, and this resembled LILO in many ways apart from the fact that the first launcher tubes used were plastic and were discarded after firing. Such a system proved to be too wasteful, even for the US war economy, so a later version was developed as the M12E1 which used a magnesium alloy tube that could be reloaded and reused. These projectors were used during the latter stages of the fighting on Okinawa when the Japanese defenders had to be blasted from their heavily-defended caves.

Specification
LILO rocket (9.53-kg/21-lb warhead)
Dimensions: length 1.238 m (48.75 in); body diameter 82.55 mm (3.25 in)
Weights: overall 17.8 kg (39.25 lb); propellant 1.93 kg (4.25 lb); explosive 1.8 kg (4 lb)
Performance: not stated

Specification
LILO rocket (27.2-kg/60-lb warhead)
Dimensions: length 1.321 m (52 in); body diameter 152 mm (6 in)
Weights: overall 35.5 kg (78.25 lb); propellant 1.93 kg (4.25 lb); explosive 6.24 kg (13.75 lb)
Performance: not stated

As the Allies drove the Japanese back towards their homeland, numerous expedients were tried to knock out the toughly-constructed bunkers that were the hallmark of Japanese positions. One such was LILO - a short-range single-shot 60-lb (27-kg) rocket.
Although early development of the war rocket in the United Kingdom was initially to produce an anti-aircraft weapon, some consideration was also given to producing an artillery rocket. One early attempt at this was a design for a 127-mm (5-in) rocket which was rejected by the army but adopted by the Royal Navy for use in modified landing craft for the saturation of landing beaches and approaches by massed rocket fire. This eventually evolved as the ‘Mattress’, but range was limited. However, further trials revealed that the range could be improved by introducing, at launch, a degree of spin which would also improve accuracy, and this was simply achieved by using an aircraft 76.2-mm (3-in) rocket motor attached to a naval 13-kg (29-lb) warhead. This increased range to a possible 7315m (8,000 yards), making the artillery rocket a viable proposition once more. Thus ‘Mattress’ became ‘Land Mattress’.

The first army launchers for these new Land Mattress rockets had 32 barrels, but a later version had 30 barrels. Demonstrations of this launcher greatly impressed Canadian army staff officers, who requested a 12-launcher battery which in the event was ready for action on 1 November 1944. This battery went into action during the crossing of the River Scheldt and was a great success, to the extent that more were requested and produced. The Land Mattress launcher was limited in its elevation capabilities to between 23° and 45°, and this not only limited the maximum range to 7225 m (7,900 yards) but also limited the minimum range to 6125 m (6,700 yards). To reduce the minimum range possible, a system of rotary spoilers over the rocket exhausts was formulated and put into use. The rotary spoiler disturbed the exhaust gases by closing off their efflux by varying amounts, and thus reducing the minimum range to 3565 m (3,900 yards).

For all the success of the Land Mattress, not many equipments were used in action before the war ended in Europe in May 1944. By that time many were only just emerging from the factories ready to be sent off to South East Asia, but their use there was very limited, as a result mainly of the weight and bulk of the projectors in the area’s jungle conditions. A special 16-barrel version was accordingly developed for towing by a Jeep, but the war was over by the time it was ready for service.

In action, a single Land Mattress projector salvo could result in 50 per cent of the rockets falling in an area 215 m (235 yards) long by 219 m (240 yards) wide. The rockets were fired in ripples at 0.25-second intervals so that the entire salvo could be fired in 7.25 seconds. During the crossing of the Scheldt the first Land Mattress battery fired 1,146 rounds over a six-hour period. As each warhead payload weighed 3.18 kg (7 lb), the effects can well be imagined.

**Specification**

- **Land Mattress (rocket)**
  - Dimensions: length 1.77 m (69.7 in)
  - Weights: overall 30.5 kg (67.25 lb); propellant 5 kg (11 lb); payload 3.18 kg (7 lb)
  - Performance: maximum velocity 335 m (1,100 ft) per second; maximum range 7225 m (7,900 yards)

Above: Loading 30.5-kg (67-lb) rockets into the 32-round launchers was an exhausting job, but to be effective rockets had to be fired in big volleys. The first Land Mattress battery fired over 1,000 rounds in six hours during the crossing of the Scheldt.
Anti-Tank Guns

The few years prior to and during World War II saw the anti-tank gun make a significant entry into the battlefield. Few realized that within a short time the recoilless rifle and guided missile would in turn come to the fore and the specialist anti-tank gun would virtually disappear.

The anti-tank gun was an important weapon during World War II, for it was the only one that could destroy a tank at ranges beyond those of the much smaller hand-held anti-tank weapons, such as the grenade or bazooka. When the war started the anti-tank gun was a small weapon, virtually a miniature version of larger field pieces. By 1945 the type had grown rapidly into large and heavy guns that sometimes dwarfed the field pieces. Their power had grown as well, for while the guns of 1939 were only able to punch their way through armour of about 25mm (1in) thickness, by 1945 they were required to have an effect on armour about 100mm (3.94in) in thickness, and to do so at ranges well in excess of those prevalent in 1939. At the beginning of the war 400m (440yd) was considered to be the top limit to an anti-tank gun's capabilities but by 1945 ranges of 2000m (2,190yd) or more were not uncommon.

Along with the increase in calibre, size, weight and performance went a corresponding escalation in projectile performance. The gun is really only the delivery system for the projectile, which is the anti-tank gunner's weapon, and the degree of development to which the anti-tank projectile was subjected to between 1939 and 1945 can only be sketched in this section. Suffice it to say that the steel shot of 1939 had given way to the tungsten core of 1945, and even the very shape of the projectile had changed radically. The hollow- or shaped-charge projectile was also introduced to bring chemical energy into the gun-versus-armour conflict.

The war waged by the anti-tank gun was a specialized one with a single objective: the destruction of tanks. The role of the anti-tank gunner was to serve the gun, to aim it and to fire it at the right moment, but with many of the guns mentioned here this was far from easy. All too often the target had to be allowed to approach very close, requiring of the gun crew nerve, courage and good training, so the role of the gunner must not be forgotten under the weights of fact and detail. It is impossible to define exactly which gun was best at any period, for even the finest gun has to have a man or crew to use it, and on many occasions a good man or crew could provide results far better than those of others using theoretically better weapons. Thus a British 6-pounder could often have more influence on a battle than a German '88, for it was the man that mattered in the end, not the weapon.

Moving anti-tank guns around the battlefield was hard work. This 17-pounder belongs to the British Eighth Army in Italy and is being hauled into place to target enemy pillboxes.
The 2-pdr anti-tank gun (or more formally the Ordnance, Q.F., 2 pdr) is one of those unfortunate weapons that has been given a bad reputation for no real reason other than it had to be used at a time when it was no longer a viable weapon. In its day it was as good as, if not better, than any contemporary design, but the rapid increases in tank armour thicknesses during the late 1930s rendered it obsolete just at a time when it was being placed into widespread service.

The 2-pdr had its origins in a British staff requirement dated 1934. Much of the original development was carried out by Vickers-Armstrongs, and the first guns and carriages were produced for commercial sales. Some went to Spain, but the main recipient was the British army which received its first examples during 1938. Further development was required until the full army specification could be met and it was not until 1939 that the most commonly encountered carriage (the Carriage, 2 pdr, Mk III) was issued. Compared with many other designs then in existence the 2-pdr was a complex piece of ordnance and it was almost twice as heavy as any other gun in its class. The main reason for this weight was the carriage which, in action, rested on a low tripod carriage that provided the gun with 360° traverse. A high shield was provided for the gun crew and there was provision for an ammunition chest to be carried on the back of the gun shield. The philosophy behind the design differed from contemporary thought as well. Many European armies intended the anti-tank gun to be used in a mobile attacking role, but the 2-pdr was intended for use in static defensive positions. The type was also manned by specialist anti-tank personnel from the Royal Artillery.

The events of 1940 showed the 2-pdr to be at best obsolescent, and the BEF had to leave the bulk of its 2-pdr guns behind at Dunkirk. The gun lacked the power to punch through the thick armour of the most of the German tanks, and the effective range was too short for tactical comfort; the projectiles were too light to cause damage at ranges outside the machine-gun range of the target tanks, and many gun crews were thus decimated before they could fire a useful shot. But in the United Kingdom the production facilities to produce any modern form of anti-tank gun for the army that was almost devoid of any form of defence against tanks was quite simply not available. Industry had therefore to carry on producing the 2-pdr at a time when it was realized that it was no longer an effective weapon. The result of this had to be borne during the North African campaigns of 1941 and 1942, when the 2-pdr proved to be almost useless against the Afrika Korps, to the extent that the 28-pdr field piece had to be used for anti-tank work in its place. All manner of remedies to make the 2-pdr more successful were tried, one measure being the placement of the gun on the back of an open truck to provide a mobile platform, and another the development of the Littlejohn Adaptor, a squeeze-bore device attached to the muzzle and firing special skirted projectiles to improve projectile performance. Neither of these measures saw much use, and after 1942 the 2-pdr was withdrawn from use and passed to infantry units for their anti-tank defences. The type did not remain in use for long in that role, but in the Far East the 2-pdr remained in service until 1945, for there the target tanks were lighter and the gun could still cope with them.

**Right: A drill-book photograph of a 2-pdr gun and crew in action with the gun about to be loaded. Note that the ammunition is being passed from a box to the rear as the box on the gun shield was for emergencies only.**

**Specification**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ordnance, Q.F., 2 pdr</th>
<th>Calibre: 40 mm (1.575 in)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length of piece: 2.0815 m (6 ft 9.9 in)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight: complete 831.6 kg (1,848 lb)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverse: 360°</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation: -13° to + 15°</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muzzle velocity: AP 792 m (2,626 ft) per second</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum effective range: 455 m (500 yards)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armour penetration: 53 mm (2.08 in) at 455 m (500 yards)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2-pdr gun crews undergo training during a chemical warfare exercise. The gun in the foreground shows the ammunition box carried on each gun, but later marks were able to remove the road wheels to aid concealment.

**British Ordnance, Q.F., 6 pdr**

British weapon planners had foreseen the need for an anti-tank gun more powerful than the 2-pdr as early as April 1938, but it took time to develop and then to produce the new gun. During late 1940 production was delayed as 2-pdr guns occupied the production lines until late 1941. When the new gun was finally ready for service it proved to be effective against the enemy tanks then in use. Compared with the 2-pdr the 6-pdr was much more conventional, and used a split-trail carriage that gave a useful 90° traverse. Two main variants were produced: the Ordnance, Q.F., 6 pdr Mk II and the Ordnance, Q.F., 6 pdr Mk IV; the Ordnance, Q.F., 6 pdr Mk I was used for training only, and the Mk I and Mk III were tank guns. The main difference between the Mk II and Mk IV was that the Mk IV being slightly longer. Some slight carriage variations were produced but the most drastic was the Carriage, Q.F., 6 pdr, Mk III, which was developed for use by airborne units. This was narrower than the norm and the trail legs could be shortened for stowage in gliders; numbers of these special conversions were used at Arnhem.

The 6-pdr provided some sterling service in North Africa, but once the Tiger tank appeared on the scene it was realized that the day of the 6-pdr was almost over. For the 2.85-kg (6.28-lb) projectile was unable to penetrate the thick frontal armour of the Tiger and only a lucky shot to the side could be effective. So the 6-pdr was gradually withdrawn from Royal Artillery use from 1943 onwards. They were issued...
Although its operational career as a specialist anti-tank gun was relatively short (from 1941 to 1943 at the most), the 6-pdr went on to be a useful infantry anti-tank and support weapon. It was copied by the Americans as the 37-mm Antitank Gun Ml and was used by nearly all the Allied armies at some time or other.

The Soviets were not the only recipients of the 6-pdr. The type was adopted by the Americans also. When the Americans realized that they too would need a heavier anti-tank gun than their 37-mm (1.46-in) Ml they saw that the easiest way to produce something was to copy the 6-pdr, and in early 1941 they obtained a set of drawings from the British and adapted them to suit their own production methods. The result was the 57-mm Antitank Gun Ml. Affirst the American army had a handwheel traverse in place of the shoulder pad of the British original, but in time the Americans adopted the shoulder pad also and in this form the MlA2 was used until the war ended in 1945. But it was as a weapon mounted on a self-propelled carriage that the American gun was most important. Large numbers of M1 guns were produced for mounting on half-tracks and in this form the American guns were widely used by the British army and many other Allied forces as well as by the US army.

The 6-pdr may have been outclassed by heavy weapons such as the Tiger, but against nearly all other German tanks it proved to be effective enough. It was also a relatively light and handy weapon and served on with many armies for long after 1945.

Specification
Ordnance, Q.F., 6-pdr, Mk IV
Calibre: 57 mm (2.244 in)
Length of piece: 2.566 m (8 ft 4.98 in)
Length of rifling: 2.392 m (7 ft 10.18 in)

Weight: complete 1,112 kg (2,471 lb)
Traverse: 90°
Elevation: -5° to +15°
Muzzle velocity: 900 m (2,700 ft) per second
Projectile weight: 2.85 kg (6.28 lb)
Armour penetration: 68.6 mm (2.7 in) at 915 m (1,000 yards)

By 1941 the rapid increase in the armour protection of tanks was being forecast to the extent where it was realized that not even the 6-pdr would be able to cope. To deal with the expected armours increases it was decided to produce the next generation of anti-tank guns with a calibre of 3 in (76.2 mm) to fire a projectile weighing no less than 17 lb (7.65 kg). It was realized that the resultant gun would be a sizeable piece of artillery but at the time there seemed to be no other option open, and the development of the gun proceeded at haste.

The first guns, soon known as the Ordnance, Q.F., 17-pdr or 17-pdr, were made as early as August 1942 but these guns were prototypes only and getting the gun into full production took more time. This was to have dramatic results for from North Africa came news that the first consignment of Tiger tanks was expected in the theatre in the very near future. At that time some guns were ready but they had no carriages. To get some form of heavy anti-tank weapon into the hands of the troops it was decided to fly 100 guns to North Africa, where they were hastily fitted onto 25-pdr field gun carriages to produce a hybrid known as the 17/25-pdr. The conversions were made just in time, for a few weeks later the first Tigers appeared and the 17/25-pdr was on hand to tackle them. These 177 25-pdr guns served until 'proper' 17-pdr guns were to hand during the early stages of the Italian campaign in 1943.

When the 17-pdr guns arrived they were indeed a sizeable weapon but the overall design was low and not too cumbersome. The carriage had long angled split trails and a large double-thickness armoured shield was fitted. The gun was proportionately long, and was fitted with a muzzle brake and a large and heavy vertical block breech mechanism. To handle the gun a detachment of at least 7 men was required, and more were needed if any man-handling was necessary. But in mitigation of this factor the gun proved capable of firing a projectile that could penetrate any enemy tank at long ranges and the rate of fire was such that 10 rounds per minute were not uncommon.

By 1945 the 17-pdr was the standard anti-tank gun of the Royal Artillery anti-tank batteries and many had been handed on to Allied armed forces. The 17-pdr proved to be the last of the British Army's conventional anti-tank guns (a 32-pdr with a calibre of 94 mm/3.7 in was proposed but a 120-mm/4.72-in recoilless gun was selected instead), and many served on until the 1950s with the British Army. The gun appears in the inventories of many current armed forces. Various types of 17-pdr tank guns were produced as well.

Specification
Ordnance, O.P., 17-pdr
Calibre: 76.2 mm (3 in)
Length of piece: 4.4245 m (14 ft 6.96 in)
Length of rifling: 3.562 m (11 ft 8.25 in)
Weight: in action 2,923 kg (6,444 lb)
Traverse: 60°
Elevation: -5° to +16.5°
Muzzle velocity: 950 m (3,100 ft) per second
Projectile weight: 7.65 kg (17 lb)

By September 1944 the 17-pdr had proved an extremely effective weapon, and in the 8th Army's assault on the Gothic line was well to the fore to deal with German heavy armour.

Armour penetration: 130 mm (5.12 in) at 915 m (1,000 yards)

First introduced into service in small numbers in late 1942, the 17-pdr went on to be one of the most powerful of all the Allied anti-tank guns. Although rather heavy and awkward to move, the 17-pdr had a calibre of 3 in (76.2 mm) and could penetrate up to 130 mm of armour at about 1000 m (1094 yards). It was also used on occasion as a field gun firing high explosive shells.
The Czech firm of Skoda, based at Pilsen, was one of the first European armaments manufacturers to turn its attention to the production of specialized anti-tank guns. All through the 1920s Skoda's technicians and designers carried out a long chain of experiments and design studies to formulate a viable anti-tank gun, and in 1934 the company produced a gun with a calibre of 37 mm (1.46 in). For various reasons this weapon was not widely adopted (it was generally felt that something heavier would be needed) and in 1936 there appeared a special version of the vz 36 that had been developed for use in static fortifications.

The vz 36 was destined never to fire a shot for its Czech masters, for the Munich Agreement of 1938 allowed the Germans to take over the Czech Sudetenland defences without a shot being fired. This allowed the Germans to impress large numbers of a very special version of the vz 36 that had been developed for use in static fortifications, but large numbers of the wheeled vz 36 fell into German hands during the following year when Germany took over control of the rest of Czechoslovakia. The vz 36 then became the 4.7-cm Pak 36(t) and was eagerly added to the German gun parks. The Czech gun became a virtual standard weapon with the German army and remained in use with some of their second-line units until the end of the war in 1945. It was mounted on self-propelled carriages. The Czech vz 36 was assigned in 1936.

An illustration from the Skoda brochure that advertised their 47-mm (1.85 mm) Model 1936 anti-tank gun for possible sales outside Czechoslovakia. The gun is here being towed by the gun crew using drag ropes.

The Czech vz 36 anti-tank gun

The Czech Model 1936 looked archaic, mainly because of the small spoked wheels and long trails, but the gun was one of the most powerful of its day. Many were taken over by the German army who used the type in large numbers, often mounted on special tank-destroyer self-propelled carriages.

German soldiers manhandle their 4.7-cm Pak36(t) during training prior to the invasion of France in 1940. The soldiers are wearing drag-rope slings for towing the gun, and full gun crew would be at least four men. A version of this gun was produced for use in fortifications.

The origins of the gun that was to become the 3.7-cm Pak 35/36 (Pak for Panzerabwehrkanone, or anti-tank gun) can be traced back to 1925 when Rheinmetall began actively to design and develop an anti-tank gun for the German army. Production began in 1928, and as the German army was at that time still largely horse-oriented the gun was fitted with spoked wheels for horse traction. It was a modern gun design for the period and used a well-sloped shield, tubular split-trail legs and a long slender barrel. At first production was relatively limited, but once the NSDAP came to power in 1933 production was greatly accelerated. In 1934 there appeared the first version with steel wheels and pneumatic tyres suitable for vehicle traction, and the designation 3.7-cm Pak 35/36 was assigned in 1936. It was in 1936 that the Pak 35/36 first saw action, during the Spanish Civil War where the little gun proved eminently suited against the relatively lightly armoured vehicles used during the conflict. It was approved successfully in 1939 against the lightly-armed Poles, but in 1940 the Pak gun crews encountered the more heavily armoured French and British tanks and had the unfortunate experience of seeing their carefully aimed armour-piercing projectiles bouncing off the hulls of attacking tanks. The truth was that by 1940 the Pak 35/36 had had its day. It was no longer powerful enough to penetrate the armour of the more modern tanks, and larger calibre weapons had to
take its place. But these latter could not be produced quickly enough to prevent the 37-mm (1.46-in) guns from having to be rushed to action during the German invasion of the Soviet Union (Operation ‘Barbarossa’) in 1941; against the T-34/76 tank they again proved to be of no use at all. Some attempts were made to prolong the service life of the gun by firing large stick bombs that fitted over the muzzle but these weapons, although effective, were essentially close-range missiles of dubious combat worth. Consequently the Pak 35/36 was passed to second-line and garrison units, and to some training schools, so the type was still in limited service in 1945. Many carriages were later converted to take 75-mm (2.95-in) barrels to convert them to infantry support guns.

The Pak 35/36 was widely exported before 1939, and the design was copied in Japan as the Type 97. Other recipient nations were Italy (Canone contracarro da 37/45), the Netherlands (37-mm Rheinmetall) and the Soviet Union, where the Pak 35/36 was known as the M30, was widely copied and formed the basis for a whole family of 37-mm (1.46-in) and 45-mm (1.77-in) anti-tank guns that served on for many years after 1945 (some were still in service in Soviet-influenced nations as late as the 1970s). The design was also copied in the United States to produce the Antitank Gun M3, although only the concept was copied as the M3 had many detail differences from the German original.

At one point the Germans produced a special version of the Pak 35/36 for paratrooping.

**GERMANY**

**5-cm Pak 38**

It has been mentioned in the entry relating to the 3.7-cm Pak 35/36 that by 1940 the 37-mm anti-tank gun was of very limited value against the armour of tanks then in service. Fortunately for the German army this had been foreseen as early as 1937, and by 1938 Rheinmetall-Borsig had developed and produced a new gun with a calibre of 50 mm (1.97 in). By 1939 the gun was ready for production, but it was not until mid-summer 1940 that the first examples reached the troops. By then the new gun, designated the 5-cm Pak 38, was too late to take much part in any European campaign and it was not until 1941 that the new gun was able to see action during a major campaign.

That campaign was the invasion of the Soviet Union, and by that time the new gun had been supplied with a new type of tungsten-cored ammunition known as AP40. This ammunition was developed from captured Czech and Polish ammunition, and was adopted because the dense tungsten core of the new projectiles offered a considerable increase in armour penetration. This was just as well, for when the Soviet T-34/76 appeared on the battlefields the Pak 38 firing AP40 ammunition proved to be the only gun/projectile combination capable of penetrating the Soviet tank’s thick hide. But the numbers of Pak 38s in the field were limited, the gun could not be everywhere and it was some time before extemporized conversions of old French 75-mm (2.95-in) guns could be hurried up to fill the many gaps in the anti-tank defence lines. After that the 50-mm (1.97-in) gun proved good
By 1939 intimations regarding the next generation of Soviet tanks were filtering back to the German war planner staffs in Berlin. Although the new 50-mm (1.97-in) Pak 38 gun had yet to reach the troops, it was felt that something heavier was going to be needed to counter the armour belts of the new Soviet tanks, and consequently Rheinmetall-Borsig was asked to produce a new design. In basic terms what Rheinmetall did was to scale up the Pak 38 design to the larger calibre of 75-mm (2.95 in). The result was adopted in 1940 as the 7.5-cm Pak 40, but it was not until late in the following year that the first examples reached the hard-pressed troops on the Eastern Front.

In appearance the Pak 40 resembled its predecessor, but there were many differences apart from the scale. The basic layout of the 50-mm (1.97-in) gun was retained but this time the expected shortages of many raw materials and especially light alloys (which had been earmarked for the Luftwaffe production requirements) were becoming apparent, so the Pak 40 was constructed mainly from various forms of steel and was proportionately much heavier than the smaller gun. To simplify and speed production the shield was formed from flat instead of curved plates, and there were several other such alterations. The result was an excellent gun, well capable of tackling virtually any Allied tank and encountered on all fronts.

The Pak 40 was destined to remain in production until the end of the war in 1945. It had a tank gun equivalent that was progressively developed, but the Pak 40 itself remained in service virtually unchanged. A version intended for use as an aircraft weapon was developed, and the carriage was even adapted at one stage to allow short 75-mm (2.95-in) barrels to be fitted to produce a form of infantry/anti-tank gun for use by infantry formations. The gun itself was even placed on a 105-mm (4.14-in) howitzer carriage to form a light field artillery piece, though another approach was to use the Pak 40 itself as a field gun, and by 1945 there were several artillery formations using this gun as the 7.5-cm FK 40 (FK for Feldkanone, or field gun).

But it was as an anti-tank gun that the Pak 40 was most important. Many German gunners rated it their best all-round weapon, and many Allied tank crews had occasion to agree with them. The Pak 40 fired a wide range of ammunition, varying from the straight-forward solid armour-piercing shot to the tungsten-cored AP40. Also available were high explosive shells that carried enough payload to make the type a useful field artillery piece, and various forms of hollow-charge projectile. A measure of the efficiency of this gun can be seen in the range/armour penetration figure that at 2000 m (2,190 yards) an AP40 projectile could penetrate no less than 98mm (3.86 in) of armour plate; and at combat range of the order of 500m (550 yards) this figure increased to 154 mm (6.06 in).
The German taper-bore guns were an odd off-shoot from the main avenue of anti-tank development that, although successful, floundered for the simple fact that the German war economy could not afford the raw materials required to produce them. Three guns were produced and issued for service, and all relied on what is commonly known as the Gerlich principle. In simple terms this involved the use of a small projectile core made from tungsten, a hard and very dense metal ideal for punching a way through armour plating. In order to provide this tungsten core with the maximum punch the Gerlich system involved the use of guns with calibres that tapered downwards in size from the breech to the muzzle. The special projectiles involved used flanged or ‘skirted’ forms that allowed the flanges to fold back as the bore narrowed. This had the advantage of increasing the emergent velocity of the projectile, enabling it to travel farther and to hit the target harder. The principle was attractive to the German ordnance designers who adapted it for the anti-tank gun, but the principle had some disadvantages: to ensure the maximum power of the gun expensive and relatively rare tungsten had to be used for the projectile core, and the guns themselves were costly to produce.

The first of the taper-bore guns to enter service was the 2.8-cm schwere Panzerbüchse 41 (2.8-cm sPzB 41), which was really little more than a heavy anti-tank rifle, with a bore that tapered from 28 mm (1.1 in) at the breech to 20 mm (0.787 in) at the muzzle. It used a light carriage, but an even lighter version of the carriage was produced for the German airborne formations. Both types were still in use at the end of the war.

Second of the taper-bore guns was the 4.2-cm leichte Panzerabwehrkanone 41 (4.2-cm lePak 41, or light anti-tank gun 41). This used the carriage of the 3.7-cm Pak 38 but the ordnance was tapered from 40.3 mm (1.586 in) at the start to 29.4 mm (1.157 in) at the muzzle. These guns were issued to German airborne units.

Largest of the trio was the 7.5-cm Pak 41. This was a very powerful and advanced gun in which the bore decreased from 75 mm (2.95 in) to 55 mm (2.16 in). At one time this gun showed so much promise that it almost took over from the 7.5-cm Pak 40 as the standard German anti-tank gun, but despite having a better armour-piercing performance it was passed over because of the German tungsten shortage. Tungsten was normally used for the machine tools to produce more weapons, but the raw materials had to be brought into Germany by blockade runners and when these were repeatedly intercepted on the high seas the supplies dwindled. It was a choice between anti-tank guns and machine tools, and the result had to be the machine tools. Thus production of the taper-bore guns ceased. Only 150 Pak 41s were made, and once their ammunition had been expended they passed from use. The same applied to the other two guns, though the sPzB 41 was still in use in 1945 as its small projectiles made few demands on available stocks.

Specification

2.8-cm sPzB 41
Starting calibre: 25 mm (1.1 in)
Emergent calibre: 20 mm (0.787 in)
Length of barrel: 1.7 m (5 ft 7 in)
Weight: in action 223 kg (492 lb)
 Traverse: 90°
Elevation: -5° to +45°
Muzzle velocity: AP 1400 m (4,593 ft) per second
 Projectile weight: AP 0.124 kg (0.27 lb)
 Armour penetration: 56 mm (2.205 in) at 365 m (400 yards)

4.2-cm lePak 41
Starting calibre: 40.3 mm (1.586 in)
Emergent calibre: 29.4 mm (1.157 in)
Length of barrel: 2.25 m (7 ft 4.6 in)
Weight: in action 560 kg (1,234.5 lb)
 Traverse: 60°
Elevation: -8° to +25°
Muzzle velocity: 1265 m (4,150 ft) per second
 Projectile weight: AP 0.336 kg (0.74 lb)
 Armour penetration: 72 mm (2.835 in) at 455 m (500 yards)

7.5-cm Pak 41
Starting calibre: 75 mm (2.95 in)
Emergent calibre: 55 mm (2.16 in)
Length of barrel: 4.32 m (14 ft 2 in)
Weight: in action 1390 kg (3,064 lb)
 Traverse: 60°
Elevation: -10° to +18°
Muzzle velocity: 1285 m (4,150 ft) per second
 Projectile weight: AP 2.5 kg (5.51 lb)
 Armour penetration: 171 mm (6.73 in) at 455 m (500 yards)

Above: The 2.8-cm schwere Panzerbüchse 41 was the smallest of the German taper-bore guns, and was produced in two forms: one had large road wheels while a special airborne version, shown here, had small wheels and a light tubular alloy carriage. In this form it was used by Luftwaffe Fallschirmjäger units.

Below: A 2.8-cm schwere Panzerbüchse 41 is carried on a Kfz. 15 light signals vehicle in order to provide a useful boost in firepower to a normally lightly-armed unit. The gun is carried complete with its light wheeled carriage, and could be easily lifted from the vehicle for more orthodox employment.

The 7.5-cm Pak 41 was the largest of the German taper-bore guns, but was prevented by the general tungsten shortage then prevalent in Germany from becoming the standard German army heavy anti-tank gun.
47-mm Anti-tank Gun Type 1

As with so many other weapons, Japan was short of anti-tank guns and had only a limited capacity to produce the numbers required. In 1934 it had introduced the 37-mm Gun Type 94 for use by infantry units, but realized even then that this gun would have only a limited performance, and it was therefore supplemented by the licence production of the 37-mm Anti-tank Gun Type 97, the origin of which was the German 3.7 cm Pak 35/36. It was not until 1941 that a heavier gun was introduced in the form of the 47-mm Anti-tank Gun Type 1. In overall design terms the Type 1 was entirely orthodox and used a split-trail carriage and a well-sloped shield. Compared with design, it was licence-produced in Europe the Type 1 was not very powerful, but the Japanese considered it adequate as it had the advantage of a semi-automatic sliding breech carried over from the 37-mm (1.46-in) German gun, giving it a relatively high rate of fire; this was a possible 15 rounds a minute. As with many other Japanese weapons ease of handling was given high priority, and the Type 1 proved to be easy to handle in action and it was relatively light. In combat this advantage was often squandered for as the Allies advanced these guns were often statically emplaced and were manned by suicide attackers armed with pole charges and explosive blocks. By 1945 the use of such measures was becoming commonplace.

Despite the fact that the Japanese learned early on in the conflict that their small tanks were likely to be of very limited use against their Allied equivalents, they still diverted a proportion of the Type 1 gun production towards producing a tank gun for their Type 97 tank. The Type 1 was regarded as the standard Japanese anti-tank gun, and most of them were issued to regimental and divisional anti-tank battalions.

**Specification**

- **Calibre:** 47 mm (1.85 in)
- **Length of barrel:** 2.527 m (8 ft 3.5 in)
- **Weight:** in action 747 kg (1,660 lb)
- ** Traverse:** 60°
- **Elevation:** -11° to +19°
- **Muzzle velocity:** AP 824 m (2,700 ft) per second
- **Projectile weight:** APHE 1.528 kg (3.37 lb) and APHE 1.4 kg (3.08 lb)
- **Armour penetration:** 51 mm (2 in) at 915 m (1,000 yards)

The Japanese Anti-tank Gun Type 1 was the only indigenous Japanese weapon produced solely for the anti-tank role, and although it was effective enough against most light Allied armour it was never produced in significant enough numbers to make any overall impression. A tank gun version was produced and the Type 1 remained in production from 1941 until the war ended in 1945.

**Böhler 4.7-cm anti-tank gun**

The little Bonier 4.7-cm (1.85-in) anti-tank gun was first produced in 1935, and is thus sometimes known as the Model 35. It was first produced in Austria but its use soon spread outside that nation and licences to produce the gun were taken up by Italy. In fact the Italian production run reached the point where the Böhler gun became regarded almost as an indigenous Italian weapon, the Cannone da 47/32 M35. The Böhler gun was a handy weapon that was soon diverted into other roles. It was widely issued as an infantry gun and as it could be rapidly broken down into a number of pack loads it was also employed as a mountain gun. But though as it turned out the Böhler was something of a multi-purpose weapon, it was not entirely successful in any of these extra roles. It did prove to be a fairly effective anti-tank gun, however, and was widely used during the early war years by a number of nations. Italy was the main user, but others were employed by the Netherlands (Kanon van 4.7), and Romania, and the type also turned up in the Soviet Union (in relatively small quantities) as the M35B. Some also found their way into German army service when Austria came under German domination after 1938, receiving the designation 4.7-cm Pak.

There were several developments on the basic Böhler theme that issued from the company’s Kapfenberg works. Although the basic gun remained unchanged, there were numerous variations on such things as types of carriage wheel, the width of the carriage axle and so on. Some models had muzzle brakes while others did not. All models had a feature whereby the wheels could be removed and the gun then rested on the trail legs and a small platform under the axle for firing. This gave the gun a lower silhouette for firing and concealment. The gun could fire both armour piercing and high explosive projectiles, the latter having a range of
The 45-mm (1.77-in) Model 1942 was a scaled-up version of the earlier 37-mm (1.46-in) Model 1930. The Model 1930 was a licence-produced version of the German Pak 35/36 but the 45-mm Model 1942 had a proportionately much longer barrel and very few wire wheels in place of the original steel disc wheels.
Soviet 76.2-mm guns

One of the most widely used of the German heavy anti-tank guns was not originally a German weapon at all but a Soviet design. This hybrid weapon was originally designed as a field gun and was known as the 76.2-mm (3-in) M1936. It was the latest in a line of gun designs that started back many years, and the first of them were issued to the Red Army during 1939. The M1936 was a rather heavy gun for the field role and it possessed a long slen-der barrel mounted on a heavy but strong carriage that was ideally suited to the harsh conditions of the Soviet terrain.

In 1941 the lack of a suitable anti-tank gun other than the 45-mm (1.77-in) M1935 led to the simple expedient of using field guns for defence against tanks. In this role the M1936 proved itself to be an excellent anti-armour gun and, even firing high explosive shells, was powerful enough to inflict damage on German tanks of all kinds. This fact was duly noted by the Ger-
mans when they came to contemplate a use for the huge stockpiles of M1936 guns that they captured during 1941 and 1942. They were simply turned around against their former owners, but large numbers were returned to Germany where they were recondi-
tioned and altered to accommodate German ammunition. A muzzle brake was added and the fire controls altered for the anti-tank role, the result being the 76.2-cm Pak 36(r), an excel-
lent heavy anti-tank gun that was used on all fronts from North Africa to the Soviet Union.

Back in the Soviet Union, as early as 1939 a new field gun lighter than the M1936 was produced as the M1939. This was overall smaller than the M1936 with a shorter barrel. Again, many fell into German hands in 1941, and these were converted for German use, some as anti-tank guns. The Soviet designers produced other 76.2-mm (3-
in) field guns in 1941 and at one des-
perate point were even placing 76.2-
6.2-mm (3-in) tank guns on lash-up car-
rriages in order to produce something to keep the advancing German forces at bay, but in 1942 came the first of what can be regarded as dual-purpose guns.

This was the 76.2-mm (3-in) M1942, or ZiZ-2, a handy and light field gun that could be readily used as an anti-tank gun if and when necessary. The M1942 had a light carriage that used split tubular trails, and the gun barrel was fitted with a muzzle brake. By the time it first appeared at the front, the Red Army was well versed in the art of using field artillery against attacking armoured vehicles and during many battles the Red Army relied on field guns alone for defence. They simply turned their guns, of all calibres, against the target and started firing. The M1942 was ideal for this type of employment for it was well-balanced and handy. It was also very sturdy, and as it fired a shell weighing 6.21 kg (13.69 lb), it could pack a useful punch when fired against tanks. The M1942 turned out to be one of the best artil-
lerie pieces ever produced in the Soviet Union, where the type was churned out in thousands, and the type still remains a front-line equipment in many armies around the world. Between 1943 and 1945 the German army found any captured examples very useful indeed.

The Soviet 76.2-mm (3-in) Model 1942 ZiZ-3 field gun was not intended primarily to be an anti-tank gun, but on many occasions it was used as such and proved to be very effective. Firing mainly high explosive shells it was able to knock out nearly all contemporary tanks or at least inflict severe damage. The Model 1942 was used by the Germans as well as the Red Army.

USA

37-mm Antitank Gun M3

When the US Army Ordnance Department decided to develop an anti-tank gun before 1939 it obtained an exam-
ple of the German 3.7-mm Pak 35/36, and using this as a starting point pro-
ceeded to design a similar weapon, also in 37-mm (1.46-in) calibre. The result was entirely different from the German original but was in fact closely influenced by it. The American gun was designated the 37-mm Antitank Gun M3, but only a few had been made before it was decided to fit the gun with a muzzle brake, the change making the M3 the M3A1.

The muzzle brake was fitted in an attempt to reduce the recoil forces on the carriage, which was even lighter than the German original, but as it was soon discovered that the muzzle brake was unnecessary it was removed, though the guns were still produced with the fixtures on the muzzle for ease of production. The rest of the gun and carriage was quite unremarkable. The carriage used the usual split trails but the main carriage axle was rather wider than on other similar designs. A small flat shield was provided for the gun crew and the breech mechanism was copied direct from the German gun and remained a vertical drop block.

By the time the M3A1 had been taken into service it was obsolete. By 1941 events elsewhere had demonstrated that something larger than 37 mm (1.46 in) would be required to penetrate the armoured hides of in-service enemy tanks and although the M3A1 was used in North Africa by the US Army the type was withdrawn there and replaced by heavier guns. But it was different in the Pacific theatre. There the expected enemy tanks were light and far between, so a place could be found for the M3A1 as an infantry support weapon. High explosive and canister rounds were developed for use during the various island-hopping campaigns and the armour-piercing projectiles were often called upon during ‘bunker-busting’ operations. The light weight and handiness of the gun proved to be highly effective dur-

Although the European war had shown it to be obsolete, the 37-mm M3 was still in US Army use at the Kasserine Pass in 1943, where its inadequacy against the veteran Afrika Korps armour was disastrous. It was soon to be withdrawn from the European theatre.
Central and South American states. Numbers were also converted to become saluting guns with blank cartridges.

During World War II, many attempts were made to turn the M3A1 into a self-propelled anti-tank weapon, but very few were ever used operationally for the simple reason that the gun lacked the power to tackle the tanks it was likely to encounter in the field. But as an infantry support gun it proved to be excellent.

Specification
37-mm Antitank Gun M3A1
Calibre: 37 mm (1.45 in)
Length of piece: 1,979 mm (6 ft 10.5 in)
Weight: travelling 410.4 kg (912 lb)
Traverse: 60°

Although it appeared to be a very different weapon, the little 37-mm (1.436-in) Antitank Gun M3A1 was closely influenced by the German Rheinmetall 3.7-cm Pak 35/36. Although soon overtaken by armoured increases in Europe, many were used as infantry support weapons in the Pacific and there was a widely used tank gun version.

Elevation: -10° to +15°
Muzzle velocity: AP 885 m (2,900 ft) per second
Maximum effective range: 457 m (500 yards)
Projectile weight: 0.86 kg (1.92 lb)
Armour penetration: 25.4 mm (1 in) at 915 m (1,000 yards)

USA
3-in Antitank Gun MS

When the US Army Ordnance Department decided during 1942 to produce a new heavy anti-tank gun, it took a course of action that had already been taken elsewhere: to decide to combine existing weapon components to produce a new gun. The result was something of a ‘dog’s dinner’. The gun itself was taken from the 3-in (76.2-mm) Antiaircraft Gun M3 but the chamber had to be altered slightly to take different ammunition. The new gun was modified to take the breech mechanism of the 105-mm (4.13-in) Howitzer M2A1, then in full-scale production, and the same howitzer was used to supply the carriage and the recoil system. The new carriage became the Gun M3 and in this form the original straight shield of the 105-mm (4.13-in) howitzer was retained but in time the shield was modified to have sloping shield plates and this became the M6.

The first M5s were issued for service in December 1941, but it took time for the weapon to be issued widely. The M5 was in demand as the armament for a series of self-propelled tank destroyer projects, the most important of which turned out to be the M10A1, an open-topped M4 Sherman variant that mounted the M5 in a special turret. The importance of this demand can be seen as 2,500 M5s were completed for the anti-tank gun role but 6,824 guns for the M10A1. Despite its success, once the war ended the M5 was gradually withdrawn from US Army service and passed to reserve units. It was overtaken by newer and more technologically advanced forms of anti-tank weapon and few remained in use after 1950.

Specification
3-in Antitank Gun M5
Calibre: 76.2 mm (3 in)
Length of piece: 4,023 mm (13 ft 2.4 in)
Weight: travelling 2,632.5 kg (5,850 lb)
Traverse: 46°
Elevation: -5.5° to +30°
Muzzle velocity: AP 793 m (2,600 ft) per second, APC 853 m (2,800 ft) per second
Maximum effective range: 1,830 m (2,000 yards)
Projectile weight: AP and APC 6.94 kg (15.43 lb)
Armour penetration: 84 mm (3.31 in) at 1,830 m (2,000 yards)

The first of two French 25-mm (0.98-in) anti-tank guns (in many references the correct term should be cannon instead of guns as the calibre of 25 mm is generally considered too light to apply to a gun) was the Canon léger de 25 antichar SA-L mle 1934. Produced by Hotchkiss et Oie, this weapon was based on the design of a gun originally intended for use in World War I tanks but too late for that conflict as its development was not completed until 1920. In 1920, Hotchkiss conceived the idea of placing the design on a light wheeled carriage in response to a French army requirement. The design was adopted in 1934 (hence the mle 1934 in the designation) and by 1939 there were well over 3,000 such equipments in service with the French army. The other French 25-mm (0.98-in) gun was the Canon léger de 25 antichar SA-L mle 1937. This was a later arrival, designed and developed by the Atelier de Puteaux (APX), and first offered for service in 1937. It was not adopted for service until 1938 and the numbers produced for service never approached those of the mle 1934. In appearance the mle 1937 looked very similar to the mle 1934, but it was much lighter and had a slightly longer barrel.

In fact the two guns were intended for different service roles: the mle 1934 was issued to nearly all French army armoured units and specialized anti-tank units, while the mle 1937 was intended for use by the support companies of infantry battalions. The latter equipments were towed by horses, one horse pulling the gun tower behind a small timber vehicle, this carrying the ammunition and all the gun crew’s kit and equipment. When the mle 1937 was towed in this fashion the cone-shaped muzzle brake was removed and stowed over the breech/

The mle 1934 was a serviceable
enough weapon, but its calibre was too small for the gun to be of much use against the German armour that swept across France in 1940. By that time the mie 1934 was also in use with the British army. In a show of Allied co-operation it had been decided that the BEF would use the mie 1934 as its anti-tank gun but this did not turn out well in practice. The BEF was the only all-mechanized formation in Europe at that time and when it tried to use the mie 1934s behind its vehicles the guns very quickly proved to be too flimsy to withstand the hard knocks involved. Thus the BEF carried the guns on its vehicles and the mie 1934 became the first British portée guns. The mie 1937 looked powerful and low. The carriage used pressed steel wheels with solid rubber rims, and ran into weakness problems even when confined to the horse-drawn

along with production of the towed anti-tank model of the mie 1937 went production of a very similar gun intended for use in the permanent fortifications of the Maginot Line. This version lacked the carriage of the towed version and instead was swung into its firing position (through specifically constructed firing slits) suspended from overhead rails. In 1939 there appeared a slightly revised version of the mie 1937 known as the Canon de 47 antichar SA mie 1937/39, but the detailed differences between these two guns were slight. In 1940 there appeared the Canon de 47 antichar SA mie 1939 and this was a quite different weapon. It used the gun of the mie 1937, but mounted on a new tripod carriage so arranged that once it was emplaced the gun could be swung through 360° to fire against targets appearing from any point of the compass. To emplace the gun a forward leg of the tripod was swung down, the trail legs were spread and the wheels were then raised to positions on each side of the shield. This futuristic concept was doomed never to see service, for the events of May 1940 intervened before production could start.

May and June 1940 saw the bulk of the French mie 1937s pass into German hands. The Germans regarded the mie 1937 very highly, for many of their tanks had suffered from the striking power of the gun, and after 1940 they used the mie 1937 widely in the hands of the Germans, who retained some for a while under the designations 2.5-cm Pak 112(f) and 2.5-cm Pak 113(f) to provide their occupation divisions with some form of anti-tank weapon. They do not appear to have been used long after 1942.

specification
Canon de 47 antichar SA mie 1937
Calibre: 47 mm (1.85 in)
Length of barrel: 2.49 m (8 ft 2 in)
Weight: travelling 1090 kg (2320 lb)
Traverse: 68°
Elevation: -13° to +16°
Armour penetration: at 25° 40 mm (1.57 in) at 400 m (440 yards)

Muzzle velocity: 855 m (2805 ft) per second
Maximum range: 6500 m (7110 yards)
Armour penetration: 80 mm (3.15 in) at 200 m (220 yards)

The little French 25-mm Hotchkiss guns, once issued to the BEF, proved light enough to be carried on 15 cwt tracks, which was just as well as they proved too flimsy for normal towing behind the truck. As such they were the first of the British Army’s portée anti-tank guns.

specification
Canon de 47 antichar SA mie 1939
Calibre: 47 mm (1.85 in)
Length of barrel: 2.69 m (8 ft 10 in)
Weight: travelling 1090 kg (2320 lb)
Traverse: 68°
Elevation: -13° to +16°
Armour penetration: at 25° 40 mm (1.57 in) at 400 m (440 yards)

Muzzle velocity: 855 m (2805 ft) per second
Maximum range: 6500 m (7110 yards)
Armour penetration: 80 mm (3.15 in) at 200 m (220 yards)

The Puteaux mie 1939 was a more involved development of the mie 1937, 25-mm (0.98-in) anti-tank gun, which had a conventional wheeled carriage. The mie 1939 used a complex all-round traverse carriage, although some were produced with normal wheeled carriages.

The best of the French anti-tank guns was the Canon de 46 antichar SA mie 1937, a design that originated with the Atelier de Puteaux. It was developed in a great hurry and introduced into service once the French army had been provided with indications of the armour thickness of the German PzKpfw IV tank. Considering the rush with which the mie 1937 was developed it was an excellent anti-tank weapon and one of the best in service once the French army had invested heavily in the 25-mm (0.98-in) guns, so all too often they were the only such weapons available.

In the 1940 campaign large numbers of these 25-mm (0.98-in) guns fell into the hands of the Germans, who retained some for a while under the designations 2.5-cm Pak 112(f) and 2.5-cm Pak 113(f) to provide their occupation divisions with some form of anti-tank weapon. They do not appear to have been used long after 1942.

specification
Canon de 47 antichar SA-L mie 1934
Calibre: 25 mm (0.98 in)
Length of barrel: 1.85 m (5 ft 10.8 in)
Weight: in action 496 kg (1093.5 lb)
Traverse: 60°
Elevation: - 5° to + 21°
Muzzle velocity: 918 m (3012 ft) per second
Maximum range: 1800 m (1968 yards)
Projectile weight: (AP) 0.32 kg (0.7 lb)
Armour penetration: at 25° 40 mm (1.57 in) at 400 m (440 yards)

The French anti-tank guns on open mountings, and ran into weakness problems even when confined to the horse-drawn

The Canon léger de 25 antichar-SA-L mie 1934 was a light and handy weapon that proved to be virtually useless against even the lightest tank armour in 1940. This retouched picture is from a newspaper printed in late 1939.

role. But the main problem with both guns was that the round they fired was too small to make any sort of impact on attacking armour and their combat ranges were limited to something like 300 m (330 yards). Even in 1940 this was far too low for tactical comfort, but the French army had invested heavily in the 25-mm (0.98-in) guns, so all too often they were the only such weapons available.

In the 1940 campaign large numbers of these 25-mm (0.98-in) guns fell into the hands of the Germans, who retained some for a while under the designations 2.5-cm Pak 112(f) and 2.5-cm Pak 113(f) to provide their occupation divisions with some form of anti-tank weapon. They do not appear to have been used long after 1942.

specification
Canon de 47 antichar SA-L mie 1934
Calibre: 25 mm (0.98 in)
Length of barrel: 1.85 m (5 ft 10.8 in)
Weight: in action 496 kg (1093.5 lb)
Traverse: 60°
Elevation: -5° to +21°
Muzzle velocity: 918 m (3012 ft) per second
Maximum range: 1800 m (1968 yards)
Projectile weight: (AP) 0.32 kg (0.7 lb)
Armour penetration: at 25° 40 mm (1.57 in) at 400 m (440 yards)
Infantry Support Weapons

In the mobile battlefields of World War II, infantry could not count on any artillery unit to be close enough to give support in case of trouble. One solution to the problem was to give the infantry their own artillery, but the most cost-effective method depended upon a weapon as old as gunpowder - the mortar.

Throughout World War II the standard infantry support weapon used in most armies was the mortar. Some armies tended to combine the light weight and plunging fire of the mortar with the more direct approach and heavier firepower of the infantry gun or howitzer, and there were even some infantry support weapons (such as the odd little Japanese Type 92 battalion gun) that could be said to combine the attributes of gun and mortar. One factor that will be seen to be important throughout this study is the number of really small calibre mortars that were used during World War II. These light-weight mortars were used right down to infantry squad level, enabling the squad to provide its own local fire support. This was a form of weapon that rose to prominence during World War II, for although the mortar was evolved during World War I it never reached the level of control that could extend right down to the individual squad. This concept has been maintained since 1945, and today provides the infantry with a powerful extension of its offensive and defensive range.

One weapon that rose to prominence during World War II but has faded out since is the infantry gun or howitzer. In the aftermath of World War I it seemed to be a good idea to many armies to provide their infantry units with integral artillery fire support. However, providing the infantry with special artillery weapons was rather extravagant in resources and manpower. The artillery piece has never been a true infantry weapon, for it is far too demanding in handling manpower, however small and light it is made. Even before the end of World War II the heavy mortar was replacing the infantry gun, and since 1945 the infantry gun has faded completely from the modern tactical scene. The mortar is now the dominant infantry support weapon, supplemented here and there by recoilless weapons and missiles, but infantry seem to prefer the mortar overall. The weapon was, and still is, portable, has range and firepower to a degree that the soldiers of World War I could not have imagined, and remains completely under the control of the infantry that it supports, just as it did during World War II.

These British SAS parachutists are firing their 3in (76.2mm) ML mortar in support of a partisan attack on German forces. The mortar remains an important and powerful infantry weapon today.
The Red Army used mortars of all kinds in great numbers throughout World War II. In general they were sound and reliable weapons that were usually much heavier than their counterparts elsewhere, but were correspondingly very robust.

During the 1930s the Soviet arms designers closely followed trends elsewhere, and thus developed several types of light infantry mortars with a calibre of 50 mm (1.97 in). After dealing for a short while with one design that could be used as a 37 mm (1.46 in) barrel but was not, it was realized that could also be used as an entrenching tool, the main models settled down into a series that commenced with the 50-PM 36, designated as an entrenching tool, the main models settled down into a series that commenced with the 50-PM 36, designated as the Granatwerfer 205/3(r) by the Germans. This was a conventional design that used gas vents at the base of the barrel to vary the range; the barrel was held in its bipod at either of two fixed angles. This model soon proved difficult to produce, so it was replaced by the 50-PM 39, or 5-cm Granatwerfer 274/3(r) by the Germans, which omitted the gas vent feature and used instead normal bipod elevation methods. While this model was effective enough, it was still thought to be too difficult to produce and was in its turn replaced by the 50-PM 40. This was designed for mass production on a grand scale and the bipod legs and baseplate were simply pressed steel components. The bipod had a simple and novel method of barrel levelling and in service it proved reliable and useful, even though the range was somewhat restricted. There was one further step in the 50-PM 41, or 5-cm Granatwerfer 200(r) to the Germans, that dispensed with a bipod and instead a barrel yoke attached to a large baseplate. A gas venting system was also used, but not many were made as production concentrated on the 50-PM 40. Many of the 50-PM 40s produced fell into German hands and they too used them on a large scale under the designation 5-cm Granatwerfer 205/3(r).

While the 50-mm mortars were used at company or squad level, the battalion mortars had a calibre of 82 mm (3.228 in). There were three models in this family, the 82-PM 36 which was a direct copy of the Brandt mie 27/31 and known to the Germans as the 8.2-cm Granatwerfer 274/1(r), the 82-PM 37 which was a revised model with recoil springs to reduce firing loads on the bipod and designated 8.2-cm Granatwerfer 274/2(r) by the Germans, and the 82-PM 41. The last was a much revised model that made extensive use of stampings to ease production, and was called the 8.2-cm Granatwerfer 274/3(r) by the Germans. The short bipod was so arranged that wheels could be added to the ends for hand-towing, and this feature was taken one step farther with the 82-PM 43, which used an even simpler bipod to ease towing, one that is still in use today.

There remains one further light mortar to mention. This was a specialized 107-mm (4.21 in) mountain warfare mortar known as the 107-PM BH 38. It was designed for use at company or squad level, the battal- tion mortars were really remarkable regarding the 120-HM 38. One feature that proved to be very useful was the large circular baseplate that allowed rapid changes in traverse without the usual need to dig out the baseplate and align it to the new direction of fire. The weapon was towed with the baseplate still attached with the weapon lying on a wheeled frame. A limber was fitted into the muzzle and this was attached to the same limber as the 107-PMBH 38. Usually this limber incorporated an ammunition box holding 20 rounds, and the combination was towed either by a light vehicle or a team of horses. Getting the 120-HM 38 in and out of action was comparatively rapid and easy, so after fire had been opened it was usually a simple matter to move off again before retaliatory fire started.

As the Germans moved across the USSR in 1941 and 1942 they were much impressed by the firepower of the 120-HM 38. Being on the receiving end of the weapon’s efficiency on many occasions, they had good reason to note the power of the bomb’s warhead and they decided to adopt the design for themselves. In the short term they simply used as many captured examples as they could, under the designation 12-cm Granatwerfer 37/4(r), but they then went one better and copied the design exactly for production in Germany. This was known to them as the 12-cm Granatwerfer 42 (12-cm GrW 42) and it was widely issued, even taking the place of infantry guns with some infantry formations. Thus the same weapon was in use on both sides during the fighting on the Eastern Front.

The usual bomb fired by the 120-HM 38 on both sides was the HE round, but smoke and chemical rounds were produced (although thankfully the latter were never used). The warhead could be as high as 10 rounds per minute, so a battery of four of these mor-
tars could lay down considerable amounts of fire in a very short period. Over a period of action the baseplates did have a tendency to ‘bed in’, making relaying necessary, but this was partially eliminated by introduction of the 120-HM 43 which used a spring-steel shock absorber on the barrel-bipod mounting. It is this version, which was otherwise unchanged from the original, that is most likely to be encountered today. Over the years some changes have been made to the ammunition, which now has a longer range than the wartime equivalent, and another change is that many modern versions are now carried on various types of self-propelled carriage.

Specification

120-HM 38
Calibre: 120 mm (4.72 in)
Lengths: barrel 1.862 m (73.3 in); bore 1.536 m (60.47 in)
Weight: in action 280.1 kg (617 lb)
Elevation: +45° to +80°
 Traverse: 6°
Maximum range: 6000 m (6,562 yards)
Bomb weight: HE 16 kg (35.3 lb)

UK Ordnance, ML 2-inch Mortar

The first of the British 2-in (50.8-mm) mortars appeared in 1918, but it was not in service for long being rendered obsolete in 1919. It was not until the 1930s that the notion of reintroducing a light mortar for use at platoon or squad level was put forward, and as there was no ‘history’ of the development of such small mortars in the UK at that time it was decided to run a selection competition between the offerings from various armaments manufacturers. The result was a flood of models from a number of concerns, and after a series of trials one was selected.

The winner was a design from the Spanish manufacturer ECIA. In its original form this weapon was thought suitable for improvement, and the extra further work was carried out in the UK, leading to full production during 1938. The first production version was the Ordnance, ML 2-inch Mortar Mk II (ML for muzzle loading), but this was only the first of a long string of marks and sub-marks. In basic terms there were two types of 2-inch Mortar. One was the pure infantry version, which was a simple barrel with a small baseplate and a trigger mechanism to fire the bomb after loading. The second type was meant for use on Bren Gun or Universal Carriers and had a much larger baseplate and a more complicated aiming system. If required the carrier version could be dismounted for ground use and a handle was supplied for this purpose. However, between these two types there were at least 14 different variants, with differences in barrel length, sighting arrangements and production variations. There were even special versions for use by the Indian Army and by airborne divisions.

To go with this array of weapon variations there was an equally daunting range of types of ammunition. The usual bomb fired by the 2-inch Mortar was HE, but smoke and flares were also fired, the latter being particularly useful for target illumination at night. Having a trigger firing mechanism the weapon could be used at angles close to the horizontal, a factor that was particularly useful in house-to-house combat. The bombs were normally carried in tubes, each holding three, and arranged in handy packs of three tubes. The normal 2-inch Mortar team consisted of two men, one carrying the mortar and the other carrying the ammunition.

The 2-inch Mortar is still around. The British army uses it for firing flares and other pyrotechnics pending the service debut of the new Light Mortar, and many other nations keep the weapon ‘on the books’. These days the only version likely to be encountered is the infantry model with its small baseplate, the carrier version having long since passed away.

Specification

2-inch Mortar Mk II
Calibre: 2 in (50.8 mm)
Lengths: barrel 0.665 m (26.2 in); bore 0.5065 m (19.94 in)
Weight: 4.1 kg (9 lb)
Maximum range: 457 m (500 yards)
Bomb weight: HE 1.02 kg (2.25 lb)

Above: The 20-HM 38 is seen on its wheeled travelling carriage, from which the mortar could be rapidly and easily emplaced. The wheeled carriage was often coupled to a limber that carried some ammunition. So successful was this mortar design that it is still in production.

A 2-inch Mortar team of the Royal Scots Fusiliers in action during late June 1944 during an attack on Norrey-en-Bessin. The small size of the mortar means that most of it is hidden behind the mortar gunner, demonstrating how easy the mortar was to conceal and use in action at close ranges.

Left: The Soviet 120-HM 38 was one of the most successful mortar designs of World War II, and was even copied direct by the Germans for their own use. It combined heavy firepower and mobility and often replaced support artillery with some formations. It was simple and easy to use in action, and fired a heavy HE bomb.

A drill book demonstration of the loading of a 2-inch Mortar. As the loader drops the bomb into the muzzle, he taps the firer on the back to order him to pull the trigger lever via a cord lanyard. The model is the Carrier version with the large baseplate.

Soldiers of the 1st Battalion, The Hampshire Regiment, in action in Sicily in 1943, using a 2-inch Mortar. The mortar gunner is operating the trigger lever at the base of the barrel to actually fire the bomb while his partner observes the fall of the bomb.
The first 3-in (76.2-mm) mortar was the original Stokes Mortar that was first used in March 1917. This version remained in use for many years after World War I, and as funds for weapon development were sparse between the wars it remained in service virtually unchanged for some years. However there was some work carried out on the basic design to the point at which it was decided during the early 1930s that the Ordnance, ML Mortar, 3 inch would be the standard infantry support weapon. This was the Mortar, 3 inch Mk II, the weapon that was used by the British soldiers in World War II, and as funds for weapon development became available, new innovations were tested and implemented into the design. This eventually led to the development of the 3-inch Mortar, which would become the standard infantry support weapon of the British and Commonwealth armies in World War II. However, it generally lacked range compared to weapons in service elsewhere. During the war gradual ammunition changes improved the range, and the 3-inch Mortar was a handy and popular weapon in action.

### Specification
- **Mortar, 3-inch Mk II**
  - **Calibre:** 3 in (76.2 mm)
  - **Lengths:** overall 1.295 m (51 in); barrel 1.19 m (46.85 in)
  - **Weight:** in action 57.2 kg (126 lb)
  - **Elevation:** +45° to +80°
  - **Traverse:** 11°
  - **Maximum range:** 2515 m (2,750 yards)
  - **Bomb weight:** HE 4.54 kg (10 lb)

- **Ordnance, 4.2-inch Mortar**
- **Calibre:** 4.2 in (106.7 mm)
- **Weight:** in action 119 kg (262 lb)
- **Elevation:** +30° to -9°
- **Traverse:** 10°
- **Maximum range:** 3658 m (4,000 yards)
- **Bomb weight:** HE 9 kg (20 lb)

### IMPACT OF INNOVATIONS
- **Propellants and Ammunition Changes**
  - The use of new propellants increased the range of mortars, such as the 3-inch Mortar, from 2515 m (2,750 yards) to 4023 m (4,400 yards).
  - The 4.2-inch Mortar achieved a range of 3658 m (4,000 yards).

- **Weapon Design Improvements**
  - The 3-inch Mortar underwent gradual improvements in design, including the introduction of a new baseplate and barrel/bipod design.
  - The 4.2-inch Mortar was noted for its robustness and reliability.

### AMMUNITION
- **Types used**
  - HE bombs for issue to Royal Artillery
  - Big smoke for screening and other purposes; no improved sighting arrangements, and there was even a special version (Mortar, 3 inch Mk V) developed for use in the Far East, but only 5,000 of them were made and some were used by the airborne divisions.

- **Function**
  - The usual method of getting the weapon into action was pack carriage in three loads by men, but the mechanized battalions carried their weapons on specially-equipped Universal Carriers. On these the weapon was carried on the back of the vehicle ready to be assembled for normal ground use; it was not fired from the Carrier. The Carrier also had stowage for the ammunition.

- **Operation**
  - When dropped by parachute the barrel and bipod were dropped in one container. Another container carried the baseplate while yet another container held the ammunition.

- **Ammunition Innovations**
  - The ammunition for the family was largely confined to HE and smoke, although other payloads such as illuminants were developed. By juggling with the propelling charge increments and barrel elevation angles it was possible to drop a bomb as close as 114 m (125 yards) away, a useful feature in close-quarter combat.

### IMPACT ON COMBAT
- **Lethality and Effectiveness**
  - The increased range and improved accuracy of mortars allowed for more effective targeting of enemy positions.
  - The 3-inch Mortar proved to be a sound enough weapon that remained in service with the British army until the 1960s.

- **Operational Use**
  - The 3-inch Mortar was used by some of the smaller ex-Commonwealth armies, especially during the North African campaigns.

- **Maneuverability**
  - The 3-inch Mortar was a handy and popular weapon in action, as it could be easily lifted up onto a small wheeled mount.
4.2-inch Mortar being viewed with elevation: +45° to +80°

Calibre: 106.7 mm (4.2 in)
Lengths: barrel: 1.73 m (68.1 in); bore: 1.565 m (61.6 in)
Weight: in action: 599 kg (1,320 lb)
Elevation: 45° to 80°
Traverse: 10°

A 4.2-inch Mortar fires on German positions in the foothills of Mount Etna in Sicily during 1943. The crew are protecting their ears against the considerable muzzle blast. The amount of dust stirred up could reveal a mortar position in action.

The 95-mm Infantry Howitzer was applied to the project, 95 mm denoting the differences from other similar weapons.

The entire 95-mm Infantry Howitzer project now seems almost like a textbook example of how not to go about weapon design. No doubt the weapon could have been developed to the point of serviceability, but the recoil system was such a source of troubles that it now seems doubtful if it would ever have worked properly. Perhaps the biggest mistake in the entire project was going ahead with design and development without even troubling to find out if the intended operator really wanted the final product.

The 95-mm Infantry Howitzer Mk II

At some point during 1942 a decision was made to produce a light howitzer for use by British infantry battalions. It was decided that the new weapon would incorporate features from a number of existing weapons. The barrel was to be machined from a 94-mm (3.7-in) anti-aircraft gun liner, the breech mechanism would come from the 25-pdr field gun and the recoil system and cradle came from 6-pdr anti-tank gun components. To simplify matters the new weapon would fire the same ammunition as the old 3.7-inch Pack Howitzer and the close-support howitzers fitted in some tanks. The term 95-mm Infantry Howitzer was applied to the project, 95 mm denoting the differences from other similar weapons.

The 95-mm Infantry Howitzer was not one of the success stories of World War II. The resultant amalgamation of components from various weapons all to a new welded steel box carriage looked rather odd, and so it turned out to be once it was fired. The 6-pdr recoil system was simply not up to the task of absorbing the recoil loads and frequently broke. The wheel track also proved to be too narrow, leading to tow instability. Prolonged firing also showed that the overall construction of the weapon, designed for pack transport in 10 loads, was such that components could be shaken loose. No doubt more development could have eliminated many of these defects, but by the time they emerged the weapon was already in production.

It was at this point that the infantry were drawn into the programme. They quickly announced that they did not want the weapon. They had not been consulted at any stage and considered that they already had quite enough weapon types within their battalions, and there simply was not enough manpower to deal with a howitzer as well. This finally killed off the 95-mm Infantry Howitzer project altogether, and the majority of the numbers produced were never even issued. They were simply scrapped after the war and today only one remains.

Only two projectiles were produced for use with this weapon, HE and smoke. There were plans for an anti-tank HEAT projectile, but that was an offshoot of the 95-mm tank howitzer programme, and mention can be found of a flare shell. These projectiles were to be fired using a three-charge system.

The British 3.7-in (94-mm) howitzer was meant to be a mountain weapon when it was first introduced during World War I, but was later used as a light pack howitzer in World War II.
To the little 45/5 modello 35 ‘Brixia’ must go the prize for being the most overdesigned and overengineered mortar of World War II. Quite why the designers of the modello 35 went to such lengths to introduce needless complexities into this support mortar with a very limited performance and a relatively ineffective projectile is now difficult to fathom, but the result was issued to the Italian armed forces in large numbers.

In this weapon’s designation the term 45/5/5, inside the calibre of 45 mm (1.77 in) and the length of the barrel in calibres, i.e. 5x4.5 mm (actually it was marginally longer). Such a small calibre combined with the breech mechanism opened or closed to vent off some of the propellant gas. As a result of this the small bomb weighed only 0.465 kg (1.025 lb) with a correspondingly small explosive payload. The barrel was breech-loaded: operating a lever opened the breech and closing it fed a propelling cartridge from a magazine holding 10 cartridges. A trigger was used to fire the bomb, and to vary the range a gas port was operated, setting the small bomb on the barrel. For all its small size the bomb was quite accurate. It seems almost certain that the Italian soldiers found to their cost the limitations of the modello 35 and retained the weapon in service for the simple reason that there was little chance of Italian industry being able to produce anything better in the then foreseeable future. Having expended so much development time and production effort into getting the modello 35 into the hands of the troops, the limited ability of the Italian defence industries would have required too much time to design, develop and produce yet another weapon. So the Italian soldiers simply had to make do with what they were given; no doubt many of them thought it was not much.

Specification
45/5 modello 35
Calibre: 45 mm (1.77 in)
Lengths: barrel 0.26 m (10.2 in); bore 0.241 m (9.49 in)
Weight: in action 15.5 kg (34.17 lb)
Elevation: +10° to +90°
Traverse: 20°
Maximum range: 536 m (586 yards)
Bomb weight: 0.465 kg (1.025 lb)

The 45/5/5 modello 35 ‘Brixia’ mortar was one of the most complica ted mortar designs ever produced. It used a lever-operated breech mechanism and fired tiny 0.465-kg (1.025-lb) bombs.

GERMANY

5-cm leichte Granatwerfer 36

German weapon designers between the wars had produced with virtually a clean slate on which to work as Germany gradually rearmed during the early 1930s. Thus when a requirement was issued for a light infantry mortar for issue at squad level, the designers at Rheinmetall-Borsig AG decided not to follow the usual barrel/baseplate/bipod form but instead evolved a design in which the barrel was permanently secured to the baseplate and the bipod was virtually eliminated in favour of a monopod device fixed to the baseplate. The result was a rather complex little weapon with a calibre of 50mm (1.969 in) that was known as the 5-cm leichte Granatwerfer 36 or leGrW 36 (light grenade-launcher model 1936) that was first issued for use during 1936.

The leGrW 36 was in many ways a prime example of the German’s general love of gadgetry in weapons. It had all manner of them from the traverse controls built onto the baseplate to a very complicated but completely unnecessary telescopic sight. This sight was very much a designer’s attempt to make the weapon as perfect as possible and ensure accuracy, but the ranges at which the little leGrW 36 was used were such that a simple line painted on the barrel was all that was needed and the sight soon went out of production during 1938. The weapon could be carried by one man using a handle on the base of the barrel. For all its small size the leGrW 36 was rather heavy, weighing 14 kg (30.8 lb). This in action one man had to carry it, with another carrying the ammunition in a steel box. In action the baseplate was placed on the ground and all barrel adjustments were made by coarse and fine control knobs. Firing was carried out using a trigger. Only HE bombs were fired.

While the designers felt rather proud of their achievement in the leGrW 36, the soldiers were not so enthusiastic. They felt that the leGrW 36, quite apart from the weight problem, was simply too complicated and the bomb not worth all the trouble involved. The bomb weighed only 0.9 kg (1.98 lb) and the maximum range was a mere 520 m (569 yards). On top of this the weapon took time to produce, and was costly in raw material terms and other resources. Such a situation could not last once the war was under way, and by 1941 the leGrW 36 was out of production. Those that had been manufactured were gradually withdrawn from front-line service in favour of something better, being passed on to second-line and garrison units. Many were used by units manning the Atlantic Wall as part of the beach defences. Some were passed on to the Italian army.

Overall the leGrW 36 was not one of the German weapon designers’ best efforts. They allowed a small weapon to become far too complex and costly to justify the result, and the German army was astute enough to realize the fact and so went on to employ more useful weapons.

The 5-cm leGrW36 was one of the standard German army UG7 mortars of the early war years, but it was too complex and expensive for wartime production and its performance was not outstanding. Here one is being loaded while the layer adjusts the complicated firing control on the heavy base plate and yoke.
The German army’s 8-cm schwere Granatwerfer 34 or 8-cm sGrW 34 (heavy grenade-launcher model 1934) gained for itself an enviable reputation among Allied front-line soldiers for its accuracy and rate of fire. The weapon was encountered everywhere the German army was in action, for the sGrW 34 was one of the German army’s standard weapons in use from 1939 right through to the last days of World War II. It was a Rheinmetall-Börsig AG product, but was in truth a Germanic revision of the Brandt mie 27/31 and even used the same calibre of 81.4 mm (3.2in).

Despite its reputation there was nothing remarkable regarding the design of the sGrW 34. Much of the respect it gained as a weapon should instead have gone to the thorough training and efficiency of the men who used it, for throughout the war the German mortar crews seemed always to have an edge over their rivals. They became experts at getting their sGrW 34s in and out of action rapidly and by careful use of plotting boards and other fire-control aids, they were able to obtain maximum accuracy from their fire.

The sGrW 34 was straightforward in design and very well made. It was consequently very robust and could be broken down into three loads for man-pack carrying; more men had to carry the ammunition. A special version existed for use from SdKfz 250/7 half-tracks. Several centres were concerned with production of the weapon, and even more were involved in making the ammunition for the range of bombs that could be fired from the sGrW 34 was wide. There were the usual HE and smoke bombs, but innovations included illuminating and target-marking bombs for use in association with ground-attack aircraft. There was even a special ‘bouncing bomb’ known as the 8-cm Wurfgranate 39 that was pushed back up into the air after it had struck the ground. This was done using a tiny rocket motor, and at a predetermined height the bomb exploded to scatter its fragments over a much wider area than would be the case with a conventional ground-detonated bomb. Again, this was a typical German weapon innovation that was really too expensive and unreliable for general use and the numbers produced were never large. One extra bonus for the sGrW 34 was that it could fire a wide range of captured ammunition, although usually with some loss in range performance.

For airborne use a special shortened version of the sGrW 34 was developed in 1940. This was the kurzer Granatwerfer 42, usually known as the Stummelwerfer. This was issued in quantity from about 1942 onwards, but saw little use by airborne forces and instead became a replacement for the little 5-cm leGrW 36. It fired the same ammunition variety as the sGrW 34 but the range was reduced by more than half.

**Specification**

sGrW34
- **Calibre:** 81.4 mm (3.2 in)
- **Lengths:** barrel 1.143 m (45 in); bore 1.033 m (40.67 in)
- **Weight:** in action 56.7 kg (125 lb)

**Elevation:** +40° to +90°
**Traverse:** 9° to 15° variable with elevation
**Maximum range:** 2400 m (2,625 yards)
**Bomb weight:** 3.5 kg (7.72 lb)

**Right:** A German army 8-cm sGrW34 crew. The pear-shaped bomb is being introduced into the muzzle to fall down onto the fixed firing pin to propel the bomb to a maximum range of 2400 m (2,625 yards).

**Below:** A propaganda photograph of an 8-cm sGrW34 in action, clearly showing the elevation, traverse and levelling controls on the bipod. The crew member on the right is holding the bipod to provide an extra measure of stability on firing.
7.5-cm leichte Infanteriegeschütz 18

One of the many tactical lessons learned by the German army during World War I was that each infantry battalion should have a measure of artillery support available to it at all times. This led to the introduction of light infantry guns to each infantry battalion, and it was appreciated that special light guns would be particularly useful for the role. Thus during the 1920s one of the first priorities of the then severely-restricted German weapons industry was the development of a light infantry gun, or leichte Infanteriegeschütz. A 75-mm (2.95-in) design was produced by Rheinmetall-Börsig as early as 1927 and was issued for service in 1932. It was usually known as the 7.5-cm leIG 18, or 7.5-cm leichte Infanteriegeschütz 18.

The first examples had wooden-spoked wheels, while later versions intended for use by motorized formations had metal disc wheels with rubber tyres. The leIG 18 had an unusual breech-loading mechanism: operating a lever opened not the breech but in standard design meant that the sIG 33 was certainly a howitzer, however, with a short barrel set on a heavy box carriage by the use of light alloys, but by the end of the trail to provide extra weight for stability on firing. among other things used to hold the shield became an optional extra. The leGebIG 18 turned out to be heavier than the original but the pack load feature made it much more suitable for its intended role. It was meant to be a temporary measure for the mountain warfare units until purpose-built mountain guns could be developed and produced, but in the event those produced remained in service until the war ended.

There was also a special version of the leIG 18 developed for airborne forces and known as the 7.5-cm leIG 18F, the F indicating Fallschirmjäger, or paratrooper. This could also be broken down into loads, but this time only four for paratrooping in special containers. This version had small metal wheels, no shield and tubular trail legs. Only six were produced as by the time they were ready their intended role had been assumed by the recoilless gun.

Specification leIG 18

Calibre: 75 mm (2.95 in)
Length: barrel overall 0.9 m (35.4 in);
barrel 0.884 m (34.8 in)
Weight: in action 400 kg (882 lb)

When the German army issued its infantry gun requirements during the early 1930s two types of weapon were requested. One was to be a 75-mm (2.95-in) gun and the other a 15-cm (5.87-in) howitzer to act as a heavier counterpart to the leIG 18. Development of this heavy weapon commenced in 1927, but was delayed by the 1932 economic crisis. It was not until 1935 that two ordinances to standardize the sIG 33 being스트레이크리시그리대일물리치지기와, one new self-propelled chassis that the weapon could give its full potential. It was then much more appreciated as a powerful support weapon firing a wide array of projectiles. Most of the tracked chassis designed for the self-propelled role were old tank chassis that were no longer large or powerful enough for armoured warfare; in fact the very first attempt to mount a sIG 33 on a PZKPfw1 hull resulted in the very first German self-propelled artillery weapon, and this was used during the 1940 campaign in France.

As with all other weapons of its era, the sIG 33 was supposed to have an anti-tank capability and was accordingly issued with hollow-charge projectiles. In use these proved to be less than fully effective, for even a normal 150-mm HE shell striking a tank could be effective and a lot less trouble to manufacture and issue. But for really strong targets the sIG 33 could fire a muzzle-loaded stick bomb known as a Stielgranate 42. This had only a short range and was guided by fins towards its target, which was usually a blockhouse, bunker or some other strong point.

Specification sIG33

Calibre: 149.1 mm (5.87 in)
Length: barrel 1.65 m (64.9 in)
Weight: inaction 1750 kg (3,858 lb)
Elevation: 0° to+73°
Traverse: 11.5°
Muzzle velocity: 240 m (787 ft) per second
Maximum range: 4700 m (5,140 yards)
Projectile weight: HE 38 kg (83.8 lb)
The Bofors 75-mm Model 1934 was originally designed by AB Bofors as a mountain gun and was placed on the market in the 1920s. At that time the artillery markets around the world were awash with the surplus of World War I, but there was a small demand for specialized weapons and the Bofors 75-mm (2.95-in) gun fell into this category. As with all products from the Bofors plant at Karlskoga, the 75-mm gun was very well made from the finest materials, and used a sound and well considered design. And it was just what was required by one European nation, the Netherlands.

One would have thought that the last thing a nation as well endowed with flat and as the terrain is either very overgrown or mountainous some form of pack artillery was required. The Bofors gun was apparently just what was needed and a batch was duly acquired. The Bofors guns could be broken down into eight loads, carried in special harnesses by mules, but for normal towing a four-horse team was used with a further six mules carrying ammunition and other bits and pieces; the gunners themselves had to walk. These guns were still in use when World War II reached the Pacific, and with the Japanese invasion the guns had a brief period of action before falling into Japanese hands. Their new masters used the guns for their own purposes until the ammunition stocks ran out, and by 1945 few were left.

Some of these Bofors 75-mm guns were sold to Turkey in the years leading up to World War II, but the main customer was another unlikely client for a mountain gun, this time the recipient was Belgium, for which a special version was produced as the Canon de 75 modèle 1934. This time the gun was for use by the Belgian troops based along the borders in the Ardennes region, but as this area was reasonably well provided with roads and tracks, there was no need for the full pack transport facility. Instead the modèle 1934 were produced as 'one-piece' weapons with the only feature designed to save towing length being a section of the box trail that could be folded upwards on tow. Unlike the Dutch guns, the Belgian models were intended for towing by light tracked tractors and were delivered with rubber-tyred steel disc wheels.

The Belgian guns had little chance to shine, for when the Germans invaded in May 1940 they passed rapidly through the region where these guns were bused. Thus the Bofors guns passed into German hands, but as the numbers involved were few the Germans made no use of this 7.5-cm Gebirgshaubitze 34 and the captured weapons were simply scrapped.

**Specification**

Model: 34
- Calibre: 75 mm (2.95 in)
- Weight in action: 928 kg (2,046 lb)
- Lengths: piece overall 1.8 m (70.87 in); barrel 1.583 m (62.32 in)
- Maximum range: 9300 m (10,171 yards)
- Muzzle velocity: 455 m (1,493 ft) per second
- Traverse: 8°
- Elevation: -10° to +50°

The Netherlands army used their Bofors 75-mm Model 1934 howitzers in the Dutch East Indies, where they were carried into action in pack loads carried by mules. Note how brakes were applied to this carrier mule as it moved down a steep slope carrying the wheels and part of the carriage trails.

![Image of Bofors 75-mm Model 1934 howitzer](image1)
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A Netherlands army Bofors 75-mm (2.95-in) Model 1934 howitzer is ready for action in the Dutch East Indies during 1941. The Japanese army overwhelmed this Dutch colony during early 1942 and took over many of these howitzers for their own local use until the war ended.

**US mortars**

The US Army mortar teams have always referred to their charges as 'cannon' and during World War II they had a lot of cannon to hand. Smallest of these was not an American but a French design as it was the 60-mm Mortar M2, a direct licence-produced copy of a Brandt design. This became the standard US Army mortar for use down to company level, and for it American industry produced a wide range of ammunition including one odd projectile that was meant to illuminate low-flying enemy aircraft at night so that light anti-aircraft weapons could deal with them; the round had other uses as well.

From the M2 the Americans developed their 60-mm Mortar M19, which can be regarded as the US equivalent of the British 2-inch Mortar, which it closely resembled. Not many M19s were produced, and of these most went to airborne formations. The standard battalion mortar of the US Army was another Brandt licence-built product, yet onemore variation of the M2 27/31 design. The Americans produced their version as the 81-mm Mortar M1, and with some slight alterations to suit local production methods it was manufactured throughout World War II. One odd American piece of equipment used with this weapon was a small hand cart onto which the mortar and its ammunition could be loaded. Two men were all that were required to tow this handy little carrier, known as the Hand Cart M6A1. Other carriers included mules, for which a special harness set was devised, but perhaps the most universally used was the M21 halftrack carrier from which the M1 mortar could be fired without the need to dismount the weapon as was the case on such vehicles as the British Universal Carrier. Throughout its service life the M1 remained virtually unchanged. A special barrel extension tube was devised to increase range but it was little used, and a special shortened version, known as the T27
'Universal' and of which much was expected, was not accepted for service on a large scale.

Perhaps the best known of all World War II American mortars was the 4.2-inch Chemical Mortar, the main reason for its fame probably being that it is still in service with the US Army. As with its British counterpart, it was devised to be a mortar firing smoke projectiles (hence the Chemical Mortar designation), but it was not long before it was realized that HE bombs would be very effective as well. It was a cumbersome and large weapon with a massive and heavy baseplate (that was later replaced by much lighter designs), and the barrel was rifled to fire bombs that closely resembled conventional artillery projectiles. The rifling made the 4.2-inch Chemical Mortar very accurate, and the projectiles were much heavier than their smooth-bore equivalents. In action they were often used as infantry support weapons, but many were issued to smoke screen units. The one major drawback to the light howitzer for use in mountain warfare was its weight and bulk. It was not an easy weapon to deploy and to overcome this various self-propelled carriages were devised for it.

**Specification**

**M2**

USA

75-mm Pack Howitzer MIAI

In the aftermath of World War I the 1920 Westervelt Board recommended the design of a new 75-mm (2.95-in) light howitzer for use in mountain warfare and as a general-issue pack howitzer. This was one of the proposals that was actually pursued at the time, for by 1927 the 75-mm Pack Howitzer M1 had been standardized; some later production changes altered the designation to the MIAI. The howitzer was mounted on a carriage of ingenious design that could be easily broken down into six loads, and the box trail was perforated to save weight. The howitzer itself could be broken down for pack transport, and was so arranged that the barrel was held in a trough and kept in place by a cover along the top: this gave the weapon a distinctive appearance. Traverse was effected using a screw mechanism directly on the axle, so the cradle had to carry only the elevation mechanism.

The first MIAIs were mounted on the Carriage M1, which was intended for animal traction and so had wooden-spoked wheels. The introduction of mechanized traction led to the adoption of the Carriage M8, which used rubber-tyred metal wheels. This little howitzer became one of the first Allied airborne artillery weapons, for it was issued to nearly every Allied airborne formation, including the British airborne divisions. But it should not be thought that the MIAI was easy to serve and could be used to provide fire support at ranges up to 8925m (9,760 yards). Despite its light weight some conversions to the self-propelled role were made (some being mounted on half-tracks) and it was just as successful in that role. One role for which the MIAI was not much used appears to be mountain warfare. There were few campaigns where mountain warfare was necessary for the Allies, with the possible exception of that in Yugoslavia. There partisan troops were trained in the use of the MIAI by British officers, and the partisans appear to have made good use of them during the latter stages of their war of self-liberation.

It was as one of the first Allied airborne artillery pieces that the MIAI will probably be best remembered. It was used at Arnhem when some were landed from General Aircraft Hamilcar gliders, but the howitzer could also be broken down into nine loads for paratropping.

Not all MIAIs had such an adventurous life. Many were used simply as infantry support weapons or as pack artillery in the dense jungles of the Far East. The MIAI was light enough to take part in the initial stages of amphibious assaults such as that on Walcheren in 1944, when howitzers meant for mountain warfare were instead used in the flooded flatlands of the Scheldt estuary.

**Specification**

**M1**

- Calibre: 60 mm (2.38 in)
- Length: barrel 1.0726 m (28.6 in)
- Weight: in action 19.05 kg (42 lb)
- Elevation: +40° to +85°
- Traverse: 14°
- Maximum range: 1815 m (1,985 yards)
- Bomb weight: 1.36 kg (3 lb)

**M1A1**

- Calibre: 81.4 mm (3.2 in)
- Length: barrel 1.257 m (49.5 in)
- Weight: in action 39.7 kg (87 lb)
- Elevation: +40° to +85°
- Traverse: 14°
- Maximum range: 3008 m (3,290 yards)
- Bomb weight: 16.9 kg (37 lb)

**Chemical Mortar**

- Calibre: 106.7 mm (4.2 in)
- Length: barrel 1.019 m (40.1 in)
- Weight: in action 149.7 kg (330 lb)
- Elevation: +45° to +59°
- Traverse: 7°
- Maximum range: 4023 m (4,400 yards)
- Bomb weight: 14.5 kg (32 lb)

Above: A 4.2-in Chemical Mortar is seen in action on Arundel Island during the Solomons campaign. Note the stack of bombs for this mortar and how the shape resembles that of a conventional artillery projectile.

The 75-mm (2.95-in) Pack Howitzer M1A1 on Carriage M8 was one of the Allies’ most successful light weapons of the war. It was a pack howitzer that could be readily adapted for paratropping, and was used by both British and American airborne units in 1944 and 1945. Some are still in use to this day.

A US Army light howitzer battery trains with a 75-mm (2.95-in) Pack Howitzer, attired in an odd uniform to tend for use by expeditionary forces in tropical climates. During from 1936, this howitzer has early-pattern M8 carriage spoked wheels.

Muzzle velocity: maximum 381 m (1,250 ft) per second
- Maximum range: 8925 m (9,760 yards)
- Projectile weight: 6.241 kg (13.76 lb)
There were two main types of 50-mm (1.97-in) mortar in service with the Japanese army during World War II. Both of them could be regarded more as grenade-launchers than real mortars as they used projectiles that were little more than finned hand grenades, and they were mainly used as squad weapons for purely local support.

The first version to enter service was the Type 10, which entered service in 1921. It was a simple smooth-bore weapon that fired its grenade by means of a trigger mechanism. An adjustable gas vent was provided to give variations in range. The Type 10 originally fired HE grenades, but with the introduction of the later model it was used more and more to fire pyrotechnic grenades for target illumination and similar purposes. The main drawback of the Type 10 was its limited range, which was only some 160m (175 yards), a factor that gave rise to development of the second weapon in this class, the Type 89.

By 1941 the Type 89 had all but replaced the Type 10 in service and differed from it in several respects, one being that the barrel was rifled instead of smooth-bored. The other main change was the elimination of the previous gas vent system in favour of a firing pin that could be moved up and down the barrel: the higher the firing pin was up the barrel the shorter the resultant range. The Type 89 mortar fired a new series of grenades to an effective range of 650m (711 yards), which was a substantial increase over that possible with the Type 10. Grenades developed for the Type 89 included the usual HE, smoke, signalling and flares. Development of this weapon reached the point where a special version for use by airborne troops was produced. Normally both the Type 10 and Type 89 could be dismantled for carrying in a special leather case.

The main version encountered by the Allies was the Type 89. Somehow, the word spread among the Allies that these little mortars were 'knee mortars' and the name stuck. Exactly how many fractured thighs this completely misleading nickname caused among untrained users is now impossible to determine, but attempting to fire either of these mortars with the baseplate rest above a shoulder while still carrying a normal load and the resultant increase in recoil forces was impossible. Anyone attempting this inevitably ended up with a broken leg, for the recoil forces were considerable.

70-mm Battalion Gun Type 92

The little 70-mm Battalion Gun Type 92 was one of the most successful infantry support weapons of World War II, despite its rather odd appearance. It was issued to every Japanese infantry battalion and could be used in several ways, as a battery weapon or, more frequently, as an individual weapon to produce harassing fire.

Despite its odd appearance the Type 92 was a thoroughly modern design. Much of the unusual appearance came from the use of a short barrel on a carriage travelling on large steel disc wheels. Normally the gun was towed by horses or mules, but in typical Japanese fashion there were various holes and brackets on the carriage through which long poles could be fitted to act as man-carrying handles for short moves. The shield could be removed to save weight when required, and the wheels were supported on cranked axles that could be turned through 180° to lower the silhouette of the gun when occasion demanded. Although it was a small weapon, the Type 92 required a crew of 10 men, most of these being used for manhandling or carrying the gun and acting as ammunition suppliers. In action the maximum number required was only five.

The Type 92 fired the usual HE projectiles along with smoke and shrapnel for close-range use against personnel in the open. There was also a rather How not to do it. For some reason the Americans decided that the small spade baseplate of the Japanese grenade dischargers enabled a soldier to fire them from the thigh or knee (hence 'kneemortars'), but anyone attempting this inevitably ended up with a broken leg, for the recoil forces were considerable.
Mortier Brandt de 81 mm modèle 27/31

Even though the Stokes Mortar of World War I established the overall design shape of the modern mortar, it was still a very rudimentary weapon. The Stokes Mortar was little more than a pipe supported on a simple frame and sitting on a base plate to take the recoil forces. The French Brandt company changed all that in the years after World War I by a careful redesign and drastic improvement in the type of bomb fired. At first sight the Brandt-inspired modifications were difficult to detect for the overall form of the Stokes design remained, but the improvements were there nevertheless. One of the first was that the new Brandt Bipod was such that it could be set up on any piece of ground: the levelling of the sights was easily carried out by the bipod leg design, on which only one leg needed to be adjusted. The sights were clamped to a position close to the muzzle, one that was practicable for the layer to peer through without having to stand over the weapon, and slight changes of traverse were easily made using a screw mechanism on the sight bracket. But the main changes came with the ammunition. The early grenades of the Stokes Mortar were replaced by well-shaped bombs that not only carried more explosive payload but had a much greater range. In fact Brandt produced a wide range of mortar bombs, but as the Type 92 had only very simple sights and was rarely used against targets other than those clearly visible, this mattered but little in action. The Type 92 was certainly used well forward. Its direct or plunging fire could be very effective, in both defence and attack, and some Allied reports speak of the Type 92 being used in the same manner as a mortar. One operational method that was developed to a fine art by the Japanese for the Type 92 was harassing fire in jungle warfare. A small team would drag or carry the Type 92 forward, fire off a few rounds at a known target and then move hastily on to a new fire position or out of the area altogether. A single gun could keep large bodies of Allied soldiers awake and alert by such simple tactics.

Although labelled as a gun, the Type 89 used a variable propellant charge system and could be fired in the upper register (i.e. above an elevation angle of 45°) to drop projectiles onto targets as close as 100 m (109 yards) away. On target a single gun could keep large bodies of Allied soldiers awake and alert by such simple tactics. Although labelled as a gun, the Type 89 used a variable propellant charge system and could be fired in the upper register (i.e. above an elevation angle of 45°) to drop projectiles onto targets as close as 100 m (109 yards) away. On target the Stokes Mortar was little more than a pipe supported on a simple frame and sitting on a base plate to take the recoil forces. The French Brandt company changed all that in the years after World War I by a careful redesign and drastic improvement in the type of bomb fired. At first sight the Brandt-inspired modifications were difficult to detect for the overall form of the Stokes design remained, but the improvements were there nevertheless. One of the first was that the new Brandt Bipod was such that it could be set up on any piece of ground: the levelling of the sights was easily carried out by the bipod leg design, on which only one leg needed to be adjusted. The sights were clamped to a position close to the muzzle, one that was practicable for the layer to peer through without having to stand over the weapon, and slight changes of traverse were easily made using a screw mechanism on the sight bracket. But the main changes came with the ammunition. The early grenades of the Stokes Mortar were replaced by well-shaped bombs that not only carried more explosive payload but had a much greater range. In fact Brandt produced a wide range of mortar bombs, but as the Type 92 had only very simple sights and was rarely used against targets other than those clearly visible, this mattered but little in action. The Type 92 was certainly used well forward. Its direct or plunging fire could be very effective, in both defence and attack, and some Allied reports speak of the Type 92 being used in the same manner as a mortar. One operational method that was developed to a fine art by the Japanese for the Type 92 was harassing fire in jungle warfare. A small team would drag or carry the Type 92 forward, fire off a few rounds at a known target and then move hastily on to a new fire position or out of the area altogether. A single gun could keep large bodies of Allied soldiers awake and alert by such simple tactics.

Although labelled as a gun, the Type 89 used a variable propellant charge system and could be fired in the upper register (i.e. above an elevation angle of 45°) to drop projectiles onto targets as close as 100 m (109 yards) away. On target the Stokes Mortar was little more than a pipe supported on a simple frame and sitting on a base plate to take the recoil forces. The French Brandt company changed all that in the years after World War I by a careful redesign and drastic improvement in the type of bomb fired. At first sight the Brandt-inspired modifications were difficult to detect for the overall form of the Stokes design remained, but the improvements were there nevertheless. One of the first was that the new Brandt Bipod was such that it could be set up on any piece of ground: the levelling of the sights was easily carried out by the bipod leg design, on which only one leg needed to be adjusted. The sights were clamped to a position close to the muzzle, one that was practicable for the layer to peer through without having to stand over the weapon, and slight changes of traverse were easily made using a screw mechanism on the sight bracket. But the main changes came with the ammunition. The early grenades of the Stokes Mortar were replaced by well-shaped bombs that not only carried more explosive payload but had a much greater range. In fact Brandt produced a wide range of mortar bombs, but as the Type 92 had only very simple sights and was rarely used against targets other than those clearly visible, this mattered but little in action. The Type 92 was certainly used well forward. Its direct or plunging fire could be very effective, in both defence and attack, and some Allied reports speak of the Type 92 being used in the same manner as a mortar. One operational method that was developed to a fine art by the Japanese for the Type 92 was harassing fire in jungle warfare. A small team would drag or carry the Type 92 forward, fire off a few rounds at a known target and then move hastily on to a new fire position or out of the area altogether. A single gun could keep large bodies of Allied soldiers awake and alert by such simple tactics.
Infantry Anti-Tank Weapons

Modern infantry are equipped with man-portable anti-tank weapons capable of dealing with any armour at a respectable range. But in World War II anti-tank guns were bulky and scarce, and hand-held weapons were in their infancy. Nevertheless, these primitive short-range weapons began to evolve into a genuine defence against tanks.

Despite the success of the anti-tank gun during World War II, many tanks were destroyed in action by a diversity of other weapon types, often having little in common with each other except the fact that they had been developed to destroy enemy tanks. The range of these weapons was wide during World War II, varying from the bizarre Soviet dog mines to many variations of the hollow-charge warhead. As will be related, these varied in delivery method from being hand-thrown to rocket-propelled.

Nearly all the weapons described in this section were relatively short-range weapons. They therefore came into the domain of the foot soldier rather than the gunner, and it was the infantry which was the genre's main operator. This included the array of anti-tank rifles that were in service when World War II started, though few were still in use when 1945 came around. The anti-tank rifles were perhaps a minor class of their own, but it would be difficult to define weapons such as the Panzerfaust and Panzerwurfmine in any category other than that of anti-tank weapon. In their day both were fearedly effective weapons, but today they have virtually passed from use (although relations of the Panzerfaust can still be encountered). The anti-tank grenade has also virtually disappeared, and so too has the suicide tank killer made infamous by the Japanese in 1944 and 1945. But modern relatives of the bazooka and the Raketenpanzerbuchse can still be found in widespread use, and the Molotov cocktail is still a weapon favoured by many irregular armed forces, to say nothing of terrorists of every shade.

Being mostly close-range weapons, the anti-tank methods mentioned in this assessment became very personal weapons. None of them would have been of any use whatsoever without the application and determination of their users, and as always this is an important factor to be borne in mind when reading about them. It still evokes a considerable degree of admiration to consider how the British Home Guard trained to tackle the expected invading German tanks and even more to consider how the Soviet partisans risked their lives to repel the German invaders. Consideration of the various forms of Japanese suicide methods may produce differing reactions, but the fact remains that the men who went out to destroy tanks and die in the process were essentially brave men, even if their psychology is still difficult to understand. All the weapons in these pages were essentially very personal ones, and perhaps even the Red Army soldiers grew attached to the dogs who carried their mines.
The American bazooka was one of the most original weapons of World War II and was founded on basic rocket research that had been conducted at Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland, since 1933. The active service development of the weapon began in earnest in early 1942 and the first of them went into action in North Africa in November 1942, although it was the following year before any were used against Axis armour. The full designation of the first model was 2.36-in Rocket Launcher, M1. The rocket fired was the M6A3 and the practice rocket was known as the M7A3.

The bazooka was a very simple weapon, being nothing more than a steel tube (open at both ends) through which the rocket was launched. A shoulder rest or wooden stock was provided along with two grips for aiming; the rear grip included the trigger group. The rocket was fired electrically and in low temperatures not all the propellant was consumed before the rocket left the launcher, allowing unburnt powder to be blasted into the firer’s face. To prevent this it was possible to fit a small circular wire mesh screen just behind the muzzle. In practice the bazooka could be used at point targets up to 274 m (900 yards) away, but for most purposes range was confined to about 91 m (100 yards).

Soon after the M1 bazooka entered service it was replaced by the essentially similar M1A1. It was a popular weapon that could knock out any enemy tank and was normally served by a two-man team, one aiming and the other loading the rockets and connecting their electrical firing circuits. The bazooka soon found a great number of battlefield tasks other than use against tanks: it was very good at knocking out pillboxes of all kinds, and could even blast holes through barbed-wire obstacles; it could be used at area targets such as vehicle parks at ranges up to 594 m (650 yards), and at times was also used to clear narrow lanes through minefields; and there are records of the bazooka being used against artillery pieces at close ranges.

But it was against tanks that the bazooka made its main mark, and it was seized upon by the Germans as the design basis for their Raketenpanzerbüchse series after examples of the M1 had been captured in Tunisia in early 1943. Although the German counterparts were much larger in calibre, the Americans stuck to their 60-mm (2.36-in) calibre until after 1945. By then they had introduced a new model, the M9 which differed from the M1 in being able to be broken down into two halves for ease of carrying. Smoke and incendiary rockets were developed and used before 1945, although much of their use was confined to the Pacific theatre. As the war ended the all-aluminium M18 launchers were being introduced into service.

By the time the war ended no less than 476,628 bazookas of all types had been produced, along with 15,603,000 rockets of all kinds.

Specification
M1A1
Calibre: 60 mm (2.36 in)
Length: 1.384 m (4 ft 6.5 in)
Weights: launcher 6.01 kg (13.25 lb); rocket 1.54 kg (3.4 lb)
Range: maximum 594 m (650 yards)
Muzzle velocity: 82.3 m (270 ft) per second
Armour penetration: 119.4 mm (4.7 in) at 0°

Soviet anti-tank rifles

The Red Army used two types of anti-tank rifle during World War II, both of them very long and powerful rifles that both fired the same 14.5-mm (0.57-in) ammunition. For some reason the Soviet armed forces had neglected the anti-tank rifle when other nations were just adopting them, and only introduced the type into use at a time when other nations were busy discarding theirs. Set against this it must be said that the Soviet rifles were much more valuable weapons than most in use at the time.

The more numerous of the Soviet anti-tank rifles was the PTRD 1941, a weapon produced by the Degtyarov design bureau and introduced in mid-1941, just in time for the German invasion of the Soviet Union. The PTRD-41 (as it was often known) was a very long weapon that was nearly all barrel and equipped with a semi-automatic breech mechanism. It could penetrate up to 25 mm (0.98 in) of armour at 500 m (547 yards) and fired either steel or tungsten-cored projectiles. A large muzzle brake was fitted and a bipod under the barrel steadied the rifle in use.

The second anti-tank rifle was the PTRS 1941 or PTRS-41, a product of the Simonov design bureau. Compared with the PTRD-41 it was a heavier and more complex weapon, but it fired the same ammunition and had an identical performance. The main change with the PTRS-41 was the use of a gas-operated mechanism and the addition of a five-round magazine. At the time these combined to make the PTRS-41 a more trouble-prone weapon than the simpler and lighter PTRD-41. Further complexity was added by a feature that allowed the barrel to be removed from the weapon for ease in carrying.

Despite the fact that these two anti-tank rifles arrived in Red Army service at a time when their anti-armour capabilities were being reduced by a rapid increase in German tank armour thickness.
In common with many other European armies, the Red Army maintained a number of war dogs for various military purposes such as sniffing out explosives or even delivering messages and medical supplies in front-line areas, but they had few roles more bizarre for dogs to play than the Soviet dog mines that were used for a short period during World War II. Exactly how the idea of using dogs as mobile anti-tank mines came about has yet to be determined, but the idea was simple and seemed to offer great things for the hard-pressed Soviet forces during 1942.

The basic idea of the dog mine was that the dogs were trained to dive under enemy tanks whenever they appeared. Each dog carried on its back a wooden box (or packets secured to its body by a harness) and from the top of the box (or packets) protruded a vertical wooden post. When this post was pushed backwards as the dog moved under the tank it detonated the explosives contained in the box (or packets) to the detriment of the tank and the unfortunate dog. Some accounts talk of wire sensors in place of the wooden post, but a subsequent tap on the firer’s helmet would then indicate that the rifle is ready to fire.

The Soviet dog mines did have a few successes, but their period of action was short once their two-edged nature became apparent. The idea was not used after 1942, but there were some reports of the Viet Minh attempting to use dog mines during the fighting in Indo-China during the late 1940s. Some reports on the Red Army after 1945 still contained references to the dog mines, no doubt just in case they were used again.
The German army did not have any specialized hand-held anti-tank grenade other than the Panzergranate, which came into a special category. Instead, when armour targets were encountered, they were encountered on every front in large numbers. The later RPG fired a more developed rocket system, known as the Sturmgewehr, a device developed mainly for ammunition, but it was only just over 51 mm (2 in) long and contained a miniscule charge of TNT. This grenade could be launched to a maximum range of 90 m (98 yards), but even if it hit a target it could produce little if any damage on even the lightest armoured target. Both the field and the fully developed state, was issued to front-line troops.

**GERMANY**

**Anti-tank grenades**

The chief type was fired from the standard Kar 98 service rifle muzzle using a device known as a Schild, which was a cup that could be secured to the muzzle using a lever lock. The cup was grooved internally using a twist to assist inflight stabilization. There were several anti-tank grenades that could be fired from this device, and these differed in size and the amount of explosive payload carried. The 27-mm (1.063-in) Kampfstoß, a device developed from a standard signal pistol to fire tiny grenades. Continued development had turned the signal pistol into a rifled weapon equipped with a complex bubble sight and a folding butt. The German RPzB 43 was inspired by the American bazooka, but used a larger 88-mm (3.46-in) rocket. Sometimes known as Panzerschreck, this weapon had a range of 150 m (164 yards) and could knockout all Allied tanks.
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**British troops examine a captured 8.8-cm (3.46-in) RPz 54 in Normandy, July 1944. The shield can be seen, ascends the main lever for the electrical generator used for firing; these looks like a large trigger under the tube. The RPzB 54/1 was essentially similar but used a shorter launching tube.**
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**The German RPzB 54 was fired by the American bazooka, but used a larger 8.8-cm (3.46-in) rocket.**

**Weight:**
- Launcher: 9.2 kg (20.3 lb);
- Rocket: 3.27 kg (7.21 lb);
- Warhead: 0.65 kg (1.43 lb)

**Length:** 1.638 m (5 ft 4.5 in)

**Germans**

**Rakettenpanzerbüchse**

In 1943 numbers of American 60-mm (2.36-m) M1 bazookas were captured in Tunisia and were rapidly examined by German technicians, who quickly appreciated that the simple and cheap construction of the rocket-launcher could be used to good advantage by the Germans themselves, and before very long the first German equivalents appeared. This German launcher fired a rocket very similar to that used on the Puppen, but was modified for electrical firing. This first German launcher was known as the 8.8-cm Raketenpanzerbüchse 43 (RPzB) and was little more than a simple tube open at both ends, from which the rocket could be launched. The firer rested the ‘pip’ on his shoulder and operated a lever to power a small electrical generator. Releasing a trigger allowed the power so produced to be passed via wires to the rocket motor for firing. The weapon was completed by a simple sighting system.

The RPzB was an immediate success as an anti-tank weapon. Firing a larger rocket than the bazooka had a better anti-armour capability, but the rocket was limited in range to about 150 m (164 yards). There was another disadvantage in that the rocket motor was still burning as it left the muzzle, so the user had to wear protective clothing and a gas mask to avoid being burnt. The rocket exhaust was dangerous for a distance up to 4 m (13.1 ft) to the rear of the tube on firing, and the exhaust could also kick up clouds of dust and debris to betray the firing position. This latter factor did little to endear the RPzB 43 to some users.

Further development produced the RPzB 54, which had a shield to protect the firer so that the protective clothing was no longer necessary, and the later RPzB 54/1 fired a more developed rocket that required a shorter launching tube than the bazooka but which had a slightly increased range of 180 m (197 yards). The RPzB 54 and RPzB 54/1 replaced the earlier RPzB 43 in production, and the earlier models were passed to second-line and reserve formations.

These weapons soon became very widely distributed, and were to the extent that they were encountered on every front. These weapons were armed with at least one in each tank assembly, but they were essential as close-range weapons that required careful handling in action; special care had to be taken regarding the dangerous effects of the backblast on firing. The usual crew for these weapons was two men, one aiming and loading the rockets and another loading the rockets and connecting the igniting wires to the launcher contacts. Tank targets often had to be ‘staked’ for the crew to get within effective range, but if a hit was registered that tank was usually ‘dead’. The only counter to the RPzB series was the Panzerjäger, which came into a special category.

**Specification**
- Calibre: 88 mm (3.46 in)
- Length: 1.638 m (5 ft 4.5 in)
- Weight: 11 kg (24.25 lb)
- Rocket: 3.27 kg (7.21 lb)
- Warhead: 0.65 kg (1.43 lb)
- Range: maximum 150 m (164 yards)

**Rate of fire:** 4-5 rpm
Once the Germans had appreciated that the artillery projectile was not the most efficient manner of delivering hollow-charge warheads to an armoured target (it moved too fast for the hollow-charge to have full effect), they moved towards the rocket as a delivery system. They produced a small rocket with a calibre of 8.8 cm (3.46 in) with a hollow-charge warhead that was quite sufficient to penetrate any known armour on any Allied tank, and then set about producing a launching system.

At that stage of rocket development the German designers appear to have had little experience of what a rocket-launcher should be like, and in the end they developed what was to all intents and purposes a small artillery piece to ‘fire’ the rocket. This device was known as the Puppchen (dolly), or more formally as the 8.8-cm Raketenwerfer 43, and it had all the appearances of a small gun. There was a shield and the launcher was moved on wheels. Once in position the wheels could be removed to lower the silhouette and the weapon then rested on rockers. The rocket was even loaded using a conventional breech mechanism. Where the Puppchen differed from artillery pieces was that there was no recoil mechanism. The recoil forces produced by firing the rocket were absorbed by the mass of the carriage alone, and the aimer could point the launcher tube by using a twin-handled grip and looking along the barrel.

The Puppchen was introduced into service in 1943, and in use had a maximum range of about 700 m (766 yards), though for anti-tank use the maximum effective range was about 280 m (252 yards) as the sighting system was rather rudimentary and the time of flight of the rocket could be measured in seconds. It was possible to fire up to 10 rockets per minute. Other design features of the Puppchen were that it could be broken down into seven loads for pack transport, and that skis could be used for movement over snow. There were even instructions printed on the inside of the shield for untrained personnel to use it on a battlefield.

The Puppchen did not last very long in production. Almost as soon at the first items had been issued American bazookas were captured in Tunisia and examined by German technical personnel, who soon realized that the simple pipe was all that was needed to launch their 8.8-cm rocket and that the complexity of the Puppchen was unnecessary. Thus production ceased almost as soon as it started, and was then concentrated instead on the simple RPzB series. But those Puppchen equipments that had been made and issued were not wasted. They were retained in use until the war ended, especially in Italy where a sizeable number were captured by the Allies and were subjected to close investigation by intelligence and technical staffs.

There were apparently intentions to mount modified Puppchen equipments on light armoured vehicles but none of these plans came to anything.

### Specification

**Puppchen**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calibre</th>
<th>88 mm (3.46 in)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lengths</td>
<td>overall 2.87 m (9 ft 5 in); barrel 1.60 m (5 ft 3 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weights</td>
<td>travelling 146 kg (322 lb); in action 100 kg (220 lb); rocket 2.66 kg (5.86 lb)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevations</td>
<td>-18° to +15°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverse</td>
<td>60°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ranges: maximum 700 m (766 yards); anti-tank 230 m (252 yards)

---

The 8.8-cm (3.46-in) Raketenwerfer 43 or ‘Puppchen’ was a form of anti-tank rocket launcher that was superseded almost as soon as it entered service in 1943 by the RP 43 series firing a very similar rocket. The RP 43 could be produced far more cheaply and quickly than the Puppchen, here being examined by Americans.

---

**Panzerrwurfmine (L)**

The Panzerwurfmine (L) was developed by the German army for use by special tank-killer infantry squads to provide them with a powerful stand-off weapon that could be carried and used by one man. It was a specialized form of anti-tank grenade that used a hollow-charge warhead to defeat the thickest armour of nearly all Allied tanks. It also had the advantage of not requiring the user to approach the tank to place the grenade on its target.

The Panzerwurfmine was thrown at its target in a special manner. The grenade warhead had behind it a steel body attached to a wooden handle. The user gripped this handle and held it behind his back with the warhead pointing vertically upwards. When ready the user swung his arm forward and released the handle. As soon as the grenade was in flight four canvas fins unfolded from the handle for stability and stabilization, and the drogue effect of these fins maintained the warhead in its correct forward position ready to have maximum effect as it struck. This sounds simple enough, but in practice the Panzerwurfmine was not an easy weapon to use effectively. For a start the maximum possible range was limited by the strength and ability of the thrower, and was usually no more than 30 m (32.8 yards) at best, and could only be ensured by practice with special inert training versions.

But despite these disadvantages some of the special German anti-tank personnel greatly favoured the Panzerwurfmine. Compared with other close-in anti-tank weapons used by the Germans the Panzerwurfmine was relatively small, light and handy. It was also potent, for the warhead was made up of RDX and TNT in equal measures and weighed 0.52 kg (1.146 lb). Combined with the hollow-charge principle, this usually ensured penetration of even the thickest amour of nearly all Allied tanks. It also had the advantage of some skill to use properly, and they were mainly issued to specialist close-in tank killer squads.
target, with all the attendant risks of such a tactic. Further safety was provided by the fact that the warhead was not fully fused until the grenade was in flight, for the act of throwing also armed the fuse. Despite its success in German hands, the Panzerwurfmine was not copied closely by any of the Allies. Captured examples were used when they fell into Allied hands, especially by the Red Army, but the Americans often misused them for they at first thought that they were meant to be thrown in the same manner as an oversized dart; once the mistake had been discovered special intelligence bulletins were soon issued to correct this practice. After 1945 the principle was used for a while by various Warsaw Pact nations, and in recent years the Egyptians have seen fit to copy the Panzerwurfmine almost exactly as part of the output of their new indigenous armaments industry. They have discovered that this type of anti-tank weapon is exactly suited to their infantry anti-tank tactics, and their version is reported to be quite capable of 'killing' the most modern tanks.

**Specification**

Panzerwurfmine (L)

| Body diameter: 114.3 mm (4.5 in) |
| Lengths: overall 533 mm (21 in); body 228.6 mm (9 in); fins 279.4 mm (11 in) |

**Weights:**
- Overall 1.35 kg (2.98 lb);
- Warhead 0.52 kg (1.146 lb)

---

**GERMANY**

**Panzerfaust**

When it first appeared in late 1942, the weapon that was soon known as Panzerfaust (tank devil) was unique. It was developed by HASAG (Hugo Schneider AG) at Leipzig to provide soldiers with a personal anti-tank weapon, and emerged as a form of recoilless gun that also incorporated some rocket principles. The Panzerfaust was meant to be cheap and simple, and was little more than a launching tube that projected a hollow-charge grenade. Simple firing and sighting facilities were provided, and that was it. The bulk of the propelant was contained in the launching tube, and in flight four spring steel fins sprang out from the projectile body to provide inflight stabilization.

The first Panzerfausts entered large-scale service in 1943, and this initial version was later known as the Panzerfaust 30 (klein), the 30 referring to the 30-m (32.8-yard) range of the device. The suffix klein (small) was appended to the body to provide inflight stabilization.

The Panzerfaust projectile was introduced to provide an increased anti-armour penetration capability: this was then the Panzerfaust 30. The short range of these early models was often a great tactical disadvantage for the firer, who thus had to get dangerously close to the target tank. But the Panzerfaust worked and proved lethal to any tank likely to be hit. Aiming was a bit difficult as it relied on using a flip-up leaf sight that had to be aligned with a pip on the projectile body, and at the same time the launcher tube had to be carefully tucked under the arm to prevent the propelant exhaust from injuring the firer.

After the Panzerfaust 30 came the Panzerfaust 60 and Panzerfaust 100, both with more range provided by more propelant, although the projectile remained the same. There were plans to introduce a Panzerfaust 150 and even a Panzerfaust 250, but the end of the war prevented these versions ever getting past the testing stage.

The Panzerfaust projectile could penetrate up to 200m (7.87m) of armour set at an angle of 30º, while the smaller Panzerfaust 30 (klein) could penetrate 140mm (5.51 in). Therefore any Allied tank was vulnerable to the Panzerfaust, and tank crews took to adding extra protection to their vehicles, this ranging from stand-off plates along the sides to piles of sandbags around the hulls and even lengths of spare track at all likely places. The Panzerfausts were produced in their tens of thousands right up until May 1945. They could be used only once, which was something of a liability for German raw material resources, so it was planned that the projected Panzerfaust 150 and Panzerfaust 250 would be reloadable to conserve metal stocks.

The Panzerfaust exactly suited the German defensive tactics of 1943-5, and Allied tank crews came to fear the weapon. Being available in huge numbers, at least one Panzerfaust was carried by almost every German vehicle, and many of the hapless Volkssturm went into action with nothing else. If the Panzerfaust was aimed properly and used at the correct range, every German soldier could have at least one Allied tank destroyed to his credit, but the introduction of stand-off armour and infantry squads accompanying Allied tanks offset some of the worst German infantry could do.

**Specification**

Panzerfaust 30 (klein)

| Range: 30 m (32.8 yards) |
| Weights: total 1.475 kg (3.25 lb); projectile 0.52 kg (1.146 lb) |

**Panzerfaust 60**

| Range: 80 m (87.4 yards) |
| Weights: total 6.8 kg (15 lb); projectile 0.68 kg (1.5 lb) |

**Panzerfaust 100**

| Range: 150 m (517.4 yards) |
| Weights: total 6.8 kg (15 lb); projectile 0.68 kg (1.5 lb) |

The first model of Panzerfaust to enter service was the Panzerfaust 30, the number referring to the effective range in metres. By increasing the amount of propelant the range could be extended.

**Weights:**
- Total 5.22 kg (11.5 lb);
- Projectile 3 kg (6.6 lb)

---

**The German Panzerwurfmine (L) was much favoured by tank killer squads, for although it was a close-range weapon it had a 114.3-mm (4.5-in) warhead that could knock out even the heaviest Allied tanks. It was thrown in such a way that the hollow charge warhead was always in front to strike the tank armour first.**

---

**Right:** A drill book example of how to aim and fire a Panzerfaust 30 or 60. The weapon tube had to be held under the arm or over the shoulder to allow the propellant exhaust to vent to the rear safely, so the weapon was not meant to be used in enclosed areas.

---

**Weights:**
- Total 6.8 kg (15 lb);
- Projectile 0.68 kg (1.5 lb)
Anti-tank rifles

The German army used two main types of anti-tank rifle but, as will be related, they attempted to develop many more models. The first in-service weapon was the 7.92-mm Panzerbüchse 38, an 0.312-in rifle produced by Rheinmetall-Borsig. This was a weapon that was both complex and expensive, for it resembled a miniature artillery piece so far as the breech mechanism was concerned. This featured a small sliding breech block and an automatic ejector for the spent cartridge case. About 1,600 of these anti-tank rifles were procured by the German army, but the type was not accepted as a full service-standard weapon although those that were produced were retained in service and were used during the early war years.

The standard German anti-tank rifle was the 7.92-mm Panzerbüchse 39. This was a much simpler weapon than the Panzerbüchse 38 and was produced by the Gustloff-Werke of Suhl. This weapon still had a sliding breech block for the powerful cartridge, but the block was operated by pulling down the pistol grip. Like the earlier rifle it was a single-shot weapon and the stock could be folded to make carriage more handy. Extra ammunition could be carried on the weapon in small boxes secured on each side of the breech mechanism.

These two anti-tank rifles fired the same ammunition, which originally used a hard steel core. In 1939 numbers of Polish Marosczek anti-tank rifles were captured, and on examination it was found that the bullets fired by this very effective weapon had a tungsten core that gave much better armour penetration. The Germans seized upon this principle and adopted it to lengthen the service life of their own anti-tank rifles, which would otherwise have been rendered obsolete by increases in enemy tank armour.

The Germans developed a surprising number of follow-on designs in an effort to replace the Panzerbüchse 39. Various manufacturers produced a series of prototypes, all of them in 7.92-mm calibre, but none of them got past the prototype stage. There was even a programme to develop an anti-tank machine-gun known as the MG 141, but again that did not proceed far along its development path.

There was one further anti-tank rifle used by the Germans, but this was a Swiss product known as the 7.92-mm M 41. This was produced by Waffenfabrik Solothurn in Switzerland to German specifications, but not many appear to have been made or delivered though some were used in North Africa. Solothurn was also responsible for the design and manufacture of a weapon more accurately described as an anti-tank cannon, for it was the 2-cm Panzerabwehrbüchse 785(s). This was a fairly bulky weapon that was towed on its own two-wheeled mounting, and again only a limited number were procured by the Germans. Others went to Italy, where the type was known as the Fucile anticarro. It was an automatic weapon that used five- or 10-round magazines, and was sometimes known as the s 18-1100; some were used by the Netherlands during 1939 and 1940 as the Geweer tp 18-110.

Specification

PzB 38
Calibre: 7.92 mm (0.312 in)
Lengths: overall with stock extended 1,615 mm (63.58 in); barrel 1,085 mm (42.72 in)
Weight: 16.2 kg (35.7 lb)
Muzzle velocity: 1210 m (3,970 ft) per second
Armour penetration: 25 mm (0.98 in) at 300 m (328 yards)

PzB 39
Calibre: 7.92 mm (0.312 in)
Lengths: overall with stock extended 1,620 mm (63.78 in); barrel 1,085 mm (42.72 in)
Weight: 12.6 kg (27.78 lb)
Muzzle velocity: 1265 m (4,150 ft) per second
Armour penetration: 25 mm (0.98 in) at 300 m (328 yards)
Suicide anti-tank methods

The users of Japanese lunge mines were offered an extremely effective form of expasion, but the lunge mine was not really a 'suicide weapon', for in theory the user had a good chance of surviving. The Japanese suicide anti-tank weapons were rather more extreme, for by 1944 it had become an accepted method of warfare that Japanese soldiers, sailors and airmen were called upon to commit suicide as a measure to destroy Allied equipment and personnel in an attempt to keep the Allies away from the Japanese home islands, together with their spiritual and material resources. The best known of these suicide measures was the kamikaze flying bomb, but there were others that are now less well known, including various forms of self-destruction involved in eliminating Allied tanks.

Perhaps the most extreme of these were the backpack human mines. This weapon was very simple to devise and devastating in use, for it consisted of little more than a canvas backpack loaded with about 9 kg (19.8 lb) of explosive to form a satchel charge. The user wore this charge and concealed himself until an Allied tank approached. He then ran forward to the tank and dived underneath it, at the same time pulling a length of cord that initiated a short delay to ensure the tank would be right over the charge before it exploded, destroying both tank and user. This tactic was hard to counter, for very often the user waited until the tank was really close before making his suicide rush, so protecting infantry had to be very quick to react if they were to prevent the attack. It was also very unnerving for Allied tank crews. A variant on the satchel charge was a Type 93 anti-tank mine on a pole which was simply shoved under a track with dire results for both the tank and the user.

A further modification on the suicide theme was encountered in some parts of Burma in 1945. Here there was no deliberate death rush, for the hapless anti-tank troops were concealed in foxholes either in the centre of roads or tracks, or at the sides of routes that Allied tanks were expected to use. There they remained until a tank approached, and once one was overhead or very close the idea was that it would be destroyed by the man in the foxhole setting off a charge: this might be a simple explosive device, or a form of mine, or sometimes even a small aircraft bomb. The charges were set off manually and deliberately by the suicide candidate, who acted as little more than a human fuse. In practice this ploy did not work too well for the personnel in their foxholes were easily spotted by infantry and were killed before they could use their charges. Accounts exist of Allied personnel surrounding foxholes and their suicidal occupants without the Japanese making any attempts to injure the attackers with their charges: the philosophy appears to have been that such attackers were not tanks and the explosives had to be saved to use against tanks, not infantry. As these suicide anti-tank miners had no weapons other than their explosives they were killed in their foxholes to benefit the Japanese war effort.

Anti-tank Rifle Type 97

When it came to anti-tank rifles, the Japanese general staff decided to go one better than most contemporary designs and produce a rifle firing a powerful 20-mm (0.78-in) cartridge. This emerged as the Anti-tank Rifle Type 97, and while it was certainly a powerful weapon by the standards of the day it was also extremely heavy, weighing no less than 67.5 kg (148.8 lb) when being carried and 51.75 kg (114 lb) once emplaced. Much of this weight resulted from the adoption of a gas-operated mechanism which was locked by a tilting breech block. Ammunition was fed from an overhead seven-round box magazine.

Once emplaced the Type 97 used a bipod mounted just forward of the body and a monopod under the butt. Despite the ferocious recoil the weapon was intended to be directed and fired from the shoulder, which cannot have endeared the weapon to its users. Normally the Type 97 was carried on two special poles by two men, but more often four men were used. It was possible to fit a small shield for added protection and to this shield could be added a carrying bar that resembled bicycle handlebars, though this component was often omitted to reduce the weight of the weapon. Another one of these carrying bars could be added under the butt. In action the Type 97 was often difficult to spot as it was a long, low weapon.

During the early months of the Pacific campaign the Type 97 proved itself to be a useful weapon against the light tanks it was called upon to tackle but once larger and heavier tanks (such as the American M4 Sherman) appeared on the scene the Type 97 was no longer of much value. At best it could penetrate 30mm (1.18 in) of case-hardened armour at 250m (273 yards), and against anything heavier it was of little use. But the Japanese did not phase out the Type 97 as they were far too short of modern weapons to let any be discarded. The Type 97 was retained, but no longer primarily for anti-armour use: instead many of those available were emplaced as anti-invasion weapons on the Pacific islands, where they were sometimes able to cause damage to landing craft and light amphibious landing vehicles. Some measure of anti-armour capability was retained by the fitting of special grenade launchers to some Type 97s. These launchers could be secured to the muzzle by means of a locking bar once the circular muzzle brake had been unscrewed. The idea was a copy of the German Schießbecker grenade-launchers, and used very similar grenades. But the principle, although of some effectiveness, was more suited to orthodox service rifles than to the large and complex Type 97 so it was not used extensively.

Overall, the Type 97 was not used by the Japanese in any great numbers. The complexity of the weapon made it rather difficult and thus costly to produce, and after 1942 the operational requirement for it was limited. The ammunition fired by the Type 97 was produced in several forms. Apart from the usual armour piercing round (with tracer) there was a high explosive projectile (with tracer and with an optional self-destruct), a high explosive incendiary and a practice round. The armour-piercing projectile had a solid steel body, and there was also an incendiary projectile complete with a tracer element.

Specification

Anti-tank Rifle Type 97

Calibre: 20mm (0.789 in)
Length: overall 2.085 m (6 ft 10.5 in); barrel 1.063 m (3 ft 5.9 in)
Weights: travelling 67.5 kg (148.8 lb); in action 51.75 kg (114 lb)
Muzzle velocity: 793 m (2,602 ft) per second

The Japanese Type 97 anti-tank rifle was a heavy weapon that weighed 51.75 kg in action, mainly due to the gas-operated semi-automatic mechanism. It had a calibre of 20 mm (0.787 in) and required a crew of two men to fire and four to carry it, using a system of frames.
PIAT stood for Projector, Infantry, Anti-Tank Mk 1, and denoted a British anti-tank weapon that somehow bypassed the usual stringent weapon-selection procedures used by the War Office as it was a product of the unusual department known colloquially as ‘Winston Churchill’s Toy Shop’. It was designed to make use of the hollow-charge anti-armour effect and fired a useful grenade that could penetrate almost any contemporary tank’s protection, and thus it came into the same general category as the American bazooka or the German Panzerfaust. However, the PIAT relied not upon chemical energy to deliver its grenade but coiled spring energy, for the weapon worked on the spigot mortar principle. Using this method the PIAT grenade was projected from an open trough and was supported for the initial part of its travel by a central spigot. Pulling the trigger released a powerful main spring and this spring enabled the spigot to strike the grenade’s propelling charge to fire it from the trough. The propelling charge also recocked the main spring ready for another grenade to be loaded.

The PIAT was intended primarily as an anti-tank weapon, but it could also fire high explosive and smoke grenades, which made it much more versatile than any of its contemporaries. It was a very useful weapon in house-to-house and urban combat, for the forward monopod was capable of being extended to provide a fair degree of elevation for use in confined spaces.

The PIAT replaced the Boys anti-tank rifle as the infantry’s standard anti-tank weapon, and it was issued widely throughout the British and some Commonwealth armies. There was also some limited use of the weapon on various carriers, which mounted up to 14 PIATs on a multiple mounting for use as a mobile mortar battery.

The PIAT served on for some years with the British Army after World War II, but was replaced as soon as possible. Although it was an effective tank-killer it used a principle that was not adopted by any other designers. It did have the advantage that it could be produced in quantity and at a relatively low cost at a time when anti-tank weapons of any type were in great demand.

Specification

PIAT
Length: overall 0.99 m (3 ft 0 in)
Weights: launcher 14.51 kg (32 lb);
grenade 1.36 kg (3 lb)
Muzzle velocity: 76-137 m (250-450 ft) per second
Ranges: combat 101 m (110 yards);
maximum 338 m (370 yards)

While others went for rocket-propelled hollow charge anti-tank bombs, the British used the Projector Infantry Anti-Tank—the PIAT. It was a form of spigot mortar that used a powerful central spring to fire its projectile from a front-mounted ‘trough’. It was a popular weapon, but it could kill tanks.

Above: The PIAT was the British Army’s standard squad anti-tank weapon after 1941 and was carried and used by most combat arms and services. It was a rather hefty load to carry, but it could knock out most enemy tanks at close ranges and could fire HE and smoke bombs as well.

Below: Here the crew of a knocked-out British tank are covering their position armed with a PIAT until a recovery vehicle can arrive to retrieve the damaged vehicle. The men are from the 13/18th Hussars, and the location is near Mount Pinçon, northern France, July 1944. Note the No. 4 rite near the PIAT.
In the aftermath of Dunkirk the British army was left with virtually no anti-tank weapons available in any quantity other than grenades and a few 2-pdr anti-tank guns. With invasion imminent the normal four-legged mounting: the legs were simple tubes and were easily produced. The sights were very basic but were accurate enough up to about 91 m (100 yards), and the maximum range was about 274 m (300 yards).

The Northover Projector was little more than a steel pipe with a rudimentary breech mechanism at one end. The ammunition consisted of orthodox hand and rifle grenades that were propelled from the muzzle by a small black-powder charge. Later the glass bottle No. 76 phosphorus grenade was fired, and this gave rise to the name bottle mortar. There was no recoil mechanism since all recoil forces were absorbed by the projector's four-legged mounting: the legs were simple tubes and were easily produced. The sights were very basic but were accurate enough up to about 91 m (100 yards), and the maximum range was about 274 m (300 yards).

The Northover Projector was a very rudimentary weapon and thankfully it never had to be used in action. During 1940 and for some time afterwards it was a standard Home Guard weapon, and it was also issued to many army units for a while to provide them with at least some form of anti-tank weapon. In practice the Northover was only as good as the projectiles it fired, and as these were orthodox hand or rifle grenades their efficiency against most tanks was doubtful. The use of the white phosphorus bottle grenades would no doubt have been more successful, but this was not a popular weapon with the projector crews for the simple reason that the glass bottles often broke inside the barrels as they were fired, with obvious and highly unfortunate results. The usual crew for a projector was two men, with possibly another in charge of the weapon and for designating targets. Many Home Guard units introduced their own local modifications to enable the Northover to be moved around more easily. These included such measures as carrying the projector on simple hand-carts to mounting the projector barrels on motorcycle sidecars. There were even some mounted on simple artillery-type carriages. In order to make the normal four-legged carriage easier to handle, a lightened Northover Projector Mk 2 version was introduced during 1941, but by that time the urgent need for pipe guns such as the Northover had begun to wane and relatively few of these carriages were produced.

Very few Northover Projectors appear to have survived the war years but from time to time the glass phosphorus grenades still emerge from their war-time hiding places.

**Specification**

- **Calibre**: 63.5 mm (2.5 in)
- **Weights**: projector 27.2 kg (60 lb); mounting 33.6 kg (74 lb)
- **Range**: effective 91 m (100 yards); maximum 274 m (300 yards)

---

**Boys anti-tank rifle**

The Boys, Anti-tank, 0.55-in, Boys, Mk 1 was originally known as the Stanchion Gun, but the name was later changed to honour the name of its principle designer after he died just before the weapon entered service. It was designed to be the standard infantry anti-tank weapon of the British army, but it was soon overtaken by events and had only a short active career. The first of the type entered service during the late 1930s and by 1942 the weapon was obsolete, overtaken by rapid increases in enemy tank armour that the Boys rifle could no longer tackle.

The Boys anti-tank rifle had a calibre of 13.97 mm (0.55 in) and fired a powerful cartridge that could penetrate 21 mm (0.827 in) at 302 m (330 yards). The cartridge produced an equally powerful recoil, and this did little to endear the weapon to its firer. To reduce this recoil somewhat the long slender barrel was fitted with a muzzle brake. Ammunition was fed into the bolt-action firing mechanism from an overhead five-round box magazine. Overall the Boys was rather long and heavy, which made it an awkward load to carry, so it was often mounted as the main weapon on board anti-aircraft weapon, a role for which it was entirely unsuited. The range was far too short and the muzzle velocity of the glass grenade much too slow.
Bren Gun or Universal Carriers. More were used as the main armament of some light armoured cars.

The first production Boys anti-tank rifles used a forward-mounted monopod combined with a handgrip under the butt plate. After Dunkirk various modifications were made to speed production, and among the measures taken was replacement of the forward monopod by a Bren Gun bipod and of the circular muzzle brake attachment by a new Solothurn muzzle brake with holes drilled along the sides; this latter was easier to produce than the original. In this form the Boys saw out its short service life, as by late 1940 it was regarded as being of only limited use as an anti-armour weapon. Eventually it was replaced by the PIAT, but before it finally departed it had a brief flurry of popularity during the Entrench and Cyrenaica campaigns of 1940 and 1941. It was found to be a very effective anti-personnel weapon during these campaigns as it could be fired at rocks over or near a concealed enemy, the resultant rock splinters acting as effective anti-personnel fragments. The Boys also found its way into US Marine Corps hands during the Philippines campaign of early 1942, when some were used very sparingly against dug-in Japanese infantry positions. How these Boys rifles got to the Far East is not recorded. Some captured Boys anti-tank rifles were also used by the Germans for a short while after Dunkirk, but only in limited numbers; the type was known as the 13.9-mm Panzerabwehrbüchse 782(e).

In 1940 there were plans to produce a Mk 2 version of the Boys. This would have been a shortened and lightened version for use by airborne forces but it did not get very far before the project was terminated, no doubt because the shortened barrel would have produced an even more violent recoil.

Specification

Boys Mk 1
- Calibre: 13.97 mm (0.55 in)
- Lengths: overall 1.625 m (5 ft 4 in); barrel 0.914 m (36 in)
- Weight: 16.33 kg (36 lb)
- Muzzle velocity: 991 m (3,250 ft) per second
- Armour penetration: 21 mm (0.827 in) at 302 m (330 yards)

Molotov cocktail

The Molotov cocktail appears to have had its operational debut during the Spanish Civil War of 1936-9, when it was first used against Nationalist tanks by the Republican forces. From these beginnings the Molotov cocktail soon became used by most nations as it was an easy weapon to produce and use, by forces both regular and irregular.

The basic weapon known as the Molotov cocktail is simply a glass bottle containing petrol (or some other inflammable substance) with an oil-
Anti-tank grenades

The British army used three types of anti-armour hand grenade. The first was the Grenade, Hand, Anti-tank, No. 73, known as the 'Thermos' bomb from its shape and size. It was a pure blast weapon which often had little effect on armour, so it was mainly used for demolition work. More common during the early war years was the infamous Grenade, Hand, Anti-tank, No. 74 (ST), the 'Sticky bomb' which was coated in a gooey adhesive to make it stick to the side of a tank after landing. Normally the sticky surface was contained within in two shell halves which were removed just before throwing. The No. 74 was a most unpopular weapon as the sticky substance tended to make it stick to anything, even before throwing, and the type was used as little as possible.

The best of the British anti-tank grenades was the Grenade, Hand, Anti-tank, No. 75 otherwise known as the Hawkins Grenade. It was intended to be either thrown or laid as a mine to blow off a tank's tracks. It used a crush igniter fuse and about half of its weight to be ignited. It is all very simple, easily understood and easily used. The snag was that it was not very efficient. If the bottle smashes against the side armour of a tank the results can be spectacular but not very harmful to the vehicle or its occupants. The only way to ensure damage is to detonate the bomb over or near the engine louvres or perhaps the vision devices. It was also discovered early on that petrol alone was not a very efficient anti-armour weapon as it simply runs off the sides of a tank even as it was burning. In order to make the flame-producing mixture 'stick', the petrol had to be mixed with a thickening agent such as diesel or oil or in some cases various forms of latex. These niceties tended to move the Molotov cocktails out of the realms of the street fighter and into the domain of the regular soldier, and after 1939 the bottle grenade or bomb was used by many regular forces. The Finns were early exponents in their battles against the Soviet invaders of 1939-40, and after Dunkirk the petrol bomb was a weapon much used by British army units defending the United Kingdom. Later on, Soviet partisans made the Molotov cocktail their own particular weapon, but it was also used by regular Red Army forces. Many underground militias found the weapon easy to make and use.

An offshoot of the glass petrol bomb was the phosphorus grenade, used by several nations. This was designed as a smoke grenade, but the burning white phosphorus, which started to burn as soon as it was exposed to the air, made it a very useful anti-personnel and anti-armour weapon. There were several of these types of grenade but typical was the British Grenade, Self-Igniting, Phosphorus, No. 76, This was a glass milk bottle with a pressed-on cap (containing a mixture of phosphorus, water and benzine) and was intended primarily for the anti-tank role. It could be thrown at its target or launched from the Northover Projector, and contained a piece of smoked rubber that gradually dissolved in the mixture to make it 'stick' better to its target. Each No. 76 grenade weighed about 0.535 kg (1.18 lb). It cannot be said that these phosphorus grenades were weapons popular with either the users or the recipients. They were the cause of frequent accidents in transit or use, and in the United Kingdom many were buried or hidden away when their fillings became unstable. Many are still discovered in their wartime caches to this day.

The American Anti-tank Rifle Grenade M9A1 could be fired from a muzzle attachment fitted to the M1 Carbine rifle to a range of about 100 m (109 yards). Its hollow charge warhead could penetrate up to 101 mm (4 in) of armour. It could also be fired from the M1 carbine using the M8 launcher.

The best of the British anti-tank grenades was the Grenade, Hand, Anti-tank, No. 75 otherwise known as the Hawkins Grenade. It was intended to be either thrown or laid as a mine to blow off a tank's tracks. It used a crush igniter fuse and about half of its weight to be ignited. It is all very simple, easily understood and easily used. The snag was that it was not very efficient. If the bottle smashes against the side armour of a tank the results can be spectacular but not very harmful to the vehicle or its occupants. The only way to ensure damage is to detonate the bomb over or near the engine louvres or perhaps the vision devices. It was also discovered early on that petrol alone was not a very efficient anti-armour weapon as it simply runs off the sides of a tank even as it was burning. In order to make the flame-producing mixture 'stick', the petrol had to be mixed with a thickening agent such as diesel or oil or in some cases various forms of latex. These niceties tended to move the Molotov cocktails out of the realms of the street fighter and into the domain of the regular soldier, and after 1939 the bottle grenade or bomb was used by many regular forces. The Finns were early exponents in their battles against the Soviet invaders of 1939-40, and after Dunkirk the petrol bomb was a weapon much used by British army units defending the United Kingdom. Later on, Soviet partisans made the Molotov cocktail their own particular weapon, but it was also used by regular Red Army forces. Many underground militias found the weapon easy to make and use.

An offshoot of the glass petrol bomb was the phosphorus grenade, used by several nations. This was designed as a smoke grenade, but the burning white phosphorus, which started to burn as soon as it was exposed to the air, made it a very useful anti-personnel and anti-armour weapon. There were several of these types of grenade but typical was the British Grenade, Self-Igniting, Phosphorus, No. 76, This was a glass milk bottle with a pressed-on cap (containing a mixture of phosphorus, water and benzine) and was intended primarily for the anti-tank role. It could be thrown at its target or launched from the Northover Projector, and contained a piece of smoked rubber that gradually dissolved in the mixture to make it 'stick' better to its target. Each No. 76 grenade weighed about 0.535 kg (1.18 lb). It cannot be said that these phosphorus grenades were weapons popular with either the users or the recipients. They were the cause of frequent accidents in transit or use, and in the United Kingdom many were buried or hidden away when their fillings became unstable. Many are still discovered in their wartime caches to this day.

The American Anti-tank Rifle Grenade M9A1 could be fired from a muzzle attachment fitted to the M1 Carbine rifle to a range of about 100 m (109 yards). Its hollow charge warhead could penetrate up to 101 mm (4 in) of armour. It could also be fired from the M1 carbine using the M8 launcher.

Above: The RPG 1943 was the Soviet equivalent of the German Wurfmine, but it used a fabric strip stabilizer tail to keep the hollow charge warhead pointing towards the target tank when in flight. The tail was ejected from the throwing handle after the grenade had been thrown and after the arming pin had been removed.

Below: The Soviet RPG-6 was a late-war version of the RPG1943. It used a revised warhead shape and four fabric tails to stabilize the warhead in flight. The revised warhead also had a good fragmentation effect, so it could be used as an anti-personnel weapon. It was used for many years after 1945.
Allied and Axis Rifles

World War II saw the decline in importance of the infantryman as marksman and the first appearance of his replacement, the infantryman as firepower component. After an uncharacteristically tentative start, it was Germany that, as so often, led the way.

In any army the new soldier is always trained in the use of one basic form of service rifle, whatever his eventual trade may be. During World War II this was as true as it is now, but the rifle with which the individual soldier might be trained varied a great deal. Depending on the particular nation, the soldier might have been issued with a venerable antique while in others he might have received a shiny new model embodying all the latest technology, for the rifles vised in World War II varied greatly.

At one end of the scale there were the old bolt-action rifles that had been in use since long before World War I; and at the other were the new self-loading or automatic rifles that eventually led to the first of what are now known as assault rifles. There were none of the latter in service when the war started in 1939, but as the war progressed the first operational models of such weapons appeared in service. These gave the infantryman a greatly increased firepower potential, but it was not until the true assault rifles (with their lower-powered cartridges) arrived from about 1943 onwards that the full quantum jump from the slow and steady single shots of the bolt-action rifle to the full automatic fire of the assault rifle was fully appreciated. The bolt-action rifles were usually sound and reliable weapons, but they lacked the shock effect of an assault rifle fired in the fully automatic mode.

Thus World War II was a war of transition for the basic infantryman. When the war started, usually all he had to hand was a bolt-action rifle of a well-tried but frequently elderly pattern. By the time the war was over every soldier had at least a foretaste of what the future had in store in the form of the assault rifle. There were some odd digressions along the way, such as the underpowered US Carbine M1 and the ingenious but complex German FG 42. Some nations, such as the United Kingdom, did not make the transition and relied upon the Lee-Enfield bolt-action rifles throughout, but the move towards the self-loading or assault rifle was still there.

This section does not contain all the rifles used during World War II, but the weapons discussed are typical of the period. Millions of soldiers used them under all manner of conditions, and the survivors will remember them until their final days.

A US Marine dashes for cover carrying his .30in M1 Garand rifle, the standard infantry weapon for American soldiers during World War II. More than five million were made in total.
The 7.92-mm (0.312-in) Gewehr 98 was the rifle with which the German army fought through World War I. It was a Mauser rifle first produced in 1898, but was based on a design dating back to 1888. In service the Mauser action proved sturdy and reliable, but in the years following 1918 the German army carried out a great deal of operational analysis that demonstrated that the Gewehr 98 was really too long and bulky for front-line use. As an immediate result the surviving Gewehr 98s underwent a modification programme that changed their designation to Karabiner 98k. Karabiner is the German for carbine, but there was nothing of the carbine in the Karabiner 98k, whose length was unchanged from that of the original Gewehr 98. The only changes were to the bolt handle, the sling swivels and the ability to use improved ammunition. To confuse matters further, the original Gewehr 98 markings were retained.

The Karabiner 98b was still in service with the German army in 1939 (and remained so throughout the war), but by then the standard rifle was a slightly shorter version of the basic Mauser known as the Karabiner 98k. This was slightly shorter than the original Gewehr 98 but was still long for a carbine, despite the letter suffix 'k' standing for kurz, or short. This rifle was based on a commercial Mauser model known as the Standard and widely produced throughout the interwar years in countries such as Czechoslovakia, Belgium and even China. The German version was placed in production in 1935 and thereafter made in very large numbers. At first the standard of production was low and it took until once World War II had started the overall finish and standards fell to the extent that by the end of the war the wooden furniture was often laminated or of inferior material, and such items as bayonet lugs were omitted. All manner of extras were evolved by the gadget-minded Germans, for the Karabiner 98k, including grenade-launching devices, periscopic sights and folding butts for weapons used by airborne troops. There were also variations for sniper use, some with small telescopic sights mounted half way along the forestock and others with larger telescopes mounted over the bolt action.

Despite all the innovations by the Germans during World War II, the Karabiner 98k was still in production as the war ended, looking not at all different overall from the original Gewehr 98, other than in the rough finish resulting from wartime shortages of labour and materials. By that time the Germans had to hand a whole array of Mauser rifles drawn from nearly all the armies of Europe, and most of them were used to equip one arm or another of the services by 1945. Some of these Mausers, most of which were very similar to the Gewehr 98 or Karabiner 98k, were kept in production on Czech and Belgian lines for German use after 1939-40. Away to the east the Chinese armies were mainly equipped with the Mauser Standard rifles, and once virtually identical to the Karabiner 98k.

There will always be arguments as to whether or not the Mauser rifles were better service rifles than the Lee-Enfield, M1903 Springfield or the Ml Garand, but although the Mausers lacked some of the overall appeal of the Allied rifles they provided the German forces with long and reliable service. Few remain in use, but many are still prized as collector's pieces and many are retained for match rifle use. The photograph was probably taken between the wars, as indicated by the old and new pattern helmets worn at the same time.

Left: Digging in during the early stages of the war. The length of the Mauser-designed 98k is obvious, making it difficult to handle in confined spaces. Given the short combat ranges typical of World War II, the long-range performance of the 98k was largely superfluous.

The Karabiner 98k was a slightly shortened version of the Gewehr 98 which served Germany in World War I, and although supposedly a carbine the weapon was as long as many rifles of the period.

Gewehr 41(W) and Gewehr 43

The German army maintained an overall ‘quality control’ section that constantly sought ways in which the German forces could increase their efficiency, and by 1940 this section had discovered a need for some form of self-loading rifle to improve combat efficiency. A specification was duly issued for the Wehrmacht and Walther and Mauser each put forward designs that proved to be remarkably similar. Both used a method of operation known as the Bang system proved to be too complex for reliable operation under service conditions and it was really too heavy for comfortable use, making the weapon generally unwieldy. The Gewehr 41(W) also proved to be difficult to manufacture and, as if all this was not enough, in action the weapon proved to be difficult and time-consuming to load. But for a while it was the only self-loading rifle the Germans had and it was kept in production to the extent of tens of thousands.

Most of the Gewehr 41(W)s were used on the Eastern Front, and it was there that the Germans encountered the Soviet Tokarev automatic rifles. These used a gas-operated system that tapped off gases from the barrel to operate the mechanism, and once this system was investigated the Germans realized that they could adapt it to suit the Gewehr 41(W). The result was the Gewehr 43, which used the Tokarev system virtually unchanged. Once the Gewehr 43 was in production, manufacture of the Gewehr 41(W) promptly ceased. The Gewehr 43 was much easier to make and it was soon being churned out in large numbers. Frontline troops greatly appreciated the ease with which it could be loaded compared with the earlier rifle and it was a popular weapon. All manner of production short-cuts were introduced into the design, including the use of wood laminates and even plastics for the furniture, and in 1944 an even simpler design known as the Karabiner 43 was introduced. Since the Karabiner designation being adopted although the
In the strange world of Nazi Germany internal strife and rivalry flourished (was even fostered), and in no sphere was this internal feuding more rife than between the German army and the Luftwaffe. By 1942 the Luftwaffe were encroaching on the preserves of the army to an alarming extent for no other reason than petty wrangling, and when the army decided to adopt a self-loading rifle the Luftwaffe decided that it too had to have such a weapon. Instead of following the path followed by the army with its adoption of the kurz cartridge, the Luftwaffe decided instead to retain the standard 7.92-mm (0.312-in) rifle cartridge and asked Rheinmetall to design a weapon to arm the Luftwaffe parachute troops, the Fallschirmjägergewehr 43 (FG 42). Rheinmetall accordingly designed and produced one of the more remarkable small-arms designs of World War II. This was the 7.92-mm (0.312-in) Fallschirmjägergewehr 43 or FG 42, a weapon that somehow managed to compress the action required to produce automatic fire into a volume little larger than that of a conventional bolt action. The FG 42 was certainly an eye-catching weapon, for the first examples had a sloping pistol grip, an oddly-shaped plastic butt and a prominent bipod on the stock. To cap it all there was a large muzzle attachment and provision for mounting a spike bayonet. The ammunition feed was from a side-mounted box magazine on the left, and the mechanism was gas-operated. All in all the FG 42 was a complex weapon, but was not innovative as it was an amalgam of several existing systems.

Needless to say the Luftwaffe took to the FG 42 avidly and asked for more. They did not get them, for it soon transpired that the novelties of the FG 42 had to be paid for in an inordinate amount of time and production facilities. Thus supply fell short; and in an attempt to speed up the process some simplifications were added. A simpler wooden butt was introduced and the pistol grip was replaced by a more orthodox component. The bipod was moved forward to the muzzle and other short-cuts were introduced. It was to no avail, for by the time the war ended only about 7,000 had been made. But it was after the war that the FG 42 made its biggest mark, for many of its design features were incorporated into later designs.

Perhaps the most important of these was the gas-operated mechanism which could fire from a closed bolt position for single-shot fire and from an open bolt for automatic fire, all compressed into a relatively small space. One thing that was not copied was the side-mounted magazine. This proved to be less than a success in action for not only did it snap on clothing or other items but it tended to unbalance the weapon when fired.

The FG 42 was a highly advanced design for its day and it incorporated many of the features now used on many modern assault rifles. Typical of these was the use of a 'straight line' layout from butt to muzzle and the gas-operated mechanism already mentioned. But for all this the FG 42 was too difficult to produce, and even by 1945 there were still some bugs that remained to be ironed out before the weapon was ready for issue. But for all that it was a truly remarkable design achievement.

**Specifications**

**FG 42**
- Calibre: 7.92 mm (0.312 in)
- Length: 940 mm (37 in)
- Length of barrel: 502 mm (19.76 in)
- Weight: 4.53 kg (9.99 lb)
- Muzzle velocity: 761 m (2,500 ft) per second
- Magazine: 20-round box
- Cyclic rate of fire: 750-800 rpm

First operational use of the FG 42 was in Skorzeny's daring commando raid to free Mussolini. Special camouflage snocks were worn for the raid, and the usual Fallschirmjäger helmets were worn.
Maschinenpistole 43 and Sturmgewehr 44

Despite the orders of Hitler, the German army was so determined to develop and use the assault rifle that had been developed by Louis Schmeisser to fire the new Polle kurz (short) 7.92-mm (0.312-in) cartridge that it hid the experimental work under a new name. Originally the new rifle/cartridge combination had been known as the Maschinengarabiner 42(H) (the H was for Haenel), but to distract attention once Hitler’s ill-advised order to keep the rifle as light as possible it was changed to Maschinenpistole 43, or MP 43. With the weapon in this form, the army went ahead from the development to the production stage, and the first examples were rushed to the Eastern Front where they soon proved to be invaluable.

The full development story of the MP 43 is provided elsewhere, but it must be stressed that the MP 43 was the first of what are today termed assault rifles. It could fire single shots for selective fire in defence, and yet was capable of producing automatic fire for shock effect in the attack or for close-quarter combat. It was able to do this by firing a relatively low-powered round that was adequate for most combat ranges but which could still be handled comfortably when the weapon was producing automatic fire. Tactically this had a tremendous effect on the way the infantry could fight, as they were no longer dependent on supporting fire from machine-guns, being able to take their own personal support fire with them. This enabled the German infantry to become a far more powerful force because of the quantum increase in firepower that units could produce compared with those equipped with conventional bolt-action rifles.

Once the importance of this firepower increase had been fully realized, the MP 43 became a priority weapon and urgent requests for more and more were made by the front-line troops. Initial supplies went mainly to elite units, but most went to the Eastern Front where they were most needed. Unusually for wartime Germany, priority was given to production rather than development, and the only major change to the design was the MP 43/1 which had fittings for a grenade-launching cup on the muzzle. In 1944 Hitler rescinded his opposition to the MP 43 and bestowed the more accurate designation of Sturmgewehr 44 (StG 44) upon the weapon, but there were few if any production alterations to the basic design.

Some accessories were produced for the MP 43 series. One was an infra-red night sight known as Vampir, but one of the oddest items ever to be produced for any weapon was the Krummlauf (curved barrel that could direct bullets around corners). Apparently this device was developed to clear tank-killing infantry squads from armoured vehicles, but it was a bizarre device that never worked properly and yet managed to absorb a great deal of development potential at a time when that potential could have been directed towards more rewarding things. The curved barrels were intended to direct fire at angles of between 30° and 45°, and special periscope mirror sights were devised to aim their fire. Few were actually produced and even fewer were used operationally.

After the war large numbers of MP 43s were used by several nations such as Czechoslovakia, and were also used during some of the early Arab-Israeli conflicts. A few still turn up in the hands of ‘freedom fighters’ in Africa and elsewhere.

**Specification**

| StG 44 | Calibre: 7.92 mm (0.312 in) |
| Length: 940 mm (37 in) | 
| Length of barrel: 419 mm (16.5 in) | 
| Weight: 5.22 kg (11.5 lb) | 
| Muzzle velocity: 650 m (2,132 ft) per second | 
| Magazine: 30-round box | 

Cyclic rate of fire: 500 rpm

**Tokarev rifles**

The Soviets have developed over the years a considerable talent for small arms innovations, and accordingly they were early in the move towards self-loading rifles. The first of these was the Avtomaticheskaià Vintovka Simonova introduced in 1936 (and thus known also as the AVS36) and designed by one S.G. Simonov. Although many were made and issued for service, the AVS was not a great success for it produced a prodigious muzzle blast and recoil, and it was all too easy for dust and dirt to get into the rather complex mechanism. The AVS thus had but a short service life before it was replaced.

The SVT38 (Samozariadiavka Tokareva) that in 1938 replaced the AVS was designed by F.V. Tokarev, and it was initially not much of an improvement on the AVS. It was a gas-operated weapon, like the AVS, but in order to keep the rifle as light as possible the mechanism was far too light for the stresses and strains of prolonged use. While the combination of a gas-operated system and a locking block cammed downwards into a recess in the receiver base proved basically sound, it gave rise to frequent troubles mainly because parts broke. Thus the SVT38 was removed from production during 1940 to be replaced by the

Above: The SVT40 was an early Soviet self-loading rifle, usually issued to NCOs or marksmen. A most influential weapon, it was to lend features to the German MP 43, and was the start of a chain leading to the modern AK range.

Right: Marines of the Soviet Northern Fleet in defensive positions, probably on exercise near Murmansk. The nearest marine has a PPSh-41 sub-machine gun, the remainder being armed with SVT40 Tokarevs.
SVT40, in which the same basic mechanism was retained, but everything was made much more robust and the result was a much better weapon. However, the SVT40 suffered from the same problems as the AVS and the SVT38 in that the weapon had a fierce recoil and considerable muzzle blast. To off-set these effects at least partially, the SVT40 was fitted with a muzzle brake, initially with six ports but eventually with two. These muzzle brakes were of doubtful efficiency. In order to get the best from the SVT40 the weapon was usually issued only to NCOs or carefully trained soldiers who could use their rapid fire potential to good effect. Some were fitted with telescopic sights for sniper use. A few weapons were converted to produce fully-automatic fire as the AVT40, but this conversion was not a great success and few were made. According to some accounts there was also a carbine version but this probably suffered excessively from the heavy recoil problem and again only a few were made.

When the Germans invaded the Soviet Union in 1941 they soon encountered the SVT38 and the SVT40. Any of these could capture they promptly used. The SVT40 had a considerable influence on future Soviet small-arms development for it initiated a series of automatic rifles that eventually culminated in the AK-47 series. It also made a considerable impact on Soviet infantry tactics for the SVT40 demonstrated the importance of increased firepower for the infantry, a factor later emphasized by the introduction of the German MP 43 on the Eastern Front.

**Specification**

SVT40

- Calibre: 7.62 mm (0.3 in)
- Length: 1,222 mm (48.1 in)
- Length of barrel: 625 mm (24.6 in)
- Weight: 3.89 kg (8.58 lb)
- Muzzle velocity: 830 m (2,723 ft) per second
- Magazine: 10-roundbox

**Mosin-Nagant Rifles**

When the Russian army decided to adopt a magazine rifle to replace its Berdan rifles during the late 1880s, it opted for a weapon combining the best features of two designs, one by the Belgian Nagant brothers and the other a Russian design from a Captain Mosin. The result was the Mosin-Nagant Model 1891 with which the Tsarist army fought its last battles up to 1917. The Model 1891 was then adopted by the new Red Army following the 1918 upsets, and it remained in use for many years thereafter.

The Model 1891 fired a 7.62-mm (0.3-in) cartridge and it was a sound but generally unremarkable design. The bolt action was rather complicated and the ammunition feed used a holding device that offered only one round under spring tension to the bolt for reloading. But for all this it was a sound enough weapon, although rather long. This was mainly to increase the reach of the rifle when fitted with the long socket bayonet, which was almost a permanent fixture once the user was in action. The bayonet had a cruciform point that was used to dismantle the weapon.

The original Model 1891s had their sights marked in arshins, an archaic Russian measurement equivalent to 0.71 m (27.95 in), but after 1918 these sights were metricated. In 1930 there began a programme to modernize the old rifles, and all new rifles were produced in a new form. This new form was the Model 1891/30, which was slightly shorter than the original and had several design points introduced to ease production. It was the Model 1891/30 that was the main Red Army service rifle of World War II and the one used with telescopic sights as a sniper's rifle. Other 'extras' included a grenade-launching cup and a silencer.

The Mosin-Nagant weapons were also produced in carbine form for cavalry and other uses. The first of these was the Model 1910, followed much later by the Model 1938 (the Model 1891/30 equivalent). In 1944 the Model 1944 was introduced, but this was only a Model 1938 with a permanently fixed folding bayonet alongside the forestock.

The Mosin-Nagant rifles were also used by the Finns (m/27 shortened Model 1891, m/28/30 with altered sights, and re-stocked m/39), the Poles (karabin wz 91/98/25), and also by the Germans. The Germans captured piles of ex-Soviet rifles during 1941 and 1942, and many were issued by the Germans to their own second-line garrison and militia units. Most of these were Model 1891/30s redesignated Gewehr 254(r), but by 1945 even Model 1891s were being issued to the hopeless Volkssturm units under the designation Gewehr 252(r). Many units moved from Red Army use. Some were sold on the open market but most appear to have been stockpiled. Only the short and handy carbines now remain in service today, many in China and the Far East. Many still turn up in the hands of 'freedom fighters'.

**Specification**

Model 1891/30

- Calibre: 7.62 mm (0.3 in)
- Length: 1,232 mm (48.5 in)
- Length of barrel: 729 mm (28.5 in)
- Weight: 4 kg (8.8 lb)
- Muzzle velocity: 811 m (2,660 ft) per second
- Magazine: 5-roundbox

**Mosin-Nagant Carbine 1938**

This model, like the 1930, was simplified for ease of manufacture, and was issued to the cavalry. A great many were captured by Germany in the early war years. Some were sold on the open market but most appear to have been stockpiled. Only the short and handy carbines now remain in service today, many in China and the Far East. Many still turn up in the hands of 'freedom fighters'.
By 1943 the British and Commonwealth armies were heavily involved in jungle warfare in Burma and other areas of the Far East, and for the conditions the existing No. 4 and No. 4 Lee-Enfield rifles proved to be too long and awkward in use. Some form of shortened No. 4 was requested, and by September 1944 approval was given for such a rifle to be introduced as the Rifle No. 5 Mark I. This was virtually a normal No. 4 Mark I with a much shortened barrel. The forestock was modified to accommodate the new shortened barrel, and the sights were modified to reflect the decreased range performance of the new barrel. There were two other modifications introduced as well, both of them associated with the short barrel: these were a conical muzzle attachment that was meant to act as a flash hider, and a rubber pad on the butt. Both had to be introduced as the shortening of the barrel gave rise to two unwanted side effects: the prodigious muzzle flash produced by firing a normal rifle cartridge m a short barrel, and the ferocious recoil produced by the same source.

In a normal long rifle barrel most of the flash produced on firing is contained within the barrel and so are some of the recoil forces. In a shortened barrel a good proportion of the propellant gases are still ‘unused’ as the bullet leaves the muzzle, hence the added recoil. The soldiers did not like it one bit but they had to admit that in jungle warfare the No. 5 Mark I was a much handier weapon to carry and use. They also welcomed the reintroduction of a blade-type bayonet that fitted onto a lug under the muzzle attachment. In fact, following on from the first production order for 100,000 rifles made in 1944 it was thought that the No. 5 Mark I would become the standard service rifle of the post-war years, despite all the recoil and flash problems. But this did not happen.

The No. 5 Mark I had one built-in problem, quite apart from the flash and recoil, and that problem was never eradicated. For a reason that was never discovered the weapon was inaccurate. Even after a long period of ‘zeroing’ the accuracy would gradually ‘wander’ and be lost. All manner of modifications to the stocking of the weapon was tried, but the inaccuracy was never eliminated and the true cause was never discovered. Thus the No. 5 was not accepted as the standard service rifle, the No. 4 Mark I being retained until the Belgian FN was adopted in the 1950s. Most of the No. 5s were retained for use by specialist units such as those operating in the Far East and Africa, and many are still in use in those areas by various armies.

**Specification**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RF 5 Mark I</th>
<th>Calibre: 0.303 in (7.7 mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length: 1.003 m (39.5 in)</td>
<td>Length of barrel: 476 mm (18.75 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight: 3.25 kg (7.15 lb)</td>
<td>Muzzle velocity: about 730 m (2,400 ft) per second</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**De Lisle carbine**

The De Lisle carbine was one of the more unusual weapons of World War II but very little has ever been written on the subject. The weapon was designed by one William Godfray De Lisle who was, in 1943, an engineer in the Ministry of Aircraft Production. During that period he patented a silencer for a 5.59-mm (0.22-in) rifle, and this attracted the attention of persons interested in producing silent weapons for use during the commando raids which were then being conducted around the coasts of occupied Europe.

Further development of the basic De Lisle silencer resulted in a drastic modification of a Lee-Enfield No. 1 Mark III rifle to accommodate the firing of an 0.45-in (11.43-mm) pistol cartridge. To reduce the length of the basic bolt action was retained. But in place of the large box magazine a small magazine casing was substituted. While the bolt action was the silencer itself, and this comprised a series of discs held within a tubular housing that allowed the gases produced on firing to ‘swirl’ around before they were discharged from ports around the muzzle. Firing the subsonic 0.45-in (11.43-mm) pistol cartridge with this silenced system produced very little noise at all, and even this sounded quite unlike a firearm being discharged. There was also no flash.

The first De Lisle carbines were produced in one of the tool rooms of the Ford works at Dagenham. From there the early prototypes were taken for field testing in commando raids along the north French coast. They proved themselves remarkably successful, and even these early weapons were used in what was to be their main operational role, a form of silent sniping to pick off sentries or other personnel during the early stages of a raid. As the De Lisle carbine fired a pistol cartridge its maximum effective range was limited to 250 m (275 yards), but this was usually more than enough for raids carried out on dark nights. With trials successfully completed, a production order for 500 carbines was placed and this was later increased to 600. The ‘production’ programme of modifying the Lee-Enfield rifles was carried out by the Sterling Armament

Some folding stocked De Lisles (top) were used in the Far East, having been designed for paratroops. The early model (below) has been cut away to show the silencer mechanism.

Works, also in Dagenham, but when the programme got under way things had changed.

By the time the De Lisle carbines
were being produced it was mid-1944 and the invasion of Europe had taken place. With the Allies safely ashore there was far less need for a silenced commando weapon and the order was cancelled. By then about 130 had been completed and issued, but as they lacked a role in Europe most were sent to the Far East, where they were used by specialist units in Burma and elsewhere. Many were retained in the area after the war to see action once more during the Malayan Emergency. Some of these weapons did not have the solid butt of the earlier weapons, having instead a metal butt that folded under the weapon. This version had originally been produced for parachute troops, but only a small number was ever made. Very few De Lisle carbines now exist, even in the most comprehensive small-arms collections. Most of them appear to have been destroyed during the post-war years, probably as the result of their potential as assassination weapons.

JAPAN

The Rifle Type 38 was adopted for Imperial Japanese service in 1905 and was a development of two earlier rifles selected by a commission headed by one Colonel Arisaka, who gave his name to a whole family of Japanese service rifles. The Type 38 used a mixture of design points and principles taken from contemporary Mauser and Mannlicher designs, mixed with a few Japanese innovations. The result was a sound enough rifle that had a calibre of 6.5 mm (0.256 in). This relatively small calibre, coupled with a rather low-powered cartridge, produced a rifle with a small recoil that exactly suited the slight Japanese stature.

This fact was further aided by the Type 38 being a rather long rifle. When the rifle was used with a bayonet, as it usually was in action, this gave the Japanese soldier a considerable reach advantage for close-in warfare, but it also made the Type 38 a rather awkward rifle to handle. As well as being used by all the Japanese armed forces, the Type 38 was exported to such nations as Thailand, and was also used by several of the warring factions then prevalent in China. At one point during World War I the Type 38 was even purchased as a training weapon by the British army.

A shorter version, the Carbine Type 38 was widely used, and there was a version with a folding butt for use by airborne troops. There was also a version of the Type 38 known as the Sniper’s Rifle Type 97 which, apart from provision for a telescopic sight, had a revised bolt handle.

During the 1930s the Japanese gradually adopted a new service cartridge of 7.7-mm (0.303-in) calibre, and the Type 38 was revised as the Rifle Type 99. The Type 99 had several new features, including a sight that was supposed to be effective for firing at aircraft, and a folding monopod to assist accuracy. A special paratroop model that could be broken down into two halves was devised but proved to be unreliable so it was replaced by a “taken down” version known as the Parachutist’s Rifle Type 2. Not many of these were made.

Once the Pacific war was under way in 1942 the production standards of Japanese rifles and carbines deteriorated rapidly; any items that could be left off were so, and simplifications were introduced onto the lines. But overall standards went down to the point where some of the late production examples were virtually lethal to the user, many of them being constructed from very low-quality raw materials, both wood and metal, for the simple reason that the Allied blockade and air raids prevented the use of anything better. By the end the arsenals were reduced to producing very simple single-shot weapons firing 8-mm (0.315-in) pistol cartridges, or even black-powder weapons. There was even a proposal to use long bows and crossbows firing explosive arrows. It was all a long way from the days when the Type 38 was one of the most widely used service rifles in the Orient.

The Type 99 was a monopod fitted version of the Type 38 employing the new 7.7-mm (0.303-in) calibre cartridge. The Japanese design utilized contemporary Mauser and Mannlicher features, and first appeared in 1905.
In 1939 the French army was equipped with an almost bewildering array of rifles, for the French appear to have adopted a policy of never throwing anything away. Some of them could trace their origins back to the mie 1866 Chassepot rifle. One of them, the Fusil Gras mie 1874, was still only a single-shot weapon, but was still in use with some French second-line and colonial units in 1940 when the Germans invaded.

The original Lebel rifle, the Fusil d’infanterie mie 1886, was updated in 1893 to produce the mie 1886/93. It was with this Lebel rifle that the French army fought World War I, but another weapon also in use at that time was a Berthier carbine, the Mousqueton mie 1890 (and similar mie 1892), a version of the original mie 1886 allied to a new Mannlicher magazine system. On the Berthier the magazine was of the orthodox box type loaded from a clip, but the Lebel system used a tubular magazine holding more rounds loaded one at a time. The first Berthier rifle was the Fusil mie 1897, but in 1915 this colonial-troop weapon was largely replaced by the Fusil d’infanterie mie 07/15. With the introduction of the mie 07/15 the older Lebel rifles gradually faded in importance as production concentrated on the Berthiers, but the Lebel was never replaced in service. They just soldiered on, and were still available in 1939.

A Muslim Spani of the 1st Moroccan Spahi regiment of the Vichy colonial army is armed with the old Lebel rifle. Note the long bayonet in his belt.

The original Berthier magazine system held only three rounds, but it was soon realized that this was not enough and the Fusil d’infanterie mie 1916 had a 5-round magazine. To complicate matters further there were carbine or other short versions of all the models mentioned above, and to complicate matters still further the French sold or gave away masses of all of these weapons in the inter-war years to many nations who promptly applied their own designations. Thus Lebel and Berthier rifles turned up not only in all the French colonies but in nations such as Greece, Yugoslavia, Romania and other Balkan states.

In 1934 the French decided to attempt to make some sense out of their varied rifle and carbine arsenal by adopting a new calibre. Up till then the normal French calibre had been 8 mm (0.315 in), but in 1934 they adopted a smaller calibre of 7.5 mm (0.295 in). That same year they started to modify the old Berthier rifles to the new calibre and at the same time fitted a new magazine (still holding only five rounds) and several other changes along with the new barrel. The ‘new’ version was the Fusil d’infanterie mie 07/15 M34, but the change-over programme went so slowly that in 1939 only a small proportion of the available stocks had been converted, ensuring that all the other models were still in use.

After the events of May and June 1940 the Germans found masses of all the various French rifles on their hands. Some they could use as they were, and many were issued to garri son and second-line formations. But some of the rifles in French reserve use in 1939 were obsolete 1886 models, outdated from their introduction to service. They were outmoded within 10 years.

many others were stockpiled, to be dragged out in 1945 to arm Volkssturm and other such units. No doubt the Germans found that the array and variety of French rifle and carbine types were too much, even for their assimilated stocks, but as they never had enough rifles to arm their ever-growing forces the French weapons were no doubt handy.

Few of the old French rifles are to be encountered today other than in the hands of museums and collectors. Most of them were museum pieces even in 1939 and only the general unpreparedness of France for war ensured that the relics were retained.

**Specifications**

**Fusil MAS 36**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calibre: 7.5 mm (0.295 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length: 1.084 m (42.6 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of barrel: 579 mm (22.8 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight: 3.56 kg (7.85 lb)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muzzle velocity: 762 m (2,500 ft) per second</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fusil MAS 36 CR 39**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calibre: 7.5 mm (0.295 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length: 1.019 m (40.1 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of barrel: 574 mm (22.6 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight: 3.67 kg (8.09 lb)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muzzle velocity: 823 m (2,700 ft) per second</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Magazine capacity:** 5-round box

In the period following World War I the French army decided to adopt a new standard service cartridge with a calibre of 7.5 mm (0.295 in). The new cartridge was adopted in 1924, but following some low-priority and therefore lengthy trials, it was found that the new cartridge was unsafe under certain circumstances and thus had to be modified in 1929. In that year the French decided to adopt a new rifle to fire the new round, but it was not until 1932 that a prototype was ready. Then followed a series of further trials that went on at a slow pace until 1936, when the new rifle was accepted for service.

The new rifle was the Fusil MAS 36 (MAS for Manufacture d’Armes de Saint-Etienne). This used a modified Mauser action which was so arranged that the bolt handle had to be angled forward quite sharply. The box magazine held only five rounds. The MAS 36 had the odd distinction of being the last bolt-action service rifle to be adopted for military service anywhere (all later new weapons using some form of self-loading action) and in some other ways the MAS 36 featured other anachronisms. In typical French style the weapon had no safety catch, and the overall appearance of the design belied its year of introduction, for it looked a much older design than it was.

Production of the new rifle was so slow that a modification programme to convert some of the old rifles for the new cartridge had to be undertaken. This lack of urgency was typical of the period for the nation seemed to suffer from an internal lethargy that could be traced back to the nation’s exertions of World War I. Thus by 1939 only a relatively few French troops were equipped with the MAS 36, and these were mainly front-line troops. The MAS 36 could have had little effect on the events of May and June 1940, but many of the troops who left France at that time took their MAS 36s with them and for a while it remained the favoured weapon of the Free French forces in exile. The Germans also took over numbers of MAS 36s and used them under the designation Gewehr 242(f) for their own garrison units based in occupied France.

One odd variation of the basic MAS 36 was a version known as the MAS 36 CR 39. This was a short-barrelled version intended for para troop use, and had an aluminium butt that could swivel forward alongside the butt to save stowage space. Only a relative few were ever made, and even fewer appear to have been issued for service use.

When the war ended the new French army once more took the MAS 36 into use and retained it for many years, using it in action in North Africa and Indo-China. Many are still retained for use as ceremonial parade weapons and the type is still used by the forces and police authorities of many colonial or ex-colonial states.

**Specifications**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calibre: 7.5 mm (0.295 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length: 1.019 m (40.1 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of barrel: 574 mm (22.6 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight: 3.67 kg (8.09 lb)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muzzle velocity: 823 m (2,700 ft) per second</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Magazine capacity:** 5-round box
In 1903 the US Army decided to replace its existing Krag-Jørgensen rifles and adopted a rifle based on the Mauser system. This rifle, officially known as the US Magazine Rifle, Caliber .30, Model of 1903 (or M1903) was first produced at the famous Springfield Arsenal and has thus become almost exclusively known as the Springfield. It was produced to be a form of universal weapon that could be used by both infantry and cavalry, and was thus much shorter than most contemporary rifles, but it was a well-balanced and attractive rifle that soon proved itself to be a fine service weapon with an accuracy that makes it a prized target rifle.

Almost as soon as the M1903 was placed in production the original blunt-nosed ammunition was replaced by newer ‘pointed’ ammunition that is now generally known as the .30-06 (thirty-six) six as it was an 0.3-in (.762-mm) round introduced in 1906. This remained the standard US service cartridge for many years and is still widely produced. The original M1903 served throughout World War I in US Army hands, and in 1929 the design was modified slightly by the introduction of a form of pistol grip to assist aiming, and this became the M1903A1. The M1903A2 was produced as a sub-calibre weapon for inserting into the barrels of coastal guns and was used for low-cost training on these guns.

When the USA entered World War II in 1941 the new M1 Garand rifle was not available in the numbers required, so the M1903 was placed back into large-scale production, this time as the M1903A3. This version was modified to suit modern mass-production methods, but it was still a well-made rifle. Some parts were formed by using stampings instead of machined parts, but the main change was to the sights, which were moved back from over the barrel to a position over the bolt action.

The only other version of the M1903 (apart from some special match rifle models) was the M1903A4. This was a special sniper’s version fitted with a Weaver telescopic sight, and was specialized to the point where no conventional iron sights were fitted. Numbers of the M1903A4 were still in service during the Korean War of the 1950s.

The M1903 was used by several Allied armies during World War II. The M1903 and its variants may still be encountered today with a few small armed forces around the world. But many are also retained as target or hunting rifles, for the M1903 Springfield proved a fine rifle, serving into the Korean War of the early 1950s.

![Image of the M1903 Springfield rifle]

The M1903 Springfield is still regarded as one of the classic rifles of all time. It is still a rifle that a delight to handle and fire, and many are now owned by weapon collector for those reasons alone.

**Specification**

- **Calibre:** .30 mm (0.3 in)
- **Length:** 1.105 m (43.5 in)
- **Length of barrel:** 610 mm (24 in)
- **Weight:** 4.1 kg (9 lb)
- **Muzzle velocity:** 855 m (2,805 ft) per second
- **Magazine:** 5-round box

The only possible of the M1903 variant was the M1903A4, a special sniper’s version fitted with a Weaver telescopic sight, and was specialized to the point where no conventional iron sights were fitted. Numbers of the M1903A4 were still in service during the Korean War of the 1950s.

The M1903 was used by several Allied armies during World War II. The M1903 and its variants may still be encountered today with a few small armed forces around the world. But many are also retained as target or hunting rifles, for the M1903 Springfield is still regarded as one of the classic rifles of all time. It is still a rifle that a delight to handle and fire, and many are now owned by weapon collector for those reasons alone.

**USA**

**Rifle, Caliber .30, Model 1903**

One of the main distinctions of the Rifle, Caliber .30, M1, almost universally known as the Garand, is that it was the first self-loading rifle to be accepted for military service. That acceptance happened during 1932, but there followed a distinct gap before the rifle entered service as it took some time to tool up for the complex production processes demanded by the design. The rifle was created by John C. Garand, who spent a great deal of time developing the design to the point that once in production it required very few alterations. Thus the last M1 looked very much like the first.

As already mentioned, the M1 was a complicated and expensive weapon to manufacture, and required a large number of machining operations on many of the components. But it was a strong design and proved to be sturdy in action, although this was balanced in part by the weapon being rather heavier than comparable bolt-action designs. The M1 was a gas-operated weapon.

When the USA entered World War II at the end of 1941, most of her regular forces were equipped with the M1, but the rapid increase of numbers meant that the old M1903 Springfield rifle had to be placed back into production as a quick increase in the flow of M1s from the lines was virtually impossible, as a result largely of the tooling problems already mentioned. But gradually M1 production built up, and some 5,500,000 had been churned out by the end of the war; the last of the eight rounds was fired, for the empty clip was ejected from the receiver with a definite and pronounced sound that advertised to any nearby enemy that the firer’s rifle was empty, sometimes with unfortunate results to the M1 user. This problem was not eliminated from the M1 until 1957, when the US Army introduced the M14 rifle which was virtually a reworked M1 Garand with an increased ammunition capacity. Many sub-variants of the M1 were produced but few actually saw service as the basic M1 proved to be more than adequate for most purposes. There were two special sniper versions, the M1C and the M1D, both produced during 1944 but never in any great numbers. Each had such extras as a muzzle flash cone and butt plates. The M1 attracted the attention of the
USA’s enemies to the extent that the Germans used as many as they could capture, with the designation Selbstladegewehr 251(a), and the Japanese captured, with the designation Selbstladekarabiner 455a after enough of them had been completed by the time the war ended.

Post-war the M1 went on for many years as the standard US service rifle, and some are still issued to National Guard and other such units. Several nations continue to use the M1, and many designers have used the basic action as the basis for their own products: many of the modern Italian Beretta rifles use the Garand system as does the American 5.56-mm (0.22-in) Ruger Mini-14.

Carbine, Caliber .30, M1, MIAI, M2 and M3

The traditional weapon for second-line troops and such specialists as machine-gunners has generally been the pistol, but when the US Army considered the equipment of such soldiers during 1940 they made a request for some form of carbine that could be easily stowed and handled. The result was a competition in which several manufacturers submitted their proposals, and the winner was a Winchester design that was adopted for service as the Carbine, Caliber .30, M1. The M1 used an unusual gas-operated system and was designed for use with a special cartridge that was intermediate between a pistol cartridge and a rifle cartridge in power.

From the start the Carbine M1 was an immediate success. It was light and easy to handle, to the extent that its use soon spread from the second-echelon troops such as officers and weapon teams. In order to speed its introduction into service the M1 was a single-shot weapon only, but there was a special variant with a folding stock known as the M1A1. This was produced for use by airborne units. When time allowed later during the war the automatic fire feature was added. This version was known as the M2, and had a cyclic rate of fire of about 750 to 775 rounds per minute; the weapon used a curved box magazine holding 30 rounds that could also be used on the M1. The M3 was a special night-fighting version with a large infra-red night sight, but only about 2,100 of these were made. The M3 proved to be the one version of the Carbine M1 series that was not produced in quantity, for by the time the war ended the production total had reached 6,332,000 of all versions, making the weapon the most prolific personal weapon of World War II.

For all its handiness, the Carbine M1 series had one major drawback, and that was the cartridge used. Being an intermediate-power cartridge it generally lacked power, even at close ranges. Being a carbine the M1 also lacked range, and was effective only to 100m (110 yards) or so. But these drawbacks were more than countered by the overall handiness of the weapon. It was easy to stow in vehicles or aircraft, and the M1A1 with its folding butt was even smaller. It handled well in action and was deemed good enough for German Army use as the Selbstladekarabiner 455a after enough had been captured during the latter stages of the war in Europe.

But for all its mass production and war-time success, the M1 is now little used by armed forces anywhere. Many police forces retain the type, mainly because of the low-power cartridge fired which is safer in police situations than more powerful rounds. Typical of these is the Royal Ulster Constabulary, which uses the Carbine M1 as a counter to the far more powerful Armalites of the IRA. Another part of the M1 story is the current lack of adoption of the M1’s intermediate-power cartridge. During the war years these cartridges were churned out in millions but now the cartridge is little used and has not been adopted for any other major weapon system.

Specification
Rifle M1
Calibre: 7.62mm (0.3 in)
Length: 1.107 m (43.6 in)
Length of barrel: 609 mm (24 in)
Weight: 4.313 kg (9.5 lb)
Muzzle velocity: 855 m (2,805 ft) per second
Magazine: 8-round box

Garand-armed infantrymen of the US 4th Armored Division are seen in action in the Ardennes during the drive to relieve Bastogne and the trapped 101st Airborne Division.
Pistols are very close to the heart of fighting soldiers, and in World War II one of the most prized trophies on the Allied side was a captured German or Italian pistol. Yet as a weapon of war the hand gun seems of very little value, so what is the explanation for the retention of the sidearm in the armies of the 20th century?

The pistol, be it a revolver or an automatic, has long had an attraction for the soldier. Quite apart from the intrinsic attraction of this weapon, the hand gun is very often a highly personal possession and one in which the soldier usually takes great pride. Even after a short time in service the soldier learns to appreciate the value of a hand gun to his well-being and his chances of survival, especially when he is carrying out an operational role where no other weapon is available.

This attraction is difficult for the layperson to appreciate, for even a limited firing of any service pistol will reveal that it is inaccurate, difficult to use effectively and possesses only a very limited range. It is somewhat tricky to reconcile these two completely accurate conclusions, but the plain fact is that the pistol was used on a scale during World War II that overshadowed its employment in any previous conflict. On all fronts demands for pistols, more pistols and still more pistols were made throughout the conflict, and as a result the range of models and types was immense, those mentioned in this section being only a general indication of some of the more important types.

Despite the many advances in pistol design and development made in the 20th century, it should be noted that the revolver was still in use between 1939 and 1945; and this remains true even to this day, for the automatic pistol has not been able fully to oust the strong and reliable revolver. But the automatic pistol was in widespread use during World War II all the same, employing a large number of operating systems and an equally diverse range of calibres, despite the fact that the 9mm Parabellum cartridge had emerged as the clear all-round leader. And quite apart from any other factors, an investigation of the pistol itself is rewarding for its revelation of the great degree of ingenuity that designers have been able to bring to pistol design. World War II brought with it innovations and oddities, but what may be regarded as antiques were still being used in the field during World War II. Examples of some of these will be found in this section; however, the reader is asked to use these entries only as a general guide.
During World War I the standard British service revolver was one variant or other of the Webley 0.455-in (11.56-mm) pistol. These were very effective pistols, but their weight and bulk made them very difficult to handle correctly without a great deal of training and constant practice, two commodities that were in short supply at the time. After 1919 the British army decided that a smaller pistol firing a heavy 0.38-in (9.65-mm) bullet would be just as effective as the larger-calibre weapon but would be easier to handle and would require less training. So Webley and Scott, which up to that time had been pistol manufacturers of a virtually official status for the British armed forces, took its 0.455-in (11.56-mm) revolver, scaled it down and offered the result to the military.

To the chagrin of Webley and Scott, the military simply took the design, made a few minor alterations and then placed the result in production as an ‘official’ government design to be produced at the Royal Small Arms Factory at Enfield Lock in Middlesex. This procedure took time, for Webley and Scott offered its design in 1923 and Enfield Lock took over the design in 1926. Webley and Scott was somewhat nonplussed at the course of events but proceeded to make its 0.38-in (9.65-mm) revolver, known as the Webley Mk 4, all over the world with limited success.

The Enfield Lock product became the Pistol, Revolver, No. 2 Mk 1 and was duly issued for service. Once in service it proved sound and effective enough, but mechanical progress meant that large numbers of these pistols were issued to tank crews and other mechanized personnel, who made the unfortunate discovery that the long hammer spur had a tendency to catch onto the many internal fittings of tanks and other vehicles with what could be nasty results. This led to a redesign in which the Enfield pistol had the hammer spur removed altogether and the trigger mechanism lightened to enable the weapon to be fired double-action only. This revolver became the No. 2 Mk 1**, and existing Mk Is were modified to the new standard. The double action made the pistol very difficult to use accurately at all except minimal range, but that did not seem to matter too much at the time.

Webley and Scott re-entered the scene during World War II, when supplies of the Enfield pistols were too slow to meet the ever-expanding demand. Thus the Webley Mk 4 was ordered to eke out supplies, and Webley and Scott went on to supply thousands of its design to the British army after all. Unfortunately, although the two pistols were virtually identical in appearance there were enough minor differences between them to prevent interchangeability of parts.

Both pistols saw extensive use between 1939 and 1945, and although the Enfield revolvers (there was a No. 2
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The first Tokarev automatic pistol to see extensive service was the TT-30, but not many of these pistols had been produced before a modified design known as the TT-33 was introduced in 1933. This pistol was then adopted as the standard pistol of the Red Army to replace the Nagant revolvers that had served so well for many years. In the event the TT-33 never did replace the Nagant entirely until well after 1945, mainly because the revolver proved so reliable and sturdy under the rough active service conditions of the various fronts.

The TT-33 was basically a Soviet version of the Colt-Browning pistols, and used the swinging-link system of operation employed on the American M1911. However, the ever practical Soviet designers made several slight alterations that made the mechanism easier to produce and easier to maintain under field conditions, and production even went to the length of machining the vulnerable magazine feed lips into the main receiver to prevent damage and subsequent misfeeds. The result was a practical and sturdy weapon that was well able to absorb a surprising amount of hard use.

By 1945 the TT-33 had virtually replaced the Nagant revolver in service and as Soviet influence spread over Europe and elsewhere so did TT-33 production. Thus the TT-33 may be found in a variety of basically similar forms, one of which is the Chinese Type 51. The Poles also produced the TT-33 for their own use and for export to East Germany and to Czechoslovakia, The Yugoslavs still have the TT-33 in production and are still actively marketing the design as the M65, North Korea has its own variant in the form of the M68. The most drastic producer of the TT-33 is Hungary, which rejigged the design in several respects and recalibred it for the 9-mm Parabellum cartridge. The result was known as the Tikagypt and was exported to Egypt, where it is still used by the local police forces.

The Tokarev TT-33 was a sturdy and hard-wearing pistol that was used throughout World War II, but it never entirely replaced the Nagant.

Above: The Soviet Tokarev TT-33 in action in a well-posed propaganda photograph dating from about 1944. The officer is leading a section of assault infantry and has his pistol on the end of the usual lanyard. Snipers on all sides came to recognize these 'pistol wavers' as prime targets.

The TT-33 is now no longer used by the Soviet armed forces, who use the Markarov automatic pistol, but the TT-33 will be around for a long while yet. Despite the introduction of the Markov many second-line and militia units within the Warsaw Pact are still issued with the TT-33 and as the type’s overall standard of design and construction was sound there seems to be no reason why they should be replaced for many years.

Right: A Red Army military policeman, for whom the Tokarev TT-33 would have been the primary weapon. Military policemen of all nations still carry the pistol as the nature of their duties often precludes the use of any type of larger weapon, and they have no actual combat role.

Specification
TT-33
Cartridge: 7.62 mm
Type P(M30)
Length overall: 196 mm (7.71 in)
Length of barrel: 116 mm (4.57 in)
Weight: 0.830 kg (1.83 lb)
Muzzle velocity: 420 m (1,380 ft) per second
Magazine: 8-round box
The pistol that is now generally, but misleadingly, known as the Luger had its design origins in a pistol design first produced in 1893 by one Hugo Borchardt. A George Luger further developed this design and produced the weapon that bears his name to this day. The first Lugers were manufactured in 7.65-mm (0.301-in) calibre and were adopted by the Swiss army in 1900. Thereafter the basic design was adopted by many nations and the type is still to be encountered, for by now over two million have been produced by various manufacturers and at least 35 main variants are known to exist, together with a host of subvariants.

The Pistole P 08 was one of the main variants. It was taken into German army service in 1908 (hence the 08) and remained the standard German service pistol until the Walther P 38 was introduced in 1938. The main calibre encountered on the P 08 was 9 mm, and the 9-mm (0.354-in) Parabellum cartridge was developed for this pistol. However, 7.65-mm (0.301-in) versions were made as well. The P 08 is and probably always will be one of the ‘classic’ pistols, for it has an appearance and aura all of its own. It handles well, is easy to ‘point’ and is usually very well made. It has to be well made for it relies on a rather complicated action using an upwards-hinging toggle locking device that will not operate correctly if the associated machined grooves are out of tolerance. In fact it is arguable that this action is undesirable in a service pistol for as it operates it allows the ingress of dust and debris to clog the mechanism. In practice this was often not the case for the pistol proved to be remarkably robust. It was only replaced in service and production for the simple fact that it was too demanding in production resources, took too long to produce and required too many matched spare parts. It was late 1942 before the last ‘German’ examples came off the production lines and it was never replaced by the P 38 in German service. Since 1945 it has reappeared commercially from time to time and will doubt continue to be manufactured for years to come.

Above: The Pistole P 08, commonly known as the Luger, was one of the classic pistol designs of all time. It still has a definite aesthetic appeal in the slope of the butt and the general appearance, and is a pleasant pistol to fire. However, it was expensive to produce and was destined to be replaced.

Right: The P 08 in service with a section of house-clearing infantry during the early stages of the advance into Russia during 1941. The soldier with the pistol is armed with Stielgranate 35 grenades and is festooned with ammunition belts for the section MG 34 machine-gun.

The type continues to attract the eye and attention of all pistol buffs throughout the world, and the P 08 was and still is a classic.

Specification
Pistole P 08
Cartridge: 9 mm Parabellum
Length overall: 222 mm (8.75 in)
Length of barrel: 103 mm (4.055 in)
Weight: 0.877 kg (1.92 lb)
Muzzle velocity: 381 m (1,250 ft) per second
Magazine: 8-round box

Below: A Snigel with a short 75-mm (2.95-in) gun supports advancing infantry during an attack on the Voronetz Front during January 1943. Although the pistol being carried by the soldier on the right is blurred, it appears to be a P 08.
Walther PP and PPK

The Walther PP was first produced in 1929 and was marketed as a police weapon (PP standing for Polizei Pistole), and during the 1930s it was adopted by uniformed police forces throughout Europe and elsewhere. It was a light and handy design with few frills and a clean outline but was intended for holster carriage. Plain clothes police were catered for by another model, the Walter PPK (K standing for kurz, or short). This was basically the PP reduced in overall size to enable it to be carried conveniently in a pocket or under a jacket.

Although intended as civilian police weapons, both the PP and the PPK were adopted as military police weapons and after 1939 both were kept in production for service use. Each model was widely used by the Luftwaffe, and was often carried by the many German police organizations.

Walther consequently developed a series of designs that culminated in the first original automatic pistol design development. Only after a long programme of design features such as a hand-forward blowback principle, and more than adequate safety arrangements were incorporated. One of these safeties was later widely copied, and involved placing a block in the way of the firing pin when it moved forward, this block only being removed when the trigger was given a definite pull. Another innovation was the provision of a signal pin above the hammer which protruded when a round was actually in the chamber to provide a positive 'loaded' indication when necessary. This feature was omitted from wartime production, in which the general standard of finish was lower. Production resumed soon after 1945 in such countries as France and Turkey. Hungary also adopted the type for a while but production is now once more necessary. This feature was omitted purely commercial sales are common to pistol shooters who appreciate the many fine points of the basic design.

One small item of interest regarding the PP centres on the fact that it is now a little-known fact that a German police organization and by Luftwaffe aircrew.

Walther P 38

The Walther P 38 was developed primarily to replace the P 08, which was an excellent weapon but expensive to produce. After the National Socialists came to power in Germany in 1933, they decided upon a deliberate programme of military expansion into which the old P 08 could not fit. What was wanted was a pistol that could be quickly and easily produced but one that embodied all the many and various design features such as a hand-cocked trigger and improved safety that were then becoming more common. Walther eventually received the contract for this new pistol in 1938, but only after a long programme of development.

Walther Waffenfabrik produced its first original automatic pistol design back in 1908 and there followed a string of designs that culminated in the PP of 1929. The PP had many novel features but it was intended to be a police weapon and not a service pistol. Walther consequently developed a new weapon known as the Armeé Pistole (or AP) which did not have the protruding hammer of the PP but was calibrated for the 9-mm (0.354-in) Parabellum cartridge. From this came the Heeres Pistole (or HP) which had the overall appearance of the pistol that would become the P 38. But the German Army requested some small changes to facilitate rapid production. Walther obliged and the P 38 was taken into German service use, the HP being kept in production in its original form for commercial sales. In the event Walther was never able to meet demand for the P 38 and the bulk of the HP production also went to the German armed forces.

The P 38 was (and still is) an excellent service pistol which was robust, accurate and hard wearing. Walther production versions, which were later supplemented by P 38s produced by Mauser and Spreewerke, were always very well finished with shiny black plastic grips and an overall matt black plating. The weapon could be stripped easily and was well equipped with safety devices, including the hammer safety carried over from the PP along with the 'chamber loaded' indicator pin. It was a well-liked pistol and became a war trophy only slightly less prized than the Luger P 08.

In 1957 the P 38 was put back into production for the Bundeswehr, this time as the Pistole 1 (or PI) with a durable slide in place of the original steel component. It is still in production and has been adopted by many nations.

Specification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cartridge</th>
<th>Magazine</th>
<th>Length of barrel</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Muzzle velocity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9 mm short (0.38 ACP)</td>
<td>8-round box</td>
<td>99 mm (3.9 in)</td>
<td>0.682 kg (1.5 lb)</td>
<td>290 m (950 ft) per second</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Even today one of the best service pistols available, the Walther P 38 was developed to replace the P 08 Luger but by 1945 had only supplemented it. It had many advanced features including a double-action trigger mechanism.

Specification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cartridge</th>
<th>Length of barrel</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Muzzle velocity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9 mm short (0.38 ACP)</td>
<td>124 mm (4.88 in)</td>
<td>0.568 kg (1.25 lb)</td>
<td>280 m (920 ft) per second</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Length of barrel: 219 mm (8.58 in)

Weight: 0.960 kg (2.12 lb)

Muzzle velocity: 350 m (1,150 ft) per second

Magazine: 8-round box
The Pistole Automatique Browning modèle 1910 is something of an oddity among pistol designs, for although it has remained in production virtually nonstop since 1910, it has never been officially adopted as a service weapon. Despite this it has been used widely by many armed forces at one time or another and the basic design has been widely copied and/or plagiarized by other designers.

As the name implies, this automatic pistol was yet another product of the fertile mind of John Moses Browning. Nearly all the model 1910s have been produced at the Fabrique Nationale d’Armes de Guerre (commonly known simply as FN) at Liège in Belgium. The type is still in production in Belgium for commercial sales. The reason why this particular pistol should have achieved such longevity is not easy to determine, but the overall design is clean enough, with the forward part of the receiver slide around the barrel having a tubular appearance. This results from the fact that the recoil spring is wrapped around the barrel itself instead of being situated under or over the barrel as in most other designs. This spring is held in place by a bayonet lug around the muzzle, providing the model 1910 with another recognition point. Grip and applied safeties are provided.

The model 1910 may be encountered in one of two calibres, either 7.65 mm or 9 mm short. Externally the two variants are identical, and each uses a detachable seven-round box magazine. As with all other FN products the standard of manufacture and finish is excellent but copies made in such places as Spain lack this finish. The excellent finish was continued in many other nations including Belgium, Denmark, Lithuania and Romania. After 1940 the German forces occupying Belgium required large numbers of pistols. The model 1910 was put in production to meet this demand, the bulk of the output being issued to Luftwaffe airmen who knew the type as the Pistole P 621(b).

Before that the model 1910 had been issued in small numbers to the Belgian armed forces, and many other nations obtained the type for small-scale use for their own military or police service. The numbers of model 1910s produced by now are running into the hundreds of thousands.

Specification

**modelle 1910**

- **Cartridge**: 7.64 mm (0.32 ACP) or 9 mm short (0.380 ACP)
- **Length of barrel**: 89 mm (3.5 in) or 112 mm (4.41 in)
- **Length overall**: 152 mm (6 in) or 196 mm (7.75 in)
- **Weight**: 0.562 kg (1.24 lb) or 1.01 kg (2.23 lb)
- **Muzzle velocity**: 354 m (1,160 ft) per second or 299 m (980 ft) per second
- **Magazine**: 7-round box or 13-round box

The Browning modèle 1910 was never officially adopted as a service pistol, but was nonetheless widely used and many of its design features were later incorporated in other pistol designs.
This very odd little pistol had its origins in the committee rooms of the US Army Joint Psychological Committee, who sold to the Office of Strategic Service the idea of a simple assassination weapon that could be used by anyone in occupied territory without the need for training or familiarization. The OSS took up the idea and the US Army Ordnance Department then set to and produced drawings. The Guide Lamp Division of the General Motors Corporation was given the task of producing the weapons, and the division took the credit for churning out no less than one million between June and August 1942.

The 11.43-mm (0.45-in) weapon was provided with the Governorne Flare Pistol M1942, but it was also known as the Liberator or the OSS pistol. It was a very simple, even crude device that could fire only a single shot. It was constructed almost entirely of metal stamped and milled. The action was just as simple as the rest of the design: a cocking piece was grasped and pulled to the rear, once back a turn locked it in place as a single M1911 automatic cartridge was loaded, and the cocking piece was then swung back for release as the trigger was pulled. To clear the spent cartridge the cocking piece was once more moved out of the way and the case was pushed out from the chamber by poking something suitable down the barrel from the muzzle. Each pistol was packed into a clear plastic bag together with 10 rounds, and a set of instructions in comic strip form provided, without words, enough information for any person finding the package to use the pistol. There was space in the butt to carry five of the rounds provided but the pistol was virtually a one-shot weapon and had to be used at a minimal range to be effective. Exactly how effective it was is now difficult to say, for there seems to be no record of how these numerous pistols were ever employed or where they were distributed. It is known that some were parachuted into occupied Europe, but many more were used in the Far East and in China. The concept was certainly deemed good enough to be revived in 1964 when a much-modernized equivalent to the Liberator, known as the ‘Deer Gun’, was produced for possible use in Vietnam. In the event several thousands were made but were never issued, maybe because assassination weapons have a nasty tendency to be double-edged. Each Liberator pistol cost the American government just $2.40.

**Specification**

**Liberator M1942**

- **Cartridge:** .45 ball M1911
- **Length overall:** 140 mm (5.55 in)
- **Length of barrel:** 102 mm (4 in)
- **Weight:** 0.454 kg (1 lb)
- **Muzzle velocity:** 336 m (1100 ft) per second

**Liberator M1942**

- **Cartridge:** .45 ball M1911
- **Length overall:** 219 mm (8.6 in)

**USA Colt M1911 and M1911A1**

The Colt M1911 vies with the Browning HP as being one of the most successful pistol designs ever produced, for it has been manufactured in millions and is in widespread service all over the world some 70 years after it was first accepted for service in 1911. The design had its origins well before then, however, for the weapon was based on a Colt Browning Model 1900 design. This weapon was taken as the basis for a new service pistol required by the US Army to fire a new 11.43-mm (0.45-in) cartridge deemed necessary, as the then-standard calibre of 9.65 mm (0.38 in) was considered by many to be too light to stop a charging enemy. The result was a series of trials in 1907, and in 1911 the Pistol, Automatic, Calibre .45, M1911 was accepted. Production was at first slow, but by 1917 was well enough under way to equip in part the rapid expansion of the US Army for its new role in France.

As the result of that battle experience it was decided to make some production changes to the basic design and from these came the M1911A1. The changes were not extensive, and were confined to such items as the grip safety configuration, the hammer spur outline and the mainspring housing. Overall the design and operation changed only little. The basic method of operation remained the same, and this mechanism is one of the strongest ever made. Whereas many contemporary pistol designs employed a receiver stop to arrest the backwards progress of the receiver slide the M1911 had a locking system that also produced a more positive stop. The barrel had lugs machined into its outer surface that fitted into corresponding lugs on the slide. When the pistol was fired the barrel and slide moved backwards a short distance with these lugs still engaged. At the end of this distance the barrel progress was arrested by a swinging link which swung round to pull the barrel lugs out of the receiver slide, which was then free to move farther and so eject the spent case and restart the loading cycle. This robust system, allied with a positive applied safety and a grip safety, make the M1911 and M1911A1 very safe weapons under service conditions. But the pistol is a bit of a handful to handle and fire correctly, and a good deal of training is required to use it to full effect.

The M1911 and M1911A1 have both been manufactured by numerous companies other than Colt Firearms and have been widely copied direct in many parts of the world, not always to very high levels of manufacture.

**Specification**

**Colt M1911A1**

- **Cartridge:** .45 ball M1911
- **Length overall:** 219 mm (8.6 in)

**USA**

**Liberator M1942**

- **Capacity:** 10 rounds
- **Weight:** 0.454 kg (1 lb)
- **Muzzle velocity:** 336 m (1100 ft) per second

**USA Colt M1911 and M1911A1**

- **Capacity:** 10 rounds
- **Weight:** 1.36 kg (3 lb)
- **Muzzle velocity:** 252 m (825 ft) per second

---

*This pistol is the M1911 (the M1911A1 had several detail changes) and it is still the standard US Army service pistol after over 70 years in service. Firing a .45-in ball cartridge, it is still a powerful man-stopper, but is a bit of a handful to fire and requires training to use to its full potential.*

Length of barrel: 128 mm (5.03 in)

Weight: 1.36 kg (3 lb)

Muzzle velocity: 252 m (825 ft) per second

Magazine: 7-round box
In 1940 the British army was in a desperate plight, with few men trained and even fewer weapons with which to arm them. Fortunately the United States, although not yet actually in the war, were at least sympathetic to the point where that nation would produce weapons for the British, and to British designs. The British planned huge increases in armed manpower levels and had to obtain weapons to match, and among these weapons were pistols. Smith & Wesson was willing to produce revolvers to a British specification, and the result was the pistol known either as the Revolver .38/200 or the Revolver No. 2 Cal.380.

Whatever its designation, the pistol was a strictly orthodox design that was conventional in every respect. It was straightforward in design and operation, and embodied not only Smith & Wesson craftsmanship but British requirements. The resulting weapon was robust to an extreme. It was just as well, for the British pistol production lines were never able to catch up with demand and the British/American design more than filled the gap. These pistols were issued to all arms of the British forces, went to many Commonwealth forces as well, and were even handed out to various European resistance movements. Between 1940 and the time production ended in 1946 over 890,000 had been produced and issued. Many are still in service to this day, and it was well into the 1960s before some British units replaced them with the Browning HP.

The Revolver .38/200 fired a 200-gram bullet and used the classic Smith & Wesson chamber release to the left. Once the weapon was open, fired cartridge cases could be cleared with a sprung plunger rod. The trigger action could be either single- or double-action. The finish of the pistols was plain, and at times was neglected in order that the numbers required could be churned out. But the standard of manufacture never wavered: it was always good, and only the finest materials were used. Normally the pistol was carried in a closed leather or webbing holster which masked the hammer, so the snagging problem encountered with the Enfield revolver was not so acute, but a typical British touch was that the revolver was usually fitted to a waist or neck lanyard to prevent an enemy taking the pistol away from the firer at close quarters. The weapon appears never to have gone wrong, even when subjected to the worst possible treatment.

Below: A New Zealand officer armed with a Smith & Wesson 0.38/200 revolver during one of the campaigns in the desert. The revolver is being worn with the lanyard in the 'correct' position around the neck, but many preferred to wear it around the waist to prevent strangulation by an enemy in close-quarter combat.

Above: A Canadian sergeant loads a Smith & Wesson 0.38/200 revolver. Empty cartridge cases were ejected by moving out the cylinder to the left and pressing a plunger normally under the barrel. All six spent cases were ejected together to allow each chamber to be reloaded one at a time, as seen here.

Below: The Smith & Wesson 0.38/200 revolver was an alliance of American workmanship and British combat experience that produced a robust and reliable pistol with no frills. Made from the very finest materials the finish was sometimes neglected to speed production, but manufacturing standards were never lowered.

Below: The Smith & Wesson 0.38/200 Revolver

Specification
0.38/200 Revolver
Cartridge: 0.380 SAA ball (9.65 mm)
Length overall: 257 mm (10.125 in)
Length of barrel: 127 mm (5 in)
Weight: 0.880 kg (1.94 lb)
Muzzle velocity: 198 m (650 ft) per second
Chamber capacity: 6 rounds
During World War I the United Kingdom placed sizable orders in the United States for weapons of all types, and among these was one placed with Smith & Wesson of Springfield, Illinois, for the supply of military revolvers with a caliber of 11.56mm (0.455 in), the then-standard British pistol cartridge. Large numbers of these were supplied, but after the USA had entered the war in 1917 it was realized that large numbers of pistols would be needed to arm the enlarged US Army, and that the output from the Colt M1911 production line would be insufficient to meet the requirements. As a direct result the Smith & Wesson contract was taken over for the American forces, only for a new problem to crop up once the pistol production had been adapted to the American 11.43-mm (0.45-in) calibre.

Nearly all pistol ammunition produced in 1917 was for the M1911 automatic pistol and was thus rimless. Using rimless ammunition in a revolver chamber posed several problems as revolver cartridges are normally rimmed. Hence a compromise solution was adopted in the form of three M1911 cartridges being held in half-round clips to keep the cartridges from slipping too far into the revolver chambers when loaded. After firing the spent cartridges could be ejected in the normal way together with their clips and the clips would be reused if necessary. This solution was taken into US Army service and the pistols subsequently saw service in France and elsewhere.

The Revolver, Caliber .45, Smith & Wesson M1917. The pistol was subsequently designated, Royal Navy use. Colt Firearms also produced a very similar revolver to the Smith & Wesson weapon, as the Revolver, Caliber .45, Colt New Service, M1917. Total production of both was over 300,000 and Brazil purchased a further 25,000 in 1938. Many US military police units were still using the type as late as 1945.

Specification
Smith & Wesson M1917
Cartridge: .45 ball M1911
Length overall: 274 mm (10.8 in)

When the United States entered the war in 1917 there were not enough pistols to arm the gathering throngs of recruits. The Smith & Wesson M1917 was rushed into production after being adapted to fire the standard 0.45-in cartridge and was produced in large numbers.

Length of barrel: 140 mm (5.5 in)
Weight: 1.02 kg (2.25 lb)
Muzzle velocity: 253 m (830 ft) per second
Chamber capacity: 6 rounds

Poland
Pistolet Radom wz.35

By the early 1930s the Polish army had a large number of pistol types in service, and wished to standardize on one particular type. Consequently an all-Polish design emerged and was put into production at the Fabryka Radom. This weapon became the standard Polish service pistol as the 9-mm Pistolet Radom wz.35 (wz. stands for wzor, or model).

The Radom wz.35 was a combination of Browning and Colt design features with a few local Polish touches. In operation and use it was entirely conventional, but it lacked an applied safety and used only a grip safety, what appeared to be the applied safety catch on the left-hand side of the receiver being only a catch used when stripping the pistol. The ammunition used was the 9-mm Parabellum, but firing this rather powerful round from the Radom was no great problem as the bulk and weight of the pistol was such that the firing stresses were absorbed to a remarkable degree. This weight and bulk made the Radom a better-than-average service pistol as it was able to cope with all manner of hard use, a fact improved by the high standards of manufacture, materials and finish employed until 1939.

In 1939 the Germans overran Poland and took over the Radom arsenal complete with the pistol production line. Finding the Radom wz.35 a thoroughly serviceable weapon the Germans adopted the design as a service pistol and kept it in production for their own use under the designation Pistole P 35(p). However, the Germans’ requirement for pistols was so great that to speed production they eliminated some small features and reduced the overall standard of finish to the extent that ‘German’ Radoms can be easily identified from the earlier ‘Polish’ versions by their appearance alone. The Germans kept the Radom in full-scale production until 1944 when the advancing Red Army destroyed the factory.

When the new Polish army was re-established after 1945 it adopted the Soviet TT33 as its new standard pistol and the Radom passed into history. Many are still around as collector’s items, for the bulk of the German production went to the Waffen SS and was marked appropriately. Thus these pistols have an added collection value for many pistol buffs. Quite apart from this, the Radom wz.35 was one of the better service pistols of the war years and would continue to make a very serviceable sidearm to this day.

Specification
Radom wz.35
Cartridge: 9-mm Parabellum
Length overall: 197 mm (7.76 in)
Length of barrel: 121 mm (4.76 in)
Weight: 1.022 kg (2.25 lb)
Muzzle velocity: 351 m (1,150 ft) per second
Magazine: 8-round box

The Radom wz.35 was a sound and reliable pistol of en tirely conventional design that was first produced in Poland in 1935. After 1939 it was produced in some numbers for the German forces and thus many now seen carry German markings. Featuring some of the best Colt and Browning features plus a few Polish touches, the Radom was an excellent service pistol.
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By the time that the German army marched into Czechoslovakia in 1938 and 1939 the Czech nations had evolved into one of the most industrious and innovative armaments manufacturers in all Europe. Pistols were one of the many weapon types produced, mainly at the Ceska Zbrojovka (CZ) in Prague, and from there emanated a string of excellent designs that included the vz.22, 24, 27, and 30 (vz. stands for vzor, or model). These pistols all fired the 9-mm (0.354-in) short cartridge and had many features in common with the Walther pistols of the period, but in 1938 came a pistol that bore no relation to anything that had been produced before.

The new pistol was the CZ 38 (otherwise known as the Automaticky Pistole vz.38), and by all accounts this was not one of the better service pistols of the time. It was a large automatic weapon using a simple blowback mechanism, but it fired the 9-mm (0.354-mm) short cartridge even though its size and weight could have accommodated a more powerful round. One feature that was unusual and outdated even at that time was that the trigger mechanism was double-action only (it could be fired only by using the trigger to cock and release the hammer) while most other actions of the time used an external hammer that could be cocked by hand. This double action required a long and heavy trigger pull, so accurate aiming of the weapon was very difficult. One good feature of the design was that the pistol could be stripped very easily, simply by releasing a catch to allow the barrel to be cleaned once the slide was clear.

Not many of these pistols were produced for the Czech army before the Germans moved in, but the type was kept in production for some time. To the Germans the CZ 38 was known as the 9 mm Pistole P 39(0), but most of the production went to police forces and some second-line units. Few survived after 1945. It is one of the few pistol designs that has not contributed some points to later designs.

### Specification

**CZ 38**
- **Cartridge:** 9 mm short (0.350 ACP)
- **Length overall:** 198 mm (7.8 in)
- **Length of barrel:** 119 mm (4.69 in)
- **Weight:** 0.909 kg (2 lb)
- **Muzzle velocity:** 296 m (970 ft) per second
- **Magazine:** 8-round box

Generally regarded as a less than successful design, the Czech CZ 38 was a large and cumbersome 9-mm pistol. It could be stripped very easily but the stiff and slow double-action made accurate shooting difficult.

### 94 Shiki Kenju

In the 1930s the Japanese armed forces had in service a sound design of automatic pistol known to most Westerners as the 'Nambu' (8-mm Pistol Type 14), but following the large-scale Japanese incursions into China in the mid-1930s the demand for more pistols for the expanding Japanese forces could not be met. An easy solution appeared on the scene in the shape of an 8-mm (0.315-in) automatic pistol that had been commercially produced in 1934, but sales of this pistol had been few, as a result mainly of the odd and clumsy appearance of the weapon. The armed forces were then able to purchase existing stocks of these pistols over the production of more. The resultant weapons were initially issued to tank and air force personnel, but by the time production ended in 1945 (after more than over 70,000 had been made) its use had spread to other arms.

By all accounts this pistol, known as the 94 Shiki Kenju (or Pistol type 94), was one of the worst service pistols ever produced. For a start the basic design was unsound in several respects, and then the overall appearance was wrong and the weapon handled badly, but allied to this was the fact that it was often unsafe. One reason for this last factor was that part of the trigger mechanism protruded from the side of the frame, and if this was pushed when a round was in the chamber the pistol would fire. Another bad feature was the device to ensure that only single shots would be fired each time the trigger was pulled, for this was so arranged that a cartridge could be fired before it was fully in the chamber. When these faults were allied to poor manufacture and poor quality materials the result was a weapon that was unsafe to an alarming degree. The problem for the Japanese personnel who had to use the gun was that production was often so rushed that the product was badly made, and troops had to use the Type 94 simply because Japanese industry could produce nothing better at that time. Examples have been found that still bear file marks on the outside, and the degree of 'slop' in the mechanisms of some should signify that the Type 94 is a pistol that should not be carried or fired: it is a collector's piece only.

### Specification

**Pistol Type 94**
- **Cartridge:** 8 mm Taisho 14
- **Length overall:** 183 mm (7.2 in)
- **Length of barrel:** 96 mm (3.78 in)
- **Weight:** 0.688 kg (1.52 lb)
- **Muzzle velocity:** 305 m (1,000 ft) per second
- **Magazine:** 6-round box

Despite the fact that this Japanese pistol is a tank officer, he is armed with a traditional sword as well as a Type 94 pistol. The sword must have been rather unwieldy in the confines of a tank turret.
Pistola Automatica Glisenti modello 1910

The pistol that is now generally known as the Pistola Automatica Glisenti modello 1910 was originally known as the Brizia, but the production and other patents were taken over by the Societa Siderurgica Glisenti in the first decade of the 20th century. In 1910 this pistol was adopted by the Italian army as its standard service pistol, but for many years it managed only to supplement the earlier 10.35-mm modello 1889 revolver, and in fact this ancient pistol remained in production until the 1930s.

The Glisenti had several unusual features, and its mechanism was of a type little encountered in other designs. It used an operating system loosely described as a delayed blow-back, in which the barrel and the receiver recoiled to the rear on firing. As it recoiled the action caused a rotary bolt to start to turn, and this rotation continued once the barrel had stopped moving after a distance of about 7 mm (0.276 in). The barrel was held in place by a rising wedge which was freed as the receiver moved forward, and another was that the trigger pull was long and 'creepy', which made accurate fire much more difficult. The action itself was made no more reliable by being constructed in such a way that the entire left side had no supporting frame and was held in place by a screwed-on cover plate. In prolonged use this plate could come separated from the pistol, causing it to jam. Even when in place the action was generally 'sloppy' and the moving parts displayed an unpleasant amount of internal movement.

To overcome the worst of this action the Italians introduced a special cartridge for this pistol known as the 9-mm Glisenti. In appearance and dimensions it resembled the standard 9-mm Parabellum, but the propellant load was reduced to produce less recoil and thus less internal stress. This cartridge was unique to the Glisenti, and if normal 9-mm ammunition was inadvertently loaded and fired the results could be disastrous to pistol and firer.

The Glisenti remained in production until the late 1920s but it was still in use in the Italian army until 1945. It is now a collector's piece only.

Pistola Automatica Beretta modello 1934

The little Pistola Automatica Beretta modello 1934 is one of the joys of the pistol collector's world, for it is one of those pistols that has its own built-in attraction. It was adopted as the standard Italian army service pistol in 1934, but it was then only the latest step in a long series of automatic pistols that could be traced back as far as 1915. In that year numbers of a new pistol design were produced to meet the requirements of the expanding Italian army, and although the Pistola Automatica Beretta modello 1915 was widely used it was never officially adopted as a service model. These original Beretta had a calibre of 7.65 mm, although a few were made in 9 mm short, the cartridge that was to be the ammunition for the later modello 1934.

After 1919 other Beretta pistols appeared, all of them following the basic Beretta design. By the time the modello 1934 appeared the 'classic' appearance had been well established with the snub outline and the front of the cutaway receiver wrapped around the forward part of the barrel to carry the fixed foresight. The short pistol grip held only seven rounds and thus to ensure a better grip the characteristic 'spur' was carried over from a design introduced back in 1919. The operation used by the mechanisms was a conventional blowback without frills or anything unusual, but although the receiver was held open once the magazine was empty it moved forward again as soon as the magazine was removed for reloading (most pistols of this type keep the receiver slide open until the magazine has been replaced).

The modello 1934 did have an exposed hammer which was not affected by the safety once applied, so although the trigger was locked when the safety was applied the hammer could be cocked either by hand or by accident, an unfortunate feature in an otherwise sound design.

The modello 1934 was almost always produced to an excellent standard of manufacture and finish, and the type became a sought-after trophy of war. Virtually the entire production run was taken for use by the Italian army, but there was a modello 1935 in 7.65 mm which was issued to the Italian air force and navy. Apart from its calibre this variant was identical to the modello 1934. The Germans used the type as the Pistole P67(t). Despite its overall success the modello 1934 was technically underpowered, but it is still one of the most famous of all pistols used during World War II.

Specification

- Beretta modello 1934
  - Cartridge: 9-mm short (0.380 AGP)
  - Length overall: 152 mm (6 in)
  - Weight: 0.568 kg (1.25 lb)
  - Muzzle velocity: 290 m (950 ft) per second
  - Magazine: 7-round box

- Beretta modello 1934
  - Cartridge: 9-mm short (0.380 AGP)
  - Length overall: 210 mm (8.27 in)
  - Weight: 0.909 kg (2 lb)
  - Muzzle velocity: 320 m (1,050 ft) per second
  - Magazine: 7-round box

The Glisenti modello 1910 was an odd mixture of design innovations allied with a weakframe design.
Allied and Axis Machine-Guns

The machine-gun stood out from the weapons introduced during World War I. In fixed positions, in support of massed infantry, it largely dictated infantry tactics. Towards the end of the conflict, however, a new, more mobile kind of warfare emerged, and any future battles were to be very different.

During World War II the machine-gun never quite succeeded in regaining the influence over the battlefield that it managed to acquire during World War I. Generally speaking, tactics were more fluid and mobility was the key concept, but this did not mean that the machine-gun had no influence on tactics; it remained a dreadful killer, it could still command ground, and it had been developed to still higher levels of technical perfection than its predecessors of World War I. The machine-guns of 1939 to 1945 were still similar to those of World War I, but among the remaining relics of the earlier conflict there were many new designs. There was even a new type of machine-gun that had grown out of the analysed results of World War I. In that conflict there had been two machine-gun types, the light machine-gun and the heavy machine-gun: the light machine-gun could be carried by one man, was located on a small tripod for aiming and firing, and usually carried the ammunition in some form of magazine; the heavy machine-gun was a team or squad weapon capable of high and prolonged fire rates and was usually mounted on a heavy tripod; it was so heavy it was virtually static. From these two types of weapon the inter-war designers produced the general-purpose machine-gun, a machine-gun light enough to be carried by one man and used as an assault weapon but still capable of being mounted on a tripod and used to produce the fire power of a heavy machine-gun. The first design to combine these two possibly opposing requirements successfully was the German MG 34, but this was only the forerunner of many designs to come.

Apart from the MG 34 there were many other superb designs of machine-gun in use (and some very poor ones to balance them, the Breda modello 1930 perhaps being one of the worst); it remains as ever a paradox that the peak of human ingenuity should be devoted to the mechanized destruction of fellow humans. Thus the years 1939 to 1945 suffered from the excellence of the Bren Gun, the American M1919 series and the power of the 12.7mm (0.5in) Browning. But, from the design viewpoint perhaps the best design of all was the MG 34’s successor, the magnificent MG 42.
Lehky Kulomet ZB vz.26 and vz.30 light machine-guns

When Czechoslovakia was established as a state after 1919 it contained within its borders a wide range of skills and talents, and among them was small arms expertise. In the early 1920s a company was established at Brno under the name of Ceskoslovenska Zbrojovka for the design and production of all types of small arms. An early product was a machine-gun known as the Lehky Kulomet ZB vz.24 using a box magazine feed, but it remained a prototype only for an even better design was on the stocks. Using some details from the vz.24 the new design was designated the Lehky Kulomet ZB vz.26.

This light machine-gun was an immediate success and has remained one of the most inspirational of all such weapons ever since. The vz.26 was a gas-operated weapon with a long gas piston under the barrel and fed from an adjustable gas vent about half-way down the finned barrel. Gas operating on the piston pushed it to the rear and a simple arrangement of a hinged breech block on a ramp formed the locking and firing basis. Ammunition was fed downwards from a simple inclined magazine box magazine and, the overall design emphasized the virtues of easy stripping, maintenance and use in action. Barrel cooling was assisted by the use of prominent fins all along the barrel but a simple and rapid barrel change method was incorporated.

The vz.26 was adopted by the Czech army and soon became a great export success, being used by a whole string of nations that included China, Yugoslavia and Spain. The vz.26 was followed in production by a slightly improved model, the Lehky Kulomet ZB vz.30, but to the layman the two models were identical, the vz.30 differing only in the way it was manufactured and in some of the internal details. Like the vz.26, the vz.30 was also an export success, being sold to such countries as Iran and Romania. Many nations set up their own production lines under licence from ZB, and by 1939 the two designs were among the most influential light machine-gun types in the world. When Germany started to take over most of Europe, starting with Czechoslovakia, the vz.26 and vz.30 became German weapons (MG 26(t) and MG 30(t)) and even remained in production at Brno for a while to satisfy the demands of the German forces. They were used all over the world and were even issued as standard equipment by the German armed forces. Of all the nations involved in World War II none took to the type more avidly than China where production facilities were established. Perhaps the most lasting influence the vz.26 and vz.30 had was on other designs, the Spanish who produced a machine-gun called the FAO as is related elsewhere was the starting point for the British Bren, and the Yugoslavs produced their own variants.

If the Czech light machine-guns had any faults it was in performance or handling but in production, and they were very expensive to make as many of the subassemblies had to be machined and milled from solid metal. But this merely made them more robust and less prone to damage. They were, and still are, excellent light machine-guns.

Specification ZB vz.26
Calibre: 7.92 mm (0.31 in)
Length: 1161 mm (45.71 in)
Length of barrel: 672 mm (26.46 in)
Weight: 9.65 kg (21.3 lb)
Muzzle velocity: 762 m (2,500 ft) per second
Rate of fire, cyclic: 500 rpm
Feed: 30-round box

Specification ZB vz.30
Calibre: 7.92 mm (0.31 in)
Length: 1161 mm (45.71 in)

Breda machine-guns

During World War I the standard Italian machine-gun was the water-cooled Fiat modello 1914/35. But this was modernized as the air-cooled Mitragliere Fiat modello 1914/35. But it was still a heavy weapon, even in its new air-cooled form, and a newer design of light machine-gun was initiated. The new design was produced by Breda who used the layout and guidelines by the production of earlier models in 1924, 1928 and 1929 to produce the Fucile Mitragliatore Breda modello 30. This became the standard Italian Army light machine-gun of World War II.

The modello 30 was one of those machine-gun designs that could at best be deemed unsatisfactory. In appearance it looked to be all odd shapes and projections and this was no doubt a hindrance to anyone who had to carry it, for these projections snagged on clothing and other equipment. But this was not all, for the Breda designers tried to introduce a novel feed system using 20-round chargers which were rather flimsy and gave frequent trouble. These chargers were fed into a folding magazine that had a delicate hinge, and if this magazine or the fitting was damaged the gun could not be used. To compound this problem, the extraction of the used cartridge cases was the weakest part of the whole gas-operated mechanism, and to make the gun work an internal oil pump was used to lubricate the used cases and thus assist extra extraction. While this system worked in theory the added oil soon picked up dust and other debris to clog the mechanism, and in North Africa sand was an ever-present threat. And as if this were not enough, the barrel-change method, although operable, was rendered awkward by the fact that there was no barrel handle (and thus no carrying handle), so the operator had to use gloves. With no other type in production the modello 30 had to be tolerated, and there was even a later modello 38 version in 7.65-mm (0.29-in) calibre.

The other two Breda machine-guns were at least better than the modello 30. One was the Mitrigliere Breda RM modello 31, produced for mounting on the light tanks operated by the Italian army. This had a calibre of 12.7mm (0.5-in) and used a large curved vertic...
al box magazine that must have restricted the weapon's use in AFV interiors.

As a heavy machine-gun the company produced the Mitragliace Breda modello 37, and while this was overall a satisfactory weapon it did have an unusual feed feature: a flat 20-round feed tray which worked its way through the receiver to accept the spent cartridge cases. Exactly why this complex and quite unnecessary system was adopted is now impossible to ascertain, for the spent cases had to be removed from the tray before it could be reloaded with fresh rounds. The oil-pump extraction method was also used, rendering the modello 37 prone to the same debris clogging as the lighter modello 30. Thus the modello 37 was no more than adequate, even though the type became the standard Italian heavy machine-gun.

A version of the modello 37 for mounting in tanks was produced under the designation Mitragliace Breda modello 38.

**Specification**

**modello 30**
- **Calibre:** 6.5 mm (0.256 in)
- **Length:** 1232 mm (48.5 in)
- **Length of barrel:** 520 mm (20.47 in)
- **Weight:** 10.32 kg (22.75 lb)
- **Muzzle velocity:** 629 m (2,065 ft) per second
- **Rate of fire, cyclic:** 450-500 rpm
- **Feed:** 20-round charger

**Specification**

**modello 37**
- **Calibre:** 8 mm (0.315 in)
- **Length:** 1270 mm (50.0 in)
- **Length of barrel:** 740 mm (29.13 in)
- **Weight:** 19.3 kg (42.8 lb)
- **Weight of tripod:** 18.7 kg (41.2 lb)
- **Muzzle velocity:** 790 m (2,590 ft) per second
- **Rate of fire, cyclic:** 450-500 rpm
- **Feed:** 20-round tray

The Japanese heavy machine-guns used between 1941 and 1945 were both derivations of the French Hotchkiss machine-gun with only a few local changes. When it came to the lighter machine-guns the Japanese designed their own, the first of which was based on the same operating principles as the Hotchkiss but with the usual local variations.

The first of these was the 6.5-mm (0.256-in) Light Machine-Gun Type 11, which entered service in 1922 and remained in service until 1945. Its Hotchkiss origins were readily apparent in the heavily ribbed barrel and less obviously in the internal mechanisms. The design was credited to one General Kijiro Nambu and it was by the name of 'Nambu' that the type was known to the Allies. It was in its ammunition feed system that the Type 11 was unique, for it used a hopper system employed by no other machine-gun. The idea was that a small hopper on the left of the receiver could be kept filled with the rounds fired by the rest of the Japanese infantry squad. The rounds could be fed into the hopper still in their five-round clips, thus rendering special magazines or ammunition belts unnecessary. But in practice this advantage was negated by the fact that the internal mechanism was so delicate and complex that firing the standard rifle round caused endless troubles. Thus special low-powered rounds had to be used and things were made no better by having to use a cartridge-lubrication system that attracted the usual dust and other debris to clog the works. The Type 11 was capable of automatic fire only, and when the weapon was fired the ammunition hopper tended to make the whole system unbalanced and awkward to fire. A special version, the Tank Machine-Gun Type 91, was produced for use in tanks, with a 50-round hopper.

The bad points of the Type 11 became very apparent after early combat experience in China during the 1930s, and in 1936 the first examples of...
M1918A2. The last production Gun Type 96 started to reach the troops. While the Type 96 was a definite improvement on the Type 11, it did not replace the earlier model in service, mainly as a result of the fact that Japanese industry could never produce enough weapons of any type to meet the demands of the armed forces. Overall the Type 96 used a mixture of the old Hotchkiss principles and some of the features of the Czech ZB vz.26 that the Japanese had encountered in China. One of these ex-Czech features was the overhead box magazine that replaced the hopper of the Type 11, but internally the cartridge-oiling system had to be retained along with the attendant clogging. But the Type 96 did have a quick barrel-change system and there was a choice of drum or telescopic rear sights. Once in production the telescopic sights soon became the exception, but a handy magazine-filling device was retained. One accessory that was unique to the Type 96 among all other machine-gun designs was that it had a muzzle attachment to take a bayonet.

**Specification**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Calibre</th>
<th>Length of barrel</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Muzzle velocity</th>
<th>Rate of fire, cyclic</th>
<th>Feed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type 96</td>
<td>7.7-mm (0.303 in)</td>
<td>552 mm (21.75 in)</td>
<td>1054 mm (41.5 in)</td>
<td>9.07 kg (20 lb)</td>
<td>730 m (2,395 ft)</td>
<td>550 rpm</td>
<td>30-round box</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Japanese Type 99 light machine-gun was a development of the earlier Type 96 calibred for a 7.7-mm (0.303-in) cartridge, but it retained a bayonet lug under the bipod hinge.

---

**Browning Automatic Rifle**

The Browning Automatic Rifle, or BAR as it is usually known, is one of those odd weapons that falls into no precise category. It may be regarded as a rather light machine-gun or as a rather heavy assault rifle, but in practice it was used as a form of light machine-gun.

As its name implies, the BAR was a product of John M. Browning’s inventive mind, and Browning produced the first prototypes in 1917. When demonstrators they were immediately adopted for US Army service and were thus taken to France for active use during 1918. But the numbers involved at that time were not large, and the few used were employed as heavy rifles. This was not surprising as the first models, the BAR M1918, had no bipod and could only be fired from the hip or shoulder. A bipod was not introduced until 1937 with the BAR M1918A1 and the full and final production version, the BAR M1918A2, had a revised bipod and the facility for a stock rest to be added for added stability. It was the M1918A1 and M1918A2 that were to become the main American operation models, and they were issued to bolster squad fire power rather than as a squad support weapon.

The original M1918 did have a role to play in World War II, for it was sent over to the United Kingdom in 1940 to provide some form of weapon for the British Home Guard and some found their way into other second-line use. The later models were produced in thousands in the USA and once in service in large numbers they became the sort of weapon upon which soldiers came to rely. This is not to say that the BAR did not have faults, for the box magazine had a capacity of only 20 rounds, which was far too few for most infantry operations. Being something of an interim weapon type it had few tactical adherents in the theoretical field but the soldier swore by the BAR and always wanted more that could be produced. After 1945 the BAR was used again in Korea and was not finally replaced until 1957 by the US Army. Even today new versions intended to arm police forces are still available under the name Monitor.

**Specification**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Calibre</th>
<th>Length of barrel</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Muzzle velocity</th>
<th>Rate of fire, cyclic</th>
<th>Feed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M1918A2</td>
<td>6.5 mm (0.256 in)</td>
<td>483 mm (19.0 in)</td>
<td>1105 mm (43.5 in)</td>
<td>10.2 kg (22.5 lb)</td>
<td>700 m (2,295 ft)</td>
<td>500 rpm</td>
<td>30-round hopper</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One little-known facet of BAR production is the pre-1939 output of a variant designated modèle 30 from the Fabrique Nationale (FN) plant at Liège in Belgium. From this factory emerged a string of BAR models in various calibres for the armies of Belgium itself, Sweden, some Baltic states and some Central and South American states, including Honduras, Many found their way to the Chinese army. Poland set up a national assembly line for the BAR but their calibre was 7.92 mm (0.31 in), whereas the bulk of the FN output was in 7.65 mm (0.301 in) to suit the domestic preferred calibre. Many of these Polish BARs ended up in Soviet army hands after 1939, and even the German army used the BAR after capture from a variety of sources. The Poles thought very highly of the BAR and went to the extent of mounting the weapon on specially produced and very complex and heavy tripods; there was also a special anti-aircraft version.

**Specification**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Calibre</th>
<th>Length of barrel</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Muzzle velocity</th>
<th>Rate of fire, cyclic</th>
<th>Feed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M1918A3</td>
<td>7.62 mm (0.3 in)</td>
<td>1214 mm (47.8 in)</td>
<td>239 mm (9.4 in)</td>
<td>8.8 kg (19.4 lb)</td>
<td>808 m (2,650 ft)</td>
<td>500-600 rpm (fast rate) or 300-450 rpm (slow rate)</td>
<td>20-round box</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Browning M1919 machine-guns

The Browning M1919 series differed from the earlier M1917 series in that the original water-cooled barrel was replaced by an air-cooled barrel. This air-cooled model was originally intended for use in the many tanks the United States was going to produce, but the end of World War I led to the tank contracts being cancelled along with those for the original M1919. But the air-cooled Browning was developed into the M1919A1, the M1919A2 (for use by the US Cavalry) and then the M1919A3. The production totals for these early models were never very high, but with the M1919A4 the totals soared. By 1945 the production total stood at 438,971 and more have been produced since then.

The M1919A4 was produced mainly for infantry use and it proved to be a first-class heavy machine-gun capable of pouring out masses of fire and absorbing all manner of abuse and punishment. As a partner for this infantry version, a special model for use on tanks was produced as the M1919A5. There was also a special US Air Force model, the M2, for use on both fixed wing and flexible installations, and the US Navy had its own range based on the M1919A4 and known as the AN-M.

Among all these types and in such a long production run there were numerous minor and major modifications and production alterations, but the basic M1919 design was retained throughout. The basic M1919 used a fabric or metal-link belt feed. The normal mount was a tripod, and of these there were many designs ranging from normal infantry tripods to large and complex anti-aircraft mountings. There were ring- and gallows-type mountings for use on all sorts of trucks from jeeps to fuel tankers, and there were numerous special mountings for all manner of small craft.

Perhaps the strangest of the M1919 variants was the M1919A6. This was produced as a form of light machine-gun to bolster infantry squad power, which until the introduction of the M1919A6 had to depend on the firepower of the BAR and the rifle. The M1919A6 was a 1943 innovation; it was basically the M1919A4 fitted with an awkward-looking shoulder stock, a bipod, a carrying handle and a lighter barrel. The result was a rather heavy light machine-gun that at least had the advantage that it could be produced quickly on existing production lines. Disadvantages were the general awkwardness of the weapon and the need to wear a mitten to change the barrel when it got hot. For all that the M1919A6 was churned out in large numbers (43,479 by the time production ended), and the troops had to put up with it, for it was better in its role than the BAR.

If there was one overall asset that was enjoyed by all the versions of the M1919 series of machine-guns it was reliability, for the types would carry on working even in conditions in which other designs (other than perhaps the Vickers) would have given up. They all used the same basic recoil method: muzzle gases push back the entire barrel and breech mechanism until a bolt accelerator continues the rearward movement to a point at which springs return the whole mechanism to restart the process.

The M1919 series (including the unlovely M1919A6) is still in widespread use, although the M1919A6 is now used by only a few South American states.

Above: A Browning M1919A4 machine-gun on its normal tripod and clearly showing the perforated barrel cooling jacket and the square receiver; it was produced in huge numbers and the type is still in use all over the world.

A Long Range Desert Group Jeep armed with Vickers-Berthier C.O. machine-guns and with a Browning M1919A4 mounted at the front; this gun has every appearance of being adapted from an aircraft mounting.

Browning 12.7-mm (0.5-in) heavy machine-guns

Ever since the first Browning 12.7-mm (0.5-in) heavy machine-gun was produced in 1921 the type has been one of the most fearsome anti-personnel weapons likely to be encountered. The projectile fired by the type is a prodigious man-stopper, and the machine-gun can also be used as an armour-defeating weapon, especially when firing armour-piercing rounds.

The round is really the heart of the gun, and early attempts by Browning to produce a heavy machine-gun all founded on the lack of a suitable cartridge.

The classic Browning machine-gun on its usual tripod. It was first placed in production in 1921 and remains so, as it is one of the best anti-personnel weapons ever developed; it also has a very useful anti-armour capability.
It was not until the examination of a captured German 13-mm (0.51-in) round (used in the Mauser T-Gewehr) that the solution was found, and thereafter all was well. The basic cartridge has remained essentially unchanged, although there have been numerous alternative propellants and types of projectile.

From the original Browning M1921 heavy machine-gun, evolved a whole string of variants based on what was to become known as the M2. On all these variants the gun mechanism remained essentially unchanged, although very similar to that used on the smaller M1917 machine-gun. Where the variants differed from each other was in the type of barrel fitted and the fixtures used for mounting the gun.

One of the most numerous of the M2s has been the M2 HB, the suffix denoting the use of a Heavy Barrel. The HB version can be used in all manner of installations and in the past has been employed as an anti-aircraft gun and even as a fixed or trainable aircraft gun. For infantry use the M2 HB is usually mounted on a heavy tripod, but it can also be used mounted on vehicle pintles, ring mountings and pivots. Other M2 types include versions with water-cooled barrels, which were usually employed as anti-aircraft weapons, especially on US Navy vessels where during World War II they were often fitted with anti-aircraft mountings for use against low-flying attack aircraft. Single water-cooled mountings were often used to provide anti-aircraft defence for shore installations. The main change between ground-based and aircraft versions was that the aircraft model had a barrel 1144 mm (36 in) long whereas the ground version had a barrel 1143 mm (45 in) long. Apart from the barrel and some mounting fixtures, any part of the M1921 and M2 machine-guns can be interchanged.

More 12.7-mm (0.5-in) Browning machine-guns have been produced in the United States than any other design. To date the figures run into millions and the production run is still not complete, for during the late 1970s two American companies found it worthwhile to put the type back into production, and the same applied to the Belgian FN concern. Many more companies throughout the world find it profitable to provide spares and other such backing for the M2 series, and almost every year another ammunition producer introduces yet another type of cartridge for use with the weapon. Many dealers find it profitable just to sell or purchase such weapons alone, so there is no sign yet that demand for the gun is weakening in any way.

The M2 will be around for decades to come, and there is no sign of any replacement. It must rank as one of the most successful machine-gun designs ever produced!

**Specifications**

**M2HB**
- **Calibre:** 12.7 mm (0.49 in)
- **Length:** 1604 mm (63.1 in)

This anti-aircraft mounting, known as the M45 Maxson Mount, used four heavy barrelled Browning M2s.

**Specifications**

**M2HB**
- **Length of barrel:** 1143 mm (45.0 in)
- **Weight:** 38.1 kg (84 lb)

**Muzzle velocity:** 884 m (2,900 ft) per second

**Rate of fire, cyclic:** 450-575 rpm

**Feed:** 10-round metal-link belt

---

**FRANCE**

**Fusil Mitrailleur modèles 1924/29 and Mitrailleuse 1931 machine-guns**

The French army was not slow to realize the impact of the light machine-gun on tactics during World War I, and soon after the Armistice spent considerable time and effort developing a national design suitable for extensive French deployment. Despite all the effort, the result was a weapon with a mechanism based on that of the American Browning Automatic Rifle but altered in several ways to suit a new cartridge with a calibre of 12.7 mm (0.49 in). The first production model was the Châtellerault modèle 1924 (Automatic Rifle M1924), which was manufactured at the large arsenal at Saint-Étienne. The design was clean and modern-looking, and used an overhead box magazine holding 25 or 26 rounds. A dual-trigger system was provided for single shots or full automatic fire.

Unfortunately neither the gun nor the cartridge was fully developed before the type was introduced into service, resulting in a series of internal barrel explosions and other shortcomings. The solution was to redesign the cartridge to make it slightly less powerful (becoming shorter in the process) and to beef up some of the weapon’s parts. The result was the Fusil Mitrailleur modèle 1924/29, and it was this type that eventually became the standard French light machine-gun for much of the army in 1939. A range of mountings was devised for the weapon, and there was even a small monopod for mounting under the butt to make prolonged fire more accurate.

A special variant of the type, M1924/29 was produced, initially for use in the Maginot Line defences but eventually also for tanks and other AFVs. This was the Mitrailleuse modèle 1931, and at first sight it had little in common with the earlier model. The mie 1931 had a peculiarly-shaped butt and a prominent side-mounted drum magazine holding no less than 150 rounds. Despite appearances the internal arrangements were the same as those of the mie 1924/29, even if the overall length and barrel length were increased. In static defences the increased weight was no handicap and the cartridge they fired was generally deemed underpowered and lacking in range: maximum useful range was only 500 to 550 m (550 to 600 yards) instead of the 600+ m (655+ yards) of many contemporary designs.

**Specifications**

**Fusil Mitrailleur mie 1924/29**
- **Calibre:** 7.5 mm (0.295 in)
- **Length:** 1007 mm (39.65 in)

**Mitrailleuse mie 1931**
- **Calibre:** 7.5 mm (0.295 in)
- **Length:** 1007 mm (39.65 in)

A Chatellerault modèle 1924/29 light machine-gun, the standard French light machine-gun of 1940; it had a calibre of 7.5 mm (0.295 in) and used two triggers, one for automatic fire and the other for single shots.

**Specifications**

**Mitrailleuse mie 1931**
- **Calibre:** 7.5 mm (0.295 in)
- **Length:** 1030 mm (40.55 in)
- **Length of barrel:** 600 mm (23.62 in)
- **Weight:** 11.8 kg (26.0 lb)
- **Muzzle velocity:** 850 m (2,790 ft) per second
- **Rate of fire, cyclic:** 450-600 rpm
- **Feed:** 25-round box
How machine-guns work

The very first machine-guns operated on what was basically a recoil principle. The very simplest operated on the blow-back principle, in which the recoil forces attendant on firing a rifle-power cartridge impinge directly on a breech block and force it back, only the mass of the block and perhaps some springs preventing the block from moving back while the internal pressures in the barrel remain at a dangerously high level. The simplicity of this system is overcome by the weights and masses involved, so the blow-back principle is not widely used in machineguns, especially where powerful cartridges such as those in the 12.7-mm (0.5-in) calibre category are concerned.

What is required is some form of system that can operate by using the considerable energy released when a cartridge is fired without any danger of these forces endangering the weapon or the firer. Thus the breech block and the barrel have to remain "locked" during the short period that the projectile is pushed down the barrel by the rapid gas expansion of the detonated propellant charge. This locking is usually carried out by mechanical means, and until recently the number of principles employed was legion. Only in recent years has the modern rotary lock become established to the point of virtual exclusion of other systems. But during World War II the rotary lock was well in the future and several other locking systems were thus employed.

It would be difficult to mention all these locking systems in a few lines, but suffice to say they all operated using two methods of overall propulsion. One was the recoil system and the other the gas-operated system. The recoil system usually operates by using the gases produced at the muzzle to propel the entire barrel and the locked-on breech mechanism to the rear; at some point during this rearwards progress the barrel is held by a fixed stop, leaving the breech block to move even farther backwards, taking with it the spent cartridge case and masses involved, so the blow-back principle is not widely used in machine-guns, especially where powerful cartridges such as those in the 12.7-mm (0.5-in) calibre category are concerned.

What is required is some form of system that can operate by using the considerable energy released when a cartridge is fired without any danger of these forces endangering the weapon or the firer. Thus the breech block and the barrel have to remain "locked" during the short period that the projectile is pushed down the barrel by the rapid gas expansion of the detonated propellant charge. This locking is usually carried out by mechanical means, and until recently the number of principles employed was legion. Only in recent years has the modern rotary lock become established to the point of virtual exclusion of other systems. But during World War II the rotary lock was well in the future and several other locking systems were thus employed.

It would be difficult to mention all these locking systems in a few lines, but suffice to say they all operated using two methods of overall propulsion. One was the recoil system and the other the gas-operated system. The recoil system usually operates by using the gases produced at the muzzle to propel the entire barrel and the locked-on breech mechanism to the rear; at some point during this rearwards progress the barrel is held by a fixed stop, leaving the breech block to move even farther backwards, taking with it the spent cartridge case and masses involved, so the blow-back principle is not widely used in machine-guns, especially where powerful cartridges such as those in the 12.7-mm (0.5-in) calibre category are concerned.

What is required is some form of system that can operate by using the considerable energy released when a cartridge is fired without any danger of these forces endangering the weapon or the firer. Thus the breech block and the barrel have to remain "locked" during the short period that the projectile is pushed down the barrel by the rapid gas expansion of the detonated propellant charge. This locking is usually carried out by mechanical means, and until recently the number of principles employed was legion. Only in recent years has the modern rotary lock become established to the point of virtual exclusion of other systems. But during World War II the rotary lock was well in the future and several other locking systems were thus employed.

It would be difficult to mention all these locking systems in a few lines, but suffice to say they all operated using two methods of overall propulsion. One was the recoil system and the other the gas-operated system. The recoil system usually operates by using the gases produced at the muzzle to propel the entire barrel and the locked-on breech mechanism to the rear; at some point during this rearwards progress the barrel is held by a fixed stop, leaving the breech block to move even farther backwards, taking with it the spent cartridge case and masses involved, so the blow-back principle is not widely used in machine-guns, especially where powerful cartridges such as those in the 12.7-mm (0.5-in) calibre category are concerned.

What is required is some form of system that can operate by using the considerable energy released when a cartridge is fired without any danger of these forces endangering the weapon or the firer. Thus the breech block and the barrel have to remain "locked" during the short period that the projectile is pushed down the barrel by the rapid gas expansion of the detonated propellant charge. This locking is usually carried out by mechanical means, and until recently the number of principles employed was legion. Only in recent years has the modern rotary lock become established to the point of virtual exclusion of other systems. But during World War II the rotary lock was well in the future and several other locking systems were thus employed.

It would be difficult to mention all these locking systems in a few lines, but suffice to say they all operated using two methods of overall propulsion. One was the recoil system and the other the gas-operated system. The recoil system usually operates by using the gases produced at the muzzle to propel the entire barrel and the locked-on breech mechanism to the rear; at some point during this rearwards progress the barrel is held by a fixed stop, leaving the breech block to move even farther backwards, taking with it the spent cartridge case and masses involved, so the blow-back principle is not widely used in machine-guns, especially where powerful cartridges such as those in the 12.7-mm (0.5-in) calibre category are concerned.

What is required is some form of system that can operate by using the considerable energy released when a cartridge is fired without any danger of these forces endangering the weapon or the firer. Thus the breech block and the barrel have to remain "locked" during the short period that the projectile is pushed down the barrel by the rapid gas expansion of the detonated propellant charge. This locking is usually carried out by mechanical means, and until recently the number of principles employed was legion. Only in recent years has the modern rotary lock become established to the point of virtual exclusion of other systems. But during World War II the rotary lock was well in the future and several other locking systems were thus employed.

It would be difficult to mention all these locking systems in a few lines, but suffice to say they all operated using two methods of overall propulsion. One was the recoil system and the other the gas-operated system. The recoil system usually operates by using the gases produced at the muzzle to propel the entire barrel and the locked-on breech mechanism to the rear; at some point during this rearwards progress the barrel is held by a fixed stop, leaving the breech block to move even farther backwards, taking with it the spent cartridge case and masses involved, so the blow-back principle is not widely used in machine-guns, especially where powerful cartridges such as those in the 12.7-mm (0.5-in) calibre category are concerned.

What is required is some form of system that can operate by using the considerable energy released when a cartridge is fired without any danger of these forces endangering the weapon or the firer. Thus the breech block and the barrel have to remain "locked" during the short period that the projectile is pushed down the barrel by the rapid gas expansion of the detonated propellant charge. This locking is usually carried out by mechanical means, and until recently the number of principles employed was legion. Only in recent years has the modern rotary lock become established to the point of virtual exclusion of other systems. But during World War II the rotary lock was well in the future and several other locking systems were thus employed.

It would be difficult to mention all these locking systems in a few lines, but suffice to say they all operated using two methods of overall propulsion. One was the recoil system and the other the gas-operated system. The recoil system usually operates by using the gases produced at the muzzle to propel the entire barrel and the locked-on breech mechanism to the rear; at some point during this rearwards progress the barrel is held by a fixed stop, leaving the breech block to move even farther backwards, taking with it the spent cartridge case and masses involved, so the blow-back principle is not widely used in machine-guns, especially where powerful cartridges such as those in the 12.7-mm (0.5-in) calibre category are concerned.

What is required is some form of system that can operate by using the considerable energy released when a cartridge is fired without any danger of these forces endangering the weapon or the firer. Thus the breech block and the barrel have to remain "locked" during the short period that the projectile is pushed down the barrel by the rapid gas expansion of the detonated propellant charge. This locking is usually carried out by mechanical means, and until recently the number of principles employed was legion. Only in recent years has the modern rotary lock become established to the point of virtual exclusion of other systems. But during World War II the rotary lock was well in the future and several other locking systems were thus employed.

It would be difficult to mention all these locking systems in a few lines, but suffice to say they all operated using two methods of overall propulsion. One was the recoil system and the other the gas-operated system. The recoil system usually operates by using the gases produced at the muzzle to propel the entire barrel and the locked-on breech mechanism to the rear; at some point during this rearwards progress the barrel is held by a fixed stop, leaving the breech block to move even farther backwards, taking with it the spent cartridge case and masses involved, so the blow-back principle is not widely used in machine-guns, especially where powerful cartridges such as those in the 12.7-mm (0.5-in) calibre category are concerned.
The Bren gun is seen here in its original production form with a drum magazine and adjustable bipod legs - later versions had these removed and replaced by simpler components.

**Magazine**

The magazine was curved to accommodate the rimmed 0.303-in cartridge and could hold up to 20 rounds.

**Hammer**

The hammer actuator was a fixed post which struck the rear of the firing pin to allow it to strike the cartridge primer. The pin could not strike the primer until the round was properly chambered.

**Sights**

Early models used drum sights with the rearsight on an arm, but later models used the simpler leaf sight.

**Recoil spring**

The main recoil spring was contained within the butt and was connected to the main moving parts by a steel rod which protruded into the receiver, so normally the recoil spring was neither touched nor seen when stripping. The rod was flexibly mounted to allow the piston group to be withdrawn for stripping.

**Trigger**

A selector lever close to the trigger had three positions: single shot, safe and automatic.

---

The Bren Gun was a development of the original Czech ZB vz.26 light machine-gun, but the development path was one that involved as much British as Czech expertise. During the 1920s the British army sought far and wide for a new type of light machine-gun to replace the generally unsatisfactory Lewis Gun, trying all manner of designs, most of which were found wanting in some way or other. By 1930 a series of trials commenced involving several designs, among them the vz.26 in the form of a slightly revised model, the ZB vz.27. The ZB vz.27 emerged as a clear winner from these trials but it was made in 7.92-mm (0.31-in) calibre only, and the British army wanted to retain its 7.7-mm (0.303-in) cartridge with its outdated cordite propellant and its awkward rimmed case.

Thus started a series of development models that involved the vz.27, the later vz.30 and eventually an interim model, the ZB vz.32. Then came the vz.33 and it was from this that the Royal Small Arms Factory at Enfield Lock evolved the prototype of what became the Bren Gun (Bren from the BR of Brno, the place of origin, and EN from Enfield Lock). Tooling up at Enfield Lock resulted in the first production Bren Gun Mk I being turned out in 1937, and thereafter the type remained in production at Enfield and elsewhere until well after 1945. By 1940 well over 30,000 Bren Guns had been produced and the type was well established in service, but the result of Dunkirk not only supplied the Germans with a useful stock of Bren Guns (Leichte MG 138(e)) and ammunition but also led to a great demand to reequip the British army.

The original design was thus much modified to speed up production, and new lines were established. The original gas-operated mechanism of the ZB design was retained and so was the breech locking system and the general appearance, but out went the rather complicated drum sights and extras such as the under-butt handle in the Bren Gun Mk 2. The bipod became much simpler but the curved box magazine of the 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Bren was carried over. In time more simplifications were made (Bren Gun Mk 3 with a shorter barrel and Bren Gun Mk 4 with a modified butt assembly), and there was even a reversion to the 7.92-mm (0.31-in) calibre when Brens were manufactured in Canada for the Chinese army.

The Bren Gun turned out to be a superb light machine-gun. It was robust, reliable, easy to handle and to maintain, and it was not too heavy for its role. It was also very accurate. In time a whole range of mountings and accessories was introduced, including some rather complex anti-aircraft mountings that included the Motley and the Gallows mountings. A 200-round drum was developed but little used, and various vehicle mountings were designed and introduced. The Bren Gun outlived all these accessories, for after 1945 the type remained in service and the wartime 'extras' were phased out.

The Bren Gun on its basic bipod did linger on, however, and is still in British Army service as the Bren Gun L4A2. It now boasts a calibre of the standard NATO 7.62 mm (0.3 in) and the barrel is chrome-plated to reduce wear and the need to change barrels during prolonged fire using the simple barrel-change device. Today the type is still in army service with second-line and support arms and also with the Royal Navy, and there seems to be no replacement for the type in sight. It was and still is an excellent light machine-gun.

**Specification**

Bren Light Machine-Gun Mk 1

Calibre: 7.7 mm (0.303 in)
Length: 1156 mm (45.5 in)
Length of barrel: 635 mm (25.0 in)
Weight: 10.03 kg (22.12 lb)
Muzzle velocity: 744 m (2,440 ft) per second
Rate of fire, cyclic: 500 rpm
Feed: 20-round box magazine
The Vickers machine-gun range had its origins in the Maxim gun of the late 19th century, and was little changed from the original other than that the Maxim locking toggle design was inverted in the Vickers product. The Vickers Machine-Gun Mk 1 had performed well in World War I, outperforming in many ways nearly all of its contemporaries. Consequently after 1918 the Vickers remained the standard heavy machine-gun of both the British army and many of the Commonwealth forces as well. Many were exported all over the world but many of these were ex-stock weapons as production was kept at a very low ebb at Vickers’ main production plant at Crayford in Kent.

However, some innovations were introduced before 1939; the introduction of the tank in all its various forms had led to the design of Vickers machine-guns to arm the new fighting machines, and by 1939 Vickers had in production two types of special tank machine-gun. These were in two calibres: the Vickers Machine-Gun Mk 4, 6, 6* and 7 being of 7.7-mm (0.303-in) calibre and the Vickers Machine-Gun Mk 4 and 5 of the 12.7-mm (0.5-in) type firing a special cartridge. Both were produced for all types of tank initially, but the introduction of the air-cooled Besa machine-guns for the bulk of the heavier tanks meant that most of the Vickers tank machine-guns ended up either in the light tank series or in the infantry tank types, the Matilda 1 and 2. The 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns were also produced in a variety of forms for the Royal Navy as the Vickers Machine-Gun Mk 3 with all manner of mountings for anti-aircraft defence of ships and shore installations. The ship installations included quadruple mountings, but the cartridge produced for the weapon was not a success and proved underpowered. Nevertheless, in the absence of an alternative the weapon was produced in some numbers, only later being replaced by 20-mm cannon and other such weapons. Thus 1939 found the Vickers machine-gun in service still and in some numbers. By 1940 all manner of ancient models from stock were being used in all roles including emergency anti-aircraft mountings to bolster home defences and production was soon under way again in large quantities. Demand was so heavy (most of the British army’s machine-guns were lost before and during the Dunkirk episode) that production short-cuts were introduced, the most noticeable of which was the replacement of the corrugated barrel water jacket by a simple smooth jacket. Later a new muzzle booster design was introduced and by 1943 the new Mark 8Z boat-tailed bullet was in widespread use to provide a useful effective range of no less than 4100 m (4,500 yards). This enabled the Vickers machine-gun to be used for the indirect fire role and a mortar sight was adapted for the role.

After the war the Vickers served on (and still does) with armies such as those of India and Pakistan. The British army ceased to use the type in 1968 but the Royal Marines continued to use theirs until well into the 1970s.

**Specification**

- **Vickers Machine-Gun Mk 1**
  - Calibre: 7.7 mm (0.303 in)
  - Length: 1156 mm (45.5 in)
  - Length of barrel: 721 mm (28.4 in)
  - Weight of gun: 18.1 kg (40 lb) with water
  - Weight of tripod: 22 kg (48.5 lb)
  - Muzzle velocity: 744 m (2,440 ft) per second
  - Feed: 250-round belt

A Vickers machine-gun in its late production form with no corrugations on the barrel jacket, the final form of the muzzle attachment and the indirect-fire sight in position.

The machine-gun seen here is not the usual 7.7-mm (0.303-in) version but a heavier 12.7-mm (0.5-in) version which was originally produced for use on light tanks; it is seen here in use on a Chevrolet truck belonging to the Long Range Desert Group.

A Vickers machine-gun in its late production form with no corrugations on the barrel jacket, the final form of the muzzle attachment and the indirect-fire sight in position.

**Men of the Cheshire Regiment using their Vickers machine-guns on a range, in about 1940: note the water cans to retain evaporated steam from the barrel jacket.**
Jeeps are liberally armed with useful numbers by any nation, but in Berthier Light Machine Gun—Vickers—replace the Vickers machine-gun. After purchased licence rights on the type, features the design was not adopted in World War I. Despite some promising a French design produced just before machine-guns originally evolved from The Vickers-Berthier series of light produced for the Indian Army, The Vickers-Berthier Mk3B and South American states, and today Vickers-Berthier was often referred to as its standard light machine-gun and eventually a production line for this Vickers-Berthier Light Machine-Gun Mk 3 was established at Ishapore.

In general appearance and design the Vickers-Berthier light machine-gun was similar to the Bren Gun, but internally and in detail there were many differences. Thus at times the Vickers-Berthier was often referred to by observers as the Bren. Apart from the large Indian Army contract the were to a few Baltic and South American states, and today the Vickers-Berthier is one of the most known of all World War II machine guns. This is not because there was anything wrong with the type (it was a sound and reliable design) but because it had poor 'press' coverage and numerically was well outnumbered by the Bren Gun. But even today it remains in reserve use in India.

There was one Vickers-Berthier light machine-gun derivative that did, however, obtain a much better showing. This was a much-modified version of the basic design with a large drum magazine mounted above the receiver and a spade grip fixed to the rear where the butt would normally have been. This was a special design intended for open cockpit aircraft, and intended for use on a Scarff ring by the observer. Large numbers of this design were produced for the Royal Air Force, by whom it was known as the Vickers G.O (G.O. for gas operated) or Vickers K gun, but almost as soon as the type was introduced into service the open cockpit era came to a sudden close with the introduction of higher speed aircraft. The Vickers G.O. proved difficult to use in the close confines of aircraft turrets and impossible to use in wing installations, so it was placed almost immediately into store; some were used by the Fleet Air Arm on such aircraft as the Swordfish and thus remained in use until 1945 but their numbers were relatively few.

In 1940 many Vickers G.O. guns were taken out of store and widely used on various emergency mountings for anti-aircraft defences on airfields and other such installations. In North Africa the Vickers G.O. was avidly seized upon by the various irregular forces that sprang up for behind-the-lines operations, and the Vickers G.O. was thus used by such units as 'Popski's Private Army' on their heavily armed jeeps and trucks. The weapon proved ideal in the role and gave a good indication of how the original Vickers-Berthier machine-guns would have stood up under such conditions if they had been given the chance. The Vickers G.O. guns were used right until the end of the war in Italy and a few other theatres and they then passed right out of use, once more outnumbered by the more generally available Bren Guns.

The Vickers-Berthier Mk3B produced for the Indian Army, showing the overall clean lines and general resemblance to the Bren gun; the 30-round box magazine is not fitted.

Right: A patrol of the newly-formed SAS in North Africa in 1943; their Jeeps are liberally armed with Vickers-Berthier G.O. guns and they have 96-round drum magazines.

The Vickers-Berthier series of light machine-guns originally evolved from a French design produced just before World War I. Despite some promising features the design was not adopted in useful numbers by any nation, but in 1925 the British Vickers company purchased licence rights on the type, mainly to keep its Crayford production lines in being with a new model to replace the Vickers machine-gun. After a series of British army trials the type was adopted by the Indian army as its standard light machine-gun and eventually a production line for this Vickers-Berthier Light Machine-Gun Mk 3 was established at Ishapore.

In general appearance and design the Vickers-Berthier light machine-gun was similar to the Bren Gun, but internally and in detail there were many differences. Thus at times the Vickers-Berthier was often referred to by observers as the Bren. Apart from the large Indian Army contract the were to a few Baltic and South American states, and today the Vickers-Berthier is one of the most known of all World War II machine guns. This is not because there was anything wrong with the type (it was a sound and reliable design) but because it had poor 'press' coverage and numerically was well outnumbered by the Bren Gun. But even today it remains in reserve use in India.

There was one Vickers-Berthier light machine-gun derivative that did, however, obtain a much better showing. This was a much-modified version of the basic design with a large drum magazine mounted above the receiver and a spade grip fixed to the rear where the butt would normally have been. This was a special design intended for open cockpit aircraft, and intended for use on a Scarff ring by the observer. Large numbers of this design were produced for the Royal Air Force, by whom it was known as the Vickers G.O (G.O. for gas operated) or Vickers K gun, but almost as soon as the type was introduced into service the open cockpit era came to a sudden close with the introduction of higher speed aircraft. The Vickers G.O. proved difficult to use in the close confines of aircraft turrets and impossible to use in wing installations, so it was placed almost immediately into store; some were used by the Fleet Air Arm on such aircraft as the Swordfish and thus remained in use until 1945 but their numbers were relatively few.

In 1940 many Vickers G.O. guns were taken out of store and widely used on various emergency mountings for anti-aircraft defences on airfields and other such installations. In North Africa the Vickers G.O. was avidly seized upon by the various irregular forces that sprang up for behind-the-lines operations, and the Vickers G.O. was thus used by such units as 'Popski's Private Army' on their heavily armed jeeps and trucks. The weapon proved ideal in the role and gave a good indication of how the original Vickers-Berthier machine-guns would have stood up under such conditions if they had been given the chance. The Vickers G.O. guns were used right until the end of the war in Italy and a few other theatres and they then passed right out of use, once more outnumbered by the more generally available Bren Guns.

Above: The Vickers-Berthier Mk3B produced for the Indian Army, showing the overall clean lines and general resemblance to the Bren gun; the 30-round box magazine is not fitted.

Right: A patrol of the newly-formed SAS in North Africa in 1943; their Jeeps are liberally armed with Vickers-Berthier G.O. guns and they have 96-round drum magazines.

The Vickers-Berthier series of light machine-guns originally evolved from a French design produced just before World War I. Despite some promising features the design was not adopted in useful numbers by any nation, but in 1925 the British Vickers company purchased licence rights on the type, mainly to keep its Crayford production lines in being with a new model to replace the Vickers machine-gun. After a series of British army trials the type was adopted by the Indian army as its standard light machine-gun and eventually a production line for this Vickers-Berthier Light Machine-Gun Mk 3 was established at Ishapore.

In general appearance and design the Vickers-Berthier light machine-gun was similar to the Bren Gun, but internally and in detail there were many differences. Thus at times the Vickers-Berthier was often referred to by observers as the Bren. Apart from the large Indian Army contract the were to a few Baltic and South American states, and today the Vickers-Berthier is one of the most known of all World War II machine guns. This is not because there was anything wrong with the type (it was a sound and reliable design) but because it had poor 'press' coverage and numerically was well outnumbered by the Bren Gun. But even today it remains in reserve use in India.

There was one Vickers-Berthier light machine-gun derivative that did, however, obtain a much better showing. This was a much-modified version of the basic design with a large drum magazine mounted above the receiver and a spade grip fixed to the rear where the butt would normally have been. This was a special design intended for open cockpit aircraft, and intended for use on a Scarff ring by the observer. Large numbers of this design were produced for the Royal Air Force, by whom it was known as the Vickers G.O (G.O. for gas operated) or Vickers K gun, but almost as soon as the type was introduced into service the open cockpit era came to a sudden close with the introduction of higher speed aircraft. The Vickers G.O. proved difficult to use in the close confines of aircraft turrets and impossible to use in wing installations, so it was placed almost immediately into store; some were used by the Fleet Air Arm on such aircraft as the Swordfish and thus remained in use until 1945 but their numbers were relatively few.

In 1940 many Vickers G.O. guns were taken out of store and widely used on various emergency mountings for anti-aircraft defences on airfields and other such installations. In North Africa the Vickers G.O. was avidly seized upon by the various irregular forces that sprang up for behind-the-lines operations, and the Vickers G.O. was thus used by such units as 'Popski's Private Army' on their heavily armed jeeps and trucks. The weapon proved ideal in the role and gave a good indication of how the original Vickers-Berthier machine-guns would have stood up under such conditions if they had been given the chance. The Vickers G.O. guns were used right until the end of the war in Italy and a few other theatres and they then passed right out of use, once more outnumbered by the more generally available Bren Guns.

Specification
Vickers-Berthier Light Machine-Gun Mk3
Calibre: 7.7 mm (0.303 in)
Length: 1156 mm (45.5 in)
Weight: 11.1 kg (24.4 lb)
Muzzle velocity: 745 m (2,450 ft) per second
Rate of fire, cyclic: 450-600 rpm
Feed: 30-round box

This sepoy, carrying a Vickers-Berthier Mk3, is dressed in standard issue khaki drill, with two large pouches for spare magazines. The Indian Army was the major user of the Vickers-Berthier gun.

Maschinengewehr 34 general-purpose machine-gun

The term of the Versailles Treaty of 1919 specifically prohibited (by means of a special clause) the development of any form of sustained-fire weapon by Germany, but this provision was circumvented by the arms concern Rheinmetall-Borsig by the simple expedient of setting up a shadow concern under its control over the border at Solothurn in Switzerland during the early 1920s. Research carried out into air-cooled machine-gun designs resulted in a weapon that evolved into the Solothurn Modell 1930, an advanced design that introduced many of the features that were incorporated in later weapons. A few production orders were received, but it was felt by the Germans that something better was required and thus the Modell 1929 had only a short production run before being used as the starting point for an aircraft machine-gun, the Rheinmetall MG 15. This long remained in produc-
tion for the Luftwaffe.

From the Rheinmetall designs came what is still considered as one of the finest machine-gun designs ever produced, the Maschinengewehr 34 or MG 34. Mauser designers at the Oberndorf plant used the Modell 1929 and the MG 15 as starting points for what was to be a new breed of machinegun, the general-purpose machinegun. This new type could be carried by an infantry squad and fired from a bipod or mounted on a heavier tripod for sustained fire over long periods. The mechanism was of the all-in-line type and the barrel had a quick-change facility for cooling. The feed was of two types, using either the saddle-drum magazine holding 75 rounds inherited from the MG 15 or a belt feed. To add to all this technical innovation the MG 34 had a high rate of fire and could thus be effective against low-flying aircraft.

The MG 34 was an immediate success and went straight into production for all the various arms and auxiliaries of the German armed forces (and even the police). Demand for the MG 34 remained high right until 1945, and consistently outstripped supply. The supply situation was not aided by the number of mounts and gadgets that were introduced to go with the weapon. These varied from heavy tripods and twin mountings to expensive and complex fortress and tank mountings. There was even a periscope gadget to enable the weapon to fire from trenches. These accessories consumed a great deal of production potential to the detriment of gun production proper, but production of the MG 34 was in any case not aided by one fact and that was that the design was really too good for military use. It took too long to manufacture and involved too many complex and expensive machining processes. The result was a superb weapon, but actually using it was rather like using a Rolls-Royce car for ploughing a field - it was too good for the task. Thus the German forces found themselves using a weapon they could not afford in terms of production potential, while demands meant they had to keep production going until the end.

Variants of the basic model were the MG 34m with a heavier barrel jacket for use in AFVs, and the shorter MG 34s and MG 34/41 intended for use in the AA role and capable of automatic fire only. The overall length and barrel length of the two latter were about 1170 mm (46 in) and 560 mm (22 in) respectively.

### Specification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>MG34</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calibre</td>
<td>7.92 mm (0.31 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>1219 mm (48.0 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of barrel</td>
<td>627 mm (24.69 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>11.5 kg (25.4 lb) with bipod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muzzle velocity</td>
<td>755 m (2,475 ft) per second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of fire, cyclic</td>
<td>800-900 rpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed</td>
<td>50 round belt (five belt lengths), or 75-round saddle drum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Despite the overall excellence of the MG 34 it was really too good for its task in terms of cost and production requirements, so despite the establishment of a full production facility and constant demand, by 1940 the Mauser designers were looking for something simpler. With the production example of the 9-mm MP 40 sub-machine gun as an example in production simplicity and low cost, they decided to adopt new production methods using as few expensive machining processes as possible allied with new operating mechanisms. The new mechanisms came from a wide range of sources. Experience with the MG 34 had indicated how the feed could be revised, and designs captured when Poland was overrun appeared to promise a new and radical breech locking system. Other ideas came from Czechoslovakia, and the Mauser team also introduced its own ideas. From this wealth of innovation came a new design, the MG 39/41, and from a series of trials carried out with this design came the Maschinengewehr 42 or MG 42, a design that must rank among the finest of its kind.

The MG 42 introduced mass-production techniques to the machine-gun on a large scale. Earlier designs had used some simple sheet metal stampings and production short-cuts (one example being the little-known French Darne light machine-gun), but the harsh environment that the machine-gun has to endure meant that few had any success. On the MG 42 that success was immediate. Sheet metal stampings were extensively used for the receiver and for the barrel housing which incorporated an ingenious barrel-change system. The latter was very necessary for the MG 42 had a prodigious rate of fire that sounded like tearing linoleum. This was produced by the locking mechanism employed, a mechanism that was developed from several sources and was both simple and reliable. The system involved the use of two locking rollers running up and down an internal ramp: in the forward position they locked the breech very effectively by mechanical advantage and then allowed the ramp to release the locking. On the ammunition feed an arm on the bolt was used to pull the ammunition belts across into the receiver in a simple and very effective fashion. Only the 50-round belt was used with the MG 42.

These design details merged to form a very effective general-purpose machine-gun and as is related elsewhere the type was attached to a wide range of mounts and other accessories. The MG 42’s operational debut came in 1942, when it appeared in both the USSR and North Africa. Thereafter it was used on every front and in general, issue was made to front-line troops only, for though the MG 42 was intended to supplant the MG 34 it in fact only supplemented the earlier type. Not content with producing one of the finest machine-guns ever produced, the Mauser design team tried to go one better and came up with the MG 45 with an even higher rate of fire. The end of the war put paid to that design for the time being, but the MG 42 lives on with many armies.

Above: An MG 42 mounted on the Lafette 42 for the heavy machine-gun role; this heavy tripod could be quickly adapted for the anti-aircraft fire role.

Right: MG 42 awaiting invasion as part of a fortified position on the Atlantic Wall, complete with ammunition belt loaded and spare barrel at the ready by the ammunition case.

An MG 42 for use in the Light machine-gun role, with a bipod.

Specification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MG42</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calibre: 7.92 mm (0.31 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length: 1220 mm (48.03 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of barrel: 533 mm (20.98 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight: 11.5 kg (25.4 lb) with bipod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muzzle velocity: 755 m (2,475 ft) per second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of fire, cyclic: up to 1,550 rpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed: 50-round belt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An MG 42 for use in the Light machine-gun role, with a bipod.
If there has ever been one factor differentiating Russian and Soviet machine-gun designs from those of other nations it was the simple factor of weight. For many years these machine-guns were built to such a standard of robustness that weight alone was used as a means of incorporating strength, the ultimate example being the old M1910 Maxim guns that almost resembled small artillery pieces with their wheeled and shielded carriages. Eventually this avoidable trait was recognized by the Red Army when mobility made its way into long-term planning and by the mid-1930s, when a new heavy machine-gun was required, emphasis being placed more on design than sheer mass for strength.

The new heavy machine-gun was intended to be in the same class as the 12.7-mm (0.5-in) Browning, but the Soviet equivalent turned out to be slightly lighter. It used a 12.7-mm (0.5-in) cartridge and was intended for a variety of roles. To the credit of the new design, the DShK 1938 (in full the Krasnoi Pulemet Degtyereva-Shpagma obrazets 1938g), has proved to be almost as successful as the Browning, for it is still in production, albeit in a post-war modified form as the DShK 1938/46, and is still in widespread service.

If the DShK 1938 was lighter as a gun than the Browning the same could not be said of the mount, for as an infantry gun the DShK 1938 retained the old wheeled carriage of the M1910, but a special anti-aircraft tripod was introduced and is still in use. The type became a virtual fixture on most Soviet tanks from the JS-2 heavy tanks onwards, and the Czechs have produced a quadruple mounting with DShK 1938s for anti-aircraft use. There was even a special version for use on armoured trains.

The smaller SG43 was introduced during 1943 to replace earlier 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-guns, including the venerable M1910. During the initial phases of the German invasion of the USSR the Soviet forces lost huge amounts of material, including machine-guns, and if their new production facilities were to replace these losses they might as well be modern designs. Thus the Stankov Pulemet Goryunova obrazets 1943g came into being. It was a gas-operated and air-cooled design that combined several operating principles (including the well-established Browning principles), but overall the design was original and soon proved to be sound. As the SG43 the design was issued in very large numbers and even today the basic weapon is still in widespread use, albeit in a much modified and upgraded form as the SGM.

Both the SG43 and the larger DShK 1938 have the same basic operational simplicity. Working parts have been kept to a minimum and very little routine maintenance apart from simple cleaning is required. Both designs can operate under extremes of temperature and they are most forgiving of dirt and dust in the works. In other words both suit exactly the type of environment in which they will be used.

The SC 43 was designed by P.M. Goryunov in 1942 to provide a wartime replacement for the elderly Maxim Model 1910, and even used the old Maxim’s wheeled carriage.

The DegtyerevDP Model 1928 was a major Soviet light machine-gun during World War II. Simple and robust, the DP could stand rough treatment and extremes of weather. It can still be found in the hands of guerrilla groups all over the world.

Right: Similar in performance to the 12.7-mm (0.5-in) Browning, the DShK 38/46 is still in production and service.

### Specification

**DShK 1938**
- Calibre: 12.7 mm (0.5 in)
- Length: 1602 mm (63.1 in)
- Length of barrel: 1002 mm (39.45 in)
- Weight: 33.3 kg (73.5 lb)
- Muzzle velocity: 843 m (2,765 ft) per second
- Rate of fire, cyclic: 550–600 rpm
- Feed: 50-round metal-link belt (five belts joined)

**SG43**
- Calibre: 7.62 mm (0.3 in)
- Length: 1120 mm (44.1 in)
- Length of barrel: 719 mm (28.3 in)
- Weight: 13.8 kg (30.4 lb)
- Muzzle velocity: 863 m (2,830 ft) per second
- Rate of fire, cyclic: 500–640 rpm
- Feed: 50-round metal-link belt

**Maxim Model 1910**
- Calibre: 12.7 mm (0.5 in)
- Length: 1602 mm (63.1 in)
- Length of barrel: 1002 mm (39.45 in)
- Weight: 33.3 kg (73.5 lb)
- Muzzle velocity: 843 m (2,765 ft) per second
- Rate of fire, cyclic: 550–600 rpm
- Feed: 50-round metal-link belt
Allied and Axis Sub-Machine Guns

The sub-machine gun was bom out of the trenches of World War I. In the confined close-quarter fighting troops began to feel the need for some form of compact automatic weapon that would be less awkward to handle than a bayonetted rifle. Faced with this demand, manufacturers came up with the sub-machine gun.

The first to introduce what might be termed a sub-machine gun was the Italian Villar-Perosa, which, while often though to be the first sub-machine gun, was in many ways a blind alley, for the Villar-Perosa was used only as a light machine-gun. The first true example of what was to be termed the machine-pistol or sub-machine gun was in fact the German MPI8. This appeared in front-line service in 1918 and still remains the best example of all the attributes of the sub-machine gun.

The MPI8 used a pistol cartridge (a small, relatively low-powered charge firing a small but heavy bullet). A hand-held weapon was to be used to fire fully automatically; the round fired had to be light and the pistol cartridge was the obvious choice. The MPI8 fired the 9mm Parabellum cartridge and in the years that followed this became an almost universal choice for most designs. Using a pistol cartridge also allowed the employment of an operating principle that had long been used on automatic pistols, the blow-back principle.

The MPI8 used a small, relatively low-powered charge firing a small but heavy bullet. If a hand-held weapon was to be used to fire fully automatically the round fired had to be light and the pistol cartridge was the obvious choice. The MPI8 fired the 9mm Parabellum cartridge and in the years that followed this became an almost universal choice for most designs. Using a pistol cartridge also allowed the employment of an operating principle that had long been used on automatic pistols, the blow-back principle.

The blow-back principle is very simple. On the MPI8 the magazine was fitted and the gun cocked by using a side-mounted lever in a slot. When the trigger was pulled it released the breech block to move forward under the energy from a large spring. As it moved forward the breech block picked up a cartridge from the feed, pushed it into the barrel chamber and once the round and breech block were in position the firing pin fired the cartridge. The recoil forces produced by the cartridge were initially overcome by the forward energy produced by the mass of the breech block and the spring, but the block remained in place long enough to lock the system until the recoil forces were able to push back the breech block and its spring to their original condition. If the trigger was still pulled, the cycle began again and went on until the trigger was released.

If this simple operating principle was ever abandoned, the result was usually less than satisfactory, for the mechanism would be overcomplex and would have more pieces to break or jam. But if the operating system could be kept simple and light, and the MPI8 was light enough to be carried and used by one man, the overall concept could be kept simple. At first this was not always realized as gunsmiths lavished their considerable skills on many of the early sub-machine gun types. With the changing requirements of World War II it did not take long for the frills to be ditched in the rush to produce serviceable weapons. The resultant sub-machine guns were horrid to look at, the obvious examples being the British Sten and the American M3. But these types lent themselves to rapid and simple mass production. Welding took the place of machining from solid metal, pins took the place of time-consuming jointing methods, rivets took the place of screws and so on. At first the front-line soldiers looked askance at such products but they soon learned that they worked. Those crude weapons could produce as lethal a stream of lead as many of the more refined designs, they were easy to use, easy to maintain and their ammunition was usually easy to procure, often from the enemy.

The sub-machine gun is still with us now, in many refined forms; but close examination will usually reveal the shadow of the basic MPI8 lurking in its interior. Designs such as the Sten, the M3, the German MP38 and the Soviet PPSh-41 all had their part to play during World War II, and their impact will be with us for years to come.
The Czech ZK 383 is one of those sub-machine guns that is now little known in the West for the simple reason that it was little used outside Eastern Europe and its combat use was mainly limited to the war against the Soviet Union. However, the ZK 383 was a very important weapon type for its time and it was considered good enough to stay in production from the late 1930s until 1948.

First designed during the early 1930s, the ZK 383 went into production

The Czech ZK 383 was very well made from machined parts and had such luxuries as a bipod and a variable rate of fire. There was even a quick-change barrel. The bulk of these weapons was later produced for the German Waffen SS, who found it a heavy but reliable weapon.

Above: The Owen sub-machine gun was a sturdy and reliable weapon that soon gained itself a high reputation. The example here is one of the early production models.

Right: The Australian Owen sub-machine gun's most prominent recognition feature was the vertically-mounted box magazine. The example shown here is one of the early production models.

Production of the Owen ceased in 1945 but in 1952 many were virtually rebuilt and provision was made for a long bayonet to be fitted to the muzzle; some versions made in 1943 used a much shorter bayonet that fitted over the muzzle with an almost unique tubular mount but they were not widely issued.

Specification:
Calibre: 9 mm
Length: 813 mm (32 in)
Length of barrel: 250 mm (9.84 in)
Weight loaded: 4.815 kg (10.6 lb)
Magazine: 33-round vertical box
Rate of fire, cyclic: 700 rpm
Muzzle velocity: 420 m (1,380 ft) per second
The Suomi m/1931 is now little known but in its day it was one of the most sought-after and admired sub-machine guns produced anywhere. The design of this weapon went back to the early 1920s and was almost certainly influenced by some German weapon designers who used Finland as a means of escaping the turmoil and uproar of post-war Germany. Using the influence and advice of such Germans the Finns gradually produced a series of very sound and effective sub-machine guns that resulted in the m/31.

As sub-machine gun designs go there is little remarkable with the m/1931, for it used a conventional blow-back action and an orthodox layout. Where it did score over many existing designs was that it was extremely well made, almost to the point of lavishness in the quality of material used and the excellence of the machining, and the other point was the feed systems employed. The feed systems used a number of magazines that were so effective that they were extensively copied later, even by the Soviets who normally preferred their home-produced designs. There were two main versions, one a 50-round vertical box magazine, the fire rate being altered by the addition or subtraction of a small 0.17-kg (0.37-lb) weight to the breech block - with the weight removed the breech block could move faster and thus the rate of fire could be increased. The slower rate of fire was used when the ZK 383 was used with its bipod as a light machine gun, and the faster fire rate when the ZK was carried as an assault weapon. But that was only the Czech army's point of view, and the feature does not appear to have been used much by the other customers for the weapon. The Bulgarian army adopted the type as their standard sub-machine gun (it used the ZK 383 until at least the early 1960s), but by far the largest number of ZK 383s were produced after 1939 for the German army. When they took over Czechoslovakia in 1939 the Germans found the ZK 383 production line still intact, and it was a sensible move as far as they were concerned to keep it intact for their own uses. The Brno factory was taken over for SS weapon production and thus the ZK 383 output was diverted to the Waffen SS, who used the weapon only on the Eastern Front. The Waffen SS examples were all known as the vz 9 (vz for vzor, the Czech for model) and the Waffen SS found it effective enough for it to become one of their standard weapons. Numbers were kept in Czechoslovakia for use by the Czech civil police who had their own version, the ZK 383P which was produced without the bipod.

The only nations other than Czechoslovakia, Bulgaria and Germany that purchased the ZK 383 were Brazil and Venezuela, and even then the numbers involved were not large. Apart from the use in Eastern Europe the ZK 383 had few points to attract attention and in many ways it was too complicated for the role it was called upon to play. The Czech army's predilection for the design as a light machine-gun led to all manner of detail extras that the weapon did not need. The dual rate of fire feature has already been mentioned, as has the bipod, but the sub-machine gun does not really need a complex barrel-change mechanism, an all-machined mechanism made from the finest steels available or an angled breech block return spring angled into the butt. The ZK 383 had all these, making it a very reliable sound weapon but one that was really too complex for its role.

**Specification:**
- **Calibre:** 9 mm
- **Length:** 875 mm (34.45 in)
- **Length of barrel:** 325 mm (12.8 in)
- **Weight loaded:** 3.5 kg (7.72 lb)
- **Magazine:** 30-round box
- **Rate of fire, cyclic:** 500 or 700 rpm
- **Muzzle velocity:** 350 m (1,150 ft) per second

### Above: The Suomi m/1931 was one of the most well-manufactured sub-machine guns ever made, for practically every part was machined from solid metal.

### Right: The Suomi m/1931 in action, fitted with the 71-round magazine. Unlike many other sub-machine guns the m/1931 had a long barrel that was accurate enough for aimed fire at most combat ranges.

### m/1931 has kept appearing up all over the place whenever conflicts arise. It is still in limited service in Scandinavia to this day and this longevity can be explained by two simple factors. One is that the m/1931 is so well made that it just will not wear out. The same sound manufacture also explain the reliability, for the m/1931 is one of those weapons that will work under any conditions without ever seeming to go wrong, and as mentioned above the feed system for the ammunition is almost legendary in its reliability. These two factors alone explain the high regard shown to the m/1931 in the past, but there was another factor. When the m/1931 was produced no pains were spared on detail machining and such care was taken on this that the whole of the gun, the body and bolt included, were machined from the solid metal, Consequently the gun was, and still is, very accurate for its type. Most sub-machine gun types are accurate only to a few yards and most are almost useless at range over 50 m (55 yards). The m/1931 can be used accurately at ranges up to 300 m (330 yards). In relative numbers few were used during World War II but the influence of the design can be detected in many war-time models. The design was licence-produced in Switzerland for the Swiss army during 1943.
In 1940, with the Dunkirk evacuation completed, the Royal Air Force decided to adopt some form of sub-machine gun for airfield defence. With no time to spare for the development of a new weapon it decided to adopt a direct copy of the German MP28, examples of which were to hand for the necessary copying. The period was so desperate that the Admiralty decided to join the RAF in adopting the new weapon; by a series of convoluted happenings the Admiralty alone actually took the resultant design into service.

The British MP28 copy was given the general designation Lanchester after one George Lanchester, who was charged with producing the weapon at the Sterling Armament Company at Dagenham, the same company that later went on to produce the Sterling sub-machine gun that is now the general standard weapon for so many armed forces. The Lanchester emerged as a sound, sturdy weapon that in many ways was ideal for the type of operations required of it by boarding and raiding parties. It was a very solid weapon, in many ways the complete opposite of its direct contemporary the Sten, for the Lanchester was a soundly engineered piece of weaponry with all the trimmings of a former era. Nothing was left off from the gunsmith's art. The Lanchester had a well-machined wooden butt and stock, the blow-back mechanism was very well made of the finest materials, the breech block well machined, and, to cap it all, the magazine housing was made from solid brass. A few typical British design details were added, such as a mounting on the muzzle for a long-bladed British bayonet (very useful in boarding party situations) and the rilling differed from the German original in details to accommodate the different types of ammunition the Lanchester had to use.

The magazine for the Lanchester was straight and carried a useful load of 50 rounds. Stripping was aided by a catch on top of the receiver and the very first models could fire either single-shot or automatic. That model was the Lanchester Mk I but on the Lanchester Mk I* this was changed to full automatic fire only, and many Mk I* were converted to Mk I standard at RN workshops.

The Lanchester was an unashamed copy of a German design but it gave good service to the Royal Navy throughout the war and for many years after. Many old sailors still speak of the Lanchester with respect; not with affection, for it was a heavy weapon and it had one rather off-putting feature: if the butt was given a hard knock or jar while the gun was cocked and loaded it would fire. The last example left Royal Navy use during the 1960s and the type is now a collector's item.

The MAS 38 was a sound, advanced weapon. Unfortunately for its future prospects, it fired an underpowered cartridge available only in France, and was complicated to manufacture.

Above: Obviously based on the German MP 28, the Lanchester was ideally suited to the rough-and-tumble of shipboard life. I had a one-piece wooden stock based on the outline of the Lee-Enfield No. 1 Mk 3 rifle and there was a bayonet lug under the muzzle. The brass magazine housing can be seen.

Right: Lanchesters in a typical naval environment as captured U-boat personnel are escorted ashore in a Canadian port - the blindfolds were a normal procedure. The Lanchesters are carried using Lee-Enfield rifle slings.

**MAS Model 1938**

Often quoted as the MAS 38, this French sub-machine gun was first produced at St Etienne in 1938, hence the model number. The MAS 38 was the outcome of a long period of development, and was the follow-on from a model produced in 1935. But it must be stated that the development period was well spent, for the MAS 38 proved to be a sound enough weapon well in advance of its period. There were some rather odd features about the MAS 38, however. One was that it was rather complicated and another that it fired a cartridge produced only in France. Both these features can be explained by the period when it was designed. At that time there appeared to be no reason to make the weapon as simple as possible for existing production methods seemed adequate to churn out the numbers required, and at the time such numbers were not very high. The calibre can be explained by the fact that it was available at the time and so the MAS 38 had a calibre of 7.65mm and used a cartridge available only in France, the 7.65-mm Long. While this cartridge was accurate it was not very powerful, and had the disadvantage that no-one else was likely to adopt it once the 9-mm calibre had been universally adopted.

The MAS 38 has a complex mechanism with a long bolt travel that was partially off-set by having the gun body sloping down into the solid wooden butt. The cocking handle was separate from the body of the weapon. The magazine was a soundly engineered piece of weaponry with all the trimmings of a former era.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calibre: 9 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length: 851 mm (33.50 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of barrel: 203 mm (8.00 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight empty: 4.34 kg (9.57 lb)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Magazine:** 50-round box

Rate of fire, cyclic: 600 rpm

Muzzle velocity: about 380 m (1,245 ft) per second
from the bolt once firing started, a good feature but one which introduced complexity into the design and manufacture. Another good point was a flap over the magazine housing that closed as the magazine was withdrawn. While this kept out dust and dirt very few others had this feature and most of them managed to work perfectly well without it.

In fact the MAS 38 turned out to be rather too good for the customer, who at first decided that it did not want a sub-machine gun after all. The French army turned down the weapon when it was first offered, and the first production examples went to some of the more para-military members of one of the French police forces. When hostilities did start in 1939, the French army soon changed its mind and ordered large quantities, but the complex machining that went into the MAS 38 resulted in a slow rate of introduction into service, and the French army was driven to ordering numbers of Thompson sub-machine guns from the USA. These arrived too late to make any difference to the events of 1940 and the French army capitulated. When the French forces rearmed under the Vichy regime the MAS 38 was kept in production, and in fact the weapon was kept in production until 1949, and it was used in the Indo-China War.

The MAS 38 never got the recognition it deserved. It was rather too complicated, fired an odd cartridge and it was never possible to produce it in quantity when it was required. Consequently it is now little known outside France and few, if any, modern weapon designs owe anything to its influence. The only armies to use the MAS 38, other than some of the ex-French colonies, were the Germans who captured enough in 1940 to issue them to their garrison force stationed in France.

**Steyr-Solothurn S1-100**

Although the Steyr-Solothurn is described as a Swiss weapon, for it was mainly produced in Switzerland, it was originally an Austrian design produced by Steyr who took over the Swiss Solothurn concern to produce weapon designs at a time when they were forbidden to do so by the terms of the 1919 Versailles Treaty. Even then the design was originally German (actually a Rheinmetall product) but had been switched to Austria for full development during the 1920s.

In its full production form this sub-machine gun was known as the Steyr-Solothurn S1-100 and by 1930 the design was being produced mainly for export purposes. As with so many other designs of the period, it was based on the general outlines and principles of the German MP18 but by the time the Swiss manufacturers had finished with their development the design had reached a high point of refinement and detail manufacture. The S1-100 was an excellent product that was robust, reliable and adaptable, for the export market meant that the model had to be produced in a whole host of calibres and with a seemingly endless string of accessories and extras.

The S1-100 was produced in no less than three separate variations of the 9-mm calibre. Apart from the usual 9-mm Parabellum, the weapon was produced in 9-mm Mauser and 9-mm Bergmann. The Steyr, the latter specially produced for the S1-100, Exports to China, Japan and South America being produced in 7.63-mm Mauser calibre, and the Portuguese purchased a large batch chambered for the 7.65-mm Parabellum cartridge. The extras were many and varied, with perhaps the most outlandish being a tripod to convert the weapon into what must have been a rather ineffective light machine gun, though some of these were sold to China during the mid-1930s. There were also various forms of bayonet-securing devices and several barrel lengths were produced, some of them very long indeed for what were only pistol cartridges. Another Steyr-Solothurn selling ploy was to present the SI-100 to a customer packed in individually-fitted chests containing not only the weapon but all manner of special magazines, special cleaning tools, spare parts, etc.

By the mid-1930s the SI-100 was the standard sub-machine gun of the Austrian army and police force, and when the Germans took over the state in 1938 they also took over the Austrian army armoury. Thus the SI-100 became the German MP34(o), which must have caused some confusion with the previously mentioned Bergmann MP 34. After a short period of front-line German service the confusion of no less than three types of 9-mm ammunition to be supplied for the type was too much even for the adaptable German army supply network and the MP34(o) was relegated to German military police use; it was also retained by what was left of the Austrian police forces.

Today the SI-100 is still used in odd corners of the world, but only in very small numbers. Perhaps the most combat seen by the type was in China where at one point the SI-100 was in use by both the Chinese and Japanese armies. The latter even produced their own copy at one point and used some of the design’s features as the basis for their own 8-mm Type 100.

**Specification:**
- **Calibre:** 7.65 mm
- **Length:** 263 mm (10.36 in)
- **Length of barrel:** 224 mm (8.82 in)
- **Weight loaded:** 3.356 kg (7.59 lb)
- **Magazine:** 32-round box
- **Rate of fire, cyclic:** 600 rpm
- **Muzzle velocity:** 350 m (1,150 ft) per second

Above: The Steyr-Solothurn SI-100 was an Austrian version of the German MP18 produced during the 1920s and 1930s mainly for commercial sale on the export market. The type was well made and could be supplied with a range of accessories including tripods, bayonets and oversize magazines.

Right: The Steyr-Solothurn SI-100 is seen herein a drill-book position, mainly because the picture has been taken from a German manual produced for the type after the Germans had taken over Austria and its arsenal during 1938.
The Japanese were surprisingly late on the sub-machine gun design scene, a fact made all the more remarkable considering their experience gained in the protracted campaigns in China before 1941 and the number of different overseas designs imported for service use or examination. It was not until 1942 that the first example of what had been several years of low-priority development left the Nambu production lines in the form of the Type 100, a sound but unremarkable design that was to be the only sub-machine gun the Japanese produced and used in any numbers.

The Type 100 was moderately well made but had several rather odd features. One was the use of a complex ammunition feed device that ensured that a round was fully chambered before the firing pin would operate. The exact purpose of this feature is rather uncertain (other than the safety aspect for the firer) for the cartridge used by all the Type 100 variants was the underpowered 8-mm Japanese pistol round, a rather weak and ineffective choice that was not aided by its being a bottle-shaped round that must have added its own feed complexities. The Type 100's barrel was chrome-plated from the perforated jacket and had a little more than aiming posts and the large muzzle lug for a bayonet was replaced by a simpler fitting. At the muzzle, the barrel protruded more from the perforated jacket and had a simple muzzle brake formed by two ports drilled in the barrel. Welding, often rough, was used wherever possible. The result was a much cruder weapon compared with the earlier version, but one that was sound enough for its purpose.

The main problem for the Japanese by 1944 lay not so much in the fact that the Type 100 was not good enough, but that the Japanese lacked the industrial capacity to turn out the huge numbers demanded. Consequently the Japanese troops had to fight their last-ditch defensive campaigns at a permanent disadvantage against the better-armed Allied troops.

Specification:
Type 100 (1944 version)
Calibre: 8 mm
Length: 900 mm (35.43 in)
Length of barrel: 230 mm (9.06 in)
Weight loaded: 4.4 kg (9.70 lb)
Magazine: 30-round curved box
Rate of fire, cyclic: 800 rpm
Muzzle velocity: 335 m (1,100 ft) per second

In accounts of the American sub-machine gun scene between 1939 and 1945 one weapon is often not mentioned at all, and that is the sub-machine gun known under a number of names but usually called the UD M'42. This weapon was designed in days just prior to World War II as a commercial venture in 9-mm calibre, was ordered under rather odd circumstances by an organization known as the United Defense Supply Corporation, a US government body that ordered all manner of items for overseas, but the main point of its existence was that it was an American secret service 'front' for all forms of underground activities.

Exactly why the United Defense (hence UD) concern ordered the design that was produced by the Marlin Firearms Company is now not known, but the name 'Mariin' was subsequently often given to the weapon that became the UD M'42. The general impression given at the time was that the weapons were to be shipped to Europe for use by some underground organizations working for the US in-
terest, but events in Europe overtook the scheme. Some UD M42s were certainly sent to the Dutch East Indies before the Japanese invasion of the area, but they vanished without trace.

Most of the UD M42s did find their way to Europe but in some very odd hands. Most were handed out to some of the numerous resistance and partisan groups that sprang up around and in the German- and Italian-occupied areas of the Mediterranean Sea. There they took part in some very odd actions, the most famous of which was when British agents kidnapped a German general on Crete. Other actions were just as dramatic but often took place so far from the public gaze that today these actions and the part the UD M42 took in them are virtually forgotten.

This is perhaps a pity for many weapon authorities now regard the UD M42 as one of the finest sub-machine gun types used in World War II. Being made on a commercial and not a military basis it was well machined and very strong. The action was smooth and the gun very accurate, and by all accounts it was a joy to handle. It could withstand all manner of ill-treatment (including immersion in mud and water) and still work.

After all these years it now seems very unlikely that the full service record of the UD M42 will ever be told, but at least the very existence of the weapon should be better known.

**Specification:**
- Calibre: 9 mm
- Length: 807 mm (31.75 in)
- Weight: 5.4 kg (12 lb)
- Magazine: 30-round box
- Rate of fire: 700 rpm
- Muzzle velocity: 400 m (1,310 ft) per second

The American M3 'Crease Gun' was the equivalent of the British Sten and the German MP40, for it was designed for mass production. It was a sound enough weapon but the American troops never really took to the type, preferring the Thompson.

**M3 and M3A1**

By the beginning of 1941, although the United States was not yet directly involved in World War II, the American military authorities had acknowledged that the sub-machine gun had a definite role to perform on the modern battlefield. They already had to hand numbers of Thompson guns and more were on their way, but the appearance of the German MP38 and the British Sten indicated the production methods that could be employed in future mass-produced designs. Using an imported Sten, the US Army Ordnance Board initiated a design study to produce an American Sten-type weapon. The study was handed over to a team of specialists who included the same George Hyde who had developed the Hyde M2 and to executives from General Motors, to whom the mass-production aspects were entrusted. In a very short time they had designed a weapon and development models were produced for trials.

The first of these models was handed over for trials just before Pearl Harbor brought the United States into World War II. As a result the project got a higher priority and it was not long before the design was issued with the designation M3. The M3 was just as unpleasant-looking as the Sten. Construction was all-metal with most parts simple steel stampings welded into place. Only the barrel, breech block and parts of the trigger mechanism required any machining. A telescopic wire butt was fitted and the design was simple to the point that there was no safety system fitted and the gun could fire fully-automatic only. The main gun body was tubular and below it hung a long 30-round box magazine. An awkwardly placed and flimsy cocking handle was placed just forward of the trigger on the right-hand side, and the cartridge ejection port was under a hinged cover. The barrel screwed into the tubular body. Sights were very rudimentary and there were no luxuries such as sling swivels.

The M3 was rushed into production and once issued to the troops it soon ran into acceptance troubles. The very appearance of the weapon soon provided it with the nickname of 'Crease Gun' and it was regarded with about as much affection. But once in action it soon showed itself to be effective, but the rush into production on lines that were more used to producing motor car and lorry components led to all manner of in-service problems. The cocking handles broke off, the wire stocks bent in use, some important parts of the mechanism broke because they were made of too soft a metal, and on. Consequently the M3 received more than its fair share of in-service development and modification, but what was more important at the time, it rolled off the production lines in huge numbers for issue to the troops at the front.

The M3 never overcame the initial reception its appearance engendered. Whenever possible the troops in the front line opted for the Thompson M1 or used captured German MP38s and MP40s, but in the Pacific there was often no choice other than to use the M3 and when this happened the design often gained grudging acceptance. For some arms of the US forces the M3 became a virtual blanket weapon. For both the M3 was easy to stow and easy to handle in close confines.

From the outset the M3 had been designed to have the capability of being rapidly converted to 9-mm calibre by simply changing the barrel, magazine and breech block. This facility was sometimes employed in Europe when the M3 was dropped to resistance forces. A silenced variant of the M3 was produced in small numbers.

Simple as the M3 was to produce it was decided in 1944 to make it even simpler. The result of combat experience allied with production know-how resulted in the M3A1, which followed the same general lines as the M3 but with some quite substantial changes. Part of the most important item was that the ejection cover was enlarged to the point where the full breech block travel was exposed. This enabled the firer to place his finger into a recess in the block to pull the block to the rear for cocking, thus doing away with the awkward and flimsy cocking handle. A flash hider was added to the muzzle and some other minor changes were incorporated. The M3A1 was still in production when the war ended, by which time it had been decided to phase out the Thompson guns in favour of the M3 and M3A1.

Apart from the appearance problem, the M3 guns were not perfect weapons. They were rather prone to breakdowns, the ammunition feed was often far from perfect and the lack of a safety often gave rise to alarm, But it worked and it was available, and in war those two factors are more important than hankering after the something that might be better. Thus the M3 and M3A1 were used wherever the US Military went, and that was all over the world.

**Specification:**
- Calibre: 0.45 in (11.43 mm) or 9 mm
- Length, butt retracted: 745 mm (29.33 in)
- Weight, butt retracted: 570 mm (22.44 in)
- Weight loaded: 4.65 kg (10.25 lb)
- Magazine: 30-round box
- Rate of fire: 350–450 rpm
- Muzzle velocity: 380 m (1,250 ft) per second

Unpopular with its users in Europe, the ‘Crease Gun’ gained acceptance in the Pacific, where there was no alternative weapon.
The Cunt's Compensator was intended to divert some muzzle gases upwards to keep them muzzle down when firing, but was of limited value and complex to manufacture and so was left off on later models.

In close-range fighting such as street and house-to-house combat the sub-machine gun was the ideal weapon, and the robustness of the M1 and M1A1 variants of the Thompson added to the type's considerable popularity with the CIs. Many can be very few who have not heard of or seen some pictures of the Thompson sub-machine gun at some time or another. Known universally as the 'Tommy Gun' the Thompson has even provided the sub-machine gun with a nickname, for to the lay public all sub-machine guns are Tommy Guns. Hollywood has done much to administer this fame but the story of the Thompson guns goes back to 1918.

In that year the US Army was embroiled in the trench warfare of the Western Front, a need becoming apparent for some form of 'trench broom' to sweep the trenches clear of an enemy. Since this 'sweeping' had to be carried out at short ranges a powerful cartridge was not necessary and a pistol cartridge was all that was deemed necessary. The German army had drawn the same conclusions and produced the MP18, but on the American side one General John Thompson initiated the development of an automatic weapon using the standard 0.45-m pistol cartridge. The first examples used a belt feed but this was later changed to a two-hand weapon of the type soon known as a sub-machine gun, and with a box magazine.

By the time the first examples were produced World War I was over and all development for the next two decades was carried out on a commercial basis. The Thompson Gun, as it was soon labelled, went through a long chain of different models. Military sales were few, other than small batches to the US Army and US Navy, but it was with the coming of Prohibition in the USA that the weapon gained its public notoriety. The gang warfare that mushroomed throughout the American underworld soon found the Thompson a most useful weapon, and when Hollywood started to make gangster films the gun became famous overnight. Gradually police forces started to purchase Thompson guns, and the type became more generally accepted. Even then, military sales were few until 1928 when the US forces started to purchase some large batches.

The Thompson M1928 was a complex piece of gunsmithing with a complicated blow-back mechanism and a choice of a large 50-round drum magazine and 20- or 30-round vertical box magazines. Just maintaining the M1928 was quite a task. There were many variations between different models, which did nothing to endear the type to the military supply systems, and it was not until 1940 that sales really started to build up.

In 1940 several European nations were clamouring for Thompson guns. The unexpected employment by the Germans of sub-machine guns on a large scale produced requests for similar weapons from all the European combatants, and the Thompson was...
The original Thompson guns used a separate firing pin struck by a hammer, but this was really too complex for the task and later models used a fixed firing pin.

The M1928 originally had a 50-m (165-ft) open sight and a further long-range sight optimistically calibrated up to 550m (1800ft). The latter sight was of doubtful value.

The MI928A1 was essentially the same weapon as the MI but had a fixed firing pin and hammer, making the type a virtual blow-back design. It was the last production version of the famous Thompson family of weapons and retained the overall appearance and aura of the original.

Above: A New Zealander armed with an M1928 during the Casino campaign. This particular model is the M1928A1, a military version fitted with a horizontal foregrip in place of the original forward pistol grip. The M1928A1 also had some of the commercial refinements removed as well, and the 20- or 30-round box magazine was used instead of the larger drum magazine.

Right: The MIAI was essentially the same weapon as the MI but had a fixed firing pin and hammer, making the type a virtual blow-back design. It was the last production version of the famous Thompson family of weapons and retained the overall appearance and aura of the original.
The Reising Model 50 and the later Model 55 are two more examples of how things can go wrong when the basic blow-back action used on the sub-machine gun is ignored and replaced with something that seems to offer a better action. On the Reising Model 50, which was first produced in 1940, the basic action was altered so that instead of the breech block moving forward to the chamber when the trigger was pulled, the action operated when the bolt was forward with a round in the chamber. This action can work quite well but it needs a system of levers to operate the firing pin in the breech block and these levers have to disengage once the breech block moves. This adds complexity and cost and adds something to the system, which can break.

Thus it was with the Reising Model 50. The design was the result of a commercial venture and was thus not so influenced by military considerations as would have been the case a few years later, but the Model 50 was a well-made design with an unusual system of cocking the weapon by means of a small catch sliding in a slot under the fore-stock. This left the top of the gun body free of many of the usual hazards such as the cocking slot that usually provides an ingress for dirt to clog the system. But on the Model 50 all that happened was that the dirt got into the slot underneath and was difficult to clean out, thus providing one source of potential bother. From the outside the Model 50 looked a fairly simple weapon but the internal arrangements were complex to the point where there was too much to go wrong, hence there were more stoppages and general unreliability.

When the Reising Model 50 was first offered to the US forces the US Marine Corps was some way down the list of priorities, a position it was later dramatically to reverse, so in the absence of any other source of sub-machine guns it obtained a number of these. Once the USMC had the Model 50 it soon found the weapon wanting and obtained other weapon types. Some Model 50s were obtained by a British Purchasing Commission but few were involved and some others went to Canada. Yet more were sent to the Soviet Union and by 1945 the Model 50 was still in production and over 100,000 had been made, a modest enough total but well worthwhile as far as the manufacturers were concerned.

Some of this total was made up by the Model 55 which was the same as the Model 50 other than that the all-wood stock of the Model 50 was replaced by a folding wire butt for use by the police and other such units. The Model 55 was no more successful than the Model 50.

The Reising Model 50 was one of the last successful of all American sub-machine guns to see service, for it employed a complex mechanism that allowed ingress of dirt and other debris to jam the weapon to an unacceptable extent.

**Specification:**
- **Model 50**
  - Calibre: 0.45 in (11.43 mm)
  - Length: 857 mm (33.75 in)
  - Length of barrel: 279 mm (11.00 in)
  - Weight loaded: 3.7 kg (8.16 lb)
  - Weight unloaded: 3.1 kg (6.80 lb)
  - Magazine: 12- or 20-round box
  - Rate of fire, cyclic: 600 rpm
  - Muzzle velocity: 320 m (1,050 ft) per second

The German MP28 was a revised model of the original MP18. It retained the general outline of the MP18 but was able to fire either single shot or full automatic.

**Example for other designers to follow.**

With the MP18 the sub-machine gun design was virtually 'frozen' and the basic concept remains unchanged to this day.

**Specification:**
- **MP18**
  - Calibre: 9mm
  - Length: 815 mm (32.09 in)
  - Length of barrel: 200 mm (7.87 in)
  - Weight loaded: 3.245 kg (7.16 lb)
  - Weight unloaded: 3.045 kg (6.71 lb)
  - Magazine: 32-round snail, later 20- or 24-round box
  - Rate of fire, cyclic: 350-450 rpm
  - Muzzle velocity: 365 m (1,200 ft) per second

**Germany**

**MP18 and MP28**

Although it was preceded in the time scale by the Italian Villar Perosa, the MP18 can be considered as the father of the modern sub-machine gun. In both the general concept, operating principle and all-round appearance the MP18 embodied all the features that have become commonplace, and even today many sub-machine gun designs are no more than gradual improvements on the basic MP18.

The design of the MP18 began on a low priority in 1916 to provide frontline troops with some form of rapid-fire low-range weapon. The designer was the man whose name later came to be synonymous with the sub-machine gun, namely Hugo Schmeisser. It was not until 1918 that large numbers of the new weapon, known to the Germans as a Maschinengewehr, were issued to the troops on the Western Front to be used in the gigantic offensives that were intended to win the war for the Germans. The offensives were unsuccessful, and the MP18 had little more than local impact, the lessons to be learned from the design being largely ignored outside Germany and the few troops who had opportunity to fight with the weapon.

The MP18 was a simple blow-back weapon firing the classic 9-mm Parabellum round that became the common projectile for nearly all weapons to come. Considering later designs the MP18 was very well made, with a solid wooden stock and a 32-round 'snail' magazine (intended originally for the famous Luger pistol) mounted in a housing on the left of the gun body. The barrel was covered by a prominent perforated jacket to aid barrel cooling after firing, and the weapon fired on full automatic only. In its intended role of trench fighting it was a great success, but too many front-line commanders attempted to use it as a form of light machine-gun and were thus disillusioned with the MP18s performance. Consequently the MP18 had a mixed reception other than with the storm-troopers in the front assault waves, who found it invaluable at close quarters.

When Germany was disarmed after 1919 the MP18 was passed to the German police in an attempt to keep the concept alive. Numbers were also handed over to the French army who used them (but so little) that they were still 'on the stocks' in 1939. In German police service they were modified during the 1920s to replace the Luger 'snail' magazine with a simple inline box magazine that again became the virtual prototype of what was to follow. In 1928 the MP18 was placed back into limited production in Germany, this time as the MP28, with new sights, a single-shot fire feature, some small internal changes on the breech block and all manner of extras such as the mounting for a bayonet. The MP28 had the new box magazine as standard and the type was produced in Belgium, Spain and elsewhere for export all over the world, with China being one of the largest markets. Others went to South America and one batch, produced in the 7.65-mm calibre, was sold to Portugal.

By 1939 there were still appreciable numbers of MP18s and MP28s around, and the design went to war in Europe once again. By 1945 the weapons were still being encountered not only in the hands of the Germans but also in the hands of resistance forces and the many partisan forces.

Perhaps the greatest importance of the MP18 and the MP28 was not in their use as weapons, although they were successful enough in that, but in their
At first sight the MP34 and MP35 appeared to be direct copies of the MP18 and MP28, but there were in reality many differences. Easily missed at first glance was that on the MP34 and MP35 the magazine protrudes from the right hand side of the gun body instead of on the left as with the MP18 and MP28. Another detail difference was the trigger mechanism, which on the MP34 and MP35 relied on a double-pressure system for control of rate of fire. A simple light pressure on the trigger produced single shots, while a full pressure on the trigger provided automatic fire.

The MP34 was designed by the Bergmann brothers, who almost undoubtedly used the MP18 as a basis on which to improve. As they had few facilities in Germany the brothers produced their first example in Denmark and only later was production switched to Germany. The first models were the MP34 but later improvements led to the MP35, which was produced in considerably greater numbers. At first production was slow, with sales being made to such nations as Ethiopia and Sweden, but with the Spanish Civil War sales really picked up to boost the company to a major position in the submachine gun market. The MP35 was produced in both long- and short-barrelled versions, and niceties such as bayonet attachments and even light bipods were introduced. One very noticeable point on the MP35 was the use of a rear-mounted bolt for cocking the weapon instead of the usual side-mounted cocking lever. This meant that the interior of the weapon body along which the breech had to travel was kept clear of the dust and dirt that usually finds its way into open side-lever actions and the MP34 and MP35 were certainly reliable weapons, even if they were a little heavier than some of their rivals.

It was this reliability that brought the MP35 to the attention of what was to be the biggest customer for the weapon, namely the Waffen SS which was looking for its own weapons procurement separate from that of the German army, and after late 1940 all MP35 production went to the Waffen SS, continuing until the war ended in 1945. But MP34s and MP35s still cropped up elsewhere, and many can still be found in use with South American police forces, while small numbers can still be encountered in the Far East.

Above: This MP38 was the original production version. Although the design was intended for mass production the receiver and many parts were machined - these were later replaced by the pressings and welds of the MP40.

Right: The MP40, as used by this corporal during the invasion of the USSR, was almost identical to the MP38 except that it was much simpler to manufacture.
The Soviet Union had enough troubles during the 1920s and 1930s without worrying too much about weapon design, but when things settled down enough for the re-equipment of The Red Army to be contemplated, sub-machine gun design was not very high on the list of priorities. Rather than make any innovations in sub-machine gun design the first Soviet sub-machine gun was a combination of existing designs. This was the PPD-1934/38.

When it was first produced in 1934, the weapon was a combination of features from the Finnish Suomi m/1931 and the German MP18 and MP28. It remained in production until 1940 by which time some modifications had been introduced to justify the use of the full denomination of PPD-1934/38. There was nothing very remarkable about the PPD-1934/38. The mechanisms was almost the same as that used on the German sub-machine gun originals and, after a short attempt to produce a Soviet-designed component, the magazine was a direct take-off from the Suomi magazine. This was the Suomi 71-round drum magazine that was to become the virtual norm for later Soviet sub-machine guns, but there was also a curved 25-round box magazine issued on occasion. This box magazine had to be curved as the cartridge used for all the Soviet sub-machine guns was the 7.62-mm Tokarev (Type P) cartridge which had a bottle-necked shape and would not therefore lie completely flat for feeding from the magazine lips into the gun body.

There was one variant of the PPD-1934/38 that was placed in production in 1940. This was the PPD-1940, which was a general all-round improvement on the earlier design. It did have one very noticeable recognition feature in that the drum magazine fitted up into the gun through a large slot in the stock. Very few other sub-machine gun designs used this magazine fixing system.

When the Germans and their allies invaded the USSR in 1941 the PPD-1934/38 and PPD-1940 were in relatively short supply among Red Army units and they had little impact on the course of events. Any the Germans captured they issued to their own second-line units, but the numbers involved were never very large. By the end of 1941 even the PPD-1940 had passed out of production for the simple reason that the Germans had overrun the arsenals concerned and there was no time to set up the extensive machine-shops and production lines elsewhere. The Red Army had to resort to newer and more easily produced sub-machine gun models.

Specification:
PPD-1934/38
Calibre: 7.62 mm
Length: 780 mm (30.71 in)

The Soviet PPD-1934 introduced one feature later used on all Soviet sub-machine gun designs: the chromed barrel to reduce wear and ease cleaning.

Length of barrel: 269 mm (10.60 in)
Weight loaded: 5.69 kg (12.54 lb)
Magazine: 71-round drum or 25-round box
Rate of fire, cyclic: 500 rpm
Muzzle velocity: 365 m (1,200 ft) per second

Above: This cutaway drawing shows the simple 'in-line' layout of the MP38. The compact design employs the blow-back principle, but the main return spring is housed in a telescopic tube that kept out dirt and foreign objects to ensure reliability. Note also the simple trigger mechanism.

Left: An MP40 in action during the Stalingrad fighting. Although many German propaganda photographs tend to give the impression that the MP38 and MP40 were in widespread use, their issue was largely restricted to front-line divisions only and the Panzergrenadiers in particular.
In many ways the PPSh-41 was to the Red Army what the Sten was to the British and the MP40 to the Germans. It was the Soviet equivalent of the mass-produced sub-machine gun, using simple methods and a minimum of complicated machining operations. But unlike the Sten and the MP40 the PPSh-41 was the result of a more measured and involved development process than was possible with, say, the British Sten and thus the end result was a much better all-round weapon.

The PPSh-41 was designed and developed starting in 1940 but it was not until early 1942, in the wake of the upheavals of the German invasion, that the first examples were issued to the Red Army on a large scale. As it had been designed from the outset for ease of production the PPSh-41 was churned out in the tens of thousands in all manner of workshops ranging from properly-equipped arsenals to shed workshops in rural areas. By 1945 it has been estimated that over five millions had been produced.

Considering that it was a mass-produced weapon, the PPSh-41 was a well-made design with a heavy solid wooden butt. It used the conventional blow-back system but it had a high rate of fire and to absorb the shock of the recoiling breech block a buffer of laminated leather or felt blocks was provided at the rear of the breech block travel. The gun body and the barrel jacket were simple shaped steel stampings and the muzzle had a downward sloping shape that doubled as a rudimentary muzzle brake and a device termed a compensator that was intended to reduce the amount of muzzle climb produced by the recoil forces when the gun was fired. The barrel was chrome-plated, a standard Soviet practice to ease cleaning and reduce barrel wear, but at one time the need for weapons was so great that the barrels were simply old Mosin-Nagant rifle barrels cut to size. The drum magazine used was the same as that used on the earlier Soviet sub-machine guns. Fire selection (single-shot or full automatic) was made by a simple lever just forward of the trigger. Construction of the PPSh-41 was welding, pins and seam stampings. The overall result was a tough, reliable weapon.

The PPSh-41 had to be tough, for once the Red Army started to receive the type in appreciable numbers it adopted the weapon in a way that no other army even attempted to consider. Quite simply the PPSh-41 was doled out to entire battalions and regiments to the virtual exclusion of any other type of weapon other than hand grenades. These units formed the vanguard of the shock assault units that were carried into the attack on the backs of T-34/76 tanks, from which they only descended for the attack or for food and rest. They carried only enough ammunition for their immediate needs, their general life standards were low, and their combat lives were very short. But in their thousands these hordes armed with the PPSh-41 swept across eastern Russia and across Europe, carrying all before them. They were a fearful force and the PPSh-41s became a virtual combat symbol of the Red Army.

Under such circumstances the PPSh-41 (known to their users as the Pah-Pah-Shah) received virtually no maintenance, or even cleaning. Under Eastern Front conditions it soon became apparent that the best way to keep the weapon going under dust or ice conditions was to keep it completely dry and free from any sort of oil, otherwise it clogged or froze.

So many PPSh-41s were produced that the type became a virtual standard weapon for the German Army as well as the Red Army, the Germans even going to the extent of recalibring some of their captured stock to their own 9mm. Partisans found the PPSh-41 an ideal weapon for their purposes, and after the war the type was used by virtually every nation that came within the Soviet sphere of influence. It still turns up in the hands of ‘freedom fighters’ all over the world and it will no doubt be around for a long time yet.

**Specification:**
- Calibre: 7.62mm
- Length: 828 mm (32.60 in)
- Length of barrel: 265 mm (10.43 in)
- Weight loaded: 5.4 kg (11.90 lb)
- Magazine: 71-round drum or 35-round box
- Rate of fire, cyclic: 900 rpm
- Muzzle velocity: 488 m (1,600 ft) per minute

**PPSh-41**

Above: The PPSh-41 was one of the ‘classic’ Red Army weapons of World War II, and it was produced in millions. It was an emergency design born out of the disruption of the German invasion of 1941.

Right: Involvement in the fighting extended throughout the population, for during some of the many sieges, such as those at Leningrad, Sebastopol and Stalingrad, even the women and children took up weapons.
The first of the Beretta series was the Beretta Model 1938A, which was produced in Brescia. The first examples were produced in 1935 but it was not until 1938 that the first mass-produced examples appeared for issue to the Italian armed forces. The term ‘mass production’ is perhaps rather misleading for the Berettas, as although they were produced on normal production lines, the care and attention that went into each example was such that they can almost be regarded as handmade. In fact the Berettas are still regarded as some of the finest examples of the sub-machine gun that it is possible to obtain, and the early Model 1938As were destined to become among the most prized of all.

In design terms the Berettas had little enough of note. They had a well-finished wooden stock, a tubular body, a downwards-pointing box magazine and a perforated barrel jacket, some of them with provision for a folding bayonet at the muzzle. There was nothing really remarkable in these points, but what was very noticeable was the way in which the weapon was balanced and the way it handled in action. It turned out to be a truly remarkable sub-machine gun. The superb finish endeared it to all who used the type, and one result of the painstaking assembly and finishing was a weapon that proved reliable and accurate under all conditions. The ammunition feed proved to be exceptional, but only when the proper magazines were used. There were several sizes of magazines (holding 10, 20, 30 or 40 rounds) and these were issued together with a loading device. The rounds used on the early Berettas was a special high-velocity 9-mm cartridge but this was later changed to the universal 9-mm Parabellum.

There were several variations on the Model 1938A theme, one of which lacked the bayonet and some of the refinements as it was intended to be a special lightened model for use in desert regions. When Italy entered the war in 1941 some small revision of manufacturing methods was made, but the soldier at the front would be hard put to recognize them, for the overall finish remained beautiful. Close examination revealed that the barrel jacket was altered to become a stamped and welded part but that was about the only concession to mass-production technology, and the Model 1938A retained its high reputation.

By 1944 the war situation had changed to the extent that Berettas were being produced for the German army, the Italians having surrendered in 1943. By then the basic design of the Model 1938A had been revised by the addition of simpler assembly and manufacturing methods to the point that it had become the Model 38/42, while an even later version was the Model 1. Relatively few of these two versions were produced and the bulk of them were produced after 1945. Both models were still easily recognizable as Berettas, and while they both retained the overall excellence they were generally simpler and lacked some of the finesse of the Model 1938A.

As mentioned above, by 1944 Berettas were being produced for the Germans. Earlier in the war the Germans had been happy to use numbers of the Model 1938A and the Romanians had purchased a number (they later purchased the Model 1938A as well). After the Italian capitulation the Berettas became standard German weapons but were little used outside Italy. The Allies greatly prized the Berettas and used them in place of their own weapons whenever they could capture sufficient numbers, but their use by the Allies was restricted to a great extent by a shortage of Beretta magazines. Apparently the sub-machine guns were often captured without their vital magazines, which was perhaps just as well for the Italians.

**Specification:**
- **Model 1938A**
  - Calibre: 9 mm
  - Length: 946 mm (37.24 in)
  - Weight loaded: 4.97 kg (10.96 lb)
  - Magazine: 10-, 20-, 30- or 40-round box
  - Muzzle velocity: 420 m (1,380 ft/s)
  - Rate of fire, cyclic: 600 rpm

- **Model 38/42**
  - Calibre: 9 mm
  - Length: 940 mm (37.24 in)
  - Weight loaded: 4.97 kg (10.96 lb)
  - Magazine: 10-, 20-, 30- or 40-round box
  - Muzzle velocity: 420 m (1,380 ft/s)
  - Rate of fire, cyclic: 600 rpm
Sten sub-machine guns

After the Dunkirk evacuation of mid-1940 the British army had few weapons left. In an attempt to re-arm quickly the military authorities put out an urgent request for simple sub-machine guns that could be produced in quantity, and using the concept of the MP38 as an example the designers went to work. Within weeks the results were adopted. It was the product of two designers, Major R. V. Shepherd and H. J. Turpin who worked at the Enfield Lock Small Arms Factory, and from these three names came the universally-accepted name Sten for the new weapon.

The first result was the Sten Mk I, which must be regarded as one of the unloveliest weapon designs of all time. It was designed for production as quickly and cheaply as possible using simple tools and a minimum of time-consuming machining, so the Sten was made up from steel tubes, sheet stamping and easily produced parts all held together with welds, pins and bolts. The main body was a steel tube and the butt a steel framework. The barrel was a steel drawn tube with either two or six rifling grooves roughly carved. The magazine was again sheet steel and on the Sten Mk I the trigger mechanism was shrouded in a wooden stock. There was a small wooden foregrip and a rudimentary flash hider. It looked horrible and caused some very caustic comments when it was first issued, but it worked and the troops soon learned to accept it for what it was, a basic killing device produced in extreme circumstances.

The Sten Mk I was produced to the tune of about 100,000 examples all delivered within months. By 1941 the Sten Mk II was on the scene and this was even simpler than the Mk I. In time the Sten Mk II became regarded as the ‘classic’ Sten gun and it was an all-metal version. Gone was the wooden stock over the trigger mechanism, replaced by a simple sheet-metal box. The butt became a single tube with a flat buttplate at the end. The barrel was redesigned to be unscrewed for changing and the magazine housing, with the box magazine protruding to the left, was designed to be a simple unit that could be rotated downwards once the magazine was removed to keep out dust and dirt. The butt could be easily removed for removing the breech block and spring for cleaning. By the time all these parts (barrel, magazine and butt) had been removed, the whole weapon occupied very little space and this turned out to be one of the Sten’s great advantages. When the initial needs of the armed forces had been met, from several production lines, including those set up in Canada and New Zealand, the Sten was still produced in tens of thousands for parachute troops for use by resistance forces and partisans. There it found its own particular place in combat history, for the very simplicity of the Sten and the ease with which it could be broken down for hiding proved to be a major asset and the Germans came to fear the Sten and what it could do. The Germans learned, as did many others, that the bullet from a Sten was just as lethal as a bullet from something more fancy.

A silenced version of the Sten Mk II was produced in small numbers for Commando and raiding forces as the Sten Mk IIS, and then came the Sten Mk III. This was basically an even simpler version of the original Mk I as its barrel could not be removed and it was encased in a simple steel-tube barrel jacket. Again, tens of thousands were produced and were widely used.

The Sten Mk IV was a development model intended for parachute troops but it was not placed into production. By the time the Sten Mk V was on the scene things were going better for the Allies and the Mk V could be produced with rather more finesse. The Mk V was easily the best of the Stens for it was produced to much higher standards and even had such extras as a wooden butt, forestock and a fitting for a small bayonet. It had the foresight of the Lee–Enfield No. 4 rifle and the metal was even finished to a high degree, whereas the earlier marks had their metal left in a bare state with a minimum of fine finish. The Mk V was issued to the Airborne Forces in 1944, and after World War II it became the standard British army sub-machine gun.

The Sten was a crude weapon in nearly every way, but it worked and its efficiency could be produced in large numbers at a time when it was desperately needed. In occupied Europe it was revealed as an ideal resistance weapon and all over the world underground forces have been busy copying the design almost directly. The Germans even produced their own copies in 1944 and 1945. It was one of the more remarkable weapons of World War II.

Above: The Sten Mk II was one of the most widely-used of all the Allied sub-machine guns. It looked crude but it worked, it could be stripped down for easy concealment, and it was available in quantity.

Right: The Sten was one of the first weapons issued to the newly-formed airborne troops of the British army, and this example is unusual in being fitted with a small spike bayonet.

Mk III. This was basically an even simpler version of the original Mk I as its barrel could not be removed and it was encased in a simple steel-tube barrel jacket. Again, tens of thousands were produced and were widely used.

The Sten Mk IV was a development model intended for parachute troops but it was not placed into production. By the time the Sten Mk V was on the scene things were going better for the Allies and the Mk V could be produced with rather more finesse. The Mk V was easily the best of the Stens for it was produced to much higher standards and even had such extras as a wooden butt, forestock and a fitting for a small bayonet. It had the foresight of the Lee–Enfield No. 4 rifle and the metal was even finished to a high degree, whereas the earlier marks had their metal left in a bare state with a minimum of fine finish. The Mk V was issued to the Airborne Forces in 1944, and after World War II it became the standard British army sub-machine gun.

The Sten was a crude weapon in nearly every way, but it worked and its efficiency could be produced in large numbers at a time when it was desperately needed. In occupied Europe it was revealed as an ideal resistance weapon and all over the world underground forces have been busy copying the design almost directly. The Germans even produced their own copies in 1944 and 1945. It was one of the more remarkable weapons of World War II.

Right: Street fighting in the Mediterranean. This example has had a non-standard foregrip added to enhance handling.

Below: By the time the Sten Mk V was produced there was time for some finesse to be added to the basic design. While the original outline was retained a wooden butt and pistol grip and a No. 4 rifle foresight had been added.

Specification:
Sten Mk II
Calibre: 9 mm
Length: 762 mm (30.00 in)
Length of barrel: 197 mm (7.75 in)
Weight loaded: 3.7 kg (8.16 lb)
Magazine: 32-round box
Rate of fire, cyclic: 550 rpm
Muzzle velocity: 365 m (1,200 ft) per second
Allied and Axis Flamethrowers

Liquid fire was used in medieval times by the Byzantine navy, but its 20th-century use stems from flamethrowers introduced by Germany during World War I. By World War II such ‘terror’ weapons were in widespread service, adding new horror to the conflict.

Fire in all its guises has been an established weapon of war since ancient times, but when the flamethrower appeared in its modern form on the battlefields of World War I it seemed that a new aspect of its horrors had arrived. Despite the outcries of disgust that arose on all sides, the flamethrower quickly became an established military weapon and by World War II most armies either had the flamethrower in their armouries or were making active plans to place them there. These early World War II flamethrowers were very different beasts from those that came later in the war, for they were usually not much different from World War I models and in some cases, such as the several improvised designs rushed out in the UK during 1940, virtually identical. Flamethrower tanks had also been developed, although few armoured commanders knew how to use them to best effect when they first encountered them. The potential of the mobile and armoured flamethrower was enormous, as the British Wasp and Crocodile were to demonstrate during 1944 and 1945. Portable flamethrowers had many tactical uses as well, but generally speaking the portable equipments lacked the range and impact of their vehicle-borne counterparts.

Fire is a frightening weapon, for not only are its effects dreadful to bear and behold but it has a powerful effect on morale. Mankind has an instinctive dread of fire in all its forms and when it is used offensively in the form of the flamethrower, its impact on an enemy can be considerable. At times during World War II the mere sight of a flamethrower in action was enough to make the enemy break and run - often towards the flamethrower’s operators in order to surrender. There are certain battlefield targets against which the flamethrower has no effect, but they are few and far between.

So why are they so little used today? That question is hard to answer, though the main reason seems to lie in the fact that flamethrowers are essentially short-range assault weapons best used in confined areas. Modern warfare is expected to involve mobile forces moving across open country, where flame weapons can be of only little use. This is a convenient assumption to make, but it may not be borne out in practice. If urban or close-quarter warfare is ever necessary in future, the flamethrower may well reappear. And if it ever does the contents of this study will provide an indication of what might be expected. Let us hope we never have to encounter them again.
German flamethrowers

The first time the German army used flamethrowers was in 1914, when some were used against the French during the Argonne fighting, but their first large-scale use was against the French (again), this time during the 1916 Verdun campaign. These early flamethrowers were large things that needed up to three men to handle them, but development led to a much lighter version that weighed only 35.8 kg (79 lb). This was the Flammenwerfer 35, which was issued to the new German army during the 1930s. In design terms it owed much to the World War I equipment, and it remained in production in 1941.

The Flammenwerfer 35 was gradually supplemented by the Flammenwerfer klein verbessert 40, a much lighter 'lifebuoy' model that carried less inflammable fuel, but relatively few of these were produced as they were soon replaced by the Flammenwerfer 41, which reverted to the twin-tank arrangement. This remained the standard German flamethrower until 1945, though one important modification was introduced after the grim winter of 1941-2, when the intense cold prevented the normal flame ignition system from working. This system was replaced by a cartridge ignition device that was much more reliable at other temperatures as well. When full this version, which was otherwise identical to the 1941 model, weighed 18.14 kg (40 lb) and the range at best was 32 m (35 yards).

All these German equipments used the twin-tank operating process, one tank containing the inflammable liquid and the other a compressed gas for propulsion. They were all capable of producing multiple bursts but there was one odd model produced for use by parachutists and assault troops. This was a single-shot model known as the Einstoss Flammenwerfer tragbar which fired a 1/2-second burst of fire to a range of about 27 m (30 yards). Not many were produced.

It should not be thought that the above were the only German flamethrower equipments, for in this field as in all others the Germans were liable to produce proliferations of models. For instance, in addition to the back-pack Flammenwerfer 35 mentioned above there was also a two-man version known as the mittlerer Flammenwerfer, which had its main fuel tank carried on a small trolley. If this were not enough there was also a much larger model carried on a trailer towed behind a light vehicle: this carried enough fuel to produce flame for 24 seconds. Finally, for use in static situations there was a device known as the Abwehrflammenwerfer 42, a single-shot device to be buried into the ground with only the flame projector nozzle above ground and pointing towards a target area. This was set off by remote control as an enemy approached, and was the German variation of the old fougasse weapon used for many years in fortifications.

Needless to say, the Germans also made as much use as possible of captured equipments.

Specification
Flammenwerfer 35
Weight: 35.8 kg (79 lb)
Fuel capacity: 11.8 litres (2.6 Imp gal)
Range: 25.6 to 30 m (28 to 33 yards)
Duration of fire: 10 seconds

The fearsome blast of a man-pack flamethrower is seen during a night attack at Stalingrad. Flamethrower operators had to be well protected by friendly infantry as they were vulnerable and highly conspicuous.
A Flammenwerfer 35 is seen in action against a concrete emplacement in Poland after the 1939 campaign. The Flammenwerfer 35 had a range of 25.6 to 30 m (28 to 33 yards) and carried enough fuel for 10 seconds of use, but it weighed 35.8 kg (79 lb) and so was often carried in to action by two men.

A German assault pioneer team is seen with one member of the team carrying the weight and bulk of a Flammenwerfer 35. This equipment weighed 35.8 kg and was an awkward load for one man to carry, but the equipment remained in production until 1941. Its basic design dated from 1918.

**GERMANY**

German flamethrower tanks

Throughout World War II the Germans were not particularly energetic in the deployment of flamethrower tanks, even though they were fielding a light flamethrower tank at a time when no other nation was doing so. This was in 1941 when, following a period of trials, a PzKpfw I was converted to take a Flammenpanzer 40 flame projector in place of one of the machine-guns in the turret. This produced the Flammenpanzer I, and the first of them were used in action by the Deutsches Afrika Korps in North Africa. This expedient was soon followed by another, this time the Flammenpanzer II which was a conversion of the otherwise little-used PzKpfw II Ausf D or E. On this conversion two flame projectors were used, one on each side of the front hull. Each projector had a range of about 36.5 m (40 yards). Not many of these conversions were made, and most appear to have been used on the Eastern Front.

The most numerous conversions to the Flammenpanzer role were made with the PzKpfw III Ausf H or M. At least 100 of these tanks were converted to take a flame projector in place of the main gun, and there was capacity internally for 1000 litres (220 Imp gal) of fuel. These Flammenpanzer III vehicles were very effective but do not appear to have been much used, mainly as a result of their inability to defend themselves against enemy tanks, for whenever they were used in action other gun tanks had to be used to guard them.

Apart from the odd trials model, no PzKpfw IV was converted to the operational Flammenpanzer role. Apparently there were plans to convert various marks of Panther and Tiger II to the flame role, but none appear to have seen fruition. Instead the little Flammenpanzer 38(t) was placed into production during 1944 as the standard flame tank of the land forces. This small tank was well suited to the role as it used the low and easily concealed hull of the Hetzer tank destroyer. Once again the flame gun took the place of the main gun and some of the internal space was devoted to fuel for the projector.

A few captured tanks were used by the Germans for the flame role. One example was the large Char B, one of the French tanks captured by the Germans in 1940, but once again the number involved was not great, probably about 10.

For much of the war the German army relied upon the SdKfz 251 half-track for the flamethrower role. The version used was the SdKfz 251/16 mittlerer Flammenpanzerwagen, first used during 1942. This carried two fuel tanks, each containing 700 litres (154 Imp gal) of fuel, enough for 80 two-second bursts of flame. Each fuel tank supplied its own projector, one each side of the open vehicle rear; some had a third but smaller projector at the front, but on most vehicles this position was occupied by a machine-gun. The usual range of these projectors was about 35 m (38 yards).

A Flammenpanzer with a flame projector in place of the usual gun. These vehicles used later Panzer III chassis: a machine-gun was mounted co-axially and the fuel was carried internally in two tanks, enough for 70 to 80 two- to three-second bursts. The normal crew was three men.

Above: One of the forward-mounted Hame projectors carried by the Flammenpanzer II, which were mainly used on the Eastern Front although not many were actually produced. This projector is firing a rod of unlit fuel that could be ignited later when it lay on the ground.
Portable Flamethrower Types 93 and 100

If war-time propaganda photographs are to be believed, the Japanese army and marines made extensive use of flamethrowers during World War II. This impression came largely from a long series of 'official' photographs taken during Japan’s protracted war against the Chinese, where weapons such as flamethrowers could have a morale effect that far outweighed their usefulness as combat weapons. Against the flimsy structures used as housing throughout mainland China flame weapons could gain impressive results, and accordingly the Japanese made much use of them.

At first the main version used by the Japanese was the Portable Flamethrower Type 93. This was first issued during 1933 and was an orthodox design that made much use of German experience in World War I. It used three cylinders on a rather awkward back-pack arrangement, two of the cylinders containing the fuel and the central (and smaller) cylinder containing the compressed gas. When the Type 93 was first issued this was compressed nitrogen, but this was soon changed to compressed air. From 1939 onwards a small petrol-driven air compressor was issued with each equipment. This was the Type 99 compressor and when not in use it was transported in a small wooden case.

For various reasons the flame projector of the Type 93 was considered to be unsatisfactory, and in 1940 it was changed to a new type. This was known as the Portable Flamethrower Type 100, but in every other aspect it was identical to the Type 93. The new flame gun was shorter than the earlier model-901 mm (35.5 in) as opposed to 1,197 m (47.125 in). The nozzle of the flame gun could also be easily changed on the Type 100, whereas on the Type 93 it was fixed. Although the Japanese infantry made use of flamethrowers, the Japanese tank formations made only limited use of the weapon. Apparently only one attempt was made to produce flamethrower tanks and this was a small unit of specialized combat engineering tanks that were encountered in Luzon in 1944. These turretless tanks were fitted with obstacle-clearing equipment on the front hull and mounted a single flamethrower forward. Both internal and external fuel tanks were carried. The tank used as the basis appear to have been the Type 98 medium, whose only other armament was a single machine-gun.

Specification
Portable Flamethrower Type 100
Weight: 25 kg (55 lb)
Fuel capacity: 14.77 litres (3.25 Imp gal)
Range: about 22.9 to 27.4 m (25 to 30 yards)
Duration of fire: 10 to 12 seconds

The Japanese Model 93 and 00 portable flamethrowers were almost identical- this is the Model 93-and differed only in the shape of the name gun and other minor changes. Two tanks held the fuel and the other was the nitrogen pressure tank, providing a flame jet duration of 10 to 12 seconds.

The American Ml was prone to all manner of production faults, and these often meant that the weapon failed in action. The ignition circuit used electrical power supplied by batteries that often failed under active service conditions, and the tanks were liable to pin-hole corrosion spots that allowed pressure to escape. A special repair and inspection service had to be established to ensure a serviceable reservoir of Mls ready for action.

By June 1943 a new model was in use. This was the MIAI, of which 14,000 examples were produced. The MIAI was an Ml modified to make use of the new thicker fuels produced by placing additives in the petrol-based fuels previously employed. This thicker fuel gave better flame effects and a range of up to 45.7 m (50 yards) compared with the maximum of 27.4 m (30 yards) of the Ml. Unfortunately the troublesome ignition system was not altered in any way and the previous problems persisted to the point where troops in action sometimes had to ignite the flame jets with matches or pieces of burning paper.

MIAls were used in Italy and the Far East; their use in Europe after June 1944 appears to have been somewhat restricted once the Normandy campaign was over.

Specification
Portable Flamethrower MIAI
Weight: 31.8 kg (70 lb)
Fuel capacity: 18.2 litres (4 Imp gal)
Range: 41 to 45.7 m (45 to 50 yards)
Duration of fire: 8 to 10 seconds

USA
Portable Flame-Thrower Ml and MIAI

When the US Army requested a new portable flamethrower in July 1940, the Chemical Warfare Service had absolutely no knowledge base upon which to work, and so had to start from scratch. Using a model known as the Flame-Thrower E1, gradual development reached the stage where the E1R1 was ready for troop trials, some of which were carried out under combat conditions in Papua. The E1R1 was far from perfect for it was easily broken and the controls were difficult to reach, but a more rugged version was accepted for service as the Portable Flame-Thrower Ml. This Ml was much like the E1R1 in that it had two tanks, one for fuel and the other for compressed hydrogen.

The Ml went into production in March 1942, and the weapon was in action during the Guadalcanal operations of January 1943. It proved to be something of a disappointment, for the Ml was prone to all manner of production faults, and these often meant that the weapon failed in action. The ignition circuit used electrical power supplied by batteries that often failed under active service conditions, and the tanks were liable to pin-hole corrosion spots that allowed pressure to escape. A special repair and inspection service had to be established to ensure a serviceable reservoir of Mls ready for action.

By June 1943 a new model was in use. This was the MIAI, of which 14,000 examples were produced. The MIAI was an Ml modified to make use of the new thicker fuels produced by placing additives in the petrol-based fuels previously employed. This thicker fuel gave better flame effects and a range of up to 45.7 m (50 yards) compared with the maximum of 27.4 m (30 yards) of the Ml. Unfortunately the troublesome ignition system was not altered in any way and the previous problems persisted to the point where troops in action sometimes had to ignite the flame jets with matches or pieces of burning paper.

The American Ml flamethrower was a development of the earlier E1R1, which, although technically an experimental model, was used in action in 1943. The Ml was used for the first time during the Guadalcanal campaign of June 1942, and used the old ‘thin’ type of fuel.

Specification
Portable Flame-Thrower MIAI
Weight: 31.8 kg (70 lb)
Fuel capacity: 18.2 litres (4 Imp gal)
Range: 41 to 45.7 m (45 to 50 yards)
Duration of fire: 8 to 10 seconds
By mid-1943 the Chemical Warfare Service had a much better idea of what kind of portable flamethrower the troops required and set about designing a new type. Based on an experimental design known as the E3, the Portable Flame-Thrower M2-2 was evolved, and this featured several improvements over the old M1A1. The M2-2 continued to use the new thickened fuel but it was a much more rugged weapon carried on a back-pack frame (very similar to that used to carry ammunition) but the main improvement was to the ignition. This was changed to a new cartridge system using a revolver-type mechanism that allowed up to six flame jet shots before new cartridges had to be inserted. It proved to be much more reliable than the old electrical methods.

The M2-2 was first used in action on Guam in July 1944 and by the time the war ended almost 25,000 had been produced, more than the totals of M1 and M1A1s combined. However, production was not easy and some troops in the Pacific theatre continued to use the old M1A1 until the war ended. It was March 1945 before the first M2-2s arrived in Italy.

M2-2s were used by armies other than that of the Americans. Some were passed to the Australian army, bringing to a halt the development of an indigenous Australian flamethrower known as the Ferret.

Although the M2-2 was an improvement over the M1 and M1A1, the US Army still considered that it was not what was really wanted, and development continued to find a better and lighter weapon. Some work was carried out to evolve a single-shot flamethrower that could be discarded after use. A model that used a combustible powder to produce pressure to eject 9 litres (2 Imp gal) of thickened petrol-based fuel from a cylinder was under development as the war ended, but the project was terminated soon afterwards. It would have had a range of 27.4 m (30 yards).

**Specification**

Portable Flame-Thrower M2-2

- Weight: 28.1 to 32.7 kg (62 to 72 lb)
- Fuel capacity: 18.2 litres (4 Imp gal)
- Range: 22.9 to 36.5 m (25 to 40 yards)
- Duration of fire: 8 to 9 seconds

In addition to their noise, flamethrowers had a powerful visual effect on morale, and the mere sight of their flame jet was often enough to make even the strongest men quail.

This is an American M2-2 in action on Le Shima in June 1945.
American tank flamethrowers

The first American tank flamethrower was produced in 1940, and this Flame Projector E2 mounted in an M2 medium tank was demonstrated to US Army tank officers in mid-1940. They were not impressed and the project was allowed to lapse. It was not long before opinions changed, but by that time the Chemical Warfare Service designers had to start once again from the beginning and before long had a pump-operated Flame Projector E3 mounted in the turret of an M3 Lee medium tank. The pump system tended to break up the fuel structure and so reduce flame performance and range, but when the pump was replaced by a compressed air system these drawbacks were eliminated.

At the same time as these experiments were under way, another programme to produce a service weapon rapidly was initiated under the ‘Q’ (Quickie) designation. The British/Canadian Ronson flame system was obtained from Canada, but initial trials had to be conducted with the system mounted on the rear of a truck as no tanks were available. The ‘Q’ project continued until an installation to be placed by a compressed air system this system was supposed to be the basis for a projector that could be mounted in place of the bow machine-gun of an M4 medium tank. Some 176 of these conversions were made, and they were on hand for the Okinawa and Iwo Jima campaigns, but they were not much used as the troops preferred the ‘local’ conversions with the turret-mounted systems on M4 medium tanks.

Mention must also be made of the installation of the ‘Q’ project M5-4 flamethrower in the LVT-4 amphibious carrier. Six of these were used on Pelelm, but the carrier proved to be rather unsatisfactory for flame operations. Although they were used very effectively, the LVT-4 was really too lightly armoured.

The Satan flamethrower was used on US Marine Corps M3A1 light tanks in place of the main turret gun. This example is in use on Saipan during July 1944, and the Satan was so successful that many more old M3A1 light tanks were converted to the flame warfare role.

Below: The Sherman Crocodile was a British development to use Shermans in the flame-throwing role, but only four were ever produced as US Army interest in the project waned almost as soon as it started. The flame gun was mounted to the right of the hull gunner’s escape hatch.
When the USSR entered the war in 1941, it had been developing flame weapons for some years, but at a relatively low priority. In 1941 it had a portable flamethrower type known as the ROKS-2 (ranzewujo ogienjot KS-2). No details of the ROKS-1 have been discovered, but it is likely that this was a development model only. In design terms there was nothing really remarkable about the ROKS-2 apart from the attention paid to the appearance of the weapon. One of the tactical lessons learned during World War I regarding flamethrowers was that any soldier noticed by the enemy to be carrying a flame weapon immediately became the target of every weapon in sight, so if the appearance of the flamethrower could be altered in some way the user had a better chance of survival. Accordingly, the Soviet designers went out of their way to make the ROKS-2 appear to be an ordinary infantry weapon. The main fuel tank was configured like a soldier’s ordinary back-pack, and the flame projector was made to look like an ordinary rifle, and in fact the butt of the projector was taken from the standard Soviet Model 1891/30 rifle. The only noticeable flamethrower features were the small gas pressure bottle under the ‘pack’, the hose leading to the projector, and the rather prominent ignition device at the muzzle of the projector. On the battlefield these features would probably have merged into the general background.

After the German invasion of the USSR in 1941 most of the nation’s industrial facilities were soon in a state of upheaval as factories were overrun or moved to the east. Flamethrower production was affected along with everything else, and in the struggle to meet the ever-increasing demands for weapons of all kinds the design niceties of the ROKS-2 had to be omitted. A new and simpler model known as the ROKS-3 came into being, and this did away with the pack appearance and instead used two cylinders on a frame carried on the back. The flame projector still resembled a rifle, but it was much simpler and easier to make in quantity.

During their investigations into flame warfare the Soviets discovered how to make the thickened fuel that improved flame effects and range, and used this new fuel in both the ROKS-2 and ROKS-3. Using thickened fuel both equipments had a maximum possible range of 45.7m (50 yards), though operational ranges were rather shorter.

The Soviets also produced static flamethrowers of the type meant to be buried in the ground with only the projector nozzle pointing towards the target area. The exact designation of these weapons is not known, but the Germans copied them to produce their own Abwehrflammenwerfer-42. The Soviets also developed a whole series of flamethrower tanks, starting with the T-26 of the early war years. The T-26 version was no great success but in 1941 the ATO-41 flamethrower appeared. This was installed next to the main gun of the KV heavy tank to produce the KV-8S, but most of the ATO-42s were installed in place of the bow machine-gun of the T-34/85 which then became the TO-34. The ATO-42 could fire four or five flame bursts in 10 seconds, and the maximum range with thickened fuel was 120 m (131 yards). The Soviet flamethrower tanks were used in special three-company battalions.

**Specification**

**ROKS-2**
- Weight: 22.7 kg (50lb)
- Fuel capacity: 9 litres (2 Imp gal)
- Range: 36.5 to 45.7 m (40 to 50 yards)
- Duration of fire: 6 to 8 seconds

**ROKS-3**
- Weight: 22.7 kg (50lb)
- Fuel capacity: 9 litres (2 Imp gal)
- Range: 36.5 to 45.7 m (40 to 50 yards)
- Duration of fire: 6 to 8 seconds
The weapon that was known as the Ampullenjot 1941 System Kartukov appears rarely in Western literature and it is still something of a mystery weapon. It was not a flamethrower in the usual sense used elsewhere in this study, but rather an incendiary projectile launcher. During World War II, these were little used by any combatant, although there were plans to produce similar weapons in the UK during the dangerous days of 1940; the abortive Newton Mortar was one of these. The Kartukov gun appears to fall into exactly the same category, for it was produced in 1941 when the German army was rapidly overrunning most of the western areas of the USSR.

These western areas contained the vast bulk of the USSR’s industrial potential. As many as possible of the machine-tools and other raw materials of production were hurriedly uprooted and taken away to the east beyond the Urals. During this period of reorganization and confusion the Soviet Army was losing vast quantities of war weapons of every kind, and something had to be produced quickly to replace them. This was where the Kartukov gun came in, for it was a very simple weapon that could be produced with the absolute minimum of facilities and raw materials.

The Kartukov was what is now generally known as a ‘pipe’ gun, i.e. it was simple length of steel pipe closed at one end and with a minimum of fire-control equipment. It would appear that the Kartukov gun was meant to be used at static locations, for the only illustrations seen show the gun mounted on a simple yoke arrangement mounted on a steel post. There were no elevation or traverse controls other than two rudimentary handles, located behind the breech, with which the firer aimed the weapon. The breech itself was a very simple affair: when opened, the breech block moved back along a short slide to allow the charge to be inserted. It seems almost certain that the charge was only a small black-powder cartridge and that the projectile was loaded from the muzzle. To aim the weapon a fixed raised sight that aligned with a small ‘pip’ on the muzzle was used, holes in the raised sight being used to vary the range. Firing was by percussion. The projectile had a diameter of 127 mm (5 in) and was of a type once known during the Middle Ages as a ‘carcass’. This was an incendiary device that burst into flames as it struck the target and spread flames or burning material in the general target area, although it cannot be stated for a fact, it seems that the Kartukov gun used some form of phosphorus mixture allied to a thick oil-based fuel. The range at best was only about 250 m (274 yards).

The advancing Germans captured numbers of these Kartukov guns, and the only references now available regarding these weapons are to be found among German intelligence reports. Understandably enough the Germans did not have a very high opinion of them as weapons and they regarded them as ‘primitive’.

Ampullenjot 1941 System Kartukov

The Crocodile was meant to be used with the Churchill infantry tank, hence Churchill Crocodile. When it first appeared in 1942 a change of War Office policy meant that there was officially no longer a requirement for the flamethrower tank, but work nevertheless went ahead. It was just as well, for in April 1943 another policy change meant that the Crocodile was wanted once more and in August 1943 an order for 250 was placed, these vehicles being needed to equip units that would take part in the forthcoming Normandy landings.

The Crocodile was meant to be used with the Churchill infantry tank, hence Churchill Crocodile. When it first appeared in 1942 a change of War Office policy meant that there was officially no longer a requirement for the flamethrower tank, but work nevertheless went ahead. It was just as well, for in April 1943 another policy change meant that the Crocodile was wanted once more and in August 1943 an order for 250 was placed, these vehicles being needed to equip units that would take part in the forthcoming Normandy landings.

The Churchill Crocodile was one of the most widely used of all the many Churchill tank variants, and towed a special trailer that carried both the same fuel and the nitrogen gas cylinders. The flame gun was mounted in the hull front and the turret retained the main 75-mm (2.95-in) gun.

The Crocodile was meant to be used with the Churchill infantry tank, hence Churchill Crocodile. When it first appeared in 1942 a change of War Office policy meant that there was officially no longer a requirement for the flamethrower tank, but work nevertheless went ahead. It was just as well, for in April 1943 another policy change meant that the Crocodile was wanted once more and in August 1943 an order for 250 was placed, these vehicles being needed to equip units that would take part in the forthcoming Normandy landings.

The initial plan was that the Crocodiles were to be mounted on the Churchill Mk IV, most production weapons were installed in the Churchill Mk VII. The main part of the Crocodile was installed in a two-wheeled trailer towed behind the Churchill tank and connected to the tank via a universal joint through which the pressurized fuel had to pass. The projector itself was at the front of the tank, installed in place of the hull machine-gun. The Churchill’s main 75-mm (2.95-in) gun and turret machine-gun were retained to enable the vehicle to be used as a normal gun tank if required. The trailer could be jettisoned when empty or if the occasion demanded. The trailer contained enough fuel and compressed gas to produce about 80 one-second flame bursts, and the usual operational range was about 73 m (80 yards), although under favorable conditions 110-m (120-yard) range was possible.

The Churchill Crocodiles first went into action on D-Day, 6 June 1944. Thereafter they were used in all theatres of war and came to be very effective weapons that were greatly feared by the enemy. There were plans for Crocodiles to be used on Sherman tanks operated by the US Army and although some design work was carried out only six were built and of those only four were used in action by the Americans in Europe. By the time the war ended 800 Churchill Crocodiles had been produced. The main British army user was the 79th Armoured Division, although other formations also had the type. Once the war was over most were withdrawn from use.
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Development of what was to become officially known as the Flame-Thrower, Portable, No. 2 Mk I, began during 1941. It appears to have been influenced by the German Flammenwerfer 40, but the basic design of any portable flamethrower is fixed by physical constraints. This results from the fact that for a vessel that has to contain gas at high pressure the sphere is the best possible shape. On a flamethrower the fuel tank has to contain as much fuel as possible within as small a volume as can be managed. These design criteria virtually dictate the shape of the resultant equipment, i.e. a central sphere with a fuel tank having a circular cross-section wrapped around it. This produces the classic shape which gave the British equipment its Lifebuoy nickname, a name that stuck.

The first pilot model was ready by mid-1942 and a production order soon followed, despite the fact that the usual series of troop and other trials had not been completed. This was unfortunate, for after only a short time in service the Lifebuoy began to demonstrate a number of serious defects, many of them caused by hurried manufacture of the complex shape of the tanks. As always, ignition proved to be somewhat unreliable, and the position of the fuel valve under the tanks proved to be awkward to use in action. As a result the first production run of the Lifebuoy, the Mk I, was withdrawn and used for training only from mid-1943 onwards. It was not until the following year that the improved Flame-Thrower, Portable, No. 2 Mk II appeared. It was this version that the British army used until the war ended, and for many years after. In appearance there was little to differentiate the Mk I from the Mk II.

The Mk II was ready for service by June 1944, and was used during the Normandy landings and after them, including the campaigns in the Far East. However, the British army never took very seriously to the idea of portable flamethrowers and decided that not many would be required. Production of the Mk II ended early as July 1944, after 7,500 had been made. Even the Mk II proved to be generally unreliable as it depended on a small battery to ignite the flame, and in the wet or after even a short period of use the battery often failed. The old production problem of quality control was carried over as well, and as always the troops complained about the weight, Range: 27.4 to 36.5 m (30 to 40 yards)
Duration of fire: 10 seconds
Weight: 29 kg (64 lb)
Fuel capacity: 18.2 litres (4 Imp gal)
Lifebuoy

UK

Wasp

The first British use of flamethrowers in connection with mobile warfare was during 1941, when the newly established Petroleum Warfare Department developed a flame projector known as the Ronson. This had a relatively short range and was mounted on a Universal Carrier with the fuel and compressed gas tanks over the rear of the vehicle. For various reasons the British army decided not to proceed with the Ronson, requesting more range, but the Canadians persevered with the design, and later in the war it was adopted by the US Army, who called it the Satan.

By 1942 the PWD had developed the Ronson and its range was extended so that it could be used to overrun an enemy position up to 91.5 m (300 yards) away. As a result a production run was ordered with the standard for 1,000 units and in November 1943 this order for 1,000 was placed and by November the following year all had been delivered. These Wasp Mk Is used a large pressure gas tank which was mounted just behind the front of the Carrier and connected to two fuel tanks inside the Carrier hull. However, these Mk Is were deemed unsuitable for service as by then a version of Wasp Mk II had appeared with a much smaller and handier flame projector mounted at the front in place of the machine-gun otherwise carried. This new flame projector was a great advance over the previous design and gave a much better flame performance even though there was no improvement in range; the same type of projector was also used with the Churchill Crocodile. It was also easier to aim and much safer to use.

The Wasp Mk II first went into action during the Normandy fighting of July 1944. They were used mainly in support of infantry operations, whereas the Crocodile was used in conjunction with armoured formations. They were dreadfully effective weapons, and greatly feared by the unfortunate Germans who had to bear their effects, though for fear of these effects German infantry opposition very often ceased once the Wasp Mk Is were known. Because of this they were given the nickname of Satan.

The Wasp Mk IIC was the Canadian version of the British Wasp, and carried its fuel in a single tank at the rear; the British Wasp Mk IIIC had two internal tanks. The Wasp was a conversion of the Universal Carrier for the flame role first tested in 1943.

IC much more tactical flexibility, and it gradually came to be the preferred type. In June 1944 all Wasp production was switched to the Mk IIC standard, and the Carrier was used in much the same way as the Churchill Crocodile.
using the existing Mk II 272.7-litre (60-Imp gal) tanks. Operational experience demonstrated the need for more frontal armour, and many Wasp Mk IICs were fitted with plastic armour over the front hull plates.

Some Wasps were fitted with special smoke-producing equipment, and a few had wading screens installed for possible use during amphibious operations. The Canadians demonstrated their interest in flamethrower tanks by fitting Wasp equipments to old Ram tanks to produce the Badger. These conversions were carried out in the UK for the Canadian 1st Army. Early Badgers did not have turrets, though later versions did. The Canadian versions being based on Ram Kangaroo personnel carriers. They were used by the Canadians from February 1945 onwards.

During early 1945 three Wasps and a quantity of their thickened fuel were sent to the USSR. What the Soviets made of them has not been recorded.

The Wasp MkII differed from the earlier MkI in having a much smaller flame projector mounted in the front hull. British Wasps had a crew of two while the Canadians had three, one of whom usually operated a machine-gun or light mortar.

---

Harvey flamethrower

In the grim period after the Dunkirk evacuation of 1940 it appeared to be very likely that the Germans would invade the UK. If they had actually done so things might well have been to their advantage, for the remains of the British army in the UK were few in number and ill-equipped with virtually every kind of military necessity. Even rifles were in short supply at one point, and items like flamethrowers were virtually nonexistent. However, it was decided to produce and issue whatever could be procured.

Fortunately for the British, things like flamethrowers were relatively easy to produce as long as nothing fancy was required. Thus the Harvey flamethrower was born by simply knocking together what was available and handing it over to the troops. The Harvey flamethrower was officially known as the Flame-Thrower, Transportable, No. 1 Mk I, but to the troops it was simply the 'Harvey'. In many ways it resembled the German Flammentwerfer of World War I but it was not intended that it should be used as a portable weapon. Instead it was taken to wherever it was to be set up and left for possible use. The 'Transportable' part of the designation was derived from the fact that it could be moved about on a two-wheeled carriage based on wheels taken from agricultural machinery production lines. The main fuel tank was an easily-manufactured pressure tank and the compressed air used by the weapon was contained in an ordinary commercial compressed air cylinder.

The flame projector was connected to the fuel tank by a 9.14-m (30-ft) hose and the projector itself was a simple device held in position on a monopod. The idea was that the Harvey would be taken to a selected site and set up with the tanks under cover (probably behind a wall) and with the projector and hose near the target point, probably camouflaged in some fashion. When a potential target approached the flame was ignited as the fuel rushed through the projector under pressure.

The first Harveys were issued to regular troops defending the UK, but it was not long before the Home Guard got them as well. They were cumbersome things and were not greatly liked, but they worked after a fashion. Some of them even found their way to the Middle East where they were used not for flame work but for smoke production. They were never used operationally in a flame role.

This was the name jet produced by the Harvey flamethrower, a static defensive device produced in 1940 mainly for the Home Guard. Although meant to be used in a tac tic role, it could be moved on a simple two-wheeled carriage, but it was a cheap and crude weapon.

**Specification**

**Harvey**
- Weight: not known
- Fuel capacity: 127.3 litres (28 Imp gal)
- Range: about 46 to 55 m (50 to 60 yards)
- Duration of fire: 12 seconds

---

UK
As its designation implies the Italian Lanciafiamme modello 35 entered service in 1935, just in time to make its operational debut during the Italian invasion of Abyssinia. There this flamethrower terrorized the hapless natives who had to endure its efficiency, and from this the weapon gained for itself a reputation for lethality within the Italian army.

From a design viewpoint there was nothing really remarkable about the modello 35. It was a relatively portable twin-cylinder back-pack equipment that used a rather cumbersome flame projector. This projector was fitted at the end with a large collar housing the flame ignition system. For various reasons this ignition system was not considered reliable enough, so it was modified to produce the Lanciafiamme modello 40. In general appearance and use the modello 40 was otherwise identical to the modello 35.

These flamethrowers were used by special troops known as Guastori, or assault pioneers. In action these flamethrower troops had to wear thick protective clothing and their faces were covered by normal service gas respirators. When so clothed their operational mobility and vision was restricted, so they were usually guarded by teams of supporting infantry. On the move their flamethrower equipments were usually carried on special brackets fitted to trucks or, if the formation was not mechanized, mules with special harnesses were employed. The fuel for the flamethrowers was carried in specially marked jerricans.

Both of these flamethrowers were used in some numbers by Italian troops operating in North Africa and by the hapless Italian troops who had to fight alongside the Germans on the Eastern Front. In both theatres of war the modello 35 and 40 worked well enough, but it was increasingly noticed that they lacked range compared with contemporary equivalents, and especially the later German designs. This did not stop the Germans from using them from time to time when it suited them.

Incidentally, the success of flamethrowers in Ethiopia moved the Italian army authorities to fit a special version of a lanciafiamme, much larger than the man-pack version, to the little L.3 tankette. As space within the low hull of this L.3Lanciafiamme was very limited the fuel was carried externally in a lightly armoured trailer, with a corrugated pipe passing the fuel to the projector from the trailer. There was also a version that dispensed with the trailer and carried a much smaller fuel tank over the top of the vehicle rear. Although much was made of these two flame-thrower tankettes they appear to have been little used.

**Specification**  
**Lanciafiamme modello 35**  
Weight: 27 kg (59.5 lb)  
Fuel capacity: 11.8 litres (2.6 Imp gal)  
Range: about 22.8 m (25 yards)  
Duration of fire: 20 seconds

Above: The name projector of the L3 Lanciafiamme was mounted in place of the machine-gun carried on the L3/38 tankettes. These flamethrower tankcs were of very limited tactical value as they were very lightly armoured and had a crew of only two.

Below: The L3 Lanciafiamme was the most widely used of the Italian flamethrower tanks. The fuel was carried in a trailer weighing 500 kg (1,102 lb), but some later models used a much smaller fuel tank mounted over the rear hull.

The Italian L3 Lanciafiamme carried its fuel in a trailer connected to the flame gun by a flexible hose. The propellant gas was contained in a cylinder on the rear of the chassis, but later versions carried both fuel and gas actually on the hull exterior. The L3 Lanciafiamme was the most widely used of the Italian mobile flamethrowers.
Allied Fighters

World War II saw a stunning evolution in the capability of the single-seat fighter aircraft. Few people observing the light, short-range aircraft of the first year of the war would have predicted the multitude of tasks to come its way in such a short time.

"Then devastating war once more burst on Europe in September 1939 the state of preparedness of the Allied air forces varied from the RAF's optimistic mediocrity to the downright ineptness of the French and Polish air forces, generally born of years of pacifism and parsimony. The monoplane fighter was in widespread use, albeit with little more than a couple of years' service behind it in most cases. Biplanes still served, if only to fill gaps yet to be occupied by more modern aircraft.

The finest Allied fighter in service in 1939, the immortal Supermarine Spitfire, served on only a handful of squadrons, was not regarded as fully operational, and demanded special servicing facilities at three or four nominated fighter bases. Yet within a year this aeroplane came to epitomise everything that was best in the RAF's fight against the Luftwaffe. Indeed it left its early partner, the Hawker Hurricane, far behind in the race to forge a weapon capable of matching Germany's great duo of fighters, the Messerschmitt Bf 109 and Focke-Wulf Fw 190.

It was the shock introduction in 1941 by the Luftwaffe of the superb Fw 190, however, that fired the starting gun for the technological race to accelerate fighter development. The Spitfire Mk IX was rushed into service, as was the Hawker Typhoon, the latter still with many engine and airframe problems yet unresolved. US entry into the war at the end of 1941 did little to improve the fighter scene, neither the Bell P-39, Curtiss P-40 nor Republic P-43 being regarded as any match for the German fighters. In due course, however, the marriage of the superlative Rolls-Royce Merlin to the North American P-51 Mustang produced the war's finest long-range single-seat fighter, entering service in late 1943.

On the Eastern Front, Germany's attack on the Soviet Union was accompanied by almost total destruction of the outdated indigenous equipment of the Red Air Force in 1941, a disastrous situation that was to some extent alleviated by the supply of Western aircraft to bolster Soviet resistance in the air. In an amazingly short time, however, having moved the aircraft industry far to the East, the Soviets managed to introduce a number of promising new fighters, the Yakovlev Yak-3 and Lavochkin La-5 among them.

The last two years of the war found the Allies almost entirely re-equipped with fighters whose development had been undertaken wholly during the war, thereby drawing on combat experience. The Hawker Tempest joined the Typhoon (which nevertheless proved an excellent ground-attack weapon), while Griffon-powered Spitfires formed a large proportion of Fighter Command's air combat force. The USAF was almost entirely equipped with the classic Lockheed P-38, P-47 and P-51 trio as well as late-series P-40s. In the Red Air Force the Lavochkin La-7 and Yakovlev Yak-9 were proving more than a match for the majority of Luftwaffe fighters, flown as they were by hastily trained young pilots, while in the Pacific the American and British fighters all but annihilated the air forces of Japan. In the field of jet fighters, where in airframes Germany unquestionably led the Allies, only the RAF managed to introduce very small numbers of early Gloster Meteors.
Lavochkin LaGG-3

Designed by a bureau headed by Semyon Lavochkin and including V. Gorshunov and M. Gudkov, the Lavochkin LaGG-3 stemmed from the LaGG-1, whose prototype (the 1-22) was first flown on 30 March 1940. These aircraft were unusual in retaining an all-wood structure; only the control surfaces (and later the landing flaps) were metal. This excellent little fighter was ordered into production in 1940 as the LaGG-1 with a 783-kW (1,050-hp) Klimov M-105 V-12 engine, but was too late to see service during the Winter War with Finland in 1939-40. With a top speed of 605 km/h (376 mph) and an armament of one 20-mm and two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) guns, the LaGG-1 was certainly one of the world’s best fighters early in 1941, but pilots complained of poor climb performance and heavy controls, and a new version, the LaGG-3, was introduced by way of the 1-301 prototype after several hundred LaGG-1s had been delivered. At the time of the German attack two air regiments still flew the older aircraft, but within a year four regiments had received the LaGG-3, their task being to provide escort for the Ilyushin I-2 close-support aircraft; they carried a variety of armament combinations, including wing attachments for six 8.2-cm (3.23-in) rockets or light bombs. The LaGG-3 featured a constant-speed propeller and improved rudder balancing, and was popular in service; it proved very robust and was capable of sustaining considerable battle damage. A better fighter was urgently needed, and the three designers each built new versions with the M-82 radial. In 1942 the liquid-cooled LaGG went out of production after about 6,528 had been built.

Specification
Lavochkin LaGG-3
Type: single-seat fighter
Powerplant: one 925-kW (1,240-hp) M-105PF V-12 piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 575 km/h (357 mph) at 5000 m (16,405 ft); initial climb rate 900 m (2,950 ft) per minute; service ceiling 5750 m (18,850 ft); range 650 km (404 miles)
Dimensions: span 9.80 m (32 ft 1 in); length 8.91 m (28 ft 11 in); height 2.70 m (8 ft 10 in); wing area 17.51 m² (8 ft 10 in)
Weights: empty 2620 kg (5,776 lb); maximum take-off 3300 kg (7,275 lb)
Armament: one 20-mm ShVAK hub-firing cannon and two 7.62-mm (0.3-in) UBS machine-guns, or two 7.62-mm (0.3-in) ShKAS machine-guns, plus provision for six underwing 8.2-cm (3.23-in) rockets or four 50-kg (110-lb) bombs

Lavochkin La-5 and La-7

As the Soviet armies reeled back after the initial assault by Germany in the East during 1941, frantic demands were made for modern equipment to be supplied to the Soviet air force. In October 1941 Semyon Lavochkin started work on the Lavochkin La-5 fighter with 1194-kW (1,600-hp) M-82 radial, passing on almost immediately to a development, the La-5, with cutdown rear fuselage which gave improved visibility for the pilot. The prototype completed its acceptance trials in May 1942, and entered production two months later; by the end of the year no fewer than 1,182 examples had been completed. In March 1943 the next and principal version, the La-5FN, entered production, a total of 21,975 aircraft including the later La-7 being produced before the end of the war; the La-5FN featured the 1231-kW (1,650-hp) Ash-82FN engine, but its two 20-mm cannon were supplemented by four 8.2-cm (3.23-in) RS 82 rocket projectiles or two PTAB anti-tank weapons. A two-seat trainer version, the La-5UTL, was also produced. Later aircraft were armed with two 23-mm guns in place of the 20-mm weapons. In 1944 the La-7 appeared with an armament of three 20- or 23-mm cannon, an uprated Ash-82FN engine and a top speed of 680 km/h (423 mph). The first large-scale use of the La-5 was during the fighting around Stalingrad in November 1942; it was essentially a low/medium-altitude fighter, and during the great armour battles at Kursk in July 1943 La-5s were employed in a tank-busting role, and after having discharged their hollow-charged missiles against ground targets they would climb to give fighter cover to the slower Ilyushin Il-2 support aircraft. The highest-scoring of all Allied fighter pilots of the war, Ivan Kozhedub, achieved all his 62 combat victories while flying La-5s, La-5FNs and La-7s between 26 March 1943 and 19 April 1945.

A refinement of the La-5, itself a development of the LaGG-3, the La-7 was to be the last of Lavochkin’s mixed wood and metal construction fighters. By the time of its introduction to the battle in spring 1944, the Germans were in retreat all along the Eastern Front.

Ivan Kozhedub was the top-scoring Allied fighter pilot of the war. The La-7 shown is that in which he made the last of his 62 ‘kills’, on 19 April 1945.
Kozhedub flew this La-5FN in the summer of 1944. The aircraft had been presented to the unit by the father of Hero of the Soviet Union Lieutenant-Colonel Konyev in memory of his son.

Specification
Lavochkin La-5FN
Type: single-seat fighter/fighter-bomber
Powerplant: one 1231-kW (1,650-hp) ASh-82FN radial piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 647 km/h (402 mph) at 5000 m (16,405 ft); climb to 5000 m (16,405 ft) in 5.0 minutes; service ceiling 11000 m (36,090 ft); range 765 km (475 miles)
Weights: empty 2605 kg (5,743 lb); normal take-off 3360 kg (7,408 lb)
Dimensions: span 9.80 m (32 ft 1.75 in); length 8.67 m (28 ft 5.3 in); height 2.54 m (8 ft 4 in); wing area 17.59 m$^2$ (189.3 sq ft)
Armament: two nose-mounted 20-mm ShVAK cannon (on later aircraft 23-mm NS cannon), plus provision for four 8.2-cm (3.23-in) RS-82 rockets or 150 kg (331 lb) of bombs

Semyon Lavochkin's La-7 featured an uprated engine, giving it a top speed of 680 km/h (423 mph) and many minor improvements which made this aircraft one of the most effective of the war. Those made at the Moscow factory carried two cannon, whilst those built at Yaroslavl had three.

Mikoyan-Gurevich MiG-3
Gaining a reputation as a 'hot ship' in the early years, the Mikoyan-Gurevich MiG-3 was plagued by difficult handling and very poor armament, and although among the fastest of Soviet fighters of that period, it proved no match for the German Bf 109G or Fw 190. Flown in prototype form as the 1-61 in the spring of 1940, the initial design included the 895-kW (1,200-hp) Mikulin AM-35 V-12 engine, and this was retained in the production MiG-1, which started appearing in September 1940. Handicapped by the overall length of the engine, which resulted in poor pitch and directional stability, and armed with only three machine-guns, the MiG-1 suffered heavily in the opening months of Operation Barbarossa, and the MiG-3, delivered during the second half of 1941, proved little better with a 1007-kW (1,350-hp) AM-35A engine, which gave the fighter a top speed of 640 km/h (398 mph); introduced at the same time was a constant-speed propeller, increased wing dihedral and sliding cockpit canopy. Handling was only marginally improved, so the MiG-3 was transferred to attack bomber escort and close support duties; in 1942 two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine guns were added in underwing fairings by operational units, but gradually the aircraft was replaced by radial-engine fighters such as the La-5. Total production was 3,422, of which 100 were the earlier MiG-1.

Specification
Mikoyan-Gurevich MiG-3
Type: single-seat fighter
Powerplant: one 1007-kW (1,350-hp) Mikulin AM-35A V-12 piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 640 km/h (398 mph) at 7000 m (22,965 ft); initial climb rate 1200 m (3,935 ft) per minute; service ceiling 12000 m (39,370 ft); range 1250 km (777 miles)
Weights: empty 2595 kg (5,721 lb); maximum take-off 3350 kg (7,385 lb)
Dimensions: span 10.30 m (33 ft 9 in); length 8.15 m (26 ft 9 in); height 2.67 m (8 ft 9 in); wing area 17.44 m$^2$ (187.3 sq ft)
Armament: one 12.7-mm (0.5-in) Beresin BS and two 7.62-mm (0.3-in) ShKAS nose-mounted machine-guns (later increased by two 12.7-mm/0.5-in underwing guns), plus provision for six 8.2-cm (3.23-in) underwing rockets or two 100-kg (220-lb) bombs

The MiG-3 had been designed for a high-altitude role but combats on the Eastern Front took place below 6000 m (19,685 ft), where the German Bf 109 had a distinct performance advantage. Consequently attrition was high, although many who were to become aces made their first kills in the MiG fighter.
It is said that 37,000 Yakovlev fighters were produced during World War II, of which the vast majority were of the Yakovlev Yak-9 that could outfight the German Bf 109G as early as the time of the Stalingrad campaign. Developed progressively from the Yak-1 (which first flew in January 1940), through the Yak-1B which served from early 1942, the Yak-9 was first flown in its production form in the summer of that year, returning a speed of 600 km/h (373 mph). Numerous versions of this versatile fighter were developed, including the Yak-9T anti-tank fighter with 940-kW (1,260-hp) Klimov VK-105PF V-12 engine and 37-mm hub-firing cannon, the Yak-9B long-range fighter and the Yak-9DD very long-range escort fighter, the latter being flown as escort for USAF bombers on shuttle raids between the UK and the Soviet Union late in the war. The Yak-9U fighter, with 1231-kW (1,650-hp) VK-107A engine and a top speed of 700 km/h (435 mph), was the final version to see combat during the war and represented the point at which Soviet technology may be said to have finally caught up with that of the West, and came to be much respected by the best Luftwaffe pilots in their final generation of Bf 109K and Fw 190D fighters. The Yak-3 was perhaps the war’s most manoeuvrable monoplane fighter, and entered service in 1944 as a lightened derivative of the Yak-1 series.

Right: The early production Yak-1 came to the front in large numbers in the early days of Barbarossa, and was disliked by some pilots who were used to the less advanced aerodynamics of the Polikarpov biplanes which had equipped many Soviet aviation regiments.

Specification
Yakovlev Yak-9U
Type: single-seat fighter
Powerplant: one 1231-kW (1,650-hp) VK-107A V-12 piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 700 km/h (435 mph) at 5000 m (16,405 ft); climb to 5000 m (16,405 ft) in 3.8 minutes; service ceiling 11900 m (39,040 ft); range 870 km (540 miles)
Weights: empty 2575 kg (5,677 lb); normal take-off 3098 kg (6,830 lb)
Dimensions: span 9.77 m (32 ft 0.6 in); length 8.55 m (28 ft 0.6 in); height 2.44 m (8 ft 0 in); wing area 17,25 mf² (185.7 sq ft)
Armament: one 23-mm hub-firing VYa-23V cannon and two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) UBS machine-guns, plus provision for two 100-kg (220-lb) bombs

Above: Yak-9D of a Guards regiment operating in the Crimea. The nearest aircraft is the mount of Colonel Avdyeyev and bears the Order of the Red Banner. By the summer of 1944, when the photograph was taken over Sevastopol, Soviet fighters were often superior.

Right: This Yak-IM was presented by the young Communists of Alma Alta and was flown by Sergei Lugansky, victor at the time over 32 enemies.
Representing Lockheed's first fighter aircraft project, the twin-engine, twin-boom Lockheed P-38 Lightning was designed to meet a 1937 requirement for a high-altitude interceptor. First flown on 27 January 1939, the XP-38 was followed by production P-38s with nose armament of one 37-mm and four 12.7-mm (0.5-in) guns and powered by Allison V-1710-27/29 engines; their top speed of 628 km/h (390 mph) was greater than any other twin-engine fighter in 1941. The first version to be considered fully operational was the P-38D, however, and this was reaching squadrons at the time of Pearl Harbor. The first of an order for 143 aircraft for the RAF arrived in the UK in December 1941, but after evaluation the Lightning was rejected (on account of a ban imposed on the export of turbochargers) and the contract cancelled. In the USAAF the P-38D was followed by the P-38E, in which the 37-mm cannon was replaced by a 20-mm weapon. The P-38F, with provision for up to a 907-kg (2,000-lb) bombload under the wings, was followed by the P-38G with minor equipment changes; the P-38H could carry up to 1452 kg (3,200 lb) of bombs. In the P-38J (of which 2,970 were produced) the radiators were located amidships behind the cockpit, immediately aft of the propellers; with maximum external fuel load this version had an endurance of about 12 hours, and it was in this model of the P-38 that America's top scoring fighter pilot of the war, Major Richard I. Bong, gained the majority of his 40 victories. The P-38L was the most-built version (a total of 3,923) and differed from the P-38 only in having 111/113 engines in place of the -89/91 engines previously used. Photo-reconnaissance conversions, the F-4 and F-5, were also widely used in Europe and the Far East. Production of all Lightnings totalled 9,394.

Specification

**Lockheed P-38L Lightning**

**Type:** single-seat fighter/interceptor/bomber

**Powerplant:** two 1100-kW (1,475-hp) Allison V-1710-111/113 V-12 piston engines

**Performance:**
- Maximum speed: 666 km/h (414 mph) at 7620 m (25,000 ft)
- Maximum take-off: 9798 kg (21,600 lb)
- Range: 724 km (450 miles)
- Service ceiling: 13,410 m (44,000 ft)
- Climb to 6095 m (20,000 ft): 7.0 minutes

**Weights:**
- Empty: 5806 kg (12,800 lb)
- Maximum take-off: 9798 kg (21,600 lb)

**Dimensions:**
- Span: 15.85 m (52 ft 0 in)
- Length: 11.52 m (37 ft 9.3 in)
- Height: 3.99 m (13 ft 1 in)
- Wing area: 30.42 m² (327.5 sq ft)

**Armament:**
- One 20-mm and four 12.7-mm (0.5-in) guns in the nose, plus up to two 726-kg (1,600 lb) bombs under the wings

Above: At the AAF Tactical Center in Orlando, Florida, technicians load a P-38 with bombs before a skip bombing run. With the retention of the full fighter nose armament of 20-mm and 12.7-mm guns, the P-38 could provide its own flak suppression at low level.

**Bell P-39 Airacobra**

The radical Bell P-39 Airacobra single-seat fighter was designed around the hub-firing 37-mm T-9 cannon which had given impressive demonstrations in 1935. The Allison V-12 engine was located amidships behind the cockpit, driving the propeller by an extension shaft, and nosewheel landing gear was adopted. The prototype XP-39 was first flown in April 1939; production P-39D aircraft entered service with the USAAC in 1941 and first saw combat in the Pacific theatre in April 1942. P-39Ds also served with US forces in Europe but suffered heavily in action; they also flew with one RAF squadron (No. 601) but persistent problems caused them to be withdrawn after scarcely a single action. The Airacobra flew with much better results with three USAAF groups based in North Africa from the end of 1942. The P-39D was followed by the P-39F, which introduced an Aeroproducts propeller in place of the former Curtiss type, the P-39H with V-1710-59 engine, the P-39K with -63 engine and Aeroproducts propeller, and the P-39L with -63 engine and Curtiss propeller. The P-39M introduced the -83 engine with large-diameter propeller. Final and most built versions were the P-39N and P-39Q with -85 engine; production amounted to 2,095, bringing the total of all P-39s to 9,558. Of these, no fewer than 4,773 were shipped to the Soviet Union in response to Stalin's desperate appeals for military assistance. A Bell P-39L Airacobra, operated by the 93rd FS, Slatin FC in Tunisia in 1943. Successful in Soviet hands, the P-39 proved no match for the agile Zero in the Pacific, but was reasonably effective in North Africa.
Specification
Bell P-39 Airacobra
Type: single-seat fighter bomber
Powerplant: one 895-kW (1,200-hp) Allison V-1710-85 V-12 piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 642 km/h (399 mph) at 2955 m (9,700 ft); climb to 4570 m (15,000 ft) in 3.8 minutes; service ceiling 11735 m (38,500 ft); range 1207 km (750 miles)
Weights: empty 2566 kg (5,657 lb); maximum take-off 3720 kg (8,200 lb)
Dimensions: span 10.36 m (34 ft 0 in); length 9.19 m (30 ft 2 in); height 3.78 m (12 ft 5 in); wing area 19.79 m\(^2\) (213.0 sq ft)
Armament: one hub-firing 37-mm gun, two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns in nose decking, and four 7.62-mm (0.3-in) guns in the wings, plus provision for one 227-kg (500-lb) bomb under the fuselage

Originally designed as an interceptor, the Airacobra was used also at low level, where its hub-mounted 37-mm cannon was to prove most effective in ground attack. Soviet pilots, fighting at low level, also achieved considerable success in air combat.

USA
Curtiss P-40 Warhawk

The USA's most important fighter at the time of the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor, the Curtiss P-40 continued to give valuable service for the remainder of the war, though it never matched the excellence of the famous P-38/P-47/P-51 trio. It had, after all, first flown as the X17Y (later the P-36 with Pratt & Whitney R-1830 radial) and been re-engined as the XP-40 with supercharged Allison V-1710 V-12 engine in October 1938. Large orders followed, but most P-40A aircraft went to the RAF (as the Tomahawk Mk I). The P-40B followed with cockpit armour and an armament of two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns in the wings (the Tomahawk Mk IIa in the RAF). The P-40C (Tomahawk Mk IIb) featured self-sealing fuel tanks. The P-40D introduced a slightly shortened nose with radiator moved forward and deepened, this marked change in appearance being identified by a change of name to Kittyhawk in the RAF (all P-40s in American service being termed Warhawk); the P-40D corresponded with the Kittyhawk Mk I in RAF service. The first major USAAF version was the P-40E (Kittyhawk Mk Ia), with six 12.7-mm (0.5-in) wing guns, 2,320 being built. A Packard-built Rolls-Royce Merlin powered the P-40F (Kittyhawk Mk II). Most-produced version was the P-40N (of which 5,219 were built), this version reverting to the Allison V-1710 engine and featuring shackles for up to 680 kg (1,500 lb) of bombs; in RAF service it became the Kittyhawk Mk IV. The majority of USAAF P-40s served in the Pacific, although many served in the Mediterranean theatre alongside the Commonwealth Tomahawks and Kittyhawks. Total USAAF production was 12,014, 1,182 Tomahawks and 3,342 Kittyhawks being built on British contracts.

Specification
Curtiss P-40N-20 Warhawk (Kittyhawk Mk IV)
Type: single-seat fighter/fighter-bomber
Powerplant: one 1015-kW (1,360-hp) Allison V-1710-81 V-12 piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 609 km/h (378 mph) at 3200 m (10,500 ft); climb to 4570 m (15,000 ft) in 6.7 minutes; service ceiling 11580 m (38,000 ft); range 386 km (240 miles)
Weights: empty 2722 kg (6,000 lb); maximum take-off 5171 kg (11,400 lb)
Dimensions: span 11.38 m (37 ft 4 in); length 10.16 m (33 ft 4 in); height 3.76 m (12 ft 4 in); wing area 21.92 m\(^2\) (236.0 sq ft)
Armament: six 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns in the wings, plus a bombload of up to three 227-kg (500-lb) bombs

The P-40E, seen here in 1942, was the first to serve extensively with the USAAF in Europe and North Africa. Called Kittyhawk in RAF service and Warhawk with the American forces, the P-40 bore the brunt of the initial fighting in the Pacific.
Republic P-47 Thunderbolt

Evolved from Major Alexander P. de Seversky's radial-powered P-43, the big Republic P-47 Thunderbolt was designed under the leadership of Alexander Kartveli to become one of America's three outstanding fighters of the war. First flown on 6 May 1941, the XP-47B was designed around the 1492-kW (2,000-hp) Pratt & Whitney R-2800 with exhaust-driven turbocharger in the rear fuselage; armament was eight 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns in the wings. 171 production P-47F fighters were built with minor improvements and a top speed of 691 km/h (429 mph), this version being brought to the UK in January 1943 by the 56th and 70th Fighter Groups; they were first flown in combat on 8 April that year, flying escort for B-17s. Early P-47s proved to possess poor climb and manoeuvrability, but were popular on account of their ability to survive heavy battle damage. A lengthened fuselage and provision for an under-fuselage drop tank identified the P-47C. The majo\(^5\) version (of which no fewer than 12,600 were built) was the P-47D with water-injection power boost, and cut-dow\(n\) rear fuselage with 'bubble' hood 01 \(\ldots\) later sub-variants; P-47Ds served in the UK, the Mediterranean and the Far East. In Burma 16 RAF squadrons flew the P-47B (as the Thunderbolt Mk I and P-47D (Thunderbolt Mk II), a total of 826 being delivered. Developed as a result of demands for a 'sprint' version, the P-47M with improved turbo charger and a top speed of 762 km/h (473 mph) at 9755 m (32,000 ft) reached

Fighters from the 82nd FS, 78th FG on the line after a mission over Germany in the autumn of 1944. Aircraft from this squadron claimed the first Me262 to be destroyed by the 8th Air Force on 29 August that year.

North American P-51 Mustang

One of the truly great fighters of the war, the North American P-51 Mustang was originally designed in 1940 to a British requirement. The prototype NA-73 was first flown in October that year with a 820-kW (1,100-hp) Allison NA-73 was first flown in October that year, the North American P-51 Mustang was designed around the 1492-kW (2,000-hp) Pratt & Whitney R-2800 with exhaust-driven turbocharger in the rear fuselage; armament was eight 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns in the wings. 171 production P-47F fighters were built with minor improvements and a top speed of 691 km/h (429 mph), this version being brought to the UK in January 1943 by the 56th and 70th Fighter Groups; they were first flown in combat on 8 April that year, flying escort for B-17s. Early P-47s proved to possess poor climb and manoeuvrability, but were popular on account of their ability to survive heavy battle damage. A lengthened fuselage and provision for an under-fuselage drop tank identified the P-47C. The majo\(^5\) version (of which no fewer than 12,600 were built) was the P-47D with water-injection power boost, and cut-dow\(n\) rear fuselage with 'bubble' hood 01 later sub-variants; P-47Ds served in the UK, the Mediterranean and the Far East. In Burma 16 RAF squadrons flew the P-47B (as the Thunderbolt Mk I and P-47D (Thunderbolt Mk II), a total of 826 being delivered. Developed as a result of demands for a 'sprint' version, the P-47M with improved turbo charger and a top speed of 762 km/h (473 mph) at 9755 m (32,000 ft) reached
August 1938, this version being heavily machine-guns entered RAF service in with Merlin II engine and eight variants and the Spitfire Mk XVI, with two-speed superchart?r joined the which 18,298 were built) were pow-
tween mid-1941 and mid-1942 when the mainstay of Fighter Command be-
to 227-kg (500-lb) or two 113-kg (250-lb)
were produced) with 1074-kW (1,440-
hp) Merlin 45; the Spitfire Mk VC fighter-bomber could carry one 227-
kg (500-lb) or two 113-kg (250-lb) bombs. The Spitfire Mk VB remained
the mainstay of Fighter Command between mid-1941 and mid-1942 when the Spitfire Mk IX, with 1238-kW
(1,660-hp) Merlin 61 with two-stage, two-speed superchart?r joined the RAF. The Spitfire Mk XI and Spitfire MkVII were high-altitude fighters with extended wingtips, but the definitive Spitfire Mk VIII fighter and fighter-bomber was used principally in the Mediterranean and Far East, being fully tropicalized.
The Spitfire Mk X and Spitfire Mk XI were unarmed photo-reconnaissance versions and the Spitfire Mk XVI, with a top speed of 652 km/h (405 mph) was produced in fighter and fighter-bomber versions. All the foregoing (of which 18,298 were built) were powered by the Rolls-Royce or Packard Merlin, and the first with 1294-kW (1,735-hp) Griffon IV was the Spitfire Mk XII, introduced in 1943 to counter the Fw 190 fighter-bomber. It was followed by the 1529-kW (2,050-hp) Griffon65-powered Spitfire MkXT fighter and fighter-bomber. The fighter-reconnaissance Spitfire Mk XVIII was just joining the RAF at the end of the war and had a top speed of 712 km/h (442 mph). In the Fleet Air Arm Seafire variants also served in large numbers with both Merlin and Griffon engines. Total production of the Spitfire was 20,351, plus 2,334 Seafires.

Supermarine Spitfire

The first monoplane fighter and the first with a top speed of over 483 km/h (300 mph) to enter RAF service, the Hawker Hurricane was designed by Sydney Camm and first flown on 6 November 1935, joining the RAF in December 1937. The Hurricane Mk I with 768-kW (1,030-hp) Rolls-Royce Merlin II and an armament of eight 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns was Fighter Command’s principal fighter in the Battle of Britain in 1940, and destroyed more enemy aircraft than all other defences combined. It was followed by the Hurricane Mk IA with 955-kW (1,280-hp) Rolls-Royce Griffon IV before the end of 1940. The Hurricane Mk IIB with 12 machine-guns and the Hurricane Mk III with four 20-mm cannon during 1941. These versions were also able to carry up to two 227-kg (500-lb) bombs, drop tanks or other stores under the wings; they served as fighters, fighter-bombers, night-fighters, intruders and photo-reconnaissance aircraft on all fronts until 1943, and in the Far East until the end of the war. The Hurricane MkIID introduced the 40-mm cannon and in 1942. Two of these weapons were carried under the wings, and this version was particularly successful in North Africa. The Hurricane Mk IV featured a ‘universal wing’ which allowed carriage of up to eight 27.2-kg (60-lb) rocket projectiles or any of the external stores carried by the Mk II. It is believed 14,231 Hurricanes were produced, including 1,451 built in Canada (Hurricane MkIs X, XI and XII). This total, also included many Sea Hurricanes models of which early versions were catapulted from merchant ships and flown from converted merchant aircraft carriers, and later served aboard Royal Navy fleet carriers. Al-
ways regarded as somewhat slow among RAF fighters, the Hurricane was highly manoeuvrable and capable of withstanding considerable battle damage.

Specification

**Hawker Hurricane Mk IIC**

Type: single-seat fighter and fighter-bomber

Powerplant: one 955-kW (1,280-hp) Rolls-Royce Merlin XXV-12 piston engine

Performance: maximum speed 756 km/h (470 miles)

Weights: empty 2313 kg (5,100 lb); maximum take-off 3078 kg (6,785 lb)

Dimensions: span 11.23 m (36 ft 10 in); length 11.1 m (36 ft 11 in); height 3.48 m (11 ft 5 in); wing area 22.48 m² (242.0 sq ft)

Armament: two 20-mm cannon and four 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns in the wings

In February 1938, Squadron Leader J. W. Cillan of No. 111 Squadron flew his then-brand-new Hurricane from Edinburgh to Northolt, averaging 657 km/h (408 mph) with a tail wind.

Powerplant: one 955-kW (1,280-hp) Rolls-Royce Merlin XXV-12 piston engine

Performance: maximum speed 541 km/h (336 mph) at 3810 m (12,500 ft); climb to 6095 m (20,000 ft) in 9.1 minutes; service ceiling 10850 m (35,600 ft); range on internal fuel
Axis Fighters

The superb fighter aircraft of Germany and Japan achieved substantial air superiority over the Allies, which greatly assisted the advance of their respective armies. By contrast, the Italians, short of first-class fighters, were rapidly outmatched.

The air forces of the three principal Axis partners, trained and equipped for wholly differing concepts of warfare, possessed fighter aircraft of widely varying qualities and capabilities at the start of World War II. Germany, whose Luftwaffe was intended mainly as a support arm of the Wehrmacht, possessed what was perhaps the world’s finest fighter in 1939, the Messerschmitt Bf 109, albeit somewhat less well-armed than the Supermarine Spitfire. Moreover, it had gained a workout during the war in Spain, while the Spitfire was still hamstrung by tactical limitations. However, like the RAF’s fighter, the Bf 109 underwent continuous development through World War II and remained the cornerstone of the Jagdverband (fighter arm) to the end.

Intended as a Bf 109 replacement, the Focke-Wulf Fw 190 entered service in 1941 and was roughly equivalent in concept to the RAF’s Hawker Typhoon. It was infinitely superior in combat, yet was itself to become the Sturmpflger (assault fighter) par excellence, being called on to take over from the highly vulnerable Junkers Ju 87 as the Wehrmacht reeled under the gigantic blows of the Red Army after Stalingrad.

Italy was particularly unfortunate during 1940 in not possessing aircraft engines comparable with the Rolls-Royce Merlin and Daimler-Benz DB 601, and had thus to make do with small air-cooled radials whose development potential was very limited, so that the early Regia Aeronautica fighters, such as the Fiat CR42 and G 50, were scarcely a match for the Hawker Hurricane and Curtiss P-40 Tomahawk against which they were ranged in Greece and the Western Desert. The Macchi C.202 was a marked improvement, but was itself two years too late to turn the tide in the Mediterranean.

In the Far East, Japan embarked on an intended two-year campaign, the basis of which was seaborne assault across the Pacific. Accordingly priority had been afforded to the development of the carrierborne fighter, of which the famous Mitsubishi A6M Zero was probably the best in the world in 1941. Even in 1943 it was capable of holding its own against Allied naval aircraft; but by then the fortunes of Japan were already on the decline and in 1944, as the Americans pushed back the enemy, there was no truly effective metropolitan-based interceptor available to combat the heavily armed and escorted Boeing B-29 bombers. Such an eventuality had never entered the Japanese war planners’ minds.

Perhaps the most astonishing facet of the fighters’ war was the superb quality of the German Jagdverband, with regard to the task for which it was originally intended: patrol in the skies over the battlefield. It was never envisaged that it would be employed for bomber escort (as in the Battle of Britain), or that it would be called on to defend the Reich against massed bombers. When misused, it suffered accordingly. Only by bolting on special armament was the latter failing partly overcome.

A measure of the excellence of the German fighter pilot is afforded by the all-time highest scorer, Erich Hartmann: his ultimate tally of 352 accredited victories was achieved in three and a half years; of these, 260 were Allied fighters, and all were destroyed while flying the Bf 109. He himself was shot down only twice, and at the end of the war he was aged just 23.

By contrast the highest-scoring Allied pilot, a Soviet, reached a tally of 62, while the RAF’s top score (gained by the South African, Pattle) was 41, a high proportion of them Italian biplanes.
The Fiat CR.42 Falco was the first all-metal monoplane with the G.50, and one mo and one contemporary Hawker Hurricane and less in operational potential than the national biplane formula, offered much wider truss system of interplane struts as understood. Employing the same War-tree, the Fiat CR.42 first flew on 26 February 1937 and the prototype of a new version, the G.50B, had flown, and with improved cockpit armour and increased fuel usage this production for eventual service with...
The Fiat G.55 Centauro was an all-metal low-wing monoplane single-seat fighter designed by Giuseppe Gabrielli, and represented a great improvement by comparison with the previous Fiat monoplane fighter to go into production, the G.50. Great care was taken to blend an aerodynamically advanced airframe with a structure which was robust and would lend itself to mass production. Its configuration included fully-retractable landing gear and a raised cockpit providing an excellent view. Fast and manoeuvrable, the type proved popular with its pilots.

The first of three prototypes was flown on 30 April 1942; the third (MM 493) was the only one to carry armament, comprising one engine-mounted cannon and four fuselage-mounted machine-guns deleted. The first prototype survived the war and was used subsequently by Fiat as a test-bed.

**Specification**

**Fiat G.55/I**
- Type: single-seat fighter
- Powerplant: one 1100-kW (1475-hp) Fiat RA 1050 RC-58 Tifone (licence-built DB 605 A) 12-cylinder inverted-Vee piston engine
- Performance: maximum speed 630 km/h (391 mph); climb to 6000 m (19,685 ft) in 7 minutes 12 seconds; service ceiling 12000 m (41,667 ft); range 1200 km (746 miles)
- Weights: empty equipped 2630 kg (5,798 lb); maximum take-off 3718 kg (8,197 lb)
- Dimensions: span 11.85 m (38 ft 10.5 in); length 8.25 m (27 ft 0.8 in); height 10.5 in; wing area 19.685 m² (212.73 sq ft)

The main users of the Fiat G.55 were the squadrons of the Fascist Air Arm. This example belonged to Squadriglia ‘Montefusco’.

**The Fiat G.55 Centauro**

Handicapped by Italy’s pre-war lack of a powerful engine suitable for fighters, Mario Castoldi’s radial-powered Fiat C.200 was so underpowered and undergunned that when it arrived in service in 1939 it was already outclassed by the Hawker Hurricane which had joined the RAF two years earlier. Indeed the first C.200 unit, the 4° Stormo, expressed a preference for the CR.42 and accordingly reverted to the biplane in 1940. First flown on 30 December 1937 by Giuseppe Burei, the C.200, named the Saetta (lightning), went on to equip the 1°, 2°, 3°, 4° (in mid-1941) and 5° Squadriglie. 1st Stormo, 22° Stormo, and the 353° Gruppo, a total of 1,200 aircraft being produced by Macchi, Breda and SAI Ambrosini. On the date that Italy entered the war on 10 June 1940, two home-based stormi were combat-ready with the C.200, being first flown in action over Malta in September that year, and it was largely the losses suffered by the Italian fighter arm at this time and during the Greek campaign that prompted the Luftwaffe to deploy X Fliegerkorps in the Mediterranean to bolster the Regia Aeronautica’s flagging resources. C.200s were heavily committed in North Africa, and were fairly evenly matched with the early Hurricane Mk Is, weighed down by tropical air filters, but the attrition suffered by the Italian air force units (principally through poor serviceability and air attacks on their airfields) quickly reduced the number of C.200s. Some 51 Saettas of the 22° Stormo operated in the Odessa zone of the Eastern Front from August 1941 onwards, proving capable of matching the early Soviet fighters in the early stages of that campaign. By the time of the Italian armistice in September 1943, however, the Regia Aeronautica’s total inventory of serviceable C.200s stood at only 33.

**Specification**

**Macchi C.200 Saetta (Breda-built Series 6)**
- Type: single-seat fighter/fighter-bomber
- Powerplant: one 649-kW (870-hp) engine was fitted to the Macchi C.200 against the wishes of the designer Castoldi, and handicapped the aircraft in action with more powerful opponents such as the Hurricane and Kittyhawk.
- Performance: maximum speed 604 km/h (375 mph); climb to 6000 m (19,685 ft) in 7 minutes 12 seconds; service ceiling 12000 m (41,667 ft); range 1200 km (746 miles)
- Weights: empty equipped 2630 kg (5,798 lb); maximum take-off 3718 kg (8,197 lb)
- Dimensions: span 11.85 m (38 ft 10.5 in); length 8.25 m (27 ft 0.8 in); height 10.5 in; wing area 19.685 m² (212.73 sq ft)

The C.200 Saettas saw their first combat over Malta in 1940 and were subsequently deployed to North Africa, where they held their own against Hurricane Mk Is encumbered by tropical air filters. But their numbers were rapidly reduced by poor maintenance and British air attacks.
Macchi C.202 Folgore

One of the most Italian fighters of the mid-war years, Mano Castoldi's Macchi C.202 Folgore (thunderbolt) was developed from the radial-engined C.200, but was powered by a Daimler-Benz DB 601 produced under licence as the Alfa Romeo RA 1000 RC 411. First flown by Carestia at 10 August 1940, the C.202 Series 1 production version entered service with the 1° Stormo at Udine in the summer of 1941, this unit arriving in Libya in the following November. The Folgore was a low-wing monoplane with inward-retracting landing gear and an armament of two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) Breda-SAFAT machine-guns in the nose; there was also provision for two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) guns in the wings. Engine production was slow and severely delayed the build-up of the Folgore in service.

The aircraft underwent very little change and development during its life span, and was produced in 11 series. It eventually served with 45 Squadriglie of the 1°, 2°, 3°, 4°, 5°, 15°, 25°, 30° and 54° Stormi in North Africa, Sicily, Italy, the Aegean and Russia. Production amounted to about 1,500, of which 392 were produced by the parent company and the remainder by Breda. In combat the Folgore proved to be well-matched with the Supermarine Spitfire Mk V in performance, but was badly undergunned and, although slightly superior to American fighters such as the Bell P-39 Airacobra, this armament deficiency prevented Folgore pilots from knocking down Allied bombers.

Ultimate wartime development of the C.200/202 series of Italian fighters was the Daimler-Benz-powered C.205; only 86 were in service by the time of Italy's withdrawal from the Axis. The C.205 Veltro (greyhound) would have been capable of meeting most Allied fighters on equal terms.

Reggiane Re.2000 series

Officine Meccaniche Reggiane SA began development of a single-seat fighter which was based very closely on the US Seversky Aircraft Corporation's P-35 designed by Alexander Kartveli. Competitive evaluation against the Macchi C.200 resulted in this latter aircraft being ordered into production for the Regia Aeronautica, although the Reggiane Re.2000 had shown itself to be superior in manoeuvrability, even when flown against the Messerschmitt Bf 109E.

The type was ordered by the Hungarian government, which also acquired a manufacturing licence. Re. 2000s were supplied also to Sweden, being operates in 1945 by the Flyingvaggen under the designation J 20. And though rejected by the Regia Aeronautica, the Italian navy acquired 12 Re.2000 Serie II fighters especially strengthened for catapult launching, followed by 24 Re.2000 Serie III aircraft with increased fuel capacity for deployment as long-range fighter-bombers.

Installation of the Daimler-Benz DB 601A-1 engine led to the Re.2001 Falco, first used operationally by the Regia Aeronautica in September 1942, with a licence-built version of this engine, the Fiat RA. 1080 RC 58 Tifone. Only 48 had been delivered before finalisation of the armistice with the Allies, these aircraft fighting in the defence of Naples, Rome and Sicily, the survivors battling above the crumbling ruins of Berlin.

Specification

Reggiane Re.2000 Sagittario
Type: single-seat fighter-fighter-bomber
Powerplant: one 1100-kW (1,475-hp) inverted Vee piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 630 km/h (391 mph) at 6950 m (22,802 ft); climb to 2000 m (6,562 ft) in 1.58 minutes; service ceiling 12190 m (39,993 ft); range 1265 km (786 miles)
Weights: empty 2600 kg (5,732 lb); maximum take-off 3560 kg (7,848 lb)
Dimensions: span 11.00 m (36 ft 1 in); length 8.73 m (28 ft 7.7 in); height 3.50 m (11 ft 5.8 in); wing area 20.40 m² (219.59 sq ft)
Reggiane Re.2001 of the 362 Squadriglia, 22° Gruppo, 52° Stormo at Capodichino in May 1943. The DB 601 engine was licence-built by Alfa Romeo.

Armament: three 20-mm cannon and two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns, all forward-firing, plus up to 630 kg (1,389 lb) of bombs when operated as a fighter-bomber.

GERMANY

Focke-Wulf Fw 190

Proposed in 1937, as the Bf 109 was joining the Luftwaffe, Kurt Tank's Focke-Wulf Fw 190 surprisingly featured a large air-cooled BMW radial engine. First flown on 1 June 1939, the prototype was followed by short- and long-span pre-production Fw 190A-0 aircraft with BMW 801 14-cylinder radials. The long-span version was selected for production. Fw 190A-1 fighters joined the Luftwaffe in mid-1941 and proved superior to the Spitfire Mk V. A-series variations included the Fw 190A-3 with BMW 801D-2 and two 7.92-mm (0.31-in) and four 20-mm guns, the Fw 190A-4 with water-methanol power-boosting (with fighter-bomber, bomber-destroyer and tropicalized sub-variants). The Fw 190A-5 featured a slightly lengthened nose and sub-variants included versions with six 30-mm guns (A-5/U12) and torpedo-fighters (A-5/U14 and U15). The Fw 190A-7 and Fw 190A-8 entered production in December 1943 and featured increased armament and armour. The Fw 190A-8/U1 was a two-seat conversion trainer. The next main production version, the Fw 190D, featured a lengthened nose and Junkers Jumo 213 liquid-cooled engine in an annular cowling. The Fw 190D-9 was the main service version, which joined the Luftwaffe in Autumn 1944, and was generally regarded as Germany's best wartime piston-engine fighter, with a top speed of 685 km/h (426 mph), it was armed with two cannon and two machine-guns, and was powered by a water-methanol boosted 1670-kW (2,240-hp) Jumo 213A engine. Other late versions included the Fw 190F and Fw 190G specialized ground-attack fighter-bombers capable of carrying up to 1800 kg (3,968 lb) of bombs.

A development of the Fw 190D was the long-span Focke-Wulf Ta 152 with increased armament and boosted Jumo 213E/B (top speed 760 km/h; 472 mph at 12900 m/41,010 ft); a small number of Ta 152H-1 fighters reached the Luftwaffe shortly before the end of the war.

Specification
Focke-Wulf 190A-8
Type: single-seat fighter
Powerplant: one 1566-kW (2,100-hp) BMW801D-2 radial piston engine with water-methanol boosting
Performance: maximum speed 685 km/h (426 mph) at 6000 m; initial climb rate 720 m (2,362 ft) per minute; service ceiling 11400 m (37,402 ft); normal range 805 km (500 miles)
Weights: empty 3170 kg (6,989 lb); maximum take-off 4900 kg (10,803 lb)
Dimensions: span 10.50 m (34 ft 5.4 in); length 8.84 m (29 ft 0 in); height 3.96 m (13 ft 0 in); wing area 18.30 m² (196.99 sq ft)
Armament: two 7.92-mm (0.31-in) guns in nose and up to four 20-mm guns in wings, plus provision for wide range of underfuselage and underwing bombs, guns and rockets

The Focke-Wulf Fw 190 was outnumbered on the Eastern Front by the Messerschmitt Bf 109G. This Fw 190A-3 flew with 1/JG 54 'Grüner' at Petseri in Estonia during 1944.

Above: Carrying 'Defence of the Reich' fuselage bands, this Fw 190A-9 flew with 1/JG 6 at Delmenhorst in the winter of 1944-5.

Below: Fw 190D-9 ('Dora-9') of Stab/JG 4, based at Babenhausen in early 1945 for the defence of the Reich.

The Fw 190G-2 was a specialized ground attack version with wing racks for bombs or tanks, and an ETC 501 centreline rack for a 1800-kg (3,968-lb) bomb (in this case an SC 500 500-kg/1,102-lb bomb is carried). Strengthened landing gear was necessary for the heaviest load.
Germany

Messerschmitt Bf 110

Germany's first essay in the twin-engine'd two-seat 'heavy fighter' (or Zerstörer, destroyer) category was the Messerschmitt Bf 110, conceived in 1934 and first flown on 12 May 1936; pre-production Bf 110A-0 fighters followed in 1937-8 with Junkers Jumo 210B engines. Production started with the Bf HOB in 1938 with Jumo 210Gs and forward armament of two 20-mm and four 7.92-mm (0.31-in) guns plus one 7.92-mm (0.31-in) gun in the rear cockpit. Daimler-Benz DB 601A-powered Bf HOC aircraft joined the Luftwaffe in 1939 in time for the attack on Poland, and were employed as fighters and fighter-bombers throughout 1940; the Bf 110C-5 was a reconnaissance version.

The long-range Bf 110D entered service in 1940, and sub-variants were the first Bf 110s to be employed as night-fighters; there were also tropicalized and fighter-bomber versions. The Bf 110E fighter-bomber was powered by DB 601Ns and the Bf 110F by DB 601 Es.

Despite its high top speed, the Bf 110 was quickly shown to be no match for opposing single-engine fighters, and from 1941 development was confined mainly to ground-attack and night-fighter versions. The Bf 110F-4 introduced two 30-mm guns under the fuselage. Bf 110F-4/U1 featured twin upward-firing 20-mm guns (schrige Musik installation). The Bf 110G with DB 605Bs was produced in Zerstörer, fighter-bomber, reconnaissance and night-fighter versions, and sub-variants introduced the 37-mm gun under the fuselage. Radar-equipped Bf HOGs formed the principal night-fighter equipment of the Luftwaffe between 1943 and 1945, as well as participating in the daylight air defence battles over Germany during this period.

JAPAN

Kawanishi NIKI Shiden

In 1941 Kawanishi was still engaged in design of an attractive float-equipped fighter, the Kawanishi KAIKI, intended as a naval fighter to support an island-hopping conquest in the Pacific without dependence on carriers or shore bases; in due course 98 of these fighters (Allied reporting name 'Rei') were produced. However, while their design was still in progress Kawanishi undertook a wheel-landing gear version, designated the NIKI-2. The prototype of the new fighter was flown on 27 December 1942 powered by the new 18-cylinder Nakajima Homare radial. Production got under way in 1943 of the NIKI-2 with Homare 21 radial and an armament of two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) nose guns and four 20-mm wing cannon (two of which were carried in underwing fairings). Despite being plagued by constant engine troubles and an inherently weak landing gear, the Shiden was an excellent aircraft in combat, proving an equal match for the Grumman F6F Hellcat, given the reporting name 'George' by the Allies, it was widely considered to be one of Japan's best wartime fighters. Three other main production versions were produced: the NIKI-Ja with nose guns deleted and all cannon mounted inside the wings; the NIKI-Jb with underwing racks for two 250-kg (551-lb) bombs; and the NIKI-Jc with racks for four 250-kg (551-lb) bombs. A new version, the NIKI-K-2, with improved landing gear, redesigned airframe structure and cleaner engine cowling, appeared during the last year of the war and proved even better than the NIKI; an instance occurred when a single Japanese pilot, Warrant Officer Kinsuke Muto, fought off 12 Hellcats, shooting down four. A total of 1,435 NIKI were produced, however, while their early problems.

Specification

Kawanishi NIKI Shiden

Type: single-seat fighter
Powerplant: Nakajima Homare 21 radial piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 584 km/h (363 mph) at 5900 m (19,357 ft); climb to 6000 m (19,685 ft) in 7.8 minutes; service ceiling 12,000 m (41,010 ft); range 1428 km (890 miles)
Weights: empty 2897 kg (6287 lb); maximum take-off 4321 kg (9526 lb)
Dimensions: span 12.00 m (39 ft 4.4 in); length 8.89 m (29 ft 2 in); height 4.06 m (13 ft 3.8 in); wing area 23.50 m² (252.95 sq ft)
Armament: two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Type 97 machine-guns in nose and four 20-mm Type 99 cannon mounted in the rear cockpit firing aft
Sometimes described as a cross between a Messerschmitt Bf 109 and a North American P-51 Mustang, the Kawasaki Ki-61 certainly had the distinctive nose shape associated with an inverted V-12 inline engine, the Kawasaki Ha-40 being in effect a Daimler-Benz DB 601A built under licence. The Ki-61’s designers, Takeo Doi and Shin Owada, had moreover worked under the German Richard Vogt. In December 1940 they were instructed to go ahead with the Ki-61, and one year later the prototype was flown. The first production Ki-61-I fighters were deployed operationally in April 1943 when the 68th and 78th Sentais arrived in New Guinea. Named Hien (swallow) in service—(and codenamed ‘Tony’ by the Allies), the new aircraft proved popular with its pilots, being unusually well-armed and armoured, and the type was at least a match for opposing American fighters. Its armament (of four 12.7-mm/0.5-in machine-guns) proved inadequate to knock down enemy bombers, however, and the Ki-61-I KAIc was introduced with a pair of 30-mm cannon in the nose, these being replaced in a small number of Ki-61-I KAIc fighters by two 30-mm cannon. The Ki-61-I and Ki-61-I KAI remained in production until 1944. However, when they were joined in service by the Ki-61-II with more powerful Kawasaki Ha-140 engine (producing 1119-kW/1,500-hp), with a top speed of 610 km/h (379 mph) this would have been an excellent fighter but for constant engine problems; yet when fully serviceable the Ki-61-II was one of the few Japanese fighters fully able to combat the Boeing B-29 at its normal operating altitude, particularly when armed with four 20-mm cannon. Excluding prototypes and development aircraft, production totalled 1,380 Ki-61-IIs, 1,274 Ki-61-I KAIc and 374 Ki-61-IIs. The Ki-61 was one of few Japanese fighters that could really take on the B-29s at their operating altitude.

The Kawasaki Ki-61-II with the company’s Ha-140 engine was seen as an interim high-altitude interceptor to tackle the USAF’s Boeing B-29s at their cruising altitude of some 9144 m (30,000 ft). However, development of the Ha-140 as a reliable powerplant was terminated finally when the Akashi factory where the engine was built was destroyed during an air raid. With the requirement becoming daily more urgent, Kawasaki was instructed to convert the 275 Ki-61-II airframes gathering dust in the Kagamigahara factory with alternative powerplant. No other similar engine was available and adaptation of the slender fuselage of the Ki-61 to allow installation of a large-diameter radial engine at first appeared impractical. However, Kawasaki’s design team converted three airframes to serve as prototypes, installing a Mitsubishi Ha-112-111 engine which had the same power output as the unreliable Ha-140. When the first of these was flown, on 1 February 1945, Kawasaki discovered that it had a first-class fighter, one that some commentators have described as Japan’s premier fighter aircraft of the Pacific War. By the end of May 1945 all of the remaining 272 Ki-61 airframes had been converted to the new configuration, entering service as the Army Type 5 Fighter Model 1A, which was identified by the company as the Kawasaki Ki-100-Ia.

With the Ki-100 proving such a success, it was decided to initiate production of this aircraft, the resulting Ki-100-Ia differing only by having the cut-down rear fuselage and all-round-view canopy that had been designed for the proposed Ki-61-III. A total of 99 of this version was built before production Ki-61-IIs had been flown by the end of the war. The Ki-100-Ib in produced a cut-down rear fuselage over the original Ki-61 shape, which improved pilot vision. This aircraft is from the 3rd Chutai, 59th Sentai.

Il ru engine which incorporated a turbocharger to improve high-altitude performance, but only three of these Ki-100-II prototypes had been built and flown by the end of the war.

Specification
Kawasaki Ki-61-I KAIc
Type: single-seat fighter
Powerplant: one 880-kW (1,180-hp) Mitsubishi Ha-112-114-cylinder radial engine
Performance: maximum speed 590 km/h (367 mph) at 4260 m (13,976 ft); climb to 5000 m (16,404 ft) in 7.0 minutes; service ceiling 10000 m (32,808 ft); range 1800 km (1,118 miles)
Weights: empty 2630 kg (5,798 lb); normal loaded 3470 kg (7,651 lb)
Dimensions: span 12.00 m (39 ft 4.4 in); length 8.94 m (29 ft 14 in); height 3.70 m (12 ft 1.7 in); wing area 20.00 m² (215.3 sq ft)
Armament: two 20-mm Ho-5 cannon in nose, two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) Type 1 machine-guns in wings

Kawasaki Ki-100
The Kawasaki Ki-100-I with the company’s Ha-140 engine was seen as an interim high-altitude interceptor to tackle the USAF’s Boeing B-29s at their cruising altitude of some 9144 m (30,000 ft). However, development of the Ha-140 as a reliable powerplant was terminated finally when the Akashi factory where the engine was built was destroyed during an air raid. With the requirement becoming daily more urgent, Kawasaki was instructed to convert the 275 Ki-61-II airframes gathering dust in the Kagamigahara factory with alternative powerplant. No other similar engine was available and adaptation of the slender fuselage of the Ki-61 to allow installation of a large-diameter radial engine at first appeared impractical. However, Kawasaki’s design team converted three airframes to serve as prototypes, installing a Mitsubishi Ha-112-111 engine which had the same power output as the unreliable Ha-140. When the first of these was flown, on 1 February 1945, Kawasaki discovered that it had a first-class fighter, one that some commentators have described as Japan’s premier fighter aircraft of the Pacific War. By the end of May 1945 all of the remaining 272 Ki-61 airframes had been converted to the new configuration, entering service as the Army Type 5 Fighter Model 1A, which was identified by the company as the Kawasaki Ki-100-Ia.

With the Ki-100 proving such a success, it was decided to initiate production of this aircraft, the resulting Ki-100-Ib differing only by having the cut-down rear fuselage and all-round-view canopy that had been designed for the proposed Ki-61-III. A total of 99 of this version was built before production Ki-100-IIs had been flown by the end of the war. The Ki-100-Ib in produced a cut-down rear fuselage over the original Ki-61 shape, which improved pilot vision. This aircraft is from the 3rd Chutai, 59th Sentai.

Il ru engine which incorporated a turbocharger to improve high-altitude performance, but only three of these Ki-100-II prototypes had been built and flown by the end of the war.

Specification
Kawasaki Ki-100-Ia/b
Type: single-seat interceptor fighter
Powerplant: one 1119-kW (1,500-hp) Mitsubishi Ha-112-1114-cylinder radial engine
Performance: maximum speed 590 km/h (367 mph) at 10000 m (32,810 ft); climb to 10000 m (32,810 ft) in 20 minutes; cruising speed 330 km/h (217 mph); service ceiling 10670 m (35,007 ft); range 2000 km (1,243 miles)
Weights: 2700 kg (5,952 lb); maximum take-off 3670 kg (8,091 lb)
Dimensions: span 12.00 m (39 ft 4.4 in); length 8.80 m (28 ft 10.5 in); height 3.75 m (12 ft 3.6 in); wing area 20.00 m² (215.3 sq ft)
Armament: two 20-mm Ho-5 cannon in nose, two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) Type 1 machine-guns and two wing-mounted 20-mm Ho-5 cannon, plus two drop tanks or two 551-kb (250-kg) bombs.
Mitsubishi J2M Raiden

Although designed to a 1939 requirement, at a time when Japanese war leaders scarcely imagined a situation requiring a home defence fighter, the Mitsubishi J2M Raiden (thunderbolt) only came into its own while defending the Japanese homeland against American raids in the last year of the war. The Japanese navy's emphasis upon speed and climb rate, rather than its customary demands for range and manoeuvrability, prompted the designer Jiro Hinkoshi to adopt a squat single-engine design with long-chord radial engine cowling, laminar-flow wings and high-raked, curved windscreen. First flight of the prototype J2M1 took place on 20 March 1942, but the aircraft soon attracted criticism from navy pilots on numerous counts, not least that the view from the cockpit was inadequate. Modifications to rectify these shortcomings were delayed owing to Mitsubishi's preoccupation with the A6M. Production J2M2 fighters left the factory slowly and entered service with the 381st Kokutai late in 1943, and were followed by the J2M3 with a stronger wing stressed to mount four 20-mm cannon. The heavier armament now restricted the performance of the Raiden to the extent that it no longer met the original demands, and the J2M4 was an attempt to restore the performance by including a turbocharger. The final production variant, the J2M5 (34 built), was powered by a 1357-kW (1,820-hp) Mitsubishi Kasei 26a radial. In all, 476 J2M2s were built. In acknowledgement of the fact that J2Ms could not combat the Boeing B-29s at their operating altitudes, some J2M3s were armed with two upward-firing 20-mm cannon in addition to their wing guns. (The Allies selected the reporting name 'Jack' for the J2M).

**Specification**

Mitsubishi J2M Type: single-seat fighter
Powerplant: one 1342-kW (1,800-hp) Mitsubishi Kasei23 radial piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 470 km/h (292 mph) at 3500 m (11,483 ft); climb to 5000 m (16,404 ft) in 5.36 minutes; service ceiling 12250 m (40,190 ft); range 17100 m (10.063 miles)
Weights: empty 1110kg (2,447lb); maximum take-off 1700 kg (3,746 lb)
Dimensions: span 10.80 m (35 ft 2 in); length 9.95 m (32 ft 7.7 in); height 3.95 m (12 ft 11.5 in); wing area 20.05 m² (215.82 sq ft)
Armament: four wing-mounted 20-mm Type 99 cannon; some aircraft were also armed with two upward-firing 20-mm Type 99 cannon.

Conceived as a fast-climbing interceptor, the J2M suffered from reliability problems but scored well against the American bombers.

Nakajima Ki-27

When in mid-1935 Kawasaki, Mitsubishi and Nakajima were instructed by the company's Type P E., with a single-seat monoplane fighter competitive prototypes of advanced bishi and Nakajima were instructed by the Japanese navy's emphasis upon speed and climb rate, rather than its customary demands for range and manoeuvrability, prompted the designer Jiro Hinkoshi to adopt a squat single-engine design with long-chord radial engine cowling, laminar-flow wings and high-raked, curved windscreen. First flight of the prototype J2M1 took place on 20 March 1942, but the aircraft soon attracted criticism from navy pilots on numerous counts, not least that the view from the cockpit was inadequate. Modifications to rectify these shortcomings were delayed owing to Mitsubishi's preoccupation with the A6M. Production J2M2 fighters left the factory slowly and entered service with the 381st Kokutai late in 1943, and were followed by the J2M3 with a stronger wing stressed to mount four 20-mm cannon. The heavier armament now restricted the performance of the Raiden to the extent that it no longer met the original demands, and the J2M4 was an attempt to restore the performance by including a turbocharger. The final production variant, the J2M5 (34 built), was powered by a 1357-kW (1,820-hp) Mitsubishi Kasei 26a radial. In all, 476 J2M2s were built. In acknowledgement of the fact that J2Ms could not combat the Boeing B-29s at their operating altitudes, some J2M3s were armed with two upward-firing 20-mm cannon in addition to their wing guns. (The Allies selected the reporting name 'Jack' for the J2M).

**Specification**

Mitsubishi J2M Type: single-seat fighter
Powerplant: one 1342-kW (1,800-hp) Mitsubishi Kasei23 radial piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 470 km/h (292 mph) at 3500 m (11,483 ft); climb to 5000 m (16,404 ft) in 5.36 minutes; service ceiling 12250 m (40,190 ft); range 17100 m (10.063 miles)
Weights: empty 1110kg (2,447lb); maximum take-off 1700 kg (3,746 lb)
Dimensions: span 10.80 m (35 ft 2 in); length 9.95 m (32 ft 7.7 in); height 3.95 m (12 ft 11.5 in); wing area 20.05 m² (215.82 sq ft)
Armament: four wing-mounted 20-mm Type 99 cannon; some aircraft were also armed with two upward-firing 20-mm Type 99 cannon.

Conceived as a fast-climbing interceptor, the J2M suffered from reliability problems but scored well against the American bombers.

Nakajima Ki-43 Hayabusa

With its relatively low-powered radial engine, two-blade propeller and twin rile-calibre machine-gun armament, the Nakajima Ki-43 Hayabusa (peregrine falcon) was the most dangerously underestimated Japanese fighter of the early months of the Pacific war; yet, with its outstanding manoeuvrability, it gained complete mastery over British, American, and Japanese fighters in the last year of the war. The Nakajima Ki-43-1 was powered by a 1620-kW (2150-hp) Nakajima Ha-169 radial piston engine.

**Specification**

Nakajima Ki-43 Type: single-seat fighter
Powerplant: one 1620-kW (2150-hp) Nakajima Ha-169 radial piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 588 km/h (365 mph) at 3500 m (11,483 ft); climb to 10000 m (32,808 ft)
Weights: empty 2460 kg (5,423 lb); normal loaded 3435 kg (7,573 lb)
Dimensions: span 10.80 m (35 ft 2 in); length 9.95 m (32 ft 7.7 in); height 3.95 m (12 ft 11.5 in); wing area 20.05 m² (215.82 sq ft)
Armament: four wing-mounted 20-mm Type 99 cannon; some aircraft were also armed with two upward-firing 20-mm Type 99 cannon.

Conceived as a fast-climbing interceptor, the J2M suffered from reliability problems but scored well against the American bombers.
weight fighter-bomber that required no more than its 709-kW (950-hp) to meet its speed demands. In common with other Japanese fighters of the time, however, its armament was puny by RAF standards, and it possessed neither armour nor self-sealing fuel tanks. As the Allied air forces pulled themselves together after the first shock of defeat, the Ki-43-I's weaknesses were discovered and increasing losses suffered, resulting in the introduction of the Ki-43-II (codenamed 'Oscar' by the Allies), with pilot armour, rudimentary self-sealing fuel tanks and reflector gunsight; the engine was also changed to the 858-kW (1,150-hp) Nakajima Ha-115 radial which increased the top speed to 530 km/h (329 mph), roughly the same as that of the Hurricane Mk II. The Ki-43-IIb entered mass production in November 1942, first with Nakajima and six months later with Tachikawa. Final variant was the Ki-43-III with 917-kW (1,230-hp) engine and a top speed of 576 km/h (358 mph), but relatively few examples reached operational units. The Ki-43 was numerically the most important of all Japanese army air force aircraft, production totalling 5,886, plus 33 prototypes and trials aircraft.

Specification
Nakajima Ki-43-IIb
Type: single-seat fighter-bomber
Powerplant: one 858-kW (1,150-hp) Nakajima Ha-115 radial piston engine
Performance:
- maximum speed 530 km/h (329 mph) at 4000 m (13,123 ft);
- climb to 5000 m (16,404 ft) in 5.8 minutes;
- service ceiling 11200 m (36,745 ft);
- range 1760 km (1,094 miles)
Weights:
- empty 1910 kg (4,211 lb);
- maximum take-off 2925 kg (6,449 lb)
Dimensions:
- span 10.84 m (35 ft 6.8 in);
- length 8.92 m (29 ft 3.2 in);
- height 3.27 m (10 ft 8.7 in);
- wing area 21.40 m² (230.36 sq ft)
Armament:
- two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns in wings, plus two 250-kg (551-lb) bombs carried under the wings

Nakajima Ki-44 Shoki

Of similar general configuration to the Ki-43, the Nakajima Ki-44 prototypes incorporated the manoeuvring flaps that had been introduced on that aircraft, and carried an armament of two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) and two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns. First flown in August 1940, the Ki-44 was involved in a series of comparative trials against Kawasaki's Ki-60 prototype, based on use of the Daimler-Benz DB 601 engine, and an imported Messerschmitt Bf 109E. The result of this evaluation, and extensive service trials, showed the Ki-44 to be good enough to enter production, and it was ordered under the designation Army Type 2 Single-seat Fighter Model 1A Shoki (demon), company designation Ki-44-Ia, which carried the same armament as the prototypes. A total of only 40 Ki-44-I aircraft was produced, including small numbers of the Ki-44-Ib armed with four 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns, and the similar Ki-44-Ic with some minor refinements.

When introduced into service the high landing speeds and limited maneuvrability of the Shoki made it unpopular with pilots, and very soon the Ki-44-II with a more powerful Nakajima Ha-109 engine was put into production. Only small numbers of the Ki-44-IIa were built, the variant being followed by the major production Ki-44-IIb. The Ki-44-Ic introduced much heavier armament, comprising four 20-mm cannon or, alternatively, two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns and two 40-mm cannon, and these proved to be very effective when deployed against Allied heavy bombers attacking Japan. Final production version was the Ki-44-III with a 1491-kW (2,000-hp) Nakajima Ha-145 radial engine, an increase in wing area and enlarged vertical tail surfaces.

This Ki-44-IIb was employed by the 23rd Sentai for home island defence in late 1944. Most home defence aircraft carried a white square around the Hinomaru.
Nakajima had built a total of 1,225 Ki-44s of all versions, including prototypes, and these were allocated the Allied codename Tojo'. They were deployed primarily in Japan, but were also used to provide an effective force of interceptors to protect vital targets, as in Sumatra where they defended the oil fields at Palembang.

**Specification**

**Nakajima Ki-44-IIb**

*Type:* single-seat interceptor fighter  
*Powerplant:* one 1133-kW (1,520-hp) Nakajima Ha-109 14-cylinder radial piston engine  
*Performance:* maximum speed 605 km/h (376 mph) at 5200 m (17,060 ft); climb to 5000 m (16,404 ft) in 4.28 minutes; service ceiling 11200 m (36,745 ft); maximum range 1700 km (1,056 miles)  
*Weights:* empty 2105 kg (4,641 lb); maximum take-off 2993 kg (6,598 lb)  
*Dimensions:* span 9.45 m (31 ft 0 in); length 8.79 m (28 ft 10.1 in); height 3.25 m (10 ft 8 in); wing area 15.00 m² (161.46 sq ft)  
*Armament:* two fuselage-mounted and two wing-mounted 12.7-mm (0.5-in) Ho-103 machine-guns

Lacking the agility of other Japanese fighters, the Ki-44 followed a more Western approach, proving fast and stable with good climb and dive properties. This Ki-44-IIb flew from Canton in China with the 85th Sentai during 1944.

**Nakajima Ki-44 Hayate**

Best of all Japanese fighters available in quantity during the last year of the war, the Nakajima Ki-84 Hayate (gale) not only possessed a reasonable performance but (unusual among Japanese aircraft) carried a powerful armament capable of knocking down the heavily armed and armoured American bombers. Not flown in prototype form until April 1943, the Ki-84 met with immediate approval by Japanese army air force pilots, but was subjected to lengthy service trials which undoubtedly delayed its introduction to combat operations. Production got under way at Nakajima’s Ota plant in April 1944, pre-production aircraft having equipped the 22nd Sentai in China the previous month. Immediately afterwards 10 sentais of the Ki-84-I, codenamed ‘Frank’ by the Allies, were deployed in the Philippines to confront the advancing American forces. In an effort to accelerate production of the excellent new fighter, Nakajima opened up a new line at its Osnonomiya plant, and as Boeing B-29 raids began to take their toll of Japanese cities a new ‘bomber destroyer’, the Ki-84-Ic, was produced with an armament of two nose-mounted 30-mm cannon and two wing-mounted 30-mm cannon. Some measure of the importance attached to the Ki-84 may be judged by the fact that in the last 17 months of war 3,382 aircraft were completed, this despite the tremendous havoc wrought by the B-29 raids and the fact that, owing to such damage at Musashi, Nakajima’s engine plant had to be transferred elsewhere.

**Specification**

**Nakajima Ki-84-Ia**

*Type:* single-seat fighter and fighter-bomber  
*Powerplant:* one 1342-kW (1,800-hp) Nakajima Ha-45 radial piston engine  
*Performance:* maximum speed 631 km/h (392 mph) at 6120 m (20,079 ft); climb to 5000 m (16,404 ft) in 5.9 minutes; service ceiling 10500 m (34,449 ft); range 1695 km (1,053 miles)  
*Weights:* empty 2660 kg (5,864 lb); maximum take-off 3890 kg (8,576 lb)  
*Dimensions:* span 11.24 m (36 ft 10.5 in); length 9.92 m (32 ft 6.6 in); height 3.39 m (11 ft 1.5 in); wing area 21,00m² (226.05 sq ft)  
*Armament:* two nose-mounted 12.7-mm (0.5-in) Ho-103 machine-guns and two wing-mounted 20-mm Ho-5 cannon, plus two 250-kg (551-lb) bombs under the wings

The Ki-84 was a fine fighter which proved a hard hit for American pilots. Fortunately for them, the aircraft was not available in large numbers and these were overworked, resulting in maintenance and reliability problems.
American general Billy Mitchell had predicted that large forces of heavy bombers could alone win a war. His ideas were slow in being transformed into aircraft, but eventually mighty new warplanes took to the skies. Great dramas unfolded as the enemies set out to destroy each other's homelands.

Then Hitler embarked on his subjugation of Europe, the Luftwaffe's role was largely confined to providing air support for the German army, with little emphasis laid upon the use of strategic bombers, any plans for such a force having been abandoned in 1937. Thus at the outbreak of war in September 1939 the Luftwaffe's bombing arm comprised excellent medium bombers (the Dornier Do 17, Heinkel He 111 and Junkers Ju 88) which were regarded as adequate for the task of defeating Europe (which was expected to take no more than three years). With little to fear from opposing fighters, these aircraft also proved perfectly capable of carrying out daylight raids well beyond the advancing German armies.

The RAF, on the other hand, was steeped in the bomber tradition, recognizing the potential war-winning role of the bomber, and went to war with a trio of medium/heavy bombers (the Vickers Wellington, Armstrong Whitworth Whitley and Handley Page Hampden) in which a much greater emphasis had been laid on long range.

However, the manner in which the fortunes of war changed for Germany (starting with her inability to crush the British during the summer of 1940) brought about a progressive reassessment of the Luftwaffe's capacity to carry the air war beyond the English Channel, together with the night Blitz of 1940-1, represented an unpremeditated use of its relatively small bombers for strategic purposes and, in view of Britain's wholly inadequate night defences, these achieved far more by night than had proved possible by day. But the proliferation of battlefronts in 1941 forced a dispersal of German bombers away from the Channel and, as a result of growing demands for fighters and ground support aircraft, the Luftwaffe's bomber force henceforth declined both in strength and relative quality.

Meanwhile RAF Bomber Command was, in 1941, reaping the harvest from the strategic seeds sown in 1936 with the arrival on operations of the Short Stirling and Handley Page Halifax four-engine heavy bombers, later joined by the magnificent Avro Lancaster, all of which had been conceived as dedicated long-range strategic night heavy bombers.

Thereafter the strength of the British heavy bomber force increased steadily throughout the war. In 1942 Bomber Command was joined by the United States' mighty 8th Air Force, whose Boeing B-17s and Consolidated B-24s were to hit Germany by day in ever-growing strength in partnership with the RAF.

Thus by the time the Allies were ready to set foot in continental Europe in mid-1944 their day and night bomber fleets were capable of delivering devastating blows far beyond the ground battle, inflicting appalling damage on the enemy's ability to sustain his war machine. By contrast Germany, beset on all sides in the air and on the ground, had largely forsaken its bomber force, preferring to accord priority to the production of fighters with which to defend the Reich.

The fateful decision by Germany to abandon plans for a strategic bomber force long before the war, while fatally compromising the Luftwaffe's ability to strike at long range, was in all probability of little consequence in the end. Such a force, operating radially from within continental Europe, could never have matched the potential strength of the Allies' massive resources, dispersed as they were to strike diametrically across Festung Europa.
It is unlikely that any other operational aeroplane of World War II came near to matching the austere, angular appearance of the Armstrong Whitworth Whitley, or to emulating its curious nose-down gait when flying ‘straight and level’. Developed from the Armstrong Whitworth A.W.23 bomber/transport, the Whitley was designed to Specification B.3/34 and was first flown on 17 March 1936. That year it was selected to become the new Bomber Command’s standard heavy bomber, replacing the Handley Page Heyford. A total of 80 aircraft had been ordered, and these materialized as 34 Whitley Mk I bombers with two 682-kW (920-hp) Armstrong Siddeley Tiger IX radial engines, and 46 Whitley Mk II bombers with Tiger Vllls. Early Whitley Mk Is possessed no dihedral on their outer wings. First squadron to receive Whitley Mk Is was No. 10 at Dishforth in March 1939.

The Whitley Mk I was already disappearing from front line service when war broke out (although the last examples did not leave No. 166 Squadron until April 1940). In the meantime the Whitley Mk III (also with Tiger Vllls) had appeared; this version, of which 60 were produced, featured a retractable ‘dustbin’ ventral gun position. It served on Nos 7, 51, 38, 77, 97, 102 and 166 Squadrons until April 1940. Also introduced that year was the Whitley Mk IV with 768-kW (1,030-hp) Rolls-Royce Merlin IV W-12 engines and the Whitley Mk IVA with 854-kW (1,145-hp) Merlin Xs; only 40 were produced, but they served to introduce a new power-operated four-gun Nash and Thompson tail turret (the ‘dustbin’ being abandoned).

The main production version was the Whitley Mk V, whose delivery started to Nos 77 and 78 Squadrons in September 1939, and of which 1,476 were built before June 1943, when production was halted. Also powered by Merlin Xs, the Whitley Mk V featured a 38-cm (15-in) longer fuselage and straight leading edges to the fins. Although it was the Tiger-powered Mk III that performed almost all the early leaflet-dropping sorties of the first six months of the war (including the first sortie over Germany on the night of 3-4 September 1939 by 10 Whitleys of Nos 51 and 88 Squadrons), it was the Whitley Mk V that assumed the bombing role from March 1940 onwards; and on 11-12 May, immediately after the German attack in the West, Whitleys and Handley Page Hampdens dropped the first RAF bombs on German mainland in an attack on railway targets near München Gladbach.

The following month Whitleys were the first RAF bombers to attack targets in Italy, flying from the UK and refuelling in the Channel Islands to attack Turin and Genoa. Though never to achieve fame for outstanding exploits, the immensely rugged Whitley gave yeoman service with Bomber Command despite being obviously slow and vulnerable in the face of fast-improving enemy night defences. They were for instance among the aircraft that first raided Berlin on the night of 25-26 August 1940, and it was as the pilot of a Whitley during a raid on Cologne on 12-13 November that year that Leonard Cheshire (later Group Captain, VC) was awarded the DSO. Wing Commander P. C. Pickard (later to achieve fame as the Mosquito leader in the raid on Amiens gaol) led Whitleys of No. 51 Squadron in the airborne raid on the radar installation at Bruneval on 27-28 February 1942.

Whitleys flew their last raid with Bomber Command during an attack on Ostend on the night of 29-30 April 1942.

**Specification**

Armstrong Whitworth Whitley Mk V

Type: five-man bomber

**Dimensions:** span 25.60m (84ft 0 in); wing area 105.63m$^2$ (1,137.00 sq ft)

**Weights:** empty 8777kg (19,350 lb); normal load 9550kg (21,050 lb); maximum take-off 15196 kg (33,500 lb)

**Performance:** maximum speed 370 km/h (230 mph) at 5000 m (16,400 ft); climb to 4570 m (15,000 ft) in 16 minutes; service ceiling 7925 m (26,000 ft); range 2415 km (1,500 miles) with normal tankage

**Dimensions:** span 25.60m (84ft 0 in); height 7.47m (24ft 5 in)

**Armament:** one 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun in the nose turret and four 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns in the tail turret, plus a maximum bomb-load of 3175 kg (7,000 lb)

**Powerplant:** two 854-kW (1,145-hp) Rolls-Royce Merlin X W-12 piston engines

**Payload:** maximum speed 370 km/h (230 mph) at 5000 m (16,400 ft); climb to 4570 m (15,000 ft) in 16 minutes; service ceiling 7925 m (26,000 ft); range 2415 km (1,500 miles) with normal tankage

**Weights:** empty 8777kg (19,350 lb); maximum take-off 15196 kg (33,500 lb)

**Dimensions:** span 25.60m (84ft 0 in); height 7.47m (24ft 5 in)

**Armament:** one 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun in the nose turret and four 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns in the tail turret, plus a maximum bomb-load of 3175 kg (7,000 lb)

**Powerplant:** two 854-kW (1,145-hp) Rolls-Royce Merlin X W-12 piston engines

**Payload:** maximum speed 370 km/h (230 mph) at 5000 m (16,400 ft); climb to 4570 m (15,000 ft) in 16 minutes; service ceiling 7925 m (26,000 ft); range 2415 km (1,500 miles) with normal tankage

**Weights:** empty 8777kg (19,350 lb); maximum take-off 15196 kg (33,500 lb)

**Dimensions:** span 25.60m (84ft 0 in); height 7.47m (24ft 5 in)

**Armament:** one 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun in the nose turret and four 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns in the tail turret, plus a maximum bomb-load of 3175 kg (7,000 lb)

**Powerplant:** two 854-kW (1,145-hp) Rolls-Royce Merlin X W-12 piston engines

**Payload:** maximum speed 370 km/h (230 mph) at 5000 m (16,400 ft); climb to 4570 m (15,000 ft) in 16 minutes; service ceiling 7925 m (26,000 ft); range 2415 km (1,500 miles) with normal tankage

**Weights:** empty 8777kg (19,350 lb); maximum take-off 15196 kg (33,500 lb)

**Dimensions:** span 25.60m (84ft 0 in); height 7.47m (24ft 5 in)

**Armament:** one 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun in the nose turret and four 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns in the tail turret, plus a maximum bomb-load of 3175 kg (7,000 lb)

**Powerplant:** two 854-kW (1,145-hp) Rolls-Royce Merlin X W-12 piston engines

**Payload:** maximum speed 370 km/h (230 mph) at 5000 m (16,400 ft); climb to 4570 m (15,000 ft) in 16 minutes; service ceiling 7925 m (26,000 ft); range 2415 km (1,500 miles) with normal tankage

**Weights:** empty 8777kg (19,350 lb); maximum take-off 15196 kg (33,500 lb)

**Dimensions:** span 25.60m (84ft 0 in); height 7.47m (24ft 5 in)

**Armament:** one 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun in the nose turret and four 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns in the tail turret, plus a maximum bomb-load of 3175 kg (7,000 lb)

**Powerplant:** two 854-kW (1,145-hp) Rolls-Royce Merlin X W-12 piston engines

**Payload:** maximum speed 370 km/h (230 mph) at 5000 m (16,400 ft); climb to 4570 m (15,000 ft) in 16 minutes; service ceiling 7925 m (26,000 ft); range 2415 km (1,500 miles) with normal tankage

**Weights:** empty 8777kg (19,350 lb); maximum take-off 15196 kg (33,500 lb)

**Dimensions:** span 25.60m (84ft 0 in); height 7.47m (24ft 5 in)
Lancaster B.Mk I of No. 467 Sqn, Royal Australian Air Force, flying from Waddington. Now preserved at the RAF Museum, the aircraft flew 137 sorties and bore the inscription ‘No enemy aircraft will fly over the Reich territory.’ Coering’s inaccurate and ironic prophecy.

...to carry 1814 kg (4,000 lb) of bombs, was enlarged progressively to carry bigger and bigger bombs: up to 3629 and 5443 kg (8,000 and 12,000 lb) and eventually to Barnes Wallis’ enormous 9979-kg (22,000-lb) ‘Grand Slam’, the heaviest bomb carried by any aircraft in World War II.

The Lancaster’s existence was not revealed to the public until 17 August of that year, when 12 aircraft from Nos 44 and 97 Squadrons carried out an unescorted daylight raid on Augsburg. Flown at low level, the raid inflicted considerable damage on a factory producing U-boat diesel engines, but the cost was high, seven aircraft being lost, Squadron Leaders Nettleton and Sherwood each received the Victoria Cross, the latter posthumously, for leading the operation, which perhaps confirmed to the Air Staff that unescorted daylight raids by heavy bombers were not a practicable proposition.

It would be true to say that development of the Lancaster went hand-in-hand with development of bombs. The early Lancasters carried their bomb loads in normal flush-fitting bomb bays, but as bombs got larger it became necessary, in order to be able to close the bomb doors, to make the bays deeper so that they protruded slightly below the fuselage line. Eventually, with other developments, the bomb doors were omitted altogether for certain specialist types of bomb.

The German battleship Tirpitz was attacked on several occasions by Lancasters until, on 12 November 1944, a combined force from Nos 9 and 617 Squadrons found the battleship in Tromso Fjord, Norway, and sank her with 5443-kg (12,000-lb) Tallboy bombs, also designed by Barnes Wallis. The ultimate in conventional high explosive bombs was reached with the 9979-kg (22,000-lb) ‘Grand Slam’, a weapon designed to destroy concrete by exploding some way beneath the surface, so creating an earthquake effect. No. 617 Squadron first used the ‘Grand Slam’ operationally against the Bielefeld Viaduct on 14 March 1945, causing considerable destruction amongst its spans.

In spite of the other variants built from time to time, the Lancaster B.Mk I (Lancaster B.Mk 1 from 1945) remained in production throughout the war, and the last was delivered by Armstrong Whitworth on 2 February 1946. Production had encompassed two Mk I prototypes, 3,425 Mk Is, 301 Mk Us, 3,039 Mk Ills, 180 Mk VIIIs and 430 Mk Xs, a total of 7,377. These were built by Avro (3,673), Armstrong Whitworth (1,329), Austin Motors (330), Metropolitan Vickers (1,080), Vickers-Armstrongs (535) and Victory Aircraft (430). Some conversions between different mark numbers took place. Statistics show that at least 59 Bomber Command squadrons operated Lancasters, which flew more than 156,000 sorties and dropped, in addition to 608,612 tons (618,350 tonnes) of high explosive bombs, more than 51 million incendiaries.

Right: A summer evening in 1943: briefing is over, pre-flight checks completed, the aircraft bombed-up; soon the calm of the dispersal area will be shattered by the sound of Merlins. The nightly raids were inevitably met by fierce AA and night fighters, and the nearest aircraft’s seven missions are no small feat.

Specification
Avro Lancaster B.Mk I
Type: seven-seat heavy bomber
Powerplant: four 1223-kW (1,640-hp) Rolls-Royce Merlin XXIV V-12 piston engines
Performance: maximum speed 462 km/h (287 mph) at 3505 m (11,500 ft); cruising speed 338 km/h (210 mph) at 6096 m (20,000 ft); range 4070 km (2,530 miles) with 7,000-lb (3175-kg) bombload
Weights: empty 16738 kg (36,900 lb); maximum take-off 31751 kg (70,000 lb)
Dimensions: span 31.09 m (102 ft 0 in); length 21.18 m (69 ft 6 in); height 6.10 m (20 ft 0 in); wing area 120.49 m² (1,297.0 sq ft)
Armament: 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns (two each in nose and dorsal turrets, and four in tail turret), plus bomb load comprising one (9979-kg (22,000-lb) bomb or up to 6350 kg (14,000-lb) bomb or up to 6350 kg (14,000-lb) of smaller bombs.

Lancaster Mklls pour off the production line atA.V. Roe’s Woodford factory. A total of 7,377 aircraft were built by a variety of manufacturers, and the sheer volume of this effort combined with the considerable qualities of the aircraft played a considerable part in the destruction of the Reich.
Handley Page Halifax

Second only in importance to the Avro Lancaster in Bomber Command’s great night offensive between 1941 and 1945, the four-engine Handley Page Halifax was originally designed around a pair of Vulture engines but, when first flown on 25 October 1939, the choice of four Merlins had been made. The first aircraft arrived in No. 35 Squadron in November 1940 and flew their first raid on 10-11 March 1941. Production was widely subcontracted and quickly accelerated, the Merlin X-powered Halifax Mk I with two-gun nose turret and no dorsal turret being followed by the Halifax Mk IIA Series 1 with Merlin XXs and a two-gun dorsal turret. In the Halifax Mk II Series IA a large transparent fairing improved the whole nose shape, this version also introducing a Defiant-type four-gun dorsal turret. The Halifax Mk III was powered by Bristol Hercules XVI radials, and later examples introduced a wing span increased from 30.12m (99 ft 1 in) to 31.75 m (104 ft 2 in). The Halifax Mk V with Dowty landing gear served with Coastal and Bomber Commands; the Halifax Mk VI with Hercules 100 engines and Halifax Mk VII with Hercules XVIs (both versions with increased fuel capacity) joined Bomber Command in 1944. Halifax Mks II, V and VII versions also served in paratrooping and glider towing roles with the airborne forces (being the only aircraft to tow the big Hamilcar) and were joined by the Halifax Mk VIII just before the end of the war. Production totalled 6,176 Halifaxes, the bomber versions flying a total of 75,532 sorties and dropping 227,610 tons of bombs.

Specifiers

Handley Page Halifax Mk VI
Type: seven-crew night heavy bomber
Powerplant: four 1,800-hp (1343-kW) Bristol Hercules 100 radial piston engines
Performance: maximum speed 502 km/h (312 mph) at 6705 m (22,000 ft); climb to 6096 m (20,000 ft) in 50 minutes; service ceiling 7315 m (24,000 ft); range with 5897-kg (13,000-lb) bombload 2028 km (1,260 miles)
Weights: empty 17690 kg (39,000 lb); maximum take-off 30845 kg (68,000 lb)
Dimensions: span 31.75 m (104 ft 2 in); length 21.82 m (71 ft 7 in); height 6.32 m (20 ft 9 in); wing area 118.45 m² (1,275.0 sq ft)
Armament: one 7.7-mm (.303-in) machine-gun in nose and four 7.7-mm (.303-in) machine-guns in each of dorsal and tail turrets, plus a maximum bombload of 5897 kg (13,000 lb)

The Short Stirling

The Short Stirling was the first of RAF Bomber Command’s trio of four-engine heavy bombers that mounted the great night offensive over Europe during the last four years of the war, and the only one conceived from the outset as a four-engine aircraft. Designed to a 1936 specification, the Stirling was initially flown as a half-scale prototype in 1938, this being followed by the full-size prototype which was destroyed on its first flight in May 1939. Production deliveries were first made to No. 7 Squadron in August 1940 (at the height of the Battle of Britain) and the Stirling Mk I flew its first operation on 10-11 February 1941. The type first bombed Berlin two months later. The Stirling Mk I of which 756 were produced, was powered by Hercules XI radials, but the Stirling Mk II with Wright Cyclones did not progress beyond the prototype stage. The Stirling Mk III was powered by Hercules XVIs and, with 875 built (plus many Mk Is converted) consti-
tuted the main bomber variant; it also introduced the two-gun dorsal turret. Stirlings were the first operational aircraft to carry the original form of Oboe navigation aid in 1941, and in August 1942 took part in the first Pathfinder operations. Two posthumous VCs were won by Stirling pilots (Flight Sergeant R. H. Middleton of No. 149 Squadron and Flight Sergeant A. L. Aaron of No. 218 Squadron), both during raids on northern Italy. By 1944 the Stirling Mk III was obsolescent, and flew its last raid in September that year. The Stirling Mk IV (of which 577 were built) was a transport/glider tug without nose and dorsal turrets, and was widely used on operations by the airborne forces during the last year of the war. The Stirling Mk V transport (160 built), without armament, joined the RAF in January 1945. Stirling bombers equipped 15 squadrons.

Employing the efficient geodetic lattice structure, the twin-engine Vickers Wellington continued in service with Bomber Command until 1945, far longer than its contemporaries, the Handley Page Hampden and Armstrong Whitworth Whitley. Designed to meet a 1932 requirement, the Wellington Mk I (with Nash and Thompson nose and tail gun turrets) and the Wellington Mk IC (with lateral guns in place of the ventral turret) followed, together with the Merlin-powered Wellington Mk II and Hercules III- or XI-powered Wellington Mk III, and at the beginning of the war six squadrons were flying the Wellington. Early daylight raids resulted in heavy losses owing to the Wellington's large defenceless arcs and in 1940 the aircraft joined the night bombing force. On 1 April 1941 a Wellington dropped the RAF's first 1814-kg (4,000-lb) bomb. Subsequent bomber versions included the Twin Wasp-powered Wellington Mk IV, and Wellington Mk V and Mk VI high-altitude aircraft with pressure cabins and Hercules or Merlin engines respectively; these latter versions did not see combat service. The Wellington Mk X with Hercules XVIIIs was the final bomber version, and the last raid by Bomber Command Wellingtons took place on 8-9 October 1945. In the meantime Wellingtons had been flying on maritime duties, the Wellington DW. Mk I with large mine-exploding hoops having operated in 1940 and Wellington Mk IC minelayers soon after this. Coastal Command versions included the Wellington GR.Mk VIII with Pegasus engines and ASV radar, the Wellington GR.Mks XI, XII and XIV with Hercules, Leigh Light and provision for two torpedoes; the Wellington T. Mk XVII and XVIII were trainers, and many Mk Xs were converted to 'flying classrooms'. Wellingtons were also used as test-beds for early jet engines. The Wellington C. Mks XV and XVI were transport conversions of the Mk IC. A total of 11,461 aircraft was produced.

Specification

Vickers Wellington Mk III
Type: six-crew night medium bomber
Powerplant: two 1,119-kW (1,500-hp) Bristol Hercules XI radial piston engines
Performance: maximum speed 411 km/h (255 mph) at 3,810 m (12,500 ft); initial climb 283 m (930 ft); service ceiling 5,790 m (19,000 ft); range with 2,041-kg (4,500-lb) bombload 2,478 km (1,540 miles)
Weights: empty 8,605 kg (18,970 lb); maximum take-off 15,422 kg (34,000 lb)
Dimensions: span 30.20 m (99 ft 1 in); length 26.50 m (87 ft 3 in); height 5.00 m (15 ft 7 in); wing area 78.04 m² (840.0 sq ft)
Armament: two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns in nose turret, four 7.7-mm (0.303-in) guns in tail turret, and two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns in beam positions, plus a maximum bombload of 2,041 kg (4,500 lb)

Armourers prepare the fuses of 500-lb (227-kg) bombs before moving the bomb train under the fuselage of a Wellington. The aircraft had a poor start on day missions, proving easy meat for German fighters, but found itself admirably suited for night ops, setting the trend for RAF bombing throughout the war.
Pursuing an operational theory that high flying, heavily armed bombers were the surest means of striking the Luftwaffe’s head-on attacks, introduced the two-gun ‘chin’ turret; production totalled 8,680 B-17G aircraft by Boeing, Douglas and Lockheed-Vega. The Fortress was deployed principally in Europe during the war, with much smaller numbers operating in the Far East. The type carryed out many epic raids, large formations of bombers, each bristling with heavy machine-guns and providing mutual protection against enemy fighters, pounding across the daylight skies over Hitler’s Reich. In due course heavy losses forced the Americans to introduce escort fighters - the P-38, P-47 and P-51. One temporary expedient involved the use of a small number of B-17s modified as YA-40 ‘escort’ aircraft, some aircraft carrying up to 30 machine-guns. Fortresses (B-17Cs, Fs and Gs) served in small numbers with RAF Bomber and Coastal Commands.

**Specification**

**Boeing B-17G Flying Fortress**

**Type:** 10-crew daylight medium/heavy bomber

**Powerplant:** four 895-kW (1,200-hp) Wright Cyclone R-1820-97 radial piston engines

**Performance:**
- **Maximum speed**: 462 km/h (287 mph) at 7620 m (25,000 ft); climb to 6096 m (20,000 ft) in 37 minutes; service ceiling 10850 m (35,600 ft); range with 2722-kg (6,000-lb) bombload 3220 km (2,000 miles)
- **Weights**: empty 16391kg (36,135 lb); maximum take-off 23600 kg (52,000 lb)
- **Dimensions**: span 31.62 m (103 ft 9 in); length 22.78 m/74 ft 9 in); height 5.82 m (19 ft 11 in); wing area 131.92 m² (1,420 sq ft)

**Armament:**
- Twin 12.7-mm (0.5-in) gun turrets under nose, aft of cockpit, under centre fuselage and in tail, and single-gun mountings in sides of nose, in radio operator’s hatch and in waist (beam) positions, plus a maximum bombload of 7933 kg (17,600 lb)

**Boeing B-17F Flying Fortress cutaway drawing key**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-121</td>
<td>Rudderconstruction, Ruddertab, Ruddertab actuation, Tail gunners’ station, Gun turret, Twin 5.5-in (12.7-mm machine guns), Tail cone, Tail gunner’s seat, Ammunition trunnions, Elevator trim tab, Starboard elevator, Tailplane structure, Tailplane front spar, Tailplane/fuselage attachment, Control cables, Elevator control mechanism, Rudders control linkage, Rudders centre hinge, Fin structure, Rudders upper hinge, Fin skinning, Aerial attachment, Aerial installation, Pin leading-edge de-icing boot, Port elevator, Port tailplane, Tailplane leading-edge de-icing boot, Dorsal fin structure, Fuselage fairing, Tailwheel actuation, Tailwheel structure, Duraluminium, Fuselage frame, Upper window (flush), Dorsal fin structure, Vertical bomb stowage (starboard), Fire extinguisher, Radio compartment/bomb-bay bulkhead (port side shown), Fire extinguisher, Screen, Nose machine gun (subsequently replaced by 12.7-mm machine gun), Norden bombsight, Norden bombsight (port side), Aileron tab (port only), Rudderpost, Rudder centre hinge, Rudder control linkage, Rudder upper hinge, Rudder construction, Ruddertab actuation, Ruddertab, 50-69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
One of the most famous bombers of all time, the Boeing B-17 was so impressive when the prototype appeared in July 1935 that it was dubbed 'the Flying Fortress'. The name stuck and became a registered trademark. The US Army Air Corps had merely asked for a 'multi-engine' bomber, to carry a 2,000-lb (907-kg) bombload. Rival companies built twin-engine machines, but Boeing went for four engines to get more speed and altitude. Eventually the first B-17 was delivered on 1 March 1937, with a crew of eight distributed around the tube-like fuselage, five of them each manning a defensive machine-gun. Amidships was a short but deep bomb bay housing up to 2,177 kg (4,800 lb) of bombs, with a catwalk down the centre.

By 1940 the production model was the B-17C, with 1,200-hp (895-kW) turbosupercharged Cyclone engines giving a maximum speed of 515 km/h (320 mph), much faster than later models. In 1941 the RAF was given 20 because the US Army wanted to see how this model, with more guns, self-sealing tanks and armour, performed in combat. The result was a disaster, nine being destroyed in a few weeks, but a lot of the trouble was bad luck and bad management. Enough was learned, however, for Boeing to redesign the B-17 and the resulting B-17E flew on 5 September 1941.

The B-17E had a giant dorsal fin, giving better bombing accuracy at high altitudes, and a larger tailplane. There were many internal changes, but the main difference was in radically better defensive firepower, with 10 12.7-mm (0.5-in) Brownings and two or three of 7.62-mm (0.3-in) calibre. The small guns were manually aimed from the nose, while the big weapons were in a two-gun power-driven dorsal turret, a twin manual installation in the roof of the radio compartment, two manual waist positions, a manual tail turret (filling what was previously a blind spot) and under the fuselage a powered ball turret whose occupant had to be small. In the event of a belly landing the ball turret had to be vacated, and if the door jammed the whole turret had to be severed from the aircraft by a special spanner carried on board, the occupant then escaping as it fell. On at least one occasion it was found the special tool was not on board, and the operations officer of an 8th Air Force bomb group took off in another B-17 and passed the implement across trailing on a long piece of string.

In April 1942 the B-17F introduced many improvements including a frameless Plexiglas nose which in later versions had two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) guns in left and right cheek mountings. Hundreds of B-17Fs formed the backbone of the growing might of the 8th Air Force, which from August 1942 operated over Germany and other European countries. After much action the Luftwaffe decided head-on attacks were especially effective and to counter these the main change in the B-17G was to add a chin turret with two more guns, making a total of 13, all of 12.7-mm (0.5-in) calibre (only one gun was fitted in the roof of the radio compartment, making the odd number, and later this was often omitted). The B-17G was the final mainstream variant, and 8,680 were built, the last 7,000 or so being unpainted instead of olive-drab.
Consolidated B-24 Liberator

One of the last B-24s to get into action, this B-24J-190 was given a particularly flamboyant paint job by the 43rd Bomb Group operating against the Japanese mainland from Ie Shima in the spring of 1945. The dorsal turret was omitted, reflecting the approach of victory.

Produced in larger numbers than any other American aircraft during the war (and any other four-engine aircraft in history) the Consolidated B-24 Liberator did not enter the design stage until 1939, and the prototype XB-24 was flown on 29 December that year. Minor development batches followed in 1940 before the first major production version, the B-24D, appeared late in 1941. A policy decision to concentrate B-24s primarily in the Pacific theatre (where the type’s long range was used to good effect) resulted in most of the 2,738 B-24Ds being deployed against Japan, but the 8th and 9th Air Forces in Europe and North Africa also received the aircraft, one of their outstanding raids being the attack on the Ploesti oil refineries on 1 August 1943. A total of 791 B-24E bombers with changed propellers was produced before production switched to the B-24G, of which 430 were built. This version introduced a two-gun nose turret to counter German head-on fighter attacks and was followed by 3,100 B-24H aircraft with various makes of nose turret. Major production version was the B-24J, of which 6,678 were built, incorporating a Motor Products nose turret, new-type autopilot and bombsight. The B-24L (1,667 built) featured two manually operated tail guns in a Consolidated turret, and the B-24M (2,593 built) introduced a Motor Products two-gun tail turret. This huge manufacturing effort (which produced a total of 18,313 aircraft in five and a half years) involved Consolidated, Douglas, Ford and North American plants, the total including many aircraft for the RAF (in which Liberators served with 42 squadrons) and US Navy (with whom Liberators served under the designation PB4Y) and also the 25-passeneger C-87 version, of which 282 were produced.

Specification
Consolidated B-24J Liberator
Type: eight/tén-crew daylight medium/heavy bomber
Powerplant: four 1,200-hp (895-kW) Pratt & Whitney R-1830-65 radial piston engines
Performance: maximum speed 467 km/h (290 mph) at 7,620 m (25,000 ft); climb to 6,096 m (20,000 ft) in 25 minutes; service ceiling 8,535 m (28,000 ft); range 3,220 km (2,000 miles) with a 3,992-kg (8,800 lb) bombload
Weights: empty 16,556 kg (36,500 lb); maximum take-off 29,484 kg (65,000 lb)
Dimensions: span 33.53 m (110 ft 0 in); length 20.47 m (67 ft 2 in); height 5.49 m (18 ft 0 in); wing area 97.36 m² (1,048.0 sq ft)
Armament: two-gun turrets in nose, tail, upper fuselage aft of cockpit and under centre fuselage, and single manual guns in waist (beam) positions for a total of 10 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns, plus a normal bombload of 3,992 kg (8,800 lb)

Formation flying is an art in itself, and forming a heavy aircraft while joining up in formations of 40 or more, often in partial cloud, took concentration. The Americans used brightly coloured assembly ships, usually with polka dots to facilitate the forming of combat boxes. Such gaudy aircraft did not fly on missions but returned to base when the formation had set course.
Design of the Boeing B-29 Superfortress heavy bomber started in 1940 to meet a US Army Air Corps requirement for a 'Hemisphere Defense Weapon', an aircraft capable of carrying 907kg (2,000 lb) of bombs for 8582km (5,333 miles) at 644 km/h (400 mph); only after the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor put an end to the USA's isolationism was the project given top priority, and the first XB-29 was flown on 21 September 1942. The attack on Pearl Harbor put an end to the USA's isolationism was the project given top priority, and the first XB-29 was flown on 21 September 1942.

The mighty Superfortress. One of the most remarkable achievements of the war was the design, development and production of this bomber in the space of four years. All B-29s were assigned to the assault on Japan, the two aircraft here - YB-29s - being flown by the 393rd Bomb Squadrons. The aircraft portrayed flew with the YokosukaKokutai.Atsugi during the last two years of the war. The aircraft portrayed flew with the YokosukaKokutai.Atsugi during the last two years of the war. 

When Allied fighter opposition eventually increased to effective proportions, the G4M1 was seen to be very vulnerable, possessing little armour protection for crew and fuel tanks, and it was in a pair of G4Mls that Admiral Yamamoto and his staff were travelling when shot down by P-38s over Bougainville on 18 April 1943. Little improvement had been secured in the Navy Type 1 Attack Bomber Model 11 during the last two years of the war.

A feature of the B-29's gun armament was the use of remotely controlled turrets, periscopically sighted by gunners located within the fuselage. The aircraft illustrated, carrying BTO (bombing through overcast) radar, was based on Tinian for the final raids on Japan.

 codenamed 'Betty' by the Allies, the Mitsubishi G4M long-range medium bomber remained in service with the Japanese navy from the first to the last day of the war: it took part in the attack that sank the British warships HMS Prince of Wales and HMS Repulse in December 1941, and it carried the Japanese surrender delegation on 19 August 1945. Designed to a 1937 requirement for a long-range bomber, the G4M1 prototype made its first flight on 23 October 1939, and during trials recorded an extraordinary performance of a 444-km/h (276-mph) top speed and 5555-km (3,450-mile) range, albeit without bombload. The first production G4Mls (Navy Type 1 Attack Bomber Model 11) were initially deployed against China in mid-1941, but on the eve of the attack on Malaya the bombers moved to Indo-China and within a week had successfully attacked the Prince of Wales and Repulse. When Allied fighter opposition eventually increased to effective proportions, the G4M1 was seen to be very vulnerable, possessing little armour protection for crew and fuel tanks, and it was in a pair of G4Mls that Admiral Yamamoto and his staff were travelling when shot down by P-38s over Bougainville on 18 April 1943. Little improvement had been secured in the Navy Type 1 Attack Bomber Model 22 with revised powerplant. The G4M2
was therefore introduced with increased armament, increased fuel and 1,200-hp (1,434-kW) Mitsubishi Kasei radial engines, and this version (Navy Type 1 Attack Bomber Model 22A and Model 22B) remained in production until the end of the war in steadily improved Navy Type 1 Attack Bomber Model 24 variants. A further improved version, the G4M3, with increased crew protection, was also produced in small numbers as the Navy Type 1 Attack Bomber Model 34. Production amounted to 1,200 G4M1s, 1,154 G4M2s and 60 G4M3s.

One of the great bombers of the war, the Ilyushin 11-4 has not unnaturally been overshadowed in Western thinking by the great British and American aircraft, yet well over 5,000 Il-4s were produced between 1937 and 1944, the vast majority in the last three years. The original prototype of this low-wing twin-engine bomber, designated the TsKB-26, flew in 1935, was developed through the TsKB-30, and entered production in 1937 as the DB-3F (DB being a Soviet contraction denoting long-range bomber). Early examples were powered by 765-hp (571-kW) M-85 engines, but these were replaced by 960-hp (716-kW) M-86s in 1938. Although a tough and relatively simple design, the aircraft suffered from a poor defensive armament of single nose, dorsal and ventral 7.62-mm (0.3-in) guns, and lost heavily to such aircraft as the Bristol Bulldog, Gloster Gladiator and Fokker D.XXI during the Winter War against Finland in 1939-40. In 1939 a modified version with lengthened nose and more armour (the DB-3F) appeared, and in 1940, in conformity with changed Russian practice, the designation became 11-4 (denoting the designer, Sergei Ilyushin). Soon after the German attack on the USSR opened in 1941 it was decided to withdraw 11-4 production to newly opening plants in Siberia, at the same time replacing a large proportion of the metal structure by less strategically critical wood. II-4s also entered service with Soviet Naval Aviation, and it was a naval-manned force of these bombers that first raided Berlin from the east on 8 August 1941. Thereafter the 11-4 paid frequent visits to the German capital and other targets in Eastern Europe. In 1944 production ended, although the II-4 served until the end of the war and afterwards. Apart from increasing the calibre of its guns and giving it a torpedocarrying ability, the II-4 remained virtually unchanged between 1941 and 1944.

**Specification**

**Ilyushin II-4**

**Type:** four-crew bomber/torpedo-bomber

**Powerplant:** two 1,800-hp (1,343-kW) Mitsubishi MK4P Kasei 21 radial piston engines

**Performance:** maximum speed 438 km/h (272 mph) at 4600 m (15,090 ft); climb to 8000 m (26,245 ft) in 32.4 minutes; service ceiling 8950 m (29,365 ft); range 6059 km (3,765 miles)

**Weights:** empty 8160 kg (17,990 lb); normal loaded 12500 kg (27,558 lb)

**Dimensions:** span 25.00 m (82 ft 4 in);

**Armament:** two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Type 92 machine-guns in nose, dorsal and ventral positions, plus a maximum bombload of 1000 kg (2,205 lb) or three 300 kg (1,102 lb) torpedoes

**Length:** 20.00 m (67 ft 7¾ in); height 6.00 m (19 ft 8½ in); wing area 78.125 m² (840.93 sq ft)

**Armament:** two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Type 92 machine-guns in nose, one 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Type 92 machine-gun in each side blister position, one 20-mm Type 99 cannon in dorsal turret and one 20-mm Type 99 in tail, plus 1000 kg (2,205 lb) of bombs or one 800-kg (1,764-lb) torpedo

**The Ilyushin II-4 was roughly in the same class as the Heinkel He 111 and first saw service in the Winter War with Finland. It was the first Soviet bomber to raid Berlin, and served until the last few months of the war, when it was relegated to glider towing.**

---

**Germany**

**HeinkelHe 111**

Longest-serving medium bomber of the Luftwaffe, the Heinkel He 111 stemmed from a design by Siegfried and Walter Günther for a dual-purpose commercial transport/bomber produced in 1934 and flown on 24 February 1935. Early versions featured a conventional stepped windscreen and elliptical wing leading edge, and a bomber version with these features, the He 111B-1, served with the Legion Condor in the Spanish Civil War. The first production version with straight wing leading edge was the He 111F, and the He 111F incorporated a fully-glazed asymmetric nose without external windscreen step. He 111Fs with DB 601A engines were delivered to the Luftwaffe in 1939 before production switched to the most widely-used variant, the He 111H with Junkers Ju 288 engines; sub-variants of this series formed the backbone of the Luftwaffe’s bomber force between 1940 and 1943; among the bomber units switched from the night-attack on Britain to the Eastern Front in 1941 was KGSS ‘Greif (Griffon Wing), one of whose Heinkel He111s is seen here being armed with an externally carried bomb prior to a raid.
From mid-1940 onwards, with the arrival in service of bombs too large to be carried internally, He 111 Is frequently carried their loads externally. A Heinkel He 111H-6 in the markings of KG 261 is shown.

they took part in numerous raids in the Battle of Britain and were flown by the pathfinder unit, KGr 100. The first version to carry torpedoes was the He 111H-6, followed by the He 111H-15; the He 111H-8 was fitted with a large and cumbersome balloon cable fender; the He 111H-11/R2 was a glider tug for the Go 242, while pathfinder versions with special radio were the He 111H-14 and He 111H-18; the He 111H-16 featured increased gun armament, and the He 111H-20 included 16-paratroop transport, night bomber and glider tug sub-variants. The He 111H-22 carried a single Fi 103 flying bomb and was used against the UK late in 1944. The most extraordinary of all was the He 111Z (Zwilling, or Twin) which consisted of two He 111Hs joined together with a new wing and twin engine: it was used mainly to tow the huge He 321 Gigant gliders. A total of about 7,300 He 111s was built.

**Heinkel He 177 Greif**

Heinkel He 177A-3/R3 Greif, Kampfgeschwader 1 'Hindenburg' based at Prowehren, East Prussia, mid-1944. KG 1 assembled about 90 of these bombers for attacks on Soviet communications and military installations, but unreliability dogged operations.

After the scrapping of German plans for a strategic bombing force in 1936, the Luftwaffe abandoned plans to develop a heavy bomber until in 1938 the RLM approached the Heinkel company with a requirement for such an aircraft, resulting in the Heinkel He 177 Greif (Griﬀon), a four-engine mid-wing aircraft in which the 1,000-hp (746-kW) DB 601 engines were coupled in pairs (termed DB 606s) to drive single propellers. The first aircraft, the He 177 V1, was flown on 19 November 1939. Continuing engine overheating problems as well as persistent structural failures delayed production, the first He 177A-1 not reaching I/KG 40 for operational trials until July 1942; in the course of these He 177s took part in raids on the UK, but generally they proved disappoiting m service. Several sub-variations of the He 177A-3 were produced, including the He 177A-3R3 which could carry three Hs 293 anti-shipping missiles, the He 177A-3R5 with 75-mm gun in the ventral gondola and the He 177A-3/R7 torpedo-bomber. He 177A-3s were used by KGr 2 to supply munitions to the beleaguered German forces at Stalingrad in January 1943. The He 177A-5 incorporated a stronger wing to carry heavier external loads, and a small number was converted to the Zerstörer role with 33 upward-firing rocket tubes in the space normally occupied by the bomb bays. Small numbers of He 177A-5s returned to the night attack on the UK early in 1944; this version proved to be the last to serve with the Luftwaffe (bombers being afforded low priority during the last year of the war), but many interesting projects continued to be pursued, including one involving the conversion of He 177 V38 as a carrier of Germany's atomic bomb, which m the event did not materialize. About 1,160 production and 30 prototype He 177s were built.

**Specification**

**Heinkel He 177A-5/R2 Greif**

**Type:** six-crew heavy bomber

**Powerplant:** two 2,950-hp (2200-kW) Daimler-Benz DB610A-1/B-1 131 engine

**Performance:**

- Maximum speed: 488 km/h (303 mph) at 6000 m (19,685 ft)
- Initial climb rate: 100 m (328 ft) per minute
- Service ceiling: 8120 m (26,660 ft)
- Range: 4580 km (2846 miles)
- Maximum take-off: 47,200 kg (104,100 lb)
- Internal bombload: 2000 kg (4,409 lb)
- Armament: one 20-mm MG 151 cannon in nose, one 13-mm MG 81 in forward dorsal turret, one 13-mm MG 81 in rear dorsal turret, one 13-mm MG 81 gun in ventral gondola, one 20-mm MG FF cannon in front of ventral gondola and one 20-mm MG FF in tail, plus a maximum internal bombload of 6000 kg (13,228 lb) or two He 293 missiles

**Dimensions:**

- Span: 33.60 m (110 ft)
- Length: 20.40 m (66 ft 8 in)
- Height: 5.80 m (19 ft 0 in)
- Wing area: 192.20 sq m (2065 sq ft)
- Empty weight: 21,400 kg (47,000 lb)
- Maximum take-off weight: 33,700 kg (74,300 lb)
- Fuel capacity: 17,400 kg (38,370 lb)

**In the normal process of design evolution it was recognized, culminating from a design compromise, the Dornier Do 17 could at best represent a stopgap for three or four years in Luftwaffe service, and in 1937, as that aircraft was entering operational units, the manufacturer proposed a slightly larger and dedicated development, the Dornier Do 17C.

Powered by 1,075-hp (802-kW) DB 601A engines, the prototype Do 217 VI was flown in August 1938 but at once showed that many of the excellent handling qualities of the earlier aircraft had disappeared; indeed, the prototype soon crashed. Several further prototypes followed with various modifications, including enlarged tail surfaces to overcome directional instability, but none received altogether favourable response from Luftwaffe pilots.

Eventual recourse was made to greatly increased engine power, and after limited production of the Do 217A reconnaissance aircraft and Do 217C bomber, a standard for full production settled upon the Do 217E, powered by two 1,550-hp (1156-kW) BMW 801A engines. Pre-production Do 217E-0 aircraft appeared in 1940, and service Do 217E-1 bombers early in 1941; the latter carried a bombload of 2000 kg (4,409 lb), a crew of four or five, and a defensive armament of five MG 15 machine-guns and a 15-mm MG 151 cannon.

First to receive the Do 217E bomber, in March 1941, was II/KG 40 for anti-shipping duties over the Atlantic, followed by all three Gruppen of KG 2. A large number of sub-variants and Rüstungsätze (field conversion kits) existed, including provision to carry two Henschel Hs 293 missiles, increased armour protection and armament progressively increased to seven MG 15s and a
On 21 December the same year. The British towns and cities in the so-called 'Baedeker raids' of April and May 1942. During the autumn of that year a new version, the Do 217K, joined KG 2; powered by 1,700-hp (1268-kW) BMW 801D radials, this version was in effect a more powerful counterpart of the Do 217E series and could accommodate all the Rüstsätze previously applied; it also eliminated the windscreen 'step' by introducing a completely new and bulbous nose profile. Produced almost simultaneously was the Do 217M, which was similar to the Do 217K series but with 1,750-hp (1306-kW) Daimler-Benz DB 603A liquid-cooled inverted V-12 engines. These two versions continued in service up to the end of the war, frequently being employed to deliver such weapons as the Hs 29A and Fritz-X rockets.

**German Bomber Ju 88**

The Ju 88 served in the bomber role throughout the war and in every theatre. Though Ju 88 served in the North African desert (note the white theatre band on the rear fuselage) with a pair of 250 kg (551 lb) bombs under the wings, inboard of the engine nacelles.

**Junkers Ju 88**

In terms of versatility and long service the Junkers Ju 88 bomber matched the outstanding record of the Messerschmitt Bf 109 fighter. Conceived as a high-speed medium bomber in 1936, the first prototype Ju 88 V1 was flown by Flugkapitän Kindermann at Dessau on 21 December the same year. The three-seat all-metal aircraft was originally powered by two 1,000-hp (746-kW) Daimler-Benz DB 600A V-12 engines in annular cowlings. Nine further prototypes followed before construction of 10 pre-production Ju 88A-0 aircraft was started in 1939, by which time the nose arid cabin had been revised to accommodate a four-man crew. Dive brakes were now fitted under the outer wings to enable dive attacks to be made, and external bomb racks under the inner wings increased the bomb load from 500 kg (1,102 lb), carried internally, to a total of 1500kg (3,307 lb).

Production Ju 88A-1 bombers were joining the Luftwaffe at the outbreak of war, and about 60 aircraft had been completed by the end of 1939. The Ju 88 test unit commanded by Hauptmann Pohl, Erprobungskommando 88, was redesignated I/KG 25 in August 1939, and the following month became I/KG 30, carrying out its first operation with an attack on British warships in the Firth of Forth on 26 September. A further raid on the same target followed on 16 October, when two Ju 88s were shot down by Supermarine Spitfires.

By the time of the German invasion of Norway seven Gruppen of LG 1, KG 30 and KG 51, together with Aufklärungsgruppe 122, had been equipped or were re-equipping with Ju 88A, production of which was nearing 300 a month. New bomber variants included the Ju 88A-2 with rocket-assisted take-off gear, the Ju 88A-4 with increased wing span, strengthened landing gear and 1,340-hp (1000-kW) Junkers Juno 211J-1 or J-2 engines, and the generally similar Ju 88A-5. All these versions appeared during 1940, the Ju 88A taking a prominent part in the summer Battle of Britain and winter Blitz with 17 Gruppen, of which 14 were Kampfgruppen. By reason of their relatively high speed, the Ju 88As proved the most difficult of the German bombers to destroy, and carried out a number of very successful attacks.

The Ju 88A series remained the principal bomber version, later subvariants including the Ju 88A-6 with balloon cable fender, the Ju 88A-6/U three-seat long-range maritime bomber with FuG 200 Hohentwiel search radar, the Ju 88A-9, Ju 88A-10 and Ju 88A-11 which were tropicalized versions of the Ju 88A-1, Ju 88A-5 and Ju 88A-4 respectively, the Ju 88A-14 anti-shipping strike bomber, the Ju 88A-15 with bulged bomb bay capable of enclosing 3000kg (6614 lb) of bombs, and the Ju 88A-17 torpedo-bomber. Ju 88As saw considerable action in the Balkans and Mediterranean, and of course on the Eastern Front. Perhaps their most outstanding service was however with KG 26 and KG 30 when based in northern Norway for operations against the Allied North Cape convoys in 1941; in all, the 120 Ju 88A involved are estimated to have sunk 27 merchant ships and seven naval vessels, Ju 88As of KG 26 and KG 30.

**Specification**

*Junkers Ju 88A-4*

**Type:** four-seat dive bomber

**Powerplant:** two 1,750-hp (1268-kW) Daimler-Benz DB 603A inverted V-12 piston engines

**Performance:**

- Maximum speed: 600 km/h (348 mph) at 5700 m (18,700 ft); initial climb rate 210 m (690 ft) per minute; service ceiling 9500m (31,180 ft); range 2500km (1,555 miles)

**Weights:**

- Empty: 9065kg (19,985 lb)
- Maximum take-off: 16700 kg (36,817 lb)
- Dimensions: span 19,000m (624 ft 4 in); length 17,00m (55ft 9 in); height 4,96m (16ft 3 in); wing area 57.00m² (613.54 sq ft)

**Armament:**

- Eight 7,92-mm (0.31-in) MG 81 and two 13-mm (0.51-in) MG 131 machine-guns, plus up to 4000 kg (8,818 lb) of bombs

**Engines:**

- Daimler-Benz DB 603A liquid-cooled inverted V-12 piston engine

**Weights:**

- Empty 9065kg (19,985 lb)
- Maximum take-off 16700 kg (36,817 lb)
- Dimensions: span 19,000m (624 ft 4 in); length 17,00m (55ft 9 in); height 4,96m (16ft 3 in); wing area 57.00m² (613.54 sq ft)

**Armament:**

- Eight 7,92-mm (0.31-in) MG 81 and two 13-mm (0.51-in) MG 131 machine-guns, plus up to 4000 kg (8,818 lb) of bombs

**Armament:**

- Eight 7,92-mm (0.31-in) MG 81 and two 13-mm (0.51-in) MG 131 machine-guns, plus up to 4000 kg (8,818 lb) of bombs
Light and Medium Bombers

The light/medium bomber was an ill-defined classification, and many types can lay claim to having performed this role. Here, the aircraft typifying this role are described, along with their phenomenal development and exploits during the course of the war.

The light and medium bombers of World War II were sandwiched between the glamorous day and night fighters on the one hand, and the strategic heavy bombers - such as the Boeing B-17, Consolidated B-24, Avro Lancaster and Handley Page Halifax - on the other. For a multitude of reasons the Japanese, Germans and Italians failed to introduce an efficient four-engine long-range heavy into service: the Heinkel He 177A-5 did become operational, but was in service with only a few Gruppen, and such was the nature of its maintenance and unreliability that its contribution to the Axis effort was minimal. The Axis nations therefore opted for a selection of light and medium bombers, restricted their use to tactical and naval work, and could never mount anything suggestive of a damaging campaign of bombing of strategic concept. Nevertheless much of the triumphal gain that fell to the Axis in the first half of World War II was born on the wings of the light bomber: for the Luftwaffe, the fabulous Junkers Ju 88 was the workhorse of 1939-45; and for the Regia Aeronautica, the 'evil hunchback' Savoia-Marchetti S.M.79 and the graceful CANT Z.1007; and for the Japanese, the Mitsubishi G3M2 'Nell' and G4M1 'Betty' of the Imperial Navy's land-based flotillas, and the Kawasaki Ki-48 'Lily' and Mitsubishi Ki-21 'Sally' of the Imperial Army's regiments. These represented the striking force of the Axis powers and, in their heyday before the advent of Allied air superiority, they were formidable.

The relative importance of tactical needs over those of a strategic nature dictated that the Soviet air forces (V-VS) would place much reliance on intermediate-range light bombers: the four-engine Tupolev Tu-2 and Petlyakov Pe-8 served well, but only in small numbers. The best Soviet bomber of 1941-4 was the Petlyakov Pe-2; it was replaced by the Tupolev Tu-2 in 1944. The Americans had the immediate advantage of having a wide selection of very powerful air-cooled radial engines when they went to war in 1941. Also they gained pre-knowledge of actual operations from their British ally. The light bombers produced for the US Army and US Navy - the North American B-25 Mitchell, the Martin B-26 Marauder, the Douglas A-20 Havoc, the Lockheed PBO-1 and PV-1 and others - served not only in the US forces but with a host of grateful Allies. All were universally efficient; there was not a failure among them. The Mitchell got the headlines in the papers for its daring low-level work in the Pacific theatre. For the British, the best was undoubtedly the extraordinary de Havilland Mosquito bomber series which defied logical design practice. But over Germany nothing could catch them, and a legend was born.
Bristol Blenheim

Flying for the first time on 12 April 1935 the Bristol Type 142 was a twin-engine high-speed transport designed at the request of the press baron, Lord Rothermere. So startling was the performance of the Type 142, and later the Type 142M, that they were adopted for development as a light bomber under the Air Ministry Specification B.28/35. This result was the Bristol Blenheim Mk I, which was ordered straight from the drawing board. The first examples went to No. 114 Squadron at Wyton in March 1935. At the time of the Munich Crisis in September 1938 the Blenheim Mk I equipped 16 squadrons in Nos 1, 2 and 5 (Bomber) Groups of Bomber Command. As early as January 1938 the Blenheim Mk I entered service with No. 30 Squadron at Habbaniya, Iraq, while other Blenheim Mk Is were posted to AHQ India early in the following year. The Blenheim Mk I was powered by two 840-hp (626-kW) Bristol Mercury VIII radial engines. The light armament consisted of one 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun in the wing, and one manually-operated 707-mm (57-mm) Vickers K gun in a dorsal turret; 454 kg (1,000 lb) of bombs could be carried. A total of 1,365 Blenheim Mk I bombers were produced by Bristol, Avro and Rootes: 45 were made under licence by the Finnish VLT company, and the Ikarus firm of Yugoslavia made 16. With its characteristic short-nosed glazed canopy the Blenheim Mk I was introduced to the RAF in Greece, Malaya and North Africa.

Engines of increased power and a longer, scalloped, nose characterized the main production variant, the Blenheim Mk IV, of which 3,286 were produced. Powered by two 920-hp (686-kW) Bristol Mercury XV radial engines, the Blenheim Mk IV equipped seven squadrons in No. 2 (Bomber) Group at the outbreak of war in September 1939; armament was increased by the installation of two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) guns in a Bristol B.I. Mk IV dorsal turret, while a rearward-firing twin-gun turret could be installed under the nose section, sighted by a periscope. The Blenheim Mk IV scored a number of ‘firsts’ in World War II. On 3 September Blenheim Mk IV (N6215) of No. 139 Squadron, under Flying Officer A. McPherson, became the first RAF aircraft to enter German airspace and photograph the fleet units off Wilhelmshaven. On the following day Blenheim Mk IVs of Nos 107 and 110 Squadrons made the first offensive attack by Bomber Command. The RAF’s first U-boat kill was made on 11 March 1940, by a Blenheim Mk IV of No. 82 Squadron flown by Squadron Leader M.V. Delap. Blenheim Mk IVs saw extensive service over France, off Norway, over Germany, Greece, Crete, North Africa, India, Malaya and Sumatra until August 1942 when they were phased out, Finland and Greece operated Blenheim Mk IVs, as did Canada where it was known as the Bolingbroke. The Blenheim Mk V (945 built) appeared in late 1942, powered by 950-hp (708-kW) Mercury XV radial piston engines

The crew of a Bristol Blenheim Mk IV board their aircraft prior to a raid. The Blenheim was the premier light bomber of the RAF in 1939, and continued to serve until the more potent Mosquito arrived in large-scale service. Those serving overseas continued to see action until late 1942.

Handley Page Hampden

As early as 1932, in answer to the Air Ministry specification B.9/32, a team at the Handley Page concern under G.R. Volkert designed the Handley Page HP.52, a slim twin-engine aircraft featuring a boom-type fuselage of very narrow width and considerable depth. Powered by two Bristol Pegasus PE.55(a) engines, the HP.52 flew for the first time on 21 June 1935 with Major J.L.H.B. Cordes at the controls. The first production HP.52, now known to the Royal Air Force as the Handley Page Hampden Mk I, flew its initial trials flights on 2 June 1936 following the issue of promising orders. The first example to serve with the RAF was passed to the Central Flying School at Upavon, and by December 1938 Nos 49, 50 and 83 Squadrons of RAF Bomber Command were in the process of re-equipment. On the outbreak of war Hampden Mk I bombers were in service with Nos 44, 49, 50, 61, 83, 106, 144 and 185 Squadrons based in Lincolnshire and Huntingdonshire under No. 5 (Bomber) Group. The Scampton-based No. 83 Squadron sent an armed reconnaissance to the Schillig Roads on 3 September 1939, but fog forced an early return to base. In common with other RAF bombers of the period, the Hampden Mk I was grossly under-armed; defensive gunnery was limited to only three 7.7-mm (0.303-in) hand-
held Vickers K guns. Operating within a few miles of the German coast in broad daylight soon brought repercussions. On 29 September Nos 61 and 144 Squadrons were operating over the German Bight when their Hampdens were bounced by a mixed formation of cannon-firing Messerschmitt Bf 109Es and Bf 110Cs from Jever and Nordholz: in a running battle five Hampdens were shot down. Some time later the Wickers Wellington Mk Is of Bomber Command encountered similar experiences, and the RAF was forced to commit its bomber force to nocturnal operations. On night missions the sturdy Hampden Mk I, with its respectable bombload, performed very well. The first German landings on the North Sea coast were at Hornum near Sylt (Westerland), was raided by Hampdens on 19/20 March 1940. The type made the first attack on Berlin on the night of 25/26 August 1940 by No. 49 Sqn with Mosquito IVs. The 1,000-hp (745-kW) Napier Dagger VIII engine was installed in the Hampden’s cousin, the Hereford: 100 were built, saw no action, and nine were converted to Hampden Mk Is. The Hampden was phased out of Bomber Command’s first-line units by August 1942, but the Hampden TB.Mk I continued in service until December 1943.

**Specification**

Handleby Page Hampden Mk I

**Type:** four-seat medium bomber

**Powerplant:** two 980-hp (731-kW) Bristol Pegasus XVIII radial piston engines

**Performance:** maximum speed 426 km/h (265 mph) at 4725 m (15,500 ft); cruising speed 269 km/h (167 mph); climb to 4570 m (15,000 ft) in 18 minutes 55 seconds; service ceiling 6920 m (22,700 ft); maximum range 3200 km (1,990 miles)

**Weights:** empty 5343 kg (11,780 lb); maximum take-off 9526 kg (21,000 lb)

**Dimensions:** length 16.33 m (53 ft 7 in); wingspan 21.08 m (69 ft 2 in); height 4.49 m (14 ft 9 in); wing area 62.06 m² (669 sq ft)

**Engines:** two 1,460-hp (1089-kW) Rolls-Royce Merlin 21s

**Range:** 3200 km (1,990 miles)

**Armament:** one 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Browning and Vickers K guns in nose (fixed), one 7.92-mm (0.303-in) machine gun in rear, and one 7.7-mm (0.303-in) ventral machine gun.

The Hampden did not have any power-operated gun turrets, and so with only hand-held guns for tail defence it was particularly vulnerable in the presence of fighter aircraft. This is a Hampden Mk I with Bristol Pegasus engines.

---

In October 1938 the design team under Geoffrey de Havilland, with R.E. Bishop and C.C. Walker, started work on a light bomber constructed entirely of wood to offset the demand for strategically vital materials that war would inevitably bring. After a 1940 order of 50, built to Specification B.1/40, the first prototype de Havilland Mosquito flew on 25 November 1940, powered by two 1,100-hp (820-kW) Wright Cyclones. It displayed the most outstanding performance from the very start, being faster than the RAF’s contemporary interceptor fighters. Of the initial production batch 10 were converted to de Havilland Mosquito B.Mk IV Series I light bombers with glazed nose and internal bomb bay. The first of these, W4072, flew for the first time on 8 September 1941. The main production version was the Mosquito B.Mk IV Series II which had Merlin 21, 23 or 25 engines in lengthened nacelles. No. 105 Squadron, then based at Marham in No. 2 (Bomber) Group, received its first Mosquito B.Mk IVs in the spring of 1942. Its first mission was to Köln on 31 May 1942, the morning after the ‘One Thousand Bomber’ raid. Crews learned to use the Mosquito’s speed as the primary method of evading enemy fighters, for the type was entirely unarmed. Low-altitude missions with shallow-dive approaches to the target soon proved to be the Mosquito bomber’s forte by day, and one of No. 105 Squadron’s first major attacks was a daring low-level strike on the Gestapo headquarters in Oslo. Such was the demand for Mosquitoes’ reconnaissance and night-fighter aircraft that bomber units were slow in formation: by the autumn of 1942, No. 139 Squadron was working up on Mosquito B.Mk IVs. Both Nos 105 and 139 Squadrons were operational over Berlin on the morning of 30 January 1943, and caused fury and consternation during a series of speeches by Nazi leaders who were celebrating an anniversary of the Führer’s appointment as Chancellor of the Third Reich. Reconnaissance and bomber Mosquitoes roamed over Germany and the occupied territories at will during 1943-4. The specialist Jagdgruppen Nrn 25 and 50 were formed in the Luftwaffe in 1943 with souped-up Messerschmitt Bf 109G-6 fighters, but gained no success. In Bomber Command 54 Mosquito B.Mk IVs were modified with bulged bomb bays to carry a single 1814-kg (4,000-lb) HC bomb, and these served in the Fast Night Striking Force equipped with Oboe Mk II. Canada built the Mosquito B.Mk VII with 1,148-hp (1057-kW) Packard Merlin 31s. Fifty-four Mosquito B.Mk IX aircraft with extra bombload and Merlin 72 engines were produced. The most efficient was the Mosquito B.Mk XVII with a pressurized cabin, comprehensive navigational equipment and a bulged bomb bay. Canada’s de Havilland subsidiary also produced the Mosquito B.Mk XX and Mosquito B.Mk 25 before the war’s end. Total production totalled 7,785.

**Specification**

de Havilland Mosquito B.Mk XVII

**Type:** two-seat medium bomber

**Powerplant:** two 680-hp (510-kW) Rolls-Royce Merlin 62 V-12 piston engines

**Performance:** maximum speed 656 km/h (408 mph) at 7925 m (26,000 ft); cruising speed 394 km/h (245 mph); initial climb rate 853 m (2,800 ft) per minute; service ceiling 11280 m (37,000 ft); maximum range 1941 kg (4,290 lb)

---

One of a small batch of Hatfield-built B.Mk IX high-altitude bombers, which set up a fantastic record of night missions with No. 105 Sqn. Ten aircraft reached 180 missions and two reached 200. The aircraft carries standard Bomber Command night camouflage.

---

**ML963**

was a Mosquito B.Mk XVI built at Hatfield in 1944 and seen serving with No. 571 Sqn at Oakington in Cambridgeshire. The MXVII was a development of the MkIX with pressurized cockpit and extra fuel. The enlarged bomb bay enabled a 1814-kg (4,000-lb) bomb to be carried.
FRANCE

**Breguet 693**

The Breguet 690 was designed in response to a 1934 French air ministry specification calling for a twin-engined three-seat fighter. Several manufacturers submitted proposals, and the contest was won by the Potez 630. The Breguet proposal had been heavier and more powerful than the other submissions, its designers believing it to be a more versatile, multi-role aero plane. Design of the Breguet 690 was started in 1935 and a prototype was completed in 1937, first flying on 23 March 1938. The aircraft was found to have a performance superior to that of the Potez 630, and Breguet received a contract to supply 100 aircraft, configured as light attack bombers.

The resulting Breguet 691 was a clean-looking cantilever mid-wing monoplane of all-metal construction, with two wing-mounted engines and a short fuselage nose reminiscent of that of the Bristol Beaufighter. Aft of the wing, however, the fuselage tapered to a tailplane with twin endplate fins and rudders. Conversion from Bre.690 to Bre.691 was relatively simple, the main change being deletion of the navigator’s position to provide a small bomb bay. Experience with the Bre.691 proved the Hispano-Suiza powerplants to be unreliable, and the Bre.693.01 was introduced with two Gnome-Rhône 14M-6/7 engines after only 78 Bre.691s had been built. Two hundred and thirty four examples of

*Above: Formerly of CBA 1/51 of the Armée de l’Air, this Breguet Bre. 693 is pictured after being transferred to the Regia Aeronautica in 1943. The red of the French roundels were retained, with the Italian fasces replacing the blue.*

*Right: This Breguet Bre. 695 was on the strength of the 1ère Escadrille of CBA 1/51. The Bre. 695 was powered by the Pratt & Whitney Twin Wasp Junior engine, but it was severely outclassed by the German fighters it encountered.*
A powerful and much modified version of the Martin Maryland light bomber, the Martin Model 187 Baltimore was produced specifically to RAF orders, the first of 50 Baltimore Mk I aircraft (AG685) was shipped to the UK in October 1941 to commence trials at Burtonwood, near Liverpool. The first 150 Baltimore Mk I and Baltimore Mk II bombers were delivered without power-operated dorsal turrets, the single 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Vickers K machine gun in the Baltimore Mk I dorsal position being replaced by a twin mount in the Baltimore Mk II. Because of the shortage of RAF medium and light bombers in RAF Middle East Command, the first Baltimore Mk IIs were sent to Turkey under Lend-Lease, Production totalled 1,575, the last being FW880 (a Baltimore Mk V) that was issued to the RAF in May 1944.

Specification

Baltimore Mk II
Type: four-seat light/medium bomber
Powerplant: two 1,660-hp (1238-kW) Wright Cyclone GR-2600-19 radial piston engines
Performance: maximum speed 486 km/h (302 mph) at 3355 m (11,000 ft); climb to 4570 m (15,000 ft) in 907 kg (2,000 lb) 12 minutes 0 seconds; service ceiling 7315 m (24,000 ft); normal range 1530 km (950 miles)
Weights: empty 6895 kg (15,200 lb); maximum take-off 10433 kg (23,000 lb)
Dimensions: span 15.74 m (51 ft 5 in); length 14.77 m (48 ft 5 in); height 3.19 m (10 ft 2 in); wing area 50.03 m² (538.5 sq ft)
Armament: four 7.7-mm (0.303-in) wing-mounted Browning machine-guns, two (or four) 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Brownings in dorsal turret and two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Brownings in ventral position, plus a maximum bombload of 907 kg (2,000 lb)

An ex-USAAF Martin A-30A-IO-MA Baltimore Mk V of No. 232 Wing, North-west African Tactical Air Force; comprising Nos 55 and 223 Squadrons, the wing flew the Baltimore Mk V during the Italian campaign of 1944. Among the first batch of Baltimores to arrive was AC697, a Mk I, as it was pictured here it had been updated to Mk II standard with twin dorsal Brownings. The subsequent aircraft featured twin dorsal Brownings, plus a maximum bombload of 907 kg (2,000 lb).
High wing-loadings, break-neck landing speeds and malicious single-engine flying characteristics nearly put paid to the career of the Martin B-26 Marauder in October 1942 when a US Army Air Force committee was called in to investigate its future. However, certain improvements were made and the B-26 went on to become one of the USAAF's medium bomber stalwarts. In the competition for medium and light bombers for the US Army Air Corps of January 1939, the Glenn L. Martin Company was awarded a contract for 200 B-26 aircraft. Going all out for speed, designer Peyton M. Magruder produced an aircraft with torpedo-like fuselage, two huge engines, tricycle landing gear and stubby wings. Powered by two Pratt & Whitney R-2800-5 engines the prototype B-26 first flew on 25 November 1940, by which time orders for 1,131 B-26A and B-26B bombers had been received. The first B-26s and B-26As were passed to the US 22nd Bombardment Group at Langley Field in February 1941. With the outbreak of war the 22nd BG was the only unit with B-26s and, after service at Muroc, California, the group was sent to Brisbane, Australia, to operate against the Japanese in the South West Pacific Area: the 22nd BG made its first raid on Rabaul on 5 April 1942, in addition to frequent attacks on Lae, Salamaua, and Buna. In the epic Battle of Midway four B-26As with torpedoes attacked the Japanese fleet, flown by pilots drawn from the 22nd and 38th Groups. The B-26B saw service in the Aleutians in 1942, and in the Western Desert under RAF Middle East Command as the Marauder Mk I (B-26A), Marauder Mk IA (B-26B), and Marauder Mk II (B-26C). No. 14 Squadron being the first recipient. The type was used by the Free French Air Force, the SAAF, and as AT-23A and JM-1 target tugs by the US Army and US Navy. Four groups of the US 8th Air Force arrived in England in March 1943, flew low-level attacks over heavily defended targets and paid the price: on 17 May 1943 the 322nd BG was wiped out on the Ijmuiden strike. Adopting medium-level pattern bombing, the B-26B and B-26C (with the US VIII Air Support Command, and later the US 9th Air Force) were the backbone of the Allied medium bomber forces to the end of the war in Europe. Total production was 4,708.

**Specification**

**Martin B-26B Marauder**

- Type: seven-seat medium bomber
- Powerplant: two 2,000-hp (1491-kW) Pratt & Whitney R-2800-41 radial piston engines
- Performance: maximum speed 510 km/h (317 mph) at 4420 m (14,500 ft); cruising speed 418 km/h (260 mph); climb to 4570 m (15,000 ft) in 12 minutes 0 seconds; service ceiling 7165 m (23,500 ft); range 1850 km (1,150 miles)

**Weights:**
- Empty: 10152 kg (22,380 lb)
- Maximum take-off: 15513 kg (34,200 lb)

**Dimensions:**
- Span: 19.81 m (65 ft 0 in)
- Length: 17.75 m (58 ft 3 in)
- Height: 6.04 m (19 ft 10 in)
- Wing area: 55.93 m² (602 sq ft)

**Armament:**
- Two 7.7-mm (0.3-in) Browning machine-guns (one each in nose and ventral stations)
- Two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) M2 machine-guns in beam positions instead of ventral gun, and four 12.7-mm (0.5-in) guns (two each in dorsal turret and in tail station), plus a maximum bombload of 2359 kg (5,200 lb)

---

USA

Martin B-26 Marauder

Marauders served on only two RAF squadrons, both in the Mediterranean; the short-span Marauder MkI shown here flew with No. 14 Sqn in North Africa from August 1942 to September 1944. Later, the long-span Marauder MkII served with No. 39 Sqn.

A Martin B-26B-40 of the 444th Sqn, 320th BG based at Decimomannu, Sardinia, in 1944. The Marauder was widely used in Italy, its high speed and agility suiting it to penetrating heavy defences. The original aircraft letter shows through the hastily-applied olive-drab finish.
The Mitsubishi Ki-21 (Army Type 97 Heavy Bomber) was produced by engineers Nakata and Ozawa in response to an operational specification issued by the Air Headquarters (Dahongen) of the JAAF on 15 February 1936. The first of two prototypes flew on 18 December 1936, the first production model being the Mitsubishi Ki-21-Ia (Army Type 97 Model 1A). Because of production bottlenecks it was not until the end of 1939 that Ki-21-Ia bombers equipped the first JAAF unit, the 60th Hikosentai (air regiment) based in China, in totality; the next unit to be equipped was the 61st Sentai. Early lessons learned about China demonstrated lack of firepower and protection, and the Ki-21-Ib and Ki-21-Ic subvariants had extra armour, additional 7.7-mm (0.3-in) Type 89 machine-guns, more fuel and larger bomb-bays. The engines were 850-hp (634-kW) Nakajima Ha-5 KAI radial. By the time of the outbreak of war in December 1941, the majority of the Mitsubishi Ki-21-Ia, Ki-21-Ib and Ki-21-Ic bombers had been relegated to second-line duties, or to service as operational bomber trainers. First-line fighters now by far received the more powerful Ki-21-II, with 1,500-hp (1119-kW) Mitsubishi Ha-101 engines in modified cowlings; production models in service in 1941 were the Ki-21-IIa (Army Type 97 Heavy Bomber Model 2A) and the Ki-21-Iib which had a pedal-operated dorsal turret with one 12.7-mm (0.5-in) Type 1 heavy machine-gun. Three sentais remained in Japan, Korea and in Manchuria when the Japanese high command went to war in South East Asia. For operations over the Philippines the JAAF’s 5th Air Group, based in Formosa, mustered the 14th and 62nd Hikosentai; these went into action early on the morning of 8 December 1941 striking at Aparri, Tuquevarat and Manila. Mitsubishi Ki-21-IIIs of the 3rd Air Group, based in French Indo-China, were earmarked for bombing strikes against Siam (Thailand) and Malaya: units were the 12th, 60th and 98th Hikosentai. These smashed RAF and RAAF facilities at Alor Star, Surgei Patani and Butterworth, being escorted by Nakajima Ki-27 and Ki-43 fighters. In the flush of Japanese victory in 1941-2 the Mitsubishi Ki-21, code-named Sally, performed well; only over Rangoon over December 1941 and January 1942 did the Ki-21s suffer heavy casualties. The Ki-21-Iib was the final model to enter service, which was seen on all fronts in the Pacific and Far East theatres. Some 2,064 Ki-2-Ibs were built.

**Specification**

**Mitsubishi Ki-21-Iib**

*Type:* five-seat medium bomber

**Powerplant:** two 1,500-hp (1119-kW) Mitsubishi Ha-101 (Army Type 100) radial piston engines

**Performance:** maximum speed 486 km/h (302 mph) at 4720 m (15,485 ft); cruising speed 380 km/h (236 mph); climb to 6000 m (19,485 ft) in 13 minutes 13 seconds; service ceiling 10000 m (32,810 ft); maximum range 2700 km (1,680 miles)

**Weights:** empty 6070 kg (13,382 lb); maximum take-off 10600 kg (23,391 lb)

**Dimensions:** span 22.50 m (73 ft 9% in); wing area 69.6 sq m (228 sq ft); length 16.00 m (52 ft 6 in); height 4.85 m (15 ft 11 in); wing area 69.6 sq m (228 sq ft)

**Armament:** five single-manually-operated 7.7-mm Type 89 machine-guns (in nose, tail, ventral and two beam stations) and one 12.7-mm (0.5-in) Type 1 machine-gun (in dorsal position), plus max bombload of 1000 kg (2,205 lb)

The Nakajima Ki-49 Donryu (storm dragon) was designed early in 1938 to be a comparatively workmanlike design, but was destined to be just not good enough for the conditions prevailing by the time of the outbreak of war in December 1941. It preceded by the flight of the first prototype in August 1939, powered by two 932-kW (1250-hp) Nagasaki Ha-41 radial engines. The Nakajima Ki-49-Ia Donryu (Army Type 97 Model 1A), with 12.7-mm (0.5-in) Ho-103 machine-guns, was introduced in the Philippines in 1944, Ki-49-Is of the 3rd Combat Group, based in north east China, were the first to be delivered. Ki-49-IIs, of the 3rd Air Army, and in eastern India, joining Ki-21s on some occasions in raids on Calcutta. In 1941-42 the Mitsubishi Ki-21s of the 60th and 98th Hikosentai, now operating from Timor, attacked Darwin to face strong reaction by the Supermarine Spitfire Mk VCs of No. 1 Fighter Wing. Units equipped with Ki-49s suffered most in New Guinea, where 4th Air Army came under constant attack on its airfields at Wewak, But and Dagua after August 1943, when the RAAF and the US 5th Air Force went onto the offensive, Six Ki-49-III bombers, powered by very potent 2,475-hp (1805-kW) Ha-21B engines, were built as prototypes. Other variants produced in experimental form were the Ki-58 escort fighter, and the Ki-80 escort bomber. Total production amounted to 819 aircraft. After action in the Philippines in 1944, Ki-49s were used with increasing frequency on suicide missions.

**Specification**

**Nakajima Ki-49-Ia Donryu**

*Type:* seven/eight-seat medium bomber

**Powerplant:** two 1,450-hp (1081-kW) Nakajima Ha-109 (Army Type 2) radial piston engines

**Performance:** maximum speed 492 km/h (306 mph) at 3000 m (16,405 ft); cruising speed 350 km/h (217 mph); climb to 5000 m (16,405 ft) in 13 minutes 39 seconds; service ceiling 9300 m (30,500 ft); maximum range 2900 km (1,803 miles)

**Weights:** empty 6530 kg (14,396 lb); maximum take-off 11400 kg (25,133 lb)

**Dimensions:** span 20.42 m (67 ft 1 in); length 16.50 m (54 ft 1 in); height 4.25 m (13 ft 11 in); wing area 69.05 sq m (228 sq ft)

**Armament:** five single-manually-operated 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Type 89 machine-guns (in nose, tail, ventral and two beam stations) and one flexible 20-mm Ho-1 cannon (dorsal turret), plus max bombload of 1000 kg (2,205 lb)

Despite its Japanese designation of ‘heavy bomber’, the Nakajima Ki-49 had a max unarmoured bombload of only 1000 kg (2,205 lb). Its much much action but suffered at the hands of Allied fighters due to lack of performance.
Fortunately for the Allies in the latter stages of the Pacific war, comparatively few of the formidable Mitsubishi Ki-67 Hiryu (flying dragon) medium bombers and torpedo-bombers, code-named ‘Peggy’ by air intelligence, were encountered in action. Production was limited and got off to a late start in the war, and by the time of its service debut in 1944 the Ki-67’s potential was negated both by Allied fighter superiority and by the poor quality of the JAAF and JNAF crews which operated it. To take the place of the Mitsubishi Ki-2 was the Nakajima Ki-49 ‘Helen’, the Air Office (Koku Honbu) issued specifications for a new bomber to the Mitsubishi concern in November 1940. The work was led by Chief Engineer Ozawa on an aircraft based on the beautiful Japanese lines and powered by the new generation of powerful Ha-100 double-row 18-cylinder radial engines. Three prototypes of the Ki-67-I were completed between December 1942 and March 1943 the first making its initial flight on 27 December 1942. The Ki-67-I proved to be fast (though not as fast as originally specified), and extremely manoeuvrable with loops and barrel-rolls being carried out with ease in an unloaded configuration. Although adopted for service as the Army Type 4 Heavy Bomber, such was the promise of the Ki-67-1 that even the Imperial Japanese Navy was impressed, and made early representations to Mitsubishi. On 5 January 1943 Mitsubishi received an order to convert 100 Ki-49s as torpedo-bombers, with internal racks capable of handling the standard 450-mm (17.7-in) Navy Type 91 Model II aerial torpedo; these saw service over the Philippines, off Iwo Jima, in the strikes on the US 20th Air Force’s bases on Saipan and Tinian, and in the Okinawa campaign where it was used as a suicide aircraft. For suicide missions the JAAF used modified Peggs known as the Ki-61-I KAI with armament removed and a solid nose packed with explosive. Only two of the more powerful Ki-67-II variant were made, production of army and navy Ki-67-Is amounting to 696. It was the best Japanese medium bomber of World War II.

Specification

Mitsubishi Ki-67-I Hiryu
Type: eight-seat medium bomber and torpedo-bomber
Powerplant: 1,900-hp (1417-kW)
Mitsubishi Ha-104 (Army Type 4) radial piston engines
Performance: maximum speed 537 km/h (334 mph); cruising speed 400 km/h (249 mph); climb to 6000 m (19,685 ft) in 14 minutes 30 seconds; service ceiling 9470 m (31,070 ft); maximum range 3800 km (2,360 miles)
Weights: empty 8649 kg (19,068 lb); maximum take-off 13765 kg (30,346 lb)
Dimensions: span 22.50 m (73 ft 9% in); length 18.70 m (61 ft 4 in); height 7.70 m (25 ft 3 in); wing area 65.85 m² (708.86 sq ft)
Armament: four 12.7-mm (0.5-in) Type 1 machine-guns (one each in nose, two beam blisters, and tail turret) and one 20-mm Ho-5 cannon indorsal turret, plus a maximum bombload of 800 kg (1,765 lb), or one Type 91 or Type 94 torpedo

Mitsubishi Ki-67-1 of the Imperial Japanese Army. During the closing months of the war, these aircraft had their noses packed with explosives for use as kamikaze aircraft.

Mitsubishi Ki-67 of the Imperial Japanese Army. During the closing months of the war, these aircraft had their noses packed with explosives for use as kamikaze aircraft.

Along with the Savoia-Marchetti S.M.79, the CANT Z.1007 Airone (heron) series of bombers served as the backbone of the Regia Aeronautica’s conventional and torpedo strike forces in World War II. Under the aegis of the firm of CANT (Gantier & Riunitidell’Adriatico), Ingegnere Filippo Zappata began design studies of the CANT Z.1007 and Z.1011 in 1936; both were powered by 625-kW (840-hp) Isotta-Fraschini Asso XI RC.15 engines, for which the former had three and the latter two. The relatively low power ratings of this engine forced the Regia Aeronautica to order the tri-motor CANT Z.1007 for production, the first prototype flying in March 1937. The aircraft was constructed entirely of wood, save for the usual metal aicillaries and nacelle cladding. The first examples had two-bladed wooden propellers, but all later versions adopted the three-bladed metal Alfa Romeo electrically driven. In 1938, as a means to better load and performance, the CANT Z.1007bis entered production, having three 745-kW (1,000-hp) Paggio B.X radial engines as standard. The CANTZ.1007bis was the major production model, and featured revised armament, engine cowling and dimensions. A single fin and rudder was used on the Z.1007 Serie I-Bi, with a twin fin-stabilizer format being adopted on the Z.1007 Serie IV-IX subtypes.

When Italy entered the war on 10 June 1940 the Regia Aeronautica had
87 CANT Z.1007 and Z.1007bis bombers in commission, of which 38 were serviceable. These served with the 16° and 47° Stormi da Bombardamento Terrestre stationed at Vicenza and Ghedi in northern Italy. The 116° Gruppo was supplied to Egypt and North Africa, and was engaged on medium-level day and night bombing raids on Malta. Subsequently the type was employed by the 9°, 19°, 27°, 30°, 33°, and the 41°, 45°, 51°, 59°, 87°, 90°, 95° and 107° Gruppi BT plus two squadriglie. During the climax of the Malta battles in May 1942, CANT Z.1007s took heavy casualties from the RAF’s newly-arrived Supermarine Spitfire Mk VC fighters; similar losses were experienced during the epic Harpoon and Pedestal convoy battles of the summer. Dwindingling numbers were on hand to attempt to counter the Allied landings in Sicily in July 1943, and by the time of the armistice in September only a few were still available, these continuing to fight both with the RSI (Fascist) regime and the Italiano-belligerent air forces. Thirty-five CANTZ.1007ers were produced: production of the CANTZ.107bis and Z.107ter (Piaggio P.XIX engines) amounted to 526.

**Specification**

- **CANT Z.1007bis Alcione**
  - **Type:** five-seat medium bomber
  - **Powerplant:** three 1,000-hp (745-kW) Piaggio P.XIRC radial piston engines
  - **Performance:**
    - Maximum speed: 485 km/h (299 mph) at 4860 m (15,950 ft)
    - Cruising speed: 338 km/h (210 mph)
    - Climb to 6000 m (19,685 ft) in 16 minutes 8 seconds
    - Service ceiling: 7500 m (24,605 ft)
    - Normal range: 1795 km (1,115 miles)

**Weights:**
- Empty: 9,396 kg (20,715 lb)
- Maximum take-off: 13,021 kg (29,029 lb)
- Dimensions: span 24,80 m (81 ft 4 in); length 18.35 m (60 ft 2 in); height 5.22 m (17 ft 1 in); wing area 70.0 m² (753.47 sq ft)
- Armament: two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) Breda-SAFAT machine-guns (one each in dorsal turret and ventral position) and two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Breda-SAFAT guns in beam positions, plus a maximum bombload of 1200 kg (2,646 lb) or two 450-mm (17.7-in) torpedoes.

**Above:** An early BR.20M belonging to the 277° Squadriglia, 116° Gruppo, 37° Stormo based at Crotaglio, southern Italy, late in 1940. The unit would find itself over the Greco-Albanian front during the invasion of Greece.

**Right:** The Fiat BR.20 was an imaginative design with no better than mediocre performance. It was widely used early in the war on account of its useful bomb load, but lack of defensive armament made it extremely vulnerable.

### Fiat B.R.20 Cicogna

A well designed and sturdy medium bomber, the Fiat B.R.20 Cicogna (stork) series fell nevertheless into that category which was nearing obsolescence by the outbreak of World War II. Designed by Ingemere Celestino Rosatelli, and owing much of its parentage to the sleek Fiat APR.2 commercial transport, the Fiat B.R.20 prototype flew first on 10 February 1936. The first unit of the Regia Aeronautica to receive B.R.20s was the 13° Stormo BT stationed at Lonate Pozzolo: the 7° Stormo, 116° Gruppo, 37° Stormo based at Crotaglio, southern Italy, late in 1940. The unit would find itself over the Greco-Albanian front during the invasion of Greece.

**Specification**

- **Fiat B.R.20M Cicogna**
  - **Type:** five-seat medium bomber
  - **Powerplant:** two 1,000-hp (745-kW) Fiat A.80 RC.41 radial piston engines
  - **Performance:**
    - Maximum speed: 410 km/h (254 mph) at 4600 m (15,090 ft)
    - Cruising speed: 338 km/h (210 mph)
    - Climb to 6000 m (19,685 ft) in 16 minutes 8 seconds
    - Service ceiling: 7500 m (24,605 ft)
    - Normal range: 1795 km (1,115 miles)
  - **Weights:**
    - Empty: 9,396 kg (20,715 lb)
    - Maximum take-off: 13,021 kg (29,029 lb)
    - Dimensions: span 24,80 m (81 ft 4 in); length 18.35 m (60 ft 2 in); height 5.22 m (17 ft 1 in); wing area 70.0 m² (753.47 sq ft)
    - Armament: two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) Breda-SAFAT machine-guns (one each in dorsal turret and ventral position) and two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Breda-SAFAT guns in beam positions, plus a maximum bombload of 1200 kg (2,646 lb) or two 450-mm (17.7-in) torpedoes.

### Dornier Do 17 and Do 215

Much propaganda value was gained by the Luftwaffe during the years before World War II by the emphasis on speed of selected aircraft. The truth was that most were stripped-down quasi-commercial transports or mail carriers, and their record-breaking performance bore little resemblance to that of service versions.

In quantity production, the Dornier Do 17E-1 bomber and the Do 17F-1 reconnaissance aircraft saw service in the formative years of the Luftwaffe. The outbreak of war saw the models superseded by the primary version, the Do 17Z-1 and Do 17Z-2 bombers, and the lighter Do 17M-1 reconnaissance version, the last equipping many Fliegeraufklärungsgruppen by September 1939. The Dornier Do DornierDo17Z-2of0(Kroat)/KG3 deployed on the central sector of the Eastern Front in December 1941. KG 2 and KG 3 continued to operate the Dornier Do17Z units until late 1942, but the type had been largely withdrawn from front-line service by early 1941.
Above: These two Dornier Do 17Zs of KG 2 are seen during the campaign against the Low Countries. The type fared well in these early battles, but when more professional defence was encountered, such as that over England in 1940, the aircraft was found to be lacking and was soon relegated to less dangerous roles.

17Z bombers equipped nine Kampfgruppen on the outbreak of war: I and II/KG 2 at Liegmitz; II and H/KG 3 at Heiligenbeil; I and H/KG 76 at Wels and Wiener-Neustadt; and I-II/KG 77 at Prague-Kbely, Olmutz and Brunn, numbering about 370 in total. Elements of these units provided much of the Luftwaffe's striking force when Poland was invaded on 1 September. Although not conspicuously fast, the Dornier Do 17Z could be handled much like a fighter, being very light on the controls: structurally it was tough, and it soon surprised its opponents by being able to evade attacks by wheeling into a wing-over and plummeting down in a dive often in excess of 610 km/h (380 mph). In Poland Do 17Zs and Do 17Z-2s made many very low-level strikes on airfields and military installations.

Gradually superseded by the Junkers Ju 88A, the Dornier Do 17Z-2 (the variant built in the largest numbers) saw extensive service with Kampfgeschwader Nrn 2, 3 and 76 during the assault on the West in May 1940, in attacks on shipping off Dunkirk, during the massive raids of the summer of 1940 against England, and in the nocturnal Blitz of the autumn and winter of 1940. By the time of the Balkans campaign in April 1941, Do 17Z-2s served only with KG 2 and H/KG 3, but continued in service in the fighting over Greece and Crete during the summer.

After serving with great success in the Spanish Civil War, the next action for the Dornier Do 17 was during the attack on Poland in 1939, where this example is seen. Operating virtually unopposed, the Do 17s made many devastating low-level attacks on military installations.

Specification
Dornier Do17Z-2
Type: five-seat medium bomber
Powerplant: two 1,000 hp (745 kW) Bramo 323 P radial piston engines
Performance: maximum speed 410 km/h (255 mph) at 4000 m (13,125 ft); cruising speed 270 km/h (168 mph); service ceiling 8200 m (26,905 ft); maximum range 1500 km (932 miles)
Weights: empty 5200 kg (11,465 lb); maximum take-off 8590 kg (18,940 lb)
Dimensions: span 18.00 m (59 ft 0 in); length 15.80 m (51 ft 9% in); height 4.60 m (15 ft 1 in); wing area 55.00 m² (592.0 sq ft)
Armament: four (later up to eight) 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG 15 machine-guns in windscreen, nose, beam, ventral and dorsal stations, plus a bombload of 1,000 kg (2,205 lb)

Dornier Do 17Z-2 of Stab III Gruppe, KG 3 based at Heiligenbeil in East Prussia during September 1939. Such aircraft were heavily committed to the assault on Poland alongside the Junkers Ju 88 dive-bombers.

4.60 m (15 ft 1 in); wing area 55.00 m² (592.0 sq ft)
Armament: four (later up to eight) 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG 15 machine-guns in windscreen, nose, beam, ventral and dorsal stations, plus a bombload of 1,000 kg (2,205 lb)
The two ANT-40 light bomber prototypes of Andrei N. Tupolev's design bureau were years ahead of their time when they first flew in October 1934: the all-metal construction, enclosed cockpit and retractable landing gear were then comparatively novel features. Indeed the ANT-40's maximum speed of 325 km/h (202 mph) at operating height was faster than the biplane interceptor fighters that equipped most of the peacetime air forces. The initial production version as selected for export and service with the V-VS was based on the second prototype, and was known as the Tupolev SB-2 (skorostnoi bombardirovshchik, or fast bomber); the engines were two 830-hp (619-kW) licence-built Hispano-Suiza 12Ybr engines, termed M-100 by Soviet industry, and initially these were fitted with two-bladed fixed pitch propellers. The first SB-2s were passed to the V-VS's bomber aviation regiments in February 1936, and in October of that year the first of 210 were transferred with Soviet crews to Spain to fight on the side of the Republican air force against the insurgent Nationalists. Over Spain the performance of the SB-2 caused considerable concern to the Nationalist fighter units which were equipped with Heinkel He 51 and Fiat CR.32 biplanes, and the urgent call went out for fighters of better speed and climb properties. At that time SB-2s were passed to the Chinese Nationalist air force to fight against the Japanese, and to Czechoslovakia, where the type went into licensed manufacture as the B.71 bomber. In general the SB-2 performed well until faced with sterner fighter opposition, which occurred over Spain in 1938 and in particular over Finland during the Winter War of 1939-40, when many were shot down. Steps were taken to improve performance by installing the 860-hp (641-kW) M-100 A engine with variable-pitch propellers, Increased fuel capacity and two 960-hp (716-kW) M-103 engines were installed in the Tupolev SB-2bis, the performance of which was improved by three-bladed VISh-22 propellers. In addition to the PS-40 and PS-41 transport versions the Tupolev SB-RK (Arkhangelsk!! Ar-2) was a modified SB-2bis dive-bomber with reduced wing area and powered by two supercharged M-105R engines.

The SB-2's record as a day bomber came to an abrupt end during the fierce fighting following the German invasion of the USSR on 22 June 1941. Those that were not destroyed on the ground ventured into the air on numerous and gallantly-flown missions over the front line, and paid a heavy price to the Luftwaffe's Messerschmitt Bf 109F fighters. Thereafter the SB-2 and SB-2bis bombers were relegated to night work with the V-VS and the Soviet naval air arm. Production amounted to 6,967 of all marks.

Specification

Type: three-seat light/medium bomber
Powerplant: two 830-hp (619-kW) M-

Performance: maximum speed 410 km/h (255 mph) at 4000 m (13,125 ft); service ceiling 8500 m (27,885 ft); normal range 1200 km (746 miles)
Weight: loaded 5732 kg (12,636 lb)
Dimensions: span 20.33 m (66 ft 8Vz in); length 12.27 m (40 ft 3V* in); height 3.25 m (10 ft 8 in); wing area 51.95 m² (559.2 sq ft)
Armament: two 7.62-mm (0.3-in) ShKAS machine-guns in nose turret, one 7.62-mm (0.3-in) ShKAS in dorsal station (or turret), and one 7.62-mm (0.3-in) ShKAS in ventral position, plus a maximum bombload of 1000 kg (2,205 lb)

ShKAS machine-guns in nose turret, one 7.62-mm (0.3-in) ShKAS in dorsal station (or turret), and one 7.62-mm (0.3-in) ShKAS in ventral position, plus a maximum bombload of 1000 kg (2,205 lb)
Arriving in small numbers in the ranks of the V-VS to witness the mass devastation of the summer of 1941, the Petlyakov Pe-2 was destined to become the best Soviet light bomber of World War II. The aircraft was derived from V.M. Petlyakov’s VI-100 pressurized high-altitude twin-engined interceptor, which displayed a phenomenal top speed of 623 km/h (387 mph) at 10000 m (32,810 ft), had a crew of two and was powered by 1,100-hp (820-kW) M-105R V-12 engines. The VI-100 first flew on 7 May, 1939. With the approach of war in Europe the V-VS made urgent requests for dive-bomber aircraft, and to this end the design bureau adapted the VI-100 fighter by removing the TK-3 high-altitude turbo-chargers, fitting standard M-105R engines, lattice type dive-brakes, and giving the tailplane pronounced dihedral to increase stability. Two prototype PB-100 (pikiruyushchn bomber dir ovshchik, or dive-bomber) aircraft were built with these items installed in addition to an extensively glazed nose and defensive armament. This type became the Petlyakov Pe-2 light bomber and dive-bomber. The crew of three (pilot, bombardier and air-gunner) sat under a long glazed canopy with 9-mm (0.35-in) armour protection. Initial armament consisted of two fixed 7.62-mm (0.3-in) ShKAS guns in the nose, one in the dorsal station, and a fourth in the ventral aimed by a 120° vision periscope. The M-105R engines drove three-bladed VHSh-61 propellers. The aircraft proved to be fast, highly manœuvreable, but was quite demanding to novice pilots under asymmetric conditions. By the time of the German invasion in June 1941 some 458 Pe-2s had been produced from the factories, but it is suspected that deliveries to service units was tardy. Certainly, even by September 1941 the numbers of Pe-2s in front-line units were few: Colonel General IS. Konev’s Western Front had only five in commission with which to stem the German assault on Moscow, and the establishment of Pe-2s with the Bryansk and Kalinin Fronts was even lower. Although limited in numbers, Pe-2s contributed to the victories of the Soviet winter offensive of 1941-2, and were seen in increasing numbers during the defensive battles at Leningrad, Kharkov, Rostov, and in the Stalingrad campaign. Late in 1942 came the improved Pe-2FT with 1,260-hp (940-kW) Klimov M-105PF engines, and a 12.7-mm (0.5-in) UB machine-gun in a dorsal turret. The Pe-2L and Pe-2M were fighter-bombers, powered by 1,620-hp (1,208-kW) VK-107A engines. The reconnaissance version was the Pe-2R, whilst a dual-control trainer was termed the Pe-2UT. The aircraft saw distinguished service in every major Soviet campaign from 1941 to 1945, including operations in Manchuria against the Japanese in September 1945. A total of 11,427 Pe-2s and Pe-3s (the fighter version) was produced.

Specification

Petlyakov Pe-2
Type: three-seat light/medium bomber and dive-bomber
Powerplant: two 1,100-hp (820-kW) Klimov M-105R V-12 piston engines
Performance: maximum speed 540 km/h (336 mph) at 5000 m (16,405 ft); cruising speed 428 km/h (266 mph); climb to 5000 m (16,405 ft) in 7 minutes 0 seconds; service ceiling 8800 m (28,870 ft); normal range 1500 km (932 miles)
Weights: empty 5876 kg (12,943 lb); maximum take-off 8496 kg (18,730 lb)
Dimensions: span 17.16 m (56 ft 3 1/2 in); length 12.66 m (41 ft 6 in); height 4.0 m (13 ft 1 in); wing area 40.50 m² (436 sq ft)
Armament: two fixed 7.62-mm (0.3-in) ShKAS machine-guns or one 7.62-mm ShKAS and one 12.7-mm (0.5-in) Beresin UB machine-guns in nose, and one 7.62-mm (0.3-in) ShKAsof 12.7-mm (0.5-in) UB machine-guns in dorsal and in ventral stations, plus a maximum bombload of 1200 kg (2,646 lb)

High-level bombing was never a forte of the Soviet air forces, and in the bombing role the Pe-2 was confined largely to medium-level and dive-bombing, proving to be a fast and elusive target.
The origin of the Tupolev Tu-2 lay in the ANT-58, ANT-59 and ANT-60 light bomber prototypes that came from the design bureau of Andrei N. Tupolev during 1938-40: powered by two 1,400-hp (1044-kW) Mikulm AM-37 V-12 engines, the ANT-58 made its first flight on 29 January 1941 with M.P. Vasyakm at the controls. The ANT-60 was re-engined with the big and powerful 1,480-hp (1104-kW) M-82 radial because of the relative unreliability of the AM-37s. The result was the definitive Tu-2 bomber that was to see service with the V-VS during the last year of World War II and well into the 1950s. Soviet industry was still in a state of upheaval following the terrible years of 1941-2, when the German army struck deep into Belorussia and the Ukraine. The Tu-2 was too complicated an aircraft for the conditions prevailing, and after many months in which the Tu-2 was modified and simplified for the mass production lines, the Tu-2S (Seriinyi, or series) appeared, flying for the first time on 26 August 1943. A small number of Tu-2Ss had previously been passed to front-line regiments in September 1942, where their performance, armament and bombload had received general enthusiasm.

By January 1944 the first production Tu-2 and Tu-2S bombers had been passed to the regiments of the V-VS, but it was not until June of that year that Tu-2s saw action on a large scale. The sector was the Karelian (Finnish) front in the north where the V-VS forces, under the overall command of General A.A. Novikov, numbered 757 aircraft of the 13th VA (Air Army), the V-VS KBF (Red Banner Baltic Fleet) and the 2nd GV IAK (guards fighter corps). Of the 249 Tu-2 and Petlyakov Pe-2 light bombers in the Soviet order of battle, many came under Colonel IP. Skok's 334th Bomber Air Division which subsequently received a citation for its work. Reconnaissance work was now being carried out by Tu-2D and Tu-2R aircraft with modified mainplanes, nose glazing, and capacity for vertical and oblique cameras. Wartime production of the Tupolev Tu-2 and its sub-types amounted to 1,111. As a bomber it did not come into its own until the autumn of 1944. However, as German resistance stiffened on nearing the eastern borders of the Reich V-VS bombers, including Tupolev Tu-2s, were called up to attack strongpoints at Kustrin, Königsberg and other fortified ports and cities. September 1945 saw many Tu-2s in action against the Japanese Kwantung Army in Manchuria before the final surrender.

**Specification**

**Tupolev Tu-2S**

Type: four-seat medium bomber

Powerplant: two 1,850-hp (1,380-kW) Shvetsov ASh-82FN radial piston engines

Performance: maximum speed 547 km/h (340 mph) at 5400 m (17,715 ft); cruising speed 442 km/h (275 mph); climb to 5000 m (16,405 ft) in 9 minutes 30 seconds; service ceiling 9500 m (31,170 ft); normal range 2000 km (1,243 miles)

Weights: empty 8260 kg (18,200 lb); normal take-off 12800 kg (28,219 lb)

Dimensions: span 18.86 m (61 ft 10'/2 in); length 13.80 m (45 ft 3'/2 in); height 4.56 m (14 ft 11 in); wing area 48.80 m$^2$ (525.3 sq ft)

Armament: two 20-mm ShVAK cannon in wing roots and three 12.7-mm (0.5-in) UBT machine-guns (one each in both dorsal positions and ventral station), plus a maximum bombload of 3000 kg (6,614 lb)

Andrei Tupolev was commanded by Stalin to produce a better bomber than the Junkers Ju 88, and the resulting Tu-2 proved to be one of the finest wartime aircraft. This is a Tu-2S with broadened ailerons.
Jet Aircraft

Whilst piston-engined aircraft fought out World War II, aircraft and engine designers were hard at work to harness the new jet and rocket propulsion and to perfect its use for fighting aircraft. The results of their labours were varied, but the technological advances were great.

A Ithough combat by jet-propelled aircraft during World War II was limited to the final year of the conflict, and then undertaken only by German (and to a much lesser extent British) aircraft, the knowledge that jet reaction was potentially the most efficient of all aircraft propulsion systems had existed for some 15 years beforehand. In Germany exploitation of this knowledge had shown greatest promise, through the efforts of F. W Sander and Fritz von Opel with solid-fuel rocket power, Paul Schmidt with pulse jets and Dr Hans von Ohain with the gas turbine, all of whom had either achieved limited jet reaction-powered flight or were on the threshold of doing so when war broke out in September 1939. In the UK work had progressed under the leadership of Frank Whittle, but with very little commercial support. Elsewhere in the world there was even less interest in jet propulsion.

Germany was the first to fly an air-breathing jet aircraft, the Heinkel He 178, which took to the air under its own power for the first time on 27 August 1939. Next to fly a 'jet' was Italy with its crude ducted fan-jet Caproni-Campini N1, which flew in August 1940. While the Italian effort proved to be dead-ended, the Germans moved steadily forward towards operational realism as other manufacturers were encouraged into the jet propulsion field by the development of viable powerplants produced, notably, by Heinkel and Junkers.

Meanwhile in the UK Frank Whittle, with increasing practical assistance and eventual domination by Rolls-Royce, Rover, de Havilland and others, succeeded in producing a gas turbine which, as the Power Jets W. l, first flew in the Gloster E.28/39 in May 1941. But the two-year lead gained by Germany proved unassailable and, despite the demise of the Heinkel He 280 as a potential combat aircraft, enabled the Luftwaffe to achieve operational status with its Messerschmitt Me 262 during the summer of 1944, narrowly pre-dating the first RAF Gloster Meteors. Moreover the rocket-powered Me 163 proved to be the fastest of any combat aircraft produced during the war.

The build-up of German Me 262s (as well as He 162s and Arado Ar 234s) far outstripped the tentative introduction of the British Meteor, all reliance on operational jet engine development being placed on the Rolls-Royce Weiland (a direct development of the Whittle engine). The USA, on the other hand, scarcely achieved any progress in the field until 1941 when Whittle technology was provided by the UK, only managing to fly the Bell P-59 Airacomet and Lockheed P-80 Shooting Star, neither of which was operational during World War II.

Japan, like Germany, frantically sought to combat the growing menace to its homeland from the US's air onslaught and received technical assistance from Germany. It managed to fly a twin-jet fighter, the Nakajima Kikka (modelled on the Me 262), before the end of the war and also the rocket-powered Yokosuka Ohka suicide aircraft.

Nevertheless, despite growing awareness in the UK and USA of the enormous potential of the jet engine, the Allies were astounded to discover the advances made by Germany when they examined the spoils of war in 1945. But much of the technology had already disappeared eastwards, and it was here that the wartime momentum gained by the Soviet Union was able to accommodate these great technical strides into its post-war plans for world military superiority.
**Arado Ar 234**

The world's first turbojet-powered bomber, the Arado Ar 234 Blitz (lightning) was originally conceived as a twin-jet high-speed reconnaissance aircraft late in 1940. Delayed by slow delivery of the Junkers 004B, the Ar 234 VI prototype was not first flown until 15 June 1943; this aircraft featured an auxiliary trolley, which was jettisoned on take-off, in place of conventional landing gear. Further prototypes followed, including the Ar 234 V6 and Ar 234 V8 which were powered by four 800-kg (1,764-lb) thrust BMW 003A-1 turbojets.

When production finally started, it was of the twin-jet Ar 234B which featured a conventional, as opposed to the landing gear, the mainwheels retracting into a slightly widened centre fuselage. The Ar 234B-1 was an unarmed reconnaissance aircraft which first served with 1 Versuchsverbund Oberbefehlshaber der Luftwaffe late in 1944, and soon after with Sonderkommando Hecht and Sperling. These units were reorganised in 1945 by 1 (F) 33, 1 (F) 100 and 1 (F) 123, and many reconnaissance sorties were flown over the UK. The bomber version was the Ar 234B-2, which could carry a bombload of 2000 kg (4,409 lb), and other variants included the Ar 234B-2/Ar reconnaissance aircraft, the Ar 234B-2/1 pathfinder and Ar 234B-2/r long-range bomber. Ar 234B-2 bombers joined KG 76 in January 1945 and carried out a number of daring and hazardous raids before the end of the war. A small number of Ar 234s was also employed as night-fighters with Kommando Bonow, but the four-jet Ar 234C, although just beginning to appear at the end of the war, failed to reach squadron service. Many other advanced projects were in hand when hostilities ceased.

**Bachern Ba 349 Natter**

Among the ingenious expedients borne of desperation in Germany at the end of the war was the Bachern Ba 349 Natter (viper), a semi-expendable, vertically-launched, piloted missile. Designed under the leadership of Erich Bachern, the small aircraft was constructed mainly of bonded and screwed wooden components, and powered by an internal Walter 109-509A-2 liquid fuel rocket (of the same type as in the Messerschmitt Me 163); for take-off boost four solid fuel Schmidding rockets provided a total thrust of 4800kg (10,852 lb) for 10 seconds before being jettisoned. It was intended to launch the Natter on approach of Allied bombers, the pilot selecting his target and then launching his weapon load of 24 7.3-cm (2.87-in) Fohn unguided rockets. He would then jettison the nose section of the aircraft and deploy his own parachute. The remainder of the aircraft would also descend by parachute for recovery and re-use. Gliding trials started in October 1944, followed in February 1945 by the first unmanned vertical launches. However, during the first pilot launch the same month, the cockpit canopy failed and the pilot, Lothar Siebert, was killed. About 20 Ba 349s were completed, and 10 were deployed at Kirchheim, but before any Allied bombers could be intercepted the sites were overrun by advancing American forces.

**Fieseler Fi 103R**

One of the many macabre projects being studied by the Germans was the Fi 103R, a manned version of the Argus pulsejet-powered Fieseler Fi 103R flying bomb. Mass production of these weapons had been achieved by mid-1944 for the campaign against southern England when proposals were made to launch a manned bomb from beneath a carrier aircraft. The intention was that, once released, the flying bomb would be piloted towards a target and put into a dive before the pilot baled out at the last moment. Pilot survival was rated as being most unlikely as a result of the canopy fouling the pulsejet inlet immediately aft of the cockpit, yet the Germans steadfastly claimed a subtle distinction between their Selbstopfermänner (self-sacrifice men)

### Specifications

**Ar 234B-2**
- **Type:** single-seat tactical light bomber
- **Powerplant:** one 1700-kg (3,748-lb) thrust Walter 109-509A-2 liquid-fuel rocket motor (of 70 seconds' power duration) and four 1200-kg (2,646-lb) thrust Schmidding 109-533 solid-fuel jetisonable booster rockets (of 10 seconds' power duration)
- **Performance:** maximum speed 800 km/h (497 mph) at sea level; initial climb rate 11140 m (36,550 ft) per minute; service ceiling 14040 m (45,930 ft); radius of action 40 km (24.8 miles)
- **Armament:** bombload of up to 2000 kg (4,409 lb); some aircraft carried two rear-firing 20-mm guns

**Bachern Ba 349 Natter**
- **Type:** single-seat expendable interceptor
- **Powerplant:** one 1700-kg (3,748-lb) thrust Walter 109-509A-2 liquid-fuel rocket motor (of 70 seconds' power duration) and four 1200-kg (2,646-lb) thrust Schmidding 109-533 solid-fuel jetisonable booster rockets (of 10 seconds' power duration)
- **Performance:** maximum speed 800 km/h (497 mph) at sea level; initial climb rate 11140 m (36,550 ft) per minute; service ceiling 14040 m (45,930 ft); radius of action 40 km (24.8 miles)
- **Armament:** 24 Föhn 7.3-cm (2.87-in) unguided rocket projectiles in nose

**Fi 103R**
- **Type:** single-seat tactical light bomber
- **Powerplant:** two 800-kg (1,764-lb) thrust BMW 003A-1 turbojets
- **Performance:** maximum speed 472 km/h (461 mph) at 6000 m (19,685 ft); climb to 6000 m (19,685 ft) in 12.8 minutes' service ceiling 10000 m (32,100 ft)
- **Armament:** bombload of up to 2000 kg (4,409 lb; the Arado Ar 234B-2 went into service with KG 76 in January 1945. Based at Rheine and Achmer, these made many accurate strikes.

**The Ar 234B proved of immense interest to the Allies and intact examples underwent rigorous testing in Britain and America. This is an Ar 234B-1 reconnaissance version, with no hardpoints.**
and the Japanese Kamikaze pilots who were sealed into their cockpits before take-off. A total of about 175 piloted Fi 103Rs (Reichenberg being the operational codename covering the project) were completed: the R-I, R-II and R-III were test and training versions, and the R-IV was intended for operational use. Testing was undertaken by Rechlin pilots but after two had crashed development flying was taken over by DFS test pilots Hanna Reitsch and Heinz Kensche. Handling in the air was fairly straightforward but landing was extremely tricky owing to the rudimentary control provided and the very high landing speed. Although some 70 volunteer pilots were selected for training the plan came to naught simply owing to the German high command’s refusal to take the Reichenberg project seriously.

The Fi 103 (VI) is well known in its unpiloted form, but the piloted version, the Reichenberg, was more obscure. Intended for accurate attacks against shipping, the pilot was supposed to bail out after he had aimed at the target. In practice this would have been unlikely.

**Fieseler Fi 103R Reichenberg IV.**

Powerplant: one 350-kg (772-lb) thrust Argus 109-014 pulsejet

Performance: maximum powered level speed 650 km/h (404 mph) at sea level; endurance (limited by pulsejet life) 20 minutes

Weights: at launch 2180 kg (4,806 lb)

Dimensions: span 5.715m (18ft 9 in); length 8.00m (26ft 3 in); maximum fuselage diameter 0.838 m (2 ft 9 in)

Warhead: 850 kg (1,874 lb)

**Heinkel He 162 Salamander**

Despite all that Germany could do to press the Messerschmitt Me 163 and Me 262 into service in the latter half of 1944, it became all too clear that both aircraft demanded production skills, materials and flying experience beyond the resources of the nation, and thus were inadequate to stem the tide of Allied air attack. Accordingly, as the RLM underwent its final reorganization, proposals were studied for the mass production of a relatively simple, lightweight jet interceptor which demanded the minimum of strategic materials, engineering skill and pilot training. Within five weeks the design of the Heinkel He 162 had been accepted and dozens of component subcontracts organized, it being intended to reach a production of 2,000 aircraft per month by May 1945. The first prototype He 162 was flown on 6 December 1944, but by the following month severe lateral instability had been disclosed, resulting in the wing tips being sharply angled awry. The aircraft was a small shoulder-wing monoplane with the turbojet mounted on top of the fuselage amidships, nose-wheel landing gear and twin fins and rudders. In February a score of further prototypes flew, together with the first production examples. The first operational unit, JG 1, under Oberst Herbert Ihlefeld, flew He 162A-1 production aircraft at Parchim but, despite a prodigious effort and the completion of some 275 aircraft, the swift advance by the Allied armies prevented the little fighter from taking any significant part in the air fighting at the end of the war.

**Specification**

Type: single-seat interceptor fighter

Powerplant: one 800-kg (1,764-lb) thrust BMW 109-003E turbojet

Performance: maximum speed 835 km/h (519 mph) at 6000m (19,685ft); initial climb rate 1290m (4,230ft) per minute; service ceiling about 11000m (36,090ft); maximum range 1000km (621 miles)

Weights: empty 1750kg (3,858 lb); maximum take-off 2700 kg (5,952 lb)

Dimensions: span 7.20 m (23 ft 7½ in); length 9.05m (29ft 8¾ in); height 2.55 m (8 ft 4¾ in); wing area 11.15m² (120.0 sq ft)

Armament: two 30-mm MK 108 or two 20-mm MG 151 cannon in nose

Above: Several Heinkel He 162s were captured by the Allies and extensively tested after the war. This He 162A-2 was serving with II Gruppe, Jagdgeschwader 1 at Leck when it was captured on 8 May 1945. It has since been restored and is on display at RAF St Athan.

Despite its hurried development, the ingenious Heinkel He 162 would have proved an effective fighter in experienced hands, but there was insufficient time to train men of the calibre required by the Luftwaffe.
The world's first aircraft to fly solely powered, by a turbojet was the German Heinkel He 178, which was flown by Flugkapitän Erich Warsitz at Marienehe on 27 August 1939, one week before the outbreak of World War II. The first step in the development of this aeroplane was taken in March 1936 when Ernst Heinkel engaged the services of the German gas turbine pioneer Dr Hans Pabst von Ohain and his assistant Max Hahn. The first demonstration turbojet, the HeS 1, was bench running by September 1937, and a development of this engine, the HeS 3, was flight tested suspended beneath a Heinkel He 118 in 1938. By 1939, it had been decided to install a new version, the HeS 3b, in a special aircraft, the He 178, which commenced building that year; it was a shoulder-wing aircraft with wings made largely of wood but with a semi-monocoque metal fuselage. Tailwheel landing gear was incorporated, and the engine drew its air from an inlet in the nose and exhausted through a long jet pipe which extended to the extreme tail. The 178 was damaged on its first flight when the engine ingested a bird which caused it to flame out, but the aircraft made a safe landing. It was later flown with a 590-kg (1,301-lb) thrust HeS 6 engine, but a number of airframe defects limited the speed to about 600 km/h (373 mph). The He 178 was later moved to the Berlin Air Museum where it was destroyed in 1943 during an Allied air raid.

**Specification**

He 178 (initial powerplant)

- **Type:** single-seat research aircraft
- **Powerplant:** one 500-kg (1,102-lb) thrust Heinkel HeS 3b centrifugal-flow turbojet
- **Performance:** (estimated) maximum speed 580 km/h (360 mph) at sea level; landing speed 165 km/h (103 mph)
- **Weights:** empty 1590kg (3,505 lb); maximum 1990kg (4,387 lb)
- **Dimensions:** 7.10 m (23 ft 3 1/2 in); length 7.51 m (24 ft 6 in); height 2.10 m (6 ft 10 in); wing area 7.90 m² (85.04 sq ft)
- **Armament:** none

Only one He 178 was built, and was an extremely clean design for its day, with fully retracting and faired-in undercarriage. Maximum speed was 580 km/h (360 mph).

The first flight by a jet-powered aircraft occurred on 27 August 1939 when this He 178 flew from Marienehe, near Rostock. Despite their superior engine, it was two years before the British matched this feat.

**Heinkel He 178**

The world's first jet-powered aircraft, the Heinkel He 178, was flown by Flugkapitän Erich Warsitz at Marienehe on 27 August 1939, one week before the outbreak of World War II. The first step in the development of this aeroplane was taken in March 1936 when Ernst Heinkel engaged the services of the German gas turbine pioneer Dr Hans Pabst von Ohain and his assistant Max Hahn. The first demonstration turbojet, the HeS 1, was bench running by September 1937, and a development of this engine, the HeS 3, was flight tested suspended beneath a Heinkel He 118 in 1938. By 1939, it had been decided to install a new version, the HeS 3b, in a special aircraft, the He 178, which commenced building that year; it was a shoulder-wing aircraft with wings made largely of wood but with a semi-monocoque metal fuselage. Tailwheel landing gear was incorporated, and the engine drew its air from an inlet in the nose and exhausted through a long jet pipe which extended to the extreme tail. The 178 was damaged on its first flight when the engine ingested a bird which caused it to flame out, but the aircraft made a safe landing. It was later flown with a 590-kg (1,301-lb) thrust HeS 6 engine, but a number of airframe defects limited the speed to about 600 km/h (373 mph). The He 178 was later moved to the Berlin Air Museum where it was destroyed in 1943 during an Allied air raid.

**Heinkel He 280**

The world's first turbojet aircraft designed from the outset as a potential fighter, the Heinkel He 280 made its first flight on 2 August 1941 (19 months before the first Gloster Meteor). Design of the He 280, which started before the end of 1939, included a low wing with twin underslung turbojets, tricycle landing gear and twin fins and rudders. Despite the obvious need for low-diameter engines, Dr von Ohain succeeded in developing the centrifugal-flow HeS 8 (or 109-001) to produce 700-kg (1,543-lb) thrust, and a pair of these engines powered the He 280 V1 on its first flight (the engines being left uncowed on this occasion). A total of nine prototypes flew, including the He 280 V2 and He 280 V3 with HeS 8 engines (the former also being re-engined with Junko 109-004s), the He 280 V4 with BMW 109-003s and later with six Argus 109-014 pulsejets, the He 280 V5 first with HeS 8s and later with 109-004s, the He 280 V6 (as well as the V5) with three MG 151 20-mm cannon, the He 280 V7 with 109-004s and later tested as a high-speed glider for aerodynamic research, the He 280 V8 with 109-004s and a V-type tail unit, and the He 280 V9 with 109-003s.

After several flights towed behind a pair of Bf 109s, the He 280V-1 made its first powered flight on 2 August 1941 and is seen here landing back at Marienehe. For this first flight the engines were kept uncovered, as during test runs fuel had gathered in the cowlings.

**Germay**

Heinkel He 280

The world's first turbojet aircraft designed from the outset as a potential fighter, the Heinkel He 280 made its first flight on 2 August 1941 (19 months before the first Gloster Meteor). Design of the He 280, which started before the end of 1939, included a low wing with twin underslung turbojets, tricycle landing gear and twin fins and rudders. Despite the obvious need for low-diameter engines, Dr von Ohain succeeded in developing the centrifugal-flow HeS 8 (or 109-001) to produce 700-kg (1,543-lb) thrust, and a pair of these engines powered the He 280 V1 on its first flight (the engines being left uncowed on this occasion). A total of nine prototypes flew, including the He 280 V2 and He 280 V3 with HeS 8 engines (the former also being re-engined with Junko 109-004s), the He 280 V4 with BMW 109-003s and later with six Argus 109-014 pulsejets, the He 280 V5 first with HeS 8s and later with 109-004s, the He 280 V6 (as well as the V5) with three MG 151 20-mm cannon, the He 280 V7 with 109-004s and later tested as a high-speed glider for aerodynamic research, the He 280 V8 with 109-004s and a V-type tail unit, and the He 280 V9 with 109-003s.

After several flights towed behind a pair of Bf 109s, the He 280V-1 made its first powered flight on 2 August 1941 and is seen here landing back at Marienehe. For this first flight the engines were kept uncovered, as during test runs fuel had gathered in the cowlings.
Although production of the He 280 was planned, recurring complaints (which included structural weakness in the tail, together with tail flutter, and inadequate fuel and armament provision) caused the design to be abandoned in favour of the Messerschmitt Me 262. It was, incidentally, from the He 280 V1 that the first-ever bale-out using an ejector seat was made when Argus test pilot Schenk abandoned the aircraft when his controls locked from icing-up.

**Specification**

He 280 V5

Type: single-seat prototype interceptor fighter

Powerplant: two 750-kg (1,653-lb) thrust HeS 8A (109-001 A) turbojets

Performance: maximum short-burst speed 900 km/h (559 mph) at 6000m (19,685 ft); initial climb rate 1145 m (3,755 ft) per minute; estimated service ceiling 11500m (37,730 ft); range 650 km (404 miles)

Weights: empty 3215 kg (7,088 lb); maximum take-off 4310 kg (9,502 lb)

Dimensions: span 12.2m (40 ft 0 in); length 10.4m (34 ft 1 in); height 3.06 m (10 ft 0 in); wing area 21.50 m² (231.3 sq ft)

Armament: three nose-mounted 20-mm MG 151 cannon (intended later to be increased to six)

**GERMANY**

**Henschel Hs 132**

During the last half of 1943 experience showed that losses during conventional dive-bombing with the venerable Junkers Ju 87 were becoming prohibitive without heavy fighter escort, particularly in the face of ever-growing Soviet air presence on the Eastern Front. The Henschel company, with considerable experience in producing ground-support aircraft, put forward late in 1944 proposals for an essentially simple single-jet attack bomber with a BMW 109-003E-2 turbojet mounted above the fuselage. In essence the aircraft resembled the Heinkel He 162 with twin fins and rudders, although the sharply tapered wing was mounted at mid-fuselage depth; more significant, the pilot occupied a prone position in the extreme nose so as to withstand the likely 12g forces expected to accompany shallow dive recovery. Simplified construction with widespread use of wood in the structure was welcomed by the RLM and three prototypes were ordered, and commenced building in March 1945. Only the Henschel Hs 132 VI had been completed (but not flown) by the war’s end, and all three aircraft were taken over by the Soviet forces in their advance from the east. The first aircraft was to have carried a single 500-kg (1,102-lb) bomb recessed into the underfuselage; the second, with 900-kg (1,984-lb) thrust engine combined this load with two nose-mounted 20-mm MG 151 cannon; and the third, with 1300-kg (2,866-lb) thrust Hemkel-Hirth 109-011A turbojet would carry a 1000-kg (2,205-lb) bomb, two 30-mm MK 103 and two 20-mm MG 151 guns. It was intended that the PC 1000RS Pol rocket-assisted armour-piercing bomb would be used for battlefield support attacks.

**Specification**

Hs 132 VI

Type: single-seat dive bomber

Powerplant: one 800-kg (1,764-lb) thrust BMW 109-003E-2 turbojet

Performance: maximum speed 780 km/h (485 mph) at 6000 m (19,685 ft)

Weights: empty 3215 kg (7,088 lb); maximum take-off 3400 kg (7,496 lb)

Dimensions: span 7.20 m (23 ft 2 in); length 8.90 m (29 ft 2 in); wing area 14.82 m² (159.4 sq ft)

Armament: one 500 kg (1,102 lb) bomb under the fuselage

Although it never flew, the Henschel Hs 132 was a most interesting concept. With a configuration resembling the Heinkel He 162, the most striking feature of the aircraft was the prone pilot position, incorporated to enable the pilot to withstand the expected 12g when the aircraft pulled out from its dive after releasing the bomb.

**GERMANY**

**Horton Ho IX (Gotha Go 229)**

The Horton Ho IX twin-jet tailless fighter-bomber, of which two prototypes were flown before the end of the war, was of extremely advanced design, which benefited from considerable experience gained by the brothers Reimar and Walter Horten in the development of flying-wing aircraft, of which the majority were gliders. Designed by Sonderkommando 9, starting in 1942, the first prototype Ho IX VI was found to be unable to accommodate the two intended BMW 109-003-1 turbojets owing to an unforeseen increase in engine diameter, and it was therefore flown as a glider at Oranienburg during the summer of 1944. The redesigned Ho IX V2 was fitted with two Junkers 109-004B-1 turbojets and flown successfully at Oranienburg, demonstrating speeds of up to 900 km/h (597 mph) before it was destroyed while making a single-engine landing. Such promise encouraged the RLM to instruct Gothaer Waggonfabrik to assume development of the design, and a third prototype, the Go 229 V3, was produced with 1000-kg (2,205-lb) thrust Jumo 109-004C turbojets, but was prevented from flying by the end of hostilities in May 1945. Work had also started on the two-seat Go 229 V4 and Go 229 V5 night-fighter prototypes, the Go 229 V6 armament trainer and the Go 229 V7 two-seat trainer. No progress had been made on 20 pre-production Go 229A-0 fighter-bombers, on order at the end of the war, that were intended to carry 1000-kg (2,205-lb) bombs and four 30-mm MK 103 cannon.

**Specification**

Go 229A-0

Type: single-seat fighter-bomber

Powerplant: two 1000-kg (2,205-lb) thrust Junkers Jumo 109-004C turbojets

Performance: maximum speed 1000 km/h (621 mph) at 6100 m (20,015 ft); landing speed 130 km/h (81 mph)

Weights: maximum take-off 8500 kg (18,738 lb)

Dimensions: span 16.78 m (55 ft 0 in); length 7.47 m (24 ft 6 in); wing area 51.3 m² (554.3 sq ft)

Armament: four 30-mm MK 103 cannon and up to 2000 kg (4,409 lb) of bombs

The Horton Ho IX V2 was the only aircraft of this series to achieve powered night. Its career ended abruptly following an engine failure.
The revolutionary Junkers Ju 287 was the outcome of development of a bomber project begun by Dipl. Ing. Hans Wocke at Junkers in June 1943 at a time when fast bombers still enjoyed priority in Luftwaffe planning. Instead of employing a ‘conventional’ swept-back wing (advocated as early as 1935 by Prof. A. Busemann) Wocke suggested using a swept-forward wing in which the high-speed benefits of reduced thickness-chord would be more readily achieved, at the same time reducing low-speed instability. To speed manufacture of the prototype, the Ju 287 VI featured an extraordinary mixture of existing components, including the fuselage of a Heinkel He 177, Ju 388 tail unit, Ju 352 mainwheels and the nosewheels of captured Consolidated B-24 Liberators. Design of the aircraft was directed by Dipl. Ing. Ernst Zündel and, powered by four Jumo 109-004B-1 turbojets, this was flown by Flugkapitän Siegfried Holzmeister at Brandis on 16 August 1944; the prototype required take-off assistance in the form of a jettisonable Walter 109-501 rocket under each turbojet. A total of 17 flights was made before priority for jet bombers was abandoned at about this time. For some reason, never satisfactorily explained, the RLM returned to a bomber philosophy in March 1945 and ordered the Ju 287 into production. Construction of two further prototypes, the Ju 287 V2 with six jet engines and the Ju 287 V3 returning to four more powerful turbojets, commenced but neither was flown before the end of the war. The entire programme was then transferred to Podbereznye in the USSR, together with many of its associated technicians, and flight trials continued there until about 1948.

**Specification**

**Ju 287 VI**
- Type: prototype high-speed heavy bomber
- Powerplant: four 900-kg (1,984-lb) thrust Junkers Jumo 109-004B-1 turbojets
- **Performance:**
  - Maximum speed: 559 km/h (347 mph) at 6000 m (19,685 ft);
  - Service ceiling: 10800 m (35,435 ft);
  - Maximum range: 1500 km (930 miles)
- **Weights:**
  - Empty: 12510 kg (27,579 lb);
  - Max take-off: 20000 kg (44,092 lb)
- **Dimensions:**
  - Span: 20.11 m (65 ft 9 in);
  - Length: 18.30 m (60 ft 0 in);
  - Wing area: 58.30 m² (627.3 sq ft)
- **Armament:**
  - Bomb load of up to 4000 kg (8,818 lb)
  - Gun armament in tail barbette

The most futuristic of all jets to fly during the war, the Ju 287 VI was the only example built by Junkers. The second prototype was completed by the Russians after the war, flying with six engines.

**Messerschmitt Me 262**

The Messerschmitt Me 262 was one of the first turbojet-powered aircraft to achieve combat status, and was the result of pre-war research with gas turbines in Germany. Design of the aircraft started in 1938 and prototype airframes were ready in 1941 but, as the Junkers jet engines were not then ready, the first flight on 18 April was made using a single Jumo 210G piston engine; it was not until 18 July 1942 that the Me 262 V3 first made an all-jet flight powered by two 840-kg (1,852-lb) thrust Junkers 109-004A-0 turbojets. Early prototypes featured tailwheel landing gear, but when production started in 1944 a tricycle arrangement had been standardized. As Hitler persisted in demanding development of the Me 262 as a bomber for repressal raids on the UK, development of the fighter was badly delayed and it was not until late in 1944 that the aircraft entered Luftwaffe service. The Me 262 A-1a Schwalbe (swallow) fighter was armed with four 30-mm guns in the nose and joined Kommando Nowotny in October; it was followed by the Me 262 A-1a/U1 with two additional 20-mm guns, the Me 262 A-1a/U2 bad-weather fighter and the Me 262 A-1a/U3 unarmed reconnaissance aircraft. The Me 262 A-2a Sturmfvogel (stormy petrel) bomber could carry up to 500 kg (1,102 lb) of bombs in addition to the four 30-mm guns, and a two-seat version (with prone bomb-aimer), the Me 262 A-2a/U2, was also produced.

Before the end of the war Me 262s were being flown with some success against Allied bombers both as day and night fighters (the latter were radar-equipped Me 262B-1a/U1 aircraft), and air-to-air rockets were being developed. Dogged by difficulties brought on by Allied raids on factories and airfields, the Luftwaffe’s jet fighter units nevertheless posed a formidable threat to Allied air superiority.
The Messerschmitt Me 163 Komet (comet) rocket interceptor stemmed from prolonged research by Dr Alexander Lippisch over 15 years before the war. The prototype was initially test flown as a glider during the spring of 1941 before being fitted with a Walter RII-203 rocket using T-Stoff and Z-Stoff propellants. Powered flights by the Me 163 VI started in the late summer of 1941, and on 2 October the aircraft reached 1004.5 km/h (623.8 mph); two months later the Me 163B Komet was ordered into production.

Production Me 163Bs were powered by Walter 109-509A rocket motors using T-Stoff (hydrogen peroxide) and C-Stoff (hydrazine hydrate, methyl alcohol and water) to give a thrust of 1700 kg (3,748 lb). Early Me 163B-0 aircraft were armed with a pair of 20-mm guns, but Me 163B-1 fighters carried two 30-mm weapons. The aircraft possessed no conventional landing gear, but took off from a trolley which was jettisoned immediately after take-off.

Introduction to Luftwaffe service was a protracted and hazardous process owing to difficulties in handling the fuels and a number of fatal accidents, and only very experienced pilots were selected. Production Me 163B-la fighters equipped JG 400 at Brandis, near Leipzig, in June 1944 and first intercepted B-17 Fortress daylight bombers on 16 August that year. All manner of difficulties faced the pilots, apart from the hazards already mentioned, and it was found difficult to aim and fire the guns with the result that upward-firing 50-mm shells and underwing rockets came to be developed.

Although some 300 Me 163Bs were produced (as well as a few Me 163C aircraft with increased fuel) and JG 400's other two Gruppen re-equipped by the end of 1944, only nine confirmed air victories were achieved by the Geschwader.
It is perhaps surprising at first sight that, having been the second nation to fly an air-breathing jet-propelled aeroplane, Italy did not feature among the leading nations in this field of technology. But in truth the Caproni-Campini Nl was no more than an ingenious plane, and to all intents and purposes a technical dead-end. The engineer Secondo Campini had created a company in 1931 to pursue research into reaction propulsion. In 1939 he persuaded Caproni to build an aircraft to accommodate the fruits of this work, namely the adaptation of an Isotta-Fraschini radial engine driving a ducted-fan compressor; the compressed air was exhausted through a variable-area nozzle in the aircraft’s extreme tail, and additional fuel could be ignited in the tailpipe to increase thrust. The two-seat low-wing Nl (sometimes referred to as the CC.2) was first flown at Talledo on 28 August 1940 by Mario de Bernadi. A number of set-piece demonstration flights was undertaken, including one of 220 km (168 miles) from Talledo to Guidonia at an average speed of 209 km/h (130 mph), but it was clear from the outset that use of a three-stage fan-compressor driven by a piston engine would limit further development, and the experiment was abandoned early in 1942 when Italy was faced with sterner priorities. The Nl survives today in the Museo della Scienza Technica at Milan as a monument to ingenuity if not sophisticated technology.

Specification
Type: two-seat research aircraft
Powerplant: one 900-hp (671-kW) Isotta-Fraschini radial piston engine driving a three-stage ducted-fan compressor
Performance: maximum speed 375 km/h (233 mph)
Weights: empty 3640 kg (8,025 lb); maximum take-off 4195 kg (9,248 lb)
Dimensions: span 15.85 m (52 ft 0 in); length 13.10 m (43 ft 0 in); wing area 36.80 m² (387.51 sq ft); wing loading 118.91 kg/m² (21.36 lb/ft²)
Armament: none

JAPAN

Nakajima Kikka

Encouraged by enthusiastic reports of the German Messerschmitt Me 262 from the Japanese air attaché in Berlin, the Japanese naval staff instructed Nakajima to develop a single-seat attack bomber based on the Me 262, capable of a maximum speed of 690 km/h (433 mph) and able to carry a 3000-kg (6600-lb) bombload. Design started in September 1944 under the direction of Kazuo Ohno and Kenichi Matsumur, and the resulting aircraft resembled the German design although somewhat smaller owing to the very low power available from the early Japanese jet engines. Initially the first prototype Nakajima Kikka (orange blossom) was fitted with a pair of 200-kg (440-lb) thrust Tsa-11 ducted-flow engines, but these were quickly replaced by 340-kg (750-lb) thrust Ne-12 turbojets. These also proved inadequate and for the first flight two 475-kg (1047-lb) thrust Ne-20 axial-flow turbojets were fitted; however, it was still necessary to employ an auxiliary rocket for assisted take-off. The Kikka was first flown on 7 August 1945 at Kisarazu Naval Air Base by Lieutenant Commander Sasumu Tanaoka; the second flight ended in damage when Tanaoka abandoned the take-off owing to the ATO rockets being incorrectly mounted. A second prototype was then nearing completion and manufacture of 18 further aircraft had started when, on 15 August, the entire programme was abandoned. Production, which included versions for training, reconnaissance and air combat, had also been planned.

Specification
Kikka (1st prototype)
Type: single-seat attack bomber
Powerplant: two 475-kg (1047-lb) thrust Ne-20 axial-flow turbojets
Performance: maximum speed 697 km/h (433 mph) at 10000 m (32,810 ft); climb to 10000 m (32,810 ft) in 26 minutes; service ceiling 12000 m (39,370 ft); range 940 km (586 miles)
Weights: empty 2300 kg (5,071 lb); maximum take-off 4080 kg (8,995 lb)
Dimensions: span 10.00 m (32 ft 9¾ in); length 13.10 m (43 ft 0 in); wing area 36.80 m² (387.51 sq ft)
Armament: one 500-kg (1,102-lb) or one 800-kg (1,764-lb) bomb; fighter version proposed with two 30-mm Type 5 cannon in nose
It was during the summer of 1944 when, faced with overwhelming and fast-increasing Allied strength in the Pacific theatre, the Japanese naval staff first seriously entertained the concept of employing suicide tactics to defeat enemy attacks, and it was Ensign Mit- suru Ohta who first produced a rough design for a piloted flying bomb, a de-vice which was assigned to Yokosuka for detailed completion. The resulting device was a small, mainly wooden aircraft with three solid-propellant rockets in the rear fuselage and a 1200-kg (2,646-lb) explosive warhead in the nose. Carried aloft in the bomb bay of a modified Mitsubishi G4M bomber and flown towards the target area, the Yokosuka MXY7 Ohka (cherry blossom-some) bomb would be released, its rockets fired and then flown directly to impact on a selected target; the pilot was sealed into his cockpit before take-off. Initial powered flight started at Sagami in October 1944, followed by unmanned, powered flights the next month. Production was put in hand, and a total of 755 Ohkas was built before March 1945 when production ended. The weapon was first employed by the 721st Kokutai on 21 March 1945, but the carrier aircraft were intercepted and forced to release their flying bombs too early. On 1 April the US battleship West Virginia and three transport vessels were hit and damaged by Ohkas. Limited success attended other suicide attacks by Ohkas, but the transport aircraft proved fatally vulnerable in the presence of powerful American defences and the Japanese suicide tactic was never a serious threat to Allied operations in the Pacific, for all its macabre implications.

**Specification**

Ohka Model 11
Type: single-seat suicide aircraft
Powerplant: three solid-fuel Type 4 Mark 1 Model 20 rockets with total thrust of 800 kg (1,764 lb)
Performance: maximum level speed 650 km/h (403 mph); terminal diving speed 927 km/h (576 mph); range 37 km (23 miles)
Weights: empty 440 kg (970 lb); maximum take-off 2140 kg (4,718 lb)
Dimensions: span 5.12 m (16 ft 9'/2 in); length 6.07 m (19 ft 10% in); height 1.16 m (3ft 9% in); wing area 6.4 sq (64.6 sq ft)
Warhead: 1200 kg (2,646 lb)

The first production Vampire made its first flight in April 1945, but production examples such as this F.Mk I did not reach the RAF until 1946.

**de Havilland Vampire**

Among the earliest British gas turbines destined for flight was the Halford H.1, designed by Major F.B. Halford and manufactured by de Havilland. In response to Air Ministry Specification E.6/41 the de Havilland company decided to employ this engine in a radical little fighter prototype initially known as the Spidercrab. In an effort to avoid thrust losses through long intake and exhaust ducts the engine intakes were located in the wing roots and the exhausts formed the aircraft’s tail fins. Two further prototypes (LZ548/G and MP838/G) quickly joined the flight programme, the latter carrying the planned armament of four 20-mm Hispano cannon under the nose. The name was changed to Vampire and on 13 May 1944 a contract for 120 production examples of the Vampire F.Mk I (later increased to 300) was placed for manufacture by the English Electric Company, Preston. The first production aircraft (TG278) was the fifth production Vampire F.Mk I, all of which were built by English Electric to relieve de Havilland. The first 40 aircraft had Goblin I engines and carried an armament of four 20-mm cannon in the nose. Later versions had pressurized cockpits with bubble canopies.
Meteors provided good training for the vehicle intended to prove the flight characteristics of the pioneering Whittle W.1 reverse-flow gas turbine with centrifugal compressor. It was an attractive aircraft with nosewheel landing gear and nose inlet for the midships-mounted turbojet, which exhausted through the extreme rear fuselage. Specification E.28/39 was issued to the Gloster Aircraft Company on 3 February 1940; the first prototype underwent taxiing trials at Hucclecote in April 1941 and on 15 May that year was first flown by P.E.G. Sayer from Cranwell with a 390-kg (860-lb) thrust W.1 engine. On 4 February 1942 the aircraft was flown with a 526-kg (1,160-lb) thrust W.1A; on 30 July 1942, while flying with a 692-kg (1,526-lb) thrust Rover W.2B engine, the aircraft entered an inverted spin with jammed ailerons, forcing the RAE pilot to bale out. A second prototype had joined the test programme, and was powered by a 771-kg (1,700-lb) thrust Power Jets W.2/500 turbojet, later boosted to 798-kg (1,760-lb) thrust, and it was with this engine that the aircraft survived to be put on permanent exhibition in the South Kensington Science Museum.

Specification
Type: single-seat research aircraft
Powerplant: one 798-kg (1,760-lb) thrust Power Jets W.2/500 turbojet
Performance: maximum speed 750 km/h (466 mph) at 3050 m (10,000 ft); service ceiling 9753 m (32,000 ft)
Weights: empty 1309 kg (2,886 lb); maximum take-off 1700 kg (3,748 lb)
Dimensions: span 8.84 m (29 ft 0 in); length 12.57 m (41 ft 3 in); height 3.96 m (13 ft 0 in); wing area 13.61 m² (146.5 sq ft)
Armament: none

Two Gloster E.28139s were built; one was lost in an accident and the other survived to be displayed in the Science Museum in London. It was fitted with provision for armament but remained as a research aircraft throughout its flying career.

The Gloster Meteor was the only Allied jet aircraft to reach combat status during the war, and indeed survived in service with the RAF as a fighter for some 15 years afterwards. Designed by George Carter to Air Ministry Specification F.9/40, the aircraft employed twin engines owing to the low power available from turbojets early in the 1940s. Eight prototypes were built: the first had Rover W.2B engines, the second Power Jets W.2/500s, the third Metrovick F.2 axial-flow turbojets, the fourth W.2B/23 engines, the fifth Haliford/de Havilland H. Is, the sixth (prototype Meteor Mk II) DH Goblin engines, the seventh also Goblins but with modified fin and rudder, and the eighth Rolls-Royce W.2B/37 Derwent Is. Although the first prototype was completed first and underwent taxiing trials in July 1942 at Newport, it was the fifth aircraft that was first flown on 5 March 1943 at Cranwell. The first production batch comprised 20 Meteor Mk I aircraft with Rolls-Royce W.2B/23 Weiland I reverse-flow turbojets with centrifugal-flow compressors; roughly half of these were delivered to No. 616 Squadron at Culmhead in July 1944, and the squadron then moved to Mansion where it was joined by No. 504 Squadron with Meteor Mk III aircraft, also with Weiland engines, but fitted with sliding hoods. One of the production Meteor Mk Is was shipped to the USA in exchange for a Bell YP-59A for evaluation purposes. Another was the world’s first turboprop-powered aircraft, being fitted with two Rolls-Royce Trents, although this aircraft was not flown until two weeks after the end of the war.

Specification
Meteor F.Mk I
Type: single-seat interceptor fighter
Powerplant: two 771-kg (1,700-lb) thrust Rolls-Royce Weiland I turbojets
Performance: maximum speed 668 km/h (415 mph) at 3050 m (10,000 ft); service ceiling 12190 m (40,000 ft)
Weights: empty 3692 kg (8,140 lb); maximum take-off 6257 kg (13,795 lb)
Dimensions: span 13.11 m (43 ft 0 in); length 12.57 m (41 ft 3 in); height 3.96 m (13 ft 0 in); wing area 34.74 m² (374 sq ft)
Armament: four nose-mounted 20-mm Hispano cannon (provision for six)

**Gloster Meteor**

The Gloster Meteor was the only Allied jet aircraft to reach combat status during the war, and indeed survived in service with the RAF as a fighter for some 15 years afterwards. Designed by George Carter to Air Ministry Specification F.9/40, the aircraft employed twin engines owing to the low power available from turbojets early in the 1940s. Eight prototypes were built: the first had Rover W.2B engines, the second Power Jets W.2/500s, the third Metrovick F.2 axial-flow turbojets, the fourth W.2B/23 engines, the fifth Haliford/de Havilland H. Is, the sixth (prototype Meteor Mk II) DH Goblin engines, the seventh also Goblins but with modified fin and rudder, and the eighth Rolls-Royce W.2B/37 Derwent Is. Although the first prototype was completed first and underwent taxiing trials in July 1942 at Newport, it was the fifth aircraft that was first flown on 5 March 1943 at Cranwell. The first production batch comprised 20 Meteor Mk I aircraft with Rolls-Royce W.2B/23 Weiland I reverse-flow turbojets with centrifugal-flow compressors; roughly half of these were delivered to No. 616 Squadron at Culmhead in July 1944, and the squadron then moved to Mansion where it was joined by No. 504 Squadron with Meteor Mk III aircraft, also with Weiland engines, but fitted with sliding hoods. One of the production Meteor Mk Is was shipped to the USA in exchange for a Bell YP-59A for evaluation purposes. Another was the world’s first turboprop-powered aircraft, being fitted with two Rolls-Royce Trents, although this aircraft was not flown until two weeks after the end of the war.

Specification
Meteor F.Mk I
Type: single-seat interceptor fighter
Powerplant: two 771-kg (1,700-lb) thrust Rolls-Royce Weiland I turbojets
Performance: maximum speed 668 km/h (415 mph) at 3050 m (10,000 ft); service ceiling 12190 m (40,000 ft)
Weights: empty 3692 kg (8,140 lb); maximum take-off 6257 kg (13,795 lb)
Dimensions: span 13.11 m (43 ft 0 in); length 12.57 m (41 ft 3 in); height 3.96 m (13 ft 0 in); wing area 34.74 m² (374 sq ft)
Armament: four nose-mounted 20-mm Hispano cannon (provision for six)
In the almost total absence of work on gas turbines for aircraft in the USA before 1941, the UK undertook to supply the General Electric Company (which had worked on industrial turbines for many years) with details of Frank Whittle’s progress to date. On account of the Bell Aircraft Corporation’s proximity to General Electric’s engine plant, that company was selected to develop a fighter around the USA’s first turbojet on 5 September 1941. With a Whittle-type General Electric 1-A turbojet on each side of the fuselage beneath the wing roots, the Bell Model 27 was designated the XP-59A, the first of three being flown by Robert M. Stanley at Muroc Dry Lake on 1 October 1942. Named Airacomet, 13 development YP-59A aircraft followed during 1943-4 with the more powerful General Electric J1-16 (J31) turbojet, and these were used primarily to provide basic flight data on turbojets. Production orders for 20 P-59A aircraft with J31-GE-3 engines and 80 P-59B aircraft with J31-GE-5 engines were awarded but, as a result of successful development of the Lockheed P-80 Shooting Star, the last 50 of the latter were cancelled as superfluous. All production had been completed by the end of the war and many of the aircraft were issued to a special USAAF unit, the 412th Fighter Group, for use as drones or drone controllers, some aircraft having a second open cockpit in the nose for an observer. No P-59 ever achieved operational status, being found to lack adequate performance, although a single YP-59A was shipped to the UK for evaluation at the Royal Aircraft Establishment and the Aeronautical & Armament Experimental Establishment late in 1943.

**Specification**

P-59B Airacomet

- Type: single-seat interceptor fighter
- Powerplant: two 907-kg (2,000-lb) thrust General Electric J31-GE-5 turbojets
- Performance: maximum speed 658 km/h (409 mph) at 10670m (35,000 ft); climb to 3050 m (10,000 ft) in 3 minutes 20 seconds; service ceiling 14040 m (46,200 ft); range 644 km (400 miles)
- Weights: empty 3704kg (8,165 lb); maximum take-off 6214 kg (13,700 lb)
- Dimensions: span 13.87m (45ft 6 in); length 11.62m (38ft 4 in); height 3.66 m (12 ft 0 in); wing area 35.84 m² (385.8 sq ft)
- Armament: one 20-mm M4 cannon and three 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns in the nose

Below: Bell YP-59A Airacomet whilst under evaluation for the USNavy in late 1943 at NAS Patuxent River.

Below: YP-59A as evaluated by RAE Farnborough during 1943. A Meteor was concurrently tested in America.

Below: The first three prototypes (XP-59A) tested a flush canopy - later models having a stepped canopy. The P-59 was ordered in production but the P-80 was preferred, and the Airacomet never saw service, lacking adequate performance.

---

**USA**

**Lockheed P-80 Shooting Star**

The second American jet fighter to fly during the war was the Lockheed P-80 Shooting Star, two development examples of which reached the Italian war zone just before VE-day, but failed to fly an operational sortie. Designed under the leadership of Clarence L. Johnson around the British Haddock (de Havilland) H.I turbojet of 1361-kg (3,000-lb) thrust, the first prototype XP-80 was flown at Muroc Dry Lake by Milo Burcham on 8 January 1944, only 143 days after the start of the project. The next two prototypes were designated XP-80A and were powered by the Allison-developed General Electric J33 engine of 1814-kg (4,000-lb) thrust, the first being flown by Tony Le Vier on 10 June 1944. With low-set equitapered laminar-flow wings and engine air intakes set into the fuselage just forward of the wing roots, this version had a top speed of 898 km/h (558 mph) at sea level. Thirteen development YP-80A aircraft for service trials, with J33-GE-9 or J33-GE-11 engines and an armament of six machine-guns in the nose, started delivery to test establishments in October 1944 and it was aircraft of this type that arrived in Italy in May 1945. Production deliveries of the P-80A did not start until December that year, however, four months too late to see action against the Japanese. Subsequently the P-80 gave long and valuable service in the post-war USAF (particularly in the Korean War of 1950-3).
Specification
P-80A Shooting Star
Type: single-seat interceptor fighter
Powerplant: one 1814-kg (4,000-lb) thrust General Electric J33-A-11 turbojet
Performance: maximum speed 933 km/h (580 mph) at 8535 m (28,000 ft); initial climb rate 1395 m (4,580 ft) per minute; service ceiling 13715 m (45,000 ft); range 870 km (540 miles)
Weights: empty 3593 kg (7,920 lb); maximum take-off 6577 kg (14,500 lb)
Dimensions: span 12.17 m (39 ft 11 in); length 10.52 m (34 ft 6 in); height 3.45 m (11 ft 4 in); wing area 22.11 m² (238.0 sq ft)
Armament: six 12.7 mm (0.5-in) machine-guns in the nose

The P-80 was powered by a J33 engine which replaced the British Halford H.1. Through its developments it became the most successful first-generation jet, leading to the T-33 trainer and the F-94 Starfire. This P-80A-1-LO serving with the 412th Fighter Group in 1946.

USSR
Berez-Isa BI

Under the direction of Prof. Viktor Bolkhovitinov, with detail design by Alexandr Bereznyak and Aleksei Isayev (accounting for the BI of the designation), the Berez-Isa BI was the first liquid rocket-engined fighter flown in the USSR. A low-wing monoplane, the BI had a Dushkin D-1A rocket engine in the tail, and this was highly temperamental, its volatile fuel mixture of kerosene and nitric acid being not only dangerous to handle, but also causing corrosion of tanks and fuel lines. The BI was flown initially as a glider on 10 September 1941, the first significant powered flight of 3 minutes 9 seconds, being made by the third prototype on 15 May 1942. Work on 50 pre-production aircraft was started, but halted when a prototype dived into the ground on 27 March 1943 during a low-level high-speed run, killing its pilot. The endurance of the BI was inadequate for operational use, but a two-chamber engine developed by Dushkin to overcome this deficiency, with low (cruising) and high (combat) thrust settings was almost double the weight and considered unsuitable. Wind tunnel testing that followed the fatal crash revealed a stability problem that could not be resolved and further development was abandoned. Before this, however, the seventh prototype with a more powerful engine had demonstrated a rate of climb of 4980 m (16,340 ft) per minute,

Specification
Powerplant: one 1000-kg (2,205-lb) thrust Dushkin D-1A rocket engine
Performance: (estimated) maximum speed 1000 km/h (621 mph) at 5000 m (16,405 ft); climb to 10000 m (32,810 ft) in 59 seconds; endurance 8 to 15 minutes
Weights: empty 958 kg (2,112 lb); maximum take-off 1683 kg (3,710 lb)
Dimensions: span 6.48 m (21 ft 3 in); length 6.40 m (21 ft 0 in); wing area 7.00 m² (75.35 sq ft)
Armament: two nose-mounted 20-mm ShVAK cannon

The third prototype Berez-Isa (Bolkhovitinov) BI seen taking off on its first powered night. Performance in terms of speed and rate of climb were phenomenal, but the aerodynamics showed insoluble stability problems and the project was abandoned.

Below: The BI first appeared as a glider for testing purposes before having its rocket motor installed.

Above: The third prototype BI was fitted with retractable skis which could be interchanged with wheels depending on the ground conditions. Armament was two 20-mm ShVAK cannon.
Axis Ground Attack Aircraft

Ground attack aircraft played a vital role in the victorious German campaigns of 1939-41. The German army’s Blitzkrieg doctrine involved close integration of tactical air power and mechanized army units. This combination of Panzer mobility and airborne artillery firepower seemed to be unstoppable.

The Focke Wolfe FW 190, one of Germany's leading ground attack aircraft. This one, loaded and ready for action, has been abandoned at an aerodrome by retreating Axis forces in Italy.

One of the most significant aspects of the history of land warfare in Europe during World War II was the inexorable change in the relative air power of the Axis and Allies over the battlefields. When the war started the German army was the principal weapon of aggression, to which all else played a supporting role; its tactic was the Blitzkrieg - the smashing of all opposition by assault troops with fast-moving armour continuously supported by tactical aircraft. So long as the Luftwaffe possessed air superiority over the ground battle, the German army held the initiative. This had been the pervading doctrine that dictated the swift expansion of Germany's armed forces after the Nazis had taken power in 1933.

By contrast the Allies had almost totally ignored air support of their armies, other than to provide very limited tactical reconnaissance. This proved a major cause of one defeat after another during the first two years of the war as Poland, Denmark, Norway, the Netherlands, Belgium, France, Yugoslavia, Greece and Crete fell to the smashing blows of Blitzkrieg.

Inevitably, the mounting losses suffered by the Luftwaffe on the Eastern Front and in the Mediterranean (as Allied strength increased everywhere) deprived the Luftwaffe of air superiority over the land battle. The traditional weapon of the Blitzkrieg, the notorious Stuka (the Junkers Ju 87), could no longer attack at will, being faced by formidable fighter opposition almost everywhere. Yet in the absence of a more advanced replacement for the Stuka, the Germans were forced to persevere with this weapon, and as a result suffered catastrophic losses. Such efforts as they were able to extemporize tended to be set-piece expedients, planned and executed by the Luftwaffe, rather than continuous support that could be called up by ground forces in a moment of emergency - and immediately appreciated by the hard-pressed soldier below. Once the German army no longer saw friendly aircraft continuously flying overhead, the Blitzkrieg was impossible.

No other Axis air force had been as closely integrated with its own land forces as had the Luftwaffe. The Allies were quick to appreciate the paramount importance of assuming air superiority over the ground battle, so much so that, after the pendulum of fortune swung back following the battles at Stalingrad and El Alamein, the British, Soviet and American armies were afforded powerful and continuous air support. The Luftwaffe no longer had it in its power to dispute Allied superiority in the skies over the German army.
Mitsubishi Ki-30

In May 1936 the Imperial Japanese Army issued its specification for a light bomber required to supersede the Mitsubishi Ki-22 and Kawasaki Ki-3 then in service. The Mitsubishi Ki-30 prototype that resulted was of cantilever, mid-wing monoplane configuration with fixed tailwheel landing gear, the main units faired and spatted, and powered by a 615-kW (825-hp) Mitsubishi Ha-6 radial engine. Flown for the first time on 28 February 1937 the aircraft performed well, but it was decided to fly a second prototype with the more powerful Nakajima Ha-5 KAI radial engine. This aircraft showed some slight improvement in performance but, in any case, exceeded the army's original specification, so there was no hesitation in ordering 16 service trials aircraft. These were delivered in January 1938 and, two months later, the Ki-39 was ordered into production.

First used operationally in China during 1938, the Ki-30s proved to be the most effective, for in that theatre they had the benefit of fighter escort. The situation was very much the same at the beginning of the Pacific war, but as soon as the Allies were in a position to confront unescorted Ki-30s with fighter aircraft they immediately began to suffer heavy losses and were soon relegated to second-line use. The Allied codename 'Ann' was allocated to the Ki-30, but few were seen operationally after the opening phases of the war. A total of 704 had been built when production ended in 1941, 68 manufactured by the First Army Air Arsenal at Tachikawa, and many of these ended their days in a kamikaze role during the closing stages of the war.

Specification

Type: two-seat light bomber
Powerplant: one 708-kW (950-hp) Nakajima Ha-5 KAI radial piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 423 km/h (263 mph); cruising speed 380 km/h (236 mph); service ceiling 8570 m (28,115 ft); range 1700 km (1,056 miles)
Weights: empty 2230 kg (4,916 lb); maximum take-off 3290 kg (7,269 lb)
Dimensions: span 14.55 m (47 ft 8.8 in); length 10.35 m (33 ft 11.5 in); height 3.65 m (11 ft 11.7 in); wing area 30.58 m² (329.17 sq ft)
Armament: one wing-mounted 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun and one gun of the same calibre on trainable mount in rear cockpit, plus a maximum bombload of 400 kg (882 lb)

Mitsubishi Ki-51

To meet an Imperial Japanese Army specification of December 1936 for a ground-attack aircraft, which it was suggested could be a development of the Ki-30 light bomber, Mitsubishi produced two prototypes under the designation Mitsubishi Ki-51. Of similar external appearance to the Ki-30, the nose design with its smaller dimensions, had a revised and simplified cockpit that put the two-man crew more closely together and, because the bomb bay was not required, the monoplane wing was moved from a mid- to low-wing configuration. Powerplant chosen was the Mitsubishi Ha-26-II radial piston engine. Tested during the summer of 1939, the two prototypes were followed by 11 service trials aircraft, these being completed before the end of the year. They differed from the prototypes by incorporating a number of modifications, but most important were the introduction of fixed leading-edge slots to improve slow-speed handling and armour plate beneath the engine and crew positions. In addition to the standard production aircraft, there were attempts to develop dedicated reconnaissance versions, initially by the conversion of one Ki-51 service trials aircraft which had the rear cockpit redesigned to accommodate reconnaissance cameras. Test and evaluation of this aircraft, redesignated Ki-51a, brought a realization that the standard Ki-51 could be modified to have provisions for the installation of reconnaissance cameras, and this change was made on the production line. Subsequently, three Ki-71 tactical reconnaissance prototypes were developed from the Ki-51, introducing the 1119-kW (1,500-hp) Mitsubishi Ha-112-11 engine, retractable landing gear, two wing-mounted 20-mm cannon and other refinements, but no production examples were built.

Allocated the Allied codename 'Sonia', the Ki-51 was used initially in operations against China, and was deployed against the Allies until the end of the Pacific war. In more intensely contested areas the fairly slow Ki-51s were easy prey for Allied fighters, but in secondary theatres, where an ability to operate from rough and short fields was valuable, these aircraft gave essential close support in countless operations. In the closing stages of the war they were used in kamikaze attacks.

Specification

Type: two-seat ground-attack/reconnaissance aircraft
Powerplant: one 701-kW (940-hp) Mitsubishi Ha-26-II radial piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 425 km/h (264 mph); 3000 m (9,845 ft); service ceiling 8200 m (26,904 ft); range 1600 km (869 miles)
Weights: empty 2175 kg (4,801 lb); maximum take-off 3020 kg (6,670 lb)
Dimensions: span 12.10 m (39 ft 3.3 in); length 9.20 m (30 ft 2.2 in); height 2.73 m (8 ft 11.5 in); wing area 24.02 m² (258.56 sq ft)
Armament: two wing-mounted 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns (early production) or two wing-mounted 12.7-mm (0.5-in) guns (late production), and one 7.7-mm (0.303-in) gun on trainable mount in rear cockpit, plus a bombload of 200 kg (441 lb) increasing to 250 kg (551 lb) in kamikaze role

Slow and vulnerable, the Mitsubishi Ki-51 nevertheless served throughout the war, mainly in secondary theatres, where its rough landing capability was a valuable asset.
In early 1937 Kawasaki was instructed by the Imperial Japanese army to initiate the design and development of a twin-engine fighter that would be suitable for long-range operations over the Pacific. The concept derived from army interest in developments taking place in other countries, and particularly in the Messerschmitt Bf 110. The first Kawasaki Ki-45 Toryu (dragon killer) prototype flew in 1939, a cantilever mid-wing monoplane with retractable tailwheel landing gear. A slender fuselage provided enclosed accommodation for two in tandem. Problems followed with the engine installation, and it was not until September 1941 that the Ki-45 KAIa entered production. Armament of this initial series version comprised one forward-firing 20-mm cannon, two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns in the nose, and a 7.92-mm (0.31-in) machine-gun on a flexible mount in the rear cockpit; there was also provision to carry two drop tanks or two 250-kg (551-lb) bombs on underwing racks. The type entered service in August 1942 but was first used in combat during October 1942, soon being allocated the Allied code-name 'Nick'.

The Kawasaki Ki-45 KAIc was the night-fighter variant of this highly successful twin-engined fighter-bomber. Carrying one forward-firing and two obliquely-mounted upward-firing cannon, this is a machine belonging to the 1st Chutai, 53rd Sentai, based at Matsudo in early 1945.

**Specification**

Kawasaki Ki-45 KAIc

- **Type:** two-seat night-fighter
- **Powerplant:** two 805-kW (1,080-hp) Mitsubishi Ha-102 radial piston engines
- **Performance:**
  - Maximum speed: 545 km/h (339 mph) at 7000 m (22,965 ft)
  - Climb to 5000 m (16,405 ft): 6 minutes 7 seconds
  - Service ceiling: 10000 m (32,810 ft)
  - Range: 2000 km (1,243 miles)
- **Weights:**
  - Empty: 4000 kg (8,818 lb)
  - Maximum take-off: 5500 kg (12,125 lb)
- **Dimensions:**
  - Span: 15.05 m (49 ft 4.5 in)
  - Length: 11.00 m (36 ft 1.1 in)
  - Height: 3.70 m (12 ft 1.7 in)
  - Wing area: 32.00 m² (344.46 sq ft)
- **Armament:**
  - Canon and machine-guns as listed in text; all versions had provision for two drop tanks or two 250-kg (551-lb) bombs on underwing racks

Heavily armed by Japanese standards, the Kawasaki Ki-45 was developed as a long-range fighter. It doubled as a ground attack aircraft, one model being fitted with an experimental 75-mm (2.95-in) mount for the anti-shipping role.
**Kawasaki Ki-102**

Derived from the Ki-96 twin-engine single-seat fighter, development of which was abandoned after three prototypes had been completed, the Kawasaki Ki-102b was intended as a two-seat attack fighter for primary deployment in the close-support role. Some assemblies of the three Ki-102 prototypes were incorporated into the three Ki-102 prototypes, the first of which was completed in March 1944. A cantilever mid-wing monoplane with a conventional tail unit, retractable tailwheel landing gear and two Mitsubishi Ha-112-11 radial engines, the Ki-102 accommodated its two-man crew in separate enclosed cockpits in tandem, completion of the prototypes was followed by the construction of 20 preproduction aircraft and in October 1944 the type was ordered into production. With the Imperial Japanese Army still anxious to procure a twin-engine high-altitude fighter, Kawasaki modified six of the preproduction Ki-102s to serve as prototypes of such an interceptor. This differed from the attack fighter by having improved two-seat accommodation, a revised tail unit and Mitsubishi Ha-112-Iru engines with turbochargers. This initial production version had a lengthened fuselage, redesigned tail surfaces, primitive AI radar, and armament comprising two 30-mm Ho-105 cannon in the underfuselage and two 20-mm Ho-5 cannon mounted obliquely in the fuselage to fire forward and upward. Ki-102b aircraft, which were allocated the Allied code-name 'Randy', saw comparatively little operational service its speed was still too low and its defensive armament inadequate. Attempts to increase armament merely upped the overall weight and speed suffered proportionately so that the majority of these aircraft were operational only in November 1944, a few seeing action at Okinawa but the majority being retained to defend the homeland from the expected invasion.

**Specification**

| Type: twin-engine ground-attack aircraft |
| Powerplant: two 1119-kW (1,500-hp) Mitsubishi Ha-112-11 radial piston engines |
| Performance: maximum speed 580 km/h (360 mph) at 6000 m (19,685 ft); service ceiling 11000 m (36,090 ft); range 2000 km (1,243 miles) |
| Weight: empty 4950 kg (10,913 lb); maximum take-off 7300 kg (16,094 lb) |
| Dimensions: span 11.45 m (37 ft 6.8 in); length 12.75 m (41 ft 10 in); height 3.70 m (12 ft 1 ft 7 in); wing area 34.00 m² (365.98 sq ft) |

**Caproni Bergamaschi Ca 306/Ca 309/310/314**

At the 1935 Milan Exhibition there appeared the prototype of the Caproni Bergamaschi Ca 306 Borea (north wind), a six-passenger low-wing transport. Although built only in small numbers, the Borea was important as a progenitor of a range of light twin-engine aircraft manufactured for a wide variety of roles. The first of these was the aptly-named Ca Ghibli (desert wind), 78 of which were built for use in Libya. The military versions were used as light transports or reconnaissance bombers with a lengthened glazed nose, bomb racks, cameras, and with armament comprising three 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns. Another model featured a fixed forward firing 20-mm cannon. Seven squadrons equipped with Ghiblis were operational when Italy entered the war in 1940.

Developed in parallel with the Ghibli, the Ca 310 Libeccio (south west wind) was structurally similar to the earlier machine, but was provided with retractable landing gear and powered by two 350-kW (470-hp) Piaggio P.VII C.35 radial engines. Export deliveries went to Norway, Peru and Yugoslavia, and this last nation also acquired 12 more under the designation Ca 31 Obis; this variant differed primarily by having an unstepped extensively glazed nose.

The prototype of the Ca SIObis served as a development aircraft for the following Ca 311. As built they were similar to the Ca SIObis, but most were later modified by the introduction of a stepped windscreen, the former being redesignated Ca 311M. Defensive armament of this version comprised a Caproni Lanciani turret with a single 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun, complemented by one machine-gun in the port wing root and another firing aft through a ventral hatch. A modified Ca 310 with two Isotta-Fraschini Asso 120 IRC 40 engines served as the Ca 313 prototype, first flown on 22 December 1939, but France had already confirmed an order for 200 of these aircraft on 1 October, followed closely by British and Swedish orders for 200 and 64 respectively. However, Italy’s entry into the war prevented delivery of any
of the British machines and France received only five Ca 313F models, the remainder being diverted to the Regia Aeronautica.

Most extensively built version was the Ca 314. Variants included the Ca 314A or Ca 314-SC (Scorta), a convoy escort/maritime patrol aircraft, the Ca 314B or Ca 314-RA (Riconoscimento Aeronautico) torpedo-bomber and the ground-attack Ca 314C.

**Specification**

**Caproni Ca 314A**
- **Type:** Convoy escort and maritime patrol aircraft
- **Powerplant:** Two 444-kW (730-hp) Isotta-Fraschini Delta RC.35 12-cylinder inverted-Vee piston engines
- **Performance:**
  - Maximum speed: 385 km/h (245 mph) at 400 m (1,312 ft)
  - Cruising speed: 302 km/h (188 mph) at 4200 m (13,800 ft)
  - Service ceiling: 6,400 m (21,000 ft)
- **Weights:**
  - Empty: 4,560 kg (10,053 lb)
  - Maximum take-off: 6,620 kg (14,595 lb)
- **Dimensions:**
  - Span: 16.65 m (54 ft 7.5 in)
  - Length: 11.80 m (38 ft 11 in)
  - Height: 5.45 m (17 ft 9 in)
  - Wing area: 39.20 m² (421.96 sq ft)

**Type:** Convoy escort and maritime patrol aircraft

**Fiat A.80**
- **Powerplant:** One 746-kW (1,000-hp) Fiat A.80 radial piston engine
- **Performance:**
  - Maximum speed: 430 km/h (267 mph) at 4,100 m (13,465 ft)
  - Cruising speed: 370 km/h (230 mph) at 4,000 m (13,125 ft)
  - Service ceiling: 6,300 m (20,670 ft)
- **Weights:**
  - Empty equipped: 2,400 kg (5,291 lb)
  - Maximum take-off: 2,900 kg (6,404 lb)
- **Dimensions:**
  - Span: 12.10 m (39 ft 8 in)
  - Length: 9.30 m (30 ft 4 in)
  - Height: 3.70 m (12 ft 1 in)
  - Wing area: 23.50 m² (255.28 sq ft)

**Italian Air Force (Regia Aeronautica)**

The Aviazione Legionaria in Spain in late 1938 was used in maritime roles as well as for ground attack.

**Breda Ba.65**

Intended as an aeroplano di combattimento, capable of fulfilling the roles of interceptor fighter, light bomber, or reconnaissance/attack aircraft as required, the prototype Breda Ba.65 made its initial flight in September 1935. Experience in Spain indicated that the Ba.65 was suited only to the attack role, and the type served thenceforth with most of the eight squadriglie (squadrons) attached to the two Regia Aeronautica assault squadriglie (wings), the 5° and 50°. A second series of 137 aircraft was built by Breda (80) and Caproni-Vizzola (57), before production ended in July 1939. They differed from the first production batch by having the Fiat A.80 engines. Six Fiat-powered Ba.65s and four more of the Gnome-Rhone-powered version were sent to the Aviazione Legionaria in Spain in 1938.

Following Italy's entry into World War II in June 1940, Ba.65s were involved in the fighting in North Africa against the British. They had a low serviceability rate in desert conditions and put up an unimpressive performance. The last serviceable aircraft was lost during the British offensive in Cyrenaica in February 1941.

A large number of the Ba.65s serving with Italian units were of two-seat configuration, with an observer/gunner in an open cockpit above the trailing edge of the wing. A smaller number of the type had a Breda L type turret, but in either case the observer/gunner operated a single 7.7-mm (.303-in) machine-gun. While offensive armament could theoretically comprise up to 1000 kg (2,205 lb) of bombs, the load usually carried was up to 300 kg (661 lb) in the fuselage bomb bay or, alternatively, up to 200 kg (441 lb) on underwing racks.

Exports included 25 Fiat-powered Ba.65 two-seaters to Iraq in 1938, two of them dual-control trainers and the remainder with Breda L turrets; 201 Fiat, 66x, and 23 with Piaggio P.X1C.40 engines to Chile later in the same year, 17 of them single-seat fighters and nine dual-control trainers; and 10 Fiat-powered two-seaters with Breda L turrets to Portugal in November 1939. A single Fiat-powered production aircraft was tested with an American Pratt & Whitney R-1830 engine in June 1937 in anticipation of an order from the Chinese Nationalist government, but this failed to materialize. The Iraqi Ba.65s saw limited action against the British during the 1941 insurrection in that country.

**Specification**

**Breda 65/A.80**
- **Type:** Ground-attack aircraft
- **Powerplant:** One 746-kW (1,000-hp) Fiat A.80 radial piston engine
- **Performance:**
  - Maximum level speed: 430 km/h (267 mph)
  - Maximum level speed, two-seat version: 410 km/h (255 mph)
  - Service ceiling: 6,300 m (20,700 ft)
- **Weights:**
  - Empty equipped: 2,400 kg (5,291 lb)
  - Maximum take-off: 2,900 kg (6,404 lb)
- **Dimensions:**
  - Span: 12.10 m (39 ft 8 in)
  - Length: 9.30 m (30 ft 6 in)
  - Height: 3.70 m (12 ft 1 in)

This Caproni Ca.31 OM of the 8° Escuadrilla, Grupo 18, Agrupacion Espanola (the Nationalist air force), operated in Spain during late 1938.

**Spanish experience showed the Ba.65 to be suitable for ground attack only, although 25 two-seaters were sold to Iraq, where they served in No. 5 (Fighter) Squadron.**

**Breda Ba.65 was flown by the Aviazione Legionaria on the Nationalist side during the Spanish Civil War.**

This Breda Ba.65 exported to Chile in 1940.
A propaganda triumph when its appearance was trumpeted by Mussolini’s Fascist regime in 1936, the Breda Ba.88 Linee (lynx) was a sleek all-metal shoulder-wing monoplane. In April 1937 it established two world speed-over-distance records. Regarded as an aeroplano di combattimento, suitable for attack, long-range reconnaissance or bombing operations, the Ba.88 then had its military equipment and weapons installed. Immediately, performance and flight characteristics fell off dramatically, but by then production orders were already being executed.

On 16 June 1940, just after Italy’s declaration of war on France and her allies, the Ba.88 had its first taste of action. Twelve aircraft from the Regia Aeronautica’s 19th Gruppo Autonomo made bombing and machine-gun attacks on the principal airfields of Corsica; three days later nine Ba.88s made a repeat attack. Analysis of these operations showed that the Ba.88 had only limited value, and any remaining doubts were settled when Ba.88s of the 7th Gruppo Autonomo joined action in Libya against the British. Fitted with sand filters, the engines overheated and failed to deliver their designed power. Attacks on targets set at Salentine had to be aborted in September 1940, the aircraft failing to gain sufficient altitude or maintain formation, and reaching a speed less than half that claimed by the manufacturers.

By mid-November 1940 most surviving Ba.88s had been stripped of useful equipment and were scattered around operational airfields as decoys for attacking British aircraft.

Three Ba.88s were modified by the Agusta plant in 1942 to serve as ground-attack aircraft. Wing span was increased by 2.00m (6ft 6.75in) to alleviate wing loading problems, their engines were replaced by Fiat A.74s, nose armament was increased to four 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns, and dive brakes were installed. These Breda Ba.88Ms were delivered to the 103rd Gruppo Autonomo Tuffatori (independent dive-bombing group) at Lonate Pozzolo on 7 September 1943. They were flight-tested by Luftwaffe pilots, but that was the last heard of the Breda Ba.88 which represented, perhaps, the most remarkable failure of any operational aircraft to see service in World War II.

**Specification**

**Breda Ba.88**

Type: fighter-bomber/reconnaissance aircraft

Powerplant: two 746-kW (1,000-hp) Piaggio P.XIRC.40 radial/piston engines

Performance: maximum speed 490 km/h (304 mph); service ceiling 800 m (2,625 ft); range 1640 km (1,019 miles)

Weights: empty 4650 kg (10,251 lb); maximum take-off 6600 kg (14,558 lb)

Dimensions: span 13.80 m (45 ft 3.3 in); length 11.50 m (37 ft 8.75 in); height 3.90m (12 ft 9.5 in); wing area 33.34 m² (358.88 sq ft)

Armament: three fixed forward-firing 12.7-mm (0.5-in) Breda-SAFAT machine-guns in nose and one 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Breda-SAFAT machine-gun in rear cockpito/7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns in rear cockpit, plus up to 1000 kg (2,204 lb) of bombs in fuselage bomb-bay or, alternatively, three 200-k (441-lb) bombs carried semi-exposed in individual recesses in the fuselage belly.

**GERMANY**

**Junkers Ju 87**

Forever decrepit as a Nazi terror weapon, the Junkers Ju 87 (widely referred to as the Stuka - a contraction of the word Sturzkampfflugzeug) was nevertheless an imaginative weapon of considerable accuracy when operating in skies clear of enemy fighters. Conceived as a form of support artillery for the Wehrmacht’s Blitzkrieg tactics, the Ju 87 was first flown in 1935, its production was said to be 5,709.

Foremost amongst these were Hans-Ulrich Rudel whose personnel bombs far exceeded any other. Total Ju 87 production was said to be 5,709.

**Specification**

**Junkers Ju 87D-1**

Type: two-seat dive-bomber/assault aircraft

Powerplant: one 1044-kW (1,400-hp) Junkers Jumo 211J-1 inverted-Vee piston engine

Performance: maximum speed 410 km/h (255 mph) at 3840 m (12,600 ft); cruising speed 320 km/h (199 mph) at 5900 m (19,600 ft); service ceiling 7290 m (23,915 ft); maximum range 1535 km (954 miles)

Weights: empty equipped 3900 kg (8,598 lb); maximum take-off 6600 kg (14,551 lb)

Dimensions: span 13.80 m (45 ft 3.3 in); length 11.50m (37 ft 8.75 in); height 3.90m (12 ft 9.5 in); wing area 31.90 m² (335.38 sq ft)

Armament: four 20-mm (0.79-in) MG 151 machine-guns in nose, plus up to 1000 kg (2,204 lb) of bombs in fuselage bomb-bay or, alternatively, three 200-k (441-lb) bombs carried semi-exposed in individual recesses in the fuselage belly.

In spite of its sleek, powerful appearance, the Ju 87 was somewhat less than successful, since the excellent prototype performance declined dramatically once in operational trim. Indeed, so bad was the Stuka’s combat performance that within five months from the start of the war survivors of the initial batch of 80 were gutted and used as ground decoys on airfields.

**The Stuka established its reputation in the hands of the Condor Legion in Spain. Here a formation of Ju 87B-Is approaches its target.**
Although the Junkers Ju 88 was originally intended to perform the dual roles of level and dive bombing, the early versions were seldom employed in the ground-support role in the same manner as the Ju 87 dive-bomber, being largely confined to level bombing and in the later years to the roles of level and dive bombing.

The Ju 88 was designed to perform the dual role of bomber-destroyer and fighter-bomber. It was chosen to carry the 75-mm KwK 39 gun housed in a large fairing under the fuselage, and during trials against captured T-34 tanks at Rechlin in 1933 promising results were obtained. A small number of Ju 88-1 aircraft followed, featuring the single 75-mm KwK 39 gun, but in an effort to improve the aircraft's performance the Ju 88P-4 was introduced with a smaller dual gun arrangement mounting a single 50-mm BK5 machine gun, and at least one Ju 88P-4 was equipped with a 3.7-cm RZ 55 solid-fuel rocket launcher with a 12-round magazine. The Ju 88P-4 was also planned to mount an 88-mm Duka 8.8 U-boat gun as well as various types of flame-thrower; none of these reached operational units, however, and by the time that NSGr 2 was moved to the West late in 1944 few, if any, Ju 88Ps remained in service.

**Junkers Ju 88P**

The Junkers Ju 88P-3 featured increased armour protection for the crew and it packed a devastating punch of twin 37-mm cannon in the ventral fairing. It was delivered to five Nacht schlachtgruppen.

**Focke-Wulf Fw 190**

A cantilever low-wing monoplane of stressed-skin construction, the prototype Focke-Wulf Fw 190 was rolled out in May 1939 and the first flight took place on 1 June 1939. A second aircraft, the Fw 190 V2, flew in October 1939, armed with two 13-mm (0.51-in) MG 131 and two 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG 17 machine-guns. Initial production version was the Fw 190A-1 which, flown by 6./JG 26, first clashed with RAF Supermarine Spitfires on 27 September 1941. Fighter-bomber versions included the Fw 190A-5/U6 and the long-range Fw 190A-5/U8, and the Fw 190A-5/U11 close-support aircraft carried a 30-mm MK 103 cannon beneath each wing. The Fw 190A-5/U14 and Fw 190A-5/U15 were both torpedo-bomber variants, the former carrying an LfS5b and LT 950 torpedo respectively, and a 30-mm MK 103 cannon mounted in the outboard wing position was standard for the Fw 190A-5/U16.

In late 1943 several Fw 190A-7s were modified by the installation of Junkers Juno 213 A-12 engines to serve as Fw 190D-0 prototypes. Thus was derived the Fw 190D-9 production version, known popularly as the long-nose 190 or Dora*. A 300-litre (66-Imp gal) drop tank or a 250-kg (551-lb) bomb could be carried on each under-wing rack. Variants included the Fw 190D-13, which was essentially a ground-attack aircraft with additional armour.

The Fw 190 was modified to produce a series of highly successful fighter-bombers. This taxing Fw 190A-5/U8 carried a crewmember to give guidance to the pilot inside.
armour protection for the engine, and armed with two MG 151/20 cannon firing through the spinner. However, the Fw 190D had been preceded into service by the Fw 190F-1, a specialized ground-attack version which was introduced in early 1943; generally similar to the Fw 190A-4, it differed by having additional armour protection for the cockpit and powerplant, the outboard 20-mm cannon deleted, and an ETC 501 bomb rack installed beneath the fuselage. The Fw 190F-2 introduced a bubble canopy, and the Fw 190F-3 could carry a 250-kg (551-lb) bomb beneath the fuselage and, in the Fw 190F-3R1 and Fw 190F-3R3 versions, four ETC 50 bomb racks or two similarly-located 30-mm MK 103 cannon. The Fw 190F-8/U2 and the Fw 190F-8/U3 were fitted with the TSA bomb sight for anti-shipping strikes with, respectively, a 700-kg (1,543-lb) BT 700 or a 1400-kg (3,086-lb) BT 1400 weapon. Alphabetically the last of the Fw 190s, and a specialized ground-attack version like the F-series which it preceded into service, the Fw 190G-1 fighter-bomber was derived from the Fw 190A-5, but carried a 1800-kg (3,968-lb) bomb which necessitated the introduction of strengthened landing gear; wing-mounted armament was reduced to two MG 151/20 cannon, and the Junkers-designed wing racks accommodated two 300-litre (66-imp gal) drop tanks.

Specification
Focke-Wulf Fw 190D-9
Type: single-seat fighter-bomber
Powerplant: one 1324-kW (1,776-hp) Junkers Jumo 213 A-1 inverted-Vee piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 685 km/h (426 mph) at 6000 m (19,685 ft); climb to 6000 m (19,685 ft) in 7 minutes 6 seconds; service ceiling 12000 m (39,370 ft); range 835 km (519 miles)
Weights: empty 3490 kg (7,694 lb); maximum take-off 4840 kg (10,670 lb)
Dimensions: span 10.50 m (34 ft 4.6 in); length 10.20 m (33 ft 5.6 in); height 3.35 m (11 ft 1 in); wing area 18.30 m² (196.99 sq ft)
Armament: two 13-mm (0.51-in) MG 131 machine-guns and two 20-mm MG 151 cannon, plus one 500-kg (1,102-lb) SC500 bomb

Above: An Hs 123A of 7. Staffel, Stukageschwader 165 ‘Immelmann’ in 1937. Plans for an Hs 123 with increased armament and enclosed cockpit were cancelled after the Ju 87 was introduced.

Weights: empty 1500 kg (3,307 lb); maximum take-off 2215 kg (4,883 lb)
Dimensions: span 10.50 m (34 ft 4.6 in); length 8.33 m (27 ft 4 in); height 3.20 m (10 ft 6 in); wing area 25.84 m² (276.49 sq ft)
Armament: two fixed forward-firing 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG 17 machine-guns, plus provision for 450 kg (992 lb) of bombs

Production ceased after only one year.

GERMANY

Henschel Hs 123

Designed to an official requirement for a dive-bomber, issued in 1933, the Henschel Hs 123 single-bay sesquiplane was of all-metal construction, with fabric covering used only for the rear portions of the wings and the control surfaces. Powered by a 485-kW (650-hp) BMW 132A-3 radial engine, the prototype flew in 1938 and quickly established its superiority over the rival Fieseler Fi 98. The third prototype was the first to be armed, carrying two fixed forward-firing 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG 17 machine-guns in the fuselage top decking. The first three aircraft were flown to Rechlin for testing in August 1935, in the course of which activity two of them were destroyed when their wings came off in dives. A fourth prototype tested successfully the structural changes introduced to overcome this problem and initial production orders were placed for the Hs 123A-1, which retained the blistered cowling of the second and third prototypes, rather than the NACA cowling of the first. Power was provided by the BMW 132Dc radial engine and, in addition to the two fixed MG 17 machine-guns, armament for a 250-kg (551-lb) bomb or an external fuel tank was included beneath the fuselage. The Hs 123A-2 introduced a bubble canopy, and the Fw 190F-3 could carry a 250-kg (551-lb) bomb beneath the fuselage and, in the Fw 190F-8/U2 and Fw 190F-8/U3, two MG 151/20 cannon were carried on underwing racks. The Hs 123 was built at Henschel’s Schönefeld and Johannshof factories in Berlin, but although the company built two prototypes of an improved Hs 123B version with the 17.6-kW (960-hp) BMW 132K engine, the second having two additional MG 17 machine-guns and an enclosed cockpit, the Luftwaffe expressed its dissatisfaction with the Junkers Ju 87 and production ended. The Hs 123A-1 first entered service with 1./StG 162 in the autumn of 1936; the type also saw operational service in Poland and in the campaign in the West in 1940.

Above: The Henschel Hs 123 dive bomber entered service in 1936, but was soon overshadowed by the Ju 87 Stuka, which joined the Luftwaffe the following year. Tested in Spain, it saw operational service in Poland and in the campaign in the West in 1940.

Three Henschel Hs 123s pose for the camera in pre-war colours.

Specification
Henschel Hs 123-a-1
Type: dive-bomber/close-support aircraft
Powerplant: one 656-kW (880-hp) BMW 132Dc radial piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 340 km/h (211 mph) at 1200 m (3,935 ft); cruising speed 315 km/h (196 mph) at 2000 m (6,560 ft); service ceiling 9000 m (29,530 ft); range 855 km (531 miles)
Henschel was one of four companies (the others being Focke-Wulf, Gotha and Hamburger Flugzeugbau) to which, in April 1937, the Reichsluftfahrtministerium issued a specification for a twin-engine ground-attack aircraft. It was required to carry at least two 20-mm MG FF cannon and to have extensive armour plating protection for crew and engines. The two designs for which development contracts were awarded on 1 October 1937 were the Focke-Wulf Fw 189C and Henschel Hs 129. The latter was a Friedrich Nicolaus design with a light alloy stressed skin fuselage of triangular section. It contained a small cockpit with a restricted view, necessitating the removal of some instruments to the inboard sides of the engine cowlings. The windscreens were made of 75-mm (2.95-in) armoured glass and the nose section was manufactured from armour plating. Nose armament comprised two 20-mm MG FF cannon and two 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG 17 machine-guns. Although the Henschel aircraft was considered to be underpowered and to have too small a cockpit, the company was awarded a contract for eight pre-production aircraft, two of which were converted at Schönefeld to accept Gnome-Rhône 14N4/5 radial engines. It was with this powerplant that 10 Hs 129B-0 development aircraft were delivered from December 1941; armament comprised two 20-mm MG 151/20 cannon and two 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG 17 machine-guns. The production Hs 129B-1 series became operational on the Eastern Front, where the type was to be used most widely, although it served also in North Africa, Italy, and in France after the D-Day landings.

By the end of 1942, the growing capability of Soviet tank battalions made it essential to develop a version of the Hs 129 with greater fire-power, leading to the Hs 129B-2 series which was introduced into service in the early part of 1943. They included the Hs 129B-2/R1 which carried two 20-mm MG 151/20 cannon and two 13-mm (0.51-in) machine-guns; and the Hs 129B-2/R3 with the two MG 13s deleted but equipped with a 37-mm BK 3.7 gun. Final production variant was the Hs 129B-3 of which approximately 25 were built and which carried an electro-pneumatically operated 75-mm BK gun.

**Specification Henschel Hs 129B-1/R2**

Type: single-seat ground-attack aircraft<br>
Powerplant: two 522-kW (700-hp) Gnome-Rhône 14M radial piston engines<br>
Performance: maximum speed 407 km/h (253 mph) at 3830 m (12,565 ft); service ceiling 9000 m (29,525 ft); range 560 km (348 miles)

**Weights:**
- Empty: 3810 kg (8,400 lb)<br>
- Maximum take-off: 5110 kg (11,266 lb)<br>
- Dimensions:
  - Span: 14,20 m (46 ft 7.1 in)<br>
  - Length: 9.75 m (31 ft 11.9 in)<br>
  - Height: 3.25 m (10 ft 8 in)<br>
- Wing area: 29.00 m² (312.16 sq ft)

**Armament:**
- Two 20-mm MG 151/20 cannon; and one 30-mm Mk 101 cannon

The Henschel Hs 129 was designed to a 1937 specification for an armoured, twin-engined ground attack aircraft. Far superior to Allied equivalents, it shows how much importance the Germans attached to close air support.

Messerschmitt Bf 110

Like so many German aircraft which underwent adaptation for service in operational roles other than those for which they were originally intended, the Messerschmitt Bf 110 Zerstörer (destroyer, or heavy fighter) had proved unsuitable in the role of day bomber escort when confronted by modern interceptor single-seat fighters, but came to be widely used in modern interceptor single-seat fighter-bomber attacks. The first dedicated fighter-bomber type was the Bf 110C-4/Schwalbe which carried a 37-mm (1.45-in) anti-tank gun fitted to some Bf 110s. No heavier weapons were introduced, as the Bf 110s were diverted to the night-fighter role.

By the end of 1941, the growing capability of Soviet tank battalions made it essential to develop a version of the Bf 110, after the Bf 110C-4B and D-2 sub-series adaptations, was the Bf 110E-4/Schwalbe which equipped the two ground-attack units deployed in the East when Operation 'Barbarossa' was launched against the USSR on 22 June 1941. These were Zerstörergeschwader 26 ‘Horst Wessel’ and Schnellkampfgeschwader (fast bomber wing) 210, the latter having been created out of ErpGr 210, expanded to Geschwader proportions and equipped with Bf 110E-1 aircraft following the failure of the Me 210 to meet operational demands. These were soon joined by Bf 110E-equipped

Above: The Messerschmitt Bf 110 OC-2 was widely used in the ground attack role. This is an aircraft of II/ZG 1 over Italy in 1943.

Right: A close-up of the BK 37-mm (1.45-in) anti-tank gun fitted to some Bf 110s.
A Messerschmitt Bf 110C-4/B of 1/ZG 1, and were heavily committed during the early fast-advancing offensives, attacking Soviet aircraft on their airfields as well as softened transport vehicles with deluges of fragmentation bombs.

The E-series was joined early in 1942 by sub-variants of the DB 605B-powered Bf 110G-series. The Bf 110G-2 was widely used in the ground attack/anti-tank role, the R1, R2, and R3 Rastatt field kit introducing 37-mm Flak 18 and 30-mm MK 108 cannon to the Bf 110’s armament. Towards the end of 1942, however, these guns began to fail to penetrate Soviet tank armour, particularly in the case of the arrival of the T-34 tank, and much less reliance came to be placed on the Zerstörergruppen in the ground attack function. In any case almost all Bf 110 production was then being distributed among night-fighter units for the defence of Germany against the growing night fighter forces of Britain, The principal ground defence of Germany against the growing night fighter forces of Britain, the RAF de Havilland Mosquitoes proved a tough adversary even for the surviving Bf 110s ordered to pursue night ground-attacks against the advancing Allied armies, but by then the RAF de Havilland Mosquitoes ruled the night skies over Germany.

Specification
Messerschmitt Bf 110C-4/B
Type: two-seat ground attack fighter-bomber
Powerplant: two 895-kW (1,200-hp) Daimler-Benz DB 601N inverted V-12 piston engines
Performance: maximum speed 473 km/h (294 mph) at sea level; climb to 1650 m (5,415 ft) in 3.8 minutes; service ceiling 8,300 m (27,230 ft); normal range about 790 m (490 miles)
Weights: empty 6,050 kg (13,338 lb); maximum take-off 10,530 kg (23,215 lb)
Dimensions: span 16.28 m (53 ft 3 in); length 12.61 m (41 ft 2 in); height 4.28 m (14 ft 0.5 in); wing area 50.06 m² (541 sq ft)
Armament: two 20-mm MG FF cannon in the nose and twin 7.92-mm MG 81 guns in the rear cockpit, plus racks for two 250-kg (551-lb) bombs under the wing roots

The Germans pinned high hopes on the Messerschmitt Me 210, which first flew on 2 September 1939, as an ultimate replacement for the Bf 110. However, after the prototype (with twin fins and rudders, like the Bf 110) displayed chronic instability and later crashed during flutter tests (even after resort to a large single fin and rudder), development was slow. It was not until the end of 1940 that a few preproduction aircraft were delivered to Eprungsgruppe 210, the unit that had been formed to introduce the aircraft into operational service before the Battle of Britain. The principal ground attack variants were the Me 210A-2 with DB 601Aa engines and the Me 411C-2 with DB 605B engines; these started to equip II/ZG 1 on the Eastern Front shortly after the German attack on the USSR opened but, following a number of fatal accidents when pilots lost control in shock stalls during ground training, the aircraft was quickly withdrawn from operational use. By the time a remedy had been found, in mid-1942 (by fitting wing slats), some 600 aircraft had been completed and the majority of these underwent modification.

In the event no more than 258 Me 210s ever reached the Luftwaffe and few of the modified aircraft equipped fully-operational ground-attack units. This was because, by 1943, interest centred on the Me 410 Hornisse (hornet), which was in effect a DB 605A-powered Me 210 with lengthened engine nacelles and all the stability-associated modifications found essential in the earlier aircraft. By 1943 the operational distinction between close support and tactical bombing had become blurred in the Luftwaffe and, although the Me 410A equipped 5./KG 2 at Lechfeld, and 2./JG 2 and 3./JG 1 in the central Mediterranean, only the operational sorties by the last-named unit could be described as ‘close support’ of the German army. Another bomber unit, I/KG 51 ‘Edelweiss’, was equipped with Me 410As in June 1943 for night raids over the UK (and, on account of its excellent performance, proved a tough adversary even for the RAF’s de Havilland Mosquito night-fighters); however, I/KG 51 switched to the tactical role at the time of the Normandy landings and became very active over the invasion area. Of the total of 1,160 Me 410s produced, not more than about 200 ever equipped ground-attack units, the remainder serving as conventional medium-level light bombers, reconnaissance aircraft and as bomber-destroyers in the air defence of the Reich.

Specification
Messerschmitt Me 410A-1
Type: two-seat fighter/fighter-bomber
Powerplant: two 1,305-kW (1,750-hp) Daimler-Benz DB 605A inverted V-12 piston engines
Performance: maximum speed 638 km/h (396 mph) at 6,700 m (21,980 ft) or 549 km/h (341 mph) at sea level; climb to 6,700 m (21,980 ft) in 10.7 minutes; service ceiling 10,000 m (32,810 ft); normal range 1,480 km (920 miles)
Weights: empty 5,200 kg (11,464 lb); maximum take-off 10,530 kg (23,215 lb)
Dimensions: span 16.35 m (53 ft 7.75 in); length 12.41 m (40 ft 8.5 in); height 4.75 m (15.6 ft); wing area 33.9 m² (366 sq ft)
Armament: two 20-mm MG FF cannon and four 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG 17 machine-guns in the nose and twin 20-mm MG 151 cannon in each of two remotely-controlled FDL 131 barbettes on the sides of the centre fuselage, plus up to 1,000 kg (2,205-lb) bombs internally, or up to 10 x 50-kg (110-lb) bombs internally and on external racks

This Me 210A-1 of 3./ZG 1 was based in Tunisia during the final stages of the battle for North Africa, during April 1943. The aircraft were used in the Zerstörergruppe/groung attack role.

Seen during the aircraft’s trials, one of the eight pre-production Me 210A-0s is seen in formation with an Me 210A-4, the nearer of the two. Seen in retrospect the craft was unsuccessful from the first.
Allied Ground Attack Aircraft

The early ground attack aircraft of the war were easy pickings for air and ground defences alike, but later designs overcame these deficiencies by strength and speed. Here we describe the main types employed by the Allies along with their development and deployment.

The Hawker Typhoon was a devastating asset to the advancing Allied forces. Its 76.2mm (3in) rockets made it a pioneer of today’s strike aircraft, such an essential concept in modern warfare.

Continuing the ‘trench fighter’ concept of World War I, most fighter aircraft of the warring nations in World War II were adapted to carry weapons with which to support their ground forces, both above the battlefield itself or at the enemy’s immediate rear. Although the ground support tactic had been pursued by the Luftwaffe as an inherent feature since its formation in 1934, and demonstrated by the Legion Condor during the Spanish Civil War, the RAF was slow to convert its fighters to ground attack aircraft, preferring to employ specialist light bombers in the task; and when its Fairey Battles were shown to possess neither the speed nor defensive ability to survive enemy fighters and Flak, the Hawker Hurricane eventually took over, using guns and bombs in the cross-Channel sweeps that started in 1941.

In the early stages of the war, however, the UK and her fast diminishing European Allies were thrown almost entirely on the defensive, and such campaigns were not conducive to the use of fighter aircraft in the ground attack role, but rather in disputing enemy air superiority. Only when the Allies were ready to take the initiative, at first in isolated operations, such as at Dieppe, and later in major campaigns in North Africa and ultimately throughout Europe, did the ground attack aircraft really come into its own. All manner of specialist support tasks were undertaken, including bombing, rocket-firing, smoke-laying, tactical reconnaissance, anti-tank attack, and so on. What had euphemistically been termed the ‘army co-operation’ by the RAF for 20 years was now deemed a major strike element of the ground offensive.

The Hawker Typhoon, a relative failure in its original role as an interceptor, was shown to be a devastating ground attack fighter, and can now be seen as the prototype of a new generation of strike aircraft, its rudimentary 76.2mm (3in) rockets presaging a new concept of artillery that would dominate the battleground of armour and entrenched or concrete defences. Indeed, the speed of land advances during the final year of the war in Europe and the Far East was directly proportional to the weight of tactical air support, whether by hordes of Soviet Shturmoviks in the Ukraine or by Hurricanes over Rangoon.
Bristol Beaufighter

Originally designed and introduced into service as the RAF’s first purpose-built twin-engine night-fighter in 1940, the Bristol Beaufighter was impressive from the outset as a rugged, powerful and heavily-armed aircraft. Its long-term success in this role was to some extent compromised by poor radar, however, and by the time this shortcoming had been overcome the de Havilland Mosquito-night fighter, with much improved performance, had arrived. Therefore, although remaining in service as a night-fighter overseas from the autumn of 1942 the Beaufighter started service in the strike role.

As early as March 1941 a Beaufighter had undergone trials as a torpedo-bomber, and in September 1942 a Beaufighter Mk VIC was first armed with rocket projectiles. Two months later the first Beaufighter anti-shipping strike wing was formed at North Coates, Lincolnshire, comprising No. 143 Squadron with fighters, No. 256 Squadron with bombs, and No. 254 Squadron with torpedo Beaufighters. The rocket-firing Beaufighters (dubbed the Flakbeau as its task during strike sorties was to attack defending Flak ships and batteries) entered squadron service in March 1943.

The first Beaufighter strike aircraft were all Mk Vs, although specific aircraft were designated for bomb-, rocket- or torpedo-carrying. In June 1943 the first Beaufighter TF.Mk X aircraft entered service with No. 39 Squadron in the UK and No. 47 Squadron in North Africa; this version, with nose-mounted ASV radar, could carry combinations of all these weapons and was particularly effective against Axis shipping in the Mediterranean in 1943.

It was in South East Asia, however, that the Beaufighter earned lasting fame as a strike fighter: here it was called ‘Whispering Death’ by the Japanese following a series of surprise strikes on enemy depots in the Burmese jungle. Early operations involved strikes against Japanese coastal shipping sailing along the Burma coast, but in due course, in the face of persistent attacks by the Beaufighters and other Allied aircraft, this traffic dwindled, leaving the Beaufighter free to engage land targets with cannon, rockets and bombs, a task they continued to perform right up to the end of the war.

Specification
Bristol Beaufighter TF.Mk X
Type: two-seat strike fighter

Powerplant: two 1,770-hp (1320-kW) Bristol Hercules XII air-cooled radial piston engines
Performance: maximum speed 488 kph (303 mph) at 395 m (1,300 ft); climb to 1525 m (5,000 ft) in 3 minutes 30 seconds; service ceiling 4570 m (15,000 ft); range 2,365 km (1,470 miles)
Weights: empty 7,066 kg (15,600 lb); maximum take-off 11,431 kg (25,200 lb)
Dimensions: span 17.63 m (57 ft 10 in); length 12.70 m (41 ft 8 in); height 4.83 m (15 ft 10 in); wing area 46.73 m² (503 sq ft)

Fairey Battle

Envisaged as a replacement for the famous Hawker Hart and Hind light bombers of the early and mid-1930s, the Fairey Battle was selected as the cornerstone of the rapidly-expanding RAF and was intended to equip the large number of light bomber squadrons during the latter part of that decade. When the war started, however, the Battle was already obsolescent and was particularly vulnerable to the tactics of German Blitzkrieg warfare. Before the opening of the great German assault in the West on 10 May 1940, 10 Battle squadrons (Nos 12, 40, 88, 98, 103, 105, 142, 150, 218 and 226) had been sent to France to support the British Expeditionary Force, and were committed to action in the face of overwhelming enemy air superiority. Already unescorted daylight bombing operations had resulted in heavy losses (as early as 30 September 1939 four out of five No. 150 Squadron Battles had been shot down in a single raid), and, although escorts had been provided during the winter, little could be done to protect the slow bombers when the storm burst in the spring. Carrying no more than four 113-kg (250-lb) bombs at an operating speed of about 278 kph (170 mph), the Battles were sent against key river bridges being used by enemy armoured columns advancing through Belgium. In an attack by

No. 12 Squadron against the Maastricht bridges, carried out in the face of heavy flak and fighter opposition, almost all the bombers were shot down, the RAF’s first Victoria Crosses of World War II being awarded posthumously to Flying Officer D.E. Garland and Sergeant T. Gray. Four days later 71 Battles from Nos 12, 103, 105, 150 and 218 Squadrons were assembled for an attack on German pontoon bridges in the Sedan area; no fewer than 40 aircraft failed to return. The survivors of the squadrons were withdrawn from France, but several of them, based in England, continued to attack German-held ports on the Channel Coast until the threat of invasion receded. Thereafter the Battle was relegated to training and target-towing duties, many being shipped to Canada where they served with air gunnery schools.

The Battle was an anachronism and its shortcomings should have been anticipated long before the traumas of May 1940. It was, after all, powered by the same engine as the single-seat Hurricane, yet with a crew of three and 60 per cent heavier when fully-equipped, it was sent against single-seat fighters of twice its performance and expected to defend itself with only two rifle-calibre machine-guns.

Specification
Fairey Battle
Type: three-seat light bomber
Powerplant: one 1,030-hp (768-kW) Rolls-Royce Merlin II liquid-cooled V-12 piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 388 kph (241 mph) at 3050 m (10,000 ft); initial climb rate 280 m (920 ft) per minute; service ceiling 7600 m (25,000 ft); range 14,500 km (900 miles)
Weights: empty 3015 kg (6,647 lb); maximum take-off 4,575 kg (10,000 lb)
Dimensions: span 16.46 m (54 ft 0 in); length 12.85 m (42 ft 1 in); height 4.72 m (15 ft 6 in); wing area 39.20 m² (422 sq ft)

Armament: one 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun in starboard wing and one 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun in rear cockpit, plus a bombard of four 113-kg (250-lb) bombs carried internally.
Rugged and combat-proven with flying colours in the Battle of Britain, the Hawker Hurricane was the natural choice of aircraft with which to carry the war back to the Germans when the RAF began to venture on to the offensive with cross-Channel attacks after the winter of 1940-1.

The Hurricane Mk II, with a more powerful Merlin XX engine, began appearing in the autumn of 1940, the Hurricane Mk IIB introducing a 12-gun wing, and the Hurricane Mk IIC an armament of four 20-mm cannon, the latter being regarded as a very heavy punch for a single-seater. Stemming from early tests to fit long-range tanks to enable Hurricanes to reinforce the Middle East with minimum refuelling stops, the Hurricane Mk IIB was soon equipped with wing racks to carry a pair of 113-kg (250-lb) or, later 227-kg (500-lb) bombs, and it was this version that went into action as the ‘Hurribomber’ with No. 607 Squadron on 30 October 1941 in ‘Channel Stop anti-shipping strikes, later being joined by Nos 175 and 402 Squadrons.

Hurricanes were particularly active in the ground-attack role in North Africa from mid-1941 and it was in this theatre that the next version, the Hurricane Mk IID tank-buster, made its first impact; armed with a pair of 40-mm Vickers anti-tank guns under the wings, aircraft of No. 6 Squadron were used to excellent effect in support of the Free French forces in the Battle of Bir Hakeim in 1942. By 1943, outclassed as a pure interceptor, the Hurricane was the RAF’s first single-seater to be fitted with 76.2-mm (3-in) rocket projectiles, using these weapons on operations for the first time against the Hansweert Canal lock gates in the Netherlands on 2 September 1943.

Ground-attack Hurricanes continued to operate on the European and Mediterranean fronts until 1944; in March the previous year there had appeared a new version, the armoured Hurricane Mk IV in which a ‘universal’ wing was introduced, allowing application of bombs, anti-tank guns, rockets, smoke-laying equipment, drop tanks and other store combinations to be carried, and this version continued in service in the Far East until the end of the Pacific war. Hurricane Mk IVs fought with outstanding success in the final advance in Burma, one of their great achievements being the destruction of 13 Japanese tanks by No. 20 Squadron in a single attack during the advance on Rangoon.

**Specification**

**Hawker Hurricane Mk IIB**
- **Type:** single-seat fighter-bomber
- **Powerplant:** one 1,280-hp (955-kW) Rolls-Royce Merlin XX liquid-cooled V-12 piston engine
- **Performance:** maximum speed 549 km/h (341 mph) at 6555 m (21,500 ft); climb to 6095 m (20,000 ft) in 9 minutes; service ceiling 10850 m (35,600 ft); range 740 km (460 miles)
- **Weights:** empty 2604 kg (5,740 lb); maximum take-off 3649 kg (8,044 lb)
- **Dimensions:** span 12.19 m (40 ft 0 in); length 9.75 m (32 ft 0 in); height 4.00 m (13 ft 0 in); wing area 23.92 m² (257.5 sq ft)
- **Armament:** 127.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns in the wings, plus an external load of two 227-kg (500-lb) bombs, small bomb containers, smoke-laying equipment, six 27.2-kg (60-lb) rocket projectiles or two long-range fuel tanks

Hurricane MkIICs saw service in the Far East as light ground attack aircraft. They carried an armament of four 20-mm cannon and could also carry small bombs. As here, long range fuel tanks helped the Hurricane reach the enemy.
Supermarine Spitfire

Immortalized as one of the greatest fighters of all time, the Supermarine Spitfire was also widely used as a fighter-bomber, although in this role it fell far short of the Hurricane, being tricky to fly with bombs attached, whether under fuselage or wings.

With the adoption of the Spitfire Mk V as Fighter Command’s standard single-seat fighter in 1941, the Spitfire Mk VC became the fighter-bomber version, capable of carrying a single 227-kg (500-lb) bomb centrally under the fuselage or two 113-kg (250-lb) bombs under the wings. Most Spitfire Mk VCs featured clipped wings for better low-altitude performance, not being expected to engage enemy fighters above about 1525 m (5,000 ft).

Originally a hastily-introduced answer to the Focke-Wulf Fw 190A, the Spitfire Mk IX was intended to operate at medium and high altitudes but, like the Spitfire Mk V, was also built in clipped-wing form (Spitfire LF Mk IX) and entered service with a total of 27 squadrons of the RAF in the UK, Middle East and Far East. With a 1,720-hp (1283-kW) Merlin 66, this version had a top speed without bombs of 650 km/h (403 mph) and could carry two 227-kg (500-lb) bombs under the wings; normal gun armament was two 20-mm and four 7.7-mm (0.303-in) guns, but the Spitfire Mk IXE sub-variant had the four rifle-calibre guns replaced by two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) guns.

Whereas the Spitfire Mk IX had been a hasty adaptation of the Spitfire Mk V to take the Merlin 61/66 series engine, the Spitfire Mk VIII was designed from the outset for this engine and included other refinements such as a retractable tailwheel; all were equipped for tropical service and therefore served mainly in the Mediterranean and Far East theatres, the majority of them equipping fighter-bomber squadrons.

The Griffon 65-powered Spitfire Mk XIV served as both a fighter and a fighter-bomber, entering service in mid-1944 in the UK. Among their outstanding achievements in the latter role was the heaviest single RAF fighter-bomber attack of the war when, on 24 December that year, 33 Spitfire Mk XIVs of Nos 229, 453 and 602 Squadrons, each carrying a 227-kg (500-lb) bomb and two 113-kg (250-lb) bombs, attacked a V-2 rocket-launching site in the Netherlands.

The Spitfire to see service during the war was the Packard Merlin 266-powered Spitfire Mk XVI, whose sub-variants were the same as for the Spitfire Mk IX, and could be fitted with four under-wing racks for 27.2-kg (60-lb) rocket projectiles in addition to an under-fuselage 227-kg (500-lb) bomb. At the end of the war in Europe fighter-bomber Spitfire Mk XVIs equipped 11 squadrons of the 2nd Tactical Air Force.

Specification
Supermarine Spitfire Mk XVI
Type: single-seat fighter-bomber
Powerplant: one 1,720-hp (1283-kW) Packard Rolls-Royce Merlin 266 liquid-cooled V-12 piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 652 km/h (405 mph) at 6705 m (22,000 ft); climb to 6095 m (20,000 ft) in 6 minutes 42 seconds; service ceiling 12650 m (41,500 ft); range without external tanks 690 km (430 miles)
Weights: empty 2547 kg (5,615 lb); maximum take-off 3431 kg (7,560 lb)
Dimensions: span 12.67 m (41 ft 7 in); length 9.55 m (31 ft 4 in); height 4.5 m (14 ft 9 in); wing area 25.92 m² (279 sq ft)
Armament: two 20-mm and four 7.7-mm (0.303-in) or two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) guns, plus three 27.2-kg (60-lb) and two 113-kg (250-lb) bombs, or as an alternative two wing bombs; four 27.2-kg (60-lb) rocket projectiles

Hawker Typhoon

Compromised from the outset by a host of design and development difficulties, and not by a disastrously accelerated engine development that left unsolved numerous weaknesses when it entered service, the Hawker Typhoon was intended to replace the Hurricane as an interceptor. However, after the anti-climactic debacle over Dieppe and its singularly disappointing performance as an interceptor, the Typhoon came to be recognized as potentially an effective ground-attack fighter and, following trials at Boscombe Down in 1942, it resumed cross-Channel operations carrying a pair of 113-kg (250-lb) bombs to supplement its four 12.7-mm (0.5-in) Hispano can-
non armament. Flying alongside the aged ‘Hurnbombers’, Typhoon Mk IB fighter-bombers of Nos 175, 181 and 247 Squadrons continued the ‘Channel Stop’ operations throughout 1943, while others ventured over enemy-occupied France and the Low Countries, attacking airfields, railway lines and road traffic and other key targets.

Early operations had shown the Typhoon Mk IA, with its wing armament of 12 7.7-mm (0.303-in) bow-firing machine-guns, to be relatively ineffective in the ground-attack role, and this version was discontinued. Another weakness was found to lie in the joint of the tail unit to the rear fuselage; numerous early accidents were blamed on the entire tail unit becoming detached in flight, for which a crude remedy was effected by simply riveting numerous plates around the joint. An early operational problem lay in the Typhoon’s superficial resemblance to the Focke-Wulf Fw 190, resulting in a number of aircraft being shot down by ‘friendly’ guns, until permanent black and white recognition stripes were painted under the Typhoon’s inner wing sections.

For these unfortunate tribulations the chunky aeroplane emerged in 1944 as one of the most powerful weapons in the Allies’ armoury when the Normandy invasion was launched in June that year. With a bombardment mission of 2000 kg (2,000 lb), the Typhoon was also used with devastating effect as a rocket-firing fighter, eliminating vital enemy coastal radar stations before the landings themselves and destroying German armoured concentrations as the Allies broke out of the beach-head. Always something of a handful to fly, the Typhoon nevertheless provided an overwhelming form of powerful, accurate and mobile artillery for the Allies as they surged through northern Europe in the last nine months of the war.

Specification
Hawker Typhoon Mk IB
Type: single-seat fighter-bomber
Powerplant: one 2,180-hp (1626-kW) Napier Sabre II liquid-cooled H-24 piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 652 km/h (405 mph) at 5485 m (18,000 ft); climb to 4570 m (15,000 ft) in 6 minutes 12 seconds; service ceiling 10385 m (34,000 ft); range with bombs 820 km (510 miles)
Weights: empty 3993 kg (8,800 lb); maximum take-off 8341 kg (18,000 lb)
Dimensions: span 12.67 m (41 ft 7 in); length 9.73 m (31 ft 11 in); height 4.66 m (15 ft 3 in); wing area 25.92 m² (279 sq ft)
Armament: four wing-mounted 20-mm cannon, plus two 27.2-kg (60-lb) bombs, two 113-kg (250-lb) bombs and two 27.2-kg (60-lb) rocket projectiles

As usual, this Typhoon was built with the car-type door, this aircraft from No. 198 Sqn is seen before the application of invasion stripes in early 1944. The squadron at the time was learning to use the new rocket projectiles.

Close-up of the business end of a Typhoon Mk IB, showing the four Hispano cannon.
The radical Bell P-39 Airacobra, with midships engine and tricycle landing gear, enjoyed a disappointing career as a fighter with the American and British air forces as a result mainly of the abandonment of the turbosupercharger which had promised to bestow excellent performance at high altitude; this equipment was in any case banned from export to the UK, with the result that the Airacobra did not match this equipment in any case banned from export to the UK, with the result that the Airacobra did not match this equipment in any case banned from export to the UK, with the result that the Airacobra did not match this equipment in any case banned from export to the UK, with the result that the Airacobra did not match this equipment in any case banned from export to the UK, with the result that the Airacobra did not match this equipment in any case banned from export to the UK, with the result that the Airacobra did not match this equipment in any case banned from export to the UK, with the result that the Airacobra did not match this equipment in any case banned. The upshot of this was a gradual change to a ground-attack role in American service, and disposal of very large numbers to the Soviet Union from 1942 onwards, 4,773 of the 9,558 built, being sent to general purpose bases mainly through Iran, but also over the Trans-Siberian Railway. More than 200 Bell Airacobras were also shipped to the USSR in the Cape convoys. P-39s entered service with the VVS early in 1943, the principal variant being the P-39N which featured a hub-firing 37-mm (0.5-in) and four wing-mounted 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-guns; a single 227-kg (500-lb) bomb could be carried under the fuselage.

In Soviet service the P-39 was used initially as a pure fighter, but gradually as the tide of fortunes changed most Soviet pilots (fighter regiments) undertook a dual role in response to the demands of the massive ground battles that raged on the Eastern Front. Often the P-39s would be called on to carry out specific bombing tasks, after which they would revert to fighters to provide cover while subsequent bombing attacks went in. The majority of P-39s were deployed on the central and southern fronts, and numerous Soviet pilots achieved considerable success in the aircraft; Captain Gogori Rechkalov scored 44 of his 58 air victories in a P-39 with the 9th Guards Fighter Division, and Aleksandr Pokryshkin, who commanded a P-39 eskadril m the 216th Guards Fighter Division’s 16th Polk and later became the Soviet Union’s second highest scoring pilot, shot down 48 of his 59 victims while flying P-39s, many of these falling in the course of dual-role fighter/bombing missions. For all the P-39’s obvious success on the Eastern Front, wastage through accidents was by all accounts very high, relatively inexperienced pilots finding the aircraft tricky to handle and, with the big Allison engine located behind the cockpit, forced landings and other landing mishaps were frequently fatal. A much smaller number of the later but related Bell P-63 Kingcobra was also supplied to the Soviet Union, this aircraft being equipped to carry three 227-kg (500-lb) bombs.

**Specification Bell P-39N Airacobra**
- Type: single-seat fighter-bomber
- Powerplant: one 1,200-hp (895-kW) Allison V-1710-85 liquid-cooled V-12 piston engine
- Performance: maximum speed 642 km/h (399 mph) at 3355 m (11,000 ft); climb to 4570 m (15,000 ft) in 5 minutes 20 seconds; service ceiling 11735 m (38,500 ft); range 1205 km (750 miles)
- Weights: empty 2562 kg (5,645 lb); maximum take-off 3720 kg (8,200 lb)
- Dimensions: span 10.36 m (34 ft 0 in); length 9.19 m (30 ft 2 in); height 3.78 m (12 ft 5 in); wing area 19.79 m² (213 sq ft)
- Armament: onehub-firing 37-mm cannon, two nose-mounted 12.7-mm (0.5-in) and four wing-mounted 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-guns, plus a single 227-kg (500-lb) bomb carried under the fuselage

**The Bell P-39 Airacobra was a failure as an air combat fighter (apart from notable exceptions such as the aircraft shown here, of the Russian, Major Pokryshkin) and was used mainly in the ground attack role.**

**USA Curtiss P-40**

Although firmly rooted among the first generation of monoplane fighters of the late 1930s, the famous Curtiss P-40 family underwent progressive modernization, and as each version became outdated by later fighters, it came to be employed as a passable fighter-bomber. Adopted as the USAAC's standard fighter and subject of heavy British purchasing in 1940, the early P-40B, P-40C and Tomahawk entered service in 1941, the first RAF squadron to receive the latter being No. 112 in the Middle East. The Tomahawk's performance as an interceptor was disappointing, being generally inferior to the Hurricane Mk II, and it was therefore employed mainly for ground attack, although the armament of six rafte-calibre machine-guns was far from adequate. Tomahawks (and the equivalent P-40K/Cs) were shipped to the USSR and Turkey, and were flown by American pilots in the Pacific and South East Asia. The P-40D represented something of a transformation, with the Allison engine installed in a shortened nose, fuselage guns removed and the radiator moved forward. Known as the Warhawk in American service (as were all P-40s and Kittyhawks in RAF service), this and subsequent similar versions were built in very large numbers up to 1944, from mid-1942 being equipped as fighter-bombers in the USAAAF, RAF and other Allied air forces to carry up to three 227-kg (500-lb) bombs under fuselage and wings; later versions could carry a 454-kg (1,000-lb) bomb under the fuselage. They were particularly active in the close-support role in North Africa after the victory at Alamein and the 'Torch' landings, and in the campaigns in Sicily, Italy and the Balkans. The Packard built Merlin was used in the American P-40F and P-40L versions, but the P-40N (of which 5,219 were produced) reverted to the Allison engine and this served from 1943 until the end of the war. Despite its widespread use as a fighter-bomber the P-40 was not generally appreciated as a result of its control sluggishness and lateral trim changes as speed built up in a diving attack; the latter behaviour, which gave rise to excessive yawing at the control release, made accurate bombing extremely difficult, and P-40s were more usually employed for attacks on larger rather than smaller targets.

**Specification Curtiss P-40N Warhawk**
- Type: single-seat fighter-bomber
- Powerplant: one 1,360-hp (1015-kW) Allison V-1710-81 liquid-cooled V-12 piston engine
- Performance: maximum speed 609 km/h (378 mph) at 3200 m (10,500 ft); climb to 4570 m (15,000 ft) in 6 minutes 49 seconds; service ceiling 11580 m (38,000 ft); maximum take-off 3720 kg (8,200 lb)
- Dimensions: span 10.16 m (33 ft 4 in); height 3.76 m (12 ft 4 in); wing area 21.92 m² (236 sq ft)
- Armament: six wing-mounted 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns, plus a 454-kg (1,000-lb) bomb under the fuselage and two 227-kg (500-lb) bombs under the wings

**USA Bell P-39 Airacobra**

The P-39 Airacobra is shown here in the markings of No. 112 Sqn in 1944.
Perpetuating a misconception of the nature of modern warfare during the late 1930s, the American 'attack' bomber was envisaged as a means of saturating fixed enemy defences in the immediate area of the ground battle, and took little account of the swift movement of armies so capably demonstrated during the German conquests of 1939-41. Moreover the Douglas A-20, known as the Havoc and Boston by American and British air forces respectively, was so unwieldy that it could only be employed over a battlefield in the face of enemy air opposition provided it was furnished with strong fighter escort.

Nevertheless production momentum already gained by British and French orders at the beginning of the war resulted in the A-20 being available in quickly growing numbers when the United States entered the conflict. And, despite fairly heavy losses early on, the type eventually came to play an important tactical role, being used first as a 'light-medium' bomber for attacks on fixed battlefield targets, such as forward enemy landing grounds, road, rail and river bridges and vehicle parks, etc.

The RAF was the first to use the aircraft, as the Boston (after a night-fighter version, confusingly known as the Havoc, had been largely superseded in 1941) early in 1942; indeed the first combat use of the aircraft by the Americans in Europe involved RAF Bostons being flown from the UK by an American squadron in July that year.

From late in 1942 both the British and American air forces flew the Boston and A-20 on close-support duties, particularly on 'softening up' raids before assaults by ground forces. RAF Bostons in particular were much in evidence during the numerous combined operations launched across the Channel in 1942-4, and were also used to lay smoke screens to cover amphibious landings. The A-20G introduced a 'solid' nose and dispensed with the bomb aimer, a battery of cannon or machine-guns being substituted for true ground-attack work. In the Pacific theatre A-20s were widely used for low-level attack, using fragmentation bombs to good effect over Japanese shipping and airfields; RAF Boston Mk IV and Boston Mk V aircraft served with the 2nd Tactical Air Force and the Desert Air Force in Italy in the close-support role right up to the end of the war against Germany.

Specification

**Douglas A-20G Havoc**

Type: three-seat attack aircraft

Powerplant: two 1,600-hp (1194-kW) Wright Cyclone R-2600-23 air-cooled radial piston engines

Performance: maximum speed 546 km/h (339 mph) at 3780 m (12,400 ft); climb to 3050 m (10,000 ft) in 7 minutes 6 seconds; service ceiling 7650 m (25,100 ft); range 1755 km (1,090 miles)

Weights: empty 7250 kg (15,984 lb); maximum take-off 12338 kg (27,200 lb) comprising four 227-kg (500-lb) bombs to good effect over Japanese shipping and airfields; RAF Boston Mk IV and Boston Mk V aircraft served with the 2nd Tactical Air Force and the Desert Air Force in Italy in the close-support role right up to the end of the war against Germany.

**Douglas Boston MkIIA o/n 342Sqn 'Lorraine', RAF, based at Hartford Bridge (today called Blackbushe). Aircraft from this squadron were the first French-flown aircraft to arrive back in France after D-Day.**

**Douglas A-26 Invader**

The demarcation between the true ground-support aircraft and the so-called light bomber was defined by the American 'attack' designation; nevertheless the Douglas A-26 Invader leaned much closer to the latter category in that its battlefield operations were more of the set-piece strike and less of the ad hoc attack.

Although three parallel versions were originally conceived (a night-fighter, a level bomber and an attack aircraft) the last-named version was selected for initial production and eventually entered combat service as the A-26B with the US 9th Air Force in Europe in November 1944. This version carried six heavy machine-guns in the nose, sometimes supplemented by eight in underwing packs, and by locking the dorsal turret guns to fire forward, making a total of 16 forward-firing guns! The cabin and fuel tanks were heavily armoured to withstand ground small-arms fire, and a total of 1814 kg (4,000 lb) of bombs was earned internally.

Though obviously a very powerful weapon to unleash over the battlefield (and even more so when underwing 127-mm/5-in rocket projectiles were added to its arsenal) the Invader enjoyed only partial success as a truly tactical support aircraft in Europe, being found generally unsuitable for operations from forward airfields unless such facilities were captured wholly intact. It was for this reason, and the length of time taken to reach the front line from bases in the distant rear, that A-26 attacks were largely confined to fixed targets such as airfields and bridges, and these were more fre
for the island-hopping nature of the Pacific war against Japan, the USAAF employed the A-26C which retained a bombbay for medium altitude, and as such the Invader was operated almost exclusively as a conventional medium bomber.

Specification

Douglas A-26B Invader
Type: three-seat tactical support aircraft
Powerplant: two 2,000-hp (1492-kW) Pratt & Whitney R-2800-27 air-cooled radial piston engines

The Lockheed P-38 Lightning is, like its famous partners (the Republic P-47 and North American P-51), best remembered as a pure fighter both in the European and Pacific theatres. Yet from mid 1942 the P-38F destined for the USAAF possessed external racks for up to 907kg (2,000 lb) of bombs. (Although the Lightning was originally ordered for the RAF, the ban on export of turbosuperchargers so compromised the aircraft’s performance that it did not enter British service.) USAAF fighter groups began flying P-38F aircraft in Europe and North Africa during 1942, but the aircraft proved disappointing in combat against German fighters, and it was in the last stages of the Tunisian campaign that the aircraft began to demonstrate its capabilities as a ground-support fighter, bombing and machine-gunning the Axis forces in their final withdrawal to Tunis and Bizerta.

The introduction of the much improved P-38L (identifiable by its 'chin' radiator) resulted in a new lease of life as an escort fighter, particularly during the 1943 daylight Boeing B-17 and Consolidated B-24 raids over Europe, but in 1944, as deliveries of P-47s and P-51s were stepped up to the UK and the Mediterranean, the P-38L and the more powerful P-38Q, version came to be used more and more as a ground-attack role, with both versions being capable of lifting a pair of 726-kg (1,600-lb) bombs. The P-38L was also modified to carry 10 69.8-mm (2.75-in) rockets on 'Christmas-tree' racks under the wings; it was also the first Allied fighter-bomber to drop napalm bombs on the Germans in the latter half of 1944.

A theatre in which the P-38 excelled was the Mediterranean. This P-38L of the 94th Fighter Group is having a bomb winched on to its wing rack somewhere in Italy. During the height of the campaign, operations continued through the night.

Due to its size and performance, the P-38 Ligh tning proved an excellent ground attack aircraft, able to carry rockets and bombs large distances into enemy territory. This is a P-38L serving with the 97th FS, 82nd FG, USAAF.

Specification

Lockheed P-38 Lightning
Type: single-seat fighter-bomber
Powerplant: two 1,475-hp (1100-kW) Allison V-1710-111/113 liquid-cooled inline piston engines

Performance: maximum speed 667 km/h (414 mph) at 7620 m (25,000 ft); climb to 6100 m (20,000 ft) in 7 minutes; service ceiling 13,410 m (44,000 ft); range on internal fuel 730 km (454 miles)
Weights: empty 5806 kg (12,800 lb); maximum take-off 9798 kg (21,600 lb)
Dimensions: span 15.85 m (52 ft 0 in); length 11.53 m (37 ft 10 in); height 3.00 m (9 ft 10 in); wing area 30.42 m² (327.5 sq ft)
Armament: one 20-mm and four 12.7-mm (0.5-in) guns in the nose, plus a bombload of two 726-kg (1,600-lb) bombs, or 10 69.8-mm (2.75-in) rocket projectiles together with two 1773-litre (258-gal) drop tanks if required
Republic P-47 Thunderbolt

The big Republic P-47 Thunderbolt served for more than a year before being seriously considered for service as a ground-support aircraft; indeed, after considerable misgivings as to whether it would be able to match the nimble German interceptors in dog-fighting, it came to represent a vital and effective long-range escort for the American day bombers over Europe during 1943. As mass production got under way (a total of 15,579 being ultimately produced) the P-47D-25 introduced underwing bomb racks capable of mounting a pair of 454-kg (1,000-lb) bombs, in addition to a 568-litre 125-gal drop tank under the fuselage. In due course the P-47D-30 was capable of carrying up to 1134 kg (2,500 lb) of external ordnance, including up to 10 127-mm (5-in) rocket projectiles.

P-47D fighter-bombers first entered service with the 348th Fighter Group in Australia, whence they were flown against Japanese targets in New Guinea. They were then issued to fighter and fighter-bomber groups of the US 9th and 15th Air Forces in the UK and the Mediterranean theatre. From mid-1944, as the superlative North American P-51D assumed the lion’s share of air combat and escort duties over Europe, the P-47D was assigned more and more of the close-support work over the Allied armies advancing through Italy and France, proving immensely strong in the punishing ground-attack role.

A total of 826 Thunderbolts was delivered to the RAF, of which the majority were equivalent to the P-47D-25 and designated Thunderbolt Mk II. They entered service with the RAF in India and Burma in the summer of 1944, eventually serving with Nos 5, 30, 34, 42, 60, 79, 81, 123, 134, 138, 146, 258, 261 and 615 Squadrons. Flying over the Burma jungle the ‘cab rank’ patrols that were being used to such good effect by Hawker Typhoons in Europe, these excellent fighter-bombers gave constant support to the 14th Army during its final victorious advance towards Rangoon in the last year of the war. Time and again the Thunderbolts were called down by mobile control officers to eliminate some Japanese strongpoint with guns and bombs. The P-47 and the Typhoon were the best American and British fighter-bombers of the year.

Vultee Vengeance

Powerfully influenced by the successes achieved by the German Junkers Ju 87 dive-bomber in the early months of the war, the British in 1940 ordered several hundred Vultee V-72 aircraft from the USA, a type that had not then been selected for the US Army Air Corps, and production lines were established at Vultee's Nashville plant and the Northrop plant at Hawthorne, California. Before the first British aircraft was delivered in 1942, however, the United States had entered the war, and further aircraft were ordered for the USAAF. The American aircraft (designated the A-31 and A-35, but generally referred to as the V-72) did not match up to expectations and almost all were relegated to target-towing and other training duties from the outset.

As the P-51D took over in the long-range escort role, more P-47s were released to attack duties. This example served with the 352nd Fighter Squadron, 353rd Fighter Group at Gaydon at the time of the Normandy invasion, and features the 'bubble' cockpit.

Specification

Republic P-47D-25 Thunderbolt
Type: single-seat fighter-bomber
Powerplant: one 2,000-hp (1492-kW) Pratt & Whitney R-2800-39 air-cooled radial piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 689 km/h (428 mph) at 9145 m (30,000 ft); climb to 6095 m (20,000 ft) in 9 minutes; service ceiling 12800 m (42,000 ft); range on internal fuel 765 km (475 miles)
Weights: empty 4556 kg (10,000 lb); maximum take-off 8808 kg (19,400 lb)
Dimensions: span 12.42 m (40 ft 9 in); length 11.00 m (36 ft 1 in); height 4.32 m (14 ft 2 in); wing area 27.87 m² (300 sq ft)
Armament: eight fixed forward-firing 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns in wings, plus two 454-kg (1,000-lb) bombs or six 69.8-mm (2.75-in) rocket projectiles under the wings

This 12th Air Force P-47 in Italy demonstrates the three main weapons of the wartime fighter-bomber, namely machine-guns, bombs and rockets. Such aircraft were the workhorses of the Allied drive up the Italian mainland.

A-35. Tests with the first Vengeance Mk I and Vengeance Mk II and Vengeance Mk III corresponding to the American A-31, and the Vengeance Mk IV to the A-35. This example is a Vengeance MkII shown whilst based in India in 1943. The Vengeance was based on the German idea of the 'Stuka', and similarly needed fighter cover to operate with any success.
best weapon against difficult jungle targets.

The Vengeance was first in action in July 1943 in Burma, having started to replace the veteran Bristol Blenheim as well as several in the Indian Air Force. As expected, however, the Vengeance proved extremely vulnerable in the presence of Japanese fighters and so seldom ventured abroad without strong fighter escort.

The type did nevertheless prove very effective during the Arakan campaign, and in a number of successful raids destroyed a large number of Japanese vehicles and quantities of stores being assembled in the jungle.

By the last year of the war conventional fighter-bomber tactics were seen as the best means of ground support, and demands for the Vengeance diminished rapidly. By mid-1945 most had been relegated to target-towing duties.
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That year. The La-5 was essentially a low-altitude fighter, well capable of holding its own against the Focke-Wulf Fw 190 and Messerschmitt Bf 109 below about 3700 m (12,140 ft) and it was its good performance at low level that encouraged the La-5’s employment in the ground-support role; in the great Battle of Kursk at least two regiments of La-5s were flown as anti-tank aircraft with rockets and hollow-charge bombs, which were particularly effective against lightly-armoured fighting vehicles. The La-SFN (forsirovanny neposredstvenno, or direct fuel-injection engine) was the most widely used sub-variant.

In mid-1944, a development of the La-5, the La-7, was introduced with numerous alterations, such as improved location of oil cooler intakes and changes in the cockpit outline. However, despite its improvements, production of the La-SFN was not terminated and both aircraft continued to operate side-by-side for the remainder of the war. The La-7 was seldom employed in the ground-attack role, usually being flown as top cover while the earlier aircraft attacked German army targets with the growing arsenal of assault weapons. Almost all the most famous Soviet pilots flew La-5s and La-7s, among them Colonel Generals Ivan Kozedub (who destroyed 62 German aircraft, including a jet Me 262 while flying Las) and Aleksandr Pokryshkin (59 kills); both these pilots were three-time recipients of the Gold Star, the only airmen thus decorated.

Specification
Lavochkin La-SFN
Type: single-seat fighter-bomber
Powerplant: one 1,650-hp (1,230-kW) Shvetsov M-82FN (ASh-82FN) air-cooled radial piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 647 km/h (402 mph) at 5000 m (16,405 ft); climb to 5000 m in 4 minutes 42 seconds; service ceiling 10,000 m (32,810 ft); range 700 km (435 miles)
Weights: empty 2800 kg (6,173 lb); maximum take-off 3650 kg (7,408 lb)
Dimensions: span 9.80 m (32 ft 2 in); length 8.67 m (28 ft 4 in); height 2.54 m (8 ft 4 in); wing area 17.59 m² (189.34 sq ft)
Armament: two 20-mm ShVAK cannon and either four 82-mm (3.23-in) RS-82 rockets or four 150-kg (331-lb) bombs; later aircraft had two 23-mm NS-23 cannon and four 23-mm NS-23 AA guns

In mid-1944 a development of the La-SFN was presented to the V-VS by a Mongolian collective. This Lavochkin La-SFN was used in much the same roving role as the Typhoon and Thunderbolt, the La-7 series saw much action during the victorious advance to Berlin, flying ahead of the Soviet tanks and attacking German positions with light bombs and rockets.
World War II saw the development of night-fighting from a very imprecise, hit-or-miss art using rudimentary equipment in hastily converted aircraft, to a refined science using highly developed tactics in purpose-built aircraft equipped with sophisticated radar and weapons.

When World War II started in September 1939 no air force was equipped with aircraft specifically designed for the night-fighting role. Only the UK had woken up to the fact that, with the raison d’être of the modern air force being offensive bombing operations, such aircraft would be needed urgently; most air forces made do by using night-flying day fighters, in conjunction with ground searchlights. While the RAF was conducting experiments with rudimentary airborne radar in a handful of obsolescent Bristol Blenheims, the Bristol aircraft company was hard at work developing the Bristol Beaufighter, the world’s first dedicated night-fighter to carry radar, produced entirely on their own initiative. This entered service during the Battle of Britain and first saw combat in the German night Blitz of 1940-1.

From these small beginnings came an entirely new science of aircraft interception that has continued to advance ever since: the science of locating the enemy on ground radar, guiding the fighter towards its target by means of ground controllers and, eventually, vising airborne radar, closing to within range of the fighter’s own weapons for the kill. Although the British advanced relatively quickly with successively improved Beaufighters and de Havilland Mosquitoes (as well as discarding the obsolescent Blenheims, Boulton Paul Defiants and Hawker Hurricanes), and unquestionably led the world in night-fighting techniques and technology (until the arrival of the American-developed centimetric AI Mk.X), German ingenuity produced highly efficient night-fighter adaptations of the Messerschmitt Bf 110 and Junkers Ju 88; these two aircraft, together with the excellent Heinkel He 219, provided the backbone of the Reich’s night-fighter defence between 1942 and 1945.

Elsewhere, with concerted night operations conducted on a much lesser scale until the onset of the great American night offensive against Japan in 1944, night fighting demanded less attention to sophisticated equipment and tactics than in Europe, although these were quickly introduced when the Boeing B-29 started operations. By and large, during the first two years of the Pacific War, neither Japan nor the United States engaged in significant night bombing, and accordingly did little until 1943 to introduce specialist night-fighters, the Douglas P-70 (though widely employed) being unequivocally a makeshift adaptation of a light bomber.
Bristol Blenheim Mk IF

The Bristol Blenheim entered RAF service as a light bomber in 1937, and, despite great hopes for the type, it was becoming outmoded from the day it arrived, being under-armed and therefore capable of carrying no more than a puny bombload by later standards. It was a neat and compact design, however, and lent itself to further development as a bomber, the Blenheim Mk IV with lengthened nose joining the RAF in 1939. In the realization that the Blenheim Mk I would therefore capable of carrying no more than a puny bombload by later standards, plans were put in hand to introduce it as a nightfighter for service with Fighter Command, and in December 1938 four squadrons (Nos 23, 25, 29 and 64) started taking deliveries. Most of these early aircraft were ex-Bomber Command aircraft with sealed bomb doors and bomb gear removed; their armament remained a single fixed forward-firing 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Browning gun and a Vickers 'K' gas-operated gun of the same calibre in the dorsal turret. These four regular squadrons, together with Nos 600, 601 and 604 of the Auxiliary Air Force (re-equipped in the following months) were employed principally to work up and calibrate the new CH coastal radar chain being built at top speed along the south and east coasts. Early in 1939, however, there became available the first of 200 gun packs, each containing four Browning guns and manufactured by the Southern Railway's depot at Ashford, Kent, and by the outbreak of war in September 1939 most converted Blenheim Mk I (now termed Blenheim Mk IF) aircraft had been modified to have such a pack fitted under the fuselage nose. Meanwhile one flight from No. 25 Squadron had had its Blenheims modified with the first 'breadboard' examples of airborne interception radar, and these were undergoing faltering trials over the Thames Estuary in collaboration with the Bawdsey Manor CH coastal radar when war broke out. In due course this radar was standardized to become AI Mk III and was fitted in about two dozen Blenheims, most of the remainder being flown by the Fighter Interception Unit (FIU). Several other Blenheim night-fighter squadrons (among them Nos 68, 145, 219 and 222) were formed, but they were mostly short-lived. At the time of the Battle of Britain night-fighter Blenheims solidified on in search of the small numbers of German night raiders, and on 21/22 July 1940 an aircraft of the FIU made history when it became the first employing AI radar to destroy an enemy raider (a Dormer Do 17) at night. Possessing very pedestrian capabilities, the Blenheim could scarcely catch any of the modern aircraft of 1940 and, although it achieved further victories during the German night Blitz of 1940-1 (indeed, formed the backbone of the UK's night defence), it was gradually phased out of service with the arrival of the powerful Bristol Beaufighter.

Specification

Blenheim Mk IF
Type: three-seat night-fighter

Powerplant: two 840-hp (626-kW) Bristol Mercury VIII nine-cylinder air-cooled radial piston engines
Performance: maximum speed 418 km/h (260 mph) at 4265 m (14,000 ft); initial climb rate 823 m (27,000 ft); normal range 1770 km (1,100 miles)
Weights: empty 3651 kg (8050 lb); maximum take-off 5289 kg (12,000 lb)
Dimensions: span 17.17 m (56 ft 4 in); length 12.45 m (40 ft 10 in); height 3.01 m (9 ft 10 in); wing area 43.57 m² (469 sq ft)
Armament: four 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns in ventral firing forward, and one 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun in dorsal turret

UK

Bristol Beaufighter

First flown in prototype form on 17 July 1938, the Bristol Beaufighter took over the task of night-fighter defence from the makeshift Bristol Blenheim Mk IF fighter during the German night Blitz of the winter of 1940-1. Powered initially by 1,400-hp (1044-kW) Bristol Hercules III sleeve-valve radiais, the Beaufighter Mk IF was equipped with AI MK IV radar (characterized by a 'broad-arrow' transmitter aerial on the aircraft's nose) and, having undergone initial operational trials with the Fighter Interception Unit during the latter stages of the Battle of Britain, started delivery to RAF night-fighter squadrons in September 1940. Lack of familiarity with AI radar resulted in few combat successes during 1940, but in the last three months of the Blitz the Beaufighter began taking an increasing toll of German bombers. Home-produced Griffon engines) did not materialize as such, although a Beaufighter Mk II was experimentally flown with Griffon IIB

This Beaufighter Mk II served with one of the RAF's Polish squadrons, No. 307, from August 1941 until about mid-1942, when the Hercules-engineed Beaufighter Mk IV began to replace this Merlin-engineed version.

night-fightersquadronsexequippedwith Beaufighter Mk IFs included Nos 25, 29, 68, 141, 153, 219, 256, 600 and 604. Production was stepped up, and included 1,000 aircraft ordered from the 'shadow' factories, the 51st and subsequent aircraft being armed with six wing-mounted 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns in addition to the four belly-mounted 20-mm cannon to guard. Delays with improved Hercules radiais resulted in the Rolls-Royce Merlin XX V-12 engine being selected to power the Beaufighter Mk IF, the first production example of which was flown at Filton on 22 March 1941; the type entered Fighter Command service with No. 255 Squadron in July, followed by the Polish-manned No. 307 Squadron in August, and Nos 96 and 125 Squadrons in 1942. The Beaufighter Mk III (a lightened version) and the Beaufighter Mk IV (with Rolls-Royce Griffon engines) did not materialize as such, although a Beaufighter Mk II was experimentally flown with Griffon IIIB

Three AI Mk IV-equipped Beaufighters of No. 600 Sqn are seen here on a mission from Colerne during the winter of 1940-1.
The saga of the Boulton Paul Defiant began with the type's short and disastrous service in RAF Fighter Command as a day fighter, entering operational sorties before discarding its turret guns (the only armament possessed by the aircraft on receiving orders to convert to the Douglas Havoc; No. 96 Squadron formed specifically for night fighting were as difficult as they remained in the UK. Indeed for many years the Defiant crew members would have lost all night vision. One other night duty was undertaken by night-flying Defiants when aircraft of No. 515 Squadron, specially equipped by TRE (Telecommunications Research Establishment), were used to jam enemy coastal radar from 1942 onwards.

Specification
Defiant Mk I
Type: two-seat night-fighter
Powerplant: one 1,030-hp (765-kW) Rolls-Royce Merlin II/12 liquid-cooled piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 488 km/h (303 mph) at 930 m (1,650 ft); initial climb rate 579 m (1,900 ft) per minute; service ceiling 9295 m (30,500 ft); range 756 km (470 miles)
Weights: empty 2722 kg (6,000 lb); maximum take-off 3788 kg (8,350 lb)
Dimensions: span 11.99 m (39 ft 4 in); length 10.77 m (35 ft 1 in); height 3.71 m (12 ft 2 in); wing area 23.225 m² (250 sq ft)
Armament: four 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Browning machine-guns in Boulton Paul power-operated gun turret with 600 rounds per gun

In contrast, this Defiant Mk II is seen much later in the war, serving as a nightfighter with No. 151 Sqn, one of the longest-established night fighter units in the world (that was its special task in 1917).
Although originally conceived principally as an unarmed fast bomber, the de Havilland Mosquito was also envisaged both as a photo-reconnaissance aircraft and as a night-fighter, the second aircraft flown (on 15 May 1941) being in fact the night-fighter prototype. This version differed from the bomber in having strengthened wing spars, a flat windscreen, and an armament of four 20-mm cannon and four 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine guns in the nose, crew entry through a starboard side hatch, and AI Mk IV radar. With a top speed of 395 km/h (246 mph), the Mosquito NF.Mk II (of which 466 were produced) entered service with No. 23 (Fighter) Squadron at Ford in May 1942, followed by No. 157 Squadron in August. The next night-fighter version was the Mosquito NF.Mk XII, 97 of which were produced by fitting AI Mk VIII radar in Mk Us, the four machine-guns being removed; it first joined the Polish-staffed No. 307 Squadron in December 1942; 270 Mosquito NF.Mk XIX aircraft were similar but were newly built. One hundred Mk Us were converted to become Mosquito NF.Mk XVII aircraft by installation of the American centimetric AI Mk X in a somewhat enlarged nose radome. A derivative of this version, the Mosquito NF.Mk XIX, of which 220 were produced from new, operated at an increased all-up weight and were powered by 1,635-hp (1219-kW) Rolls-Royce Merlin 76s, this version serving with eight RAF squadrons. A parallel design was initiated to produce a high-altitude night-fighter, the Mosquito NF.Mk XV, to combat the high-flying Junkers Ju 88P reconnaissance aircraft which started flying over the UK in 1942; with a span increased to 19.05 m (62 ft 6 in), armament reduced to four rifle-calibre machine guns, and a 1,710-hp (1275-kW) Merlin 76s, this aircraft was flown to 13260 m (43,500 ft), but was never flown in combat by the single squadron, No. 85, thus equipped. The last wartime night-fighter Mosquito was the Mosquito NF.Mk 30, which firstequipped No. 219 Squadron at Bradwell Bay in July 1944 and went on to join a dozen other squadrons during the last year of the war. Powered by Merlin 727s, 767s or 113/114 engines, this version had a top speed of 655 km/h (407 mph) and an operating ceiling of 11885 m (39,000 ft). Some 230 examples of this, the best of all Mosquito night-fighters produced during the war, were built.

The Mosquito was undoubtedly the finest Allied night-fighter of the war, replacing almost all Bristol Beaufighter night fighters in service in northern Europe by 1944, and being employed not only for home defence but also night intruder and bomber support/night escort duties. The only enemy night-fighter capable of matching the Mosquito was the superb Heinkel He 219 (as well as the few night-fighter Me 262s), but these were so few in number as scarcely to affect the scale of night operations over the continent. The most famous of all Mosquito night-fighter pilots was Group Captain John Cunningham, who later became chief test pilot at the de Havilland company.

**Specification**

Mosquito NF.Mk 30

**Type:** two-seat night fighter/bomber support aircraft

**Powerplant:** two 1,710-hp (1275-kW) Rolls-Royce Merlin 76 V-12 liquid-cooled piston engines

**Performance:**
- Maximum speed: 655 km/h (407 mph) at 8575 m (28,000 ft); climb to 4570 m (15,000 ft) in 7 minutes 30 seconds; service ceiling 11885 m (39,000 ft); normal range 2092 km (1,300 miles)
- Weights: empty 6985 kg (15,400 lb); maximum take-off 9798 kg (21,600 lb)
- Dimensions: span 16.51 m (54 ft 2 in); wing area 40.41 m² (435 sq ft)

**Armament:** four forward-firing 20-mm Hispano cannon under nose

**Hawker Hurricane Mk II**

From its earliest service days the Hawker Hurricane single-seater proved a pleasant aeroplane to fly at night (unlike the Supermarine Spitfire), and as early as the Battle of Britain Hurricanes were regularly flying night patrols to complement those of the night-fighter Bristol Blenheim. For example No. 92 (Fighter) Squadron operated a detached flight at Bournemouth on 18 December for this work. As the daylight battle petered out in October the Hurricane was increasingly flown at night and with the introduction of the more powerful Hurricane Mk II with progressively heavier armament (eight machine-guns in the Hurricane Mk IIA, 12 machine-guns in the Hurricane Mk IIB and four 20-mm cannon in the Hurricane Mk IIC), the aircraft not only performed night defensive patrols but also became increasingly used as an intruder over German bomber bases in northern France and the Low Countries. Among the best known night-fighters/torpedo bombers, squadrons to fly Hurricanes Mk Us in 1941-2 were Nos 1, 3, 46, 79 and 87; to them was ascribed the destruction of 52 enemy aircraft, 16 coastal vessels, 106 road vehicles and 17 locomotives during the last six months of 1941. Without question the most successful pilot of this mid-war period was Flight Lieutenant Karel Kuttelwascher (a Czech veteran of the Battle of Britain) of No. 1 (Fighter) Squadron, who scored his first ‘intruder’ victory, a Junkers Ju 88, on 8 April 1942 and went on to shoot down 14 more enemy aircraft (seven Dorner Do 217s, five Heinkel He 111s, a Dornier Do 17 and another Ju 88) in the next eight weeks, for which he was awarded the Distinguished Flying Cross. After the mauled No. 87 Sqn returned from France in June 1940 it was re-equipped as a night fighter unit, and in 1942 the unit’s aircraft was this Langley-built Hurricane Mk IC, flown by the CO.
Dornier Do 17, Do 215 and Do 217

The Dornier Do 17 came to be employed in the night fighting role not so much because it was particularly suited to the task as for its availability in growing numbers as it approached the end of its service as a front line bomber. The opening of RAF bombing attacks on Germany in May 1940 caught the Luftwaffe wrong-footed, without an organized night-fighter defence, and although such a force was quickly established using the Messerschmitt Bf 110 and Junkers Ju 88C, consideration was also given to the use of other bombers converted to the night fighter role. A standard Dornier Do 17 Z-3 was therefore fitted with the nose of a Ju 88C-2 carrying an armament of one 20-mm MG FF cannon and three 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG 17 machine-guns. The Do 17 Z-10 Kauz II (Screech Owl II), this version was found to be unsatisfactory and was abandoned. A fresh start was made with the Do 217 J-2 night-fighter version, these served in small numbers with NJG 1 and NJG 2, and equipped the whole of NJG 3 and NJG 4. The final variant, the Do 217 N-1/U3, often carried four 20-mm cannon in a split nose port and up to four 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG 17 machine-guns in their place. 

Specification

Hurricane Mk IIC
Type: single-seat night-fighter/ intruder

Powerplant: one 1,280-hp (954-kW) Rolls-Royce Merlin XVIII-12 liquid-cooled piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 546 km/h (339 mph) at 6705 m (22,000 ft); climb to 6095 m (20,000 ft) in 9 minutes 6 seconds; service ceiling 10850 m (35,600 ft); normal night-fighting range 740-km (460 miles)

Weights: empty 2631 kg (5,800 lb); maximum take-off 3540 kg (7,800 lb)
Dimensions: span 12.19 m (40 ft 0 in); length 16.00 m (52 ft 6 in); height 4.55 m (14 ft 11 in); wing area 23.92 m² (257.5 sq ft)
Armament: four wing-mounted 20-mm Hispano cannon, plus (intruder version) two 227-kg (500-lb) bombs

The Do 217 Z-10 Kauz II was the first sensor-equipped Luftwaffe night fighter, with the Spanner sight projecting through the windscreen. R+L served with I/NJG 2 at Citz-Reijen (note NJC badge on nose).
The Focke-Wulf Fw 190 was never strictly a night-fighter in the accepted sense of being designed or modified for night fighting yet, on account of operational circumstances forced upon the Luftwaffe, came to be employed under certain conditions very successfully in the night battle over Germany. Following the introduction of Window jamming of German radar at the beginning of the Battle of Hamburg, which caused major dislocation of the Himmelbett (four-poster bed) defence system, a distinguished German bomber pilot, Major Hajo Herrmann, suggested employing day fighters at night, particularly when RAF jamming threatened paralysis of the radar control of night-fighters. Accordingly a special unit (code-named wilde Sau, or 'wild boar' to differentiate its tactics from zahme Sau or 'tame boar' tactics, which embraced night-fighters operating under radar control) was formed as Jagdgeschwader 300, under Herrmann himself; based principally in north and west Germany, the Geschwaderstab and II/JG 300 flew Fw 190A-5/U2 fighters while I and III/JG 300 flew Messerschmitt Bf 109Gs at the outset.

In its first major night operation, during the RAF attack on Peenemünde on 18 August 1943, JG 300 failed to make contact when bombing feints suggested that Berlin was the target. However, during the next month the wilde Sau tactics paid handsome dividends, and Herrmann was acclaimed a national hero, and promoted Oberstleutnant as commander of a much expanded Jagddivision 300, comprising JG 300, now commanded by Oberstleutnant Kurt Kettner, JG 301 under Helmut Wehrich at Neubiberg and JG 302 at Doberitz under Major Ewald Janssen. Henceforth wilde Sau tactics were employed on any moonlit night, and on any other occasion over the target where the light of the ground fires silhouetted the bombers. Winter flying conditions curtailed the operations severely, as did the wear on the aircraft themselves, of which Fw 190A-5 and Fw 190A-8 fighters came to outnumber the Bf 109. Among the most successful of the wilde Sau pilots were Konrad Bauer (Staffelkapitän of 5./JG 300 with 32 night victories), Kurt Weltner (JG 301 with 29 victories), Friedrich-Karl Müller (JG 300's technical officer with 23 victories) and Walter Loos (of Stab JG 300 with 22 victories); Hajo Herrmann himself destroyed nine RAF bombers in the course of 50 sorties while Gruppenkommandeur of III/JG 300, shot down four Avro Lancasters in one night during April 1944.

Specification
Fw 190A-8
Type: single-seat day/night fighter
Powerplant: one 1,700-hp (1268-kW) BMW SOI Dg 14-cylinder air-cooled radial piston engine with GM-1 nitrous oxide power boosting
Performance: maximum speed 655 km/h (407 mph) at 6000 m (19,685 ft); initial climb rate 720 m (2,360 ft) per minute; service ceiling 11,400 m (37,400 ft); normal range 800 km (497 miles)
Weights: empty 3170 kg (6,989 lb); maximum take-off 4430 kg (9,766 lb)
Dimensions: span 34 ft 10 in (10.50 m); length 8.80 m (28 ft 10 in); height 3.95 m (13 ft 0 in); wing area 18.30 m² (196.98 sq ft)
Armament: two 20-mm MG 151/20 cannon in wing roots, two 30-mm MG 108 cannon in outer wings and two 13-mm (0.51-in) MG 131 heavy machine-guns on nose, all firing forward

MESSERSCHMITT BF 110

After proving something of a disappointment as a daylight 'heavy fighter' in the first year of the war (although continuing in that role to a lesser extent), the Messerschmitt Bf 110 became numerically Germany's most important night-fighter, being selected from the outset in mid-1940 to provide the basic equipment of Josef Kammhuber's Nachtjagdverband.

Below: Most aerodynamically cluttered of all Bf 110 versions, the Bf 110G-4W/R3 had both SN-2 and C-1 radars, usually carried a crew of three and, with flame dampers and drop tanks as shown, had a maximum speed of typically 465 km/h (289 mph), barely faster than a Lancaster.

Above: Though fitted with enlarged fins and DB 605A engines, the early Bf 110 models lacked name-damped exhausts, and this Bf 110G-2 of 12./NJG 3 had no radar. It was based at Stavanger until the final collapse in May 1945.
formed on 20 July that year. At first standard Bf 110C-2 and Bf 110D-1 aircraft were used, these equipping I Gruppe, Nachtjagdgeschwader 1 (previously I/ZG 1) under Hauptmann Günther Radsch, but they were soon replaced by the first dedicated night-fighter version, the Bf 110F-4 with 1,300-hp (969-kW) Daimler-Benz DB 601E engines, this version remaining in service, alongside later types, until the last year of the war. Within a year five Nachtjagdgruppen had been formed, four of them flying Bf 110s. The next night-fighter derivative, the Bf 110G series, was the principal version, usually powered by 1,475-hp (1100-kW) DB 605B engines. The Bf 110G-4 possessed a basic armament of two 20-mm MG 151 cannon and four 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG 17 machine-guns, but this was varied by numerous Rüstsatz field kits, of which the R8 introduced the schräge Musik twin upward-firing cannon in 1943 (also fitted in the Bf 110F-4/U1); suffix letters also identified changes in radar, the Bf 110G-4a with FuG 212 Lichtenstein C-1 radar, the Bf 110G-4B with both C-1 and SN-2 radar, the Bf 110G-4c with SN-2 radar only, and the Bf 110G-4d with FuG 227 Flensburg homing radar (tuned to the British 'Monica' tail-warning radar). There was also considerable work done with water-methanol and nitrous oxide injection in efforts to boost the performance of the Bf 110 and, although such improvements were only marginal, the aircraft remained in production almost up to the last months of the war. However, constant use of the night-fighters to assist in daylight defence against the American bomber offensive did much to prevent a much greater build-up of the night-fighter force, which nevertheless grew from 389 aircraft at the end of 1942 to 913 aircraft two years later. Only when the Messerschmitt Me 410 replaced the Bf 110 in service with the Zerstörergeschwader in 1944 were virtually all Bf HOGs allocated to the Nachtjagdverband. Even then priority was given to the new Junkers Ju 88G and Heinkel He 219 night-fighters, so by the end of 1944 only about 150 Bf 110 night-fighters remained in service.

Specification

**Messerschmitt Me 262B-1a/U1**

The fast declining ability of the German night-fighter force to halt RAF Bomber Command’s offensive in the latter half of 1944 (when it was resumed after the Normandy invasion) prompted Oberst Hajo Herrmann, well known for his advocacy of drastic fighting tactics and commander of Jagddivision 30, to suggest adaptation of the Messerschmitt Me 262 jet aircraft as a night-fighter; both he and Oberleutnant Behrens of the E-Stelle Rechlin tested an Me 262 equipped with Lichtenstein SN-2 radar and pronounced it a potentially excellent night-fighter. It was therefore proposed to undertake conversion of Me 262B-1a two-seat trainers as night-fighters, installing a formidable collection of radar and radio equipment, including FuG 16ZY VHF radio, FuG 25a JRF, FuG 120a Bernadine visual read-out repeater, FuG 125, FuG 218 Neptun V search radar and FuG 350ZC Naxos radar homer; the use of an ungainly ‘toasting fork’ aerial array on the nose reduced the Me 262’s maximum speed from 873 km/h (542 mph) to 813 km/h (505 mph), but the ultimate production version, the Me 262B-2a, was intended for all the Luftwaffe aircraft which went to the USA in 1945-6 were badly repainted with incorrect markings, the Hakenkreuz and in this case the Iron Cross being pre-1938 style, too early for this Me 262B-1a/U1.
to incorporate a rear fuselage extended by 114 cm (45 in) to accommodate approximately 910 litres (200 Imp gal) of additional fuel. A pair of upward-firing 30-mm MK 108 cannon was mounted in a schräge Musik installation. Only one example of this version was flown before the end of the war, although a second aircraft which, equipped with centimetric AI radar in a blunt nose fairing and so dispensing with the ungainly external aerial array, was awaiting flight test when the war ended; it was expected to possess a top speed of 860 km/h (534 mph).

In terms of performance, if not equipment, these aircraft were far in advance of Allied night-fighters, and had they existed in service in significant numbers (and had Germany possessed the fuel to operate them) they must have inflicted prohibitive losses upon Bomber Command. As it was, one experimental unit, Kommando Stamp (under Major Gerhard Stamp, previously of JG 300, a wilde Sau unit) flew about 10 Me 262B-la/UL fighters, the unit later being redesignated Kommando Welter under Oberleutnant Kurt Welter and deployed for the night defence of Berlin in March 1945. Welter himself is said to have shot down about 20 Allied aircraft at night in Me 262s in the last eight weeks of the war, and probably remains the world’s most successful night-fighter jet pilot to this day.

**Specification**

Me 262 B-la/UL

Type: two-seat night-fighter

Powerplant: two 2,900-hp (2,163 kW) BMW 003A-5 axial-flow turbojets

Performance: maximum speed 813 km/h (505 mph) at 6000 m (19,685 ft); climb to 6000 m (19,685 ft) in 6 minutes 54 seconds; service ceiling 10850 m (35,600 ft); normal range 1050 km (652 miles)

Weights: empty about 4585 kg (10,110 lb); maximum take-off about 6858 kg (14,515 lb)

Dimensions: span 12.48 m (40 ft 11 in); length 11.53 (37 ft 10 in); height 5.84 (12 ft 7 in); wing area 21.70 m² (233.6 sq ft)

Armament: four forward-firing 30-mm MK 108 cannon in fuselage nose with a total of 360 rounds.

**USA Douglas P-70 Havoc**

It is tempting to reason that it was on account of the USA’s preoccupation of daylight bombing that the creation of a night-fighter force to counter any other nation’s night bombing was regarded as superfluous. Whether such is even partly accurate or not, the fact remains that the USAAF possessed no dedicated night-fighter in service at the time of Pearl Harbor. Instead it was the British who first exploited the Douglas A-20 as a night-fighter, converting about one hundred Boston Mk II light bomber variants to that role during the winter of 1940-1 by fitting an armament of eight machine-guns and AI Mk IV radar in the nose, flame-damping engine exhaust pipes and additional armour. Known as the Havoc in RAF service, this night-fighter first equipped No. 23 Squadron and was also involved in the lengthy Turbinlite (airborne searchlight) night fighting tactic, an almost worthless experiment that lasted about 18 months and occupied the efforts of no fewer than 10 squadrons. Another abortive RAF experiment involving Havocs was the ‘Pandora’ project, about 20 aircraft (eventually designated Havoc Mk III) being modified to trail the Long Aerial Mine in the path of enemy bomber streams.

When eventually faced with sporadic night air attacks by the Japanese in the Western Pacific in 1942, the Americans decided to modify the A-20 as an interim night-fighter (pending the arrival of the P-61 in service), the first example originally produced for the USAAF undergoing conversion to feature a pair of 1,600-hp (1194-kW) Wright R-2650-11 radials, AI radar in a solid nose and an armament of four 20-mm cannon in a pack under the fuselage. Some 39 P-70A-1 night-fighters followed in 1943, in which the ventral guns were usually replaced by six 12.7-mm (0.5-in) guns in the nose, as well as a pair of hand-held guns in the rear cockpit; 65 conversions from A-20Gs produced the P-70A-2, similar to the P-70A-1 but without the rear guns. Most of these night-fighters were delivered to the squadrons of the 18th Fighter Group, commanded by Colonel Charles R. Greening and Robert A. Zaiser, who flew from Guadalcanal, supported American forces on Bougainville and flew night patrols over US bases in the Solomons. This was the only group to fly the P-70 extensively on operations. The final version was the P-70B-2 night-fighter trainer, of which 105 were converted from A-20GAs and A-20Js to feature American SCR-720 and SCR-729 radar. These served with the 50th Fighter Group at Alachua Army Air Field, Florida, under Colonel Robert S. Quinn as a night-fighter crew training group before moving to the UK in 1944 as a fighter-bomber unit flying Republic P-47Rs. With the arrival of the P-61 in service in 1944, P-70As were distributed among the USAAF’s new night-fighter squadrons to provide operational training in AI procedures, but by the end of the year almost all had disappeared from the service’s front-line inventory.

**Specification**

P-70A Havoc

Type: three-seat night-fighter

Powerplant: two 1,600-hp (1193-kW) Wright R-2650-11 14-cylinder air-cooled radial piston engines

Performance: maximum speed 529 km/h (329 mph) at 4265 m (14,000 ft); climb to 3660 m (12,000 ft) in 8 minutes; service ceiling 8610 m (28,250 ft); normal range 1706 km (1080 miles)

Weights: empty about 4585 kg (10,110 lb); maximum take-off 6858 kg (14,515 lb)

Dimensions: span 12.48 m (40 ft 11 in); length 11.53 (37 ft 10 in); height 5.84 (12 ft 7 in); wing area 21.70 m² (233.6 sq ft)

Armament: most aircraft (P-70A-2) had six 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns in nose and two others of the same calibre (hand-held) in rear cockpit.

A very rare bird, the Douglas P-70B-1 was a 1943 rebuild of an A-20C attack bomber with AI MkIV radar and forward-firing armament of six fifty-calibre ‘jifty’ machine guns in packs at the sides of the fuselage. No ventral cannon were fitted.

**AW392** was the first Havoc Mk I (Turbinlite), originally supplied to the RAF on a diverted French contract in 1940 and one of the early small-tail series with Twin Wasp engines. AI MkIV radar was fitted to aim the searchlight, no guns being carried.

(464 sq ft)

Armament: most aircraft (P-70A-2) had six 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns in nose and two others of the same calibre (hand-held) in rear cockpit.

A very rare bird, the Douglas P-70B-1 was a 1943 rebuild of an A-20C attack bomber with AI MkIV radar and forward-firing armament of six fifty-calibre ‘jifty’ machine guns in packs at the sides of the fuselage. No ventral cannon were fitted.

(464 sq ft)
Northrop P-61 Black Widow

Stung to action in 1940 by events in Europe where night bombing attacks were beginning to assume significant proportions, the US Army Air Corps issued a general requirement for a specialized night-fighter, and to meet this Northrop offered a large twin-boom, twin-engine, three-seat aircraft with provision for yet-to-be-developed airborne interception radar and a heavy offensive armament. Two Northrop XP-61 prototypes were ordered on 11 January 1941, and production contracts totalling 573 aircraft were issued within the next 13 months. The first prototype was flown on 21 May 1942, by which time the USA had been at war for nearly six months, and it was to be a further 18 months before the first production P-61A Black Widow aircraft appeared, the first 37 aircraft mounting a remotely-controlled dorsal turret with four 12.7-mm (0.5-in) guns in addition to four fixed 20-mm cannon in the fuselage belly. Air flow instability aft of the turret caused its deletion from the 38th aircraft onwards, and the first deliveries were made to the 18th Fighter Group of the USAAF, then based at Garema. This unit scored its first victory on 7 July 1944, and the type progressively replaced all the interim Douglas P-70s in service.

The P-61A was not generally considered wholly satisfactory, being plagued by unserviceability of the big R-2800-65 engines; as the situation improved, after 200 of this version had been produced, deliveries of the first of 450 P-61B aircraft started, also on July 1944, this version, though still officially termed a night-fighter, was as much an intruder as a true fighter, being capable of carrying up to four 726-kg (1,600-lb) bombs or four 1136-litre (300-US gal) drop tanks under the wings. Some Far East units also carried out field modifications to carry eight 12.7cm (5-m) rocket projectiles for night use against Japanese surface vessels, but their use was limited on account of the blinding flash of the rocket motors. The final 250 P-61Bs had the dorsal turret reinstated, and the last production version was the P-61C, of which 41 were built with 2,800-hp (2,088kW) R-2800-73 engines and a top speed of fractionally under 644 km/h (366 mph).

In Europe the Black Widow was severely criticized when tested by the RAF, but deliveries of the first P-61As went ahead to the 422nd Night Fighter Squadron at Scorton, England, on 23 May 1944, followed by the 425th at Charmy Down, their purpose being to provide night protection for the American bases after the Normandy landing then imminent. While based in the UK the P-61s were flown with limited success against V-1 flying bombs before being flown to the Continent, where they achieved a few night victories against the relatively small number of German aircraft which operated at night during the last eight months of the war.

Almost identical to the machine above, this P-61B-1 was assigned to a unit of the US 13th Air Force, the 550th NFS operating in the New Guinea and New Britain area from Morotai in the final nine months of the Pacific war. The turret must have been fitted retroactively.

Almost all Widows were painted black overall, like this P-61B-15, one of the later models with the turret restored as standard. It was on the strength of one of the later units in the CPA (Central Pacific Area) in 1944, the 548th NFS. Note the 1173-litre (258-gal) drop tanks.

Unusual in having a completely unpainted radome over its SCR-720 radar, this early P-61A-1 was one of those delivered with the four-gun turret, and, like all three aircraft illustrated here went to the Pacific. In this case the recipient unit was the USAAF 6th NFS based at Saipan.

Specification

P-61B Black Widow

Type: three-seat night-fighter
Powerplant: two 2,000-hp (1491-kW) Pratt & Whitney R-2800-65 18-cylinder air-cooled radial piston engines
Performance: maximum speed 589 km/h (366 mph) at 6095 m (20,000 ft); climb to 6095 m (20,000 ft) in 12 minutes; service ceiling 10090 m (33,100 ft); maximum range 4506 km (2,800 miles)
Weights: empty 9979 kg (22,000 lb); maximum take-off 13472 kg (29700 lb)
Dimensions: span 20.12 m (66 ft 0 in); length 15.11 m (49 ft 7 in); height 4.46 m (14 ft 8 in); wing area 61.69 m² (664 sq ft)
Armament: four 20-mm cannon in fuselage belly fixed to fire forward, plus provision to carry up to four 726-kg (1,600-lb) bombs under the wings; the last 250 aircraft were also armed with four 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns in remotely-controlled dorsal turret

One of the first P-61s to see action was this P-61A-10 of the 422nd Night Fighter Squadron based at Charmy Down, Scorton and then various advanced bases in France. Their kills were mainly flying bombs and locomotives.
Kawasaki Ki-45 Toryu

Constant development frustrations delayed introduction into service of the Imperial Japanese Army’s Kawasaki Ki-45 Toryu (dragon killer) until August 1942, its design having been initiated five years earlier, and it was not until 1944 that the night-fighter version, the Ki-45 KAIC, became operational as the only army night-fighter of the war. Replacing the two 1,080-hp (805-kW) Mitsubishi Ha-102 radials of the previous Ki-45 KAIC heavy day fighter (an aircraft whose role was akin to that of the German Zerstörer), the Ki-45 KAIC was armed with a single forward-firing semi-automatic 37-mm Type 98 cannon in a fairing under the fuselage, two oblique/upward-firing 20-mm Ho-5 cannon in the centre fuselage, and a single hand-held machine-gun in the rear cockpit. It had been intended to fit airborne radar in the nose, and therefore no nose guns were included; however, production difficulties seriously delayed this equipment and it did not enter service, although a single aircraft flew with centimetric radar shortly before the end of the war. Production of the Ki-45 KAIC got underway at Kawasaki’s Akashi plant in March 1944, the first aircraft being completed the following month. On 15 June American Boeing B-29s of XX Bomber Command launched their first raid on the Japanese homeland, and the aircraft went on to serve with the 4th Sentai at Usuki in the Oita prefecture, the 5th Sentai at Usuki and Komachi in the Aichi prefecture, the 53rd Sentai at Matsudo in the Chiba prefecture, and the 70th Sentai at Kashiwa. Toryus shared the night defence of Japan with the navy’s JIN1-S and Yokosuka P1Y1-S, and were probably the most successful in action against the massive American raids in the last six months of the war; the 4th Sentai alone was credited with 150 kills, of which 26 were gained by one pilot, Captain Isamu Kashiide, all despite the lack of any AI radar. Away from the homeland Ki-45 KAIC night-fighters also served with the 45th Sentai in the Philippines and New Guinea late in 1944, and with the 71st Dokuritsu Hiko Chutai at Singapore in August 1945. Production of the Ki-45 KAIC reached 477 aircraft before being terminated in December 1944. The type was codenamed ‘Nick’ by the Allies.

Specification
Ki-45 KAIC
Type: two-seat night-fighter
Powerplant: two 1,080-hp (805-kW) Mitsubishi Ha-102 14-cylinder air-cooled radial piston engines
Performance: maximum speed 540 km/h (335 mph) at 6000 m (19,685 ft); climb to 5000 m (16,405 ft) in 7 minutes; service ceiling 10000 m (32,810 ft); normal range 2000 km (1,243 miles)
Weights: empty 4000 kg (8,818 lb); maximum take-off 5500 kg (12,125 lb)
Dimensions: span 15.02 m (49 ft 3 Vi in); length 11.00 m (36 ft 1 in); height 3.70 m (12 ft 1 in); wing area 32.00 m² (344.44 sq ft)
Armament: one 37-mm cannon firing forward under nose, two upward-firing 20-mm cannon amidships and one 7.92-mm (0.31-in) hand-held machine-gun in dorsal position

Probably the best surviving picture of a Kawasaki Ki-45, this Ki-45 KAIC night fighter has no radar but carries a 37-mm Ho-203 cannon firing ahead and two 20-mm Ho-5 cannon firing obliquely upwards. A total of 477 was built at Akashi in late 1944.

Nakajima JIN1-S Gekko

Just as specialist night-fighter design had largely been ignored by European nations before World War II, Japan’s similar failing left the country without adequate night defence when the fortunes of war turned against it. Its noticeable turn against her in 1943. Fortunately, however, the Imperial Japanese Navy possessed a number of excellent heavy fighters and reconnaissance aircraft, of which the Nakajima JIN Gekko (moonlight) had been arriving in service slowly since April 1942 with reconnaissance units in the Western Pacific. When first encountered in action during the Solomons campaign the aircraft was mistakenly thought to be a fighter and codenamed ‘Iving’ by the Allies. As night air attacks were stepped up by the Americans it was the commanding officer of the 251st Kokutai, Commander Yasuna Kozono, then based at Rabaul, New Guinea, who first suggested adaptation of the JIN as a night-fighter by installing two 20-mm cannon in the observer’s cockpit, and to fire obliquely forward and upward at an angle of 30°, and another pair firing forward and downward. When two Consolidated B-24s were quickly destroyed, the modifications came to the attention of the Japanese naval staff and an order (found difficult to aim and seldom used) were omitted from later aircraft, while a third upper gun and a forward-firing 20-mm cannon was fitted in the JIN1-Sa. Rudimentary centimetric AI radar was installed in the nose and some aircraft also carried a small nose searchlight. In service with the 251st, 302nd and 322nd Komachis, the JIN1-S night-fighters proved fairly effective against the B-24, which was not in any case well-suited to night operations, but with the appearance of the Boeing B-29 the Japanese night-fighters
proved too slow and were seldom able to make more than a single firing attack. Most of them were expended during the final months of the war when, equipped to carry two 250-kg (551-lb) bombs, they were employed in kamikaze attacks against ground targets.

The French Potez 631 night-fighter corresponded in many respects to the RAF's Bristol Blenheim, being very similar in size and performance (though somewhat lighter) as well as being conceived as a variation of a light bomber. The French aircraft was one of a family of design variations of the Potez 63 which had originated in a requirement issued in 1934 for a two/three-seat 'multi-purpose' aircraft. Although a night-fighter prototype had flown in March 1937 as the Potez 63-1, French re-equipment policies were blurred by lack of purpose (being conceived as a variation of a light bomber). The French aircraft was one of a family of design variations of the Potez 63 which had originated in a requirement issued in 1934 for a two/three-seat day/night fighters, and it was not until June 1938 that production orders totalling 207 were confirmed.

By 1 April 1939 the Armée de l’Air had taken delivery of 88 aircraft, of which 20 were in service; in May two night-fighter units, Groupes de Chasse de Nuit GCN III/1 and II/4, and one day fighter unit, GC II/8, were equipped with about 30 aircraft; four other Potez 631s were serving at Djibouti. At the outbreak of war a total of 206 aircraft had been delivered, and the type had also joined GCN 1/13 and GCN 1/13, as well as seven escadres de chasse. Some aircraft were later transferred to the Aéronavale. When the German attack opened in the West the various night-fighter units were in constant action both by day and night, although lack of radar prevented much success during the hours of darkness. In the first 11 days of the campaign Aéronavale’s Flotille F 1C shot down 12 German aircraft for the loss of eight, but the Armée de l’Air night-fighter units were ordered to assume day ground-attack duties, losing heavily to enemy flak. Moreover, losses were exceptionally heavy to Allied guns and fighters as a result of the Potez 631’s superficial similarity to the German Messerschmitt Bf 110; it has been estimated that as many as 30 of the French aircraft were shot down in error. In all, Potez 631 night-fighters destroyed a total of 29 German aircraft in the Battle of France, but for a loss of 93 of their own number. Of the remainder about 110 were in the Free French Zone (Vichy France) at the time of the armistice, but their number dwindled quickly because of a chronic lack of spares, although ECN 3/13 moved to Tunisia with a small number of Potez 631s in June 1941.

**Specification Potez 631**
- **Type:** two-seat night-fighter
- **Powerplant:** two 700-hp (522-kW) Gnome-Rhône 14 air-cooled radial piston engines
- **Performance:** maximum speed 442 km/h (275 mph) at 4500 m
  - 14,765 ft; climb to 4000 m (13,125 ft) in 5 minutes 54 seconds; service ceiling 8800 m (28,870 ft); range 1220 km (758 miles)
- **Weights:** empty about 2450 kg (5,401 lb); maximum take-off about 3760 kg (8,289 lb)
- **Dimensions:** span 16,00 m (52 ft 6 in); length 11.07 m (36 ft 4 in); height 3.62 m (11 ft 10 in); wing area 32.70 m$^2$ (351.98 sq ft)
- **Armament:** two fixed forward-firing 20-mm cannon under fuselage and one hand-held 7.5-mm (0.295-in) machine-gun in dorsal position; some aircraft were also fitted with two 7.5-mm (0.295-in) machine-guns underslung wing

Though over 300 Potez 631 night fighters had been delivered at the time of the French Armistice on 25 June 1940, at least 70 and possibly many more either had no propellers or had them removed deliberately. This one was luckier, and flew with a unit of GCN 13 in the Battle for France.
Allied and Axis Flying-Boats

During the early years of World War II, flying-boats were of crucial importance in allowing long-range maritime patrols. However, with the introduction of very long-range land-based aircraft, which had greater flexibility and which could operate from ordinary airfields, the flying-boats gradually faded from the scene.

If glamour ever attached to fighting men there was little but monotony in the work of the flying-boat crews of World War II. Few nations had paid heed to this class of military aeroplane in the years of peace beforehand, with the result that when war came recourse was widely made to the adaptation of ageing commercial aircraft and none of the belligerent powers produced a wholly new design from concept during the war years in time to reach production and service. As might be expected from the maritime nations, the USA, the UK and Japan possessed the most consistently successful aircraft from the outset: the Consolidated PBY Catalina, the Short Sunderland and the Kawasaki H8K 'Emily' boats respectively.

For the UK alone the work of RAF Coastal Command's flying-boats was vital for the nation's survival: given the existence of brutally effective submarine warfare, responsibility for airborne countermeasures and protection of the UK's vulnerable shipping lanes fell squarely on that command's aircrews and their Sunderlands and Catalinas.

Germany, on the other hand, had afforded low priority to the military flying-boat and only an adaptation of the excellent but venerable Dornier Do 18 had reached service status by 1939. The radical Blohm und Voss Bv 138 had been slow in development and suffered numerous problems before finally achieving an acceptable combat status.

Both Japan and the United States produced really superlative military flying-boats, the Kawasaki H8K proving to possess a most impressive performance; the Catalina, of which more were produced than all other flying-boats of all nations combined, came to provide the yardstick by which all maritime reconnaissance work would be measured.

Yet World War II was to sound the death knell of the big 'boat' for, even as the Catalinas and Sunderlands were ranging far over the oceans, the very-long-range land-based aeroplane (exemplified by the Consolidated Liberator) was proving to be no less effective. Being more readily available and requiring none of the special base facilities of the flying-boat, this craft pointed a different path to the future, a path that has consigned these graceful 'boats' to the pages of history.

The Consolidated PBY Catalina was the workhorse of Allied maritime reconnaissance work and the leading type in its class. It was the 'Cat' which shadowed the German battleship Bismarck.
The twin-engine Sarò Lerwick was an attractive and compact design intended to meet a medium-range maritime reconnaissance requirement. Specification R. 1/36, but was a total failure. First flown before the end of 1938, the prototype featured twin fins and rudders but from the outset was found to be seriously lacking in lateral stability, and displayed a determination to roll and yaw in cruising flight, making the aircraft impossible to fly hands-off, a damning indictment for a maritime patrol aircraft. In due course a single fin and rudder was fitted, but not until this was considerably enlarged was any improvement in the handling characteristics discernible. Starting with the seventh production example, wing incidence was increased and enlarged propellers fitted to the Hercules IVs and the final example was completed in November 1940; one aircraft was flown by No. 240 Squadron but was lost on 20 February of that year, and some flew with No. 4 Operational Training Unit at Invergordon.

Specification Sarò Lerwick
Type: six-crew medium-range reconnaissance flying-boat
Powerplant: two 1375-hp (1026-kW) Bristol Hercules II 14-cylinder air-cooled radials
Performance: maximum speed 348 km/h (216 mph) at 1220 m (4,000 ft); initial climb rate 268 m (880 ft) per minute; service ceiling 4265 m (14,000 ft)
Weights: normal loaded 12928 kg (28,500 lb); overload take-off 15060 kg (33,200 lb)
Dimensions: span 24.38 m (80 ft 10 in); length 17.31 m (56 ft 11 in); height 5.71 m (18 ft 9 in); wing area 132.38 m² (1,425 sq ft)
Armament: one 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun in nose turret, twin 7.7-

The ill-fated Sarò Lerwick, which served with only a single Coastal Command squadron, No. 209, at Oban, Pembroke Dock and Stranraer. As can be seen, the aircraft rode very low in the water and demanded considerable distance to become airborne.

mm (0.303-in) machine-guns in dorsal turret and four 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns in tail turret, plus up to 907 kg (2,000 lb) of bombs, mines or depth charges

Sarò London flying-boat still served with Nos 201, 202 and 240Sqns when war broke out in 1939. This example, of No. 240 Sarò London Mk Mk II, was based at Sullom Voe and Invergordon in 1939-40. A pre-war shot of a Sarò London Mk II. These big aircraft, together with the Supermarine Stranraer, marked the end of a nostalgic era.

Reflecting the design concept of British flying-boats that had originated in the 1920s, the Sarò London twin-engine biplane was an all-metal aircraft with fabric-covered wings and tail, and a metal-skinned hull. The type served with RAF Coastal Command during the first two years of World War II. Designed to Air Ministry Specification R. 24/31, the prototype first flew in 1934 and was a total failure. The last eight aircraft were powered by Hercules II radials, but the latter were found unsuitable for operating on rough water. Moreover, stalling tests showed the Lerwick to have vicious characteristics discernible. Starting with the seventh production example, wing incidence was increased and enlarged propellers fitted to the Hercules IVs and the final example was completed in November 1940; one aircraft was flown by No. 240 Squadron but was lost on 20 February of that year, and some flew with No. 4 Operational Training Unit at Invergordon.

Specification Sarò Lerwick
Type: six-crew medium-range reconnaissance flying-boat
Powerplant: two 1375-hp (1026-kW) Bristol Hercules II 14-cylinder air-cooled radials
Performance: maximum speed 348 km/h (216 mph) at 1220 m (4,000 ft); initial climb rate 268 m (880 ft) per minute; service ceiling 4265 m (14,000 ft)
Weights: normal loaded 12928 kg (28,500 lb); overload take-off 15060 kg (33,200 lb)
Dimensions: span 24.38 m (80 ft 10 in); length 17.31 m (56 ft 11 in); height 5.71 m (18 ft 9 in); wing area 132.38 m² (1,425 sq ft)
Armament: one 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun in nose turret, twin 7.7-

The ill-fated Sarò Lerwick, which served with only a single Coastal Command squadron, No. 209, at Oban, Pembroke Dock and Stranraer. As can be seen, the aircraft rode very low in the water and demanded considerable distance to become airborne.

mm (0.303-in) machine-guns in dorsal turret and four 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns in tail turret, plus up to 907 kg (2,000 lb) of bombs, mines or depth charges

Sarò London flying-boat still served with Nos 201, 202 and 240Sqns when war broke out in 1939. This example, of No. 240 Sarò London Mk II, was based at Sullom Voe and Invergordon in 1939-40. A pre-war shot of a Sarò London Mk II. These big aircraft, together with the Supermarine Stranraer, marked the end of a nostalgic era.
One of the unsung heroes of World War II, the Supermarine Walrus amphibian was a private venture development of the 1922 Seagull I, and indeed first flew as the Seagull V on 21 June 1933. A production order by the Australian government prompted evaluation by the Royal Navy’s No. 702 Catapult Flight, which in turn led to an initial contract for 12 Walrus Mk I aircraft being placed by the Air Ministry in 1935. Following further trials, during which a Walrus was catapulted fully-loaded from HMS Nelson, production orders for 204 aircraft with the 635-hp (474-kW) Pegasus II M2 radial were placed, and the little flying-boat entered Fleet Air Arm service in 1936. Early in World War II Walrus amphibians were serving aboard battleships and cruisers of the Royal Navy all over the world as components of No. 700 Squadron, as well as with Nos 701, 711, 712 and 714 Squadrons, their principal duties being over-the-horizon search for enemy shipping; they were also employed for gunnery spotting, anti-submarine and convoy protection duties. A Walrus was even catapulted from the cruiser HMS Dorsetshire to bomb a target in Italian Somaliland on 18 November 1940.

Undoubtedly the work for which the Walrus (affectionately known as the Shagbat) will be best remembered was air/sea rescue, serving in this role with Nos 269, 275, 276, 278, 281 and 282 Squadrons at stations in the United Kingdom, and with Nos 283, 284, 292 and 294 Squadrons in the Middle East. Called out in any weather, day or night, Walrus air/sea rescue aircraft frequently alighted in enemy coastal waters to pick up ditched Allied airmen from their dinghies, sometimes putting down in minefields where rescue launches could not venture. With their curious pusher engine nacelle located between the wings (and angled off centre), the sight of a Walrus to a shot-down airman meant the difference between rescue and years in a prison camp. The Walrus was slowly replaced in service from 1944 onwards by the tractor Mercury-powered Sea Otter from the same stable, although No. 624 Squadron was re-formed at Grottaglie in Italy in December that year with Walrus aircraft for mine-spotting duties. A total of 740 Walrus aircraft was built, production of the Walrus Mk I with metal-clad hull being terminated at Supermarine after 287 had been completed; thereafter production was switched to Saunders-Roe who built 453 Walrus Mk II aircraft with wooden hulls before finally ending in January 1944.

### Specification

Supermarine Walrus Mk II  
**Type:** three/four-crew shipboard observation and air/sea rescue amphibian flying-boat  
**Powerplant:** one 775-hp (578-kW) Bristol Pegasus VI nine-cylinder air-cooled radial  
**Performance:** maximum speed 200 km/h (124 mph) at sea level; initial climb rate 320 m (1,050 ft) per minute; service ceiling 5640 m (18,500 ft); range 965 km (600 miles)  
**Weights:** empty 2223 kg (4,500 lb); maximum take-off 3266 kg (7,200 lb)  
**Dimensions:** span 13.97 m (45 ft 10 in); length 11.45 m (37 ft 7 in); height 4.65 m (15 ft 3 in); wing area 56.67 m² (610 sq ft)  
**Armament:** one 7.7-mm (0.303-m) machine-gun on open bow position, plus up to 227 kg (500 lb) of bombs or depth charges on underwing racks (shipboard version only)
Supermarine Stranraer

Designed to the same specification, R.243/31, as the Saro London, the Supermarine Stranraer was a twin-engine biplane flying-boat. It was designed to provide a single step forward in the design of the flying-boat, and was generally preferred by those crews who were able to compare the two types. The prototype, originally known as the Singapore V, was powered by Bristol Pegasus HIM radials driving two-blade wood propellers and first flew in mid-1935, but was immediately renamed Stranraer. It also underwent comparative trials with the London on No. 210 Squadron in October and November 1935, during which it was found to be somewhat underpowered. Production deliveries of aircraft powered by a pair of Pegasus X radials driving a three-blade Fairey Reed metal propeller started December 1936, and the type was declared operational in April the following year with No. 228 Squadron at Pembroke Dock, remaining with this squadron until April 1939. In December 1938 Stranraers joined No. 209 Squadron at Felixstowe, and later moving to Invergordon and Oman for patrols over the North Sea until supplanted by the ill-fated Lerwick the following year. No. 240 Squadron was the only other RAF Coastal Command squadron to fly the Stranraer, converting to the aircraft in June 1940 at Pembroke Dock for short-range patrol work over the Western Approaches; the Stranraers were eventually replaced by Catalina boats in March 1941. Although RAF Stranraers did not serve at overseas stations, a total of 40 aircraft was licence-built by Canadian-Vickers between 1939 and 1941, and served in the coastal reconnaissance/anti-submarine role with the RCAF until finally replaced by the Consolidated Consolado (Catalina) during 1943.

Specification
Supermarine Stranraer
Type: seven-crew coastal reconnaissance flying-boat
Powerplant: two 920-hp (686-kW) Bristol Pegasus X nine-cylinder air-cooled radials
Performance: maximum speed 241 km/h (150 mph) at sea level; initial climb rate 411 m (1,350 ft) per minute; service ceiling 5,640 m (18,500 ft); range 1,069 km (1,000 miles)
Weights: empty 5,103 kg (11,250 lb); maximum take-off 8,618 kg (19,000 lb)
Dimensions: span 21.91 m (85 ft 0 in); length 6.63 m (21 ft 9 in); height 6.06 m (20 ft 0 in); wing area 135.36 m² (1,475 sq ft)
Armament: single hand-held 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine gun in open bow, rudder and tail positions, plus up to 454 kg (1,000 lb) of bombs, mines or depth charges carried on underwing racks

Short Sunderland

The graceful Short C-class 'Empire' flying-boat, ordered for Imperial Airways in 1927, marked the greatest single step forward in the design of the flying-boat and, with the issue of a military specification, R.253, for a four-engine monoplane reconnaissance flying-boat, it was perhaps logical to adapt the new airliner to meet this requirement. The first prototype Short Sunderland was flown in October 1937 and was followed only eight months later by the first production Sunderland Mk I aircraft. By the outbreak of war four squadrons, Nos. 204 at Sullom Voe, No. 210 at Pembroke Dock, No. 228 returning to the UK from Egypt and No. 230 at Singapore, had been equipped with the Sunderland Mk I. The big boat was quickly in the news when, on 21 September 1939, two aircraft of Nos. 204 and 228 Squadrons rescued the entire crew of the torpedoed merchantman Kensington Court. In January 1940 a Sunderland scuttled itself on sighting an aircraft of No. 228 Squadron. Some 75 Sunderland Mk Is were produced and went on to equip Nos 95, 201 and 270 Squadrons before the Sunderland Mk II with Pegasus XVIII radials and ASV Mk II radar was introduced at the end of 1941, a year which saw Sunderlands carrying to safety hundreds of British troops during the evacuation of Greece and Crete. A total of 55 Sunderland Mk Is were built by Short Bros and Blackburn, equipping Nos. 119, 201, 202, 204, 228 and 230 Squadrons. The Sunderland Mk II introduced a new planing bottom to the hull, the less pronounced forward step giving better unstick characteristics; 407 of this version (including the Sunderland Mk IIIA with ASV Mk III radar) were produced by the same two manufacturers and joined Nos. 95, 109, 201, 202, 204, 280, 230, 246, 270, 330 and 343 Squadrons. Late in 1943 the final production version, the Sunderland Mk V with Pratt & Whitney engines and ASV Mk Vc radar, started to appear; 145 examples of this version were produced, and by the end of the war Sunderlands equipped no fewer than 28 RAF squadrons the world over.

Early in the war this fine aircraft had earned the German nickname Stachelschwein (porcupine) on account of its ability to defend itself with its bristling machine-guns, and indeed the Sunderland gained an impressive war record, often having to engage U-boats on the surface (and sinking many of them), and being engaged by enemy fighters and other aircraft. Yet for all its spectacular achievements, the Sunderland's real contribution to the war at sea lay in the long, monotonous patrols far out over the oceans in company with the UK's shipping convoys, when the mere presence of the big boat was enough reason to discourage many a U-boat commander from launching an attack.

Specification
Short Sunderland Mk V
Type: 10-crew long-range maritime reconnaissance flying-boat
Powerplant: four 1,200-hp (895-kW) Pratt & Whitney R-1830-90 Twin Wasp 14-cylinder air-cooled radials
Performance: maximum speed 349 km/h (213 mph) at 1,525 m (5,000 ft); climb to 3,660 m (12,000 ft) in 163 minutes; service ceiling 5,445 m (17,900 ft); normal range 4,765 km (2,960 miles)
Weights: empty 16,738 kg (36,900 lb); maximum take-off 27,216 kg (60,000 lb)
Dimensions: span 34.36 m (112 ft 11½ in); length 26.00 m (85 ft 3½ in); height 10.52 m (34 ft 6 in); wing area 138.14 m² (1,478 sq ft)
Armament: two fixed forward-firing 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns, two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns each in bow and dorsal turrets, and four 7.7-mm (0.303-in) intailturret, plus a bombload of up to 2,250 kg (4,960 lb) of bombs, mines or depth charges on retractable racks in hull sides
Originally conceived in 1934 as a very-long-range reconnaissance flying-boat, the Blohm und Voss Ha 138 VI prototype was first flown on 15 July 1937 as a shoulder gull-wing flying-boat with twin tail booms and three Jumo 205C engines. Directional instability and poor water handling characteristics in the prototype caused extensive redesign in the pre-production version, the Bv 138A-0, of which six were built with a considerably enlarged hull and an ungulled wing.

The first 25 production Bv 138A-1 aircraft flew in April 1940, taking a limited part in the invasion of Norway, and entered general service in western France late that year. Considerable structural strengthening was found to be necessary and this was incorporated in the Bv 138B-1, 14 of which emerged from the production line in December, and seven more in 1941, powered by 880-hp (656-kW) Jumo 205D engines. A new turret was introduced mounting a single MG 151 20-mm cannon forward of the pilot's cockpit and another in the rear of the hull. The Bv 138Bs were very active in 1941, particularly those based in Norway after the sailing of the first North Cape convoys. Trouble had been experienced with the Bv 138B-1's engines and propellers, however, and an improved version, the Bv 138C-1, in which all the previous problems were eliminated, began appearing in March 1941; 227 of this version were built before production was terminated midway through 1943. In this model the centre Jumo 205D drove a four-blade propeller and provision was made for increased bombloads. The most successful exponents of the Bv 138C were probably the crews of Küstenfliegergruppe 406 based in northern Norway, this unit being responsible for much of the successful locating and shadowing of the North Cape convoys, particularly PQ 16 in April 1942. Some aircraft were equipped with FuG 200 Hohentwiel search radar for anti-shipping duties, while in the transport role the Bv 138 could carry up to 10 passengers. All versions could be fitted with two 500-kg (1,102-lb) thrust assisted take-off rockets, and a number of redundant Bv 138B-1S, redesignated Bv 138MS, were fitted with a large durai hoop energized by an auxiliary generator for magnetic mine clearance with the Mmensuchsgruppe.

Specification
Blohm und Voss Bv 138C-1
Type: five-crew long-range maritime reconnaissance flying-boat
Powerplant: three 880-hp (656-kW) Junkers Jumo 205D 12-cylinder inline diesel engines
Performance: maximum speed 285 km/h (177 mph) at 3000 m (9,845 ft); normal service ceiling 5000 m (16,405 ft); maximum range 4300 km (2,672 miles)
Weights: empty 11780 kg (25,970 lb); maximum take-off 17670 kg (38,995 lb)
Dimensions: span 26.94 m (88 ft 4 in); length 19.85 m (65 ft 1 in); height 5.90 m (19 ft 4 3/4 in); wing area 112.00 m² (1,205.56 sq ft)
Armament: one 20-mm MG 151 cannon each in bow and stern turrets, one 13-mm MG 131 machine gun in open position atft of central engine, and one 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG 15 gun in hatch of starboard side of hull, plus up to four 150-kg (331-lb) depth charges or equivalent weight of bombs on six racks

Nicknamed the Flying Clog (der fliegende Holschuh; -reflected in its unit badge - this Bv 138C-1/U1 of I.(F)/SSGr 130 was based at Trondheim, Norway, in April 1944 and sports a temporary winter camouflage.

The curious three-engined Blohm und Voss Bv 138C-1 suffered lengthy development problems but eventually emerged as an effective aircraft. It was chiefly employed in the Baltic and against the North Cape convoys to the Soviet Union.
Largest flying-boat to achieve production status during World War II, the six-engine Blohm und Voss Bv 222 Wiking was designed in 1936 to provide Deutsche Lufthansa with a 24-passenger airliner for the North and South Atlantic routes, but it was not until 7 September 1940 that the first prototype Bv 222 VI was first flown by Flugkapitän Helmut Wasa Rodig. Flying characteristics were pronounced good and the first operation for the Luftwaffe was flown by a civilian crew between Hamburg and Kirensk, Norway, on 10 July 1941. Usually escorted by a pair of Messerschmitt BF 110 fighters the Bv 222 V1, with six Bramo Faafnir radials, then started flying regular supply missions across the Mediterranean for German forces in North Africa. Several narrow escapes from Allied fighters emphasized the need for some defensive armament and the second and subsequent prototypes included a number of gun positions, while the Bv 222 V1 was fitted with seven single 7.92-mm (0.31-in) and 13-mm (0.51-in) machine-guns, and under each wing a gondola mounting a pair of the latter. The Bv 222 V3 featured gun turrets on top of the wing between the outboard engines, each with a 20-mm cannon. By March 1943 a total of seven transport prototypes had been completed, all with armament variations; all served with Lufttransportstaffel See 222 (LTS See 222) in the Mediterranean, three being lost (two shot down by fighters and one sunk after striking a buoy while landing at Athens). The remaining aircraft, the Bv 222 V2, Bv 222 V3, Bv 222 V4 and Bv 222 V5, were converted for maritime reconnaissance and served with Fliegerführer Atlantik, with the V2 and V3 having the FuG 200 search radar; the Bv 222 V2 and Bv 222 V5 were destroyed at their moorings at Biscarosse by Allied fighters in the Bay of Biscay in the following October. Another was hit by strafing Mustangs at Travemunde; the Bv 222 V2 was destroyed during the Allied reoccupation of Norway; two others were sunk by their crews at the end of the war, two were flown to the USA and one was returned to the UK after the end of hostilities.

Specification
Blohm und Voss Bv 222C-0
Type: 11/14-crew long-range reconnaissance and transport flying-boat
Powerplant: six 1,000-hp (746-kW) Junkers Juno 207C 12-cylinder diesel inline engines
Dimensions: span 46.00 m (150 ft 11 in); length 37.00 m (121 ft 4% in); height 10.90 m (35 ft 9 in); wing area 255.00 m² (3,745 sq ft)
Weights: empty 30680 kg (67,367 lb); maximum take-off 50000 kg (110,265 lb)
Performance: maximum speed 300 km/h (186 mph) at 5000 m (16,405 ft); initial climb rate 144 m (472 ft) per minute; service ceiling 7300 m (23,950 ft); range 6100 km (3,790 miles)
Armament: one 13-mm (0.51-in) machine-gun in bow position, one 20-mm cannon each in forward dorsal turret and in two overwing turrets, and four 13-mm (0.51-in) machine-guns in cabin windows; in the transport role

The considerable size of the Bv 222C is evident from this photo of what is probably one of the prototypes. The struts on top and sides of the nose are the mountings for the FuG 200 search radar antennae. The Bv 222 could carry about 92 fully-armed troops.

Culmination of a series of successful pre-war flying-boats, which had begun as the Dornier Wai (whale) in the 1920s and progressed through the transatlantic mailplanes (the Monsun, Zyklon, Zephir, Pamparo and Aeolus), the Dornier Do 18D was the first military adaptation of this attractive aeroplane. Powered by a pair of liquid-cooled 600-hp (448-kW) Junkers Jumo 205C diesel inline engines mounted in tandem in a nacelle on the high-mounted wing, the Do 18D-1 and Do 18D-2 started appearing in 1938 and were operational in September that year, it becoming customary to equip the second Staffel on each of the Luftwaffe’s Küstenfliegergruppen (coastal patrol groups) with the Do 18 (eg 2./KüFlGr 306), the others usually flying Heinkel He 59 floatplanes. Armament of these aircraft comprised a single 7.92-mm (0.31-in) machine-gun in an open bow position and another in a midships position. In 1939 a more powerful version, the Do 18C-1 with a pair of 880-hp (656-kW) Jumo 205Ds, was introduced with a 13-mm (0.51-in) MG 131 heavy machine-gun in the open bow position and a power-operated gun turret amidships mounting...
were equipping Küstenfliegergruppen in 1936 to meet a Royal Netherlands naval air service requirement for a flying-boat to operate in the East Indies. The Do 24 was a large parasol-wing monoplane with three engines on the wing and with Flossenbooten (sponsons) for stability on the water. A total of 49 Do 18Gs was produced during 1940, but manufacture was terminated in September that year after fewer than 50 Do 18s, including 70 Do 24s, had been completed. During the Battle of France six Staffeln were flying Do 18s, but in June 1940 most were withdrawn for conversion to the Do 18H dual-control trainer and the Do 18N-1 airsea rescue versions. During the Battle of Britain only 2/KüFlGr 106 was still fully operational with the Do 18, the majority of work being confined to airsea rescue in the English Channel. However, 3/KüFlGr 106 returned to operations and continued to fly Do 18s over the North Sea until 1942, when the Do 18s were replaced by Blohm und Voss Bv 138s.

Another graceful Dornier flying-boat was the Dornier Do 24, originally designed in 1936 to meet a Royal Netherlands naval air service requirement for a flying-boat to operate in the East Indies. The Do 24 was a large parasol-wing monoplane with three engines on the wing and with Flossenbooten (sponsons) for stability on the water. The first flight by a prototype Do 24, powered by three 890-hp (664-kW) Wright R-1820 Cyclone radial engines, was made on 3 July 1937, this aircraft being licence production by Aviolanda/de Scheide accounted for 25 further aircraft before the invasion by Germany of 10 May 1940; many of these Dutch aircraft subsequently saw service in the Pacific theatre and six eventually found their way into the Royal Australian Air Force. Meanwhile the aircraft that had been partly completed in The Netherlands were transferred to Germany, and under the designation Do 24N-1 were completed and issued to the Luftwaffe for air-sea rescue duties. Production in The Netherlands was resumed in 1941 under German supervision, 16 maritime reconnaissance/transport derivatives (the Do 24T-1 and Do 24T-2) being completed that year. In 1942 the French seaplane manufacturer, Chantiers Aéro-Maritimes de la Seine (CAMS), then outside German control, joined the Do 24T production programme and produced 46 examples to add to the 154 from The Netherlands. Some of the French-built aircraft had not been completed at the time of the German retreat from France in 1944 and these were subsequently delivered to the French navy, whose Flottille 9F Tr was formed on 5 December that year to operate them.

In Luftwaffe service the Do 24N served on three Staffeln of the Seenotstaffeln (air-sea rescue group) at Bremen, near Marseilles, and at Biscarrosse. The 2. and 3./KG 200 (which flew Focke-Wulf Fw 200s on long distance maritime patrols) also flew a small number of Do 24Ns for rescue purposes, as did the small semi-autonomous ASR flights under command of the Seenotdienstfuhrer. In Luftwaffe service the Do 24N served on threeStaffeln of the Seenotstaffeln (air-sea rescue group) at Bremen, near Marseilles, and at Biscarrosse. The 2. and 3./KG 200 (which flew Focke-Wulf Fw 200s on long distance maritime patrols) also flew a small number of Do 24Ns for rescue operations.

The Dornier Do 24 entered service over the Mediterranean in 1941, performing airsea rescue duties in the Malta-Sicily area. This Do 18G of 3./KG 200 was flown by a crew from 8. Seenotstaffel, SBKX11 operating in the Black Sea area during 1942. The Do 24 was especially suitable for the airsea rescue role due to its unrivalled rough-water capabilities.
Owing much to current American and French flying-boat design of the mid-1930s, the large four-engine Kawanishi Type 97 parasol monoplane flying-boat, which had first flown in July 1936, was Japan’s only in-service long-range reconnaissance flying-boat when that nation went to war in December 1941, much effort having been dissipated in transport conversions and deliveries to Japan’s commercial operators in the Pacific. The H6K1 initial military version entered limited service with the Imperial Japanese Navy in 1938, and was followed by 10 H6K2-flying-boats. The first major production version, the H6K4 was powered by four Mitsubishi Kinsei 43 radii and armed with four 7.7-mm (0.303-m) machine-guns in bow and midships positions and a 20-mm cannon in a tail turret, and was capable of carrying two 800-kg (1,764-lb) bombs or torpedoes, a total of 66 being in service at the time of Pearl Harbor; later aircraft were powered by four Kinsei 51 rotary engines and could carry 800-kg (1,764-lb) bombs or torpedoes. The earlier versions were armed with four 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns in bow, dorsal and tail turrets, and in the pilot’s cockpit, the overall armament was increased to five 20-mm cannon and four 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns, of which 112 were built between 1943 and 1945, when production gave place to the greatly superior H8K, H6Ks served with the 6th, 14th, 801st, Toko and Yokohama Kokutais, and some of the H6K5s were employed as naval staff transports throughout the Pacific in 1943. Eighteen aircraft served on the commercial courier services in South East Asia, a number of them being destroyed by Allied aircraft both in the air and at their moorings.

Specification
Kawanishi H6K
Type: nine-crew maritime reconnaissance flying-boat
Powerplant: four 1,300-hp (970-kW) Mitsubishi Kinsei 53 14-cylinder-air-cooled radii.
Performance: maximum speed 385 km/h (239 mph) at 6000 m (19,685 ft); climb to 5000 m (16,405 ft) in 13 minutes 24 seconds; service ceiling 9600 m (31,495 ft); maximum range 6775 km (4,210 miles)
Weights: empty 12380 kg (27,293 lb); maximum take-off 23000 kg (50,705 lb)
Dimensions: span 40.00 m (131 ft); length 25.63 m (84 ft 0 in); height 6.27 m (20 ft 6 in); wing area 170.00 m² (1,829.86 sq ft)
Armament: four 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns in front and midships dorsal positions and two beam blisters, and one 20-mm cannon in tail, plus a bombload of up to 2000 kg (4,409 lb) or two 800-kg (1,764-lb) torpedoes.

Kawanishi H8K
Although only 167 examples were produced, the large Kawanishi H8K was the most outstanding and advanced flying-boat of the war as far as production status and design was concerned. Designed to meet a requirement issued in 1938 for a four-engine maritime reconnaissance flying-boat superior to the British Short Sunderland, the H8K1 prototype was first flown in January 1941, but proved initially to possess very poor water handling qualities. Extensive modifications were made and after successfully completing its service trials the aircraft was ordered into production as the Navy Type 2 Flying-Boat Model 11, powered by four 1530-hp (1141-kW) Mitsubishi Kasei 11 or 12 radii. Armament of these early aircraft comprised two 20-mm cannon and four 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns. With armour protection, self-sealing fuel tanks and a maximum speed of 433 km/h (269 mph), they were extremely vulnerable to the need for air transportation of Japanese troops during the swift conquest of the East Indies and elsewhere. A number of aircraft, designated H6K4-L, were therefore converted for transport duties and were each able to accommodate about 18 fully-armed troops; lacking armour and self-sealing fuel tanks, however, they were extremely vulnerable to fighter attack and, after a number had been shot down, a new version entered production as the H6K5 in August 1942; by that time the maritime reconnaissance version had been given the reporting codename ‘Mavis’ by the Allies, the transport derivative being named ‘Tillie’. Powered by either Kinsei 51 or 53 radii, the H6K5 was intended to eliminate the shortcomings of the earlier versions, but although the open bow gun position was replaced by a single-gun turret immediately aft of the pilot’s cockpit, the overall armament was not increased. Only 36 H6K5s were completed by 1943, when production was halted to concentrate on the greatly superior H8K, H6K5s served with the 8th, 14th, 801st, Toko and Yokohama Kokutais, and some of the H6K5s were employed as naval staff transports throughout the Pacific in 1943. Eighteen aircraft served on the commercial courier services in South East Asia, a number of them being destroyed by Allied aircraft both in the air and at their moorings.

Specification
Kawanishi H8K
Type: 10-crew maritime reconnaissance flying-boat
Powerplant: four 1,850-hp (1380-kW) Kasei 22 radii and armament increased to five 20-mm cannon and four 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns, of which 112 were built between 1943 and 1945 when production gave place to the greatly superior H8K, H8Ks served with the 6th, 14th, 801st, 851st, 1001st, 1021st, Takuma, Toko, Yokohama and Yokosuka Chinfuji Kokutais.
Performance: maximum speed 433 km/h (269 mph) at 5000 m (16,405 ft); climb to 5000 m (16,405 ft) in 13 minutes 24 seconds; service ceiling 9600 m (31,495 ft); maximum range 6775 km (4,210 miles)
Weights: empty 18380 kg (40,521 lb); maximum take-off 25000 kg (55,650 lb)
Dimensions: span 38.00 m (124 ft 8 in); length 25.63 m (84 ft 0 in); height 6.27 m (20 ft 6 in); wing area 170.00 m² (1,829.86 sq ft)
Armament: four 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns in front and midships dorsal positions and two beam blisters, and one 20-mm cannon in tail, plus a bombload of up to 2000 kg (4,409 lb) or two 800-kg (1,764-lb) torpedoes.

A captured Kawanishi H8K2, which had previously been flown by the 801st Kokutai over the Pacific and was later extensively evaluated by the US Navy. The aircraft's maximum range of 4,445 miles (7200 km) was impressive by any standards.
While pursuing a course of isolationism the United States had recognized during the mid-1930s the spectre of world war, the possibility of such a war being waged on the oceans bordering the North American continent encouraging interest by the US Navy in the evolution of large maritime reconnaissance bombers. On 27 July 1936 the Consolidated Aircraft Corporation was contracted to design and build such an aircraft. Designated Consolidated PB2Y-1, the resulting design was a big four-engine shoulder-wing monoplane with single fin and rudder and retracting wing-tip stabilizing floats. First flown on 17 December 1937, the prototype disclosed serious directional instability in the air and much to be desired in handling on the water, and in due course the tail unit was redesigned to incorporate a pair of circular endplate fins and rudders. After trials with the US Navy, six production PB2Y-2 aircraft were ordered and entered service with US Navy Patrol Squadron VP-13 on 31 December 1940. These aircraft, lacking armour and self-sealing fuel tanks, were largely confined to trials but a new version, the PB2Y-3, of which 210 were produced, started delivery in 1941 with 907.2-kg (2,000 lb) of armour plate as well as self-sealing fuel tanks; they also featured enlarged ‘zulu shield’ fins and rudders that were a characteristic of the Consolidated B-24 Liberator bomber. Ten of these aircraft were transferred to the Royal Air Force whose Transport Command flew them as Consolidated Coronado Mk I freighters on a North Atlantic service with No. 231 Squadron. An American transport version was designated PB2Y-3R, 31 examples being converted to accommodate up to 45 passengers or a 7258-kg (16,000-lb) freight load. For relatively low altitude work some PB2Y-3s were re-engined with R-1830-92s for overseas patrol work below 3050 m (10,000 ft) under the designation PB2Y-5, and an ambulance version which served in the Pacific as the PB2Y-5R. Other distinctive features of the PB2Y-3 and PB2Y-5 versions included the rearward extension of the rear hull chine to improve water stability and handling. In the event the Coronado was not widely used in the maritime reconnaissance role as preference grew for use of land-based aircraft such as the Consolidated PB4Y-1 which required no specialist training in water operation, while the excellent Consolidated PB4Y-1 Catalina remained unequalled for long distance ocean reconnaissance.

Specification
Consolidated PB2Y-3
Type: 10-crew maritime reconnaissance bomber flying-boat
Powerplant: four 1,200-hp (895-kW) Wright R-1830-92 Cyclone 14-cylinder air-cooled radials
Performance: maximum speed 359 km/h (223 mph) at 6095 m (20,000 ft); initial climb rate 174 m (570 ft) per minute; service ceiling 6250 m (20,500 ft); maximum range 3815 km (2,370 miles)
Weights: empty 18568 kg (40,935 lb); maximum take-off 30845 kg (68,000 lb)
Dimensions: span 35.05 m (115 ft 1 in); length 24.20 m (79 ft 3 in); height 8.38 m (27 ft 6 in); wing area 175.4 m\(^2\) (1,780 sq ft)
Armament: twin 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns each in bow, dorsal and tail turrets, and two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) guns in beam turrets, plus a bombload of up to eight 445-kg (1,000-lb) bombs internally and four 445-kg (1,000-lb) bombs or two torpedoes externally; transport version furnished to carry up to 45 passengers

The Consolidated PB2Y-3 Coronado entered service late in the war with increased armament, armour and self-sealing fuel tanks; its bomb bays were located in the relatively thick wing roots.

USA
Consolidated PB2Y Coronado

Stung to competition by the success of the PBY Catalina from Consolidated, the US Navy’s other great flying boat manufacturer came up with a twin-engine shoulder-wing monoplane boat, the Martin Model 162, in 1937. Featuring a deep hull and twin fins and rudders, the prototype XMPB-1 was first flown on 18 February 1939 with a 1,600-hp (1,194-kW) Wright Cyclone R-2600-6 radius mounted at the crank of the gull wing so that the propellers were clear of the spray. Twenty PB2Y-1 aircraft had been ordered for the US Navy before the end of 1937 and these entered service with Patrol Squadron VP-74 during 1941. Further orders for 379 PB2Y-3 Mariner aircraft were placed in 1940, these and all later aircraft having the underwater stabilizing floats fixed instead of being retractable as in the earlier aircraft; these floats were also lengthened to accommodate a 907.2-kg (2,000-lb) load of ordnance. Variants included 50 unarmored PB2Y-3R transports, and 175 PB2Y-3S designed primarily for air-sea rescue duties as anti-submarine version, the PBM-3S, was re-engined with R-1830-92s for relatively low altitude work some PB2Y-3s were re-engined with R-1830-92s for overseas patrol work below 3050 m (10,000 ft) under the designation PB2Y-5, and an ambulance version which served in the Pacific as the PB2Y-5R. Other distinctive features of the PB2Y-3 and PB2Y-5 versions included the rearward extension of the rear hull chine to improve water stability and handling. In the event the Coronado was not widely used in the maritime reconnaissance role as preference grew for use of land-based aircraft such as the Consolidated PB4Y-1 which required no specialist training in water operation, while the excellent Consolidated PB4Y-1 Catalina remained unequalled for long distance ocean reconnaissance.

Specification
Consolidated PB4Y-1 Catalina
Type: 10-crew maritime reconnaissance flying-boat
Powerplant: four 1,200-hp (895-kW) Wright R-1830-92 Cyclone 14-cylinder air-cooled radials
Performance: maximum speed 359 km/h (223 mph) at 6095 m (20,000 ft); initial climb rate 174 m (570 ft) per minute; service ceiling 6250 m (20,500 ft); maximum range 3815 km (2,370 miles)
Weights: empty 18568 kg (40,935 lb); maximum take-off 30845 kg (68,000 lb)
Dimensions: span 35.05 m (115 ft 1 in); length 24.20 m (79 ft 3 in); height 8.38 m (27 ft 6 in); wing area 175.4 m\(^2\) (1,780 sq ft)
Armament: twin 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns each in bow, dorsal and tail turrets, and two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) guns in beam turrets, plus a bombload of up to eight 445-kg (1,000-lb) bombs internally and four 445-kg (1,000-lb) bombs or two torpedoes externally; transport version furnished to carry up to 45 passengers

The big Consolidated PB2Y Coronado four-engined flying-boat, seen here with ASV radome above the cockpit, featured retractable wingtip floats like those of its forebear, the Catalina.

Representing a later generation of maritime patrol flying-boats than the PBY Catalina, the Martin PB4Y Mariner nevertheless never achieved the widespread popularity and use of its predecessor. The aircraft shown here served with US Navy Patrol Squadron VP-74 in 1942.
Outstanding among parasol monoplane flying-boats, Isaac Laddon's Consolidated PBY was originally ordered by the US Navy as far back as October 1933, and was first flown with a pair of 825-hp (615-kW) Pratt & Whitney R-1830-58 radials on 28 March 1935. Among its distinctive features were the stabilizing floats which, when retracted, formed the wing tips. Production orders followed quickly and the PBY-1 entered service with more powerful R-1830-64 engines with Patrol Squadron VP-HF in October 1936. The next year the modified PBY-2 joined the US Navy, followed by the PBY-3 with 1,000-hp (746-kW) engines. The PBY-4, which appeared in 1938, featured the large midship's 'blister' gun positions that were to become a well-known characteristic of the Catalina, as the boat came to be named. The outbreak of World War II brought orders from the UK, Australia, Canada and the Dutch East Indies for a new version, the PBY-5 with 1,200-hp (895-kW) R-1830-92 radials, and by the date of the USA's entry into the war the US Navy possessed 16 PBY-5 squadrons, three of PBY-3s and two of PBY-4s. Following tests with a retractable tricycle wheel landing gear in last PBY-4, the final 33 US Navy PBY-5s were completed in this amphibian form, as were 761 PBY-5A aircraft. Following early successful use of the PBY-5 by the RAF's Coastal Command in 1941 as the Catalina Mk I, large orders continued to be placed for the US Navy, additional production being undertaken by Canadian Vickers and Boeing of Canada. A total of more than 500 examples eventually served with the RAF alone, while in Canadian service the PBY-5 was named the Canso. Another version, the PBN-1, was produced by the Naval Aircraft Factory with taller fin and rudder, and 138 of the 156 built were supplied to the USSR. 235 PBY-6A amphibians with search radar mounted over the cockpit were built, of which 112 were delivered to the US Navy. 75 to the USAAF (as the OA-10B) and 48 to the USSR. Production of this classic aeroplane, which ended in April 1945, included 2,398 by Consolidated and 892 by NAF and the Canadian manufacturers, plus an unknown number built in the Soviet Union under the designation GST. Among the Catalina's memorable achievements were the successful shadowing of the German battleship Bismarck which led ultimately to the warship's destruction, and the magnificent trailing of the Japanese fleets in the early stages of so many of the great naval battles in the Pacific.

Specification
Consolidated PBY-5A
Type: seven/nine-crew maritime reconnaissance flying-boat amphibian
Powerplant: two 1,200-hp (895-kW) Pratt & Whitney R-1830-92 Twin Wasp 14-cylinder air-cooled radials
Performance: maximum speed 288km/h (180mph) at 2,135m (7,000ft); climb to 3,050m (10,000ft) in 19 minutes 18 seconds; service ceiling 4,480m (14,700ft); maximum range 4,095km (2,545 miles)
Weights: empty 9,485kg (20,910lb); maximum take-off 16,067kg (35,420lb)
Dimensions: span 31.70m (104ft 0in); length 19.45m (63ft 10in); height 6.15m (20ft 2in); wing area 130.06m² (1,400sqft)

An ASV-equipped Consolidated Catalina IVA (PBY-5A) of RAF Coastal Command. Although generally regarded as under-powered, the 'Cat' gave magnificent service with the RAF, its very long endurance bestowing a capability to cover huge areas of ocean.

Armament: two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns in bow turret, one 12.7-mm (0.5-in) gun in each beam blister, and one 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-gun in ventral tunnel, plus a bombload of up to 1,814kg (4,000lb) of bombs, mines or depth charges, or two torpedoes

Above: An early Catalina of a RAF Coastal Command training unit. The Catalina is armed with four depth charges for an operational sortie.

Below: Catalina MKI B (PBY-5B) in RAF service. The extensive radar aerial arrays on fuselage and wings were fitted by Scottish Aviation or Saunders Roe after delivery from the American factory. Note the retractable wingtip floats.
The Cant Z.501 Gabbiano (gull) light reconnaissance flying-boat was serving in fairly large numbers with the Regia Aeronautica when Italy entered World War II in June 1940. Designed by Filippo Zappata in the early 1930s, the prototype was first flown in 1934 at Monfalcone, Trieste, and later in that year established a new world seaplane distance record of 4120 km (2,556 miles) with a nonstop flight from Monfalcone to Massawa in Eritrea. In July the following year the Gabbiano raised the record to 4957 km (3,080 miles) by flying from Monfalcone to Berbera in Somaliland.

The Z.501 entered production in 1935 and the first deliveries were made to the maritime reconnaissance squadrons (squadriglie da riconoscimento marittima) of the Regia Aeronautica the following year. Of all-wood construction with fabric-covered control surfaces, the Z.501 was a parasol monoplane with its single Isotta Fraschini 12-cylinder liquid-cooled inline engine with semi-annular cowling in a long nacelle on the wing above the fuselage. A curious feature was the location of an enclosed gun position with single 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Breda-SAFAT machine-gun in this nacelle; early production aircraft were also armed with single machine-guns in part-enclosed bow and midships positions; later aircraft had the bow gun removed and the observer's cockpit in the bows fully enclosed. Bomb shackles were fitted at the intersection of the wing and float struts and these were capable of carrying up to four 160-kg (353-lb) or two 250-kg (551-lb) bombs.

The planing bottom of the hull was also of unusual design being of concave form. The Z.501 entered production in 1935 and the first deliveries were made to the maritime reconnaissance squadrons (squadriglie da riconoscimento marittima) of the Regia Aeronautica when Italy entered World War II in June 1940. Designed by Filippo Zappata in the early 1930s, the prototype was first flown in 1934 at Monfalcone, Trieste, and later in that year established a new world seaplane distance record of 4120 km (2,556 miles) with a nonstop flight from Monfalcone to Massawa in Eritrea. In July the following year the Gabbiano raised the record to 4957 km (3,080 miles) by flying from Monfalcone to Berbera in Somaliland.

In June 1940 202 Cant Z.501s were in service with the Regia Aeronautica, flying patrols along the Adriatic coasts as well as in the central Mediterranean, where they performed air-sea rescue and other short-range maritime duties. More than 40 known instances are on record of encounters with Allied aircraft and many were shot down, although they were also involved in the rescue of several ditched RAF aircraft. After the armistice with Italy in September 1943 19 Cant Z.501s continued to serve in the Italian Co-Belligerent Air Force, while others went on flying with the Aviazione della RSI.

Specification
Cant Z.501 Gabbiano
Type: four/five-crew light reconnaissance flying-boat
Powerplant: one 900-hp (671-kW) Isotta Fraschini Asso XIR-2C, 15 12-cylinder liquid-cooled inline engine
Performance: maximum speed 275 km/h (171 mph) at 2500 m (8,200 ft); climb to 4000 m (13,125 ft) in 16 minutes; service ceiling 7000 m (22,965 ft); maximum range 2400 km (1490 miles)
Weights: empty 3850 kg (8,488 lb); maximum take-off 4245 kg (9,359 lb)
Armament: single 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun in bow, engine nacelle and dorsal positions, plus a bombload of up to 640 kg (1,411 lb)
Dimensions: span 22.50 m (73 ft 9% in); length 14.30 m (46 ft 11 in); height 4.40 m (14 ft 6 in); wing area 62.00 m² (667.36 sq ft)

Despite its archaic appearance, the Cant Z.501 Gabbiano gave long service in the Mediterranean, the example shown here serving with the 2° Escadrilla, CrupNo. 62, Agrupacion Española (the Spanish Nationalist Air Force) at Majorca in 1939.

The Beriev Be-2 (MBR-2) was a short range flying-boat and had its inline engine mounted above the wings, driving a pusher propeller. This Soviet navy example carries an unusual winter camouflage scheme.

Weight: maximum take-off 4245 kg (9,359 lb)
Dimensions: span 19.00 m (62 ft 4 in); length 13.50 m (44 ft 1% in); wing area 55.00 m² (592 sq ft)
Armament: one hand-held 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-gun in open bow position and one 7.62-mm (0.3-in) gun in midships dorsal turret, plus up to 300 kg (661 lb) of bombs, mines or depth charges on overhanging racks.

The Beriev MBR-2 was a short range flying-boat and had its inline engine mounted above the wings, driving a pusher propeller. This Soviet navy example carries an unusual winter camouflage scheme.

Weight: maximum take-off 4245 kg (9,359 lb)
Dimensions: span 19.00 m (62 ft 4 in); length 13.50 m (44 ft 1% in); wing area 55.00 m² (592 sq ft)
Armament: one hand-held 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-gun in open bow position and one 7.62-mm (0.3-in) gun in midships dorsal turret, plus up to 300 kg (661 lb) of bombs, mines or depth charges on overhanging racks.
Seaplanes served in a wide variety of roles in all major theatres of war. A Japanese floatplane launched from a submarine dropped the only bombs to hit the US mainland; more significantly, seaplanes reconnoitred for many German commerce raiders, including Bismarck and Atlantis, and directed their long-range naval gunfire.

A German seaplane, the Arado A 196, about to leave the ship Prinz Eugen. Used to mount sea patrols and intercept Allied ASW missions, they also facilitated ship-to-shore communications.

With hindsight as J-Vsomething of an anachronism, the float seaplane was flown with varying success by all the major powers during World War II, performing all manner of tasks from active combat to clandestine roles such as delivering agents to hostile coastlines. Of all the major warring nations, however, the UK employed this type of aircraft least and was the first to discard it, most of its usual duties being more conveniently performed by carrierborne aircraft, flying-boats or even long-range land-based aircraft. Indeed in the Royal Navy the Swordfish and Fairey Seafox seaplanes survived in service only in the traditional role of gunnery spotting with cruisers and capital ships until superseded for ever by the advent of radar relatively early in the war. It was perhaps ironic that four other seaplanes, the German Heinkel He 115, the French Latecoere 298, and the American Vought Kingfisher and Northrop N-3PB Nomad, gave more extensive service with the British forces than did the indigenous types. The great maritime powers, the UK, the USA and Japan, all employed floatplanes aboard their capital ships, as did Germany and Italy, the use of these planes being mainly confined to limited sea patrols and ship-to-shore communications.

However, whereas all-out efforts were made by the UK and the USA to bridge the Atlantic with flying-boats and long-range land-based aircraft to counter the depredations of enemy surface raiders and submarines, the Pacific’s vast expanse encouraged widespread use of floatplanes, particularly by the Japanese; indeed the only bombs dropped by aeroplanes on the USA during the war were two light bombs from a Yokosuka E14Y1 carried by a Japanese submarine to within range of the American mainland.

The US Navy was equipped with a variety of floatplanes, including the Curtiss SOC Seagull and Grumman J2F Duck biplanes and the Curtiss S03C, SC-1 Seahawk and Vought OS2U Kingfisher monoplanes. Of all these the venerable Seagull probably enjoyed the most illustrious service career, being present in the actions at Guadalcanal, Wake, Gilbert and Marshall Islands, and also serving aboard American warships in the Atlantic and Mediterranean until 1944.
Latécoère 298

Most widely used of a dozen French floatplane types that were in service in 1939, the Latécoère 298 saw considerable action during the Battle of France the following year. Of all-metal construction, this robust twin-float aircraft was intended for service with the seaplane carrier Commandant Teste and made its maiden flight on 8 May 1936, and by the beginning of World War II a total of 81 aircraft had been ordered, of which 53 had been delivered. Most aircraft (Late 298A machines with fixed wings) were serving with Escadrilles T1 at Berre and T2 at Cherbourg, while about 17 Late 298B and Late 298D aircraft with folding wings and fixed wings respectively, were with Escadrilles HB 1 and HB 2 aboard the Commandant Teste. Another 65 Late 298s were ordered on 22 November, a further escadrille, T3, having been formed on 15 September; T4 was to be formed on 15 January.

When the German attack in the West opened on 10 May 1940 the French navy possessed some 60 Late 298s in front-line service; all were now shore-based as the Commandant Teste had been relegated to other duties, roughly half the force being based on the Channel Coast and the remainder in the Mediterranean. In the early stages of the Battle of France the Late 298s were flown as cover for the Allied occupation of Walcheren, and were forced to evacuate Boulogne on 21 May, thereafter engaging in dive and level bombing attacks on the advancing German columns; on 23 May 15 of the seaplanes disarmed a number of key bridges in northern France with 500-kg (1,102-lb) bombs, losing four aircraft to enemy fire. Losses began to mount so that by 3 June the number of serviceable Late 298s stood at 27, and it was deemed prudent to confine their attacks to night sorties, although a daylight attack was carried out by Late 298s of T2 against enemy columns near Abbeville on 6 June. Seven other aircraft were lost before the armistice but about 30 aircraft (including the survivors of T2) made their way to Luc d’Oubeira in Algeria.

Production was reinstated in 1942 by the Vichy government, some 30 Late 298F aircraft (similar to the Late 298D) being built. Units of the Vichy air force in North Africa continued to fly the Late 298s throughout 1942-3, and at least two escadrilles flew alongside the RAF in the Mediterranean until 1944 when French forces once more regained their autonomous identities.

Specification
Latécoère 298D
Type: two/three-seat torpedo-bomber and bomber floatplane
Powerplant: one 656-kW (880-hp) Hispano-Smza 12Ycrs-l inline piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 290 km/h (180 mph) at 200 m (656 ft); climb to 1500 m (4,920 ft) in 5 minutes 42 seconds; service ceiling 6000 m (21,325 ft); range with maximum warload 800 km (497 miles)
Weights: empty 3071 kg (6,770 lb);
A Latécoère 298 of the Vichy French air force passes a German Dornier Do 24 on the Aegean coast. Themost widely-used French floatplane in 1940, Late 298s were also flown by two escadrilles of the Free French. maximum take-off 4800 kg (10,582 lb)
Dimensions: span 26.50 m (86 ft); length 12.56 m (41 ft 2.5 in); height 5.23 m (17 ft 1.9 in); wing area 31.6 m² (340.15 sq ft)
Armament: two fixed-forward firing 7.5-mm (0.295-in) machine-guns in the wings and one 7.5-mm (0.295-in) trainable machine-gun in the rear cockpit, plus 500 kg (1,102 lb) of bombs or one 670-kg (1,477-lb) torpedo, or depth charge

Latécoère 298

France's largest operational floatplane of the war was the Cant Z.506, an example of which was forced down at Monello beach, Sicily, in November, 1943.

Cant Z.506B Airone

Largest float seaplane to give widespread operational service during World War II (although arguably the convertible Junkers Ju 52/3mW might lay claim to this accolade), the Italian Cant Z.506B Airone (heron) three-engine twin-float reconnaissance bomber was developed from the commercial Z.506A in 1936. Production of the military aircraft starting the following year with a batch of 32 aircraft (Serie I) and differing from the earlier model in featuring a long central gondola accommodating bomb bay, bomb-aimer’s station and a rear ventral gun position; a semi-retractable gun turret was also added.

The early Z.506B aircraft were evaluated with the Aviazione Legionaria in Spain during 1939, 30 other aircraft having also been ordered by the Polish naval wing (in the event only one of the latter had arrived in Poland when the Germans invaded in September, and the remaining aircraft were taken on charge by Italy’s Regia Marina). By the date of Italy’s entry into the war in June 1940 the Z.506B was in full production, 95 aircraft having been completed by the parent company. Most of these were serving with the 31° and 35° Stormi Bombardamento Marittimo at Elmas and Brindisi respectively; these units were fairly heavily engaged during the campaign in Greece, although they seldom operated when likely to be opposed by RAF fighters. They participated in the capture of Corfu, Cefaloma and Zante, and attempted to shadow British naval forces after the Battle of Cape Matapan but sheered away when faced by Fleet Air Arm Fairey Fulmar fighters. Thereafter the Airone was almost entirely withdrawn from use as a bomber and torpedo attack aircraft, the Italian navy calling for its greater use in maritime reconnaissance, air-sea rescue, convoy escort and anti-submarine patrol roles; such had been the shift in naval superiority in the Mediterranean following the debacle at Taranto and the Battle of Cape Matapan.

Development and production of the Airone continued, with small modifications being introduced with each new production batch (serie), of which Serie XII was the most important. A special air-sea rescue version was the Z.506S (Succorso), this version being also used in small numbers by the Luftwaffe. After the Italian surrender 23 Z.506B and five Z.506S aircraft were flown to Allied ports and subsequently flew with the Co-Belligerent Air Force’s Raggruppamento Idro, performing transport and other second-line tasks.

Specification
Cant Z.506B Serie XII
Type: five-seat bomber and torpedo-bomber floatplane
Powerplant: three 559-kW (750-hp) Alfa-Romeo 126RC 34 radial piston engines
Performance: maximum speed 350 km/h (217 mph) at 4000 m (13,125 ft); climb to 4000 m (13,125 ft) in 20 minutes 6 seconds; service ceiling 7000 m (22,965 ft); range 2000 km (1,243 miles)
Weights: empty 8750 kg (19,290 lb); maximum take-off 12705 kg (28,010 lb)
Dimensions: span 35.00 m (115 ft 0 in); length 19.24 m (63 ft 1.5 in); height 7.54 m (24 ft 1.9 in); wing area 86.26 m² (928.53 sq ft)
Armament: one 12.7-mm (0.5-in) trainable machine-gun in the dorsal position, and three 7.7-mm (0.303-in) trainable machine-guns in the two beam and one ventral positions, plus a bombload of 1200 kg (2,646 lb) or one 800-kg (1,764-lb) torpedo

The largest operational float plane of the war was the Cant Z.506, an example of which was forced down at Monello beach, Sicily, in November, 1943.
Although the attractive Arado Ar 196 twin-float seaplane was frequently encountered by Allied aircraft around the coasts of Europe during World War II, it had originally been developed to replace the Heinkel He 60 float biplane aboard Germany's larger warships whose construction was advancing apace during the late 1930s. Of all-metal structure with metal and fabric covering, the Ar 196 was by all accounts an extremely pleasant aeroplane to fly, the crew being afforded excellent fields of view. After first flights by the four prototypes in 1938 (of which one featured a single central float and small underwing outrigger floats), the first-service deliveries of the Ar 196A-1 were made in July 1939, in time to embark examples in the pocket battleships Deutschland and Admiral Graf Spee before they sailed for their war stations in August. During the following six weeks 18 Ar 196s were embarked in the battlecruisers Scharnhorst and Gneisenau, the pocket battleship Admiral Scheer, the heavy cruiser Hipper, and the light cruisers Emden, Kölne, Königsberg, Leipzig and Nürnberg at Wilhelmshaven.

The Deutschland made constant use of her aircraft during her early foray into the Atlantic (which resulted in the sinking of nine merchantmen), as did the Scharnhorst and Gneisenau during their sortie northwards late in November, but the Graf Spee did not attempt to launch her aircraft during the Battle of the River Plate because of the difficulty of its recovery during the chase by the British cruisers: in any case her guns were apparently adequately served by radar. During the pursuit of the battleship Bismarck in May 1941, which led ultimately to her destruction, at least two Ar 196s were launched in attempts to prevent RAF Consolidated Flying Boats from shadowing the warship. In 1940 the Ar 196A entered service with Luftwaffe coastal units throughout northern Europe, and an aircraft of Küstenfliegergruppe 706 attacked and damaged the submarine HMS Seal in the Kattegat, leading to the boat's capture by the Germans. Although several Ar 196s were shot down by the RAF during the Battle of Britain, most losses were attributable to storms at their anchorages. In 1941-2, flown from French bases, they were used to intercept RAF Coastal Command anti-submarine patrols over the Bay of Biscay, their pilots claiming more than a dozen victories. Total production amounted to 593 aircraft.

**Specification Arado Ar 196A-3**

Type: two-seat shipborne and coastal patrol seaplane

Powerplant: one 723-kW (970-hp) BMW 132K radial piston engine

Performance: maximum speed 310 km/h (193 mph) at 4000 m (13,125 ft); climb to 3000 m (9,845 ft) in 8 minutes 42 seconds; service ceiling 7020 m (23,030 ft); range 1070 km (665 miles)

Weights: empty 2335 kg (5,148 lb); maximum take-off 3303 kg (7,282 lb)

Dimensions: span 12.40 m (40 ft 8.2 in); length 11.00 m (36 ft 1.1 in); height 4.45 m (14 ft 7.2 in); wing area 28.3 m² (304.62 sq ft)

Armament: two fixed forward-firing 20-mm cannon and one fixed forward-firing 7.92-mm (0.31-in) machine-gun, and two 7.92-mm (0.31-in) trainable machine-guns in the rear cockpit, plus provision for two 50-kg (110-lb) bombs under the wings

**Arado Ar 196s were embarked on several of Germany’s major warships, including the ‘Scharnhorst’, ‘Deutschland’and ‘Hipper’ classes. This example is embarked on the heavy cruiser Admiral Hipper.**

*Designed to replace the Heinkel He 60 floatplane aboard German warships, the Arado Ar 196 was pressed into service with Luftwaffe coastal units in 1940. Flying from French bases during 1941 and 1942, they intercepted ASW patrols mounted by RAF Coastal Command and claimed over a dozen victories.*
Left: An Arado Ar 196A-3 of Fliegergruppe 196, based on the Lofoten Islands in February 1944. A pleasant machine to fly, the Ar 196 afforded excellent fields of vision and achieved considerable success. One aircraft of Kustenfliegergruppe 706 crippled the submarine HMS Seal, leading to the boat's capture by the Germans.

Right: Arados were exported to two of Germany's Balkan allies; this aircraft belongs to Romanian Escadrilla 102, operating from the Black Sea port of Odessa in 1943. Others served with the Royal Bulgarian airforce's 161st Coastal Squadron, based at Varna.
Netherlands

Fokker T.VIII-W

Designed in 1937 to replace ageing reconnaissance/torpedo-bomber biplanes in service with the Dutch Marine Luchtvlaardienst (MLD), the twin-engine twin-float Fokker T.VIII-W seaplane was of mixed wood and metal construction and accommodated a three-man crew. The aircraft, initially powered by Wright Whirlwind radiais, was considered to be very underpowered, but plans to introduce Bristol Mercury engines were effectively overtaken by the German invasion of the Netherlands. The T.VIII-W entered service with the MLD in 1939 and by the time of the German attack the following May 11 aircraft had been delivered (including one that had been shot down in error by the Luftwaffe). Quickly realizing the futility of flying the seaplanes in the presence of the Luftwaffe’s fighters, the MLD ordered the nine serviceable aircraft to be flown to French bases on the Channel coast, one aircraft being used to fly two members of the Dutch government to the UK. Arriving in France on 12 May, the T.VIII-Ws flew a number of patrols over the Channel during the following 10 days, but such operations lacked cohesion and purpose as there remained little unified command of the rapidly dwindling air forces in northern France. Therefore, on 22 May, the MLD ordered all surviving Dutch aircrew to fly their aircraft to the UK, a total of eight T.VIII-Ws eventually assembling at Pembroke Dock in South Wales where, on 1 June, these crews formed the nucleus of No. 320 (Dutch) Squadron of the RAF. For two months the Fokkers (carrying the British serials AV598–AV696) flew anti-shipping patrols over the Western Approaches until an increasing lack of spares forced the withdrawal of the Dutch seaplanes in favour of Avró Ansons and Lockheed Hudsons, which were flown from Carew Cheriton.

In the meantime Fokker had, at the time of the German invasion, been producing a larger version of the aircraft, the T.VIII-W/C, for Finland; this aircraft possessed a top speed some 72 km/h (45 mph) faster than the MLD version. In all the Germans took over 20 partially-completed T.VIII-Ws and five T.VIII-W/Cs, these aircraft subsequently being completed by Fokker and entering service with the Luftwaffe on anti-shipping and air-sea rescue duties over the North Sea.

Specification

Fokker T.VIII-W

Type: three-seat reconnaissance and torpedo-bomber seaplane

When the German pocket battleship Admiral Graf Spee was being hunted down in the South Atlantic during November 1939, Seafires were in constant use by the British cruisers, and during the Battle of the River Plate, the light cruiser HMS Ajax launched one of her two aircraft for gunnery spotting, although difficulty was experienced with the air-to-ship radio contact. Later the Seafax crew kept a watch on Montevideo harbour while the Graf Spee was seeking shelter from British warships before her scuttling. Seafaxes continued to serve at sea until 1943, two aircraft being lost when the Ajax-class cruiser HMS Orion was severely damaged by German air attack during the evacuation of Crete on 28 April 1941.

Specification

Fairey Seafax

Type: two-seat light fleet reconnaissance floatplane

When the German pocket battleship Admiral Graf Spee was being hunted in the South Atlantic during November 1939, Seafaxes were in constant use by the British cruisers, and during the Battle of the River Plate, the light cruiser HMS Ajax launched one of her two aircraft for gunnery spotting, although difficulty was experienced with the air-to-ship radio contact. Later the Seafax crew kept a watch on Montevideo harbour while the Graf Spee was seeking shelter from British warships before her scuttling. Seafaxes continued to serve at sea until 1943, two aircraft being lost when the Ajax-class cruiser HMS Orion was severely damaged by German air attack during the evacuation of Crete on 28 April 1941.

Specification

Fairey Seafax

Type: two-seat light fleet reconnaissance floatplane

Powerplant: one 295-sKW (395-hp) Napier Rapier VI inline piston engine

Performance: maximum speed 200 km/h (124 mph) at 1785 m (5,860 ft); climb to 1525 m (5,000 ft) in 10 minutes; service ceiling 3355 m (11,000 ft); range 708 km (440 miles) with 45 minutes fuel on board; maximum take-off weight 5000 kg (11,023 lb); empty weight 1726 kg (3,805 lb); wing area 44.00 m² (473.6 sq ft)

Weights: empty 1726 kg (3,805 lb); maximum take-off 5000 kg (11,023 lb)

Dimensions: span 18.00 m (59 ft 0.7 in); length 12.19 m (39 ft 9.1 in); height 5.00 m (16 ft 4.9 in); wing area 44.00 m² (473.6 sq ft)

Armament: one fixed forward-firing machine-guns, plus 605 kg (1,334 lb) of bombs or one torpedo
Heinkel He 59

The German's use of float seaplanes to deliver combat troops into action is believed to have been unique during World War II, and principally involved the large Heinkel He 59 twin-engine two-float seaplane, a three-seat maritime reconnaissance and air-sea rescue floatplane. The Heinkel He 115 twin-engine two-float seaplane was also almost certainly the best such aircraft to serve with any air force in the world during World War II. Designed in competition with the Blohm and Voss Ha 140, the He 115 was ordered into production, the He 115A-1 version joining the Luftwaffe in 1938 (being followed by the He 115A-2, of which six were exported to Norway in 1939). In September 1939 about 60 He 115A and He 115B aircraft (the latter with increased fuel capacity) were serving with the Küstenfliegergruppen. Apart from some reconnaissance work over the Baltic during the Polish campaign, their first important task involved sea-air rescue, and during the initial phase they were extremely active all round the coast of the UK, on the lookout for downed German aircrew, but when it became apparent that despite deploying prominent Red Crosses the aircraft were being used to shadow and report British convoys, orders were given to RAF pilots to shoot them down en masse; no fewer than 31 He 59s (11 of them from Seenotflugkommando 3, based at Boulogne) were lost during the Battle of Britain, with seven others badly damaged. Against this more than 400 German airmen were recovered from the sea round the UK.

Specialist versions included the He 59D-1 air rescue floatplane, the He 59D-2 and He 59N series trainers, the He 59E-1 torpedo trainer and He 59E-2 long-range reconnaissance aircraft. Air-sea rescue He 59s continued to serve in the Mediterranean and Aegean Sea during 1941. This particular aircraft was operated by KGrzbV 108 from northern Norway most of this unit's He 115s were pressed into use for coastal patrol and air-sea rescue, and during the initial phase the aircraft were used to deliver assault parties into the Fjords. During the German assault on the West on 10 May 1940 12 He 59s of the Staffel Schwilben transported 120 troops to the banks of the River Maas to capture the key bridge at Rotterdam, losing four aircraft to the Dutch defences.

Before the end of the Battle of Britain the first examples of the He 115C series were in service with increased defensive armament while the He 115C-2, introduced in 1941, featured strengthened floats to allow operation from snow and ice surfaces. The He 115C-3 and He 115C-4 were respectively specialist minelaying and torpedo bombers, the latter being flown against the North Cape convoys. Production was halted in 1941 when operations in the USSR made more pressing demands for other aircraft. In 1943 production was resumed and 141 He 115E multipurpose aircraft were delivered to the Luftwaffe in the following year; some 115Cs and 61 ICEs were armed with single forward-firing MG 15-20 mm cannon under the nose for flak-suppression during torpedo attacks. At the end of the Norwegian campaign three of that country's He 115A-2s and a captured He 115B-1 were flown to the UK, where they were evaluated by the RAF before being committed to clandestine operations between the UK and Norway, and in the Mediterranean for carrying agents into enemy-occupied territory in North Africa. Total production was about 500 aircraft.

Specification

Heinkel He 115C-1
Type: three-seat minelaying, torpedo-bombing and reconnaissance floatplane
Powerplant: two 716-kW (960-hp) BMW V16.02U V-12 piston engines
Performance: maximum speed 520 km/h (323 mph) at 3000 m (9840 ft); climb to 1000 m (3,280 ft) in 5 minutes 6 seconds; service ceiling 3475 m (11,400 ft); range 1750 km (1,087 miles)
Weights: empty 5000 kg (11,023 lb); maximum take-off 9100 kg (20,062 lb)
Dimensions: span 23.70 m (77 ft 9 in); length 17.40 m (57 ft 1 in); height 7.10 m (23 ft 3.5 in); wing area 192.5 m² (1,644.78 sq ft)
Armament: three 7.92-mm (0.31-in) trainable machine-guns (one each in the bow, dorsal and ventral positions), plus a load of up to 1000 kg (2,205 lb) of bombs and/or mines or one torpedo
Kawanishi NIK Kyofu

Anticipation of a need for single-seat float-equipped interceptor seaplanes prompted the Imperial Japanese Navy to initiate a development programme for such aircraft in 1940, the Nakajima A6M2-N floatplane adaptation of the famous Mitsubishi A6M2 Zero being intended as a stopgap until a purpose-designed aircraft could be introduced. This was to be the highly-imaginative and attractive Kawanishi NIK Kyofu (mighty wind), whose design was started in September of that year. Featuring central floats and twin wing-mounted stabilizing floats, the new prototype retained the same gun armament as the A6M2 but was powered by a 1060-kW (1,430-hp) Kasei 14 radial engine driving two-blade contrarotors in an attempt to counter the torque-induced swing on take-off. The wing-mounted floats were originally intended to be retractable but design problems led to these being fixed. The Kyofu's first flight occurred on 6 May 1942, the Niko pilots being enthusiastic about the performance, although expressing misgivings over the tricky take-off characteristics. In the air, with its combat flaps, the Kyofu handled beautifully and possessed excellent manoeuvrability. At a time (the end of 1942) when the Zero naval fighter had effectively won air superiority for the Japanese in the Pacific, the NIK1 was ordered into production, but the delivery rate was slow to accelerate and fortunes changed rapidly during 1943. Thus in December of that year, with only 15 aircraft being completed each month and Japanese offensive initiative dwindling, it was decided to end production of the aircraft, and in March 1944 the last of 89 Kyofus was delivered to the service.

Specification
Kawanishi NIK1
Type: single-seat interceptor fighter floatplane
Powerplant: 1060-kW (1430-hp) Mitsubishi MK4C Kasei 14 radial piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 489 km/h (304 mph) at 5700 m (18,700 ft); climb to 5000 m (16,405 ft) in 3 minutes 30 seconds; service ceiling 10600 m (34,775 ft); range 1050 km (652 miles)
Weights: empty 2725 kg (6,006 lb); maximum take-off 3712 kg (8,184 lb)
Dimensions: span 14.50 m (47.69 ft); length 11.30 m (37 ft. 0.9 in); height 4.75 m (15 ft. 7 in); wing area 23.5 m
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Japanese carriers. As it was, when the Americans launched their first strike, the pilots found the decks of the carriers Apple and Shokaku so congested with aircraft which should have been attacking the American fleet.

In all, it is estimated that by mid-1943 more than 250 EISA Is were at sea aboard Japanese ships, though their presence was severely curtailed whenever American fighters were in evidence. Nevertheless they continued to serve right up to the end of the war, many of the pilots being used in suicide attacks on the huge American invasion fleets closing on the Japanese homeland.

Specification
Aichi EISA
Type: three-seat reconnaissance floatplane
Powerplant: one 790-kW (1060-hp) Mitsubishi Kinsei 43 radial piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 377 km/h (234 mph) at 2180 m (7,155 ft); climb to 3000 m (9,845 ft) in 6 minutes 5 seconds; service ceiling 8730 m (28,640 ft); range 2089 km (1,298 miles)
Weights: empty 2642 kg (5,825 lb); maximum take-off 4000 kg (8,818 lb)
Dimensions: span 14.50 m (47.69 ft); length 11.30 m (37 ft. 0.9 in); height 3.47 m (11 ft. 5.5 in); wing area 36.0 m
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Aichi EISA 'Jake' of the Imperial Japanese Navy is seen in the early wartime colour scheme that would have been worn at sea aboard the fleet's cruisers and battleships.

JAPAN
Roughly equivalent to the American Curtiss SOC Seagull observation float biplane, the smaller Mitsubishi F1M was of more compact and neater design, its development starting about two years later in 1934. First flown in June 1936, the F1M embodied all the efforts of its designers to achieve an exceptionally clean aerodynamic shape, including low-drag float mountings, single interplane struts and all-metal construction, only the control surfaces being fabric-covered. The early aircraft displayed poor water handling and a lack of in-flight directional stability, however, but after fairly extensive alterations the production F1M2 emerged as a thoroughly efficient aircraft, acceptable in all respects.

Initial production by Mitsubishi, which got under way in 1938, amounted to 524 aircraft before it was transferred to the 21st Naval Air Arsenal (Dai-Nijuichi Kaigun Kokusho) at Sasebo, where a further 490 were built. In due course the F1M2 equipped all but one of the K-Maru (6,900-ton) and S-Maru (7,200/8,300-ton) classes of converted merchant seaplanes, as well as many other vessels. The larger Mitsubishi F1M2s were present at the Battle of Midway, two aircraft being launched from the battleship Kirishima (but being lost when the Japanese scuttled the sorely-crippled ship at the end of the Battle of the Solomons). The great superbattleships Musashi and Yamato each carried several such aircraft for their 460-mm (18.1-in) gun armament.

In due course the F1M2 equipped all the major Japanese warships, accompanying every seaborne attack by Japanese forces, providing gunnery spotting during preliminary bombardment by supporting warships and subsequently serving as covering fighters (and even dive-bombers) once the assault forces were ashore. It was also flown on convoy escort duties with the many supply convoys sailed by the Japanese during the mid-war period. In the last stages of the war, the type was committed to the unequal task of defending the Japanese homeland from the devastating American raids, serving alongside 'Rex' and 'Rufe' seaplane fighters with the Otsu Kokutai in 1945.

 Specification Mitsubishi F1M2

**Type:** single-seat interceptor fighter

**Powerplant:** one 611-kW (820-hp) Mitsubishi Hikari 1 radial piston engine

**Performance:**
- maximum speed: 370 km/h (230 mph) at 3440 m (11,285 ft)
- climb to 5000 m (16,405 ft)
- range: 740 km (460 miles)

**Weights:**
- empty: 1928 kg (4,251 lb)
- maximum take-off: 2380 kg (5,242 lb)

**Dimensions:**
- span: 12.00 m (39 ft 4.6 in)
- length: 9.50 m (31 ft 2 in)
- height: 4.00 m (13 ft 1.5 in)
- wing area: 22.44 m² (241.54 sq ft)

**Armament:** two fixed forward-firing 20-mm cannon and two fixed forward-firing 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns, plus provision for two 60-lb (27-kg) bombs under the wings.

Unlike the reconnaissance types carried by major Japanese surface units, the Mitsubishi F1M Pete was an observation aircraft, designed for such tasks as gunnery direction, but was rarely used for that purpose.

JAPAN

Mitsubishi A6M2-N

JAPAN

Nakajima A6M2-N

specification

**Type:** single-seat interceptor fighter floatplane

**Powerplant:** one 708-kW (950-hp) Nakajima NK1C Sakae 12 radial piston engine

**Performance:**
- maximum speed: 435 km/h (270 mph) at 5000 m (16,405 ft)
- climb to 3000 m (9,845 ft) in 3 minutes 54 seconds

**Weights:**
- empty: 1912 kg (4,215 lb)
- maximum take-off: 2800 kg (6,349 lb)

**Dimensions:**
- span: 11.00 m (36 ft 1.1 in)
- length: 9.70 m (31 ft 10 in)
- height: 3.74 m (12 ft 3.3 in)
- wing area: 22.10 m² (237.97 sq ft)

**Armament:** two fixed forward-firing 20-mm cannon and two fixed forward-firing 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns, plus provision for two 60-lb (27-kg) bombs under the wings.

Until the purpose-designed NIK could be produced, the Japanese navy acquired a stopgap floatplane fighter in the Nakajima adaptation of Mitsubishi’s famed A6M ‘Zero’.
**USA**

**Curtiss SOC Seagull**

At the climax of its Service life the Curtiss SOC Seagull scout-observation seaplane was serving aboard every battleship, cruiser and carrier in the US Navy, as well as a destroyer, a seaplane carrier and two gunboats, with a US Marine Corps squadron and at a US Coast Guard station. It had entered production in 1935 having been designed by the Douglas X2D-1 and Vought XSOU-1 in competition, and on 12 November that year the first operational SOC-1 was assigned to the light cruiser USS Marblehead. Subsequent versions were the SOC-2, SOC-3 and SOC-4 (the SOC-2A and SOC-3A being fitted with arrester gear) and the SON-1 produced by the Naval Aircraft Factory.

Featuring interchangeable wheel and float landing gear (in the latter configuration it was fitted with single central float and outboard wing floats), the SOC replaced Vought O2U-1s and O3U-1s, and was used to spot for the fleet's big guns, increasing the accuracy of the main gun armament of the US Navy's battleships. Each such battle ship embarked three or four SOCs, the heavier cruisers four and the light cruisers two. Flagships usually carried an additional Seagull for use by the force commander. Production contracts, totalling 304 aircraft for the US Navy (plus three for the US Coast Guard), had been placed by the end of 1939, with two years 279 were in service, including 83 aboard the battleship divisions of the Battle Fleet and 63 with the cruiser divisions of the Scouting Force; there were also 30 SOCs with the Atlantic Squadron and 15 with Carrier Divisions One and Two in the Pacific.

During the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor nine embarked SOCs and 13 ashore were listed as destroyed, and although no SOC was directly involved in the great Battle of Midway about 20 Seagulls undertook scouting sorties before the Solomon campaign, flying with Task Force 61. They were still extremely active in 1943, particularly in the Wake, Marshalls and Gilberts campaigns. Although by 1943 most of the 150-odd aircraft still surviving in service were usually equipped with wheel landing gear aboard American escort carriers, some cruisers still continued to carry the floatplanes, and these were present at the American landings in North Africa in November that year. The Curtiss SO-3C Seawem monoplane had been introduced to replace the SOC in 1942, but this later machine proved disappointing and, although a greater number was produced, it was the old Seagull that remained in US Navy service longer, surviving up to the end of 1944.

**Specification**

**Curtiss SOC-1 Seagull**

*Type*: two-seat scout and observation seaplane

*Powerplant*: one 447-kW (600-hp) Pratt & Whitney R-1340-18 Wasp radial piston engine

*Performance*: maximum speed 253 km/h (157 mph) at sea level; climb to 1525 m (5,000 ft) in 5 minutes 84 seconds; service ceiling 4540 m (14,900 ft); range 1535 km (954 miles)

*Weights*: empty 1591 kg (3,508 lb); maximum take-off 2466 kg (5,437 lb)

*Dimensions*: span 10.97 m (36 ft 0 in); length 9.65 m (31 ft 8 in); height 4.29 m (14 ft 1 in); wing area 26.01 m² (280 sq ft)

*Armament*: one fixed forward-firing 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-gun and one 12.7-mm (0.5-in) trainable machine-gun in the rear cockpit, plus two 45-kg (100-lb) bombs under the wings

**USA**

**Curtiss SC-1 Seahawk**

The Curtiss SC-1 Seahawk monoplane was unique among American scout seaplanes of World war II in being a relatively high-performance single-seater with an almost fighter-like speed. It was almost the last of a long line of aircraft in the scout-observation category built by Curtiss to serve aboard American battleships and cruisers. Like its immediate predecessor, it featured a single large central float with stabilizing wing-tip floats, these being replaceable by fixed wheel landing gear for shore base operation.

Subject of Curtiss design proposals, the SC-1 Seahawk was accepted by US Navy letter of intent on 30 October 1942 and prototypes were ordered on 31 March 1943. The first of two SC-1 aircraft made its first flight on 16 February 1944, by which time production orders for 500 SC-1s had been placed. Production deliveries started in the late summer that year, the aircraft being completed with wheel landing gear for delivery to shore depots; the Edo float assemblies, being purchased separately, were fitted to the aircraft according to fleet requirements; the first aircraft were shipped aboard transports to Australia late in 1944 for delivery to warships of the US 7th Fleet. The first aircraft was embarked in USS Guam on 22 October.

The Seahawk saw little operational service other than constant air-sea rescue patrols, this despite the provision of a smoke generator that cramped bunk in the rear fuselage limiting such rescues to single ditched airmen. In the relatively straightforward recovery of Borneo, however, which was regarded as something of a sideshow at the end of the war with Japan and which was opposed by only small numbers of Japanese aircraft, a few Sea hawks with the 7th Fleet were used for gunnery control during the preliminary bombardment before the seaborne landings. Some aircraft were said to have been used in the ‘attack’ category, the Seahawk being capable of carrying a pair of 45-kg (100-lb) bombs in a bay in the central float; for anti-submarine work the aircraft would mount an ASH radar set in the body under the fuselage, a 113-kg (250-lb) bomb under the port wing.

Total production of the Seahawk, before VJ-Day brought cancellation of outstanding orders, was 566 aircraft; nine examples of an improved two-seat version, the SC-2, were delivered to the US Navy in 1946.

**Specification**

**Curtiss SC-1 Seahawk**

*Type*: single-seat shipborne scout and air-sea rescue floatplane

*Powerplant*: one 1007-kW (1,350-hp) Wright R-1820-62 Cyclone radial piston engine

*Performance*: maximum speed 504 km/h (313 mph) at 8715 m (28,600 ft); climb to 3000 m (10,000 ft) in 4 minutes 6 seconds; service ceiling 11370 m (37,300 ft); range 1016 km (625 miles)

*Weights*: empty 2867 kg (6,320 lb); maximum take-off 4082 kg (9,000 lb)

*Dimensions*: span 12.50 m (41 ft 0 in); length 11.09 m (36 ft 4.5 in); height 3.49 m (11 ft 0 in); wing area 25.01 m² (280 sq ft)

*Armament*: two fixed forward-firing 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns, plus two 45-kg (100-lb) bombs under the wings

A Curtiss SC-1 Seahawk stands ready for launch on the catapult aboard the battleship USS West Virginia. In 1940 this scout-observation seaplane was shipped aboard every battleship, cruiser and carrier in the US Navy.
Displaying many of the traditional features of the American naval observation and scouting biplanes of the 1930s (radial engine, deep spacious cockpit, large 'glasshouse' over the rear cockpit and central main float), the Vought OS2U monoplane was the first military aircraft to employ spot welding in its primary structure.

Ordered in prototype form in 1937, the first XOS2U-1 made its maiden flight on 20 July of the following year, initial service deliveries being made in August 1940. The first aircraft to serve aboard an American battleship was embarked in USS Colorado. Of the 54 OS2U-1 floatplanes completed in that year the majority was distributed between the Pearl Harbor Battle Force, Alameda NAS Battle Force and the Pensacola naval air station.

Progressively improved OS2U-2 and OS2U-3 aircraft were delivered up to 1942, the latter being the most widely used version with increased fuel capacity and improved armour protection for the crew; a total of 1,306 was produced (including 300 OS2N-1 aircraft built by the Naval Aircraft Factory at Philadelphia). Apart from ships of the US Navy, the type equipped the Inshore Patrol Squadrons (which became exclusively equipped with the type), and OS2U-3s also served at Pensacola and Jacksonville naval air stations; their operational tasks included scouting for the fleet, gunnery spotting, anti-submarine patrol, ship-to-shore communications and rescue of ditched airmen, of whom Captain Eddie Rickenbacker (forced down in the South Pacific) was the most famous. The interchangeable float/wheel landing gear enabled them to operate from shore bases when necessary. There were even occasions when OS2U floatplanes were flown into action as dive-bombers.

The OS2U-3 was selected by the British Purchasing Mission in 1941, and 100 aircraft (FN650-FN749) entered service with the Fleet Air Arm as the Kingfisher Mk I. Some of these joined No. 703 Squadron and, equipped with floats, served aboard British armed merchant cruisers for sea patrol during operations to combat German blockade runners. Most aircraft were delivered direct to the Middle East and West Africa, where they found limited use for coastal patrol and air-sea rescue. Fourteen aircraft were also used as trainers in Jamaica; 20 others intended for British use were in fact delivered to the US Navy.

Specification

Vought OS2U-3
Type: two-seat shipborne observation and scout floatplane
Powerplant: one 336-kW (450-hp) Pratt & Whitney R-985-AN-2 or -8 Wasp Junior radial piston engine
Performance; maximum speed 264 km/h (164 mph) at 1675 m (5,500 ft); climb 1525 m (5,000 ft) in 12 minutes 6 seconds; service ceiling 3960 m (13,000 ft); range 1851 km (1,150 miles)
Weights; empty 1870 kg (4,123 lb); maximum take-off 2722 kg (6,000 lb)
Dimensions; span 10.95 m (35 ft 11 in); length 10.24 m (33 ft 7.25 in); height 4.60 m (15 ft 1 in); wing area 24.34 m² (262 sq ft)
Armament: one fixed forward-firing 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-gun and one 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-gun in the rear cockpit, plus 295 kg (650 lb) of bombs

A Kingfisher catapults from USS Texas in the Mediterranean, 1944. Texas was the first US battleship to launch aircraft after being fitted with a flying-offplatform while serving with the British Grand Fleet in 1918.

Below: Over 1,300 Kingfishers were manufactured and became the exclusive equipment of the Inshore Patrol Squadrons, as well as serving with the fleet in various roles.
The Grumman J2F was an attractive float biplane embodying a wheel landing gear that retracted into the sides on the central float, an ingenious arrangement successfully developed by Grumman in 1930 and incorporated in the JF-1 utility amphibian which served aboard the carrier USS Lexington with VS-3 from 1934 onwards. A development of this, which it closely resembled, was the slightly larger J2F, popularly known as the Duck, which was first flown on 25 June 1935. Some 89 J2F-1 aircraft were supplied to the US Navy, as well as accommodating a crew of two under a long canopy, the aircraft could carry two additional crew members or passengers side-by-side within the large faying that joined the central float to the fuselage. These aircraft also served aboard American carriers immediately before the war (being equipped with arrester gear), and were followed by 30 J2F-2 aircraft, of which nine J2F-2A variants with two 7.62-mm (.3-in) machine-guns and light bomb racks were produced for the US Marine Corps Squadron VMS-3 serving at St Thomas in the Virgin Islands.

Further minor changes resulted in 1942 J2F-3 aircraft (with Wright R-1820-36 engines) and 32 J2F-4 aircraft (with -30 engines) being produced, but the increasing risk of war prompted the US Navy to order 144 J2F-5 machines from Grumman at the end of 1940, powered by -50 engines. These aircraft undertook a multitude of utility tasks with the US Navy, including communications with off-shore vessels, target-towing, airsea rescue, ambulance and non-operational work. Several aircraft served with the US Coast Guard, and one was evaluated by the RAF as the OA-12 in the observation role.

Increasing pressure on the Grumman plant at Bethpage, New York, for production of Wildcat fighters caused production of the Duck (as the J2F-5 was now officially known) to be transferred to Columbia Aircraft’s Valley Stream factory when a new order for 332 J2F-6 bomber aircraft was placed immediately after Pearl Harbor. These, powered by 671-kW (900-hp) -54 engines, brought the total Duck production to 653, the last being completed in 1945. Most aircraft served at shore stations during the latter part of the war, their arrester gear being removed or omitted. They were also employed on anti-submarine patrol work, equipped to carry two 147-kg (325-lb) depth bombs.

**Specification**

**Grumman J2F-5 Duck**

- **Type:** three-seat utility amphibious floatplane
- **Powerplant:** one 634-kW (850-hp) Wright R-1920-50 Cyclone radial piston engine
- **Performance:** maximum speed 303 km/h (188 mph) at 960 m (3,100 ft); climb to 3050 m (10,000 ft) in 12 minutes 12 seconds; service ceiling 7315 m (24,000 ft); range 1255 km (780 miles)
- **Weights:** empty 1950 kg (4,300 lb); maximum take-off 3044 kg (6,711 lb)
- **Dimensions:** span 14.91 m (48 ft 11 in); length 10.36 m (34 ft 0 in); height 3.76 m (12 ft 4 in); wing area 38. Om2 (409 sq ft)
- **Armament:** one 7.62-mm (.3-in) trainable machine-gun in the rear cockpit.
Anti-Shipping Aircraft

Attacks against shipping around the war-zone coasts, and the incessant vigil against submarines, kept many aircraft busy throughout the war. These ranged from fighters to heavy bombers, all playing their part in denying the enemy freedom of the seas.

Of all the nations drawn into World War II during 1939 and 1940 none had a more pressing need for anti-shipping aircraft than the UK for, as a sea-girt power, her lifelines were critically vulnerable to attack from surface raider, submarine and aircraft alike. Yet all responsibility for defence against this threat was, as it had been for centuries, vested almost exclusively in the British Royal Navy. Lip service had been paid to the RAF with the provision of such aircraft as the Avrò Anson, while the Lockheed Hudson began arriving from America in 1939 to provide relatively long-range reconnaissance/attack muscle for Coastal Command.

As in so many of the wartime air forces, the emergence of the maritime strike role after the outbreak of hostilities brought about the demand for adaptation of obsolescent aircraft (fighters, bombers and even transports) to meet the operational requirements. The parameters of the requirements themselves were so broad (the equation involving long-range navigation accuracy over featureless oceans, precision of attack equipment and a wide assortment of weapons which included cannon, depth charges, bombs, rockets and torpedoes) that no single aircraft could be considered ideal. Moreover, beyond the scope of this section were the other important anti-shipping aircraft, the minelayers.

It may be said that premeditated anti-shipping operations, as distinct from long-range anti-submarine attacks which were usually the result of chance sightings during tedious ocean patrols, were confined largely to the 'narrow seas' around Europe, from the North Cape of Norway to the Mediterranean, although the US and Japanese air forces also engaged in anti-shipping operations in the Pacific, particularly during the latter part of the war, as did the RAF in the Bay of Bengal.

Ignoring the relatively fruitless efforts by Bristol Blenheims and Avrò Ansons in the early months, the RAF began to achieve worthwhile successes when such aircraft as the Vickers Wellington, Bristol Beaufort and Bristol Beaufighter arrived at Coastal Command, while in the Axis air forces the Dornier Do 217 and Junkers Ju 88 proved fairly effective, particularly in operations against the Allied North Cape convoys, in which the Heinkel He 111 also participated. In the Savoia-Marchetti S.M.79 the Italians also possessed an excellent torpedo bomber which was flown to good effect against British shipping in the Mediterranean.

The Americans, however, were caught largely unprepared for anti-shipping tasks and so relied heavily on adaptation of the Boeing B-17 and Consolidated B-24, the latter's very long range suiting it admirably for ocean patrol and long-distance attack. Likewise the Japanese, despite embarking on their far-flung Pacific campaign, had assumed that carrierborne attack bombers would embrace the majority of maritime strike operations. Such was the nature of the organization of the Imperial Japanese Navy, however, that from the first days of the Pacific war considerable dependence was placed on land-based anti-shipping bombers, and it was the Mitsubishi G3M that participated in the successful attack on the British capital ships HMS Prince of Wales and HMS Repulse, one of the war's most successful air strikes against major warships at sea.
Anachronistic relic of pre-war RAF expansion, the Avrò Anson was originally the result of a coastal reconnaissance aircraft requirement, and was developed from a six-seat commercial aircraft. It first flew on 24 March 1935 and, powered by Cheetah engines, the Anson Mk I entered service with No. 48 Squadron in March 1936, and was the first RAF aircraft with a retractable landing gear, albeit manually operated. The Anson subsequently served with 12 squadrons of Coastal Command up to the beginning of the war, when the first Lockheed Hudsons were just beginning to arrive from America. Nevertheless Ansons were retained on short-range coastal reconnaissance duties in diminishing numbers until 1942, occasionally having brushes with the enemy. By the beginning of the war, however, the Anson was already in use as an aircrew trainer for navigators, wireless operators and air gunners, and it was for this long and priceless service that the 'faithful Annie' is best remembered. Jacobs-and Wright-powered Anson Mk III and Anson Mk IV aircraft were shipped to Canada to equip the growing numbers of flying schools under the Commonwealth Air Training Scheme. Canadian manufacturers also producing the Anson Mks II, V and VI. Light transport conversions from the Anson Mk I resulted in the Anson Mks X, XI and XII, some of which were employed as air ambulances; the Anson Mk XI was powered by Cheetah XIX engines driving Fairey-Reed metal propellers, and the Anson Mk XII had Cheetah XVIs driving constant-speed Rotol propellers. Production, which continued after the war with the Anson CR Mk I of No. 220 Sqn, Coastal Command, in the overall silver pre-war paint scheme.

Avrò Anson CR MkI of No. 220 Sqn, Coastal Command, in the overall silver pre-war paint scheme.

Mks 19, 20, 21 and 22, reached a total of 11,020 aircraft, including 2,822 built in Canada.

Specification
Avrò Anson Mk I
Type: three-seat general reconnaissance aircraft
Powerplant: two 350-hp (261-kW) Armstrong Siddeley Cheetah IX radial piston engines
Performance: maximum speed 303 km/h (188 mph) at 2134 m (7,000 ft); initial climb rate 219 m (720 ft) per minute; service ceiling 5791 m (19,000 ft); range 1271 km (790 miles)
Weights: empty 2438 kg (5,375 lb); maximum take-off 3629 kg (8,000 lb)
Dimensions: span 17.22 m (56 ft 6 in); length 12.88 m (42 ft 3 in); height 3.99 m (13 ft 1 in); wing area 43.01 m² (463.0 sq ft)
Armament: one fixed forward-firing 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun in nose and one 7.7-mm machine-gun in dorsal turret, plus provision to carry up to 163 kg (360 lb) of bombs

To a large extent responsible for the defence against the German Blitz of 1940-1 as the RAF's first purpose-built night-fighter, the Bristol Beaufighter remained in service as such almost to the end of the war. Such was its performance, however, that in 1941 it also came to be developed, first as an intruder and, as a natural follow-on, a specialist anti-shipping strike aircraft. It was moreover no stranger to RAF Coastal Command, the Beaufighter Mk IC having been specially prepared as a long-range maritime fighter with additional navigation and radio equipment. The Beaufighter Mk VIC with 1,650-hp (1230.4-kW) Hercules VI or XVI radials featured for the first time an additional dorsal machine-gun to provide token defence against enemy fighters which Coastal Command squadrons frequently encountered over the Bay of Biscay. As early as March 1941 a Beaufighter Mk I underwent trials with a torpedo, and in September the following year another aircraft was fitted with 76.2-mm (3-in) rocket projectiles. Two months later the first Beaufighter Strike Wing was formed at Northolt, Middlesex, comprising No. 143 Squadron (Beaufighter fighters), No. 236 Squadron (Beaufighter fighters) and No. 384

Bristol Beaufighter TF MkX of No. 455 Sqn armed with eight underwing, unguided rockets.

Towards the end of the war, the unguided rocket became the major anti-shipping weapon, especially against the small targets often encountered in the Channel. These were usually ripple-fired.
Beauforts were particularly accurate against shipping, proving to be a steady platform during the diving attack. As well as serving in home waters, the Beaufighter had great success against Japanese shipping.

Squadron (Beaufighter bombers with a pair of 115- or 227-kg/250- or 500-lb bombs) and No. 254 Squadron (Torbay torpedo-carrying Beaufighters). By the spring of 1943 the wing was in frequent action against German supply ships sailing between enemy-held ports in the North Sea. In May that year the first Beaufighters joined No. 217 Squadron in December 1939 and four in the Middle East, their principal task being to attack escorting Flak ships while the torpedo aircraft and bombers went for the enemy merchantmen.

As the Beaufighter was gradually replaced in service as a night-fighter its importance as a maritime strike aircraft grew. The Beaufighter TF.Mk X was introduced with ASV (air-to-surface vessel) radar and carried universal racks which enabled combinations of bombs, rockets and torpedo to be carried. Strike Beaufighters served with a total of 11 home-based Coastal Command squadrons, and seven in the Far East. The aircraft reached the Far East in January 1943 and soon afterwards began to re-equip five strike squadrons (Nos. 22, 27, 177, 211 and 217), proving deadly when flown against Japanese shipping being sailed along the Burma coast.

**Specification**

**Bristol Beaufighter TF.Mk X**

Type: two-seat torpedo-strike fighter

Powerplant: two 1,770-hp (1,319.9-kW) Bristol Taurus IV radial piston engines

Performance: maximum speed 488 km/h (303 mph) at 396 m (1,300 ft); climb to 1524 m (5,000 ft) in 3.5 minutes; service ceiling 4572 m (15,000 ft); normal range 426 km (265 miles)

Weights: empty 7076 kg (15,600 lb); maximum take-off 9629 kg (21,228 lb)

Armament: four 20-mm Hispano cannons in the nose, plus two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns in dorsal position, plus either 76.2-mm (3-in) rocket projectiles and two 227-kg (500-lb) bombs or a single 748-kg (1,650-lb) or 965-kg (2,127-lb) torpedo

Bristol Beaufighter TF.Mk X of No. 22 Sqn, Coastal Command. No. 22 Sqn was the first in service with this torpedo-bomber. Despite early problems, it went on to provide useful service.

Powerplant: two 1,130-hp (842.6-kW) Bristol Taurus VI radial piston engines

Performance: maximum speed 426 km/h (265 mph) at 1829 m (6,000 ft); service ceiling 5029 m (16,500 ft); range 2578 km (1,600 miles)

Weights: empty 5942 kg (13,100 lb); maximum take-off 965 kg (2,127 lb)

Armament: two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns in the nose and dorsal turret

(some aircraft had rear-firing machine-gun under the nose and two in beam-firing positions), plus a bombload up to 907 kg (2,000 lb) or one 728-kg (1,605-lb) or two 227-kg (500-lb) bombs or a single 748-kg (1,650-lb) or 965-kg (2,127-lb) torpedo

**UK**

**Bristol Beaufort**

Until superseded by the torpedo-carrying Beaufighter, the Bristol Beaufort was the RAF's standard torpedo-bomber from 1940 to 1943, replacing the aged Vickers Wildebeest biplane. First flown on 15 October 1938, the Beaufort Mk I, of which early versions were powered by 1,010-hp (753.2-kW) Bristol Taurus II radials, production continued until 1943, by which time 415 had been produced. The final Beaufort Mk IIs were completed as trainers based on Malta, attacking Axis shipping in the Mediterranean.

**Specification**

**Bristol Beaufort Mk I**

Type: four-seat torpedo-bomber

Dimensions: span 17.63 m (57 ft 10 in); length 12.70 m (41 ft 8 in); height 4.82 m (15 ft 10 in); wing area 46.73 m² (503 sq ft)

Weights: empty 7076 kg (15,600 lb); maximum take-off 9629 kg (21,228 lb)

Armament: two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns in the nose and dorsal turret

Bristol Beaufort Mk I of No. 22 Sqn, Coastal Command. No. 22 Sqn was the first in service with this torpedo-bomber. Despite early problems, it went on to provide useful service.

Gneisenau and Scharnhorst on 6 April 1941 in Brest harbour (which earned a posthumous VC for Flying Officer K. Campbell of No. 22 Squadron), and during the warships' escape up the English Channel early in 1942. Beauforts were also very active while based on Malta, attacking Axis shipping being sailed to North Africa. The Beaufort Mk Vs-X were built in Australia for the RAAF in the Far East, production totalling 700.

**UK**
de Havilland Mosquito

In much the same manner that the Beaufighter came to be introduced into RAF Coastal Command as an anti-shipping strike fighter, so the classic de Havilland Mosquito achieved considerable success in this role, being used primarily with rocket projectiles and bombs. A torpedo-carrying version was under development at the end of the war.

It was not until the Mosquito had been successfully developed as a fighter-bomber (effectively combining its night-fighter cannon armament with its ability to carry bombs internally) that the Mosquito FB.Mk VI was selected for service with Coastal Command, trials being undertaken at Boscombe Down with an aircraft fitted with eight 76.2-mm (3-inch) rocket projectiles under the wings. In addition to a nose armament of four 20-mm and four 7.7-mm (0.303-in) guns, the Mosquito FB.Mk VI could also carry a pair of short-finned 227-kg (500-lb) bombs in the rear of the bomb bay; alternatively, later aircraft were strengthened to carry a further pair of 227-kg (500-lb) weapons under the wings in place of the rockets.

Following the success of the Beaufighter anti-shipping strike wings in 1943, a Mosquito Strike Wing was formed at Banff in Scotland before the end of that year, No. 333 (Norwegian) Squadron being the first to receive Mosquito FB.Mk VI on loan from November, No. 248 Squadron followed in the next month, and No. 253 in June 1944. Employed almost exclusively against enemy shipping off the Norwegian coast, the Norwegian pilots of No. 333 Squadron usually flew as pathfinders for the wing, leading Mosquito formations along the winding fjords in search of German vessels.

Of greater interest than true operational value was the Mosquito FB.Mk XVIII anti-shipping strike aircraft, armed with a single 57-mm Molins gun in the nose, A converted Mosquito FB.Mk VI thus armed made its first flight on 25 August 1943, after which 27 production aircraft were built and entered service with No. 248 Squadron at Banff in January 1944. Detachments were sent south for patrols over the English Channel and on 7 August a Mosquito FB.Mk XVIII pilot attacked and claimed to have sunk an enemy submarine off the French coast. Weighing over 907kg (2,000 lb), the Molins gun was not considered a success as its recoil constantly caused local structural damage in the Mosquito’s nose. No. 248 Squadron retained its aircraft until February 1945, after which the survivors were handed over to No. 254 Squadron at North Coates for the remainder of the war.

Specification

de Havilland Mosquito FB.Mk VI

Type: two-seat anti-shipping strike fighter
Powerplant: two 1,230-hp (917.2-kW) Rolls-Royce Merlin XXI 12-cylinder liquid-cooled inline piston engines
Performance: maximum speed 612 km/h (380 mph) at 3962 m (13,000 ft); climb to 4572 m (15,000 ft) in 7.0 minutes; service ceiling 10972 m (36,000 ft); normal range 2092 km (1,300 miles)
Weights: empty 6486 kg (14,300 lb); maximum take-off 10115 kg (22,300 lb)
Dimensions: span 16.51 m (54 ft 2 in); length 12.34 m (40 ft 6 in); height 4.63 m (15 ft 3 in); wing area 40.4 sq m (435 sq ft)
Armament: four 20-mm and four 7.7-mm (0.303-in) guns in the nose, plus either two 227-kg (500-lb) bombs and eight 76.2-mm (3-inch) rocket projectiles or up to four 227-kg (500-lb) bombs

As effective as the Beaufighter, the de Havilland Mosquito was also used in low-level rocket and strafing attacks against shipping. No. 143 Squadron flew its FB.Mk VI from Banff in Scotland against shipping off Norway.

Vickers Wellington and Warwick

The famous Vickers Wellington bomber enjoyed a long and valuable career with RAF Coastal Command in a number of roles, not least in the maritime general reconnaissance role, a term that euphemistically embraced anti-shipping duties. Apart from a small number of Wellingtons equipped for mine-exploding in 1940, Coastal Command’s first aircraft specifically prepared for maritime work were Wellington Mk VIII machines developed in 1941 for use with the Leigh Light for illuminating surfaced U-boats, particularly in the Bay of Biscay; the first such aircraft were delivered to No. 221 Squadron in the Mediterranean in January 1942, however. ASV radar came to be fitted in some Mk VIIIs (which were in effect conversions of the Wellington Mk IC bomber), but the Wellington GR Mk XI employed the improved airframe of the Wellington Mk X and was capable of carrying a wide range of anti-submarine weapons including two 190.5-kg (420-lb) depth charges or a single 451-mm (18-in) torpedo. The Wellington GR Mk XII was also equipped with a Leigh Light, which retracted into an aperture in the midships fuselage structure. The Wellington GR Mk XIII, intended for daylight use only, omitted the Leigh light but carried two 457-mm (18-in) torpedoes in addition to ASV Mk III radar, while the Wellington GR Mk XIV could carry depth charges or bombs, and featured Leigh Light and ASV Mk III for night operations.

Anti-shipping Wellingtons remained in service for the remainder of the war, serving on a total of 21 squadrons at home, in the Mediterranean and Middle and Far East. A bomber development was the Vickers Warwick which, overtaken by technological progress, never survived to serve as such; instead it, like
The Vickers Wellington GR.MkXIV was the final version of this able aircraft used by Coastal Command. This example is a MkXIV issued to No. 304 (Polish) Squadron in 1944.

The Wellington, came to be developed for maritime duties. However, although it gave considerable service with Coastal Command in the air-sea rescue role from 1943 onwards, lengthy delays in development (and shortage of Centaurus engines) prevented the Warwick GR.Mk V, with Leigh Light and ASV, from entering service with No. 179 Squadron until November 1944; this squadron flew anti-submarine patrols over the Bay of Biscay and the Western Approaches during the last three months of the war.

Specification
Vickers Wellington GR.Mk XIII
Type: six-seater anti-shipping/submarine aircraft
Powerplant: two 1,735-hp (1293.8-kW) Bristol Hercules XVII air-cooled radial piston engines
Performance: maximum speed 406 km/h (252 mph) at 1219 m (4,000 ft); climb to 1219 m (4,000 ft) in 6,9 minutes; service ceiling 4877 m (16,000 ft); normal range 2816 km (1,750 miles)
Weights: empty 9974 kg (21,988 lb); maximum take-off 14107 kg (31,100 lb)
Dimensions: span 26.26 m (86 ft 2 in); length 19.68 m (64 ft 7 in); height 5.38 m (17 ft 8 in); wing area 78.04 m² (840 sq ft)
Armament: two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns in nose turret and four in tail turret, and some aircraft mounted two machine-guns in fuselage beam positions, plus an offensive load of either bombs and depth charges up to 2041 kg (4,500 lb) or two 457-mm (18-in) torpedoes

Transmitting and receiving aerials for the ASV Mk II radar adorn this Wellington on routine patrol over the Mediterranean. This radar enabled the aircraft to detect small objects projecting above the surface, such as submarine conning towers.

USA

Boeing B-17 Fortress

America’s enforced entry into World War II undoubtedly caught her air forces unprepared for maritime operations, and the sudden appearance of long-range U-boats off her eastern seaboard and in the Caribbean caused some 122 aged Douglas B-18Bs to be deployed on anti-submarine patrols along the coasts of the USA. Meanwhile, however, the Boeing B-17D Fortress, which had supplanted the B-18 in service with the USAAC’s heavy bombardment groups in 1941, had already pioneered anti-submarine operations by this aircraft with an attack against Japanese vessels on 10 December 1941. This was the first occasion on which American aircrews flew an offensive mission. No specialist B-17 version was produced for the anti-submarine role with the American air forces, the machines that were later employed in maritime operations being standard B-17E and B-17F aircraft.

Fortresses were supplied to the RAF in fairly large numbers, however, and after a period of inauspicious service as bombers the survivors of a batch of 20 B-17C (Fortress Mk I) aircraft were pressed into service with Nos 206 and 220 Squadrons of Coastal Command for maritime reconnaissance duties over the Western Approaches. Starting in mid-1942 about 150 of the improved B-17E were delivered to Coastal Command as the Fortress Mk II and Fortress Mk IIA, serving with Nos 59, 86, 206 and 220 Squadrons, operating from Benbecula, Chivenor, Thorney Island, the Azores and Iceland.

Although possessing shorter range than the B-24 Liberator, the Fortress contributed considerably to the patrol efforts demanded by the frequent sailing of wartime convoys, particularly at the height of the great U-boat campaign in the Atlantic. RAF Fortresses were employed on anti-shipping strike missions, their weapons being almost entirely confined to depth charges.

Specification
Boeing Fortress Mk II
Type: eight-seat maritime reconnaissance aircraft
Powerplant: four 894.8-kW (1,200-hp) Wright Cyclone GR-1820-65 air-cooled radial piston engines
Performance: maximum speed 480 km/h (298 mph) at 6096 m (1,140 miles)
Weights: empty 12542 kg (27,650 lb); maximum take-off 24041 kg (53,000 lb)
Dimensions: span 31.62 m (103 ft 9 in); length 22.50 m (73 ft 10 in); height 5.84 m (19 ft 2 in); wing area 131.92 m² (1,420 sq ft)
Armament: total of 10 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns in nose, dorsal, ventral, tail and beam positions, plus a normal bombload of up to 2722 kg (6,000 lb) of bombs and/or depth charges

Boeing Fortress Mk HA (B-17E) of No. 220 Sqn flying from the Azores on long-range anti-submarine patrols over the Atlantic.
With its long-range performance the Consolidated B-24 Liberator, when introduced into service in the maritime reconnaissance role, did more than any other aircraft to turn the tide in the Allies' favour in the long Battle of the Atlantic, effectively 'closing the gap' between the patrol areas of east- and west-based aircraft and thereby denying German U-boats (and surface vessels) a vast tract of ocean in which they had been wholly safe from air attack.

First to use the B-24 in the maritime role was the RAF whose first Liberator Mk I aircraft reached the UK during March 1941 and joined No. 120 Squadron at Nutts Corner, Northern Ireland, in June of that year. These were joined by the Liberator Mk II (equivalent to the B-24C) in December 1941, and later by the Liberator Mk III (B-24D), these three versions equipping a total of 16 RAF squadrons. Subsequent deliveries to Coastal Command included the Liberator Mk IV (B-24E), Liberator GR Mk V (B-24G), Liberator GR Mk VI (B-24G and B-24H) and Liberator GR Mk VIII. With well over 1,000 Liberators flying with RAF maritime reconnaissance squadrons in almost every war theatre, it was to be expected that their achievements should be unsurpassed in the war's ocean struggle. For example, in November 1942 the Liberators of No. 224 Squadron in the Bay of Biscay sank two U-boats which were manœuvring to attack the troop convoys sailing for the 'Torch' landings, attacks that would otherwise have caused enormous casualties among the troops. In March 1945 Liberators of five RAF squadrons sank seven U-boats in six days. Like other RAF maritime patrol aircraft Liberators were widely equipped with the Leigh Light, and other aircraft were armed with rocket projectiles and batteries of cannon for use against submarines.

In US Navy service the Liberator served as the PB4Y-1, 977 such aircraft being delivered. A developed version, the PB4Y-2 Privateer, entered service with at least one squadron VP-24, some PB4Y-2Bs being armed with an ASM-N-2 Bat anti-shipping glide bomb under each wing. Total Privateer production amounted to 736 aircraft.

**Specification**

**Consolidated PB4Y-1 Liberator**

*Type:* 10-seat maritime patrol bomber

*Powerplant:* four 1,200-hp (894.8-kW) Pratt & Whitney R-1830-43 or -65 air-cooled radial piston engines

*Performance:* maximum speed 449 km/h (279 mph) at 8077 m (26,500 ft); climb to 1219 m (4,000 ft) in 7.8 minutes; service ceiling 9693 m (31,800 ft); normal patrol range 4764 km (2,960 miles)

*Weights:* empty 16761 kg (36,950 lb); maximum take-off 27216 kg (60,000 lb)

*Dimensions:* span 33.53 m (110 ft 0 in); length 20.50 m (67 ft 3 in); height 5.46 m (17 ft 11 in); wing area 97.36 m² (1,048 sq ft)

*Armament:* eight 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns in nose, dorsal and tail turrets and waist hatches amidships, plus up to 5806 kg (12,800 lb) of bombs, mines or depth charges

**PB4Y-1 Liberator of VPB-110, US Navy based in Devon, during the winter of 1944. Such US units provided a much-needed back-up to the Coastal Command squadrons.**

Arriving in service late in the war, the Consolidated PB4Y-2 Privateer was developed from the Liberator, the main difference being the single fin. These mainly saw action in the Far East and continued in use for many years after the war's end.
Dornier Do 217

After the departure eastwards of the bulk of Germany’s light bombing force from western Europe in May 1941, the principal bomber unit remaining in the Netherlands was Kampfgeschwader 2. This unit by itself was inadequate to sustain a prolonged bombing campaign against the UK, but was nevertheless re-equipped with a new version of the Do 17, the Dornier Do 217. At about the same time the specialist anti-shipping unit in the West, KG 40, received its first Do 217s, II/KG 2 receiving Do 217E-1 aircraft in August 1941. Although these aircraft were fundamentally standard bombers, concessions were soon forthcoming to suit the aircraft to the anti-shipping role with the issue of Rüstätze (conversion kits); among these were the R-10 and R-13 kits to enable the Do 217E to mount anti-shipping weapons, later to include the Henschel Hs 293A guided missiles; the Do 217E-5 was designed from the outset to accommodate these weapons. Other anti-shipping variants were the Do 217K-2 equipped to deliver two Fritz X rocket-propelled missiles, and the Do 217K-5 capable of carrying either Hs 293s or Fritz Xs. Sub-variants of the Do 217M were also produced for the anti-shipping role.

In mid-1943 II and H/KG 100 were withdrawn from the Eastern Front and re-equipped with Do 217E-5s and Do 217K-2s respectively, the former unit moving to Cognac with Hs 293As and the latter to Marseilles with the Fritz X. The first success in action was gained on 27 August when Hs 293s sank the Canadian destroyer HMS Ajax in the Bay of Biscay. In the Mediterranean KG 100 sank the Italian battleship Roma and damaged the Italian corvette HMS Warspite (which also numbered some He 115s in ventral positions, one 20-mm cannon in the extreme nose, and three trainable 7.92-mm (0.31-in) guns in the extreme tail, plus an offensive load of two 1045-kg (2.304-lb) bombs). KG 100 was re-equipped with a new version of the Do 217E-5 of KG 40. This aircraft is carrying two of the Henschel Hs 293A stand-off guided anti-ship missiles, which were used with some degree of success in the Mediterranean.

Combat experience gained by the Luftwaffe during the first 18 months of the war suggested that in anti-shipping operations the bomber had to be supplemented with offensive armament of highly accurate and fast-firing weapons, such as the Fritz X rockets, to ensure success against the UK.

Specification

Dornier Do 217E-5 Type: four-seat anti-shipping bomber
Powerplant: two 1,580-hp (1,178.2-kW) Junkers Jumo 211F-1 12-cylinders in line
Dimensions: span 22.60 m (74 ft 3 in); length 16.45 m (53 ft 11.5 in); height 4.00 m (13 ft 1.75 in); wing area 57.00 m² (613.54 sq ft)
Weights: empty 8690 kg (19,158 lb); maximum take-off 14000 kg (30,864 lb)
Armament: six 7.92-mm (0.31-in) guns in the nose, one 13-mm (0.51-in) gun in a dorsal turret, one 13-mm (0.51-in) gun in ventral position, and three trainable 7.92-mm (0.31-in) guns in the extreme tail, plus an offensive load of two 1045-kg (2.304-lb) bombs.
Performance: maximum speed 515 km/h (320 mph) at 5200 m (17,060 ft); climb to 925 m (3,035 ft) in 4.45 minutes; service ceiling 9000 m (29,528 ft); normal range 2300 km (1,429 miles)

Another KG 40 Do 217 is loaded with bombs. The camouflage is typical of German maritime paint schemes, with disruptive wave patterns applied over the standard paint.

Heinkel He 111

German maritime paint schemes, which were used with some degree of success in the Mediterranean.

Combat experience gained by the Luftwaffe during the first 18 months of the war suggested that in anti-shipping operations the bomber had to be supplemented with offensive armament of highly accurate and fast-firing weapons, such as the Fritz X rockets, to ensure success against the UK.

Specification

Heinkel He 111H-11

Type: six-seat torpedo bomber
Powerplant: two 1400-hp (1044-kW) Junkers Juno 211F-1 12-cylinders
Dimensions: span 26 m (85 ft 2 in); length 17.28 m (56 ft 6 in); height 4.45 m (14 ft 7 in); wing area 57.00 m² (613.54 sq ft)
Weights: empty 9400 kg (20,748 lb); maximum take-off 19,000 kg (41,818 lb)
Armament: four 7.92-mm (0.31-in) guns in the nose, one 13-mm (0.51-in) gun in a dorsal turret, one 20-mm cannon in the extreme nose, and (some aircraft) a remotely-fired 7.92-mm (0.31-in) gun in the extreme tail, plus an offensive load of either two 1000-kg (2.205-lb) bombs or two 765-kg (1,678-lb) LT FS torpedoes carried on external PVC racks.

A pair of LTFS torpedoes loaded on to the under fuselage racks of Heinkel He 111H-6. The He 111H-6 was also used for trials with guided missiles and glide-bombs.
Famous as a pre-war airliner with a number of formidable long-distance flights and records to its credit, the four-engine Focke-Wulf Fw 200 Condor was designed by Kurt Tank in 1936, and underwent military adaptation into a fairly potent anti-shipping aircraft with the Luftwaffe. Ten pre-production Fw-200C-0 maritime reconnaissance aircraft were delivered to the Luftwaffe in September 1939, some of them serving with I/KG 40 in 1940. The five-crew production Fw 200C-1 was powered by four 830-hp (618.9-kW) BMW 132H engines, was armed with a 20-mm gun in the nose and three 7.92-mm (0.31-in) guns in other positions, and could carry four 250-kg (551-lb) bombs. Apart from long-range maritime patrols over the Atlantic, the Fw 200C-1S also undertook extensive minelaying in British waters during 1940, each carrying two 1000-kg (2,205-lb) mines. Numerous subvariants of the C-series appeared, of which the Fw 200C-3 with 1,000-hp (745.7-kW) Bramo 323R-2 radial was the most important. Later in the war the Fw 200C-6 and Fw 200C-8 were produced in an effort to enhance the Condor’s operational potential by adaptation to carry two Henschel HS 293 missiles in conjunction with FuG 203 missile control radio.

Rugged operating conditions highlighted the Fw 200’s numerous structural weaknesses and there were numerous accidents in service, and for a short time in the mid-war years Fw 20s were employed as military transport, 18 aircraft being flown by Kampfgruppe zur besonderen Verwendung 200 m support of the beleaguered German forces at Stalingrad. Other Condors were used by Hitler and Himmler as personal transports. Focke-Wulf Fw 200 production for the Luftwaffe amounted to 252 aircraft between 1940 and 1944.

**Specification**

**Type:** seven-seat long-range maritime reconnaissance bomber

**Powerplant:** four 1,000-hp (745.7-kW) BMW-Bramo 323R-2 radial piston engines

**Performance:**
- Maximum speed: 360 km/h (224 mph) at 4700 m (15,420 ft); service ceiling 6000 m (19,685 ft); range 3560 km (2,211 miles)
- Maximum take-off: 22700 kg (50,044 lb)
- Dimensions: span 32.84 m (107 ft 9.5 in); length 23.85 m (78 ft 11.5 in); height 6.30 m (20 ft 8 in); wing area 118.00 m² (1,290.0 sq ft)

**Weights:**
- Empty: 17000 kg (37,478 lb); maximum take-off: 22700 kg (50,044 lb)
- Fuel load: 7000 kg (15,432 lb)
- Maximum offensive load: 3000 kg (6,614 lb)

**Armament:**
- One 7.92-mm (0.31-in) gun in forward dorsal turret, one 13-mm (0.51-in) gun in rear dorsal position, two 13-mm (0.51-in) guns in beam positions, one 20-mm gun in forward position of ventral gondola and one 7.92-mm (0.31-in) gun in aft ventral position.

The Fw 200C-6 featured FuC 200 Hohentwiel radar and the ability to carry the Hs 293A guided missile. Two missiles could be carried under the outboard engine nacelles.

---

**Junkers Ju 88 and Ju 188**

Just as the Heinkel He 111 corresponded roughly to the RAF’s Vickers Wellington in the maritime role, so the Junkers Ju 88 was a contemporary of and superficially equivalent to Coastal Command’s Bristol Beaufighter. However, whereas the latter was conceived from the outset as a fighter, the Ju 88 was fundamentally a bomber which came to serve as a night-fighter and intruder.

Ju 88s flew anti-shipping missions with specialist Kampfgeschwader, notably KG 30, as early as the Norwegian campaign of April 1940, although the aircraft themselves were standard Ju 88A bombers. And it was KG 30’s Ju 88s that were flown with such devastating success against British shipping during the Greek campaign of April 1941. In an attack by 7./KG 30 on the approaches to Piraeus harbour Hauptmann Hajo Herrmann’s bombs struck the freighter Clan Frazer which was loaded with explosives and blew up, destroying 10 other ships. Soon afterwards KG 30 was assembled in northern Norway for attacks against the North Cape (PQ) convoys being sailed between Iceland and Soviet ports.

Although no version of the Ju 88 was developed specifically to the anti-shipping strike role, the extensively redesigned Junkers Ju 188, which began making real progress before the end of 1942, appeared in several versions as a torpedo bomber. The first, the Ju 188E-2, could carry two 800-kg (1,764-lb) LT torpedo under the wings and another Ju 188A-3 with water methanol boosted engines, served in small numbers with the anti-shipping unit HI/KG 26 towards the end of 1944.

The Ju 188 was a popular aircraft with its crew but, following the switch of priorities by the Germans in favour of fighters in the latter half of 1944, production of the bomber and torpedo bomber versions was halted, although they remained in fast-diminishing service until the end of the war.

**Specification**

**Junkers Ju 188E-2**

**Type:** four-seat torpedo bomber

**Powerplant:** two 1,700-hp (1267.7-kW) BMW 801D air-cooled radial piston engines

**Performance:**
- Maximum speed 500 km/h (311 mph) at 6000 m (19,685 ft); climb to 6000 m (19,685 ft) in 17.6 minutes; service ceiling 9300 m (30,512 ft); normal range 1950 km (1,212 miles)

**Weights:**
- Empty: 9860 kg (21,737 lb); maximum take-off: 14470 kg (31,898 lb)
- Dimensions: span 22.00 m (71.212 m); length 14.90 m (48.10.5 in); height 4.44 m (14.68.8 in); wing area 56.00 m² (602.78 sq ft)

**Armament:**
- One 7.92-mm (0.31-in) gun in forward dorsal turret, one 13-mm (0.51-in) gun in rear dorsal position, two 13-mm (0.51-in) guns in beam positions, one 20-mm gun in forward position of ventral gondola and one 7.92-mm (0.31-in) gun in aft ventral position.

The superlative Ju 88 was effective in many roles, and anti-shipping was no exception. This aircraft bears 10 ship kills from the Mediterranean, denoted by the fuselage theatre band.
**Junkers Ju 290**

Developed directly from the Ju 90 commercial and military transport, the four-engine Junkers Ju 290 was intended to replace the Focke-Wulf Fw 200 Condor which by 1942 was proving slow and vulnerable when confronted by RAF aircraft over the 'narrow seas' around Europe. Developments of the Ju 290 nevertheless embraced considerable work to suit it for the transport role, and it was not until early 1943 that the Ju 290A-1 underwent extensive modification as a maritime reconnaissance aircraft, including the installation of marine radio, FuG 200 Hohentwiel sea search radar and a second dorsal HDL 151 gun turret mounting an MG 151/20 cannon.

At the same time a long-range reconnaissance group, Fernetaufklärungsgruppe 5, was formed and during the late summer of 1943 three of the new Ju 290A-2S were delivered to its 1. Staffel, which became operational at Mont de Marsan in France on 15 October of that year. Five Ju 290A-3 aircraft with more powerful BMW 801D engines followed, as did five Ju 290A-4 aircraft with improved dorsal turrets. In November a second Staffel was activated and, with a range of over 6100 km (3,790 miles) the Ju 290s ranged far out over the Atlantic, relaying convoy sightings to U-boats.

Eleven Ju 290A-5 aircraft with increased armour protection and 20-mm cannon in place of the earlier beam machine-guns were delivered to FAGr 5 early in 1944, as were about a dozen of the Ju 290A-7 variant; the latter was a true anti-shipping strike aircraft capable of carrying either three Henschel Hs 293 or Fritz X weapons under fuselage and wings. It also featured a new nose section combining a nose gun position with 20-mm cannon with the FuG 200 aerial array. Only three Ju 290A-9 aircraft were completed with reduced armament and increased fuel capacity which bestowed a maximum range of 8000 km (4,971 miles).

**Savoia-Marchetti S.M.79**

Developed from an eight-seat commercial airliner of 1934, the three-engine Savoia-Marchetti S.M.79 Sparviero entered service as a conventional medium bomber with the Regia Aeronautica in 1937, and served operationally with the Aviazione del Tercio alongside the Nationalist forces during the Spanish Civil War. Also in 1937 the S.M.79 embarked on trials at Gorizia as a torpedo bomber, being equipped to launch a single 450-mm (17.7-in) naval torpedo from an offset rack under the fuselage. The following year trials with paired torpedoes led to the adoption of the S.M.79-II aircraft as standard torpedo bomber equipment for the Italian naval forces from its entry into the war in June 1940, when Sparvieri (sparrowhawks) equipped 14 stormi based in Italy, Sicily, Sardinia and Crete. The aircraft was constantly in action in the anti-shipping role, its first action being an attack by 19 S.M.79s on two Stormi on French shipping off the Riviera coast on 13/14 June.

During the invasion of Crete S.M.79s of the 92° Gruppo were active against Allied shipping in the Aegean, after which most aircraft were redeployed to Libya for operations against British naval forces and convoys in the Central Mediterranean as well as the naval base at Malta. Among the ships of the Royal Navy sunk by S.M.79s in the Mediterranean were the destroyers HMS Husky, HMS Jaguar, HMS Legión, and HMS Southwall, while the battleship HMS Malaya and the carriers HMS Indomitable and HMS Victorious were all struck by torpedoes launched by the Italian torpedo bombers; the majority of these ships were hit during the attacks on the Operation Pedestal convoy which sailed with 14 merchant ships and was prevented from its size, and this enabled it to become one of the best Italian aircraft.
As far back as 1935, in response to Japanese naval requirement for a land-based twin-engine long-range medium bomber, Mitsubishi flew the first Ka-15 prototype, an aircraft which possessed a design potential that allowed development as a long-range medium bomber. Accordingly, following successful flight trials, the aircraft entered production in June 1936 as the Navy Type 96 Attack Bomber Model 11 (Mitsubishi G3M1). The initial version, of which 34 were produced, was powered by 910-hp (678.6-kW) Kinsei 3 radials and possessed a maximum speed of 360 km/h (224 mph) at 1975 m (6,480 ft). As the improved Kinsei 41 and 42 engine became available in 1937 a new version, the G3M2, started production and, with a total of 581 built by mid-1941, was the principal variant. With a top speed now increased to 374 km/h (232 mph), a bomb load of up to 800 kg (1,764 lb) carried externally and a defensive armament of three 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns, the G3M2 possessed a maximum range of 4380 km (2,722 miles). A yet further improved version, of which production was undertaken by Nakajima during 1941-3, was the G3M3 with 1,300-hp (969.4-kW) Kinsei 51 radials and a top speed of 415 km/h (258 mph) at 6000 m (19,685 ft).

Mitsubishi G3M2s were first flown in action by the Japanese navy's Kanoya Kokutai in August 1937 on raids on Hankow and Kwangteh in China. By 1940 four Kokutais in China were equipped with a total of about 130 G3M2s, a number that grew to 204 by the date of Pearl Harbor with the deployment of forces against Wake Island, the Philippines and the Marianas. And it was a force of 60 G3M2s of the Gennan and Mihoro Kokutais (with 26 Mitsubishi G4M1s of the Kanoya Kokutai) which, flying from bases in Indo-China, found and sank the British warships HMS Prince of Wales and Repulse as they steamed without fighter protection off the Malayan coast on 10 December 1941. The type was known to the Allies as the 'Nell'.

Mitsubishi Ki-67 'Peggy'

Like the Ki-21 and G4M, the Mitsubishi Ki-67 was classified by the Japanese as a heavy bomber, yet by Western standards would have scarcely rated the medium bomber category. It was nevertheless the best bomber to serve Japan in the war, albeit too late to influence the tide of events of the last year. By then the American air raids on the Japanese homeland were devastating aircraft plants and production was seriously affected. The Ki-67 Hiryu (flying dragon) was designed to a 1940 specification, issued in 1941, for a strategic bomber intended for use in an anticipated war with the Soviet Union on the Sibera-Manchukuo border. By departing from established Japanese practice and including armour protection and self-sealing fuel tanks, design of the prototype Ki-67 was protracted, and it was not until 27 December 1942 that the first aircraft flew; it proved to be highly manoeuvrable and fast, and possessed a top speed of 538 km/h (334 mph). In the same month it was decided to adapt some Ki-67s as torpedo bombers. The army put forward such a host of suggestions for additional equipment that production suffered long delays, and it was not until October 1944 that the Ki-67 (codenamed 'Peggy' by the Allies) was first flown in combat by the 7th and 98th Sentais, and by the navy's 762nd Kokutai in the torpedo role during the battle off Formosa. Thereafter modifications were held to a minimum as production was afforded the highest priority; but by then American raids (and a devastating earthquake in December 1944) severely disrupted production, and no more than 698 Ki-67s were produced, some of them being flown in kamikaze strikes in the last months of the war.

Mitsubishi G3M2 of the Gennan Kokutai flying from Saigon, Indo-China, in December 1941. This aircraft participated in the sinking of HMS Prince of Wales and Repulse.

**Specification Mitsubishi G3M2 Model 22**

- **Type:** five/seven-seat medium/torpedo bomber
- **Powerplant:** two 1,075-hp (801.6-kW) Mitsubishi Kinsei 45 14-cylinder air-cooled radial piston engines
- **Performance:** maximum speed 374 km/h (232 mph) at 4200 m (13780 ft); climb to 6000 m (19,685 ft); maximum range 4380 km (2,722 miles)
- **Weights:** empty 9495 kg (20,914 lb); maximum take-off 23180 kg (50904 lb)
- **Armament:** three 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Type 92 machine-guns in a retractable dorsal turret and two lateral blisters, plus one 20-mm Type 99 cannon in a second dorsal turret, plus one 800-kg (1,764-lb) torpedo or equivalent bomb load carried externally

A formation of Mitsubishi C3M5s cross the Japanese coast during a training exercise. This aircraft was widely used, especially in the early days of the war, on all kinds of maritime operations, including torpedo dropping, bombing and patrol. Its successor, the same company's C4M, was employed alongside the G3M on similar duties.

**Specification Mitsubishi Ki-67**

- **Type:** six/eight-seat heavy bomber
- **Powerplant:** two 1,900-hp (1416.8-kW) Mitsubishi Ha-104 radial piston engines
- **Performance:** maximum speed 537 km/h (334 mph) at 6090 m (19,980 ft); climb to 6000 m (19,685 ft) in 14.5 minutes; service ceiling 9470 m (31,070 ft); range 2800 km (1,740 miles)
- **Weights:** empty 8649 kg (19,088 lb); normal loaded 13765 kg (30,347 lb)
- **Dimensions:** span 25.00 m (82 ft 0.25 in); length 18.70 m (61 ft 4.25 in); height 7.70 m (25 ft 1.21 in); wing area 65.85 m² (708.6 sq ft)

Proving highly manoeuvrable and fast, the Mitsubishi Ki-67 Hiryu was used in the torpedo role (especially during the Battle of Formosa). Production of this effective aircraft was severely restricted following American bombing raids and an earthquake.

Armament: single trainable 12.7-mm (0.5-in) Type 1 machine-guns in nose, two beam positions and tail, and one 20-mm Ho-23 cannon in dorsal turret, plus a bomb load of 800 kg (1,764 lb) or one 1070-kg (2,359 lb) torpedo, or 2900-kg (6,393-lb) of bombs for kamikaze missions.
Carrier Aircraft

Carrierborne airpower reached such a peak in World War II that several battles which took place over the Pacific were fought solely with carrierborne aircraft. Elsewhere the carriers were protecting convoys, fighting submarines and covering beach assaults.

The overwhelming importance of carrierborne air power to warfare at sea was only dimly foreseen in the years which led up to World War II. Historically, it had been the battleship and the naval gunnery which had dominated the oceans ever since the days of the Spanish Armada right up to the Battle of Jutland. In addition, battleships considerably outnumbered carriers in navies throughout the world.

Nevertheless, the 1930s saw the evolution of the methods and tactics that were to dominate the Pacific War and which were also to contribute greatly to the successful conclusion of the war in the Atlantic. It was the US Navy that was eventually to become the master of carrier warfare; however, both the Royal Navy and the Imperial Japanese navy were able to make significant contributions.

The demands made by this new form of warfare were considerable, especially upon the aircraft used and upon the young pilots who flew them. The ‘controlled crash’ of a carrier landing demanded strong nerves and a strong aircraft. If the sea itself was anything other than calm (which unfortunately it so often was), the motion of the waves would cause the deck to pitch and roll alarmingly, making landings rather tricky.

In general, purpose-designed carrier aircraft had inferior performance when compared to their land-based contemporaries - although this did not prevent the Fairey Swordfish from amassing a war record which was second to none - while conversions of landplanes, such as the Supermarine Spitfire produced performance - at the expense of durability. Instead, it was left to the Japanese to show that the carrier aircraft, in the shape of the Mitsubishi AoM Zero, could outfly and outfight its land-based opponents.

It was, however, the swarm of big, beefy US Navy aircraft, which were based upon the navy’s massive American carrier force, that was to prove decisive in the Pacific. Led by the Grumman F6F Hellcat and the Vought F4U Corsair, US and Allied naval aircraft in their thousands ranged the skies over Japan during the final months of the war, in a display of naval air power undreamed of only five years before.
Aichi D3A 'Val'

Although thought to be obsolescent when Japan entered the war, the Aichi D3A with fixed spatted landing gear was the first Japanese aircraft to drop bombs on American targets when Japan entered the war. Designed to a 1936 carrier-based dive-bomber requirement, the prototype was flown in January 1938 with a 729.4-kW (1,000 hp) Mitsubishi Kinsei 43 radial. Production D3A1 aircraft had slightly smaller wings and were powered by the 745.7-kW (1,000 hp) Mitsubishi Kinsei 45 radial. A dorsal fin extension considerably improved the aircraft's manoeuvrability, although the armament of only two forward-firing 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns, with another of the same calibre in the rear cockpit, was undeniably puny. After limited land-based operations in China and Indo-China, D3As were flown in all major carrier actions during the first 10 months of the war and sank more Allied naval vessels than any other Axis aircraft. Among British casualties in D3A1 attacks were HMS Hermes (the world's first carrier to be sunk by carrier aircraft), and the cruisers Cornwall and Dorsetshire. Heavy losses among D3As during and after the Battle of the Coral Sea, however, forced withdrawal by most of the survivors to land bases. In 1942 the D3A2 was introduced with increased fuel capacity and more powerful engine, but by 1944 the aircraft were hopelessly outclassed by American fighters; a small number was subsequently employed in kamikaze attacks. Production amounted to 476 D3As and 1,016 D3A2. The Allied reporting name was 'Val'.

Specification
Aichi D3A2
Type: two-seat carrierborne dive-bomber
Powerplant: one 969.4-kW (1,300 hp) Mitsubishi Kinsei 54 radial piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 430 km/h (267 mph) at 6200 m (20,341 ft); climb to 3000 m (9,843 ft) in 5.76 minutes; service ceiling 10,500 m (34,099 ft); range 1352 km (840 miles)
Weights: empty 2570 kg (5,666 lb); maximum take-off 3800 kg (8,378 lb)
Armament: two forward-firing 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Type 97 machine-guns.

Mitsubishi A6M 'Zeke'

The famous Mitsubishi A6M, popularly known as the Zero, was the first carrierborne fighter in the world capable of outperforming any contemporary land-based fighter. It was likely to confront the Zero. Because of the limited Allied intelligence, it was able to achieve immediate air superiority over the East Indies and South East Asia from the day Japan entered the war. Designed under the leadership of Jiro Honkoshi in 1937 as a replacement for the neat but obsolescent A5M, the prototype A6M1 was first flown on 1 April 1939 with a 581.6-kW (780 hp) Mitsubishi Zuisei 13 radial; production A6M2 fighters with a water-methanol boosted 745.7-kW (1,000 hp) Nakajima Sakae 12 radial and, it was with this version that the Japanese navy escorted the raiding force sent against Pearl Harbor, and gained air superiority over Malaya, the Philippines and Burma. In the spring of 1942 the A6M3 with two-stage supercharged Sakae 21 entered service, later aircraft having their folding wing tips removed. The Battle of Midway represented the Zero's combat zenith; thereafter the able Mitsubishi fighter found itself ever more outclassed by the American F6F Hellcat and P-38 Lightning. To counter the new American fighters, the A6M5 of the Shikishima unit that sank the carrier St Lo and damaged three others on 25 October 1944.

Other versions were the A6M6 with water-methanol boosted Sakae 31 engine and the A6M7 fighter/dive-bomber. Total production of all A6Ms was 10,937. (The reporting name 'Zeke' was given to the A6M, and 'Rufe' to a float version, the A6M2-N.)

Specification
Mitsubishi A6M5b Zeke
Type: single-seat carrierborne fighter
Powerplant: one 820.3-kW (1,100 hp) Nakajima NK2F Sakae 21 radial piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 565 km/h (351 mph) at 6000 m (19,685 ft); climb to 6000 m (19,685 ft) in 7.0 minutes; service ceiling 11,740 m (38,511 ft); range 1144 km (710 miles)
Weights: empty 1876 kg (4,136 lb); normal loaded 2733 kg (6,025 lb)
Dimensions: span 14.38 m (47 ft 2.1 in); length 10.20 m (33 ft 3.6 in); height 3.51 m (11 ft 6.2 in); wing area 21.30 m² (229.28 sq ft)

Feared by all Allied pilots before the arrival of the Melerca in Pacific waters, the A6M featured astonishing maneuvrability and good endurance, especially when equipped with an underfuselage fuel tank, as here. These A6M2s are on a long-range fight patrol.
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Specifications
Aichi D3A1 Type: two-seat carrierborne dive-bomber
Powerplant: one 969.4-kW (1,300-hp) Mitsubishi Kinsei 54 radial piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 430 km/h (267 mph) at 6200 m (20,341 ft); climb to 3000 m (9,843 ft) in 5.76 minutes; service ceiling 10,500 m (34,099 ft); range 1352 km (840 miles)
Weights: empty 2570 kg (5,666 lb); maximum take-off 3800 kg (8,378 lb)
Armament: two forward-firing 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Type 97 machine-guns.

Mitsubishi A6M5b Zeke
Type: single-seat carrierborne fighter
Powerplant: one 820.3-kW (1,100-hp) Nakajima NK2F Sakae 21 radial piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 565 km/h (351 mph) at 6000 m (19,685 ft); climb to 6000 m (19,685 ft) in 7.0 minutes; service ceiling 11,740 m (38,511 ft); range 1144 km (710 miles)
Weights: empty 1876 kg (4,136 lb); normal loaded 2733 kg (6,025 lb)
Dimensions: span 14.38 m (47 ft 2.1 in); length 10.20 m (33 ft 3.6 in); height 3.51 m (11 ft 6.2 in); wing area 21.30 m² (229.28 sq ft)
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Specifications
Mitsubishi A6M Type: single-seat carrierborne fighter
Powerplant: one 820.3-kW (1,100-hp) Nakajima NK2F Sakae 21 radial piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 565 km/h (351 mph) at 6000 m (19,685 ft); climb to 6000 m (19,685 ft) in 7.0 minutes; service ceiling 11,740 m (38,511 ft); range 1144 km (710 miles)
Weights: empty 1876 kg (4,136 lb); normal loaded 2733 kg (6,025 lb)
Dimensions: span 14.38 m (47 ft 2.1 in); length 10.20 m (33 ft 3.6 in); height 3.51 m (11 ft 6.2 in); wing area 21.30 m² (229.28 sq ft)
Nakajima B5N 'Kate'

Designed to a 1935 requirement, and already in service for four years when Japan entered the war, the Nakajima B5N was in 1941 without question the best carrierborne torpedo-bomber in the world. Powered by a Nakajima Hikaru radial engine, the low-wing three-crew monoplane with inwards-retracting wide-track landing gear was exceptionally clean, and first flew in January 1937. The following year production B5N1 aircraft were embarking in Japan's carriers and shore-based units were deployed in China. In 1939 the improved B5N2 appeared with a more powerful Sakae 11 engine in a smaller cowling, although armament and bombload were unchanged, and this version remained in production until 1943. When Japan attacked the USA the B5N2 had wholly replaced the B5N1 with operational units, and 144 B5N2s were involved in the fateful attack on Pearl Harbor; within the next 12 months aircraft of this type sank the American carriers USS Hornet, Lexington and Yorktown.

Given the reporting name 'Kate' by the Allies, the B5N certainly earned the respect of the Americans, and in all the major carrier battles of the Pacific War attracted the undivided attention of defending fighters. With its puny defensive armament of a single machine-gun and laden with a large bomb or torpedo, however, the B5N began to suffer very heavily, and although the type was fully committed during the Solomons campaign the survivors were withdrawn from combat after the Philippine battles of 1944. Thereafter, on account of its excellent range, they were assigned to anti-submarine and maritime reconnaissance duties in areas beyond the range of Allied fighters. Production of all B5Ns reached 1,149.

Specification
Nakajima B5N2 'Kate'
Type: three-crew carrierborne torpedo-bomber
Powerplant: one 745.7-kW (1,000-hp) Nakajima NK1B Sakae 11 radial piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 378 km/h (235 mph) at 5000 m; climb to 3000 m (9,843 ft) in 10.2 minutes; service ceiling 9,040 m (29,650 ft); range 1990 km (1,237 miles)
Weights: empty 2279 kg (5,024 lb); maximum take-off 4100 kg (9,039 lb)
Dimensions: span 15.52 m (50 ft 11 in); wing area 37.70 m² (405.8 sq ft)

Nakajima B6N 'Jill'

At a time when the triumphs of the B5N were still almost three years in the future, the Japanese navy issued a specification for a replacement, recognizing that only limited overall design improvement of the B5N could be achieved in the B5N2. Accordingly design went ahead in 1939 of the Nakajima B6N and, despite the navy's preference for the Mitsubishi Kasei radial, a Nakajima Mamoru was selected for the prototype which flew early in 1941. Superficially the B6N Tenzan (heavenly mountain) resembled the earlier aircraft, but the much increased power and torque of the B6N engine and four-blade propeller was found to impose considerable directional stability problems, demanding that the vertical tail surfaces be offset to one side. Flight trials dragged on, and were further delayed by troubles during factory acceptance tests; then Nakajima was ordered to stop production of the Mamoru engine, so modifications had to be introduced to suit installation of the Kasei. In due course B6N1 aircraft (of which only 133 were built) were embarked in the carriers Shokaku, Taiho, Hiryu, Junyo and Zuka-ku, and took part in the great Battle of the Philippine Sea of June 1943, many being lost when the three first-named carriers were sunk. In that month production of the slightly improved B6N2 (of which 1,133 were produced before the end of the war), but the heavy losses among Japanese carriers resulted in the Jill being largely deployed ashore, particularly after the Battle of Leyte Gulf. Thereafter many B6Ns were consigned to the kamikaze role.

Specification
Nakajima B6N2 'Jill'
Type: three-crew carrierborne torpedo-bomber
Powerplant: one 1379.5-kW (1,850-hp) Mitsubishi MK4T Kasei 25 radial piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 481 km/h (299 mph) at 4000 m; climb to 5000 m (16,404 ft) in 10.4 minutes; service ceiling 9,040 m (29,659 ft); range 1746 km (1,085 miles)
Weights: empty 3010 kg (6,636 lb); maximum take-off 5680 kg (12,456 lb)
Dimensions: span 14.89 m (48 ft)

Nakajima 602 of the 6th Kokutai based at Rabaul, New Britain, in November 1942.
Well-proportioned and purposeful in appearance, the Yokosuka D4Y possessed an excellent performance and owed much of its concept to the German He 118, for whose manufacturing rights Japan negotiated in 1938. Designed as a fast carrier-based attack bomber and powered by an imported Daimler-Benz DB 600 engine, the D4Y1 was first flown in December 1941; D4Y1-C reconnaissance aircraft were ordered into production at Aichi's Nagoya plant, the first of 660 aircraft being completed in the late spring of 1942. The first service aircraft were lost when the Soryu was sunk at Midway. Named Suisei (comet) in service and codenamed Judy by the Allies, many D4Ys were completed as dive-bombers, and 174 Suiseis of the 1st, 2nd and 3rd Koku Sentais were embarked in nine carriers before the Battle of the Philippine Sea. However, they were intercepted by American carriers, and suffered heavy casualties without achieving any success. A new version with 1044-kW (1,400-hp) Aichi Atsuta 32 engine appeared in 1944 as the D4Y2 but, in the interests of preserving high performance, nothing was done to introduce armour protection for crew or fuel tanks, and the sole improvement in gun armament was the inclusion of a 13.2-mm (0.52-in) trainable gun (replacing the previous 7.92-mm/0.31-in gun) in the rear cockpit. This version suffered heavily in the battle for the Philippines. Problems of reliability with the Atsuta (DB 601) engine led to adoption of a Kinsei 62 radial in the D4Y3, and this engine was retained in the D4Y4 which was developed in 1945 as a single-seat suicide dive-bomber. A total of 2,033 production D4Ys was completed.

**Yokosuka D4Y3 'Judy'**

*Specification*

- **Type:** two-seat carrierborne dive-bomber
- **Powerplant:** one 1163.3-kW (1,560-hp) Mitsubishi MK8F Kinsei 62 radial piston engine
- **Performance:** maximum speed 575 km/h (357 mph) at 6050 m (19,849 ft); climb to 3000 m (9,843 ft) in 4.55 minutes; service ceiling 10,500 m (34,449 ft); range 1520 km (944 miles)
- **Weights:** empty 2501 kg (5,514 lb); maximum take-off 4657 kg (10,267 lb)
- **Dimensions:** span 23.60 m (77.4 ft); length 11.18 m (36 ft 6 in); wing area 23.60 m² (254.04 sq ft)
- **Armament:** two fixed forward-firing 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Type 97 machine-guns in nose and one 13.2-mm (0.5-in) Type 2 trainable gun in rear cockpit, plus a maximum bombload of 560 kg (1,235 lb)

**Curtiss SB2C-1 Helldiver of VB-8 aboard USS Bunker Hill, serving in the Pacific theatre.**

**Curtiss SB2C Helldiver**

Last of a long line of Curtiss aircraft to carry the name Helldiver (the earlier aircraft being inter-war biplanes), the Curtiss SB2C was first flown as the XSB2C-1 on 18 December 1940. Production SB2C-1 aircraft featured an enlarged fin and rudder assembly, increased fuel capacity and four 12.7-mm (0.5-in) guns in the wings. The SB2C-1C earned an armament of two 20-mm guns in the wings. The SB2C-3 appeared in 1944 with more powerful engine, and the SB2C-4 had provision to carry eight 127-mm (5-in) rockets or 454 kg (1,000 lb) of bombs under the wings (in addition to the 454-kg/1,000-lb internal bombload); the SB2C-4 carried radar in a small pod under the wing, and the SB2C-5 had increased fuel. Production amounted to 7,199 of all aircraft, including 300 by Fairchild in Canada, 984 by the Canadian Car and Foundry, and 900 produced for the USAAF as SB2C-1A-25A (most of which were taken over by the US Marine Corps and redesignated SB2C-1A). Helldivers first went into action on 11 November 1943 with a raid by VB-17 on Rabaul. During 1944 they gradually replaced the Douglas SBD Dauntless, and were in constant action against the Japanese. Some 26 Canadian-built aircraft were supplied to the UK.

**Specification**

- **Type:** two-seat scout-bomber
- **Powerplant:** one 1416.8-kW (1,900-hp) Wright R-2600-20 radial piston engine
- **Weights:** empty 4784 kg (10,547 lb); maximum take-off 7537 kg (16,616 lb)
- **Dimensions:** span 15.16 m (49 ft 9 in); length 11.18 m (36 ft 6 in); wing area 23.60 m² (254.04 sq ft)
- **Armament:** two fixed forward-firing 20-mm guns in the wings and two 7.62-mm (0.3-in) trainable guns in the rear cockpit, plus a maximum bombload of 560 kg (1,235 lb)

**Vought F4U Corsair**

Distinctive yet not unattractive with its inverted gull wing, the Vought F4U Corsair was unquestionably the best shipborne fighter of the war, and gained an 11:1 kill-loss ratio in the Pacific. Designed by Rex B. Beisel, the XP4U-1 was flown on 29 May 1940, the first production F4U-1 fighters being delivered to VF-12 in October 1942, although most of the early aircraft went to the US Marine Corps. It was a land-based fighter, designed primarily for use in the Pacific theatre. The Corsair was armed with a single 20-mm cannon and two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) trainable guns in the rear cockpit. It was a fast carrier-based attack aircraft being completed in the late spring of 1942. The first service aircraft were lost when the Soryu was sunk at Midway. Named Suisei (comet) in service and codenamed Judy by the Allies, many D4Ys were completed as dive-bombers, and 174 Suiseis of the 1st, 2nd and 3rd Koku Sentais were embarked in nine carriers before the Battle of the Philippine Sea. However, they were intercepted by American carriers, and suffered heavy casualties without achieving any success. A new version with 1044-kW (1,400-hp) Aichi Atsuta 32 engine appeared in 1944 as the D4Y2 but, in the interests of preserving high performance, nothing was done to introduce armour protection for crew or fuel tanks, and the sole improvement in gun armament was the inclusion of a 13.2-mm (0.52-in) trainable gun (replacing the previous 7.92-mm/0.31-in gun) in the rear cockpit. This version suffered heavily in the battle for the Philippines. Problems of reliability with the Atsuta (DB 601) engine led to adoption of a Kinsei 62 radial in the D4Y3, and this engine was retained in the D4Y4 which was developed in 1945 as a single-seat suicide dive-bomber. A total of 2,033 production D4Ys was completed.
based US Marine squadron, VMF-124, that first flew the Corsair into action, on 13 February 1943 over Bougainville. Additional production lines were set up by Brewster and Goodyear, these companies producing the F3A-1 and FG-1 respectively. To improve the pilot's field of view, later aircraft introduced a raised cockpit, and the F4U-1C had a four 20-mm cannon armament. The F4U-1D, FG-1D and F3A-1D were powered by water-injection boosted R-2800-8W engines, and could carry two 454-kg (1,000-lb) bombs or eight 127-mm (5-in) rockets under the wings. Late in the war a night-fighter version, the XF4U-2, saw limited service with VF-75 and VFN-101. Wartime production of the Corsair (which continued until 1952 with later versions) reached 4,120 F4U-1s, 735 F3A-1s and 3,808 FG-1s; of these 2,012 were supplied to the UK's Fleet Air Arm and 370 to New Zealand. Indeed, it was the Royal Navy's Corsair Mk II aircraft of No. 1834 Squadron that were the first Corsairs to operate from a carrier when, on 3 April 1944, they took part in operations against the Tirpitz.

Specification
Vought F4U-1 Corsair
Type: single-seat shipboard fighter
Powerplant: one 1491.4-kW (2,000-hp) Pratt & Whitney R-2800-8 radial piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 671 km/h (417 mph) at 6066 m (19,900 ft); initial climb rate 881 m (2,890 ft) per minute; service ceiling 11,247 m (36,900 ft); range 1,633 km (1,015 miles)
Weights: empty 4,074 kg (8,982 lb); maximum take-off 6,350 kg (14,000 lb)
Dimensions: span 12.50 m (41 ft 0 in); length 10.17 m (33 ft 4.5 in); height 4.90 m (16 ft 1 in); wing area 29.17 m² (314.0 sq ft)
Armament: six forward-firing 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns in the wings

The finest naval fighter produced in the war, Vought's distinctive Corsair was also an excellent ground-attack platform with bombs and rockets.

USA
Douglas SBD Dauntless

Developed directly from the Northrop BT-1 (the Northrop Corporation became a division of Douglas), the prototype of the Douglas SBD Dauntless two-seat carrierborne dive bomber was in fact a much modified production BT-1. Production orders for 57 SBD-1 and 87 SBD-2 aircraft were placed in April 1939, the former being delivered to US Marine Corps bombing and scout-bombing squadrons, and the latter to US Navy scout and bombing squadrons. The SBD-3, with two additional 12.7-mm (0.5-in) guns in the nose, self-sealing tanks and R-1820-52 engine, appeared in March 1941, and by the time of Pearl Harbor in December that year 584 SBD-3s had been delivered. Some 780 SBD-4 aircraft (with 24-volt electrical system but otherwise as the SBD-3 and produced at El Segundo, California) were built in 1942; photo-reconnaissance modifications (the SBD-1P, SBD-2P and SBD-3P) were also produced during 1941-2. A new Douglas plant at Tulsa, Oklahoma, built 2,409 SBD-5 aircraft with 894.8-kW (1,200-hp) R-1820-60 engines, following these with 451 SBD-6 aircraft with -66 engines. The USAAF took delivery of 108 SBD-3A, 170 SBD-4A and 615 SBD-5A aircraft as the A-24, A-24A and A-24B respectively, bringing the total Douglas production to 5,936 SBDs. They were unquestionably one of the USA's most important weapons in the Pacific war, and sank a greater tonnage of Japanese shipping than any other aircraft, as well as playing a key part in the great battles of Midway, the Coral Sea and the Solomons.

Specification
Douglas SBD-5 Dauntless
Type: two-crew carrierborne scout/dive-bomber
Powerplant: one 894.8-kW (1,200-hp) Wright R-1820-60 radial piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 394 km/h (245 mph) at 4,816 m (15,800 ft); initial climb rate 363 m (1,190 ft) per minute; service ceiling 7,407 m (24,300 ft); range 1,770 km (1,100 miles)
Weights: empty 3,028 kg (6,675 lb); maximum take-off 4,924 kg (10,855 lb)
Dimensions: span 12.65 m (41 ft 6.25 in); length 10.06 m (33 ft 0 in); height 3.94 m (12 ft 11 in); wing area 30.19 m² (325.0 sq ft)
Armament: two fixed forward-firing 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns in the wings, two trainable 7.62-mm (0.3-in) machine-guns in the rear cockpit, plus a bombload of one 726-kg (1,600-lb) bomb under the fuselage and two 147-kg (325-lb) bombs under the wings.

Douglas SBD-4 Dauntless of VMF-243, 1st Marine Air Wing, USMC, based on Munda, New Georgia island (Solomons) in August 1943.
Grumman F4F Wildcat

When first flown on 2 September 1937, the Grumman XF4F-2 single-seat naval fighter prototype proved to be only 16 km/h (10 mph) faster than the Brewster F2A-1, and only when a two-stage supercharged XR-1830-76 was fitted was the true potential of the design recognized, and a speed of 537 km/h (333.5 mph) was recorded during US Navy trials with the XF4F-3. Some 54 production F4F-3 fighters were ordered in August 1939, 22 of which had been delivered by the end of 1940. These aircraft (Grumman's first monoplanes for the US Navy and later named Wildcat) served with VF-4 and VF-7, and were followed by 95 F4F-3A aircraft with single-stage supercharged R-1830-90 engines. The Wildcat was ordered by France in 1939 but the entire batch of 81 aircraft was transferred to the UK, with whose Royal Navy they served as the Martlet, being first flown in combat during 1940. US Navy and US Marine Corps F4Fs were heavily engaged during the early months of the war with the Japanese, numerous aircraft being destroyed on the ground, but also scoring a number of outstanding victories. The F4F-4, with manually-folding wings (of which 1,169 were produced), was delivered during 1942, and an unarmed long-range reconnaissance version of this, the F4F-7, had a range of over 5633 km (3,500 miles). The F4F-4 was also built by General Motors as the FM-1, and a more powerful version, the FM-2, for operation from escort carriers. FM-1s and 2s were supplied to the UK as the Wildcat Mk V and Wildcat Mk VI (the name Martlet having been dropped). F4F-4s were heavily committed in the battles of the Coral Sea and Midway. Total production of the Wildcat (excluding prototypes) was 7,885, including 5,237 FM-Is and FM-2s by General Motors, and 1,100 for the UK.

Specification
Grumman F4F-4 Wildcat
Type: single-seat shipboard fighter
Powerplant: one 894.8-kW (1,200-hp) Pratt & Whitney R-1830-86 radial piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 512 km/h (318 mph) at 5913 m (19,400 ft); initial climb rate 594 m (1,950 ft) per minute; service ceiling 10638 m (34,900 ft); range 1239 km (770 miles)
Weights: empty 2624 kg (5,785 lb); maximum take-off 3607 kg (7,952 lb)
Dimensions: span 11.58 m (38 ft 0 in); length 8.76 m (28 ft 9 in); height 3.61 m (11 ft 10 in); wing area 24.15 m² (260.0 sq ft)
Armament: six forward-firing 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine guns; FM-2 had four guns and provision to carry two 113-kg (250-lb) bombs or six 127-mm (5-in) rockets

General Motors (Grumman) Wildcat Mk VI (FM-2) of No. 835 Sqn, Fleet Air Arm, aboard HMS Naylan in August 1944.

Although slow and unmanoeuvrable when compared with the Mitsubishi A6M, the Grumman F4F was the best that the US Navy could field in the early days of the war. Flown by highly trained and brave pilots, the Wildcat held its own until more modern aircraft arrived in service.

Grumman F6F Hellcat

One of America's best wartime shipboard fighters, and ably partnering the F4U Corsair, the Grumman F6F Hellcat was the logical development of the F4F Wildcat, and was first flown as the XF6F-3 on 26 June 1942; this was given an uprated engine and flew again five weeks later. Deliveries to VF-9 aboard USS Essex started early in 1943; nightfighter versions were the F6F-3E and F6F-3N with radar in a wing pod. In 1944 the F6F-5 appeared with provision for 907 kg (2,000 lb) of bombs and two 20-mm cannon sometimes replacing the inboard wing 12.7-mm (0.5-in) guns; the radar-equipped night-fighter version was the F6F-5N; production totalled 6,435 F6F-5Ns, while 252 F6F-3s and 930 F6F-5s served with the British Fleet Air Arm as the Hellcat Mk I and Hellcat Mk II respectively. Production of all F6Fs amounted to 12,275, and official figures credited the US Navy and Marine Corps aircraft with the destruction of 5,156 enemy aircraft in air combat, about 75 per cent of all the US Navy's air combat victories in the war. The Hellcat's greatest single victory was in that largest of all carrier operations, the Battle of the Philippine Sea, in which 15 American carriers embarked 480 F6F fighters (plus 2a2 dive-bombers and 199 torpedo-bombers); by the end of a week's fighting Task Force 58 had destroyed more than 400 Japanese aircraft and sunk three carriers. Hellcats were still serving with the US Navy several years after the war.

Grumman Hellcat Mk II of No. 800 Sqn, Fleet Air Arm, flying from HMS Emperor off the coast of Malaya in September 1945. The Fleet Air Arm adopted US-style midnight blue in the Far East and some aircraft sported white bars each side of the national insignia.

### Specification

**Grumman F6F-5 Hellcat**

- **Type:** single-seat shipboard fighter
- **Powerplant:** one 1491.4-kW (2,000-hp) Pratt & Whitney R-2800-10W radial piston engine
- **Performance:** maximum speed 612 km/h (380 mph) at 7132 m (23,400 ft); initial climb rate 908 m (3,000 ft) per minute; service ceiling 11369 m (37,300 ft); range 1521 km (945 miles)
- **Weights:** empty 4190 kg (9,238 lb); maximum take-off 6991 kg (15,413 lb)
- **Dimensions:** span 13.05 m (42 ft 10 in); length 10.24 m (33 ft 7 in); height 3.99 m (13 ft 1 in); wing area 334.0 sq ft
- **Armament:** six 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine guns in wings, or two 20-mm cannon and four 12.7-mm (0.5-in) guns in wings, plus provision for two 454-kg (1,000-lb) bombs

The Hellcat finally enabled the Americans to defeat the Mitsubishi A6M. This VD-5 aircraft was used in the photo-reconnaissance role.

---

**Grumman TBF Avenger**

Destined to become one of the best shipborne torpedo-bombers of the war, the Grumman TBF-Avenger first saw combat during the great Battle of Midway. The XTB-1 prototype was first flown on 1 August 1941 after an order for 286 aircraft had already been placed. The first TBF-1 aircraft appeared in January 1942 and VT-8 ('Torpedo-Eight') received its first aircraft during the following May. On 4 June six of VT-8's aircraft were launched at the height of the Battle of Midway, but only one returned - and this with one dead gunner and the other wounded. Despite this inauspicious start, production was accelerating as General Motors undertook production in addition to Grumman, producing the TBM-1 version. Sub-variants included the TBF-1C with two 20-mm cannon in the wings, the TBF-3B which was supplied to the UK under Lend-Lease, the TBF-ID with ASV radar, and the TBF-1L with a searchlight in the bomb bay. Production of the TBF-1 and TBM-1, as well as sub-variants, were 2,990 and 2,882 respectively.

Specification

**Grumman (General Motors) TBM-3E Avenger**

- **Type:** three-crew carrierborne torpedo-bomber
- **Powerplant:** one 1416.8-kW (1,900-hp) Wright R-2600-20 radial piston engine
- **Performance:** maximum speed 444 km/h (276 mph) at 5029 m (16,500 ft); initial climb rate 628 m (2,060 ft) per minute; service ceiling 9174 m (30,100 ft); range 1625 km (1,010 miles)
- **Weights:** empty 4783 kg (10,545 lb); maximum take-off 8117 kg (17,895 lb)
- **Dimensions:** span 16.51 m (54 ft 2 in); length 12.48 m (40 ft 11.5 in); height 4.70 m (15 ft 5 in); wing area 490.0 sq ft
- **Armament:** two fixed forward-firing 12.7-mm (0.5-in) guns, one 12.7-mm (0.5-in) gun in dorsal turret and one 7.62-mm (0.3-in) gun in ventral position, plus an offensive load of up to 907 kg (2,000 lb) of bombs, or one torpedo, in weapons bay

Avengers replaced the hopelessly outclassed Devastator on the torpedo squadrons from 1942 onwards. These Avengers are seen on a practice torpedo run.
Hawker Sea Hurricane

Based on the RAF's Hurricane, the Hawker Sea Hurricane was introduced to provide modern fighter protection for convoys of merchant ships. Over 800 were delivered, the majority of them being conversions of Hurricanes, including many which had seen operational service. A number were modifications of newly delivered Canadian-built aircraft. The first version to appear was the Sea Hurricane Mk IA fitted with catapult spools so that they could be flown from specially fitted merchant ships in the event of the appearance of an enemy aircraft. This was followed by the Sea Hurricane Mk IB, which in addition to the spools had deck arrestor gear to enable it to be used for carrier operations. The Sea Hurricane Mk IC, of which only a few were produced, had four wing-mounted 20-mm cannon in place of the machine-guns of the earlier versions. Re-engined with the Rolls-Royce Merlin XX it became the Sea Hurricane Mk IIB when fitted with machine-guns, and the Sea Hurricane Mk IIC with cannon. Canadian-built aircraft also used these designations, irrespective of their original mark numbers.

Sea Hurricanes first entered operational service in February 1941 with No. 804 Squadron for deployment from catapult-armed merchantmen, or CAM-ships as they were generally known. The first carrier squadron to equip was No. 880 Squadron in March 1941, seeing action in July from HMS Furious during a raid on the Arctic port of Petsamo. The following month an aircraft of No. 804 Squadron catapulted from HMS Magnificent accounted for a Focke-Wulf Condor. The disadvantage of this method of operation was that unless the pilot could reach land he had no choice but to ditch his aircraft. The CAM-ship task and aircraft were later passed on to the RAF's Merchant Ship Fighter Unit at Speke. When the first escort carriers came into service with the Royal Navy, Sea Hurricanes were attached to several of them, seeing service in the Arctic and the Mediterranean until being replaced in 1943 by Seafires and Wildcats.

Specification
Hawker Sea Hurricane Mk IIC
Type: carrierborne fighter
Powerplant: one 954.5-kW (1,280-hp) Rolls-Royce Merlin XX V-12 piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 505 km/h (314 mph) at 5944 m (19,500 ft); service ceiling 10516 m (34,500 ft); range 1207 km (750 miles)
Weights: empty 2617 kg (5,770 lb); maximum take-off 3511 kg (7,740 lb)
Dimensions: span 12.20 m (40 ft 0 in); length 9.83 m (32 ft 3 in); height 4.00 m (13 ft 1 in); wing area 23.92 m² (257.5 sq ft)
Armament: four 20-mm cannon

After the failure of such types as the Sea C掠ator and Fulmar to provide adequate fighter protection, the Hurricane was hastily adapted for carrier decks. The result was a fine fighter which saw much action.

Supermarine Seafire

Following the success of the Sea Hurricane adaptation, a Spitfire VB was fitted with a V arrester hook and catapult spools, and factory trials were carried out in HMS Illustrious towards the end of 1941. A number of these aircraft with B type wings were similarly modified and named Supermarine Seafire Mk IB. In May 1942 the Seafire Mk IIC began to come off the production line, being the Seafire FR.Mk 46. A reconnaissance version being the Seafire FR.Mk 47 variant, had power-folding wings and other changes. The Seafire participated successfully in the North African landings in November 1942, and later at Salerno and the south of France. Its principle failing was highlighted at Salerno, where lack of windspeed over the carrier decks led to numerous collapsed landing gears. Several squadrons were active in the Pacific, and after the war the Griffon-engined versions remained in service until 1954, many with reserve squadrons.

Specification
Supermarine Seafire F.Mk III
Type: carrierborne fighter
Powerplant: one 1096-kW(1,470-hp) Rolls-Royce Merlin 45, 50 or 55 V-12 piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 566 km/h (352 mph) at 3734 m (12,250 ft); service ceiling 10302 m (33,800 ft); range 748 km (465 miles) on internal fuel
Weights: empty 2449 kg (5,400 lb); maximum take-off 3175 kg (7,000 lb)
Dimensions: span 11.23 m (36 ft 10 in); length 9.12 m (29 ft 11 in); height 3.48 m (11 ft 5 in); wing area 22.48 m² (242.0 sq ft)
Armament: two 20-mm cannon and four 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns, plus provision for one 227-kg (500-lb) bomb or two 113-kg (250-lb) bombs

Seafires were potent fighters with high performance for a deck-launched aircraft but suffered a great deal from weak undercarriages and relatively high landing speed.
which it was intended to replace, the Fairey Albacore was in essence a cleaned-up version of the celebrated 'Stringbag' with an enclosed cabin to improve the operational efficiency of the crew and a Bristol Taurus radial to provide higher performance despite considerably greater weights. First flown in December 1938, the initial prototype was fitted with a wheel landing gear, while the second had twin floats. The Albacore, which was inevitably called the 'Applecore' in service, differed from the Swordfish in being used operationally only on the wheeled type of landing gear. The type entered service with the Royal Navy's Fleet Air Arm in 1940, and production amounted to 798 aircraft. The Albacore was first flown in action during attacks on Boulougne in September 1940. Most Albacores were land-based throughout their careers, but the type's brief moment of glory arrived when the Albacores from the carrier HMS Formidable severely damaged the Italian battleship Vittorio Veneto during the Battle of Cape Matapan in March 1941. After this time the Albacore was occasionally used for bombing in the Western Desert, usually at night to prevent the depredations of Axis fighters, and the type played an important part in the operations leading up to the Battle of Alamain in October 1942. In carrier operations the Albacore saw service in the North Atlantic, Arctic, Mediterranean and Indian oceans, and the type was also used with some success as a support aircraft during seaborne invasions, notably those of Sicily, Italy and northern France, the last in the hands of Royal Canadian Air Force squadrons.

Specification
Fairey Albacore
Type: three-crew shipborne torpedo-bomber
Powerplant: one 794.2-kW (1,065-hp) Bristol Taurus II radial piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 259 km/h (161 mph) at 2134 m (7,000 ft); climb to 1829 m (6,000 ft) in 8.0 minutes; service ceiling 6309 m (20,700 ft); range 1320 km (820 miles); maximum take-off 6396 kg (14,100 lb); Dimensions: span 8.0 m (25 ft 10 in); length 12.13 m (39 ft 9.5 in); height 4.65 m (15 ft 3 in); wing area 57.88 m² (623.0 sq ft)
Armament: two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Vickers machine-guns in the rear cockpit, or four 204-kg (450-lb) depth charges, or six 113-kg (250-lb) bombs up to 907 kg (2,000 lb) of bombs

Fairey Barracuda

Intended to replace the Albacore, itself a replacement for the Swordfish, the Fairey Barracuda was an altogether more advanced aircraft conceptually, and was designed as a high-performance monoplane to meet a 1937 requirement. The intended powerplant was the Rolls-Royce Exe, and the programme was delayed substantially when this engine was abandoned and the structure had to be revised to accommodate a Merlin engine from the same manufacturer. Thus the Barracuda prototype did not fly until 7 December 1940, and it was immediately apparent that the performance of the heavy Barracuda would be limited by the power available: the 939.6-kW (1,260-hp) Merlin XXX in the Barracuda Mk I and the 1222.9-kW (1,640-hp) Merlin 32 for the Barracuda Mk II and Barracuda Mk III. At a time when production priorities were afforded mostly to the R.A.F., deliveries of the Barracuda to the Fleet Air Arm were slow to start, and it was January 1943 before Barracuda Mk Is began to enter service with the Fleet Air Arm. The Barracuda Mk I was little more than a service-test type, only 23 being built. The two main wartime models were thus the Barracuda Mk II with ASV Mk UN radar (1.635 built by Fairey, Blackburn, Boulton Paul and Westland) and the Barracuda II, or the Barracuda I, a torpedo-reconnaissance version with ASV Mk X radar (912 built by the parent company). The Barracuda saw only limited service in home waters, the highpoint of its career being a highly successful strike on the German battleship Tirpitz in April 1944; but in the Pacific campaigns of 1944 and 1945 the Barracuda was one of the more prominent British aircraft.

Specification
Fairey Barracuda Mk II
Type: three-crew shipborne torpedo-and dive-bomber
Powerplant: one 1222.9-kW (1,640-hp) Rolls-Royce Merlin 32 V-12 piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 367 km/h (228 mph) at 533 m (1,750 ft); climb to 1524 m (5,000 ft) in 6.0 minutes; service ceiling 5060 m (16,600 ft); range 1851 km (1,150 miles);
Weights: empty 4241 kg (9,350 lb); maximum take-off 6396 kg (14,100 lb);
Dimensions: span 14.99 m (49 ft 12 in); length 12.12 m (39 ft 9 in); height 4.60 m (15 ft 1 in); wing area 34.09 m² (367.0 sq ft)
Armament: two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Vickers K machine-guns in the rear cockpit, plus one 750-kg (1,620-lb) torpedo, or four 204-kg (450-lb) depth charges, or six 113-kg (250-lb) bombs

Altogether more advanced than the Albacore, the Barracuda was delayed by difficulties with engine-mounting. When it did reach service in January 1943, the aircraft acquitted itself well, especially during the attacks on Tirpitz.
**Fairey Firefly**

Numbered amongst the most successful aircraft ever used by the Fleet Air Arm, the Fairey Firefly served in its various versions for nearly 15 years, a total of 1,702 being produced before production ceased in 1956. The prototype took the air on 22 December 1941, and the first production Firefly F.Mk I entered service in March 1943. Later production Mk Is were fitted with ASH radar, in which form they became Firefly FR.Mk I reconnaissance fighters. A number of aircraft were produced as Fairey NF.Mk I night-fighters equipped with a different radio for night flying, and with shrouded exhausts. Another night-fighter version, the Fairey NF.Mk II, had AL Mk X radar mounted on each wing, whilst the Firefly F.Mk IA was a modification of the Mk I brought up to FR.Mk I standard by the addition of ASH radar. A trial modification fitted with a Griffon 61 and a nose radiator was designated Firefly F.Mk 3, but this was superseded by the Firefly FR.Mk 4 reconnaissance fighter with a Griffon 74. This went into service in 1946, but from 1948 it gave way to variants of the Firefly Mk 5 with improved equipment, the surviving FR.Mk 4s being converted to Firefly TT.Mk 4 target tugs. Then followed the Firefly AS.Mk 6 anti-submarine reconnaissance and strike aircraft, the final version to enter first-line service, of which 152 were delivered as such, including some converted from Mk 5s on the production line, in addition to many other Mk 5s converted after seeing service. Other versions of the Firefly included trainers and target drones, the last to appear being the Firefly U.Mk 9 drone in 1956.

The Firefly was an immediate success on entering service, participating in attacks on the German battleship Tirpitz as well as taking part in numerous Norwegian raids. It was equally successful in the Pacific, making raids against Japanese occupied islands early in 1945, and against the Japanese mainland shortly before VJ-day. In the post-war years several squadrons took an active part in the Korean War, and one squadron later carried out attacks against Malayan bandits.

**Specification**

**Fairey Firefly F.Mk I**
- Type: two-seat carrierborne fighter
- Powerplant: one 1294-kW (1,735-hp) Rolls-Royce Griffon IB V-12 piston engine
- Performance: maximum speed 509 km/h (316 mph) at 4267 m (14,000 ft); service ceiling 8534 m (28,000 ft); range 2092 km (1,300 miles)
- Weights: empty 4423 kg (9,750 lb); maximum take-off 6359 kg (14,020 lb)
- Dimensions: span 13.56 m (44 ft 6 in); length 11.46 m (37 ft 7 in); height 4.14 m (13 ft 7 in); wing area 30.47 m² (328.0 sq ft)

**Firefly F.Mk II**
- Performance: maximum speed 509 km/h (316 mph) at 4267 m (14,000 ft); service ceiling 8534 m (28,000 ft); range 2092 km (1,300 miles)
- Weights: empty 4423 kg (9,750 lb); maximum take-off 6359 kg (14,020 lb)
- Dimensions: span 13.56 m (44 ft 6 in); length 11.46 m (37 ft 7 in); height 4.14 m (13 ft 7 in); wing area 30.47 m² (328.0 sq ft)
- Armament: four 20-mm cannon, plus eight 2.7-kg (60-lb) rocketsortwo 454 (1,000-lb) bombs

**Fairey Fulmar**

The first true shipborne monoplane fighter for the Fleet Air Arm, the eight-gun Fairey Fulmar tends to be overlooked in the part it played in the first three years of the war, until replaced by deck-operating adaptations of the Hurricane and Spitfire, and by the Martlet. Developed from the Fairey P.4/34 light bomber prototypes which flew in 1937, the Fulmar fleet fighter prototype was flown on 4 January 1940, with production aircraft being completed soon after. Early trials showed the aircraft to have a disappointing performance, although it was recognized as being a fairly large aeroplane with the same engine as the Hurricane single-seater. In 1942, after 127 production Fulmar Mk 1 fighters had been completed, the Fulmar Mk II appeared with 939.6-kW (1,260-hp) Rolls-Royce Merlin XXX (1,260-hp) Merlin XXX, an engine which raised the top speed to 438 km/h (272 mph). Fulmar Mk Is of No. 808 Squadron of the Fleet Air Arm were listed in RAF Fighter Command’s order of battle during the Battle of Taranto, and soon afterwards from Airc Royal defending the vital convoys sailing to Malta. At the Battle of Cape Matapan Fulmars from Formidable executed the Albacores and Swordfish which torpedoed the Italian battleship Vittorio Veneto. Early in 1942, as Japanese naval forces sailed into the Indian Ocean to threaten Ceylon, two squadrons of Fulmars were based there as part of Colombo’s air defence, when confronted for the first time by the much superior carrierbased Mitsubishi A6M fighters the Fulmars were utterly outclassed and almost all were shot down or damaged. A total of 450 Fulmar Mk Us was built, and some served as night-fighters.

**Specification**

**Fairey Fulmar Mk I**
- Type: two-seat carrierborne fighter
- Powerplant: one 4627 kg (10,200 lb) Rolls-Royce Merlin V-12-piston engine
- Performance: maximum speed 509 km/h (316 mph) at 4267 m (14,000 ft); service ceiling 8534 m (28,000 ft); range 2092 km (1,300 miles)
- Weights: empty 3349 kg (7,384 lb); maximum take-off 4627 kg (10,200 lb)
- Dimensions: span 13.56 m (44 ft 6 in); length 11.46 m (37 ft 7 in); height 4.14 m (13 ft 7 in); wing area 30.47 m² (328.0 sq ft)
- Armament: eight 2.7-mm (0.03-in) machine-guns in wings, and a few aircraft also had a single trainable 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun in the rear cockpit

**Fairey Swordfish**

Of all aircraft regarded as anachronisms the Fairey Swordfish torpedo-bomber must be the supreme example, for even back in the 1930s it appeared archaic and cumbersome. Stemming from an earlier design whose prototype had crashed, the first prototype Swordfish (the TS.R.I) first flew on 17 April 1934 and the production Swordfish Mk I was prepared to Specification S.38/34 with slightly swept-back top wing; construction was all-metal with fabric covering. By the outbreak of war in 1939 a total of 58 aircraft had been delivered or were on order for the Fleet Air Arm at home and at Malta, and at the outbreak of war. A total of 152 Swordfish Mk Is was delivered to the Fleet Air Arm, the Fairey Firefly served in its various versions for nearly 15 years.
Throughout the later months of the war, Swordfish were used on general attacks against German shipping in the North Sea. These were often small vessels and their light defences proved inadequate against the tough Swordfish. Rockets were the favoured weapon for these strikes.

order, serving with both wheel and float landing gear aboard Royal Navy carriers, battleships, battle-cruisers and cruisers in the torpedo-spotter reconnaissance role. Among the memorable events in which the old 'Stringbag' participated was the action at Taranto on 11 November 1940, when Swordfish aircraft from HMS *Illustrious* severely damaged three Italian battleships; the crippling of the *Bismarck* in the Atlantic; and the suicidal attack on the German warships, *Scharnhorst*, *Gneisenau* and *Prinz Eugen* during their famous escape up the English Channel in February 1942. Production of the Swordfish was undertaken largely by Blackburn, the Swordfish Mk II being introduced with a strengthened lower wing to allow eight rocket projectiles to be mounted, the Swordfish Mk III with ASV radar between the landing legs, and the Swordfish Mk IV conversion of the Mk II with a rudimentary enclosed cabin. Production ended on 18 August 1944, by which time a total of 2,396 Swordfish had been completed.

**Fairey Swordfish Mk II**

*Type:* three-crew torpedo/anti-submarine aircraft  
*Powerplant:* one 559.3-kW (750-hp) Bristol Pegasus XXX radial piston engine  
*Performance:* maximum speed 222 km/h (138 mph) at sea level; initial climb rate 372 m (1,220 ft) per minute; service ceiling 5,867 m (19,300 ft); range 879 km (546 miles)  
*Weights:* empty 2,132 kg (4,700 lb); maximum take-off 3,406 kg (7,510 lb)  
*Dimensions:* span 12.87 m (45 ft 6 in); length 10.87 m (35 ft 8 in); height 3.76 m (12 ft 4 in); wing area 56.39 m² (607.0 sq ft)  
*Armament:* one fixed forward-firing 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun and one tramable 7.7-mm (0.303-in) gun in rear cockpit, plus an offensive load of one 457-mm (18-in) torpedo or eight 27.2-kg (60-lb) rocket projectiles  
*Specification*  

**Fairey Swordfish Mk II**

Type: three-crew torpedo/anti-submarine aircraft  
Powerplant: one 559.3-kW (750-hp) Bristol Pegasus XXX radial piston engine  
Performance: maximum speed 222 km/h (138 mph) at sea level; initial climb rate 372 m (1,220 ft) per minute; service ceiling 5,867 m (19,300 ft); range 879 km (546 miles)  
Weights: empty 2,132 kg (4,700 lb); maximum take-off 3,406 kg (7,510 lb)  
Dimensions: span 12.87 m (45 ft 6 in); length 10.87 m (35 ft 8 in); height 3.76 m (12 ft 4 in); wing area 56.39 m² (607.0 sq ft)  
Armament: one fixed forward-firing 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun and one tramable 7.7-mm (0.303-in) gun in rear cockpit, plus an offensive load of one 457-mm (18-in) torpedo or eight 27.2-kg (60-lb) rocket projectiles
Transport and Assault Aircraft

Military transport aircraft were to make a significant breakthrough during World War II by developing the ability to deliver manpower and weapons direct to the battlefield from the air: this was the ultimate expression of mobile warfare.

Douglas C-47 Dakotas and Waco CG-4 Hadrian gliders lining an airstrip in March 1945; both were essential tools for delivering forces direct to the battlefield.

We ought to have a corps of at least 5000 parachute troops.

Thus wrote Winston Churchill to his chief of staff on 22 June 1940. This message was, in effect, to give birth to the British airborne division.

It has long been the dream of military men to have the means and the capability to transport large numbers of soldiers by air around the war zone, and at least one Napoleonic print exists showing this being achieved across the English Channel, each man under an individual balloon! However, it was the Soviet Union and not Britain which was to give the dream substance, for it was during the summer manoeuvres of 1936 that a demonstration troop of 1200 Soviet soldiers complete with 150 machine-guns served to impress the world.

Even so, Nazi Germany was quick to imitate such a manoeuvre and it was her paratroops and a new method of transporting armed men, by means of towed gliders, that first saw both methods of transport used in action during the opening months of World War II. The lessons learned from this experience were soon being applied by the Germans to the altogether larger action in Crete.

Up until this time, transport aircraft had been used only as aerial troop carriers. However, following on from the advances initiated by the Soviet Union and Germany, a third method of transportation now awaited these aircraft: that of air-landing operations in which soldiers were disembarked directly under fire on to enemy territory. All three methods were used on a scale hitherto only imagined when the UK, the USA and their allies invaded the continent of Europe in 1944, moving by air not only men and arms, but also heavy equipment and vehicles, some (like the human cargoes) even being deposited by parachute.

Although made as perfect as possible, the susceptibility to error of these schemes was brought sharply home by the Arnhem operation, while the aerial movement of armies had spawned a whole range of ancillary systems, such as the reclaiming of gliders by the snatch method. After almost 200 years the dream had become a reality.
In common with its more prolific contemporary, the Douglas C-47, the Curtiss C-46 Commando was initially developed for the civil market, in the shape of the CW-20 prototype which first flew on 26 March 1940 on the power of two 1193.1-kW (1,600-hp) Wright Cyclone 586-C14-BA2 engines. The aircraft featured a twin-finned tail unit but this was soon changed to a large single unit. In September 1940 a large order was placed for a militarized version which was to be designated C-46 and powered by the Pratt & Whitney Double Wasp.

Quickly following the C-46 was the main production version, the C-46A, which featured double cargo doors and a hydraulic winch. This allowed the crew to load the aircraft without ground assistance. Other main versions were the C-46D with revised nose and doors for paratroop operations, and the C-46F which introduced more powerful engines and blunted wing tips. The C-46E sported a stepped windscreen.

In service the C-46 proved reliable and able to carry much greater loads than the C-47, and the large-diameter cabin allowed awkward items to be carried. The cabin floor was strengthened to allow the airlift of light vehicles and artillery.

The C-46 entered service in mid-1942 and was used initially on local duties. Its operations were soon extended to cover the South Atlantic routes supplying the Allied troops in North Africa but it was in Europe and the Far East that the aircraft was used extensively, its most famous route being over the 'Hump' between India and China. This consisted of mountainous passes and treacherous makeshift airfields, the cargoes often consisting of ammunition and fuel. The C-46 was used in most of the 'trucking' operations during the last two years of the Pacific war in the hands of the US Army Air Force and the US Marine Corps (designated R5C-1), and the end of hostilities in the Far East spelled the end of production for this hard-working beast of burden. A total of 3,180 C-46s was built, and many of these continued in US service throughout Korea until the early days of the Vietnam conflict. A handful continue in small-scale civil freighting today.

Specification
Curtiss C-46 Commando
Type: paratroop and general transport
Powerplant: two 1491.4-kW (2,000-hp) Pratt & Whitney R-2800-43 18-cylinder air-cooled radial piston engines
Performance: maximum speed 425 km/h (264 mph) at 3962 m (13,000 ft); service ceiling 8412 m (27,600 ft); range 3701 km (2,300 miles)
Weights: empty 13374 kg (29,485 lb); maximum take-off 21773 kg (48,000 lb)
Dimensions: span 32.92 m (108 ft 0 in); length 23.27 m (76 ft 4 in); height 6.71 m (22 ft 0 in); wing area 126.16 m² (1,338 sq ft)
Armament: none

On account of its better performance at altitude than the C-47 153 Sky train, the Curtiss C-46 Commando was extensively used by the USAAF in the Pacific theatre and for the supply of war matériel from India to China over the 'Hump'. Here Indian troops embark in a C-46A.
**Douglas C-47 Skytrain**

Probably the best known transport aeroplane of all time, whether as an airliner or military transport, the Douglas C-47 Skytrain evolved from the DC-3 airliner which introduced new levels of speed and comfort to travel during the late 1930s. First flown as a commercial aircraft on 17 December 1935, the C-47 was not ordered by the US Army Air Corps until 1940, the airline interior giving way to bucket seats along the cabin sides, and Pratt & Whitney R-1830 radials replacing the DC-3's Wright Cyclones. Some 93 C-47s were built before production switched to the C-47A with 24-volt in place of 12-volt electrical system; a total of 4,931 C-47As was built. High-altitude superchargers and R-1830-90 engines were introduced in 3,241 C-47B aircraft (including 133 TC-47B trainers) intended for use in South East Asia. Many other variations were produced under separate designations, of which the C-53 Skytrooper was the most important, being in effect an airliner standard aircraft for military purposes. Wartime military production of the C-47 reached 10,048, plus an estimated 2,700 produced in the Soviet Union as the Lisunov Li-2. It was also produced in Japan as the L2D. In the USAAF the C-47 became the standard transport and glider tug in service from 1942 onwards, being flown in large numbers in every airborne forces operation during the war; furthermore, some 1,895 Dakotas served with 25 RAF squadrons, the Dakota Mk I corresponding to the C-47, the Dakota Mk II to the C-53, the Dakota Mk III to the C-47A and the Dakota Mk IV to the C-47B. As late as 1961 the USAF still had over 1,000 C-47s on its inventory, and the type was also used by the US Navy as the R4D in several variants.

**Specification**

**Douglas C-47 Skytrain (Dakota Mk I)**

- **Type:** three-crew 27-troop military transport
- **Powerplant:** two 894.8-kW (1,200-hp) Pratt & Whitney R-1830-92 14-cylinder radial piston engines
- **Performance:** maximum speed 370 km/h (230 mph) at 2591 m (8,500 ft); climb to 3048 m (10,000 ft) in 9.6 minutes; service ceiling 7315 m (24,000 ft); range 2575 km (1,600 miles)
- **Weights:** empty 8255 kg (18,200 lb); maximum take-off 11793 kg (26,000 lb)
- **Dimensions:** span 29.11 m (95 ft 6 in); length 19.43 m (63 ft 9 in); height 5.18 m (17 ft 0 in); wing area 91.69 m² (987.0 sq ft)
- **Armament:** none

A sight typical of any one of a score of airfields in Britain occupied by the C-47s and C-53s of the US IX Troop Carrier Command in 1943-4. At peak strength the command in Britain fielded 52 squadrons in 13 groups, with almost 900 C-47s/53s. This picture was taken early in 1944.

Above: The C-47/Dakota gained affection borne of familiarity among Allied troops the world over, affectionately dubbed ‘Old Bucket Seats’ and ‘GooneyBird’. Shown here is a USAF aircraft in South East Asia that survived a suicide ramming by a Japanese fighter.

A C-47BSkytrain, the version developed specifically for night operations over the 'hump' to China. In the opinion of General Dwight D. Eisenhower the C-47 joined the bazooka, jeep and atomic bomb as the Allied weapons that contributed most to the victory in World War II.
Whilst it is now widely remembered as one of the most important bombers to see wartime service, the Consolidated B-24 Liberator also saw extensive use as a transport. Initial deliveries were to the British airline BOAC in March 1941; designated LB-30A, the first six aircraft were later transferred to RAF Ferry Command, along with aircraft subsequently delivered from the USA.

By June 1941, the US Air Corps Ferrying Command was receiving B-24A transports, these being similar in configuration to the LB-30As, and going on to see extensive wartime service around the world.

From those initial models, plans were put in hand to produce a dedicated transport variant, this taking shape in 1942 as the C-87, a development of the B-24D; 287 were ordered by the USAAC.

Apart from use by the US Army and US Navy (RY), this Consolidated C-87 version of the Liberator was also used by the RAF which flew its Liberator C.Mk VII transports for air trooping on an extensive scale. The purpose of this new mobility was at first the necessity of moving men to India for operations against the Japanese and the repatriation of time-expired troops; six RAF Liberators were earmarked for the work in company with Short Stirlings and Douglas Dakotas, there being two each of these latter types.

With room for 38 men and stores, the capacious ex-bomber was the subject for several experiments into the new art of mass-transportation of troops, so that two were the subject of trials after the war to compare the advantages of matting (on which the men could lie) with those of conventional seats. But the design was not without its problems, such as a weak nose wheel, so swift had been the C-87s development.

Specification
Consolidated C-87 Liberator
Type: air trooping and cargo transport
Powerplant: four 894.8-kW (1,200-hp) Pratt & Whitney Twin Wasp R-1830-43 14-cylinder air-cooled radial piston engines
Performance: maximum speed 435.5 km/h (270 mph) at 6096 m (20,000 ft); service ceiling 9754 m (32,000 ft); range 3685 km (2,290 miles)
Weights: empty 16,783 kg (37,000 lb); maximum take-off 28,123 kg (62,000 lb)
Dimensions: span 25.50 m (83 ft 8 in); length 14.73 m (48 ft 3.75 in); height 5.46 m (17 ft 11 in); wing area 97.55 m² (1,050 sq ft)
Armament: none

Utilizing the aircraft's long range, RAF Transport Command used various versions of the Consolidated Liberator, converted for troop transport and staff transport. Flying the command's routes between the UK, the Middle East and the Far East, these makeshift transports served with the RAF's Nos 46 and 229 Groups.

 BOTH the USAAF and RAF flew the B-24 Liberator as VIP transports. The aircraft depicted here, an LB-30B diverted from an RAF order to an early USAF contract, was a VIP transport based at Boiling Field, Washington, in the autumn of 1941. The prominent American flag marking was applied to emphasize America's neutrality at that time.

The only US glider to see combat service, the Waco CG-4A (known to the British as the Hadrian and to the Americans as the Haig) was constructed from steel tube and wood (covered with fabric) with a large hinged nose to allow the loading and unloading of light vehicles, although the type could also be used for the transport of 15 fully armed troops who travelled seated on benches along the fuselage walls.

Developed from the smaller XCG-3, which seated only nine, the CG-4A, or Jayhawk as it was nicknamed, was produced in large numbers at several plants, Beech, Boeing, Cessna and Ford all being involved, while the sub-assemblies were the products of often quite small cabinet-making firms.

Hadrians were first used in an operation by British and American airborne forces, when they were employed in the preliminary assaults of 1943 which led to the capture of Sicily during that summer. A second claim to fame from the war to compare the advantages of matting (on which the men could lie) with those of conventional seats. But the design was not without its problems, such as a weak nose wheel, so swift had been the C-87s development.

Specification
WacoCG-4A
Type: troop and supply glider
Performance: maximum towing speed 201 km/h (125 mph)
Weights: empty 1719 kg (3,790 lb); maximum take-off 4082 kg (9,000 lb)
Dimensions: span 33.53 m (110 ft 0 in); length 20.45 m (67 ft 1 in); height 5.46 m (17 ft 11 in); wing area 97,55 m² (1,050 sq ft)
Armament: none

The USAAF and RAF flew the B-24 Liberator as VIP transports. The aircraft depicted here, an LB-30B diverted from an RAF order to an early USAF contract, was a VIP transport based at Boiling Field, Washington, in the autumn of 1941. The prominent American flag marking was applied to emphasize America’s neutrality at that time.

Both the USAAF and RAF flew the B-24 Liberator as VIP transports. The aircraft depicted here, an LB-30B diverted from an RAF order to an early USAF contract, was a VIP transport based at Boiling Field, Washington, in the autumn of 1941. The prominent American flag marking was applied to emphasize America’s neutrality at that time.
What was to become Nazi Germany's chief troop glider for a substantial part of the war years was designed before 1937, when the DFS 230 was demonstrated to senior officers who were impressed by the quick deployment of its eight-man load when the glider landed, having cast off from a Junkers Ju 52/3m at 1000 m (3,280 ft). Thus a production contract quickly followed, a small glider command being formed in the next year.

The DFS 230A-1 initial production model made history on 10 May 1940 when the 'Fort of Eben-Emael' on the strategic perimeter of the Albert Canal in Belgium was captured in the early hours of the morning with little resistance after a gliderborne party of assault engineers had landed on its roof. This was the first time in history that gliders had been used in action and the sortie was sufficient to prove that troops delivered to a target in this way had distinct advantages over paratroops: the DFS 230A-1 glider featured in the first-ever assault by glider-borne troops when the invasion of Crete in 1941. Though small in size, the DFS 230A could carry 10 troops and 275 kg (606 lb) of military equipment.

The DFS 230 was deployed was certainly that carried out exactly a year later when Crete was invaded, the numbers involved being indicated by the fact that the lead group alone was made up of 53 of these aircraft. On the other hand, the losses sustained were such that an operation of this type was never again attempted.

However, the major action in which the DFS 230 was employed was certainly that carried out exactly a year later when Crete was invaded, the numbers involved being indicated by the fact that the lead group alone was made up of 53 of these aircraft. On the other hand, the losses sustained were such that an operation of this type was never again attempted. One reason for the enormous waste of life and matériel had been the small capacity of the DFS, and reports current at the time spoke of trains of up to six gliders being towed by a single Junkers Ju 52/3m, although the usual number was two or three. The DFS 230B-1 was similar to the DFS 230A-1 but for a braking parachute and provision for defensive armament.

DFS gliders were also used in North Africa, but probably the most interesting operation in which they were involved was the rescue of the imprisoned Mussolini from the Rfugio Hotel, Abruzzi by a party dropped from 12 DFS 230C-1 gliders (each with three braking rockets in the nose), the Italian dictator then being flown out in a Fieseler Storch. A later version, the DFS 230F-1, had a capacity of 15 men but it was not produced in numbers.

Specification
DFS230A-1
Type: troop glider

Above: First assault glider used by any airforce was the DFS 230A, which this example was allocated to I Gruppe, Luftlandgeschwader 1 for the invasion of Crete in 1941. Though small in size, the DFS 230A could carry 10 troops and 275 kg (606 lb) of military equipment.

The German eight-man DFS 230A glider featured in the first-ever assault by glider-borne troops when 41 of these aircraft carried 300 members of Sturm-Abteilung Koch into action against Fort Eben-Emael and other key targets in Belgium on 10 May 1940. The DFS 230A also participated in the costly invasion of Crete.

The Gotha Go 242 and Go 244

Capable of carrying either 21 troops and their equipment or a military vehicle, the Gotha Go 242 with its capacious central pod and twin booms offered obvious advantages over the small DFS 230 in that its capacity was something like three times greater.

Early models of both the freighter (Go 242A-1) and troop (Go 242A-2) versions appeared with rather crude landing gears but 1942, the year when the first examples were entering service, saw the introduction of a more refined landing gear with sprung oleo legs at each side (Go 242B-2). Additionally, the Gotha was the subject of trials of various rocket units to assist take-off, and was developed as the Go 242B-2 and Go 242B-3 paratroop versions with a large loading door at the rear, while the Go 242C version had a hull and floats so that landings on water were possible.

Some 1,500 Go 242 were delivered, the first operational use of these being made in the Middle East, but of this total 113 were converted to Go 244 standard.

This was a powered glider version with tricycle landing gear and two engines mounted on forward extensions of the tail booms, the first examples of this type being delivered to operational units in Crete and Greece in March 1942. Some 1,500 were formed the equipment of Geschwader in the Middle East and southern USSR, but on the former front they proved vulnerable to anti-aircraft fire and were withdrawn, being replaced by Junkers Ju 52/3m or Messerschmitt Me 323 transports.

Although the engines of most Go 244s were of French origin, some captured Soviet engines were tried, and plans were submitted for nose-mounted single-engine versions powered by the Argus As I0C or Junkers Jumo 211. Other developments included a pair designated Go 245 which would have had Argus pulsjets mounted under the wings and a conventional fuselage.

Specification
Gotha 244B
Type: transport
Powerplant: two 850.1-kW (1,140-hp) Gnome-Rhône 14N-14 cylinder air-cooled radial piston engines
Performance: maximum speed 290 km/h (180 mph/184 mph/172 mph); service ceiling 750 m (2,460 ft);

The Gotha Go 244B was a powered version of the Go 242 glider, the example shown carrying the insignia of Sturmp.Staffel, KG 255/106, early in 1943 in the Mediterranean theatre. The aircraft saw limited service in the Balkans and among the Aegean islands. It was armed with four manually-operated 7.92-mm (0.312-in) MG 34 machine-guns.

The Gotha Go 244B was a powered version of the Go 242 glider, the example shown carrying the insignia of Staffel, KG 255/106, early in 1943 in the Mediterranean theatre. The aircraft saw limited service in the Balkans and among the Aegean islands. It was armed with four manually-operated 7.92-mm (0.312-in) MG 34 machine-guns.

ifever-usedforassault, the Go 242 was, however, employed on the Eastern Front, the Balkans, Sicily and the Western Desert in support of the Wehrmacht in the field.

The Gotha Go 244B was a powered version of the Go 242 glider, the example shown carrying the insignia of Sturmp.Staffel, KG 255/106, early in 1943 in the Mediterranean theatre. The aircraft saw limited service in the Balkans and among the Aegean islands. It was armed with four manually-operated 7.92-mm (0.312-in) MG 34 machine-guns.

The Gotha Go 244B was a powered version of the Go 242 glider, the example shown carrying the insignia of Sturmp.Staffel, KG 255/106, early in 1943 in the Mediterranean theatre. The aircraft saw limited service in the Balkans and among the Aegean islands. It was armed with four manually-operated 7.92-mm (0.312-in) MG 34 machine-guns.

ifever-usedforassault, the Go 242 was, however, employed on the Eastern Front, the Balkans, Sicily and the Western Desert in support of the Wehrmacht in the field.

The German eight-man DFS 230A glider featured in the first-ever assault by glider-borne troops when 41 of these aircraft carried 300 members of Sturm-Abteilung Koch into action against Fort Eben-Emael and other key targets in Belgium on 10 May 1940. The DFS 230A also participated in the costly invasion of Crete.
Heinkel He 111H and He 111Z

Originally built as a bomber and used as a civil transport, the Siegfried and Walter Günther Heinkel He 111 design is better known as a bomber, so it is something of a surprise to learn that with the development of the special transport variants, the He 111H-20/R1, which was built from the outset as a paratroop transport with accommodation for 16 men, and as a civil transport, the Siegfried and Walter Günther Heinkel He 111 design was supported on the ground by means of Rüstung, or standard equipment sets, and was the He 111H-11/R2 glider tug, and the He 111H-23 for eight paratroopers.

Yet probably the strangest version of the basic He 111 design was that which became known as the He 111Z-1, consisting of two He 111H airframes joined by a special centre section containing a fifth Juno liquid-cooled motor, so that a span of 35.20 m (115 ft 5.8 in) resulted, as did a loaded weight of 28500 kg (62,832 lb). The task for this model was to tow the huge Messerschmitt Me 321 glider, or even three Gotha Go 242s, although the maximum speed possible when this was done was a mere 225 km/h (140 mph).

Historically the Heinkel transport is of interest in that the type was responsible for one of the last paratroop operations of the war. This took place when aircraft of TGR 30 based at Götzostein dropped men behind the Allied lines in 1944 during the battle of the Ardennes.

Specification
Heinkel He 111H-16/R Type: glider tug and paratroop transport
Powerplant: two 1006.7-kW (1,350-hp) Junkers Jumo 211F-2 12-cylinder liquid-cooled piston engines
Performance: maximum speed 400 km/h (249 mph) at 6000 m (19,685 ft); service ceiling 6700 m (21,982 ft); range 1950 km (1,212 miles)
Weights: empty 8680 kg (19,136 lb); maximum take-off 14000 kg (30,865 lb)
Dimensions: span 22.60 m (74 ft 1.8 in); length 17.50 m (57 ft 5 in); height 4.40 m (14 ft 5.2 in); wing area 87.6 m² (942.9 sq ft)
Armament: one 13-mm (0.51-in) MG131 in electrically-operated dorsal turret, and one 20-mm MG FF cannon in nose

Messerschmitt Me 321 and Me 323

Making its first flight in March 1941 behind a Junkers Ju 90 tug, the Messerschmitt Me 321 (a massive welded steel and wood glider with mixed ply and fabric covering) had surprisingly only one pilot on board, a man of sufficient stature to use the controls by physical means alone since there was no power assistance, although later three crew members became the accepted complement.

Designed to transport a company of soldiers, or an anti-aircraft gun, or a tracked vehicle or the equivalent weight of freight, the Me 321A initial version was supported on the ground by a multi-wheel bogie at each side, although in the later Me 321B a more conventional type with two large-diameter wheels was adopted. Even with a tow provided by means of three Messerschmitt Bf 110s or one Heinkel He 111Z, there was often insufficient power to lift the huge glider off the ground, so auxiliary rockets were provided. Trials were also made with pulsejets to increase range after release from the tow.

This problem led to the evolution of the similar Me 323D ‘powered glider’ series with six piston engines and a suitably-strengthened airframe, although the prototype was fitted with only four motors. Structurally similar to the earlier design, all the powered variants retained the clamshell doors in the nose and reverted to the bogie landing gear, and in this form the type was capable of providing transport for a minimum of 130 troops and a crew which was now increased to five on the Me 323D-6 variant which appeared in December 1942. The additional members were a pair of crew to look after the problem of engine synchro-

power this number was augmented by a further pair of men to operate an extra two gun turrets.

Produced in long runs to continue into 1945, it in fact ceased in the spring of the previous year when less than 200 examples had been delivered of the powered type, a design which (like its predecessor) was understandably unpopular with those who had to fly it and which proved devastatingly vulnerable to fighter interception.

Specification
Messerschmitt Me 323D-6 Type: heavy transport
Powerplant: six 850.1-kW (1,140-hp) Gnome-Rhône 14N 14-cylinder air-cooled radial piston engines
Performance: maximum speed 285 km/h (177 mph) at sealevel; range 1100 km (684 miles)
Weights: empty 27380 kg (60,252 lb); maximum take-off 43000 kg (94,799 lb)
Dimensions: span 55.00 m (180 ft 5.4 in); length 28.15 m (92 ft 4.3 in); height 8.30 m (27 ft 2.8 in); wing area 300 m² (3,229.3 sq ft)
Armament: varied, but frequently consisted of a maximum of 10 7.92-mm (0.312-in) MG34 or 42 machine-guns aimed through the sides of the fuselage, and five of similar calibre in the nose and upper fuselage

One of the war’s strangest expedients was the Heinkel He 111Z (Zwilling, or Twin), consisting of two He 111H joined together with a new centre wing section and fitted engine. The Z-1 glider-tug saw limited service behind the Eastern Front, capable of towing the huge Me 321 or three Co 242 gliders.

The six-engine Me 323 transport normally carried up to about 120 troops, though in emergencies about 200 could be crammed in. The aircraft here was an Me 323E with a defensive armament of six machine-guns and two 20-mm cannon.

Born of desperation, the huge six-engine Messerschmitt Me 323 transport was never intended for front-line service, being employed for movement of supplies and reinforcements behind the Eastern Front. When indeed they were used to support the doomed Axis forces in Tunisia in 1943 they were decimated by Allied fighters over the sea.
Savoia-Marchetti S.M.81 Pipistrello

After Italy’s surrender to the Allies in September 1943, elements of the Italian air force continued to serve alongside the Luftwaffe. This Savoia-Marchetti S.M.81 of the Gruppo Trasporti Terraciano, Republica Sociale Italiana, carried Luftwaffe markings on the Eastern Front in 1944.

Like the larger Junkers Ju 52/3m which it resembled, the Savoia-Marchetti S.M.81 Pipistrello (bat) had originally been designed as a bomber, the work of Alessandro Marchetti, and as such had seen service in both the Spanish and Abyssinian wars; also like the German design it had its root in civil aviation, being based on the S.M.73, which also had a fixed landing gear arrangement.

Dated as it did from 1935, the S.M.81 was already suffering a degree of obsolescence when Italy entered World War II, so that despite the robust mixed construction which proved capable of absorbing much battle damage, it was rapidly replaced as a bomber by the S.M.79, production having ceased in March 1938 after 534 had been delivered; the 304 which remained on the strength of the Regia Aeronautica were converted to troop carriers (18 men) after withdrawal from front-line squadriglie.

In this capacity, the S.M.81 was relegated to service on the African and Eastern fronts, where it proved sufficiently useful for production to be resumed in 1943 of the version which by then had been designated S.M.81/T. However, the coming of the armistice with the Allies in September 1943 meant that only about 80 of these additional aircraft were built, and only four remained in the south by this time.

Specification

Savoia-Marchetti S.M.81/T
Type: troop transport
Powerplant: three 499.6-kW (670-hp) Rhône 14K or the 499.6-kW (680-hp) Alfa Romeo 125 RC.35 or 126 RC.34 respectively, the 484.7-kW (650-hp) Gnome-Rhône 14K or the 499.6-kW (670-hp) Piaggio P.X RC.35.

Dimensions: span 24.0 m (78 ft 10 in); length 17.8 m (58 ft 4.8 in); height 4.5 m (14 ft 7.2 in); wing area 92.8 m² (998.9 sq ft).

Performance: maximum speed 340 km/h (211 mph) at 1000 m (3,281 ft); service ceiling 7000 m (22,966 ft); range 2000 km (1,243 miles).

Armament: five 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Breda SAFAT machine-guns.

Weights: empty 5800 kg (12,787 lb); maximum take-off 10500 kg (23,149 lb).

The Italian Savoia-Marchetti S.M.81 ‘Pipistrello served both as a bomber anda troop transport. In the latter role aircraft of the 37° Stormo took part in the 1939 invasion of Albania: dubbed Lumace (‘slugs’) on account of their very low speed, they also took part in the Axis evacuation of Tunisia in 1943.

Airspeed Horsa

It was December 1940 when the design staff of the Airspeed Company at London Colney received Specification X. 26/40 calling for a type capable of taking 23 soldiers with their equipment in a glider with a wing span greater than that of a Wellington bomber. About 11 months later one of a pair of Airspeed Horsa prototypes was towed off from Fairey’s Great West Aerodrome, later incorporated into London Airport, by an Armstrong Whitworth Whitley. Simultaneously, five more were being put together at Portsmouth to facilitate trial loading of military vehicles.

Two types of glider were evolved, the Horsa Mk I with a hinged door-ramp on the port side and towed by a T tow rope, and the Horsa Mk II with a single cable and a hinged nose to facilitate the loading of light guns etc.

Being made almost entirely of wood, the Horsa could be manufactured quite simply by the furniture industry, the well-known firm of Harris Lebus producing the majority, though the Austin Motor Company produced a quantity. The parent firm was responsible for a mere 700, the only Horsas built, assembled and tested on a single site. The overall total was 3,633.

One of the first uses of the Horsa was when 30 were towed in daylight to North Africa from the UK, and of this total only three were lost, one alone apparently to enemy action. A short time later the type received its baptism of fire during the invasion of Sicily: of 137 Waco Hadrian and Horsa gliders despatched, only 12 reached their correct landing zones, and 10 of these were Horsas. About a year later Horsas were in use during the D-Day invasion, and were employed in subsequent actions, including that at Arnhem when about 600 were operated.

On occasions such as these 20 men per aircraft were carried. The Horsa’s capacity being greater than that of the Hadrian, many Horsas went for use by US forces, although these continued to be towed into action by British tugs, wheels, although able to be jettisoned, were largely retained in action.

Specification

Airspeed Horsa Mk II
Type: troop and general transport glider
Performance: maximum towing speed 161 km/h (100 mph).

Weights: empty 3402 kg (7,500 lb); maximum take-off 6917 kg (15,250 lb)

Dimensions: span 26.82 m (88 ft 0 in); length 20.42 m (67 ft 0 in); height 6.40 m (21 ft 10 in); wing area 106.65 m² (1,148 sq ft).

The Airspeed Horsa Mk II

Runway scene in Britain in 1944 as Stirling MkIVs start their take-offs with Horsa gliders in tow-resplendent in their black and white ‘invasion stripes’. The Horsa MkII had a hinged nose for ease of loading.

Weights: empty 3402 kg (7,500 lb); maximum take-off 6917 kg (15,250 lb)

Dimensions: span 26.82 m (88 ft 0 in); length 20.42 m (67 ft 0 in); height 6.40 m (21 ft 10 in); wing area 106.65 m² (1,148 sq ft)
Armstrong Whitworth Albemarle

Originally a Bristol design for a reconnaissance bomber with Taurus motors, the Armstrong Whitworth Albemarle was transferred from its parent organization. When the prototype first flew on 20 March 1940, it was something of a pioneer in that not only did it incorporate composite steel and wood construction, which would have facilitated wide sub-contracting, but it also boasted a tricycle landing gear, an arrangement not hitherto used in the UK on a production design.

Delivery of the first examples was slow, not beginning until October 1941, the first 42 Albemarle Mk I aircraft alone being converted as bombers and subsequently converted, the remaining 558 Albemarles being produced as special transports and glider tugs. Manufacturing was entirely subcontracted outside the aircraft industry, final assembly being undertaken at a plant set up at Gloucester by Hawker Siddeley with the name of A.W. Hawsley Ltd.

Before December 1944 when production ceased, a total of 247 had been delivered as tugs (Albemarle, Mk V and Albemarle Mk VI for the most part), the first RAF squadron to receive the type being No. 295 in January 1943. It fell to another squadron, No. 297, to use the type first in action, when in July 1943 they towed gliders to the invasion of Sicily in company with those of No. 296.

An example of the special transport role of the Albemarle Mk II and Albemarle Mk VI took place during the D-Day landings in June 1944: on this occasion six Albemarles acted as pathfinders dropping men of the 22nd Independent Parachute Company. However, the type acted chiefly as a glider tug, four Albemarle squadrons taking Airspeed Horsas to France, while in September two squadrons from No. 38 Group took the gliders of the 1st Airborne Division to Arnhem. But probably the most abiding memory of the type is the pall of smoke in which they taxied on return, caused by the overheating engines having to supply high power at low speed.

This Armstrong Whitworth Albemarle Mk V of No. 297 Squadron was employed in the invasion of Italy in July 1943. A generally unpopular aircraft among both aircrew and passengers, it was unusual among British-designed wartime aircraft in being fitted with a tricycle landing gear.

Specification
Armstrong Whitworth Albemarle
MkII
Type: glider-tug and special transport
Powerplant: two 1185.7-kW (1,590-hp) Bristol Hercules XI 14-cylinder radial liquid-cooled piston engines
Performance: maximum speed 412 km/h (256 mph) at 3200 m (10,500 ft); service ceiling 5486 m (18,000 ft); range 2173 km (1,350 miles)
Weights: empty 10251 kg (22,600 lb); maximum take-off 16556 kg (36,500 lb)
Dimensions: span 23.47 m (77 ft 0 in); length 38.1 cm (15 in); height 4.75 m (15 ft 7 in); wing area 74.65 m² (803.6 sq ft)
Armament: two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) manually-operated Vickers ‘K’ machine-guns

The Albermarle, of largely wooden construction, having failed to find favour as a bomber, entered service as a transport with No. 295 Squadron, RAF, in January 1943 and, as a glider tug towing Horsas, took part in the landings in Sicily, Normandy and Arnhem.

Armstrong Whitworth Whitley

The first glider-tug and paratroop trainer had been an Armstrong Whitworth Whitley Mk II used by No. 1 Parachute Training School at Ringway in the summer of 1940. The drops were made from a platform in place of the rear turret, although exit was later made via the ventral turret aperture, and the aircraft made a strange sight with its landing gear lowered to reduce speed on practice runs.

Initial use of the type in airborne action was when Whitley Mk IV aircraft were used in the first British paratroop action, the abortive operation ‘Colossus’ of 10 February 1941 when an attempt was made to destroy the viaducts at Tragino, Campagna, which would have cut the supply of all water to southern Italy. The second paratroop action involving the Whitley was that led by Wing Commander P.C. Pickering against Nazi radar installations at Bruneval on the night of 27/28 February 1942, the aircraft in this case being found by No. 51 Squadron.

Used only for training was the Whitley glider-tug. For this use the aircraft had their rear turrets removed, leaving the position open, and Whitleys in this configuration were a common sight over the Oxfordshire countryside and elsewhere, the former being those which operated with Airspeed Horsas from Brize Norton with No. 21 Heavy Glider Conversion Unit.

In June 1943 the last Whitley from a total of 1,814 was delivered by the parent company, 1,466 being the Whitley Mk V model distinguished by a 38.1-cm (15-in) increase to the fuselage length and straight leading edges to the fins.

However, not all airborne versions were used for their intended role, it being on record that the summer of 1943 saw some tugs pressed into service to drop leaflets over the Low Countries after a flight from Thruxton!

Specification
Armstrong Whitworth Whitley Mk V
Type: glider-tug trainer and paratroop transport
Powerplant: two 853.8-kW (1,145-hp) Rolls-Royce Merlin X 12-cylinder Vee liquid-cooled piston engines
Performance: maximum speed 357 km/h (222 mph) at 5182 m (17,000 ft); service ceiling 5364 m (17,600 ft); range 2655 km (1,650 miles)
Weights: empty 8768 kg (19,330 lb); maximum take-off 15195 kg (33,500 lb)
Dimensions: span 25.60 m (84 ft 0 in); length 21.49 m (70 ft 6 in); height 4.57 m (15 ft 0 in); wing area 114.45 m² (1,232 sq ft)
Armament: (as bomber) four 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Browning machine-guns, a power operated rear turret, and one 7.7-mm machine-gun in a nose turret

The venerable Whitley, veteran of early wartime bombing missions, was employed from 1941 as a troop transport. In Operation ‘Biting’, the airborne landing at Bruneval on 27 February 1942, Whitleys from No. 1251 Squadron dropped 119 paratroops round the German radar station.

Although Whitleys were not used operationally as glider tugs, many were converted to tow Airspeed Horsas during the war.
**General Aircraft Hamilcar**

The General Aircraft Hamilcar was the largest and heaviest glider used by the RAF, and the first capable of accommodating a 7-ton tank. A total of 412 of the Hamilcar Mk I was built after the first flight of the prototype on 27 March 1942, all the tests being completed within three weeks. This may in part have been due to the previous construction of a half-scale model for tests at one-eighth of the loaded weight.

To facilitate the loading and rapid unloading of heavy equipment, the nose was hinged, this enabling vehicles to be driven straight out immediately on landing. This feature proved invaluable at the first use of the type in support of the 6th Airborne Division in Normandy at the beginning of June 1944, when tugs were supplied by units flying the Handley Page Halifax, 70 being used in the first action.

Toward the end of 1940 a British specification was issued for an assault glider capable of flying 161 km (100 miles) with a load of seven fully equipped troops and a pilot, was really too stringent. Although never used for its intended purpose, the Hotspur was a familiar sight in the Hamilcar Mk X instead of the bifurcated system of the pure glider Hamilcar Mk I. The type was quite capable of being used alternatively as a solo aeroplane with a loaded weight not exceeding 14742 kg (32,500 lb). Maximum speed and range were 233 km/h (145 mph) and 1135 km (705 miles) respectively.

It is interesting to note the fact that the military load of the Hamilcar Mk I consisted of some 50 per cent of the all-up weight compared with 30 per cent in the Hamilcar Mk X.

**Specification**

**General Aircraft Hamilcar Mk I**

Type: transport glider

Performance: maximum towing speed 241 km/h (150 mph)

Weights: empty 8845 kg (19,500 lb); maximum take-off 16783 kg (37,000 lb)

Dimensions: span 33.53 m (110 ft 0 in); length 20.73 m (68 ft 0 in); wing area 154.03 m² (507 sq ft)

**General Aircraft Hotspur**

Toward the end of 1940 a British specification was issued for an assault glider capable of flying 161 km (100 miles) from its point of release at 6096 m (20,000 ft), and the General Aircraft Hotspur Mk I was the result. In fact it could glide only 134 km (83 miles), but this was quite an achievement since the original demand, that this be done with a load of seven fully equipped troops and a pilot, was really too stringent.

The Hotspur Mk I had a span of 18.90 m (62 ft 0 in) and was distinguishable from the later models by having cabin portholes. Despite the fact that 23 examples were built, the type was not accepted for widespread operational use, being developed instead into the Hotspur Mk II training glider whose simple wooden construction lent itself admirably to large-scale subcontracted manufacture.

Although never used for its intended purpose, the Hotspur was nevertheless built in numbers and performed useful service at glider schools where dual instruction was carried out following a period on single-engine powered aircraft at an EFTS.

Apart from the reduced span, the Hotspur Mk II differed in some detail from the earlier Hotspur Mk I, which had proved difficult to handle on the ground. The changes included the adoption of inset ailerons, revised seating and a deeper cockpit canopy, although it retained the jettisonable landing gear legs (and the central skid on which the type was designed to land after the wheels had been dropped, although this facility was seldom used).

The monocoque structure of the glider naturally lent itself to quite a high degree of adaptation, and a further variant was the Twin Hotspur, consisting of two fuselages without wing panels joined by a common centre section and tailplane; although a prototype was built in 1942 this version did not go into production.

The Hotspur was a familiar sight throughout Britain during the war years. Capable of being towed by redundant biplanes, most members of the British airborne forces and their pilots were introduced to glider flying in these all-wooden craft.

**Specification**

**General Aircraft Hotspur Mk II**

Type: training glider

Performance: maximum towing speed 145 km/h (90 mph)

Weights: empty 753 kg (1,661 lb); maximum take-off 1632 kg (3,598 lb)

Dimensions: span 23.3 m (76 ft 5 in); length 20.73 m (68 ft 0 in); wing area 154.03 m² (507 sq ft)
Another bomber used for both glider-towing and the dropping of paratroops was the Handley Page Halifax, of which the Halifax A.Mk III, Halifax A.Mk V and Halifax A.Mk VII were commonly used throughout Europe for this work. Indeed, the Halifax was the only type capable of towing the giant General Aircraft Hamilcar glider when the latter was loaded with its light tank, while the final version, the paratroop-carrying Halifax A.Mk 9 produced after the war, was able to take 16 men with their associated equipment.

The first experimental flights of an airborne forces’ Halifax with a Hamilcar glider took place in February 1942 at Neumarket, although the first operational sortie, which was carried out nine months later on the night of 19/20 November, was conducted with two Airspeed Horsas. This was Operation ‘Freshman’, which took men to attack the German-run heavy water plant in southern Norway. Halifax also towed two Horsas apiece to North Africa for the invasion of Sicily. Operation ‘Husky’ launched on 10 July 1943.

Operation ‘Elaborate’ mounted over a period between August and October 1943 was intended to bring reinforcements to this theatre, and 10 Halifaxes plus Armstrong Whitworth Albemarles were used to take 25 Horsas. Unfortunately five of the gliders fell into the sea on the Portreath to Sale leg of the journey, either due to bad weather or enemy action, while three force-landed in Portugal, together with their Halifax tugs; another had to put down in the sea, where it was lost.

Other operations with which the Halifax was associated were, of course, that at Arnhem and the final crossing of the Rhine. In the latter the German forces were on the defensive on 24 March 1945, when 440 tugs were involved with an equal number of gliders, both Hamilcars and Horsas, all the troops in the former having emplaned at Woodbridge. Almost half of this force of tugs was made up of Halifaxes, there being an equal number of Short Stirlings and only a small number of Douglas Dakotas.

**Handley Page Halifax A.Mk III**

- **Type:** glider tug and paratroop transport
- **Powerplant:** four 1204.3-kW (1,615-hp) Bristol Hercules XVI 14-cylinder air-cooled radial piston engines
- **Performance:**
  - maximum speed 454 km/h (280 mph) at 4115 m (13,500 ft); service ceiling 6096 m (20,000 ft); range 1733 km (1,077 miles)
  - maximum take-off 24675 kg (54,400 lb)
- **Dimensions:**
  - length 21.82 m (71 ft 7 in); height 6.32 m (20 ft 9 in); wing area 116.13 m² (1,250 sq ft)
- **Armament:** one Vickers ‘K’ 7.7-mm (0.303-m) manually-operated machine-gun in nose, four 7.7-mm Browning machine-guns in powered-operated Boulton Paul dorsal turret, and four guns of similar calibre in tail turret (if fitted)
- **Specification**

The powerful Handley Page Halifax was the only type used for glider towing which could handle the massive General Aircraft Hamilcar glider when fully loaded. It was also used for clandestine missions over Europe.

**Short Stirling**

From the beginning of 1944 the main role of the Short Stirling, designed as a bomber, was that of glider tug and transport operating with No. 38 Group, Transport Command. The prototype of the Stirling Mk IV, a converted Stirling Mk III, had first flown in 1943, and although the powerplant was unchanged, considerable alteration had taken place in the armament with the nose turret deleted (the former being replaced by a transparent fairing), and glider towing gear was installed in the rear fuselage, which retained the defensive turret in this position.

The capacious fuselage meant that the troop-carrying version was capable of taking either 40 fully-equipped soldiers or half that number of paratroops, but the first use of the Stirling in its new role was towing Airspeed Horsa gliders into action when the continent of Europe was invaded on 6 June 1944, the aircraft being drawn from Nos 190 and 622 Squadrons at RAF Keesiv and Nos 196 and 299 Squadrons at RAF Keevil. The type also participated in the historic action at Arnhem and in the final assault across the river Rhine in March 1945.

Production was dispersed among a number of contractors, the largest number (236) coming from Short & Harland at Belfast; the Austin Motor Company was responsible for 192, and the remainder being produced by the company’s Rochester works. In all 577 Stirling Mk IVs were delivered, although not all had originated in this form, a number being converted Stirling Mk IIs.

Another task performed by this version of the Stirling was the parading of supplies to parachute troops in forward areas, as well as the delivery of food and ammunition to Resistance workers on the continent. The type was also used to ferry petrol, a capacity load consisting of 2841.25 litres (625 Imp gal) in 139 cans.

**Specification**

- **Short Stirling Mk IV**
  - **Type:** glider tug and general transport
  - **Powerplant:** four 1203.4-kW (1,650-hp) Bristol Hercules XVI 14-cylinder air-cooled radial piston engines
  - **Performance:**
    - maximum speed 451 km/h (280 mph) at 3200 m (10,500 ft); service ceiling 5182 m (17,000 ft); range 4828 km (3,000 miles)
    - maximum take-off 31751 kg (70,000 lb)
  - **Dimensions:**
    - span 30.20 m (99 ft 11 in); length 26.59 m (87 ft 3 in); height 6.93 m (22 ft 9 in); wing area 135.60 m² (1,460 sq ft)
  - **Armament:** four 7.7-mm (0.303-m) Browning machine-guns in power-operated tail turret

**Handley Page Halifax A.Mk V**

- **Type:** glider tug and paratroop transport
- **Powerplant:** four 1230.4-kW (1,680-hp) Bristol Hercules XVI 14-cylinder air-cooled radial piston engines
- **Performance:**
  - maximum speed 451 km/h (280 mph) at 3200 m (10,500 ft); service ceiling 5182 m (17,000 ft); range 4828 km (3,000 miles)
  - maximum take-off 31751 kg (70,000 lb)
- **Dimensions:**
  - span 30.20 m (99 ft 11 in); length 26.59 m (87 ft 3 in); height 6.93 m (22 ft 9 in); wing area 135.60 m² (1,460 sq ft)
  - **Armament:** four 7.7-mm (0.303-m) Browning machine-guns in power-operated tail turret

Above: Formerly heavy bombers, Short Stirling glider tugs were employed in all the major airborne operations over Northern Europe in 1944-5. The Stirling Mk IV, seen here taking off with a Horsa glider at Harwell, Oxfordshire, belonged to No. 293 Squadron.

Converted to carry 40 troops, the Stirling Mk V transport entered service with No. 46 Squadron, RAF Transport Command, in February 1945 but was too late to see combat.
After World War I it was believed that air power would make all other machines of war obsolete. World War II quickly exposed the limitations of air power: daylight bombing could be prevented by aggressive fighter aircraft, and night bombing was wildly inaccurate. But by 1943 new weapons and tactics had been developed.

An 'Upkeep' or bouncing-bomb equipped Avro Lancaster of the RAF's 617 Squadron. This bomb was a specially designed dam-busting mine.

The art of dropping explosives from an aircraft at great height is almost as old as aviation itself. For example, it has been recorded that in 1911 Giulio Gavotti dropped a quartet of picric acid bombs of 1.8kg (41b) on Turkish troops in the Libyan desert. Seven years later the art of launching air-to-ground weapons had progressed a little bit further than this crude (but no doubt reasonably effective) experiment, and was beginning to develop into a science. This progress was as a direct result of the design of such specialist machines as the Sopwith Salamander.

Such new developments meant new tactics. That new targets would have to include armoured vehicles had already became clear during the 'dress rehearsal' of World War II that was the Spanish Civil War. Soon after this, refinements, such as the Dinort extension rods (added on to the noses of the SC 50 bombs delivered by that supreme exponent of the early ground attack, the Junkers Ju 87) were to become a commonplace means of ensuring a maximum blast effect over a wide area of ground.

Although heavier guns were soon in great demand as the thickness of armour on 'hard-skinned' vehicles began to increase, it was really the re-invention of the rocket as a weapon that was to move the science forward.

In addition, taking on a less hit-and-miss aspect was the manner of dropping conventional free-fall bombs. The early methods of bombing merely entailed destroying everything with huge quantities of high explosive released over a target. This rather wasteful method later gave place to what became known as controlled 'carpet bombing'. It also gave place to the system which was to prove the comparative crudity of earlier methods, namely that of opening up buildings by blowing the roofs off with high explosive and then destroying the unprotected structures with a rain of incendiary bombs.

Over and above all these advances, some thoughts were given to increasing the psychological effect of ground attack by adding screamers to bomb fins to augment the normal 'whistle', and 'Jericho trumpets' on the legs of diving Stukas.
Three categories of standard bomb were in use by the Luftpflaffe, all in the general-purpose group designated according to their nature and weight. First of these was the high explosive SC (Sprengbombe Cylindrisch), a thin-walled type for normal free-fall use; then there was the semi-armour piercing SD (Sprengbombe Dickwandig), a thick-walled type; and finally there was the armour-piercing PC (Panzersprengbombe Cylindrisch) with extra thick walls.

Of these, among the most commonly used were the SC 250 type, the second part of the designation indicating the weight of the explosive in kilograms (551 lb), and eight of these or 32 of the SC 50 or SD 50 (10 lb) type would make up a typical load for a Heinkel He 111 bomber, and be deposited on target mixed with incendiaries.

Many of these bombs rapidly acquired names so that while the latest of the GP bombs (the SD 1700) for some reason had none, the SD 1400 became 'Fritz', the slightly smaller SD 1000 being 'Esau'. Strangely, there was none for the common SD 500 of which there were two types, one with strengthening bands and trunnion bolts on a differently shaped case. At the other end of the scale the SC 1000 was 'Herman' and the SC 1800 was 'Satan'.

Carried either on external or internal racks, German bombs were suspended from single 'H' or 'T' lugs (or less commonly a ring bolt), and were colour-coded with a stripe between the quadrants of the tail cone (or the entire cone in the case of small bombs such as the SC 50 etc.) denoting their classification: SC was yellow, SD red and PC blue.

Electrically-charged impact fuses were fitted with charging plungers above the main fuse body with its tumbler switch, Below this lay the flash pellet, Penthrite wax and picric acid to complete the whole, although variations were incorporated such as the Type 17 clockwork delayed action devices or the Type 50 with a trembler switch fuse acting as a booby trap, or the infamous Z.U.S.40 set beneath the normal fuse in such a way that the extraction of one, made 'safe', activated the other concealed beneath it.

The SC 2000 was among the heaviest of air-to-ground weapons used by the Luftwaffe. The number after the letter designation was supposed to be the weight of the weapon in kilograms but it actually weighed 1953 kg (4,306 lb).

SD 250s were thicker-walled than the SC series to give them limited armour-piercing capability at the cost of a slight reduction in payload.

Below: A Junkers Ju 88A-5 prepares for take-off, carrying a pair of SC 250 bombs underneath the wings inboard of the engine nacelles. Lacking capacious bomb bays, many German bombers carried the majority of their ordnance on external mountings.

Right: A Heinkel He 111 could carry up to 32 of the SC 50 (110-lb) bombs seen here, although it was common practice to mix a number of incendiaries into the bombload.
Ruhrstahl/Kramer X-1 (Fritz X)

The agreed surrender of the Italian fleet to the Allies on 9 September 1943 saw the battleships _Tutela_ (damaged) and the _Roma_ (sunk) as the targets of a new type of air-launched weapon, the Ruhrstahl/Kramer X-1 (or Fritz X). This was a free-fall bomb that could be guided towards its target after release from an altitude of about 6000 m (19,685 ft); by the time it had reached its target perhaps 2.4 km (1.5 miles) distant it had gained a velocity approaching that of sound. Control was by means of electromagnetically operated spoilers activated in sympathy with radio signals from the aircraft (frequently a Dormer Do 217) that, after releasing the bomb, had its motors throttled back and was taken to a higher altitude so that it was over the target at the moment of impact, the observer having kept track of the missile with the aid of a conventional Lotfe 7 bombsight. Wire-link control using transmission lines some 8 km (4.97 miles) long was later discarded as an economy measure.

Tests were begun in Germany during 1942, later being moved to Italy. Here pneumatic power was experimentally substituted for the electro-magnetic actuation of the spoilers, but variations in temperature created problems so the concept was dropped.

The Allied assault on Italy meant that the Fritz X was pressed into increased use: the cruiser USS_ Savannah_ was successfully attacked, as were some naval transports, while in the confusion created by a night attack two British cruisers came into collision. Seven days later the battleship _HMS Warspite_ was hit by these armour-piercers, so that a tow to Malta was necessary.

About 66 of the bombs were produced each month, far short of the target figure, and about half of these were used during tests conducted during 1943 and into the following year.

But the real end to the Fritz X programme was brought about not by production difficulties but by the high loss rate among the bombers equipped to deliver the missile: because of the need for relatively slow speed over the target area, the launch aircraft became particularly vulnerable.

**Specification X-1**

- Type: free-fall guided bomb
- Powerplant: none
- Performance: maximum speed 1035 km/h (643 mph)

This is all that remained of the 45,000-ton battleship _Roma_ after two X-1 glide bombs struck her as she steamed to Malta to surrender to the Allies. The first bomb passed through the ship and detonated underneath; the second penetrated the forward magazine, which blew her in half.

Weights: round 1570 kg (3,461 lb); explosive 320 kg (705.5 lb)
Dimensions: span (over fins) 1.352 m (4 ft 5.2 in); length 3.262 m (10 ft 8.4 in); fuselage diameter 0.562 m (1 ft 10.1 in)

German unguided missiles

Although ground attack was one of the major roles of the Luftwaffe, this task was generally carried out with conventional aircraft armament, plus either normal free-fall bombs up to the 250-kg (551-lb) capacity, or those of specialist design including the small SD 2 fragmentation ('butterfly') bomb, which was first used on the opening day of the German assault on the Soviet Union. It was, however, this same country that was to influence the Luftwaffe's introduction of rockets as air-to-ground weapons.

The slow advent of these weapons was in part due to the lack of results seemingly achieved by the Soviet 82-mm (3.23-in) rockets, and the importance of such unguided weapons seemed to be further devalued by experience of German field trials. These had taken place during 1942 when Jagdgeschwader 54 had been temporarily equipped for operational tests against targets crossing Lake Ladoga to supply Leningrad. The missiles employed were adapted army-type 210-mm (8.27-in) rockets on launchers under the wings of Messerschmitt Bf 109Fs. Unfortunately these rockets proved almost impossible to aim since their low velocity after release resulted in a sudden drop in their trajectory.

However, although these were discarded, two years later some Focke-Wulf Fw 190s were fitted with an improved rocket variant in October 1944, the first to be fitted to these aircraft. These were Panzerschreck missiles of 88-mm (3.46-in) calibre, the design source being originally the infantry _bazooka_. Each aircraft was fitted to take six of these weapons, and in December the new Panzerblitz Pb 1 missile (also of 88-mm calibre) was introduced: this packed something like twice the punch of the Panzerschreck, but neither was used on any scale.

**Specification Panzerblitz Pb 1**

- Type: air-to-ground aircraft-launched rocket
- Weight: 6.9 kg (15.2 lb)
- Dimensions: length 0.70 m (2 ft 3.6 in); diameter 8.8 cm (3.46 in)
The beginnings of what was to evolve into the Henschel Hs 293 were laid as early as 1939, and a test model taking the form of a glider was constructed the following year, the ultimate intention being to develop a missile that could be used against shipping under remote control from a launching aircraft.

Although a suitable rocket motor was still not available, development went ahead using a standard SC 500 bomb fitted with wings and tail unit, although no rudder was incorporated, and as time progressed the programme saw the fitting of the first version with a propulsive unit, this taking the form of a liquid rocket (using T-stoff and Z-stoff propellants) slung under the main body. An 18-channel radio system ensured control.

It was anticipated that the missile would be carried into action under a parent aircraft, from which warm air was channelled to prevent the missile from freezing up at the high altitude at which it was released, but at 1400 m (4,595 ft) a maximum of 3 km (5 miles) could be anticipated. Once released the Hs 293 dropped some 90 m (295 ft) before the rocket developed maximum thrust, and the parent aircraft continued to fly a set course parallel with the target, while permitting the bomb-aimer to keep the missile in sight and guide it with the aid of a small control box on which was mounted a miniature control column, keeping in sight the red guidance flare in the tail, the actual flight path being a series of arcs as corrections were received.

The main disadvantage of the Hs 293A was that the launching aircraft had to maintain a steady, level course and evasive action to escape AA fire was impossible. An improved Hs 293D with a television aiming system was planned, but the war ended before it could be constructed.

However, icing was a problem never really overcome and as a consequence further propulsion units were developed. But these were never taken beyond the experimental stage.

The Henschel Hs 293A stand-off guided bomb was dropped from the carrier aircraft on a parallel course to the target on the port side, and radio-guided to the target. A Hare in the rear of the bomb gave visible evidence of the missile’s position to the aimer.

**Specification**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hs293</th>
<th>900 km/h (559 mph)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type: rocket-propelled anti-ship missile</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powerplant: one 600-kg (1,323-lb) thrust Walter 109-507B rocket</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance: maximum speed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weights: round 1045 kg (2,304 lb); explosive 295 kg (650 lb)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimensions: span 3.14 m (10 ft 3.6 in); length 3.58 m (11 ft 10 in); fuselage diameter 0.48 m (1 ft 6.9 in); wing area 1.92 m² (20.67 sq ft)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Above: This Henschel Hs 293 has had its rocket propulsion unit removed to show the internal equipment. The flare unit for visual location of the missile in night can be seen at the back of the weapon.
About 50 slightly smaller Ohka Model 22 aircraft (with a reduced 600-kg/1,323-lb warhead and a gas-turbine engine, and designed to be carried by Yokosuka P1Y1 Ginga bombers) proved a failure as they were underpowered, and the jet-powered Ohka Model 33 (with the original-capacity warhead and designed to be transported by the Nakajima G8N1 Renzan bomber) was not completed by the end of the war. The jet-powered Ohka Model 43A and Ohka Model 43B (the former with folding and the latter with fixed wings) remained no more than projects; an interesting feature of the Model 43B was the facility for the pilot to jettison the wingtips during the final dive to increase impact speed.

**Specification**

**Ohka Model 11**

Type: rocket-propelled suicide explosive missile

Powerplant: three Type 4 Mk 1 Model 20 solid-propellant rockets providing a combined thrust of 800 kg (1,764 lb)

Performance: maximum speed 649 km/h (403 mph) at 3,500 m (11,485 ft); dive velocity 927 km/h (576 mph); range 37 km (23 miles)

Weights: maximum take-off 2,140 kg (4,718 lb); explosive 1,200 kg (2,646 lb)

Dimensions: span 5.12 m (16 ft 9.6 in); length 6.066 m (19 ft 10.8 in); height 1.16 m (3 ft 9.7 in); wing area 6.0 m² (64.59 sq ft)

---

**Japanese standard bombs**

Ranging from comparatively simple bomb containers capable of taking 30 bombs weighing 0.735 kg (1.6 lb), each albeit for anti-aircraft work, via the larger ones capable of scattering no less than 76 such bomblets to the 800-kg (1,763.7-lb) general-purpose high explosive naval bomb, Japan possessed a good range of air-to-ground missiles in World War II. Smallest of these was the 15-kg (33.07-lb) antipersonnel type with a 10.16-cm (4-in) diameter body measuring only 64 cm (25.2 in) in length.

In the majority of Japanese bombs, fuses were carried in the nose, and it was only on the introduction of the 50-kg (110.23-lb) HE bomb that both nose and tail fuses were employed, although this combination is usually associated with the 250-kg (551.15-lb) high explosive bomb, of which there were two variants.

Construction of Japanese bombs followed conventional lines with plain fins lacking a ring, and prominently-riveted sheet metal was frequently employed. Forged steel was, however, used for the body of the 800-kg (1,763.7-lb) armour-piercing bomb, an impressive naval weapon with a pair of B-2(b) tail fuses. Filling was Trinitro Anisol with an aluminium plug in the forward end of the cavity, deep in the steel body, to prevent the filling taking too great a shock on striking the target; the single-piece machined-steel body had eight recesses in the nose for the fixing of windshields if the bomb was adapted as a projectile.

The most widely used Japanese general-purpose bomb was the naval 250-kg type and its army equivalent. There were two versions of the naval weapon, the later model being slightly larger with thicker walls and having a continuous welded/spot-welded nose, although the tail cone was still fastened by rivets. The army favoured screwed steel bodies and nose, with a welded tail cone.

The Japanese armoury included a contemporary of the German ‘oil bomb’, used by the navy. This contained a central thermite core surrounded by a mixture of kerosene, petrol and alcohol-soap; alternatively rubber pie-shaped pellets impregnated with iron and aluminium were packed round the explosive in its central tube to act as a bursting and scattering charge.

**Specification**

250-kg GP

Type: high explosive general-purpose bomb

Weight: 250 kg (551.15 lb)

Dimensions: length 1.937 m (6 ft 4.3 in); diameter 0.30 cm (1.18 in)

Filling: preformed picric
British standard bombs

Although there existed in 1918 not only the 816.5-kg (1,800-lb) GP high-explosive bomb used principally by No. 207 Squadron RAF, together with the 1496.9-kg (3,300-lb) HE bomb intended to be delivered by the Handley Page V/1500, these were comparatively crude weapons. The art of bomb design was concentrated on the lighter types, so that at the outbreak of World War II offensive loads consisted in the main of bombs weighing 113.4 kg (250 lb) or 226.8 kg (500 lb).

Certainly there was still scope for the use of smaller free-fall bombs, and these particularly came into their own with the advent of the Hawker "Hurribomber" equipped to take four 22.68-kg (50-lb) bombs under each wing.

Nevertheless, British bombs did present some variations of shape, and while the ones carried by fighters soon assumed near-conical noses with flattened fronts, the majority were of conventional appearance with ‘egg-like’ contours until the introduction of the ‘thousand-pounder’, the 453.6-kg type designed for the external racks of the Hawker Typhoon, all illustrations of the advancing state of the art in refining the shape of bombs for the RAF.

A degree of agreement was certainly evident concerning the design of that vital part of a bomb, the tail, and almost throughout the design of British standard bombs, the assembly here consisted of four sheet fins with a broad ring containing their trailing edges. These facts were in part dictated by the British practice of stowing bombs horizontally, the resultant release being the most aerodynamic that could be achieved, since a bomb dropping away from an aeroplane of the time inevitably spun or otherwise became unstable when struck by the slipstream; it was the tail that finally straightened its flight as the forward momentum imparted by the launch aircraft was lost and the downward path commenced in an arc towards the target as gravity exerted a pull. All these factors were common to the standard bombs of the day which were all of pre-1940 design and included the largely-forgotten HE type of 54 kg (120 lb); there were different versions of all types, some of these variants being readily identifiable from their casing that might have strengthening bands as on some ‘500-pounders’.

Specification
MkI to MKSGP
Type: general-purpose high explosive bomb
Weight: 119.4 kg (263 lb)
Dimensions: length 1.37 m (4 ft 6 in); diameter 0.26 m (10.3 in)
Filling: TNT or Amatol 80/20

Airfields have always presented a challenge to the bomber, proving very difficult to knock out. Lacking today’s specialist weapons, the RAF had to rely on accuracy and delayed action bombs to frustrate repair work. Here, a stick of bombs impacts on a Japanese airfield in Burma.
The largest of the British war-time bombs was the well-known 'Grand Slam', a 9979-kg (22,000-lb) missile designed chiefly for deep penetration, equipped with aerodynamically shaped fins to impart an assisting twist to its fall. Forty-one of these monsters were dropped by specially-modified Avrò Lancasters in 1945, the first on 14 March when Lancaster B.Mk 1 (Special) PD112 of No. 617 Squadron, with Squadron-Leader C.C. Calder at the controls, demolished two spans of the Bielefeld Viaduct with one of these weapons only a single day after the first test drop had been made.

British heavy bombs of 5443-kg (12,000-lb) capacity were of two types, the most sophisticated being the Tallboy designed for deep penetration, and of which no less than 854 were dropped by Avrò Lancasters following the first attack with them on the night of 8/9 June 1944.

While the Tallboy was of conventional streamlined shape, the other 5443-kg missile was a departure from common practice in that it was cylindrical and was in fact formed from three 1814-kg (4,000-lb) 'Cookies' bolted together (the sections being clearly visible) with an annular-ringed six-fin tail attached. This was the General-Purpose Factory Buster, also much used in 1944, but in fact first used during a raid on the Dortmund-Ems Canal during the hours of darkness of 15/16 September in the previous year.

'Bone, beautiful' bombs were pioneered by the 907-kg (2,000-lb) version first used during the night attack on the Emden shipyards on 31 March/1 April 1941. However, a comparison of weight alone is deceptive, since the explosive power of the later bomb was greater than that of the fillings used at the beginning of the war, when a 907-kg 'heavy' certainly existed in armour-piercing form for attacks on shipping, although the version of a mere 227-kg (500-lb) weapon was the accepted 'big bomb' in the RAF.

The ordinary Cookie had made its operational debut over Wilhelmsvahen on 8 July 1942, observers at the time reporting that whole houses took to the air, thus gaining for the weapon the name of 'Block Buster' in the UK, although the Germans knew the type as Bezirkbomben. The existence of these was however, not announced until some time after their first use, not in fact until September, and it was not

### British 25-lb rocket

British rockets employed a solid propellant inside a cast iron tube and mounted a variety of warheads and fuses. Their bodies were a length of 7.62-cm (3-in) diameter cast pipe fitted with a set of flat plate fins to constitute a cruciform tail, and a pair of lugs by means of which it was slung in front of the aircraft's launching rail. On one end was screwed the 11.34-kg (25-lb) armour-piercing head. A solid propellant filling was packed into the length of pipe that made up the greater part of the missile, which was electrically fired in such a way that, although exhausting from the open end, burning was initiated from the front, a system necessary in order to ensure that the balance of the rocket was not disturbed as the charge was consumed. Concrete heads were employed for practice.

Experimental work took up the greater part of 1942, and included tests mounted under the wings of the new Hawker Typhoon, but it was the Hawker Hurricane that was to fly the rocket into action for the first time when aircraft of No. 184 Squadron made Fighter Command's first operational strike. Unfortunately the long rails necessary for launching exacted a serious penalty from the aircraft's performance, and except from a very steep dive the missiles were difficult to aim because of their sharp trajectory drop, aiming being by means of the standard Mk.1 FG reflector gunsight, which was not really equal to the demands made on it.

This British innovation was disliked by pilots because of its effect on performance, and there was a sharp temptation to expend them on the first available target, whatever it was, regardless of what warhead was being carried.

### Specification

**Type**: air-to-ground aircraft-launched armour-piercing rocket  
**Warhead weight**: 11.34 kg (25 lb)  
**Dimensions**: length 1.69 m (5.16 ft); diameter 3.5 cm (1.38 in)

---

Above: The 1814-kg (4,000-lb) HCMk III HE bomb had three pistols and detonators in the nose. In the centre of the bomb there was a continuous well to ensure that the air, thus gaining for the weapon the name of 'Block Buster' in the UK, although the Germans knew the type as Bezirkbomben. The existence of these was however, not announced until some time after their first use, not in fact until September, and it was not

Right: A 1814-kg (4,000-lb) HCMk III HE bomb had three pistols and detonators in the nose. In the centre of the bomb there was a continuous well to ensure that the air, thus gaining for the weapon the name of 'Block Buster' in the UK, although the Germans knew the type as Bezirkbomben. The existence of these was however, not announced until some time after their first use, not in fact until September, and it was not

British rockets employed a solid propellant inside a cast iron tube and mounted a variety of warheads and fuses. Their bodies were a length of 7.62-cm (3-in) diameter cast pipe fitted with a set of flat plate fins to constitute a cruciform tail, and a pair of lugs by means of which it was slung in front of the aircraft's launching rail. On one end was screwed the 11.34-kg (25-lb) armour-piercing head. A solid propellant filling was packed into the length of pipe that made up the greater part of the missile, which was electrically fired in such a way that, although exhausting from the open end, burning was initiated from the front, a system necessary in order to ensure that the balance of the rocket was not disturbed as the charge was consumed. Concrete heads were employed for practice.

Experimental work took up the greater part of 1942, and included tests mounted under the wings of the new Hawker Typhoon, but it was the Hawker Hurricane that was to fly the rocket into action for the first time when aircraft of No. 184 Squadron made Fighter Command's first operational strike. Unfortunately the long rails necessary for launching exacted a serious penalty from the aircraft's performance, and except from a very steep dive the missiles were difficult to aim because of their sharp trajectory drop, aiming being by means of the standard Mk.1 FG reflector gunsight, which was not really equal to the demands made on it.

This British innovation was disliked by pilots because of its effect on performance, and there was a sharp temptation to expend them on the first available target, whatever it was, regardless of what warhead was being carried.

### Specification

**Type**: air-to-ground aircraft-launched armour-piercing rocket  
**Warhead weight**: 11.34 kg (25 lb)  
**Dimensions**: length 1.69 m (5.16 ft); diameter 3.5 cm (1.38 in)
With a similar basic concept to the 11.34-kg (25-lb) armour-piercing projectile, the 27.22-kg (60-lb) high explosive head became standard after 1943, while later in the war fragmentation missiles of similar weights were introduced.

By this time a method of delivery designed to ensure maximum destruction of the target had been perfected. This called for the aircraft formation, now usually Typhoons, to make an approach at between 1829 m (6,000 ft) and 3048 m (10,000 ft), and from this the leader would make the first dive at about 40° to mark the target, he being followed at a similar angle at 644 km/h (400 mph) or at 30° when a lower speed of some 612 km/h (380 mph) was indicated. At an altitude of not more than 152 m (500 ft) and a distance of 500 m (550 yards) from the target the complete salvo, said to have a striking power equivalent to the broadside from a destroyer's guns, might be fired before the pilot pulled up sharply and took himself out of the radius of action, there being about five seconds before the shrapnel from the exploding rockets constituted a real danger to the aircraft that had launched them. Unfortunately it is on record that some 25 per cent of the rockets failed to detonate, particularly in the earlier days, and there was a definite tendency to undershoot and the trajectory drop to be inaccurately estimated.

Before the end of the war, zero-length rocket rails were introduced which affected the aircraft's performance less markedly. Some of these revised the position of the missiles so that a load of four weapons under each wing was no longer carried side by side but instead, on the Mk 7 installation, fitted in two vertical pairs. A variation of this was to be found on the Mk 6 fitted to the Bristol Beaufighter, which grouped the four round a central fairing from which they could be jettisoned.

Developments of the basic 27.22-kg rocket included the 81.65-kg (180-lb) projectile with its three tubes of propellant, and the 'Admomtor' with seven motors inside a large diameter casing fitted with six fins, and having a 113.4-kg (250-lb) warhead.

**Specification**

Type: air-to-ground aircraft-launched high explosive rocket

Warhead weight: 27.22 kg (60 lb)

Dimensions: length 1.88 m (6 ft 2 in); diameter 15.24 cm (6 in)

---

A Hawker Typhoon MkIB, with the old 'car door' type canopy, carries 76.2-mm (3-in) rockets. The shells attached are the 27.22-kg (60-lb) SAP type. Other types used were the 27.22-kg (60-lb) T'fragmentation shell, 11.34-kg (25-lb) AP and 25-lb SAP shells, and concrete practice versions of these shells.

For use against 'soft' targets the 27-kg (60-lb) HE Type F shell was developed. This was a thick-walled shell, which exploded into small high-velocity fragments. Pilots using this weapon were warned not to use it under 550 m (600 yards) because of flying fragments.

---

**Left:** Debris rises high in the air after a rocket strike by an SAAF Bristol Beaufighter against locomotives waiting in a siding. This locomotive target was in Yugoslavia during the German occupation of that country.

**Above:** Four rockets are launched from a Hawker Typhoon at a German barge on the Wester Scheide. These are 76.2-mm (3-in) aircraft rockets with 27.22-kg (60-lb) semi-armour-piercing shells. Heat from the rocket motor ignited the thermal initiator.
Observers in 1945 were in the habit of making comparison between what they called the 'superior aerodynamic shape' of British bombs and the more standardized lines of those dropped by the Luftwaffe. Be this as it may, the United States probably displayed the greatest variety of profiles among its free-fall bombs. Almost German in their appearance were the 907-kg (2,000-lb) AN-M66 GPs used by the US Army and Navy; this type proved its value against ammunition dumps, railway junctions, airfields and factory sites, while a modified version of the AN-M66 could be fitted with an AN-Mk 230 hydrostatic fuse, enabling it to be employed against heavily armed surface vessels, as well as submarines. Either Amatol or TNT were the fillings used for this type.

The 454-kg (1,000-lb) general-purpose bomb resembled the larger version, but probably less closely than it did the 227-kg (500-lb) bomb with its squat construction and a semi-braced tail with sheet fins, which was really the typical United States weapon of World War II. Employed once more by both the US Army and Navy, these were used against similar targets to the larger versions, their nose fuses being in the main of AN-M103 pattern, although the AN-M125 long-delay time fuse could be substituted if the target justified such a change.

A feature of United States bombs, some of which were equipped with lugs permitting British-style single-point suspension, was that they carried an external arming wire. This ran fore and aft of the lifting lug position, where it was formed into an eye. From this point it was led through the twin suspension eyes to the nose and tail fuses. The weight of the released bomb tugged this wire free as it left the rack and thus armed the missile in a crude but effective safety system.

Both the Mk 1 and Mk 4 'thousand-pounders' were declared obsolete in 1944, although they continued in service as long as bases held any in store, so that they remained in use until the end of the fighting in one theatre or another. These, in common with the majority of American bombs for general-purpose use, had a tail formed by four vanes welded to the tail cone which was fastened to the body by screws. A box form resulted from webs connecting the fins, and the whole was strut-braced internally.

At the opposite end of the scale of United States bombs mention must be made of the obsolete armour-piercing types forming the 'M' series. Of these the heaviest was the 408-kg (900-lb) M6, which like the rest of the group were converted artillery projectiles.

Specification

AN-M81
Type: high explosive fragmentation bomb
Weights: 117.9 kg (260 lb)
Dimensions: length 1.092 m (3 ft 7 in); diameter 0.203 m (8 in)

The familiar pictures of Hiroshima have tended to obscure the fact that US conventional raids on Japan often caused greater destruction; this is Hamamatsu after a visit from the B-29 Superfortresses. Japanese cities proved horribly vulnerable to incendiary munitions.

Armourers ride on two 454-kg (1,000-lb) GP bombs being brought up for loading into Boeing B-17E day bombers of the United States Army Air Corps. The front bomb still has the transit plug fitted in the nose; this would have been replaced by the fuse and arming vane.

In the Pacific war the aircraft made little use of guided weapons, relying on torpedoes and accurate bombing. Here a Japanese escort takes a direct hit from a bomb dropped by a B-25 during an attack on a convoy off Leyte.
American heavy bombs

The 100-kg (220-lb) high-explosive bomb was a standard weapon used by Ilyushin Il-2s for ground attack. The famous heavily-armoured attack aircraft could carry six of these bombs.

The Soviet Union commenced production of airborne rocket weapons in 1937, the first being the RS-75, a 750-mm diameter rocket. At first this was used for air-to-air combat, but later these weapons were used for ground attack. The rocket shown is equipped with a fragmentation head.

The US 814-kg (1,800-lb) light case bomb was used for large-scale demolition of targets such as factories. It had an explosive filling to weight ratio of 80 percent.

Soviet rockets

The Soviet Union may well be able to claim that it pioneered the introduction of the rocket as a modern aerial weapon, but this was when they were envisaged as air-to-air weapons, tested first in 1937, the idea being that all fighters would be equipped to carry a battery of six to eight rockets on underwing launchers. They were then re-designated AN-M80A1.

A modified version of the generally similar AM-58, weighing only 227 kg (500 lb) was produced by the removal of 4,31 kg (9.5 lb) of the amatol filling and replacing it with 14.29 kg (31.5 lb) of steel to improve penetration. They were then re-designated AN-M80A1.

Specification

AN-MK 1
Type: Armour-piercing high explosive bomb
Weight: 721.2 kg (1,590 lb)
Dimensions: Length 2.11 m (6 ft 11 in)
Filling: Compressed explosive ‘D’ or cast TNT

A good example of the high concentration of bombing obtained by US day bombers: the target in this case was Marijua railway yard, two miles south of Otaka, Japan, which was bombed by Boeing B-29s of the 21st Bombardment Group during August 1945.

A MiG-3 of the 12th Fighter Regiment in 1942 carries RS-82 rocket weapons under the wings. This 82-mm rocket could be used as an air-to-air or air-to-ground weapon. Firings of rockets of 75, 82 and 132-mm diameter began in the USSR in 1933, and the RS-75 was first produced in 1937.
Light Aircraft

Light aircraft were used for all manner of tasks in World War II, from everyday missions like artillery spotting and general liaison duties to clandestine flights behind the lines, carrying agents. Aircraft like the Lysander and the ubiquitous Fieseier Storch performed valuable work throughout the war.

A British Taylorcraft Auster artillery observation plane. It played a vital part in the war effort, being used for spotting and co-ordinating purposes.

The use of light aircraft by the warring nations of World War II for operational purposes (as distinct from training tasks) was widespread and covered a host of duties. The reasons why they were used so extensively are equally diverse, ranging from ready availability of the aircraft, via low-cost production, operation and performance suitable for a specific task, to simplicity of training and flying.

In general terms the category which was termed ‘light aircraft’ may be said to include those aircraft whose normal loaded weight was below about 2750kg (60601b), although in most instances the true light aircraft of World War II actually took off at a third of this weight. At one end of the scale the UK’s Westland Lysander was a relatively large aeroplane but qualified for inclusion in the category on account of its outstanding agility, short field performance and slow-speed capability, attributes which should all have rendered it ideal for that nebulous duty undertaken by the RAF during the first half of the war: army co-operation.

When it was discovered that the British Westland Lysander (and the German Henschel Hs 126) actually fell short of the requirements which the true ground support role demanded (for the harsh battle conditions of the Blitzkrieg could never have been countenanced), the whole gamut of air operations over the battlefield had to change: the true army co-operation duties (in particular artillery observation) were undertaken by genuine light-planes (such as the Austers and ‘Grasshoppers’) while ground support moved up the scale to embrace the capabilities of the high-performance fighter-bomber.

For duties that involved covert operations, such as the transport of secret agents, the rescue of airmen who had been shot down in enemy territory and in other duties involving the use of remote or confined sites, the lightplane was the obvious solution to use. Of all the aircraft employed in these tasks none better than the Fieseier Storch was ever produced: its great agility became and then remained forever legendary, even after the helicopter had become an accepted component of arsenals all around the world.
British Taylorcraft Auster series

In 1936 the Taylorcraft Aviation Company was formed in the USA to design and manufacture lightplanes for private use. Most successful of the pre-war aircraft to emanate from this company were designated Models B, C, and D, and in November 1938 Taylorcraft Aeroplanes (England) Ltd was established at Thurmaston, Leicestershire, to build these aircraft under licence.

Six American-built Model As were imported into the UK, followed by one Model B. Of braced high-wing monoplane configuration, with a fabric-covered wing of composite wood and metal construction, the aircraft featured a fuselage and tail unit both of welded steel tube with fabric covering. Accommodation within the enclosed cabin was for two persons, seated side by side, and landing gear was of basic non-retractable tailwheel type, with main unit shock-absorption by rubber bungee. Powerplant of the imported Model As consisted of one 30-kW (40-hp) Continental A-40 flat-four engine.

The British-built equivalent to the Model A was designated the British Taylorcraft Model C, but this was soon redesignated Plus C, reflecting the improved performance resulting from installation of a 41-kW (55-hp) Lycoming O-145-A2 engine. Other than provision of split trailing-edge flaps to improve short-field performance, the aircraft of the Plus-C derived British Taylorcraft Auster series were to change little throughout the war. During this time more than 1,100 were built for service use, the Auster Mk I entering service with No. 654 Squadron in August 1942.

At the height of their utilization, Austers equipped Nos 652, 653, 657, 658, 659, 660, 661, 662, 664 and 665 Squadrons of the 2nd Tactical Air Force, and Nos 651, 654, 655, 656, 663, 666, 671, 672 and 673 Squadrons of the Desert Air Force. They were used also in small numbers by associated Canadian and Dutch squadrons. Their initial deployment in an operational role was during the invasion of Algeria, and they were to prove an indispensable tool in the Sicilian and Italian campaigns. Just three weeks after D-Day, these unarmed lightplanes were in the forefront of the action as the Allied armies advanced into France.

Specification
British Taylorcraft Auster
Type: light liaison/observation aircraft
Powerplant: (Auster Mk V) one 97-kW (130-hp) Lycoming O-290-3 flat-four piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 209 km/h (130 mph) at sea level; cruising speed 180 km/h (112 mph); normal range 402 km (250 miles)
Weights: empty 499 kg (1,100 lb); maximum take-off 889 kg (1,850 lb)
Dimensions: span 10.97 m (36 ft 0 in); length 6.83 m (22 ft 5 in); height 2.44 m (8 ft 0 in); wing area 15.11 m² (167.09 sq ft)
Armament: none

Un glamor ous and unglamor ized artillery observation aircraft played a decisive part in the war; themain British types were the five British Taylorcraft Austers. This is the Mk III, which had a de Havilland Gypsy Major engine.

Westland Lysander

First flown in prototype form during June 1936, the Westland Lysander was a two-seater high-wing monoplane army co-operation aircraft with excellent STOL capabilities. The first production series was the Lysander Mk I, and aircraft of this version entered service in late 1938 with No. 16 Squadron, based at Old Sarum. Lysanders went on to equip some 30 RAF squadrons, and these served in Europe, the Middle East and the Far East. The type was built in three marks, these being distinguished mainly by the different powerplants used. The Lysander Mk I featured the 664-kW (890-hp) Bristol Mercury XII radial; the Lysander Mk II, which was built in the UK by Westland and in Canada by the National Steel Car Corporation, had the 708-kW (950-hp) Bristol Perseus XII radial; and the Lysander Mk III, which was also built in the UK and Canada, used the 649-kW (870-hp) Mercury XX or Mercury XXX radial.

The Lysander operated in its intended role for only a short time in the war. European operations confirming that such large and relatively slow aircraft were deathtraps in the presence of determined opposition, both ground and air. However, the type went on to a notably successful second career in air-sea rescue, radar calibration and, perhaps most significantly, agent dropping and recovery in occupied Europe. Total production was 1,368 aircraft.

Specification
Westland Lysander Mk I
Type: two-seat army co-operation aircraft and short-range tactical reconnaissance aircraft
Powerplant: one 664-kW (890-hp) Bristol Mercury XII radial piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 369 km/h (229 mph) at 3050 m (10,000 ft); climb to 3050 m (10,000 ft) in 5.5 minutes; service ceiling 7925 m (26,000 ft); range 966 km (600 miles)
Weights: empty 1844 kg (4,065 lb); normal loaded 2685 kg (5,920 lb)
Dimensions: span 15.24 m (50 ft 0 in); length 9.30 m (30 ft 6 in); height 3.51 m (11 ft 6 in); wing area 24.15 m² (260.00 sq ft)
Armament: two forward-firing 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns in wheel fairings and two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns in the rear cockpit, plus provision for eight 9.07-kg (20-lb) bombs on stub winglets

The Auster AOP.6 was the last development of the wartime Taylorcraft design. With a more powerful deHavilland engine and a larger propeller (making longer wheel struts necessary) it was actually a poorer performer in many respects than its predecessors.
Letov S 328

The Czech Letov company began in 1932 the design of a general-purpose biplane for service with the Finnish air force. An equal-span single-bay biplane with fixed tailwheel landing gear and conventional braced tail unit, this Letov S 328 had accommodation for a pilot and observer/gunner in separate open cockpits in tandem. The S 328 prototype for Finland was completed during 1933, its powerplant a 433-kW (580-hp) Bristol Pegasus IIM-2 radial engine. Armament comprised two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) forward-firing machine-guns in the upper wing, and two more weapons of the same calibre on a flexible mounting in the rear cockpit. Although no production aircraft were ordered by Finland, political changes and growing tension in Europe caused the Czech air ministry to order the type into production during 1934 for use by its own air force in the role of a bomber/reconnaissance aircraft. A total of 445 was built under the designation S 328, and most of these were impressed for service with the Luftwaffe or the new Slovak air force when Bohemia-Moravia was occupied by German forces in March 1939, but a small number were later supplied to Bulgaria. When production ended a total of 470 had been built, and included 13 examples of a night-fighter variant designated S 328 N which was armed with four fixed forward-firing and two trainably-mounted machine-guns. Letov had plans to produce developed versions, one S 428 prototype resulting from the conversion of an S 328 production aircraft by replacing the standard powerplant with a 485-kW (650-hp) Avia Vr-36 (licence-built Hispano-Suiza 12Nbr) and with armament of four forward-firing machine-guns.

Slovak S 328 aircraft took part in the campaign against Poland in 1940 and were operating on the Eastern Front in 1941, but by 1944 there came a reversal of loyalties, many Slovak pilots defecting to the USSR in their S 328s to take part in operations against the German forces on Soviet territory.

Specification

Letov S 328

Type: two-seat bomber/reconnaissance aircraft
Powerplant: one 474-kW (635-hp) Walter-built Bristol Pegasus IIM-2 radial piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 280 km/h (174 mph) at 1800 m (5,905 ft); service ceiling 7200 m (23,620 ft); range 700 km (435 miles)
Weights: 1680 kg (3,704 lb); maximum take-off 2675 kg (5,897 lb)
Dimensions: 13.70 m (44 ft 11.4 in); length 10.40 m (34 ft 1.4 in); height 3.40 m (11 ft 1.9 in); wing area 67.10 m² (722.28 sq ft)
Armament: four 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns, two in upper wing and two on flexible mount in rear cockpit, plus up to 500 kg (1,102 lb) of bombs

Repulogpégyàr Levante

In October 1940 Repulogpégyàr flew the prototype of a parasol-wing two-seat primary trainer which it designated Repulogpégyàr Levente I. This was modified subsequently to serve as the prototype of an improved Levente II which entered service with the Hungarian air force during 1943. By then, Hungary had allied itself with Germany and had participated in the invasion of the USSR. The result was that the 100 Levente Us built to serve with the air force as primary trainers were, in fact, deployed with operational squadrons where they were used in communications/liaison roles until the end of the war.

Specification

Repulogpégyàr Levente II

Type: two-seat liaison/training aircraft
Powerplant: one licence-built 78-kW (105-hp) Hirth HM 504 A-2 inverted inline piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 180 km/h (112 mph); cruising speed 160 km/h (99 mph); service ceiling 4500 m (14,765 ft); range 650 km (404 miles)
Weights: 470 kg (1,036 lb); maximum take-off 750 kg (1,653 lb)
Dimensions: span 9.45 m (31 ft 0 in); length 6.08 m (19 ft 11.4 in); height 2.53 m (8 ft 3.6 in); wing area 13.50 m² (145.32 sq ft)
Armament: none

The Levente II was used on the Eastern Front as a communications and liaison aircraft by the Hungarian air force. It was powered by a licence-built Hirth 4-cylinder engine, giving a maximum speed of 180 km/h (112 mph).
In July 1935 the Imperial Japanese Army drew up its specification for a new two-seat reconnaissance aircraft, and Mitsubishi responded with a cantilever low-wing monoplane, the Mitsubishi Ki-15. Service testing was completed without difficulty and the type was ordered into production under the official designation Army Type 97 Command Reconnaissance Plane Model 1. In May 1937, a year after the first flight, delivery of production aircraft to the army began.

Just before that, however, military observers in the west should have gained some premonition of Japan's growing capability in aircraft design when the second (civil) prototype was used to establish a new record flight time between Japan and England.

The army's Ki-15-I had been received in time to make a significant impact at the beginning of the war with China, the type's high speed giving it freedom of the skies until China introduced the Soviet Polikarpov I-16.

However, plans had already been made to upgrade performance of the Ki-15-I, this being achieved by installing the 671-kW (900-hp), smaller-diameter Mitsubishi Ha-204 engine, its incorporation providing an opportunity to overcome what had been the major shortcoming of the type, a poor forward field of view past the large-diameter Nakajima engine. The improved version entered production for the army in September 1939 as the Ki-15-II, but before that the Japanese navy, impressed by the performance of this aircraft, ordered 20 examples of the Ki-15-11 under the official designation Navy Type 98 Reconnaissance Plane Model 1, Mitsubishi designation CSM1. The navy acquired subsequently 30 CSM2 aircraft that were generally similar except for installation of the more powerful 708-kW (950-hp) Nakajima Sakae (prosperity) 12 engine. When production ended almost 500 of all versions had been built, the majority being in first-line service when the Pacific war started. Given the Allied codename 'Babs', the type was relegated to second-line roles in early 1943, but many survived to be used in kamikaze attacks at the war's end.

Specification
Mitsubishi Ki-15-1
Type: two-seat reconnaissance aircraft
Powerplant: one 477-kW (640-hp) Nakajima Ha-8 radial piston engine
Performance: max speed 480 km/h (298 mph) at 4000 m (13,125 ft); cruising speed 320 km/h (199 mph) at 5000 m (16,405 ft); service ceiling 11,400 m (37,400 ft); range 2400 km (1,491 miles)
Weights: empty 1400 kg (3,086 lb); max take-off 2300 kg (5,071 lb)
Dimensions: span 12.00 m (39 ft 4.4 in); length 8.00 m (25 ft 3 in); height 3.35 m (11 ft 0 in); wing area 20.36 m² (219.16 sq ft)
Armament: all versions had one 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun on a trainable mount in the rear cockpit plus an external bombload of up to 500 kg (1,102 lb).

The Chinese People's Liberation Army operated Tachikawa Ki-55 advanced trainers in the years following 1945. Large numbers had been supplied to the Japanese puppet regime of Manchukuo, and these fell into the hands of the Communists (with the considerable assistance of the invading Russians).

First flown in prototype form on 20 April 1938, the Tachikawa Ki-36 was a cantilever low-wing monoplane of all-metal basic structure, covered by a mix of light alloy and fabric. Landing gear was of fixed tailwheel type, the main units enclosed in speed fairings, and power was provided by a 336-kW (450-hp) Hitachi Ha-13 radial engine. The two-man crew was enclosed by a long 'greenhouse' canopy and both men had good fields of view, that of the observer being improved by clear view panels in the floor. The type was ordered into production in November 1938 as the Army Type 97 Direct Co-Operation Plane. Generally similar to the prototypes, the type was armed with two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns and introduced the more powerful Hitachi Ha-13a engine. When construction ended in January 1944, a total of 1,334 had been built by Tachikawa (862) and Kawasaki (472).

The handling characteristics and reliability of the Ki-36 made the army realize that it was ideal for use as an advanced trainer, resulting in development of the Ki-55, intended specifically for this role and having armament reduced to a single forward-firing machine-gun. Following the testing of a prototype in September 1939, the army ordered this aircraft as the Army Type 99 Advanced Trainer; when production was terminated in December 1943 a total of 1,389 had been built by Tachikawa (1,078) and Kawasaki (311).

Both versions were allocated the Allied codename 'Ida', and the Ki-36 was first deployed with considerable success in China. However, when confronted by Allied fighters at the beginning of the Pacific War it was found to be too vulnerable, being redeployed in China where it was less likely to be confronted by such aircraft. It was also considered suitable for kamikaze use in the closing stages of the war, being modified to carry internally a bomb of up to 500 kg (1,102 lb).

Specification
Tachikawa Ki-36
Type: two-seat reconnaissance aircraft
Powerplant: one 380-kW (510-hp) Hitachi Ha-13a radial piston engine
Performance: max speed 348 km/h (216 mph) at 1800 m (5,905 ft); cruising speed 235 km/h (146 mph); service ceiling 8,150 m (26,740 ft); range 1225 km (767 miles)
Weights: empty 1180 kg (2,606 lb); max take-off 1660 kg (3,660 lb)
Dimensions: span 10.80m (35 ft 2 in); length 8.00 m (26 ft 3 in); height 3.64 m (11 ft 11 in); wing area 20.00 m² (219.16 sq ft)
Armament: two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns (one forward-firing and one on a trainable mounting in the rear cockpit) plus an external bombload of up to 150 kg (331 lb)

The Type 98 Chokusetsu-Kyodoki (Direct Co-operation Plane) was built by Tachikawa under the designation Ki-36. Trainer versions were designated Ki-55 and were used for kamikaze attacks late in the war.
Henschel Hs 126

In 1935 Henschel developed the parasol-wing Henschel Hs 122 short-range reconnaissance aircraft as a replacement for the Heinkel He 45 and He 46, but although a few of the 492-kW (660-hp) Siemens SAM 22B-engined aircraft were built, the Hs 122 was not adopted for Luftwaffe use. From it, however, Henschel's chief designer Friedrich Nicolaus derived the Henschel Hs 126 which incorporated a new wing, cantilever main landing gear and a canopy over the pilot's cockpit, the observer's position being left open. During 1937 Henschel built 10 pre-production Hs 126A-0 aircraft based on the third prototype, and some were used for operational evaluation by the Luftwaffe's Lehrguppe reconnaissance unit in the spring of 1938. Initial production version was the Hs 126A-1, generally similar to the pre-production aircraft but powered by the 656-kW (880-hp) BMW 132dc radial engine. Armament comprised one forward-firing 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG 17 machine-gun, plus one similar weapon on a trainable mounting in the rear cockpit, and five 10-kg (22-lb) bombs or a single 50-kg (110-lb) bomb could be carried on an underfuselage rack. A hand-held Rb 12,5/9x7 camera in the rear cockpit was supplemented by a Zeiss instrument in a rear-fuselage bay. Six of this version were used by the Legion Condor in Spain during 1938, being transported later to the Spanish air force, and 16 were delivered to the Greek air force. An improved but similar Hs 126B-1 was introduced during the summer of 1939, this incorporating FuG 17 radio equipment and either the Bramo 323A-1 or 671-kW (900-hp) 323A-2 radial piston engine. Production aircraft were built in Berlin, at Schönefeld and Johannisthal, from 1938 and entered operational service first with AufklGr 35. By the outbreak of World War II the re-equipment of He 45- and He 46-equipped reconnaissance units with the Hs 126 was well under way. The type was withdrawn progressively from front-line service during 1942 on replacement by the Focke-Wulf Fw 189. Just over 600 aircraft were built.

Specification
Henschel Hs 126B-1
Type: two-seat short-range reconnaissance aircraft
Powerplant: one 634-kW (850-hp) Bramo 323A-1 radial piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 310 km/h (193 mph) at sea level; service ceiling 8300 m (27,230 ft); maximum range 720 km (447 miles)
Weights: empty 2030 kg (4,475 lb); maximum take-off 3090 kg (6,812 lb)
Dimensions: span 14.50 m (47 ft 6.9 in); length 9.90 m (32 ft 5.8 in); wing area 26.0 m² (279.87 sq ft)
Armament: two 7.92-mm (0.31-in) MG 17 machine-guns, plus one 50-kg (110-lb) or five 10-kg (22-lb) bombs

A contemporary of the Lysander, the Fieseler Fi 156 was found virtually everywhere Germany's armed forces had acquired a 'go-anywhere' aircraft, and for the remainder of World War II the Storch was found virtually everywhere German forces operated, production of all variants totalling 2,549 aircraft. The designation Fi 156C-1 applied to a variant intended to be deployed in liaison and staff transport roles, and the Fi 156C-2 was basically a two-seat reconnaissance version carrying a single camera; some late examples of the Fi 156C-2 were, however, equipped to carry one stretcher for casualty evacuation. The Fi 156C-3 was the first to be equipped for multi-purpose use, the majority of the type being powered by the improved Argus As 10P engine, which was also standard in the generally similar Fi 156C-5 which had provision to carry an underfuselage camera or jettable fuel tank.

Because of their capability, Fi 156s were used in some remarkable exploits. Best known are the rescue of Benito Mussolini from imprisonment in a hotel amid the Apennine mountains, on 12 September 1943, and the flight made by Hanna Reitsch into the ruins of Berlin on 26 April 1945, carrying Generaloberst Ritter von Greim to be appointed by Adolf Hitler as his new commander of the Luftwaffe.

Specification
Fieseler Fi 156C-2
Type: two-seat army co-operation/reconnaissance aircraft
Powerplant: one 170-kW (240-hp) Argus As 10C-3 inverted-Vee piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 170 km/h (109 mph) at sea level; economical cruising speed 130 km/h (81 mph); service ceiling 4600 m (15,090 ft); range 385 km (239 miles)
Weights: empty 930 kg (2,050 lb); maximum take-off 1325 kg (2,921 lb)
Dimensions: span 14.25 m (46 ft 9 in); length 10.85 m (35 ft 7.2 in); height 3.75 m (12 ft 3.6 in); wing area 28.0 m² (294.8 sq ft)
Armament: one rear-firing 7.92-mm (0.31-in) machine-gun on trainable mount

Fieseler Fi 156 Storch

Best known of all the Fieseler designs because of its extensive use during World War II, the Fieseler Fi 156 Storch (stork) was a remarkable STOL (short take-off and landing) aircraft that was first flown during the early months of 1936. A braced high-wing monoplane of mixed construction, with a conventional braced tail unit and fixed tailskid landing gear with long stroke main units, the Fi 156 was powered by an Argus inverted-Vee piston engine, and its extensively glazed cabin provided an excellent view for its three-man crew. As with the Fi 97, the key to the success of this aircraft was its wing incorporating the company's high-lift devices. The capability of this aircraft more than exceeded its STOL expectations, for with little more than a light breeze blowing it needed a take-off run of only about 60 m (200 ft) and could land in about one-third of that distance.

Service tests confirmed that Germany's armed forces had acquired a 'go-anywhere' aircraft, and for the remainder of World War II the Storch was found virtually everywhere German forces operated, production of all variants totalling 2,549 aircraft. The designation Fi 156C-1 applied to a variant intended to be deployed in liaison and staff transport roles, and the Fi 156C-2 was basically a two-seat reconnaissance version carrying a single camera; some late examples of the Fi 156C-2 were, however, equipped to carry one stretcher for casualty evacuation. The Fi 156C-3 was the first to be equipped for multi-purpose use, the majority of the type being powered by the improved Argus As 10P engine, which was also standard in the generally similar Fi 156C-5 which had provision to carry an underfuselage camera or jettable fuel tank.

Because of their capability, Fi 156s were used in some remarkable exploits. Best known are the rescue of Benito Mussolini from imprisonment in a hotel amid the Apennine mountains, on 12 September 1943, and the flight made by Hanna Reitsch into the ruins of Berlin on 26 April 1945, carrying Generaloberst Ritter von Greim to be appointed by Adolf Hitler as his new commander of the Luftwaffe.

Specification
Fieseler Fi 156C-2
Type: two-seat army co-operation/reconnaissance aircraft
Powerplant: one 170-kW (240-hp) Argus As 10C-3 inverted-Vee piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 170 km/h (109 mph) at sea level; economical cruising speed 130 km/h (81 mph); service ceiling 4600 m (15,090 ft); range 385 km (239 miles)
Weights: empty 930 kg (2,050 lb); maximum take-off 1325 kg (2,921 lb)
Dimensions: span 14.25 m (46 ft 9 in); length 10.85 m (35 ft 7.2 in); height 3.75 m (12 ft 3.6 in); wing area 28.0 m² (294.8 sq ft)
Armament: one rear-firing 7.92-mm (0.31-in) machine-gun on trainable mount
In February 1937 the Reichsluftfahrtministerium issued a specification for a short-range reconnaissance aircraft. Focke-Wulf responded with the Focke-Wulf Fw 189 Uhu (eagle owl), an all metal stressed-skin low-wing monoplane that had an extensively glazed fuselage pod, and twin booms carrying the tail surfaces. The crew nacelle provided accommodation for pilot, navigator/radio operator and engine/gunner, and power for the prototype was supplied by two 321-kW (430-hp) Argus As 410 engines. Construction of this aircraft began in April 1937, and designer Tank performed the first flight in July 1938. It was a dual-control trainer version which gained the first order in the summer of 1939. These Fw 189s were supplied in small numbers to the Slovakian and Hungarian air forces operating on the Eastern Front, in which theatre the type was deployed most extensively by the Luftwaffe, but at least one Staffel used the type operationally in North Africa.

Specification
Focke-Wulf Fw 189A-1
Type: two-seat short-range reconnaissance aircraft
Powerplant: two 347-kW (465-hp) Argus As 410A-1 inverted-Vee piston engines
Performance: maximum speed 335 km/h (208 mph); cruising speed 315 km/h (196 mph); service ceiling 7000 m (22,965 ft); range 670 km (416 miles)
Weights: empty 2805 kg (6,184 lb; maximum take-off 3950 kg (8,708 lb)
Dimensions: span 18.40 m (60 ft 4.4 m); length 12.03 m (39 ft 5.6 in); height 4.10 m (13 ft 5.6 in); wing area 38.00 m² (410.94 sq ft)

USA
Stinson L-1 Vigilant

The two-seat light observation aircraft had been an essential adjunct to US Army operations, the concept dating back to World War I. In the years between then and the late 1930s, observation aircraft had, of course, been developed to offer much improved performance, some with high-lift devices which made it possible for them to operate into and out of quite small unprepared areas.

When, in 1940, the US Army Air Corps realized the need to reinforce its aircraft in this category, specifications were circulated and resulted in several contracts. Stinson was awarded a contract for 142 of its design, a braced high-wing monoplane, with an all-metal basic structure, part metal- and part fabric-covered, designated Stinson O-49. To provide low-speed and high-lift performance, the whole of the wing leading edge was provided with automatically-operated slats, and the entire trailing edge was

A Stinson O-49F casualty evacuation plane fitted with twin Edo amphibious floats comes in to land. It was re-designated as the L-1 in 1942, when the 'observation' classification was changed to 'laison'.

GERMANY
Focke-Wulf Fw 189 Uhu

A Focke-Wulf Fw189A-1 Uhu (owl) of Aufklärungsstaffel 1(H)/32 based at Kempi, Finland, in the summer of 1942. The late years of the war were to see the Fw 189 as the Wehrmacht's primary tactical 'eye in the sky', particularly on the Eastern Front.
occupied by wide-span (almost two-thirds) slotted flaps and large slotted ailerons which drooped 26° when the flaps were fully down. The non-retractable tailwheel landing gear was designed specially for operation from unprepared strips. The powerplant consisted of a 213-kW (285-hp) Lycoming R-680-9 radial engine with a two-blade constant-speed propeller. An enclosed cabin seated two in tandem, and the pilot and observer had an excellent field of view all around, above and below.

A second contract covered the construction of 182 O-49A aircraft, which differed by having a slightly longer fuselage and minor equipment changes. Designation changes in 1942 resulted in the O-49 and O-49A becoming the L-1 and L-1A respectively. Both versions were supplied to the RAF under Lend-Lease, and these were given the British name Vigilant.

No further production of new Vigilant aircraft followed, for the type was superseded by the more effective lightweight Grasshopper family. Nevertheless, Vigilants saw quite wide use in both the European and Pacific theatres, the RAF operating many of its aircraft for artillery liaison in Italy, Sicily and Tunisia.

### Specification

**Stinson L-1A**

*Type:* two-seat light liaison/observation aircraft  
*Powerplant:* one 220-kW (295-hp) Lycoming R-680-9 radial piston engine  
*Armament:* none

**Continental O-49A**

*Type:* two-seat light liaison/observation aircraft  
*Powerplant:* one 220-kW (295-hp) Lycoming R-680-9 radial piston engine  
*Armament:* none

**Specification**

**Taylorcraft L-2 Grasshopper**

In 1941 the US Army conducted an operational evaluation with four of each of three types of two-seat light aircraft for use in the artillery spotting and liaison roles, the three types being the Taylorcraft YO-57, the Aeromca YO-58 and the Piper YO-59; all were known as Grasshoppers. The successful use of the aircraft during the US Army's manoeuvres, operating directly with ground forces, resulted in increased production contracts for all three, although the Piper design was to be the most prolific.

The first four Taylorcraft YO-57s were standard civil Taylorcraft Model Ds, powered by the 48-kW (65-hp) Continental O-170-3 flat-four engine, and were followed by 70 basically similar O-57 aircraft. However, the need to provide an all-round view resulted in modifications to the cabin and rear fuselage and the introduction of trailing-edge cut-outs at the wing roots. Other alterations to the aircraft for its specialized tasks included an observer's seat which could be turned around to face the rear, and the installation of radio. In this form the type was designated O-57A and 336 were manufactured.

A further 140 were built under the designation L-2A, US Army aircraft of this class having been reclassified, from observation to liaison in 1942. The YO-57s and O-57s were reclassified L-2 and the YO-57As were redesignated L-2A. Some 490 aircraft with special equipment, built for service with the field artillery, were designated L-2B and the final variant, with a production run of 900, was the L-2M, identified by the fully cowled engine and the fitting of wing spoilers.

Taylorcraft were involved in the training programme of military glider pilots, involving 43 impressed civil machines which were used to provide an initial powered flying course.

The company also developed a light training glider version which was known as the Taylorcraft ST-100 and the designation TG-6. The front fuselage was extended and a 'glass-house' canopy fitted, the landing gear simplified and a skid added under the nose; the lengthened nose necessitated increased fin area. Production totalled 253, including three for US Navy trials.

- **Armament:** none

**A United States Army Air Force L-IF is seen in Burma. Converted from a standard L-1A, it is metallic silver except for the top surfaces, which have been sprayed olive drab.**

### Specification

**Taylorcraft L-2A**

*Type:* two-seat liaison aircraft/training glider  
*Powerplant:* one 48-kW (65-hp) Continental O-170-3 flat-four engine  
*Armament:* none

**Specification**

**Taylorcraft L-2A**

*Type:* two-seat liaison aircraft/training glider  
*Powerplant:* one 48-kW (65-hp) Continental O-170-3 flat-four engine  
*Armament:* none

### Specification

**Taylorcraft L-2A**

*Type:* two-seat liaison aircraft/training glider  
*Powerplant:* one 48-kW (65-hp) Continental O-170-3 flat-four engine  
*Armament:* none
Aeronca L-3 Grasshopper

The name Aeronca Aircraft Corporation had been adopted in 1941 by the company established in late 1928 as the Aeronautical Corporation of America, One of its most successful products was the Aeronca Model 65 highwing monoplane, developed to meet commercial requirements for a reliable dual-control tandem two-seat trainer. The four of these aircraft supplied initially to the USAAC became designated YO-58, and these were followed by 30 O-58, 20 O-58A and 335 O-58B aircraft, serving with the USAAF (established on 20 June 1941). In the following year the O (Observation) designation was changed to L (Liaison), and the O-58, O-58A and O-58B designations became respectively L-3/L-3A and L-3B Grasshopper. An additional 540 aircraft were delivered as L-SBs and 490 L-3C aircraft were manufactured before production ended in 1944. The designations L-3D/-3E/-3F/-3G/-3H/-3J were applied to sets of powerplant installations and impressed into military service when the United States became involved in World War II.

Most L-3s were generally similar, with small changes in equipment representing the variation from one to another. All shared the welded steel-tube fuselage/tail unit with fabric covering, and wings with spruce spars, light alloy ribs and fabric covering. The fuselage and braced tail unit had basic structures of welded steel tube and were fabric-covered. Landing gear was of non-retractable tailwheel type, with the main units divided and incorporating oleo-spring shock-absorbers in the side vees.

With the requirement for a trainer suitable for glider pilots, Aeronca developed an unpowered version of the Model 65. This retained the wings, tail unit and aft fuselage of the L-3, but introduced a new front fuselage providing a third seat forward for an instructor, the original tandem seats being used by two pupils: all three occupants had similar flying controls and instruments. A total of 250 of these training gliders was supplied to the USAAF under the designation TG-5, and three supplied to the US Navy for evaluation were identified as LNR.

Production of Aeronca liaison aircraft continued after the war, with planes supplied to the USAF under the designation L-16.

Specification

Aeronca L-3

Type: two-seat light liaison and observation monoplane

Powerplant: one 48-kW (65-hp) Continental O-170 flat-four piston engine

Performance: maximum speed 140 km/h (87 mph); cruising speed 74 km/h (46 mph); service ceiling 3050 m (10,000 ft); range 322 km (200 miles)

Weights: empty 379 kg (835 lb); maximum take-off 590 kg (1,300 lb)

Dimensions: span 10.67 m (35 ft 0 in); length 6.40 m (21 ft 0 in); height 2.34 m (7 ft 8 in); wing area 14.68 m² (158 sq ft)

Armament: none

Piper L-4 Grasshopper

Evaluated for the role of artillery spotting and front-line liaison, four examples of the Piper Cub Model J-3C-65 were acquired for this purpose by the US Army Air Corps in mid-1941. These were allocated the designation YO-59, and, almost simultaneously, 40 additional examples were ordered as O-59 primary trainers. Twenty similar aircraft basically similar to the US Army’s L-4s, and these were used as primary trainers. Twenty similar aircraft procured at a later date were designated NE-2, and 100 examples of the Piper J-3C Cub which were acquired for ambulance use (carrying one stretcher) were originally HE-1.

In 1942 Piper was requested to develop a training glider from the basic L-4 design, this involving the removal of the powerplant and landing gear. In its modified form it had a simple cross-axle landing gear with hydraulic brakes, and the powerplant was replaced by a new front fuselage to accommodate an instructor, and he and both pupils were provided with full flying controls. A total of 250 was built for the USAAF. Under the designation TG-8, plus three for evaluation by the US Navy which designated them XLNP-1.

Apart from the three XLNP-1s which the US Navy acquired for evaluation, this service also procured 230 NE-1 aircraft basically similar to the US Army’s L-4s, and these were used as primary trainers. Twenty similar aircraft procured at a later date were designated NE-2, and 100 examples of the Piper J-3C Cub which were acquired for ambulance use (carrying one stretcher) were originally HE-1. When, in 1943, the letter H was allocated to identify helicopters, the HE-1s were redesignated AE-1.
Specification
Piper L-4
Type: two-seat lightweight liaison aircraft
Powerplant: one 48-kW (65-hp) Continental O-170-3 flat-four piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 137 km/h (85 mph); cruising speed 121 km/h (75 mph); service ceiling 2835 m (9,300 ft); range 306 km (190 miles)
Weights: empty 331 kg (730 lb); maximum take-off 553 kg (1,220 lb)
Dimensions: span 10.74 m (35 ft 3 in); length 6.71 m (22 ft 0 in); height 2.03 m (6 ft 8 in); wing area 16.63 m² (179 sq ft)
Armament: none

The Piper Cub was built in improved versions up until 1981, and has been used by a great many air forces. The Israeli air force, operators of this late-model Super Cub, have been using the type since its creation in the late 1940s.

USA
Stinson L-5 Sentinel

The Stinson (part of Vultee) 105 Voyager was an attractive three-seat civil lightplane, and in 1941 the US Army acquired six of these civil aircraft which it evaluated for use in a light liaison role. Successful testing resulted in an initial order of 275 aircraft to be powered by the Lycoming O-435-1 flat-four engine. The following order covered 1,456 similar aircraft, under the designation L-5.

Construction of the L-5s was changed from that of the original Voyager design following a decision to reserve alloy materials for the construction of combat aircraft. Instead of the mixed construction which had been used for the wing and tail unit of the Voyager, those of the L-5 were all-wood, but retained the welded steel-tube fuselage structure. Other changes included rearrangement of the enclosed cabin to seat two in tandem, a reduction in height of the rear fuselage to provide an improved rearward view, and the provision of clear transparent panels in the roof. The original wing design had included leading-edge slots and slotted trailing-edge flaps, and these were retained. The main units of the non-retractable tailwheel type landing gear were modified so that the stroke of the oleo-spring shock-absorbers was almost doubled.

The L-5C, of which 200 were built, had provision for the installation of a K-20 reconnaissance camera. In addition to the aircraft procured directly by the US Army, eight commercial Voyagers were commandeered in 1941 and designated AT-19A (later L-9A), and 12 others as AT-19B (L-9B).

Used extensively by the USAF throughout World War II, especially in the Pacific theatre, many L-5s were still in use to provide valuable service during the Korean War. The RAF was allocated 100 of these aircraft under Lend-Lease, and these were used widely in Burma for liaison spotting and air ambulance duties under the name Sentinel. The US Marine Corps acquired a total of 306 L-5s of differing versions, but all were designated OY-1, the Y signifying origin from Consolidated after a merger with Vultee in early 1943. The US Marine Corps deployed its Sentinels for similar missions to those of the RAF and USAF in support of its operations in the Pacific.

Specification
Stinson L-5
Type: two-seat light liaison aircraft
Powerplant: one 138-kW (185-hp) Lycoming O-435-1 flat-four piston engine
Performance: maximum speed 209 km/h (130 mph); service ceiling 4815 m (15,800 ft); range 676 km (420 miles)
Weights: empty 703 kg (1,550 lb); maximum take-off 916 kg (2,020 lb)
Dimensions: span 10.36 m (34 ft 0 in); length 7.34 m (24 ft 1 in); height 2.41 m (7 ft 11 in); wing area 14.40 m² (155 sq ft)
Armament: none

Above: Two of the 100 Stinson Sentinels supplied under Lend-Lease to the RAF in Burma fly over the paddy fields. They were used for artillery spotting, air ambulance and general liaison duties.
Occupying a unique position in Soviet aviation history, the Polikarpov U-2 primary trainer biplane had an inauspicious start. The U-2TPK prototype, which appeared in early 1927, had been built to achieve economy in repair and maintenance, the wings comprising four identical thick-section interchangeable rectangular panels with square tips. Similarly, a common control surface was used for ailerons, elevators and rudder. The result was a biplane with very poor flight characteristics. It had thus to be redesigned, appearing as a neat, manoeuvrable biplane having staggered single-bay wing with rounded tips, conventional cross-axis landing gear, and tandem open cockpits for instructor and pupil. Powered by a 75-kW (100-hp) radial engine, the new prototype made its first flight on 7 January 1928. An immediate success, it was placed in quantity production, deliveries starting in 1928, and by the time of the German invasion of the Soviet Union in mid-1941 over 13,000 had been completed.

Though its principle role was primary training, the U-2 was soon modified as a light passenger transport, air ambulance and agricultural aircraft. Production continued on a massive scale during World War II, and the U-2 took on an even wider range of duties, including liaison, light attack, night nuisance raider and propaganda aircraft complete with microphone and loudspeaker.

After Polikarpov's death, on 30 July 1944, the U-2 was redesignated Po-2 in his honour, and post-war it continued in production in the USSR for several years. Trainer and ambulance variants were built on a large scale in Poland from 1948 to 1953, Po-2s served with many Soviet allies and a small number still remain in flying condition in the USSR and several other countries. The total built is credibly reported to be in excess of 40,000.

**Specification**

**Polikarpov U-2VS**

Type: trainer and multi-purpose aircraft

Powerplant: one 75-kW (100-hp) M-11 radial piston engine

Performance: maximum speed 186 km/h (97 mph); service ceiling 4000 m (13,125 ft); range 400 km (249 miles)

Weights: empty equipped 635 kg (1,400 lb); maximum take-off 890 kg (1,962 lb)

Dimensions: span 11.40 m (37 ft 4 in); length 11.20 m (36 ft 7 in); height 3.10 m (10 ft 2 in); wing area 33.15 m² (356.4 sq ft)

Armament: none

**Polikarpov U-2**

Type: two-seat fighter/reconnaissance aircraft

Powerplant: one 418-kW (560-hp) Piaggio P. IXRC radial piston engine

Performance: maximum speed 330 km/h (205 mph) at 3000 m (16,405 ft); cruising speed 250 km/h (155 mph); service ceiling 7200 m (23,620 ft); maximum range 1120 km (696 miles)

Weights: empty 1585 kg (3,494 lb); maximum take-off 2420 kg (5,355 lb)

Dimensions: span 11.08 m (36 ft 4 in); length 8.56 m (28 ft 1 in); height 3.15 m (10 ft 4 in); wing area 31.35 m² (337.46 sq ft)

Armament: two fixed forward-firing 7.7-mm (.303-in) machine-guns and one gun of same calibre on trainable mount in rear cockpit, plus up to 180 kg (397 lb) of bombs on underfuselage racks.

The Polikarpov U-2 was used in a wide array of both civil and military roles, and has probably been built in greater numbers and in more variants than any other aircraft in history.

A Soviet built U-2 supplied to the Polish forces at the end of World War II, preserved at a Polish museum. Over 100 regiments, each of 42 aircraft, operated the 'Kuburucnie' ('Corn Cutter') at the height of the battle for Monte Corvino near Salerno in 1943.
Axis Submarines

The struggle for supremacy above and beneath the swirling waters of the North Atlantic was the most important battle fought by the submarine forces of the Axis navies. However, Axis submarines ranged the sealanes from the Atlantic through the Indian Ocean to the South Pacific.

During World War II the common factor that permitted the USA to wage war in both Europe and the Pacific simultaneously, and which allowed the UK to exist at all, was merchant shipping. Losses of warships could cause problems, but losses of merchantmen were potentially disastrous. If the loss rate had exceeded the construction rate for a significant period, the Allies' capacity to wage war would have slowed, to the point of eventual capitulation.

As World War I had adequately proved to the Germans that submarines were the best vehicles for this form of warfare, it seems extraordinary that more resources were not put into their construction in the late 1930s. Those available caused damage enough, but greater numbers and a higher construction rate from the outset would have swamped the ability to cope of current Allied defences.

Throughout the conflict, the Germans strove to improve both the technical quality of their boats and the methods by which they could best be employed, a natural energy that contrasted strangely with that of their Axis partners. Both Italy and Japan had sizeable submarine fleets and, as each joined the war at later dates, they had adequate time to learn at first hand the problems of submarine warfare before actually committing themselves.

Italy, however, found her boats to be deficient in quality and their crews both poorly trained and, in many cases, suffering from the same lack of motivation and conviction that affected her surface fleet.

Japan, on the other hand, had no lack of motivation but was stricken with an inflexibility of purpose that worked to the American advantage. War waged against merchant shipping was viewed as 'defensive' so, despite in most cases being manifestly unsuitable for the purpose, Japanese submarines were employed almost exclusively against warships. The twin facts that American lines of communication vulnerably straddled two oceans and that American submarines were throttling Japan by blockade went unnoticed.

There was no lack of sacrifice. In pursuing their various objectives, the Axis partners lost more than 950 boats in action and many more from other causes.
Small- to medium-sized boats in the IJN were designated 'RO', equivalent to the Western 'B'. In the case of the 'RO-100' class, the term 'Kaisho' or Type KS was also used, denoting 'small.' They were designed originally as limited-endurance boats for use in the waters off the Japanese home islands, and for this reason operational depth could be reduced to only 75 m (245 ft). The function of the boats was, however, extended to protection of the numerous islands that were acquired to defend the outer perimeter of the new empire. As these were often surrounded by deep water, the 'RO-100' boats started at a disadvantage. Once submerged, the boats' small sonar profile did not compensate for their poor performance and all 18 of the class were sunk significantly only two by aircraft. That one was sunk off eastern India says much for the endurance of its crew. No less than five of the class were destroyed by the American destroyer-escort USS England on various dates. The design was a diminutive of, but very different from, the earlier 'RO-33'.

In size and potential it equated roughly with the British U-class. They were unsuitable for attacking the warships that were designated their prime targets yet, whilst they could have operated effectively against mercantile targets, the Japanese submarine command showed the lack of imagination and flexibility that was characteristic throughout the war and which was primarily responsible for its poor showing.

The class of 18 was ordered pre-war but were being completed up to 1944. Nine further projected units were cancelled. The parallel RO type, the 'RO-35' class ('Kaisho' or Type KS) was later and comprised the last medium-sized boats built by the IJN. Of the 18 completed only one survived the war, having been used defensively despite their superior potential. Between them, the combined 'RO-35' and 'RO-100' classes are credited with four minor warships and six merchantmen sunk, a catastrophically poor rate of exchange that led also to the cancellation of 60 further 'RO-35s'.

Directly comparable in performance and size to the British 'U-class' boats, the 'RO-100s' should have been equally successful, but were to prove less able to cope with operational limitations.

This picture portrays the high surface speed of the Japanese Type BI ('1-15') class. To improve targeting in the commerce-raiding role, a 'Glen' floatplane was carried. In terms of naval architectural problems, the '1-15' class boats were clean and satisfactory solutions to putting aircraft into submarines. The reasons for doing so were, however, questionable.
Japan's many submarine designs, the little 'Ha-201' class boats, complemented by the 78-m (255,9-ft) '1-201' class boats, were the equivalent of the German Types XXII and XXI respectively. With the Americans pressing ever closer to the home islands, the Japanese seem in 1943 at last to have grasped the fact that they had the wrong types of submarine to tackle their chosen prime targets, warships. Their strategy would best have been served by concentrating their existing German boats, however, they arrived too late to be of use, their enemy already having achieved absolute superiority. 

Using experimental data derived from the prewar experiments with the 43-m (141-ft) evaluation boat, No. 71, the Japanese planned the rapid production of 90 boats. Even with extensive prefabrication and the use of five separate yards, they managed to complete only about 10, none of which managed an offensive patrol, though 28 more were in an advanced state of construction at the surrender. The prefix 'Ha' corresponds to 'C', denoting a small boat. The exterior was kept as clean of protruberances as possible, though there was a certain amount of forward casing. The boats were capable of 'grouping-up' for limited bursts of high submerged speed, necessary as they had only two torpedo tubes and attacks needed to be carried out from close range to guarantee success. Interestingly, they were propelled by a single, centreline propeller, despite a cruciform control surface assembly, remarkably similar to modern arrangements. They possessed only limited endurance and their crew of 22 could be supported for about 15 days. A type of snort was fitted to allow prolonged periods of submersion, necessary for them to survive at a time when American air power was virtually unchallenged. Together with advanced German submarine types, they yielded the Americans much valuable post-war data to apply to their 'Guppy' programmes.

Specification
'Ha-201' class
Type: coastal submarine
Displacement: 377 tons surfaced and 440 tons submerged
Dimensions: length 53.00 m (173 ft 11 in); beam 4.00 m (13 ft 1 in); draught 3.40 m (11 ft 2 in)
Propulsion: surfaced diesel delivering 400 bhp (298 kW) and submerged electric motor delivering 1,250 hp (930 kW) to one shaft
Speed: surfaced 10.5 kts and submerged 13 kts
Range: surfaced 5600 km (3,480 miles) at 10.5 kts and submerged 115 km (71.5 miles) at 2 kts
Armament: one 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-gun, and two 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tubes (both forward) with four torpedoes
Complement: 22

Like the German Type XXIIIs, the 'Ha-201' class boats were small, fast and handy. They were too late to be of use, in spite of prefabricated construction. Larger than their German equivalents, they had superior endurance.

GERMANY

Type II

In 1935 Germany repudiated the treaty by which she was prevented from operating submarines, forcing an Anglo-German agreement which allowed direct construction up to a ceiling of total tonnage equivalent to 45 per cent of that operated by the British. A major task for the submarine supremo, Karl Dönitz, was to break this figure down into numbers and types of boat that would fulfill a wartime strategy. One requirement identified was that of a coastal submarine roughly equivalent to the later UB series that operated successfully in UK waters during World War I. During the fallow years of the treaty, German design expertise was maintained through work for export, and the prototype for the Type II can thus be found in the Vesikko, itself based on an amalgam of data from the UB II and the later UF. This boat was built in Finland in 1933 to German design.

The Type IIAs went quickly into production following the go-ahead, and proved to be handy and manoeuvrable, being able to crash-diving in 25 seconds. Their profile and lively surface characteristics earned them the nickname of 'canoes'. Though the small displacement of the Type II A favoured larger numbers in a restricted ceiling, the design was very limited on endurance, requiring progressive 'stretching' through the Type IIB, Type IIC and Type IID sub-types: the Type IIB had greater bankage and radius, the Type IIC was modelled on the Type IIB with more powerful engines, and the Type IID had saddle tanks.

The design encompassed a single hull with a trim tank at each end of the pressure hull and an internal 'rapid-dive' tank amidships. As only three torpedo tubes and limited reloads were carried, a load of mines was an alternative rather than an addition.

With the emphasis of the sea war moving deep-sea, construction of the Type IID ceased in 1941, the boats thereafter being used much for training and trials purposes, including early experiments with snort gear. In total, there were built six Type IIA s, 20 Type IIB s, eight type IICs and 16 Type IIDs.

Specification
Type IIB
Type: coastal submarine
Displacement: 314 tons surfaced and 364 tons submerged
Dimensions: length 43.95 m (144 ft 2 in); beam 4.87 m (16 ft 0 in); draught 3.90 m (12 ft 9 in)
Propulsion: surfaced diesels delivering 700 bhp (522 kW) and two electric motors delivering 190 bhp (141 kW) to two shafts
Speed: surfaced 10.5 kts and submerged 7.5 kts
Range: surfaced 6500 km (4,040 miles) at 12 kts and submerged 105 km (65 miles) at 4 kts
Armament: one (later four) 20-mm AA guns and three 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tubes (all forward) with six torpedoes
Complement: 22

Type II coastal boats lacked the endurance necessary for much of the war at sea, and were not built after 1941. Shown here is the U-3, an early command of the ace Schepeke.
Like that of the Type II, the design of the Type VII seagoing boat had export origins in a Finnish-built series of 1930-1 (the 'Veteranen' class) and, beyond that, in the UB III of 1918. To permit the greatest number of hulls to be built within the ceiling tonnage agreed, size was severely limited in the 10 Type VIIA boats (626/745 tons). With performance and offensive capacity optimized, conditions abord were somewhat Spartan even with internal space saved by mounting the after tube in the casing (where it could be reloaded only with difficulty and then on the surface) and by the stowage of spare torpedoes and part of the bunker capacity externally (where they were vulnerable to depth charging). The Type VIIB and Type VIIC were, therefore, stretched to increase internal volume to rectify some of the shortcomings and to allow more powerful diesels to be fitted, a significant factor in surface operations. This modified boat was highly successful, nearly 700 units being built in various sub-variants until the war's end. Later improvements included greater operational depths, reinforced towers, enhanced AA armament and snorkels, all features reflecting developing Allied antishubmarine procedures. Significantly, most lacked a deck gun as surface operations became impossible.

While mines configured to the standard 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tube could be laid by all German submarines, these weapons could not guarantee a sinking as opposed to disablement. 'To lay the largest moored mines, therefore, six Type VIIIs were stretched by the addition of an extra 10-m (32.8-ft) section amidships containing five vertical free-flooding tubes, each containing three complete mine assemblies. These tubes protuded upward to O1 level into an extended tower. The class was known as the Type VIID. A further four boats, the Type VIIIIF sub-class, were similarly lengthened, with the additional space given over to spare torpedoes for transfer to extend the operational duration of other boats. Up to 25 torpedoes could be carried but transfer operations with both boats temporarily immobilized on the surface became increasingly unpopular and were abandoned. The Type VUE, a study in improved propulsion, never progressed beyond the drawing board.

**Specification**

**Type VII**

**Type: sea-going submarine**

**Displacement:** 769 tons surfaced and 871 tons submerged

**Dimensions:** length 66.50 m (218 ft 2 in); beam 6.20 m (20 ft 4 in); draught 4.75 m (15 ft 7 in)

**Propulsion:** surfaced diesels delivering 2,800 bhp (2089 kW) and submerged electric motors delivering 750 hp (559 kW) to two shafts

**Speed:** surfaced 17.5 kts and submerged 7.5 kts

**Range:** surfaced 15750 km (9,785 miles) at 10 kts and submerged 150 km (93 miles) at 4 kts

**Armament:** one 88-mm (3.465-in) gun, one 37-mm AA gun, two (later eight) 20-mm AA guns, and five 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tubes (four forward and one aft) with 14 torpedoes

**Complement:** 44

**Above:** A Type VIIIC boat, probably U-402, is re-launched after maintenance, her broad keelson allowing her to sit on the cradle with little auxiliary support. Note the blisters of the external ballast tanks and the skeg aft spreading braces to keep wires clear of the twin rudders.

**Below:** Belonging to the best-known German submarine flotilla, the 7th (Stier), U-52, is a Type VIIIC which survived until the war's last days. Larger than the 'A' variant, the 'B' lacks the odd hump aft, the after torpedo tubes having been relocated within the hull.
GERMANY

Type IX

The Type IX class was designed for ocean warfare. Loosely based on the larger Type I, it differed fundamentally in having a double hull. This feature increased useful internal volume by enabling fuel and ballast tanks to be sited externally, in turn, the extra hull improved survivability by cushioning the inner (pressure) hull from explosive shock and gave the boats greatly improved seakindliness on the surface. Habitability was improved for operations of longer duration and the number of torpedoes carried, at 22, was about 50 per cent more than those of a Type VII. The deck gun was increased in calibre from 88 to 105mm (3.465 to 4.13m).

To give an idea of how designs developed during the course of the war, the Type IXA and Type VIIA variants were, respectively, 76.5 and 64.5m (251 and 211.6ft) long, while the final Type IXD and Type VIIF marks were 87.5 and 77.6m (287.07 and 254.6ft) long.

The major objective with the Type IX variants was to improve range rather than offensive capability. Thus the eight Type IXA boats could achieve 19500 km (12,120 miles) on the surface at 10 kts yet, even before September 1939, were being complemented by the first of 14 Type IXB boats capable of 22250km (13,825 miles). These were followed by the largest group, the Type IXC and slightly modified Type IXC-40, 149 boats with bunkers for 25000km (15,535 miles).

From the opening of hostilities, the Type IXs worked the western and southern Atlantic and, on the entry into the war of the United States, were supplemented by Type VIIIs for the 'Happy Time', ravaging shipping down the USA's eastern seaboard to the Caribbean before a proper convoy system had been instituted.

As early as 1940, the Type IXD was on the board, with an extra 10.8-m (35.4-ft) section worked in. Two examples of the Type IXD1 were built, with no armament, but capable of stowing over 250 tons of fuel for the topping-up of other boats. The 29 Type IXD2s boats were operational boats with the phenomenal range of 38400 km (36,290 miles), enabling them to work the Indian Ocean and even reach Japan. Some included a small, single-seat towed gyro kite to increase their visual search radius. The Type IXD2 was further refined to the Type IXD2-42, but only one of this variant was ever completed. Advanced diesels in the Type IXD1s gave a 21-kts surface speed, but were found unreliable and not repeated.

Specification

Type IX
Type: ocean-going submarine
Displacement: 1,120 tons surfaced and 1,323 tons submerged
Dimensions: length 76.70m (251 ft 5 in); beam 6.75m (22 ft 2 in); draught 4.70m (15 ft 5 in)
Propulsion: surfaced diesels delivering 4,400 hp (3281 kW) and submerged electric motors delivering 1,000 hp (746 kW) to two shafts
Speed: surfaced 18.2 kts and submerged 7.5 kts
Range: surfaced 25000km (15,535 miles) at 10kts and submerged 115km

With each variant operating ever further afield, the Type IX was a most successful design. Shown is the 'B' variant U-108, which was particularly successful in the North Atlantic. off the American eastern seaboard, in the Caribbean and off West Africa. She also torpedoes the battleship Malaya.

GERMANY

Type X and Type XI

Of the five main types of U-boat identified by pre-war staff requirements, the patrol submarines of short-, medium- and long-endurance capabilities, became the Type IX, Type VII and Type IX respectively. The others were a 'small' minelayer and a long-range cruiser submarine; with modifications, these became the Type X and Type XI.

Only three type XIs were ever built, these being very large boats with a length of 115 m (377.3ft) and a surface displacement of 3140 tons. Essentially submersible surface raiders, they had a useful 22.2-tow of 23kts, and a superstructure that included stowage for a small scout seaplane and paired 127-mm (5-in) guns at each end.

Though the large cruiser submarine had enjoyed some success during World War I, its mode of operation rapidly became impossible in World War II and the type was discontinued. Indeed, the boats' most noteworthy achievement seems to have been U-601's initial sighting of the British convoy JW 55B in the Norwegian Sea on Christmas Day 1943. Her contact report was responsible for the sinking of the Scourthorst, bent on interception. She, in turn, was met by the Royal Navy and sunk the following day.

The Type X was, in fact, a very large minelayer design, a 2,500-tonner incorporating multiple vertical mine storages projected from keel to the top of a hump in the casing, each accommodating three mine assemblies. On each side, in the space between the hulls, were fitted 12 shorter storages, each containing two mines. The total load was, therefore, 66 large mines. Built to avoid action as far as possible, the type Xs had only two torpedo tubes, squeezed in right aft. They proved to be better employed in resupply rather than minelaying.

Specification

Type XB
Type: minelaying submarine
Displacement: 1,763 tons surfaced and 2,177 tons submerged
Dimensions: length 89.80m (294ft 7in); beam 9.20m (30ft 1in); draught 4.11m (13ft 6in)
Propulsion: surfaced diesels delivering 4,200 bhp (3131 kW) and submerged electric motors delivering 1,100 hp (820 kW) to two shafts
Speed: surfaced 16.5 kts and submerged 7 kts
Range: surfaced 34400 km (21,375 miles) at 10 kts and submerged 175 km (109 miles) at 4 kts
Armament: one 105-mm (4.13-in) gun (later removed), one 37-mm AA gun, two 20-mm AA guns, two 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tubes (both aft) with 22 torpedoes
Complement: 52

Already wearing the 'Old Glory', a surrendered Type IX U-boat wallows in a quiet sea off the American coast, in May 1945, watched by a DE and a blimp. This example was a 'B' variant, longer than earlier versions.
Planned data time when the larger cruiser submarine idea was still in vogue, only three Type XI were built, due to changing priorities. With a length of 115 m (377 ft), the four boats (U-112/-115) would have had a range of 25430 km (15,800 miles) at 12 kts. Their armament included four 127-mm guns and an autogyro was carried.

A combination of anti-submarine aircraft and radar gradually made it impossible for U-boats to use their high surface speed as a basis for attack and, to ensure their survival as a viable attack platform, submarines had to be optimized for submerged performance. Only a machinery system independent of surface air in combination with a cleaned-up, high-speed hull would suffice, and the Type XVII marked this fundamental and transitional step forward.

The key to the concept was the Walter closed-cycle propulsion system that relied on the near-explosive decomposition of concentrated hydrogen peroxide in the presence of a catalyst. The reaction produced a high-temperature mix of steam and free oxygen into which fuel oil was injected and fired, resulting in high-pressure gases that were made to drive a conventional turbine. A weakness of the principle was that almost any impurity could act as a catalyst to initiate the process at a disastrously early stage.

Two prototype boats proved the machinery feasible, and the system was pressed into service in the Type XVIIs. A drawback was the extreme thirst of the system, dictating a small boat with a single propeller. For cruise purposes, this was driven by a conventional diesel/electric combination, with the Walter coupled up only to force or decline an engagement. Externally, the hull was cleaned-up, with no guns and a minimum of protruberances. It was of figure-eight section, formed of two overlapping circular pressure hulls of unequal diameter. In practice, the length to beam ratio was too high, resulting in an unnecessarily high drag. This meant that the Type XVIIA never realized its theoretical top speed of 25 kts possible with two turbines on a common shaft. So only four such boats were built, the modified Type XVIIIA (three completed) having only one turbine. Space was available for only two torpedo tubes, with but one reload for each, a deficiency offset by the increasing lethality of the weapon.

A projected Type XVIIIB would have abandoned the volatile Walter for conventional diesels aspirated with pure oxygen stored aboard.

Specification
Type: coastal submarine
Displacement: 312 tons surfaced and 357 tons submerged
Dimensions: length 41.50 m (136 ft 2 in); beam 3.40 m (11 ft 2 in); draught 4.25 m (14 ft 0 in)
Propulsion: surfaced diesel delivering 210 bhp (157 kW) and submerged Walter closed-cycle engine delivering 2,500 bhp (1865 kW) or electric motor delivering 77 hp (57 kW) to one shaft
Speed: surfaced 9 kts and submerged 21.5 kts on Walter engine or 5 kts on electric motor
Range: surfaced 5550 km (3,450 miles) at 9 kts and submerged 210 km (130.5 miles) on Walter engine or 75 km (46.6 miles) on electric motor
Armament: two 533-mm (21-in) torpedotubes (both forward) with four torpedoes
Complement: 19

Few in numbers but rich in variants, the Type XVII U-boat was not a success, trying to press the Walter turbine into service before its time. Planned to run to a dozen boats (U-1081/1092), the 'G'variant was halted before any were complete. It was designed for an ultimate submerged speed of 25 kts.
One of the most influential designs in the history of the submarine, the Type XXI was to set standards until the introduction of the nuclear boat a decade later. Though both closed-cycle turbines and diesels had been introduced, both still needed development, so a stopgap high-power electric boat was produced, using mostly established technology. With the lower pressure hull packed with high power-density cells, the Type XXI could, for the first time, develop more power submerged than surfaced. Their main propulsion motors were supplemented by low power units for silent manoeuvring.

Like that in the Type XVII, the pressure hull of the Type XXI was of 'double-bubble' cross section, though externally framed. It was prefabricated in eight sections at a variety of sites, being brought together for final assembly at the shipyard. The external framing increased volume and facilitated the addition of a hydrodynamically clean outer skin. Construction was all-welded for a target of three boats per week in an ambitious programme to produce an eventual 1,500 units (U-2500 to U-4000). Most other submarine programmes were curtailed to this end.

The Type XXIs were designed to spend their full patrol time submerged, so the snort was used mainly to run diesels for battery recharge. Habitability was greatly improved, with air-conditioning and air-regeneration apparatus.

The only guns were paired automatic weapons set into the forward and after profiles of the elongated fin. A combination of active and passive sonars was used to provide a full torpedo-firing solution without recourse to the periscope. Two proposed but un-built variants, the Type XXIB and Type XXIC, would have increased the number of torpedo tubes from six to 12 and 18 respectively by the insertion of extra sections into the hull. Fortunately for the Allies, the Type XXI never became fully operational. Several were sunk, all by aircraft and in home waters.

**Specification**

**Type XXII**

Type: ocean-going submarine Displacement: 1,621 tons surfaced and 1,819 tons submerged Dimensions: length 76.70 m (251 ft 9 in); beam 6.62 m (21 ft 9 in); draught 6.20 m (20 ft 4 in)

Propulsion: surfaced diesels delivering 4,000 bhp (2985 kW) and submerged electric motors delivering 5,000 hp (3730 kW) or electric motors delivering 226 hp (169 kW) to two shafts Speed: surfaced 15.5 kts and submerged 16 kts on main electric motor or 3.5 kts on creeping electric motors Range: surfaced 28800 km (17,895 miles) and submerged 525 km (325 miles) at 6 kts Armament: four 30-mm or 20-mm AA guns, and six 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tubes (all forward) with 23 torpedoes Complement: 57

**Type XXIII**

Rather than search the Atlantic for convoys it may, in retrospect, have been more rewarding for the German submarine arm to develop tactics to tackle them at their known points of arrival and departure, despite the likely concentrations of escorts. A suitable vehicle would have been the Type XXIII, small and agile for shallow water operations and, like its larger cousin the Type XXI, packed with high-capacity battery cells for maximum underwater speed. The 'double-bubble' cross section over the forward half but was internally framed and prefabricated in 12 sections. The partial-length lower hull contained both batteries and some ballast and fuel capacity. A departure was the near abandonment of outer casing except in the transitional zones and this, together with a very low reserve buoyancy (the difference between surfaced and submerged displacements was only 24 tons) allowed for rapid crash-dive, times of less than 10 seconds being recorded. Even smaller than the Type XVII Walter boats, the Type XXIIIs also had a single shaft but a propeller proportionately larger in diameter for greater propulsive efficiency.

Though the boat was designed to operate submerged, its silhouette on the surface was very small, being little more than the slim tower with the attached low casing that enclosed the habit sonar and sonar mast are prominent. The first to commission, U-2511, was Norwegian-based, but, beyond a 'dummy' attack on a cruiser, had no luck.
snort induction and engine exhaust arrangements. No guns were carried and, oddly, only two torpedo tubes. With no space inboard for orthodox loading, the boat needed to be trimmed by the stern to expose the bow caps. As no spares could be carried an extra two or four tubes forward would have been a bonus. As it was, attacks had to be carried out positively, from close range and with very fast or very stealthily disengagements. That this was possible was shown by the last U-boat attack in European waters, which occurred on 7 May 1945 well inside the Firth of Forth, when the U-2336 sank two British merchantmen of an escorted convoy. One torpedo was used on each, fired on the strength of passive sonar bearings from ranges of less than 500 m (545 yards). By this time 62 type XXIIIIs had entered service and their only losses had been to aircraft; it was fortunate for the Allies that the enemy’s training and dedication no longer matched his technology.

**Specification**

**Type XXIII**
- **Type**: coastal submarine
- **Displacement**: 232 tons surfaced and 256 tons submerged
- **Dimensions**: length 34.10 m (112 ft 0 in); beam 3.00 m (9 ft 10 in); draught 3.75 m (12 ft 3 in)
- **Propulsion**: surfaced diesel delivering 580 bhp (433 kW) and submerged electric motor delivering 600 hp (447 kW) or electric motor delivering 35 hp (26 kW) to one shaft
- **Speed**: surfaced 10 kts and submerged 12-5 kts on main electric motor or 2 kts on creeping electric motor
- **Range**: surfaced 2500 km (1,555 miles) and submerged 325 km (202 miles) at 4 kts
- **Armament**: two 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tubes (four forward and two aft) with two torpedoes
- **Complement**: 14

From the tower aft the Type XXIII was all machinery. The forward quarter was all torpedo room and, except for a miniscule control room, the crew was squeezed above the banks of high-capacity battery cells. Note the single screw and unusual control surfaces.

---

**ITALY**

'Sirena', 'Perla', 'Adua' and 'Acciaio' classes

Dating from a period of great expansion for the Italian navy's submarine arm, the 12 'Sirena' class submarines were known also as the '600' class boats. This figure was indicative of their standard surface displacement and, though the final design exceeded it by a considerable margin, they proved very handy boats for the constricted conditions of the Mediterranean. Their detail design was greatly influenced by that of the preceding 'Argonauta' class, but, as they were laid down before the latter's entry into service, they did not benefit from working experience. Simple and robust, they were heavily used and suffered accordingly, only one surviving beyond the armistice of September 1943.

Ten almost identical derivatives, the 'Perla' class, followed on. Two of these, 'Iride' and 'Onice', served somewhat controversially under Irish Nationalist colours during the Spanish Civil War. During World War II, the 'Iride', together with the 'Ambra', were converted to carry SLC human torpedoes. The latter boat had already distinguished herself when, two days after the Battle of Cape Matapan, she had sunk the British cruiser HMS *Queen Elizabeth*.

**Specification**

'Sirena' class
- **Type**: sea-going submarine
- **Displacement**: between 679 and 701 tons surfaced and between 842 and 860 tons submerged

bonaventure. Once converted, she went on to attack the harbour at Algiers in December 1942, heavily damaging four ships totalling 20,000 gross registered tons.

Yet another virtual repeat class had followed in the 17 'Adua' class boats, launched 1936-8. Two of these also were converted to carry SLCs and one of these, the 'Sore', was particularly successful. She attacked Gibraltar on no less than four occasions, the raid of September 1941 accounting for two ships, including the auxiliary tanker *Denbydale*. Her greatest coup, however, was in December 1941 when her three SLCs put the battleships *HMS Queen Elizabeth* and *HMS Valiant*, together with a tanker, on the bottom of Alexandria harbour. She was finally sunk by the anti-submarine trawler *Morn* outside Haifa in August 1942.

The final expression of the '600' type was in the enlarged 13-boat 'Acciaio' class of 1941-2.

**Specification**

'Sirena' class
- **Type**: sea-going submarine
- **Displacement**: between 679 and 701 tons surfaced and between 842 and 860 tons submerged

**Type XXIII**
- **Type**: coastal submarine
- **Displacement**: 232 tons surfaced and 256 tons submerged
- **Dimensions**: length 34.10 m (112 ft 0 in); beam 3.00 m (9 ft 10 in); draught 3.75 m (12 ft 3 in)
- **Propulsion**: surfaced diesel delivering 580 bhp (433 kW) and submerged electric motor delivering 600 hp (447 kW) or electric motor delivering 35 hp (26 kW) to one shaft
- **Speed**: surfaced 10 kts and submerged 12-5 kts on main electric motor or 2 kts on creeping electric motor
- **Range**: surfaced 2500 km (1,555 miles) and submerged 325 km (202 miles) at 4 kts
- **Armament**: two 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tubes (four forward and two aft) with two torpedoes
- **Complement**: 14

From the tower aft the Type XXIII was all machinery. The forward quarter was all torpedo room and, except for a miniscule control room, the crew was squeezed above the banks of high-capacity battery cells. Note the single screw and unusual control surfaces.

The Italian submarine Perla at Beirut after capture in 1942. The shadow accentuates the unusual tumblehome of the casing.

**Speed**: surfaced 14 kts and submerged 8 kts

**Range**: surfaced 9000 km (5,590 miles) at 8 kts and submerged 135 km (84 miles) at 4 kts

**Armament**: one 100-mm (3.9-in) gun, two (later four) 13.2-mm (0.52-in) machine-guns, and six 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tubes (four forward and two aft) with two torpedoes

**Complement**: 45

Below: More powerful 'Adua' 7 'Perla' with reduced tower. Acciaio was lead boat of a class of 13. She was sunk by HM Submarine Unruly on 13 July 1943.
ITALY

'Cagni' class

It is not clear how the Italian navy, with minimal commitments outside the Mediterranean, could justify investment in submarines for ocean warfare. Italy's merchant marine, while of reasonable size, could not be protected on a worldwide basis by Italy's surface fleet, which was geared to short-endurance, high-speed undersea operations, so coherent operations in the defence of trade were out of the question, even against the rival neighbour France. Despite this, the four 'Cagni' class submarines were all laid down in September and October 1939 on the outbreak of hostilities between Germany and the Anglo-French alliance. As these submarines were armed specifically at long-range commerce raiding, one can only speculate that Italy, as yet uninvolved, saw involvement against the maritime powers as only a matter of time.

The 'Cagni' were the largest attack boats yet built for the Italian navy and, interestingly, were armed with small 450-mm (17.7-in) torpedoes. Though these were longer than the standard 450-mm (17.7-in) weapons, enabling them to carry more fuel, they were considerably less than the 270 kg (595 lb) of the larger 533-mm (21-in) torpedoes. As the torpedoes were for use primarily against 'soft' targets, however, this was judged acceptable together with their lack of range. The bonus for this compromise was the ability to carry 36 torpedoes, the eight tubes forward and six aft permitting large spreads to enhance chances of success. An unusual feature was that torpedoes could be transferred from one end of the boat to the other. Two large deck guns were also carried to conserve torpedoes.

Unfortunately for Italian plans, the Mediterranean sea war required the keeping open of the vital North Africa supply route. Following heavy surface losses, the navy pressed large submarines into this service. In completing 15 trips, three of the four boats in the class were sunk in only three months. Only the name boat Ammiraglio Cagni worked as designed, but unsuccessfully, sinking less than 10,000 gross registered tons in two long patrols.

Specification
'Cagni' class
Type: ocean-going submarine

Displacement: 1,680 tons surfaced and 2,170 tons submerged
Dimensions: length 87.90 m (288 ft 9 in); beam 7.76 m (25 ft 6 in); draught 5.72 m (18 ft 9 in)
Propulsion: surfaced diesels delivering 4,370 bhp (3260 kW) and submerged electric motors delivering 1,800 hp (1345 kW) to two shafts
Speed: surfaced 17 kts and submerged 8.5 kts
Range: surfaced 20000 km (12,425 miles) at 12 kts and submerged 200 km (124 miles) at 3.5 kts
Complement: 82

Nameship and sole survivor of her class, Cagni is seen here with a modernized and rather Germanic-style tower, reducing her radar profile.

Ammiraglio Cagni returns from sea with a damaged after casing. The heavy armament of two 100-mm and four 13.2-mm guns can be seen, also the generally bulky appearance typical of most Italian ocean-going boats.

Armament: two 100-mm (3.9-in) guns, four 13.2-mm(0.52-in) machine-guns, and 14450-mm (17.7-in) torpedotubes (eight forward and six aft) with 36 torpedoes

Complement: 82

The 'Cagni' class submarine Gallei is seen about to be towed in by the British destroyer Kandahar. Noxious gases filled the boat and caused her surrender.

ITALY

'Archimede' class

The four 'Archimede' class submarines were enlargements of the preceding 'Settembrini' design with ballasting rearranged to improve bunker capacity. An extra gun was worked as designed, but un成功的, sinking less than 10,000 gross registered tons in two long patrols.

Specification
'Archimede' class
Type: sea-going submarine

Displacement: 985 tons surfaced and 1,259 tons submerged
Dimensions: length 70.50 m (231 ft 4 in); beam 6.35 m (20 ft 11 in); draught 4.10 m (13 ft 6 in)
Propulsion: surfaced diesels delivering 3,000 bhp (2235 kW) and submerged electric motors delivering 1,300 hp (970 kW) to two shafts
Speed: surfaced 17 kts and submerged 8 kts
Range: surfaced 19000 km (11,805 miles) at 8 kts and submerged 195 km (121 miles) at 3 kts
Armament: two 100-mm (3.9-in) guns, two 13.2-mm(0.52-in) machine-guns, and eight 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tubes (four forward and four aft) with 16 torpedoes
Complement: 55

The 'Archimede' class submarine Gallei is seen about to be towed in by the British destroyer Kandahar. Noxious gases filled the boat and caused her surrender.

The 'Archimede' class submarine Moonstone, which inflicted damage that caused the boat to be filled with noxious fumes. Unable to dive, she fought it out on the surface. Far larger, faster and more heavily armed than her opponent she should have been successful had not the Moonstone shot up every gun's crew that emerged topside. With most of its officers dead, the demoralized crew surrendered. Captured, the boat assumed the British pennant P711 until her eventual disposal in 1946. Galileo's replacement Torricelli was also apprehended by British forces. Forced to the surface near Perim Island, she engaged in a gun action with three 'K' class destroyers and a slop. She was, inevitably, sunk but not before she had hit both the sloop and the destroyer HMS Khartoum. The hit on the latter was on one of the banks of torpedo tubes and, apparently, caused a compressed air explosion followed by the detonation of a torpedo warhead. The ship was destroyed.
Allied Submarines

While not achieving the notoriety of the German 'wolf packs', Allied submarine forces still made significant contributions to the war effort. In Europe they were active from Norway to the Mediterranean, and in the Far East the collapse of the Japanese economy at the end of the war was largely due to the US submarine blockade.

Submarines sighted in the Atlantic during World War II were, very likely, those of the Germans, for the area was largely devoid of targets for Allied boats. The British, reinforced by such submarines as were able to escape their various countries' rapid collapse, concentrated their efforts in European waters, where the Axis forces were forced to risk surface movement. Thus, submarine attack was used effectively in the Norwegian campaign, against U-boats that were in transit, and also in the long struggle in the Mediterranean. The shortage of boats, as in everything else in World War II, obliged the British initially to a virtual denuding of the Far East of larger submarines for use in constricted waters where they proved to be both unsatisfactory and vulnerable. When, eventually, the Far East itself was engulfed in war, the British submarine presence was only minimal, many of the diverted boats having already been lost. It was only when the Mediterranean sea war effectively came to an end following the surrender of enemy forces in North Africa that the Royal Navy was able to redeploy its improved 'T' class boats to the eastern theatre, where the submarine war against Japan was already dominated by the Americans.

The position of the American submarine arm vis-a-vis the Japanese mercantile marine was analogous to the relationship between the Germans and the British at this time. Both maritime-based empires depended upon their seaborne trade; it stood to reason that if this area could be throttled the empire would inevitably collapse. Because the British were experienced they were also prepared. As a result they were to survive the onslaught (albeit narrowly). The Japanese, however, laid themselves wide open and were totally blinkered to the truth of their own shortcomings. The typical skipper of an American submarine time and again proved himself to be determined, adaptable and highly innovative, devising original and bold attack techniques. His fleet organization gave him full backing with temporary advanced bases and tenders used to the full to follow the war's advance, shortening transit times and maximizing time on patrol.
Like the Royal Navy, the French had a six-strong class of minelaying submarines, the 'Saphir' class of 1925-9. These were much smaller than the British boats, being geared to Mediterranean operations. Again, as a mine capable of being launched through a standard torpedo tube had not been developed, the hull design was dominated by the mine stowage. The design for this had been produced by the well-known submarine builder, Normand, but was based on that of the British 'E' class minelayers of 1914-8: 16 vertical chutes were built into the space between the widely-separated double hulls, in four groups of four, and each chute could accommodate two mines, though a weakness of the arrangement was that these were of special manufacture. The British had abandoned the system in favour of laying over the stern with the mines stowed within the upper casing.

Four stretched versions, continuing the 'jewel' names as the 'Emeraude' class, were scheduled to follow in 1937-8. Lengthened by nearly 7m (22.97 ft), they would have carried 25 per cent more mines, but only the nameship was ever laid down and she was destroyed on the slip at the occupation.

Of the 'Saphirs', three ('Nautilus, Saphir and Turquoise') were taken by the enemy at Bizerta and one ('Le Diamant') was scuttled at Toulon. The Rubis and Perle operated for the duration of the war (the latter was sunk in error by British aircraft in July 1944) under the Free French flag. The Rubis began operating with the British Home Fleet in April 1940, laying mines in Norwegian waters. Between then and the end of 1944 she carried out no less than 22 successful minelaying operations, most to interrupt the enemy's coast-hugging mercantile routes. The total of 15 ships known to have been destroyed on her mines included several Scandinavians carrying German ore cargoes, a minesweeper and four small anti-submarine vessels. She also torpedoed and sank one more, a Finn.

Specification Saphir class Displacement: 761 tons surfaced and 925 tons submerged Dimensions: length 65.90 m (216.21 ft); beam, 12 m (39.37 ft); draught 4.30 m (14.11 ft)

The most successful minelaying submarine of the war, Rubis was responsible in her 22 minelaying patrols for the sinking of at least 15 vessels. These included five warships as well as vessels running iron ore in coastal convoys to Germany.

Most of the major maritime nations at sometime or other experimented with the idea of the cruiser submarine. All were larger than usual, with an exceptional surfacemanship and good endurance. Some carried an aircraft to increase their effective search radius. The only design to combine, reasonably successfully, all these features in one hull was the Surcouf Ordered under the 1926 programme as the first in a class of three, she was destined to be the only unit of the 'Surcouf' class, and the largest submarine in the world in terms of displacement, though shorter than both the American 'Nautilus' and the Japanese 'A' boats.

At the time of the Washington Treaty the British M1 to M3 had 304.8-mm (12-in) guns and, to prevent further escalation in this direction (though even these were overlarge and totally unwieldy) the treaty limited future submarines to 203.2-mm (8-in) weapons. Only the French ever fitted the latter, and these to the Surcouf, paired in a complex pressure-tight turret. This structure was faired into a pressure-tight 'hangar' abaft it and containing a specially-designed Besson M.B.411 floatplane. This had to be taken out and the wings attached before it could be lowered into the water, a time-consuming and highly risky business which, while acceptable in 1926, was certainly not in 1939-45. Only the French could ever have specified the torpedo tube fit. This comprised four 550-mm (21.65-in) tubes set in an orthodox bow arrangement, with six reloads; one quadruple 550-mm trainable mounting in the casing threequarters aft; and a quadruple 400-mm (15.75-in) trainable mounting in the casing right aft, with four reloads.

The suggested mode of operation of submarines such as these was always rather woolly and the Surcouf, like the rest of her kind, was never able to find a proper role. Seized in Plymouth in July 1940, she was operated by a Free French crew on several Atlantic patrols in December 1941 she participated with three French corvettes in the seizure of the Vichy islands of St Pierre and Miquelon, in the St Lawrence estuary. In February 1942 she sunk in the Caribbean after a collision.

Specification Surcouf class Displacement: 3,270 tons surfaced and 4,250 tons submerged Dimensions: length 110.00 m (360.89 ft); beam 9.00 m (29.53 ft); draught 9.07 m (29.76 ft)

Propulsion: two diesels delivering 5667.3 kW (7,600 bhp) and two electric motors delivering 2535.4 kW (3,400 hp) to two shafts Speed: 18 kts surfaced and 8.5 kts submerged Endurance: 18,531 km (11,151 miles) at 10 kts surfaced and 11 km (69 miles) at 5 kts submerged Armament: two 203.2-mm (8-in) guns, two 37-mm guns, eight 550-mm (21.65-in) torpedo tubes (four bow and four in a trainable mounting), and four 400-mm (15.75-in) torpedoes (ma trainable mounting aft) Complement: 118

Surcouf, seen here in the Clyde estuary, was a product of the inter-war concept of the cruiser submarine, espoused by many navies. She was the closest of all such designs to being a success, without ever having the chance to be employed against enemy merchant shipping.
Like the 'O' and 'R' classes, the World War I-designed "old 'S' (Sugar)" boats were well represented in the US Navy in December 1941, when the USA found itself in World War II. Sixty-four of these boats were still available, though many had for years been involved only in training. All suffered when the submarine was still regarded by the US Navy as a weapon for use in the defence of home territory. None, therefore, had adequate endurance for the Pacific operations that, with Japan as an ally in 1914-18, had not been foreseeable.

The 'O' and 'R' boats were fitted with 457-mm (18-in) torpedo tubes and had poor endurance, and the general specification for the improved 'S' class had been put out to competition. At this time, US submarine practice was dominated by the companies owned by Holland and Lake; each tendered, together with the Portsmouth Navy Yard. Three prototypes were built to the designs, the 'S2' by Lake being thought unsatisfactory. In total, 25 Holland-designed boats, known as the 'S' class Group 1, were launched between 1918 and 1922, followed by six of an improved version known as the 'S' class Group 3. The 15 'S' class Group 2 boats were to the naval design (some built by Lake's yard), and these were followed by four improved 'S' class Group 4 boats. Though all had about the same speed, armament and complement, they varied greatly in size and, somewhat, in endurance. All were of double-hulled design, one carried a seaplane for an experimental period, and four were fitted with an extra tube aft.

Six were transferred to the Royal Navy early in the war, one then being passed on to the Poles. As the last target she was sunk in error by the British in the course of a convoy action in 1942, by tragic irony, one of the escorts concerned was also ex-American, the 'four-piper' HMS St Albans. Most of the American 'S' class boats in the Far East had been replaced by newer boats by late 1943, but some had success. Before the Savo Island action, for instance, Mikawa's approach was sighted and reported by the S-18, and the S-44 exacted a toll of the victors by sending the Kako to the bottom. In October 1943 this old veteran's luck ran out and she was sunk near the Kamchatka peninsula.

**Specification**

**Old 'S' class (first group)**
- **Displacement**: 854 tons surfaced and 1,085 tons submerged
- **Dimensions**: length 66.83 m (219.25 ft); beam 10.13 m (33.25 ft); draught 4.80 m (15.75 ft)
- **Propulsion**: two diesels delivering 894.8 kW (1,200 bhp) and two electric motors delivering 1118.6 kW (1,500 hp) to two shafts
- **Speed**: 14.5 knots surfaced and 11 knots submerged
- **Endurance**: 9270 km (5,760 miles) at 10 knots surfaced
- **Armanent**: one 101.6-mm (4-in) gun and four or five 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tubes (all bow or four bow and one stern) for 12 torpedoes

Complement: 42

---

The two 'Narwhal' class units USS Narwhal and Nautilus must be classed as a group with the USS Argonaut that immediately preceded them. The large German transport submarines that worked the eastern US seaboard during World War I made a great impression on an oceanically-minded navy, and the early 1920s saw designs produced for a minelaying (V-4, later Argonaut) and two cruiser submarines Narwhal (V-5) and Nautilus (V-6). They were all large, even the latter boats (3,200 tons 10.5 ft the shorter) being of greater length than the monstrous French Surcouf. As a minelaying, the V-4 could load 60 mines, which were laid through two tubes existing beneath the counter.

Forward of the after bulkhead of the engine room the 'Narwhals' were nearly identical, mounting two torpedo tubes aft in place of the mine stowage, a smaller demand on space that accounted for their shorter length. To match the boat's endurance, torpedo stowage was on a grand scale, upwards of 36 being carried both within the hull and the casing topside. To stretch them even further, two 152.4-mm (6-in) deck guns were mounted, the largest in any American submarine. Scouting for targets was the task for a small seaplane, the plans for which were, however, dropped.

**USS Nautilus in pre-war trim. The two 'Narwhals' were thought to be too slow for fleet submarine work during the war, and were often used for clandestine operations, although it was Nautilus that finished off the stricken Soryu after Midway.**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Narwhal class (as built)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Displacement</strong></td>
<td>2,730 tons surfaced and 3,900 tons submerged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimensions</td>
<td>length 112.95 m (370.58 ft); beam 10.13 m (33.25 ft); draught 4.80 m (15.75 ft)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Propulsion</strong></td>
<td>combination drive with four diesels delivering 4026.8 kW (5,400 bhp) and two electric motors delivering 1894.1 kW (2,540 hp) to two shafts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Speed</strong></td>
<td>17 knots surfaced and 8 knots submerged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Endurance</strong></td>
<td>3335 km (20,725 miles) at 10 knots surfaced and 933 km (58 miles) at 5 knots submerged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Armanent</strong></td>
<td>two single 152.4-mm (6-in) guns and six 533-mm (21-in) torpedoes (four bow and two stern)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Narwhal and her sisters were the largest submarines in US service until the arrival of the nuclear submarines of the 1950s. In a scene from the happier pre-war days, Narwhal is seen towing a seaplane with engine trouble back to Pearl Harbor.
**New 'S' class**

Known as the New 'S' class because the early units confusingly took pendants of the Old 'S' class boats while still in service. 16 boats were built in two very similar groups. Their design was based closely upon that of the preceding 1,320-ton 'P' class, but differed particularly in having a deeper stern to accommodate an increase in the after torpedo tube complement from two to four. The 'P' and 'S' class boats were the first all-welded submarines in the US Navy and, though techniques were still being developed, the workmanship was sound, as evinced by the survival of the USS Salmon (SS 192), lead boat of the New 'S' class Group 1, which was severely depth charged in October 1944 by four Japanese escorts after torpedoeing a tanker off Kyushu. The combination of concussion and the effects of overpressures through being driven far below design depth left the hull dished between frames, but the boat made it home. Irreparable, she was eventually scrapped. The double hull of the American boats was a protective feature, provided that the ballast and fuel tanks within retained an ullage space over the liquid contents.

Composite propulsion systems were fitted in some, arrangements whereby the two forward diesels drove generators directly and the two after units were geared to the shafts, the gearing being shared also by two propulsion motors on each shaft. Though complex, the arrangement proved satisfactory.

Twelve reload torpedoes were located within the pressure hull and four more in external stowage in the casing, an arrangement vulnerable to the effects of depth charge attack. Two mines could be carried for each internal torpedo and laid through the tubes. Originally a 76.2-mm (3-in) gun was fitted, but this was changed to a 101.6-mm (4-in) weapon in the majority of boats. Wartime modifications saw the bulky 'sails' cut down to a profile similar to that of later classes.

USA

**'Gato' class**

From the New 'S' class design the Americans developed the T class submarine, a dozen of which were launched in barely 13 months, mostly in 1940. They differed primarily in receiving two extra tubes forward (10 in all) and later substituting a specially-modified 127-mm (5-in) deck gun for the earlier 101.6-mm (4-in) gun, or 76.2-mm (3-in) gun in some cases. This gradual evolutionary process was successful and produced a submarine with acceptable characteristics for the Pacific war. What was needed was a long endurance and self-sufficiency. Because of the distances involved, patrols were much longer than those in the European theatre and more boats were needed to maintain numbers on station.

The 'Gato' class was an improved T and went into volume production, the first of the class, the USS Drum (SS 228), being completed shortly before hostilities commenced. Officially capable of operating down to 91 m (300 ft) they often went deeper. The earlier boats had a large, solid looking sail, similar to those of pre-war designs. These were soon reduced as boats came in for repair but, although the structure could be lowered the very high standards ('shears') demanded by the long periscopes remained a lofty feature. Operating on the surface more than would have been possible in European waters, they began also to accumulate varied outfits of automatic weapons, regular and non-regular, the structures gaining various platforms to support them. Even extra main-calibre deck guns appeared, all in the cause of making the 24 torpedoes aboard last longer.

After 73 boats the hull was secretly extended, the greater part of the fleet's underwater arm until introduction of the nuclear submarines. Many 'went foreign', some still serving.

Specification

- **'Gato' class**
  - Displacement: 1,440 tons surfaced and 2,200 tons submerged
  - Dimensions: length 93.88 m (308.0 ft); beam 7.98 m (26.17 ft); draught 4.34 m (14.25 ft)
  - Propulsion: composite drive with four diesels delivering 4,101.4 kW (5,500 bhp) and four electric motors delivering 1,983.6 kW (2,660 hp) to two shafts
  - Speed: 21 kts surfaced and 9 kts submerged
  - Endurance: 18,532 km (11,515 miles) at 10 kts surfaced and 158 km (98 miles) at 5 kts submerged
  - Armament: one 76.2-mm (3-in) gun

The combined group formed the backbone of the US Navy's wartime submarine strength, achieved much and suffered 29 losses. Post-war, with the example of German developments, many were modernized under the GUPPY programmes, remaining the greater part of the fleet's underwater arm until introduction of the nuclear submarines.
uSS Darter aground on Bombay shoal during the battle of Leyte Gulf. After the triumph of the previous day when she torpedoed and sank the cruiser Atago (flagship of Admiral Kurita), as well as damaging the cruiser Takao, she was badly damaged and finally scuttled on 24 October.

Propulsion: four diesels delivering 4026.8 kW (5,400 bhp) and four electric motors delivering 2043.2 kW (2,740 hp) to two shafts
Speed: 20 kts surfaced and 8.5 kts submerged
Endurance: 21316 km (13,245 miles) at 10 kts surfaced and 175 km (109 miles) at 5 kts submerged
Armament: one 127-mm (5-in) gun and 10 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tubes (six bow and four stern) for 24 torpedoes
Complement: 80

'Tench' class

The 'Tench' class marked the ultimate refinement in the basic design whose ancestry could be traced back to the 'P' class. Externally they were virtually identical with the 'Balaos', and so closely related was the design that some of the later Balao contracts were converted to 'Tenches'. Though 25 boats had been completed by the end of hostilities, most were still working up in home waters; not a dozen, therefore, managed to see operational duty and none of these was lost. Total production was 33 boats between 1944 and 1946, with another 101 cancelled or scrapped incomplete.

Differences, though not obvious, were important. The first concerned machinery. In the 'Balao' class the four diesels each ran a direct-coupled generator, which served both to charge batteries and power the electric propulsion motors when surfaced. Each shaft had two motors, coupled to it via reduction gearing. Both the high-speed motors and the reduction gear were noisy (to the extent where it was fortunate that Japanese ASW techniques and equipment were so backward). Reduction gears were also expensive, temperamental, easy to damage and, traditionally, a slow delivery item in the USA (as was the turbo-electric propulsion in battleships). It made sense, therefore, to develop a large and slow-turning motor that could be direct-coupled. Two of these larger units, with no associated gear housings, could be accommodated without the earlier awkward crank in the hull, but illustrates some of the problems facing submarine designers.

Fuel and ballast tanks were better organized, firstly to obviate the need to lead the vents of the ballast tanks through the pressure hull (where they constituted a flooding hazard) and, secondly, the better to compensate for the considerable change in weight and trim as stores were consumed during a long patrol. Even a further four torpedo reloads were squeezed in, and this, combined with radar and efficient mechanical fire-control computers, put the 'Tenches' far ahead of the opposition. In order to improve on the average, but slow, diving times of 55-60 seconds, the casings were pierced with many more lightening holes.

Specification
'Tench' class
Displacement: 1,570 tons surfaced and 2,415 tons submerged
Dimensions: length 95.0 m (311.67 ft); beam 8.31 m (27.25 ft); draught 4.65 m (15.25 ft)
Propulsion: four diesels delivering 4026.8 kW (5,400 bhp) and two electric motors delivering 2043.2 kW (2,740 hp) to two shafts
Speed: 20 kts surfaced and 9 kts submerged
Endurance: 21316 km (13,245 miles) at 10 kts surfaced and 204 km (127 miles) at 4 kts
Armament: one or two 127-mm (5-in) guns and 10 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tubes (six bow and four stern) for 28 torpedoes
Complement: 81
The 'O' class (later 'Oberon' class) was developed as a replacement for the overseas patrol submarines, and it is of interest to note that, even as early as 1922, there was a requirement for long range with an eye to possible future operations against Japan (an ally during 1914-8). The lead boat, HMS Oberon, was laid down by Chatham Dockyard in 1924 and was closely followed by two sisters, HMS Orway and Oxley. Six bow and two stern tubes were fitted, with a reload for each. These, together with extensive bunker spaces, made for a large hull which proved distinctly unhandy, with speed reduced by a plethora of external fittings. Even after much fairing and attention they barely achieved their designed surface speed, and failed altogether to reach the required speed submerged.

The hull was fitted with saddle tanks, which contained most of the ballast capacity. Some could double as extra fuel tanks but were unpopular as they inevitably emitted telltale oil traces through leaky rivet heads. As with the 'L' class boats, a 101.6-mm (4-in) gun was fitted in the tower to allow it to be worked in heavy seas.

Because of the limitations with the 'Oberons', an improved 'Odin' class was evolved: longer to accommodate more powerful machinery and beamier to improve stability in the surface condition. Completed in 1928-9, these boats were HMS Odin, Olympus, Orpheus, Osrus, Oswald and Orus. Though still plagued by weeping oil, their outsides were marked by a great clean-up of general clutter. An interesting idea, fortunately not pursued, was to install auxiliary accommodation in the upper casing to ease the confines of the crew on extended patrols. The 'Parthian' and 'Rainbow' classes were essentially 'Odin' repeats; six of each were ordered, differing only in detail. Two 'Rainbows' were ultimately cancelled, and the units completed in 1929-30 were HMS Parthian, Perseus, Phoenix, Poseidon, Proteus, Pandora, Rainbow, Regent, Regulus and Rover.

Most of the 'O' class boats were in the Far East in September 1939 but one of those in home waters, the Oxley, had the melancholy record of being the first British submarine lost when torpedoed in error by another British submarine, HMS Triton. Of the joint class total of 18, 12 were lost, most by the end of 1940 and many in the Mediterranean, for whose confines they were totally unsuited.

**Specification**

**Odin' class**
- Displacement: 1,781 tons surfaced and 2,038 tons submerged
- Dimensions: length 86.41 m (283.5 ft); beam 9.12 m (29.92 ft); draught 4.17 m (13.67 ft)
- Propulsion: two diesels delivering 3281.1 kW (4,400 hp) and two electric motors delivering 984.3 kW (1,320 hp) to two shafts
- Speed: 17.5 kts surfaced and 9 kts submerged
- Endurance: 21,123 km (13,125 miles) at 8 kts surfaced and 97 km (60 miles) at 4 kts submerged
- Armament: one 101.6-mm (4-in) gun and eight 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tubes (six bow and two stern)
- Complement: 53

**'Porpoise' class**

Based on the recently-completed 'Parthians', the 'Porpoise' class submarines were purpose-built mine-layers. German practice tended to near-vertical mine shutes located within the envelope of the pressure hull, but the British preferred external stowage, despite risk of damage from overpressures or depth-charging. 'E' and 'L' class mine layers had had stowages in the saddle tanks on each side but, in the experimental conversion of the M3 in 1927, tracks were laid atop the hull over the greater part of her length and inside the free-flooding space contained within an extra-deep coaming. An endless-chain mechanism fed the mines through doors right aft as the submarine moved slowly ahead. Being weight-critical the class took rather small diesel engines, resulting in a modest surface speed. To avoid detection from fuel leaks all bunkers were internal, it being found necessary to extend the pressure hull downwards like a box keelson to meet the saddle tanks. This oddly-shaped and weaker cross-section undoubtedly contributed to the designed depth being limited to 91 m (300ft) compared with the 152m (500ft) of the 'Parthians'.

The main function of the 'Porpoises' was, officially at least, superseded by the development of a mine capable of being laid through a conventional torpedo tube. But despite this the class was still to lay some 2,600 mines operationally. They proved invaluable during the height of the siege of Malta - when, in concert with the available 'O' class boats, they moved in personnel and supplies. The 'Porpoises' were commissioned as the UB-A but not used operationally. Only the Rorqual survived World War II.

**Specification**

**Porpoise class**
- Displacement: 1,768 tons surfaced and 2,053 tons submerged
- Dimensions: length 88.09 m (289.0 ft); beam 9.09 m (29.83 ft); draught 4.88 m (16.0 ft)
- Propulsion: two diesels delivering 2460.8 kW (3,300 bhp) and two electric motors delivering 1252.8 kW (1,630 hp) to two shafts
- Speed: 15.5 kts surfaced and 9 kts submerged
- Endurance: 21,308 km (13,240 miles) at 8 kts surfaced and 122 km (76 miles) at 4 kts submerged
- Armament: one 101.6-mm (4-in) gun, six 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tubes (all bow) for 12 torpedoes, and 50 mines
- Complement: 59

Similar to the 'Parthian' class from which it was derived, Porpoise could lay some 50 mines. She was sunk by Japanese aircraft in January 1945.
'Thames' class

With the steam-driven 'K' class boats and the experimental XI, the Royal Navy had attempted to produce submarines with characteristics appropriate for operations with the surface fleet. Unfortunately, the 'K' boats were dismally problem-prone and the XI remained a one-off. The requirement still existed, therefore, for a boat to fill the role while avoiding the weaknesses of the 'O' class. Adhering to the limitations of the Geneva Conference it was decided to build 20 boats, each of the maximum allowable surface displacement of 1,800 tons, with the combined functions of fleet work and long-range patrols. In the event, with the surface fleet becoming faster, policy was changed and only three of the class were completed between 1932 and 1934 as the 'Thames' class units HMS Thames, Severn and Clyde. The boats were only 1.83m (6ft) shorter overall than the monstrous 'K' class boats and were actually beamier overall, despite a narrower pressure hull. In cross-section the hull was carried downward at the keel to meet the line of the outer hull. Little oil fuel was carried inboard, most of it being stowed in spaces above the main ballast tanks.

Weepage was apt to be into the main hull through started rivet-heads. Only now were diesels available of the size and power to match the 'K' class legend speed of 23.5 kts. Engine design was by the Admiralty, and turned out to be lighter than forecast. This was fortunate as the boats were highly weight-critical. For their extended patrols, allowances were made in the pressure hull for fresh and distilled water were carried, some two per cent of surface displacement. General submarine policy of substituting 101.6-mm (4-in) guns saved some 6 tons, while a further 8 tons was gained by burning fuel of a lower specific gravity.

In the Norwegian campaign of 1940, the Thames was lost on a mine, while the Clyde succeeded in damaging the Gneisenau by torpedo. The Clyde ran an invaluable 1,200 tons of supplies to a beleaguered Malta and sank several enemy merchantmen while working out of Gibraltar. The Severn was active for a time in the little-known Levant operations.

Specification

Thames class
Displacement: 2,165 tons surfaced and 2,680 tons submerged
Dimensions: length 105.16 m (345.0 ft); beam 8.61 m (28.25 ft); draught 4.78 m (15.67 ft)
Propulsion: two diesels delivering 7457.0 kW (10,000 bhp) and two electric motors delivering 1864.25 kW (2500 hp) to two shafts
Speed: 22.5 kts surfaced and 10.5 kts submerged
Endurance: 18532 km (11,151 miles) at 8 kts surfaced

8 kts surfaced and 219 km (136 miles) at 4 kts submerged
Armament: one 101.6-mm (4-in) gun and six 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tubes (all bow) for 12 torpedoes
Complement: 61

The 'Thames' class submarine HMS Clyde is seen acting as escort while the tanker Dingledale refuels the 'Dido' class cruiser Hermione. These large boats were very capable and about 20 had been planned in the early 1930s.

'S' class

Though its origins went back to 1928, the 'S' class was highly successful during the war and, with 62 completions, was the Royal Navy's most prolific class. Ostenibly 'H' class replacements, the performance of the 'S' class boats was required to be enhanced to allow operation in the Baltic and Mediterranean. A tight, 600-ton displacement target was set to produce a small submarine which, nevertheless, was required to be able to transit 805 km (500 miles) to and from its patrol area, where it was expected to remain up to 10 days. Any increase in the 805-km (500-mile) range meant having to find space for very much larger radio equipment. The specification was later to be altered drastically, calling for 1930-km (1,200-mile) passages at not less than 9 kts and eight days on station.

Initially, a class of four ('Swordfish' type) was built; launched between 1931 and 1933 by Chatham Dockyard, these displaced 640 tons despite every effort at weight control. The design was really too tight and was relaxed to 670 tons for the extended 'Shark' type boats of 1934-7. Though it was planned to terminate the class at 12, war saw the design stretched further and constructed in series.

To save on topweight a 76.2-mm (3-in) gun was fitted but, with the extra hull length, a further torpedo tube was worked-m aft on some boats. Others traded both of these for a single 101.6-mm (4-in) gun. With only 12 or 13 torpedoes aboard, the gun was a useful means of disposing of 'soft' targets which, while not warranting the expenditure of a torpedo, were often reluctant to sink. Earlier boats had fuel tanks within the pressure hull, but later units supplemented these with external capacity, which allowed them to work even in the Far East.

Interestingly, eight units were lost from the original 12, the same number as were lost from the following 50. All of the first four losses occurred before February 1941, while the first hull of succeeding groups was not launched until October 1941. Submarine operations in European waters during the early months of the war were clearly hazardous.

HMS Storm returns to the UK in 1945. During her Far East commission, she sank 20 Japanese supply vessels - 19 by gunfire - together with a destroyer and four escorts. On her most successful patrol she sank 11 vessels, nine on a single day. Note that torpedoes were reserved for warships, gunfire sufficient to despatch cargo ships.
 specification
's' class (later boats)
displacement: 860 tons surfaced and
990 tons submerged
dimensions: length 66.14 m (217.0 ft); beam 7.16 m (23.5 ft); draught 3.20 m
(10.5 ft)
propulsion: two diesels delivering
1416.8 kw (1,900 bhp) and two electric
motors delivering 969.4 kw (1,300 hp)
to two shafts
speed: 15 kts surfaced and 9 kts
submerged
endurance: 13897 km (8,635 miles)
at 10 kts surfaced

hms sibyl enters algeria's harbour in
may 1943. originally intended for
operations in the mediterranean and
baltic, 's' class boats also found
themselves in the east indies. sixty-
two were produced, making them
the largest class in the royal navy.

armament: one 101.6-mm (4-in) gun
and six 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tubes,
or one 76.2-mm (3-in) gun and seven
533-mm torpedo tubes
complement: 64

't' class

instantly recognizable as a result of
their oddly cranked profiles, the t
class boats were the royal navy's stan-
dard wartime patrol submarines. be-
tween hms triton and tabard, launched
in october 1937 and november
1945 respectively, the class reached a
respectable 54 in number. with the
'thames' class abandoned for the
reasons discussed and a replacement
required for the unsatisfactory 'o'
class, the t class design needed not
only to rectify shortcomings but also to
conform to the treaty agreements that
devolved interwar planning. the
london naval treaty limited total
(rather than individual) displacement
so, to obtain maximum numbers of
boats, a 1,000-ton target was set. into
this a 42-day endurance was to be
packed. that the final result was only
some 9 per cent heavy, while being
highly reliable, was a credit to the de-
sign team.

because of their limiting parame-
ters, the t class boats could ship
only small-sized diesels and their sur-
face speed was thus modest. in con-
trast they carried a large punch, the six
forward tubes within the pressure hull
being augmented by a pair in the
bulged bow casing and a further pair
in the casing, one on each side of the
tower. thus, a 10-torpedo forward sal-
vo could be fired, albeit at the cost of a
highly individual profile.

this arrangement applied to all 22
boats built before world war ii, later
units having the amidships tubes taken
farther aft and reversed, and a single
tube added in the casing right aft. war-
built boats also had their bows altered
to set the external tubes higher, and
some external ballast tanks converted
to bunker space. oil fuel capacity was
almost doubled and the endurance of
the boat became more than that of her
crew and their supplies.

fourteen of the pre-war boats were
lost, mainly in the mediterranean.
those from the wartime programmes
were completed largely after the end
of the mediterranean war and only one
was lost at sea. post-war many were
sold, while others were stretched and
streamlined, serving alongside their
successors, the a' class, until the late
1960s. four units were cancelled and
another only projected.

below: hms tigris alongside a
depot ship just before her final
patrol. she was one of the original 't'
class boats, launched in october
1939, but she was lost in march 1943,
probably to mines. note the external
tubes at the bow and amidships, and
the unusual hull profile.

below: the 't' class 1940 designs were slow but of
long endurance, although because of their limited
size they were not capable of supporting their
crews for such a length of time.

specification
't' class

displacement: 1,325 tons surfaced and
1,570 tons submerged

dimensions: length 83.82 m (275.0 ft); beam 5.10 m (16.7 ft); draught 4.50 m
(14.7 ft)

propulsion: two diesels delivering
1864.25 kw (2,500 bhp) and two
electric motors delivering 1081.3 kw
(1,450 hp) to two shafts

speed: 15.25 kts surfaced and 9 kts
submerged

endurance: 20382 km (12,665 miles)
at 10 kts surfaced

armament: one 101.6-mm (4-in) gun
and six 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tubes
(in first group 10 bow and in
second group 8 bow and 3 stern)

complement: 56 (first group) or 61
(second group)

above: hms tally-ho in transit to the
far east. such passages of the bitter
lakes of the suez canal had become
more common in january 1945, with
any german naval threat extinct and
resources gradually being released
for service against the japanese.

below: hms tigris seen alongside a
depot ship just before her final
patrol. she was one of the original 't'
class boats, launched in october
1939, but she was lost in march 1943,
probably to mines. note the external
tubes at the bow and amidships, and
the unusual hull profile.

below: the 't' class 1940 designs were slow but of
long endurance, although because of their limited
size they were not capable of supporting their
crews for such a length of time.
For a simple, unsophisticated type, the 'U' class proved remarkably successful. Seen in Mediterranean colours, and with the original bow form, it was boats like this, out of Malta, that put a stranglehold on Rommel and his army.

A successful type, the single-hulled 'U' class boats were designed originally as unarmed targets to replace the elderly 'H' class boats, and were little larger. Three were laid down as such but, as the Royal Navy did not possess a modern 'coastal' submarine, it seemed advantageous to modify the bow to take torpedo tubes from the outset. The after hull had a sharp taper and the casing ended short of the stern, so all armament was set forward, four tubes in the pressure hull and, surprisingly (though a reflection on the doubtful accuracy of the torpedo salvos of the day), the bow casing was also bulged to take two more. This was not a good feature as the restricted height of the design meant a shallow periscope depth, and the oversize bow casing made it both difficult to maintain constant depth and also caused a distinctive 'pressure hump' in the water above. With the outbreak of war a further group of 12 boats was ordered, 1.6m (5.25ft) longer to improve the lines and ease the cramped internals; most of these had only four tubes. Thirty-four more boats of this type followed, with improved lines and increased bunker space. Though extremely handy, the 'U' class boats were rather limited in diving depth and had a low surface speed.

Again, therefore, the design was updated. This time an extra midbody section was inserted to house uprated machinery, and the hull was redesigned to permit submergence to 91 m (300ft) rather than the 60 m (200ft) of the earlier boats, and to facilitate all-welded construction in modules that would produce faster building times. This later type was known as the 'V' class, of which 33 were ordered but only 21 completed. It is a noteworthy fact that, except for two early units built in Chatham Dockyard, all 81 boats were built in the two Vickers Armstrong yards at Barrow and on Tyneside.

The 'U' and V classes were particularly suited to the shallow and confined waters of the North Sea and Mediterranean but, though successful, they suffered 19 losses. After the end of the Mediterranean war they had little use and many were either transferred or reverted to a training role.

Specification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Displacement: 670 tons surfaced and 740 tons submerged</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dimensions: length 62.79 m (206.0 ft); beam 4.88 m (16.0 ft); draught 4.72 m (15.5 ft);</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propulsion: two diesels delivering 596.6 kW (800 bhp) and two electric motors delivering 566.7 kW (760 hp) to two shafts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed: 12.5 kts surfaced and 9 kts submerged</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endurance: 8715 km (5,415 miles) at 10 kts surfaced and 113 km (70 miles) at 7 kts submerged</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armament: one 76.2-mm (3-in) gun and four 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tubes (all bow)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complement: 37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The V class represented an improved and updated 'U' design permitting deeper diving and quieter underwater operations. All survived the war, unlike the preceding version, and many served post-war with European navies.

HMS Utmost alongside a depot ship (either Forth or Maidstone) and the 'S' class boat Seawolf. Utmost had recently returned from a Mediterranean commission, during which she torpedoed the heavy cruiser Trieste, causing severe damage as well as sinking several supply vessels and also undertaking other clandestine operations.

HMS Uproar shows the small size of these boats. In a short-range war, such as in the North Sea or the Mediterranean, endurance is much less important than manoeuvrability, and the 'U' class proved very handy, in spite of having been designed as a training boat.
British Aircraft Carriers

With a limited number of ships but with worldwide responsibilities, the Royal Navy deployed and operated its carriers in a very different way to the Americans. Yet despite their lack of numbers and mediocre aircraft, British carriers made a vital contribution to the victory at sea.

HMS Victorious fought in every major theatre of operations during World War II, even surviving two kamikaze attacks by the Japanese.

Though the British pioneered aviation at sea and at the end of World War I were well ahead of everybody in the field, they lost their way badly between the wars, squandering their great initial advantage. Probably the most contentious issue of the time was RAF control of the Fleet Air Arm for the two decades from 1918 to 1938, with interservice problems doing nothing for the spirit of the service. Second was the deteriorating performance of FAA aircraft in comparison with foreign equivalents: this problem was as much naval as RAF in origin but meant that, initially at least, the Royal Navy’s aircraft were almost invariably outclassed in combat. Lastly, there were the ships themselves. The UK’s naval appropriations between the wars resulted in the first of the third-generation carriers coming forward too late for working experience to be gained before the emergency programmes began. Thus, the Royal Navy entered the war with too few flightdecks, while those that were coming forward were, it could be argued, over-protected. With massive vertical armouring, their aircraft capacity was drastically reduced and construction times lengthened. Late in the war, it was quite convincingly shown that the later fleet carriers, with the same horizontal but much reduced vertical protection, survived kamikaze strikes as well as their heavier sisters, while enjoying the benefits of an enhanced aircraft capacity.

The Royal Navy fought a different type of war to the Americans and Japanese. Instead of the latter’s vast trials of strength in a comparatively limited theatre, there were worldwide responsibilities in the defence of trade and vital outposts, together with long and patient operations against unwillingly disturbed fleets-in-being that could not be ignored. These functions, together with the value placed on each carrier, engendered a defensive approach. Only with the formation of the British Pacific Fleet could the Royal Navy permit itself the luxury of hazardizing major units by going on the offensive. For the first time, four and five fleet carriers worked together, the irony being that they were fighting a war already won by a US fleet that overshadowed their efforts in every way. Yet a similar force working in European waters, even only a couple of years previously, would have rewritten maritime history.
The several guises of HMS Furious represented the transitional stages between what might be termed 'air-capable' ships and the true aircraft-carrier. As the third of Admiral Fisher’s ‘tin-clad’ light battle-cruisers (laid down in 1915), she was launched in August 1916 but delayed in completion to allow her to ship the navy’s largest gun, a 457-mm (18-in) weapon, in single mounts at each end. Although virtually complete in March 1917, she then had her forward gun removed in favour of a sloping flying-off deck some 69.5 m (228 ft) in length. A hangar beneath this deck accommodated up to 10 aircraft (some seaplanes and some wheeled). Completed thus in July 1917 she rapidly showed the limitations of carrying aircraft that could not (officially at least) be recovered after a flight. In November 1917, therefore, her after gun mounting made way for a 86.6-m (284-ft) flying-on deck over a second hangar. Much of her superstructure still remained, however, and the high speeds at which she steamed to create the necessary wind-over-deck resulted in severe turbulence, causing an unacceptable accident rate among would-be landers-on. Relegated again to flying-off only, the Furious still had the distinction of mounting the first real carrier-based air strike when, on 19 July 1918, seven of her Sopwith Camels destroyed two Zeppelins and their sheds at Tondern. Much of her superstructure still remained, however, and the high speeds at which she steamed to create the necessary wind-over-deck resulted in severe turbulence, causing an unacceptable accident rate among would-be landers-on. Relegated again to flying-off only, the Furious still had the distinction of mounting the first real carrier-based air strike when, on 19 July 1918, seven of her Sopwith Camels destroyed two Zeppelins and their sheds at Tondern. A through-deck was obviously required, as on the new Argus, and she was thus modified between 1921 and 1925. Even following this, she was still of interim design, having no island. Not until her final pre-war refit did she acquire a vestigial superstructure, topped-off by a diminutive mast that supported a distinctive homing beacon.

Despite her age and infirmities, the Furious saw service in Atlantic hunting groups and convoy escorts, the Norwegian campaign, aircraft-ferrying to both Malta and West Africa, and the North African landings. Her last flying was against the Tirpitz, imured in a Norwegian fjord, before she went into reserve during September 1944. She was scrapped in 1948.

**Specification**

**HMS Furious**

Type: fleet aircraft-carrier

Displacement: 22,500 tons standard and 28,500 tons full load

Dimensions: length 239.5 m (785.75 ft); beam 27.4 m (90 ft); draught 7.3 m (24 ft)

Propulsion: 4-shaft geared steam turbines delivering 90,000 shp (67113kW)

Speed: 31.5 knots

Armour: belt 51-76 mm (2-3-in); hangar deck 38 mm (1.5 in)

Armament: six twin 102-mm (4-in) AA, three octuple 2-pdr AA, and several smaller-calibre guns

Aircraft: 33

Complement: 750 excluding aircrew

**Above:** Landing on HMS Furious’s forward deck was very dangerous; Squadron Commander Dunning was killed when his Sopwith Pup overshot. In November 1917 the after 18-in gun was removed in favour of a flying-on deck.

**Right:** The first ship to launch an air strike, HMS Furious was originally designed for Admiral Fisher’s plan to attack Germany’s Baltic coast during World War I.

**Below:** In her World War II guise HMS Furious looked radically different from the ship that attacked the Zeppelin sheds in 1918. By the time she took part in Operation ‘Torch’, the Allied landings in North Africa, she carried 33 aircraft.

**Below:** The World War II camouflage does not conceal HMS Furious’s battlecruiser origins. The island was not added until 1939.
Proposals had been made before World War I for an aircraft-carrier with a straight-through flightdeck capable of handling the launch and recovery of wheeled aircraft, but the Royal Navy had to ‘make do’ with improved seaplane carriers, and it was not until 1916 that the proposer, the Beardmore commercial yard, was given the contract to complete a half-finished Italian liner as a prototype carrier. The ship, the Corse Rosso, had been laid down in 1914 and had suitable dimensions plus the high freeboard necessary for the job. No superstructure was planned to interrupt the flightdeck which, like those of all pioneering carriers, was pointed at the forward end, A single hangar was provided and the necessary small charthouse was made retractable into the flightdeck. As full of character as she was devoid of grace, HMS Argus was understandably known as The Patriot.

Her name (Argus was all-vigilant, with 100 eyes) suggests that she was seen by planners as being strongly reconnaissance-oriented, particularly with the recent memory of Jutland, a victory lost for want of good intelligence. She was wanted for the end of 1917 but was launched only in December of that year, and eventually commissioned only weeks before the Armistice of November 1918, carrying a squadron of the unpopular Sopwith Cuckoo torpedo aircraft.

In the late 1920s the Argus was bulged to improve stability as much as to enhance survivability and, after the completion of the larger fleet carriers, acted as a training and target aircraft-carrier. Small and slow by World War II standards, she gave valuable service ferrying fighter aircraft to Gibraltar, Malta and Takoradi (for onward staged flights to Egypt). Lack of carriers saw her also in operational roles from time to time, notably on an Arctic convoy and at the North African landings. From mid-1943 she was used only for training in home waters, being paid off in 1944. She was scrapped in 1947, extensively used as a trials ship in the years after World War I, proving the validity of the straight-through flightdeck design.

**Specification**

**HMS Argus**
- Type: training, aircraft-ferry and second-line aircraft-carrier
- Displacement: 14,000 tons standard and 15,750 tons full load
- Dimensions: length 172.2 m (565 ft); beam 20.7 m (68 ft); draught 7.3 m (24 ft)
- Propulsion: 4-shaft geared steam turbines delivering 21,000 shp (15660kW)
- Speed: 20 knots
- Armament: six 102-mm (4-in) AA, and several smaller-calibre guns
- Aircraft: about 20
- Complement: 370 excluding aircrew

Handicapped by her lack of speed, HMS Argus was removed from frontline service during the 1930s. She nevertheless had to act as a replacement carrier for Force H after Ark Royal was sunk.

Before World War I, Chile ordered two stretched ‘Iron Duke’ class battleships from Armstrong’s Elswick yard. Only one of these, the Almirante Latorre, was well advanced by August 1914, and commissioned for service in 1915 as HMS Canada. Work on her unlaunched sister, the Almirante Cochrane (launched in 1913), ceased with hostilities but she was taken in hand, post-Jutland, for completion as an aircraft-carrier. Like the Hermes she was far too late for the war, being launched in June 1918 and commissioning for extended trials in 1920. Several versions of the pioneering island superstructure were tried after initial experiments on the Argus. This kept her in dockyard hands for a great portion of the period between 1920 and 1923 when the Hermes was commissioned. The final version of the island was long and low, topped-off by two funnel casings with the same thick and thin proportions as the ship’s erstwhile sister. Her more ample battleship proportions made her considerably slower than the large cruiser conversions, but she had better stability. Despite the fact that she introduced the two-level hangar, she still had only modest aircraft capacity.

Much of the Eagle’s pre-World War II service was in the Far East, but the carrier moved into the Indian Ocean in September 1939, thence to the Mediterranean to replace the Ginger. Following air strikes against Italian shipping at Tobruk she was badly shaken by bombing during the action off Calabria, suffering damage that eventually caused her to miss the
Taranto raid. Before she could refit in the UK, she saw further action in the Mediterranean early in 1942. She was later involved in the famous August convoy (Operation Pedestal) when 41 warships fought through just five out of 14 merchantmen to lift the Malta siege. The Eagle was a major casualty, sunk by four torpedoes from U-73 off 11 August 1942.

**Specification**

**HMS Eagle**
- **Type:** fleet aircraft-carrier
- **Displacement:** 22,600 tons standard and 26,500 tons full load
- **Dimensions:** length 203.3 m (667 ft); beam 32.1 m (105.25 ft); draught 7.3 m (24 ft)
- **Propulsion:** 4-shaft geared steam turbines delivering 50,000 shp (37285 kW)
- **Speed:** 24 kts
- **Armour:** belt 102-178 mm (4-7 in); flightdeck 25 mm (1 in); hangardeck 102 mm (4 in); shields 25 mm (1 in)
- **Armament:** nine 152-mm (6-in), four 102-mm (4-in) AA, and eight 2-pdr AA guns
- **Aircraft:** 21
- **Complement:** 750 excluding aircrew

**HMS Hermes**

The Argus concept was obviously considered sound for early in 1918, before her completion, the keel was laid down for HMS Hermes. Though she was designed for the job, it was obviously not with the benefit of operational experience. Lacking a precedent, her designers made her too small, prompting the Japanese to repeat the error with their pioneer Hosho, laid down in the following year.

With the end of World War I, construction was leisurely, the ship being launched in September 1919 and with completion delayed until 1923. As a result she entered service after the much larger but converted HMS Eagle, which had meanwhile proved the idea of the island superstructure. Like that of the Eagle, Hermes' island seemed disproportionately large, with a massive battleship-style tripod and fighting top, bearing rangefinders for the unusual armament of six 140-mm (5.5-in) guns; early carriers were expected to be able to repel light surface attack, the potential of their aircraft not having been fully evaluated. A light armour belt was also worked in. An improvement on the Argus was a doubling of installed power to give a speed increase of over 4 kts.

A distinctive feature on the after flightdeck was a low hump, designed to decelerate incoming aircraft. This was also copied by the Japanese, but neither fleet found it a success and abandoned it.

Though obsolete by World War II, the Hermes made an extremely valuable contribution in lower-threat areas. This found her hunting for raiders in the Atlantic, undertaking spotting and reconnaissance missions in operations against the Vichy French in West Africa and the Italians in the Red Sea, giving shore support during the suppression of the Iraqi rebellion of 1941 and escorting Indian ocean convoys. She was sunk in April 1942 off Ceylon during the Japanese carrier raids, but had adequately demonstrated the value of even a small flightdeck in areas where no other aviation support existed.

**Specification**

**HMS Hermes**
- **Type:** second-line light aircraft-carrier
- **Displacement:** 10,850 tons standard and 12,950 tons full load
- **Dimensions:** length 182.3 m (598 ft); beam 21.4 m (70.25 ft); draught 6.9 m (22.6 ft)
- **Propulsion:** 2-shaft geared steam turbines delivering 40,000 shp (29828 kW)
- **Speed:** 25 kts
- **Armour:** belt 51-76 mm (2-3 in); hangar deck 25 mm (1 in); shields 25 mm (1 in)
- **Armament:** six 140-mm (5.5-in), and three 102-mm (4-in) AA guns
- **Aircraft:** about 20
- **Complement:** 660 excluding aircrew

Above: HMS Hermes sinks off Ceylon (Sri Lanka) after a Japanese carrier aircraft attack in April 1942. Symptomatic of British handling of the war in the Far East at this time, she had no aircraft aboard and no means of signalling for help if attacked.
HMS Courageous and HMS Glorious

Known, for political reasons, as large light cruisers, Jackie Fisher's famous trio of light battle-cruisers were supposed to be the largest units of a 600-strong, shallow-draught armada constructed to realize his vision of landing an army on the Baltic coast of Germany, only 130 km (80 miles) from Berlin. The plan died with Fisher's departure from the Admiralty in 1915 but his strange ships were completed as a legacy. Ready for sea in 1917, the first two were HMS Courageous and HMS Glorious (laid down in 1915, and launched in February and April 1916), but were found to be virtually unemployable in the active fleet, unprotected and, with only four of their great 381-mm (15-in) guns, slow to get on to the target. On the only occasion when they saw serious action, against conventional light cruisers, they suffered more damage than they inflicted.

Under the terms of the Washington Treaty, the two ships were eligible for conversion into aircraft-carriers. Rebuilding of both started in 1924, the Courageous completing in 1928 and the Glorious in 1930. Furious (with no island) had had her uptakes led well aft, detracting from her hangar space, but these later conversions had the benefit of developments on the Hermes and Eagle, their combined funnel and bridge structure boosting their air complement considerably. The Courageous and Glorious had similar forward flightdecks, which terminated about 20 per cent of the ship’s length back from the bows. The hangar deck was extended forward at forecastle level, allowing fighters to take off from the lower level in favourable circumstances. Both ships were extensively bulged to improve stability.

The Courageous was the Royal Navy's first major casualty of World War II, being sunk only a fortnight after hostilities commenced. Her loss brought the Glorious back from the Mediterranean as a replacement and she, too, was lost only nine months later during the evacuation of Norway.

HMS Courageous and Glorious, like Furious, were light battlecruisers intended for Admiral Fisher's ill-conceived Baltic strategy. This is Glorious on her sea trials in 1917. Her speed was an impressive 31 knots but her lack of armour made her unfit for serious combat.

Specification
Courageous' class
Type: fleet aircraft-carrier
Displacement: 22,500 tons standard and 26,500 tons full load
Dimensions: length 239.5 m (786.75 ft); beam 27.6 m (90.5 ft); draught 7.3 m (24 ft)
Propulsion: 4-shaft geared steam turbines delivering 90,000 shp (67113 kW)
Speed: 31 kts
Armour: belt 38-76mm (1.5-3 in); hangar deck 25-76 mm (1-3 in)
Armament: 16 120-mm (4.7-in) AA guns
Aircraft: about 45
Complement: 1,215 including aircrew

HMS Glorious could be distinguished from her sister by her longer flight deck aft. Her aircraft gave sterling service over Norway in 1940, but she was caught and sunk during the withdrawal by the German battlecruisers Schamborst and Gneisenau.

HMS Courageous and Glorious carried a balanced airgroup of 16 Flycatchers, 16 IIIF spotter/reconnaissance aircraft and 16 Ripon torpedo bombers.

HMS Ark Royal

Completed in 1938, HMS Ark Royal was the Royal Navy's first 'modern' carrier. A combination of meagre naval budgets and the lowly status of the Fleet Air Arm meant that she was the first carrier to join the fleet since the remodelled Glorious back in 1930. Plenty of time had thus been available to plan her thoroughly workmanlike and influential design laid down in 1935 and launched in April 1937. Though much of a size and displacement as the Glorious, she appeared much larger, having two levels of hangars with adequate headroom. Three elevators were incorporated but they were small and, had the ship enjoyed a longer career, would have required replacement to cater for the rapidly increasing size of aircraft. She had two catapults ('accelerators') from the outset.

The Ark Royal's most innovative feature was her strength, the ship introducing armoured flight and hangar decks, with the hangar walls an integral part of the main hull girder. Despite the space-consuming aspects of this configuration, she could stow a far greater number of aircraft than the Glorious. Capable of 31 kts, she was also as fast as the earlier ships.

Though the earlier conversions had 16 medium-calibre guns, these were poorly sited, mainly with a view to defence against surface attack. The Ark Royal carried eight twin-barrelled destroyer-type mountings, with high elevations conferring a true dual-purpose capability and sited four on each beam at the flightdeck edges to give good firing arcs. Designers were, at last, alive to the dangers of air attack and a comprehensive fit of smaller automatic weapons was also incorporated. Though aircraft were, indeed, to prove the main hazard to both American and Japanese carriers, the Royal Navy was pitted primarily against fleets without carriers, so suffering most of its carrier casualties, the Ark Royal included on 14 November 1941, from submarine attack.

Specification
Ark Royal
Type: fleet aircraft-carrier
Displacement: 22,000 tons standard and 26,700 tons full load
Dimensions: length 243.8 m (800 ft); beam 28.9 m (94.75 ft); draught 6.9 m (22.66 ft)
Propulsion: 3-shaft geared steam turbines delivering 102,000 shp (76061 kW)
Speed: 31 kts
Armour: belt 114 mm (4.5 in); deck 64 mm (2.5 in)
Armament: eight twin 114 mm (4.5-in) AA, six octuple 2-pdr AA, and eight quadruple 12.7-mm (0.5-m) AA guns
Aircraft: about 60
Complement: 1,575 including aircrew
The *Ark Royal* was very much a prototype, combining speed with capacity and new standards of protection. Though late coming, she had hardly been launched when a new 'Illustrious' class of four aircraft-carriers was laid down in 1937 to respond to the already increasing likelihood of war. Operational experience was, therefore, not a part of the later concept, which took the scale of the *Ark Royal*’s belt and horizontal protection, and added a 114-mm (4.5-in) hangar well. Thus the whole of the vulnerable aircraft accommodation became an armoured box, but so much weight high in the ship limited the protection to only one hangar and, though HMS *Illustrious*, HMS *Victorious* and HMS *Formidable* (all launched in 1939) were not significantly smaller than the *Ark Royal*, they carried far fewer aircraft. There must have been second thoughts on reducing the ships’ primary arm so drastically, for HMS *Indomitable*, launched in 1940 as last of the four, and the two ‘Implacable’ class ships that followed, reverted to lighter protection and an extra half-length hangar.

The immense strength of the ships stood them in good stead, for their war turned out to be one of air, rather than submarine attack. Soon after Taranto, the *Illustrious* survived punishment from dive-bombing that would have sunk any other carrier afloat, a performance echoed by the *Formidable* after Matapan. In the Pacific War most of them withstood one or even two kamikaze strikes without having to leave station. But all these immense blows were absorbed mainly by the ships’ horizontal protection and it would seem in retrospect that the vertical armour was bought at an excessive price in operational efficiency even though, in the Pacific, the class worked with something like 60 per cent over its designed aircraft complement. When the Americans copied the armoured deck concept, it was not at the cost of capacity, so carrier sizes began their inevitable escalation. The ships were scrapped in 1956, 1969, 1955 and 1953 respectively.

**Specification**

Illustrious' class

Type: fleet aircraft-carrier  
Displacement: 23,000 tons standard and 25,500 tons full load  
Dimensions: length 229.7 m (753.5 ft); beam 29.2 m (95.75 ft); draught 7.3 m (24 ft)  
Propulsion: 3-shaft geared steam turbines delivering 110,000 shp (82,027 kW)  
Speed: 31 kts  
Armour: belt and hangar wall 114 mm (4.5 in) except *Indomitable* 38 mm (1.5 in); deck 76 mm (3 in)  
Armament: eight twin 114-mm (4.5-in) DP, six octuple 2-pdr AA, and eight 20-mm AA guns  
Aircraft: about 45 except *Indomitable* about 65  
Complement: 1,400 including aircrew

Despite having rather lighter protection than her sisterships, HMS *Indomitable* absorbed a great deal of punishment, surviving two hits from 500 kg (1,100 lb) bombs during Operation Pedestal, a torpedo hit off Sicily in 1943 and several kamikaze attacks in the Far East.
'Implacable' class

Completed some 30 months after the four 'Illustrious' class ships, the two 'Implacable' class aircraft-carriers were more closely related to the prototype Ark Royal, with the hangar walls slimmed down to only 38 mm (1.5 in). This allowed a better weight distribution for the ships' increased displacement, including the all-important lower hangar. The ships were slightly longer but appeared much bulkier than their half-sisters, their larger hull containing also a fourth set of machinery. This gave them the extra speed that enabled them to pace an American 'Essex' class unit in the Pacific war, although they were considerably smaller in terms of both size and capacity.

Though both were laid down in 1939, HMS Implacable and HMS Indefatigable were launched in 1942 and 1944 respectively, but their completion went back because of higher shipyard priorities. When they were most needed, they were still on the stocks, underlining the truth that the navy fights a war largely with what it has available at the beginning. Once finally completed in 1944 they were active for a comparatively short period. In March 1944, while still a new ship, the Indefatigable achieved a 'first' in the first-ever deck landing by a twin-engine aircraft, a de Havilland Mosquito. Before heading east to join the rapidly-expanding British Pacific Fleet, she participated in some of the many carrier strikes against the Tirpitz, holed-up in Norwegian waters. Though damaging the target sufficiently to keep her almost permanently under repair, the aircraft of the time were the ships' weakest link until they were replaced. Once in the BPF, the ships were engaged in a war already won, in which the British participation was not welcomed in all quarters. Post-war, they were employed mainly in the training role and were scrapped in 1955 and 1956 after hardly a decade of service, being thought not worth the vast expense of a rebuilding along the lines of the Victorious.

Specification

**'Implacable' class**

Type: fleet aircraft-carrier

Displacement: 26,000 tons standard and 31,100 tons full load

Dimensions: length 233.4 m (765.7 ft); beam 29.2 m (95.7 ft); draught 9.9 m (32 ft)

Propulsion: 4-shaft geared steam turbines delivering 110,000 shp

(82,027 kW)

Speed: 32.5 kts

Armour: belt 114 mm (4.5-in); hangar wall 38 mm (1.5 in); deck 76 mm (3 in)

Armament: eight twin 114-mm (4.5-in) DP, six octuple 2-pdr AA and about 38 20-mm AA guns

Aircraft: about 70

Complement: 1,800 including aircrew

---

HMS Unicorn

With commendable foresight, considering the volume of tonnage then being ordered, the 1938 Naval Estimates allowed for the construction of one of a new type of ship, to be employed on the maintenance of the aircraft of a Fleet Air Arm that was obviously due for expansion. She was to be the aviation equivalent of a submarine or destroyer depot ship in providing major forward repair facilities for jobs beyond the capabilities of the average carrier. Though described as an 'aircraft maintenance carrier', it would appear that acting as a carrier was part of her specification, an option taken up due to the wartime shortage of flightdecks. Like the Ark Royal, HMS Unicorn was given two hangars and a flightdeck increased in length by an ungainly overhang aft. She possessed great freeboard with only moderate length, giving an oddly shortened aspect.

The Unicorn was laid down in 1939, launched in 1941 and completed in 1943, in time to join the CVEs of Force V, tasking her to provide the forward cover for both fleet and forces ashore in the first 24 hours following the landings at Salerno. In the event, it was nearly four days before the capture of an airfield ashore could relieve the force of the ship.

Speed: 24 kts

Armour: none

Armament: four twin 114-mm (4.5-in) DP, three quadruple 2-pdr AA, and 12 20-mm AA guns

Aircraft: 35 when used as a light fleet carrier

Complement: 1,050

Designed as an 'aircraft maintenance carrier', wartime shortage of carriers led to HMS Unicorn being pressed into frontline service. Apart of Force V, Unicorn helped provide fighter support for the Salerno landings in 1943 and saw action off Okinawa the next year.

Equipped with a double hangar like Ark Royal, Unicorn had a shortened hull, giving her a rather cumbersome appearance. In reserve from 1946 to 1949, she was recommissioned as a transport carrier for the Korean war, ferrying aircraft and serving as a troopship.
CAMships

With the European coast from the North Cape to the Spanish border under enemy control by mid-1940, long-range German aircraft began to prove a serious menace to British convoys. The latter, beyond the range of their own air-support and with the escort carrier still in the future, were shadowed relentlessly, the aircraft vectoring in marauding U-boats and, increasingly boldly, attacking stragglers. In 1940, aircraft alone accounted for 192 Allied ships of 580,000 gross registered tons, a total passed in the first four months of 1941. A somewhat desperate measure to counter these pews was the Catapult-Armed Merchantman or CAM ship, a series of which were converted while the CVEs were building. Initially, three merchantmen and the old seaplane carrier HMS Pegasus were each fitted with a catapult upon which was mounted an early Hawker Hurricane or Fairey Fulmar fighter. This group, termed Fighter Catapult Ships, proved the idea and a 50-ship programme was initiated, using merchantmen of various sizes. All were the red ensign, carried cargo and had civilian crews but, spanning the forecastle and No. 1 hatch, was the ungainly catapult structure fixed axially and facing forward. Pilots, drawn from the RAF's Merchant Ship Fighter Unit, would sit for hours, strapped in the cockpit, awaiting the sighting of a 'snooper'. Once launched, they were committed to catching the enemy and returning on a limited amount of fuel. There was no landing-on; if friendly land were close enough (a rare event) the pilot would try to reach it, but, more usually, he had to return to the convoy (often getting shot at) and 'ditch' alongside a likely ship, hoping to be rescued quickly before death arrived from exposure or drowning. It demanded a special sort of bravery.

Once contact was made, the enemy usually fell easily to the high-performance eight-gun fighters. The first recorded kill was by a Hurricane from the FCS Maplin early in August 1941, shortly before the CVE HMS Audacity's epic maiden run and, by the end of the year, some six successes had been achieved.

The first CAM ship was the Empire Rainbow. The Empire Lawrence formed part of the contentious USSR-bound convoy PQ16 in mid-1942. Her aircraft downed one Heinkel He 111 torpedo bomber and damaged another, returning to find her ship sunk. During PQ18, the Empire Morn's Hurricane, during its brief flight, destroyed one aircraft, broke up the attacks of others and succeeded in making Soviet soil.

The CAMs served briefly before being supplanted and then displaced by MACs and CVEs, but their gallant contribution at a desperate time deserves to be fully recognized. No speculation is possible for this widely disparate group of ships.

British's chronic shortage of aircraft carriers in the early years of the war left the Atlantic convoys very vulnerable to long-range German aircraft. A desperate solution was the CAM ship, a merchantman with a fighter plane on a forward catapult.

HMS Audacity

Though contingency plans existed pre-war to convert merchant ships to auxiliary aircraft carriers, the production of the first such ship seems, in retrospect, to have been leisurely considering the urgency of the situation. The hull selected for conversion was that of the fire-damaged Hannover, an almost new Hamburg-America cargo liner seized by the Royal Navy off San Domingo in February 1940. She commissioned as HMS Audacity in June 1941 with the functions of carrying fighters to curb the menace of the long-range German maritime aircraft and, if possible, Fairey Swordfish to provide a measure of anti-submarine protection. Her facilities were basic, a 140-m (460-ft) flightdeck being laid from the raised forecastle over a lowered bridge structure to a built-up poop. There were just two arrestor wires and a barrier; no elevator was fitted because there was no hangar: the six aircraft were stowed and serviced on deck, with civilians and the old seaplane carrier being supplemented and then displaced by MACs and CVEs. With convoy PQ16 in mid-1942. Her aircraft downed one Heinkel He 111 and damaged another, returning to find her ship sunk. During PQ18, the Empire Morn's Hurricane, during its brief flight, destroyed one aircraft, broke up the attacks of others and succeeded in making Soviet soil.

The CAMs served briefly before being supplanted and then displaced by MACs and CVEs, but their gallant contribution at a desperate time deserves to be fully recognized. No speculation is possible for this widely disparate group of ships.

The first escort carrier, HMS Audacity's handful of fighters could mean the difference between life or death for a convoy. With convoy OG41, a Focke-Wulf Fw 200C and chased off several U-boats.

The Audacity returned with the next convoy, HG76, in November 1941. During a four-day nonstop battle the enemy lost five submarines for two merchantmen. With radar direction, the carrier had downed two more snoppers and spoiled the attacks of various U-boats. On 21 December she herself fell victim to three submarine torpedoes but had proved the value of the escort carrier.

Specification
HMS Audacity
Type: escort aircraft-carrier
Displacement: 5,540 tons standard
Dimensions: length 144.7m (474.75ft); Beam 17.1m (56ft); draught 8.3m (27.3ft)
Propulsion: 2-shaft diesels delivering 4,750bhp (3542kW)
Speed: 15 kts
Armour: none
Armament: one 102-mm (4-in) and some smaller guns
Aircraft: six
Complement: not known
MACships
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Like the British, the Americans had pre-war ideas on the conversion of mercantile hulls to auxiliary carriers. Early in 1941, two CVEs were commissioned as the USS Long Island (AVG.1) within days of the British Audacity. In concept, the American ship was well ahead, having both hangar and elevator, though making poor use of available space. Such early AVGS had a hangar occupying only the after quarter or so of the underdeck space, a similar volume ahead of it being devoted to accommodation (which should have gone below in the original cargo spaces in the hull proper). Below the forward half of the flightdeck the space was open, the overheads being supported on frame structures. What they did have was a catapult (known as an ‘accelerator’) and the popular bunk beds and cafeteria mess.

The Long Island’s first sister was not completed until November 1941, and was transferred to the British as HMS Archer (AVG.1), being joined later by three more ‘Archer’ class ships. As the programme got into its stride the ‘Archer’ class comprised five ships, namely HMS Archer, HMS Avenger, HMS Biter, HMS Charger and HMS Dasher, though the Charger was retained by the US Navy as CVE.30 for the training of British aircrew in American waters. The ‘Archer’ class was larger, and was made up of HMS Archer, HMS Avenger, HMS Biter, HMS Charger, HMS Dasher, and the ‘Ruler’ class, which was made up of HMS Patrol, HMS Pursuer, HMS Rang, HMS Reaper, HMS Sear, HMS Slinger, HMS Smite, HMS Speaker, HMS Tracker, HMS Trouncer, HMS Trumpeter, HMS Ameer, HMS Archer, HMS Armitage, HMS Be, HMS Khedive, HMS Nabob, HMS Premier, HMS Queen, HMS Raahl, HMS Rance, HMS Ruler, HMS Shah and HMS Thame.

Specification

- Archer class
  - Type: escort aircraft-carry
  - Dimensions: length 150.0 m (492.25 ft); beam 12.8 m (42.15 ft); draught 7.7 m (25.25 ft)
  - Complement: 555
  - Displacement: 11,400 tons standard
  - Propulsion: 1-shaft geared steam turbine delivering 9,350 shp (6,972 kW)
  - Speed: 25 kts
  - Armament: none

- Ruler class
  - Type: escort aircraft-carry
  - Dimensions: length 160.0 m (492.25 ft); beam 11.5 m (37.6 ft); draught 6.8 m (22.3 ft)
  - Complement: none
  - Displacement: 13,300 tons standard
  - Propulsion: 1-shaft geared steam turbine delivering 12,000 shp (9,000 kW)
  - Speed: 25 kts
  - Armament: none

**HMS Perseus and HMS Pioneer**

Far Eastern operations had to be conducted far from established bases and maintenance facilities. High attrition rates in aircraft carriers would demand regular replacement of key aircraft by replacement to keep the front-line carriers fully operational. CVEs being used extensively in the exchange process. Lightly damaged aircraft or routine maintenance tasks could be carried out on the fleet carrier itself but lack of space and time demanded that anything more complex be shipped out for repair and, by the very nature of things, the repair facilities had to be aloft. With the only specialist maintenance carrier Unicorn used permanently in an operational role, two of the new light fleet carriers of the ‘Colossus’ class were earmarked as replacements. Though lacking the extra machinery problems, with limited capacity for flexibility, CVEs tended to be fitted out for roles either in convoy escort or in assault support, their organization and aircraft complement being tailored to suit. Once available in larger numbers they were often integrated directly with AS groups. They often worked in larger groups (five at Salerno and nine for the South of France landings), but some saw action, being engaged on air-craft-ferrying. Almost that would still fit were converted back to mercantile roles after the war.

The ‘Archer’ class comprised five ships, namely HMS Archer, HMS Avenger, HMS Biter, HMS Charger, and HMS Dasher, though the Charger was retained by the US Navy as CVE.30 for the training of British aircrew in American waters. The ‘Archer’ class was larger, and was made up of HMS Archer, HMS Avenger, HMS Biter, HMS Charger, HMS Dasher, and the ‘Ruler’ class, which was made up of HMS Patrol, HMS Pursuer, HMS Rang, HMS Reaper, HMS Sear, HMS Slinger, HMS Smite, HMS Speaker, HMS Tracker, HMS Trouncer, HMS Trumpeter, HMS Ameer, HMS Archer, HMS Armitage, HMS Be, HMS Khedive, HMS Nabob, HMS Premier, HMS Queen, HMS Raahl, HMS Rance, HMS Ruler, HMS Shah, and HMS Thame.

Specification

- Archer class
  - Type: escort aircraft-carrier
  - Dimensions: length 150.0 m (492.25 ft); beam 12.8 m (42.15 ft); draught 7.7 m (25.25 ft)
  - Propulsion: 1-shaft diesel delivering 8,500 bhp (6,338 kW) except Archer 1-shaft diesel delivering 9,000 bhp (6,711 kW)
  - Speed: 16.5 kts; except Archer 17 kts
  - Armament: none
  - Complement: 645

- Ruler class
  - Type: escort aircraft-carrier
  - Dimensions: length 160.0 m (492.25 ft); beam 11.5 m (37.6 ft); draught 6.8 m (22.3 ft)
  - Propulsion: 1-shaft diesel delivering 11,400 bhp (8,500 kW) except Archer 1-shaft diesel delivering 12,000 bhp (9,000 kW)
  - Speed: 25 kts
  - Armament: none
  - Complement: not known

**HMS Avenger and Biter, pictured herein heavy seas, were both ‘Archer’ class escort carriers.**

- Armament: two 102-mm (4-in) AA, four twin 40-mm AA, and 15 20-mm AA guns
- Aircraft: 18
- Complement: 645

**Specification**

- Ruler class
  - Type: escort aircraft-carrier
  - Dimensions: length 160.0 m (492.25 ft); beam 11.5 m (37.6 ft); draught 6.8 m (22.3 ft)
  - Propulsion: 1-shaft diesel delivering 11,400 bhp (8,500 kW) except Archer 1-shaft diesel delivering 12,000 bhp (9,000 kW)
  - Speed: 25 kts
  - Armament: none
  - Complement: 645
Japanese Aircraft Carriers

The six months following 7 December 1941 saw the Imperial Japanese Navy wage one of the most astonishing campaigns in naval history. From the attack on Pearl Harbor to the defeat at Midway, it was carrier power that proved decisive, and the transformation of war at sea was irrevocable.

Unlike her Axis partners, Japan was a true maritime power that well understood the potential of aviation at sea. Launching a war of her own choosing in the Pacific, she had not succeeded in significantly outbuilding the Americans in aircraft-carriers but had the advantage that the 11 she had in service in 1941 did not have to be split between two oceans. The grouping of the six best units into Nagumo’s 1st Air Fleet showed bold innovation, a gamble that paid off by exploiting the old principle of the Schwerpunkt: overwhelming force where it mattered.

In the initial phase of the Pacific war, the Allied fleets were caught ill-prepared and for a while the Japanese seemed unstoppable, but inevitably as their boundaries expanded and their commitments grew, the Japanese had to break up the hard-worked cohesive carrier units. At the same time the Americans, stung to war, prepared their challenge. The Coral Sea demonstrated that the enemy could be checked but Midway was a total triumph for American intelligence, organization and strategy.

After Midway, the good days were clearly over for the Japanese. Despite a long period of balance, the advantage slowly changed sides. The 2nd Battle of the Solomon Sea, riposted at Santa Cruz, saw the pendulum at mid-swing. The Saipan amphibious operation forced the Japanese to act in strength against a powerful American defence; the Philippine Sea battle, as it was known, cost the Japanese carriers their main strength, namely the last of their trained aircrews.

Shortly after this, at Leyte Gulf, came Armageddon, with virtually the whole of the surviving fleet engaged in a final, one-way, do-or-die mission to destroy the spearhead of the American advance. Short of fuel, aircrew, aircraft and ammunition, the remnants of the Japanese carrier force had no use other than to act as a lure at a crucial point in the action. They succeeded brilliantly, but in vain, for the Americans were too many.

In the short term, the bold uses to which the Japanese put naval air power proved decisive but, once the war was allowed to drag on, the old tag was once again proved right: ‘a good big’un will always beat a good little ‘un.’
The first carrier built for the Imperial Japanese Navy, like so many others, was a conversion. The naval oiler Hiryu, laid down late in 1919, was taken over in 1921 and emerged as the carrier Hosho at the end of the following year. The design owed much to a British technical mission, which had broad details of the new British carrier Hermes and the details of the Sopwith Cuckoo torpedo-bomber. The original triple-expansion steam engines were replaced by destroyer-type turbines to give a speed of 25 knots and, as in the USS Langley, smoke was vented through triple folding funnels, which hinged downwards when flying was in progress. The ship was the first to have an "island" navigating bridge, but this proved so unpopular with the pilots that it was removed in 1923. The Hosho proved very small and lacked sufficient margin of stability to be able to carry her full armament and complement of aircraft. By the outbreak of World War II her air group had shrunk from 21 to 12 aircraft, and all the original guns had been replaced by lighter anti-aircraft weapons. However, the Hosho provided invaluable experience for the conversion of Akagi and Kaga, as well as design of Ryuyo, the first Japanese carrier built as such from the keel up. She also saw considerable action off the China coast in the late 1930s and ferried aircraft during the Sino-Japanese War.

Despite her drawbacks the elderly training carrier served with Carrier Division 3 from December 1941, alongside the Zuiko, but after four months in the Palau Islands she was returned to training duties in Japan. Then she became operational again for the Midway campaign, carrying 11 Nakajima B5N 'Kate' bombers to provide reconnaissance for Admiral Yamamoto's battleships.

Finally withdrawn in June 1942, the Hosho thereafter led a charmed life. Although damaged by grounding in 1944 and hit twice by American bombs at Kure she was still afloat when the war ended. She had been finally laid up in April 1945 for lack of aircrew to man her aircraft, and was thus one of the few Japanese carriers still in existence on VJ-Day. She was to have a second lease of life, however, for she was recommissioned as a transport to repatriate Japanese servicemen from all over the Far East. She continued in this job until August 1946, but was finally scrapped in 1947 after nearly 25 years of service.

**Specification**

**Hosho**
- Displacement: 7,470 tons standard, 10,000 tons full load
- Dimensions: length 168.1 m (551 ft 6 in); overall: beam 18.0 m (59 ft 0 in); draught 6.2 m (20 ft 4 in)
- Machinery: 2-shaft geared steam turbines delivering 30,000 shp (22370 kW)
- Speed: 25 knots
- Armour: uncertain
- Armament: (1941) eight twin 25-mm AA guns
- Aircraft: (1942) 11 'Kate' torpedo-bombers
- Complement: 550 officers and men

**Akagi**

The outcome of the Washington Naval Disarmament Treaty left the Imperial Japanese Navy with several incomplete capital ships destined for the scrapyard. As the Americans and British had declared their intention of converting similar hulls into carriers, and in the light of successful experience with the Hosho, the naval staff decided to press ahead with two similar carrier conversions Two battle-cruisers, to be pressed ahead with two similar carrier conversions. Two battleships, to be completed as capital ships destined for the scrapyard, As the Americans and British had declared their intention of converting similar hulls into carriers, and in the light of successful experience with the Hosho, the naval staff decided to press ahead with two similar carrier conversions.

**Specification**

**Akagi**
- Displacement: (1941) 36,500 tons standard, 42,000 tons full load
- Dimensions: length 260.6 m (855 ft 0 in); overall: beam 31.8 m (102 ft 8 in); draught 8.6 m (28 ft 3 in)
- Machinery: 4-shaft geared steam turbines delivering 133,000 shp (99,180 kW)
- Speed: 31 knots
- Armour: uncertain
- Armament: six 200-mm (7.9-in), six twin 120-mm (4.7-in) AA, and 14 twin 25-mm AA guns
- Aircraft: (June 1942) 21 Mitsubishi A6M Zero fighters, 21 Aichi D3A Val dive-bombers, and 21 Nakajima B5N 'Kate' torpedo-bombers
- Complement: 1,340 officers and men

Akagi at sea, a few months prior to Pearl Harbor. The left-hand island is noteworthy, as she was designed to operate in tandem with Kaga. With an operational aircraft complement of 70 or more, Akagi was a considerable advance on Hosho.
**Kaga**

The Japanese battleship *Kaga* was laid down in 1918 and launched in November 1921, but as a result of the Washington Naval Disarmament Treaty of 1922 was scheduled to be scrapped. In September 1923, however, the Tokyo earthquake caused severe damage to the battle-cruiser *Amagi*, which was about to start her conversion to an aircraft-carrier, and the hull of the slightly smaller *Kaga* was substituted. The 4/2-year conversion produced a carrier similar to the original *Akagi* conversion, with a flush deck and two short flying-off decks forward. But unlike *Akagi* she had her smoke-ducts trunked on the starboard side. She was not an unqualified success, and was not operational until two years of trials had been conducted, and only four years after that, in 1934, she was taken in hand for modernization.

In her new guise she was considerably better, with more aircraft (90 instead of 60) and a small island super-structure. However, unlike Western carriers, she still had a large downward-angled funnel below the edge of the flight deck. As displacement had gone up by 9,000 tons (standard) more powerful machinery had to be installed, with endurance to match, and many of the original faults were eliminated.

The *Kaga* was one of the six carriers which attacked Pearl Harbor on 7 December 1941, and she launched 27 Nakajima B5N ‘Kate’ torpedo-bombers, followed by 18 Mitsubishi A6M Zero fighters and 26 Aichi D3A ‘Val’ dive-bombers. She and the *Akagi* (Carrier Division 1) then took part in the devastating series of strikes in the East Indies, South Pacific and Indian Ocean which destroyed Allied military power in the first half of 1942.

At Midway on 4 June 1942, two hours after-beating of American attacks successfully, *Kaga* was hit by four bombs from Douglas SBD Dauntless dive-bombers from the USS Enterprise, and near-misses by five more. Blast fractured fuel lines, feeding fuel to the fires already started among the aircraft waiting, fully armed and fueled. Within 30 minutes the 38,000-ton carrier had to be abandoned, though she continued to burn for another 9 hours. At dusk the flames reached a magazine, and she blew up and sank quickly. Over 800 men went down with her, many trapped by the fires and others killed by the blast of the original explosion. Many were top-heavy when she was completed, and was not operational until two years of trials had been conducted, and only four years after that, in 1934, she was taken in hand for modernization.

**Ryujo**

Under the Washington Treaty Japan was limited to 80,000 tons of carriers, but as the treaty exempted vessels under 10,000 tons the naval staff thought that it was worth trying to build an extra carrier inside the limit. The initial design was for an 8,000-ton ship carrying 24 aircraft, but the staff insisted on adding a second hangar to double the aircraft capacity. This pushed the standard displacement 150 tons over the limit, but nothing was said to Japan’s fellow-signatories - the first significant cheating by Japan, but not the last. Even with the illicit extra tonnage the new carrier, called *Ryujo*, was top-heavy when she was completed in 1933. She was twice rebuilt, with bulges added, some guns removed and the forecastle raised, but the true displacement was now nearer 12,000 tons.

As may be imagined, the *Ryujo* was not popular in the fleet. Quite apart from her topweight problems, her flight deck was too small and she carried too few aircraft to be effective; she took longer than other carriers to launch and recover aircraft, because of congestion on the deck. However, the experience was put to use in designing the *Hiyuu* and Shokaku classes.

The *Ryujo* was not part of the main carrier force which attacked Pearl Harbor, but supported the amphibious landings in the Philippines, in April 1942 she attacked Allied merchant shipping and two months later she joined in operations against the Aleutian Islands, but her only major action was the Battle of the Eastern Solomons. The *Ryujo* was chosen to spearhead an operation to reinforce the defenders of Guadalcanal, and with an escort of a heavy cruiser and two destroyers, was to lure the Americans away from the main force. It worked well, for at 09.05 on 24 August 1942 she was spotted from the air, but other search planes also located the *Shokaku* and *Zukaku*. *Ryujo* was heavily attacked by aircraft from the Enterprise and Saratoga in the afternoon. In a brilliant attack dive-bombers and five torpedo-bombers smothered the carrier, scoring an estimated 10 bomb hits and two torpedo hits and escaping without casualties. Japanese records say that only one torpedo hit the carrier, but that was enough to set her on fire from end to end. Her rudder was also jammed, and the doomed ship was unable to steam or steer. Only 300 survivors left the ship, including Captain Kato, and she sank about four hours later.

**Specification**

**Kaga**

*Displacement:* 38,200 tons standard, 43,650 tons full load
*Dimensions:* length 247.6 m (812 ft 4 in), beam 20.8 m (68 ft 3 in), draught 7.1 m (23 ft 4 in)
*Armament:* 10 200-mm (7.9-in), 16 127-mm (5-in) AA and eight twin 25-mm AA guns
*Speed:* 28 knots
*Machinery:* 4-shaft geared steam turbines delivering 127,400 shp
*Complement:* 924 officers and men

**Ryujo**

*Displacement:* 10,600 tons standard, 14,000 tons full load
*Dimensions:* length 180.0 m (590 ft 6 in) overall; beam 20.8 m (68 ft 3 in); draught 7.1 m (23 ft 4 in)
*Armament:* 24 Mitsubishi A6M Zero fighters and 12 bombers
*Speed:* 29 knots
*Machinery:* 2-shaft geared steam turbines delivering 65,000 shp
*Complement:* 2,016 officers and men
Japan's withdrawal from the international treaties limiting the size of warships at the end of 1936 enabled her constructors at last to design carriers that suited requirements. Under the 1937 Reinforcement Programme two more carriers were to be built, basically similar to the *Hiryu* but large enough to accommodate all that was required.

In the 'Shokaku' class all the earlier faults were remedied. Two catapults were provided, and a much larger hangar enabled aircraft capacity to be increased from 63 to 75. Even with a considerable increase in power (the most powerful machinery ever fitted in a Japanese warship) the two ships could achieve a range of nearly 10,000 miles (16000 km) as they carried 5,000 tons of fuel. Equally important, they were well armoured and carried a much heavier anti-aircraft armament than their predecessors. In most respects they were the best carriers in the world, being surpassed only by the later *Essex* class, but like all Japanese carriers they suffered from vulnerable fuel systems. Not only were the fuel lines to the hangars and flight deck liable to be ruptured by explosions some distance away, but the fuel storage tanks were inadequately protected against shock.

*Shokaku* was begun at the end of 1937 and went to sea in August 1941, just two months before Pearl Harbor. Although she took part in the attack her aircrews were too inexperienced to do more than bomb the airfields on Oahu. With her sister *Zuikaku* she formed Carrier Division 5, and after their work-up early in 1942 they operated off Ceylon and New Guinea.

During the Battle of the Coral Sea *Shokaku* was damaged by a strike from the *Yorktown*; although she caught fire she was saved with some difficulty, and had to return to Japan for repairs. The worst casualties were, however, the loss of 86 aircraft and most of their aircrews, so that neither carrier could take part in the Battle of Midway. On 14 July they joined the new Carrier Division 1, with the light carrier *Zuiko*. In the Battle of the Eastern Solomons they damaged the *Enterprise* but again lost precious aircrew and aircraft. On 26 October the *Shokaku* was severely damaged by a dive-bomber strike from the *Hornet*.

During the Battle of the Philippine Sea on 19 June 1944 she was hit by three torpedoes from the submarine USS *Cavalla*, and an explosion from ruptured aviation fuel tanks subsequently sank her.

**Specification**

*Shokaku*

- Displacement: 25,675 tons standard, 32,000 tons full load
- Dimensions: length 257.5 m (844 ft 9 in) overall; beam 26.0 m (85 ft 4 in); draught 8.9 m (29 ft 2 in)
- Machinery: 4-shaft geared steam turbines delivering 160,000 shp
- Speed: 34.2 knots
- Armament: eight twin 127-mm (5-in) dual-purpose and 12 triple 25-mm AA guns
- Aircraft: 27 fighters, 27 dive-bombers and 18 torpedo-bombers
- Complement: 1,660 officers and men

*Zuikaku*

- Displacement: 25,675 tons standard, 32,000 tons full load
- Dimensions: length 257.5 m (844 ft 9 in) overall; beam 26.0 m (85 ft 4 in);
  draught 8.9 m (29 ft 2 in)
- Machinery: 4-shaft geared steam turbines delivering 160,000 shp
- Speed: 34.2 knots
- Armament: eight twin 127-mm (5-in) dual-purpose and 12 triple 25-mm AA guns
- Aircraft: 27 fighters, 27 dive-bombers and 18 torpedo-bombers
- Complement: 1,660 officers and men

*Zuikaku* lasted some four months longer than her sister, but was finally lost off Cape Engano during the Battle of Leyte Gulf. As the ship sank, crew members found positions to salute the naval ensign as it was lowered.
Japanese naval staff decided that the shortage of aircraft-carriers, the marine tenders should be designed for the maintenance of a large fleet auxiliaries such as submarine tenders, and the lead-ship entered service in that role early in 1939. Her sister ship Takasaki, however, was not completed, and was laid up in the shipyard for nearly four years. Work on her conversion to a carrier started in January 1940, under the new name Zuiho.

Apart from the replacement of the unreliable diesels with geared steam turbines, as much of the original hull was retained as possible. A single hangar was provided, accommodating a maximum of 30 aircraft, with two centreline lifts; there were two catapults near the island superstructure. To retain the high speed and endurance all planned armouring was deleted. The conversion was carried out in a year, and the Zuiho joined the Combined Fleet in January 1941. With the old Hosho (Carrier Division 3) she was sent to the Palau in the late autumn of that year and took part in the attack on the Philippines. She then returned to Japan for repairs before taking part in the conquest of the East Indies in the spring.

Luckily for the carrier, she was with the Support Force at Midway, and escaped the destruction of the main carrier force. In the Battle of the Santa Cruz Islands she was part of Admiral Nagumo's Carrier Strike Force. At 07.40 on 25 October 1942 a dive-bomber from the USS Enterprise made a surprise attack out of low cloud, dropping its bomb in the centre of the flight deck. With a 50-ft (15-m) crater in her flight deck the Zuiho could no longer operate aircraft, and so after launching her aircraft the Zuiho returned to base.

In February 1944 Zuiho rejoined Carrier Division 3, and she took part in the Battle of the Philippine Sea, when her aircraft scored a hit on the battleship South Dakota. In the fighting around Leyte Gulf she was one of the doomed carriers which attempted to decoy the Americans: in the Battle of Cape Engano she was hit by two bombs on the flight deck and was near-missed six times. In spite of a serious fire and flooding she was under way for another 6 hours, as the other carriers were picked off. Finally it was her turn, and three waves of attackers finished her off.

Specification Zuiho
Displacement: 11,262 tons standard, 14,200 tons full load
Dimensions: length 204.8 m (672 ft 0 in); overall; beam 18.2 m (59 ft 8 in); draught 6.6 m (21ft 8 in)
Machinery: 2-shaft geared steam turbines delivering 52,000 shp (38770 kW)
Speed: 28.2 knots
Armour: none
Armament: four twin 127-mm (5-in) dual-purpose and four twin 25-mm AA guns
Aircraft: 30
Complement: 785 officers and men

The submarine tender Tsurigizaki had been serving with the Combined Fleet in 1939-1940, but as soon as the conversion of her sister Takasaki into a carrier was completed in December 1940 she was taken in hand, re-emerging in January 1942 as the light carrier Shoho. Shoho did not see any action until the spring of 1942, when she covered the Port Moresby invasion, in the Support Force commanded by Rear-Admiral Arimoto Goto. It was this move by the Japanese which led to the Battle of the Coral Sea, the first carrier-versus-carrier battle in history.

The Shoho was heading for Port Moresby on 6 May 1942 when at 10.30 she was sighted 60 miles (100km) south of Bougainville by four Boeing B-17 bombers. The four aircraft attempted a high-level bombing attack on the carrier, but caused negligible damage. The two sides were largely ignorant of each other's whereabouts. In a desperate attempt to find the American carriers, Takagi flew off reconnaissance planes for a dawn sweep on the next day. At 07.30 they reported a carrier and a cruiser, and the Shokaku and Zuikaku immediately flew off a large strike. Unfortunately the 'task force' turned out to be the US Navy oiler Neosho and her escorting destroyer, the USS Sims. It was a fatal error, for while the Japanese were sinking these ships they missed the chance of finding Task Force 17, and left the Americans time to discover the Shoho's carrier group.

Originally diesel-powered, Shoho and her sister Shoho were fitted with steam turbines during conversion. With single hangars, aircraft capacity was 30.

The luckless Shoho had been ordered to launch all available aircraft for an attack on the American carriers, and when at 09.50 the Lexington's reporting of being Japan's first aircraft carrier loss, sunk by aircraft from USS Yorktown on 7 May 1942 in the Coral Sea.
strike spotted her turning into wind they encountered no resistance. The first strike scored no hits, but a near-miss blew five aircraft off her deck. At 10.25 a second strike arrived, from the Yorktown this time. This strike scored two devastating hits with 1,000-lb (454-kg) bombs on the flight deck, in spite of a curtain of anti-aircraft fire from the Shoho’s escorts. The carrier reeled under the blows, and as she began to lose speed more bombs and torpedoes found their mark. According to Japanese records as many as 11 more bombs and seven torpedoes hit, and Shoho burst into flames.

Only six minutes after the last American plane had departed the order was given to abandon ship, and at 10.35 the burning carrier rolled over and sank. Only 255 men out of an estimated total of 800 on board were saved. The Japanese had lost their first aircraft-carrier.

Specification
Shoho
Displacement: 11,262 tons standard, 14,200 tons full load
Dimensions: length 204.8 m (672 ft 0 in); beam 18.2 m (59 ft 8 in); draught 6.6 m (21 ft 8 in)
Machinery: 2-shaft geared steam turbines delivering 52,000 shp (38770 kW)
Speed: 28.2 knots
Armament: none
Aircraft: 30
Complement: 785 officers and men

JAPAN

'Junyo' class aircraft-carriers

Like the trio of 'Taiyo' class ships that preceded them, the Junyo and her sister Hiyo of the 'Junyo' class were useful conversions from Nippon Yusen Kaisha liners that had been designed from the outset with this procedure in mind. Where the earlier ships had undergone rebuilding at a late stage, the larger 'Taiyo' class ships were taken in hand before launching, both being in the water by June 1941, over five months before the Pacific War began, and completed in mid-1942.

As they had been designed as passenger liners, they had considerable freeboard and could accommodate two hangars, albeit of restricted headroom. They also had respectably-sized flight decks, measuring 210.2 m by 27.3 m (689.6 ft by 89.6 ft), and two centreline elevators, but suffered badly from the combination of their low merchantable speed and lack of catapults.

The two ships were the first Japanese carriers to incorporate a funnel as part of the island, though it was of strange aspect, canted outward at a sharp angle. Except for the never-completed Italian Aquila, this pair of carriers were the largest ever converted from mercantile hulls. Junyo’s 53 aircraft could have had a decisive effect at Midway but the ship was engaged in the rather fruitless Aleutians diversion. At Santa Cruz in October 1942 her aircraft damaged the battleship USS South Dakota and a cruiser, playing also a significant role in the sinking of the carrier USS Hornet. The two sisters operated together as Kakuta’s Carrier Division Two, but at the battle of the Philippine Sea, where Ozawa took on the vastly superior force of Mitscher’s TF 58, the partnership was broken, the Junyo being heavily damaged by bombing and Hiyo sunk after blowing up. The Hiyo had been struck by two torpedoes and was probably lost from the detonation of a build-up of vapour from leaking Avgas tanks.

The Junyo, newly repaired, was torpedoed in December 1944 and, though she was not sunk, she never re-entered service, surviving to be one of the very few Japanese naval ships of any size to fall eventually into American hands.

Specification
'Junyo' class
Displacement: 24,500 tons standard and 26,950 tons full load
Dimensions: length 219.2 m (719 ft 2 in); beam 26.7 m (87 ft 7 in); draught 8.2 m (26 ft 11 in)
Propulsion: geared steam turbines delivering 56,000 shp (41760 kW) to two shafts

Seen at Sasebo after the surrender of Japan, Junyo displays the unusual funnel of the class. Converted from passenger liners, the two 'Junyo' class carriers were the first in Japanese service to feature a funnel on the island.

Speed: 25 knots
Armament: 12 127-mm (5-in) DP and 24 25-mm AA guns
Aircraft: 53
 Armour: none
Complement: about 1,220

While their capacious liner hulls had room for two hangars, the 'Junyo' class vessels suffered from a lack of speed, and without catapults aircraft operations were hampered. Both were at the Battle of the Philippine Sea, Junyo being damaged and Hiyo sinking.
In many ways technically the most advanced of the Japanese carriers, the Taiho was unique. In 1939 Japanese intelligence learned that the British Illustrious class carriers would have armoured decks, and so a new type of armoured carrier was planned under the Fourth Reinforcement Programme. The appalling carnage of Midway lent even more emphasis to the need for armoured flight decks, and two units more were ordered in 1942.

The Japanese design differed considerably from the British box-hanger concept, for only the flight deck was protected by 75-mm (3-in) armour, and then only between the lifts. There were two hangars, the lower hangar being protected by 35mm (1.3 in) armour as well. Waterline armour was also provided but on a more lavish scale, 150mm (5.9 in) abreast of the magazines and 55 mm (2.2 in) over the machinery. All this armour involved a colossal topweight penalty, and to preserve stability the designers were forced to allow one less deck above the waterline, in comparison with the Shokaku class. This meant that the lower hangar deck was just above the waterline, and the bottom of the lift-wells was below the waterline.

The opportunity was taken to use the latest defensive guns: a new high-velocity 100-mm (3.9-in) Type 98 twin mounting. For the first time an air-warning radar was included. It had been hoped to operate 84 aircraft, but only 75 could be spaced by the time the ship was ready: the aircraft were available, but not sufficient aircrew.

The new carrier, to be called Taiho, was laid down in July 1941 and went to sea in March 1944, immediately she joined Carrier Division 1, and was sent with the Shokaku and Zekusaki to Singapore. As soon as her air group was trained CarDiv 1 was sent to Tawi Tawi in the southern Philippines to join the First Mobile Fleet. On 19 June, during the Battle of the Philippine Sea, the Taiho had just launched her aircraft when the American submarine Albacore fired a spread of six 21-in (533-mm) torpedoes, one of which hit. Although her fuel tanks were ruptured, the Taiho lost only a little speed, and preparations were made to plank over the jammed forward lift, to permit flying operations to continue. But deadly gasoline vapour was spreading throughout the ship, and about 5 hours after the torpedo hits, some mischance (probably the switch on an electric pump) sparked off a colossal explosion. The armoured flight deck was split down the middle, the sides of the hangar were blown out, and it seems that holes were blown through the keel. About 90 minutes later the remains of the Taiho sank, taking with her all but 500 of her crew.

**Specification**

**Taiho**

Displacement: 29,300 tons standard, 37,270 tons full load
Dimensions: length 260.5 m (854 ft 8 in); beam 27.7 m (90 ft 10 in); draught 9.6 m (31 ft 6 in)
Machinery: 4-shaft geared steam turbines delivering 180,000 shp (134,225 kW)
Speed: 33 knots
Armour: see text
Armament: six twin 100-mm (3.9-in) AA and 15 triple 25-mm AA guns
Aircraft: 30 Yokosuka D4Y Judy dive-bombers, 27 Mitsubishi A6M Zero fighters and 18 Nakajima B6N Jills torpedoes-bombers
Complement: 2,150 officers and men

'Unryu' class aircraft-carriers

Like the Americans, the Japanese recognized that series production of a standard design was the only way of commissioning adequate numbers of good quality carriers in time to be of any use. To this end the basic 'Hiryu' design was modified and simplified, orders being placed at a variety of shipyards under the 1941-2 War Programme. Seventeen units of this 'Unryu' class were planned initially but, even though construction of some had started before Midway, the catastrophe of this battle convinced the Japanese that shorter-term solutions needed to be found in a variety of conversions. These seem to have enjoyed higher priorities in the cause of haste, and the 'Unryu' class programme slowed badly, eventually halting through lack of materials. In the event, only three were ever completed and three more launched. The three completed were the Amagi (August 1944), the Katsuragi (October 1944) and Unryu (August 1944); the three others launched were the Aso, Ikoma and Kasagi. The main differences between the 'Unryu' and the 'Hiryu' designs was an elevator less and an altered layout of main armament in the former. Though of about the same length, the 'Unryu' class ships gained stability through a greater beam, for some reason unknown, had a smaller aircraft capacity. For their size, they were well protected over vitals. Like all larger 'regular' Japanese carriers, the 'Unryu' class units had a good turn of speed, having the same machinery as later heavy cruiser classes. With shortages biting, however, two of those launched had to take a couple of sets each of destroyer machinery. Despite a one-third reduction in power, the speed penalty was only a couple of knots. The Amagi was lost to air attack in Kure during July 1945, the Katsuragi survived and was surrendered (for scrapping in 1947) and the Unryu was sunk in December 1944 by a US submarine.

The 'Unryu' class were to have been a standard design, produced in quantity. Although 17 were planned, only three of the modified, simplified
The catastrophic loss of four carriers at Midway, solely from the attentions of aircraft from US carriers, convinced the Japanese not only that carriers were more useful than battleships, but also that they needed to increase their numbers as a matter of great urgency. Most of their ambitious programme of conversions date from this point, none of them more impressive than the Shinano. Created from the incomplete third 'Yamato' class battleship, this giant displaced nearly 72,000 tons full load, a figure not eclipsed until the advent of the US post-war supercarriers. The hull was already fitted with a 200-mm (7.87-in) armoured deck and vertical protection of the same order, and the ship's great beam (increased further by bulging) allowed for a flight deck of 80-mm (3.15-in) thickness over most of its area.

Despite the ship's size, her flight deck was over 1 m (3.3 ft) shorter than that of the Taiho of less than half the displacement, although it was far wider. Viewed probably as too slow to act as an attack carrier, Shinano was not even fitted with catapults and, although originally slated to have a small air group of 18 aircraft, she was completed to carry a still-under-sized complement of 47. Her considerable stowage was looked upon mainly as a repair and re-supply facility for the front-line carriers. Like the Taiho, the Shinano had an integral funnel and island, but lacked the smaller ship's British-style 'hurricane bow'. Her shortcomings were, in the event, of only academic interest, Not quite complete in time for the Japanese fleet's self-immolation at Leyte Gulf in October 1944, she transferred from Yokosuka to Kure for final fitting-out. On the way she was hit by a full spread of six torpedoes from an American submarine: her water-tight subdivision still incomplete, she foundered from virtually uncontrolled flooding on 29 November.

**Specification**

Shinano

Displacement: 64,000 tons standard and 71,900 tons full load

Dimensions: length 265.8 m (872 ft); beam 36.3 m (119 ft); draught 10.3 m (33 ft 10 in); flightdeck 255.9 (839 ft 7 in) by 40.1 m (131 ft 7 in)

Speed: 27 kts

Armament: 12 40.6 cm (16-in) guns, 36 25-mm AA guns, and 12 28-barrel AA rocket-launchers

Aircraft: 33 (later 47)

Complement: 3,550

By far the largest carrier of the war, Shinano was to have been the third 'Yamato' class battleship. Her small aircraft capacity and slow speed pointed to her eventual role as repair and re-supply vessels to front-line carriers, a role she was destined never to fulfill.

Like Western fleets, the Japanese navy rebuilt good-class merchant tonnage into auxiliary carriers, particularly NYK ships, which had government-subsidized features built into them. The Taiyo was the first, an example, converted from the Kasuga Maru in 1941 as the lead ship of the 'Taiyo' class, before the outbreak of the Pacific war. After a few months of evaluation, the similar rawata Maru and Nitta Maru were rebuilt into the Unyo and Chuyo respectively. Though of a larger size than Western escort carriers, none of them was equipped with arrester gear or catapults which, combined with their slow speed, made aircraft launch and recovery difficult. All were lost to submarine torpedo attack within a space of 10 months between December 1943 and September 1944 having spent their lives engaged in auxiliary tasks.

**Specification**

Taiyo

Displacement: 17,850 tons standard

Dimensions: length 180.1 m (590 ft 11 in); beam 22.5 m (73 ft 10 in); draught 8.0 m (26 ft 3 in); flightdeck 171.9 m (564 ft by 23.5 m (77 ft 1 in)

Machinery: geared steam turbines delivering 25,200 shp (18790 kW) to twin shafts

Propulsion: geared steam turbines delivering 150,000 shp (111855 kW) to four shafts

Speed: 27 kts

Armament: 16 127-mm (5-in) DP, 145 25-mm AA guns, and 12 28-barrel AA rocket-launchers

Aircraft: 18 (later 47)

Complement: 2,400

Like the British and American fleets, Japan's need for escort carriers was met by converting merchant hulls. Kaiyo, seen herein late 1943, was similar to the 'Taiyo' class conversions.

Armament: eight 127-mm (5-in) DP (except Taiyo, see text) and eight (later 22) 25-mm AA guns

Aircraft: 18

Complement: 800

Carriers were required by the Japanese for other than fleet purposes. Firstly, and with increasing urgency, for the defence of trade. This was a function that had been badly neglected prewar due to a lack of hard experience and the belief that the war that they would promote would be a short one. Secondly, for the training of large numbers of aircrew for carrier operations, a task for which first-line units could not be spared. Thirdly, for the ferrying of aircraft, a task made essential by the sheer size of the newly-acquired empire, which had airfields thousands of miles from the homeland itself.

Like Western fleets, the Japanese navy rebuilt good-class mercantile tonnage into auxiliary carriers, particularly NYK ships, which had government-subsidized features built into them. The Taiyo was the first, an example, converted from the Kasuga Maru in 1941 as the lead ship of the 'Taiyo' class, before the outbreak of the Pacific war. After a few months of evaluation, the similar rawata Maru and Nitta Maru were rebuilt into the Unyo and Chuyo respectively. Though of a larger size than Western escort carriers, none of them was equipped with arrester gear or catapults which, combined with their slow speed, made aircraft launch and recovery difficult. All were lost to submarine torpedo attack within a space of 10 months between December 1943 and September 1944 having spent their lives engaged in auxiliary tasks.

**Specification**

Taiyo

Displacement: 17,850 tons standard

Dimensions: length 180.1 m (590 ft 11 in); beam 22.5 m (73 ft 10 in); draught 8.0 m (26 ft 3 in); flightdeck 171.9 m (564 ft by 23.5 m (77 ft 1 in)

Machinery: geared steam turbines delivering 25,200 shp (18790 kW) to twin shafts

Propulsion: geared steam turbines delivering 150,000 shp (111855 kW) to four shafts

Speed: 27 kts

Armament: 16 127-mm (5-in) DP, 145 25-mm AA guns, and 12 28-barrel AA rocket-launchers

Aircraft: 18 (later 47)

Complement: 2,400

By far the largest carrier of the war, Shinano was to have been the third 'Yamato' class battleship. Her small aircraft capacity and slow speed pointed to her eventual role as repair and re-supply vessels to front-line carriers, a role she was destined never to fulfill.
American Aircraft Carriers

The Pacific War was to a large extent the war of the aircraft carrier; from Pearl Harbor to Okinawa, it was the effective use of the carrier forces which proved decisive. For the first time, battles were fought with hundreds of miles of ocean between the combatants.

An early shot showing a biplane Corsair flying over USS Saratoga in 1929. With her sister USS Lexington, she played a major role in developing the concept of the fast carrier task force.

From the moment Japanese carrier aircraft struck at the US Pacific Fleet on 7 December 1941, a new era in naval warfare was born. Although naval air power had already proved its ability to strike at an enemy fleet in its own harbours, Pearl Harbor was the dawn of carrier warfare across the broad oceans, in a way that pre-war theorists had never imagined.

The reason for this lay in the fact that the battleships with which aircraft carriers had been meant to fight were now sunk or disabled. For at least six months the US Pacific Fleet could only take the offensive with its carriers, and so the concept of the fast carrier task force was created, using the carriers’ dive-bombers and torpedo-bombers as long-range substitutes for the 406mm (16in) gun. Because the tactics and the aircraft were comparatively primitive, the first attempts by the US Navy to carry the war to the Japanese were barely effective, and there was little that could be done to stop the Japanese carriers from overrunning the Philippines and the Dutch East Indies.

The first pitched battle, in the Coral Sea, was fought in May 1942 to stop the Japanese from gaining a foothold in Port Moresby, New Guinea. It cost the Americans one of their biggest carriers, the USS Lexington, but the amphibious operation was called off after the small carrier Shoho was sunk. What distinguished the battle was that opposing fleets never saw each other: it was the first carrier-versus-carrier battle.

A rash attempt by the Japanese to capture Midway Island brought on the next battle in June 1942, but superior American intelligence and much improved tactics made the Battle of Midway decisive. The Japanese lost their four front-line carriers in quick succession, and with them the best-trained aircrews in the world. In the months that followed the Japanese squandered the lives of their carrier aircrews faster than they could be replaced. Thus, when the Allies took the offensive by landing in the Solomons, the Battles of the Eastern Solomons and the Santa Cruz islands thinned the ranks of Japanese naval pilots to a fatal degree. In contrast the US Navy replaced lost pilots with thousands of new aircrew and a generation of more powerful aircraft.

In June 1944 the US assault on the Marianas brought on another great carrier battle, the Philippine-Sea. The 'Great Marianas Turkey Shoot' saw the slaughter of hundreds of semi-skilled pilots, and when four months later the remnants of the Imperial Navy were flung into the Battle of Leyte Gulf there were hardly any pilots left for the carriers. From October 1944 the survivors of the once-mighty force were sunk at their moorings in Japan, unable to move because of the total lack of fuel.
The potential of naval aviation was so clearly seen at the end of World War I that the US Navy wanted to press ahead with the construction of aircraft carriers. But to gain practical experience before building new ships it was essential to carry out experiments, and the quickest and cheapest way was to convert an existing ship.

The big fleet collier Jupiter (AC.3) was taken in hand in March 1920. A month later she was renamed USS Langley (CV. 1) and started trials in July 1922. The ship which emerged was flush-decked, with two hinged funnels on the port side. The former coal holds had been converted to workshops, accommodation and storerooms, while the former upper deck was now the hangar. The biggest drawback to the Langley was her low speed, for the 5335-kW (7,150-shp) turbo-electric machinery was badly underpowered. In service the Langley could only make 14 knots, which was some 7 knots below the speed of the battle fleet. However in spite of this handicap she served with the fleet, and for five years she played the role which was to be taken over so successfully by the Lexington and Saratoga from 1928 onwards.

Although originally designed to operate 24 aircraft, her capacious hangar allowed a maximum of 33 to be accommodated. She did not stop operating aircraft until 1936, when she was converted to a seaplane carrier and redesignated AV.3. After a short refit she reappeared in April 1937 with a short flight deck, as the forward part had been removed.

One most important contributions made to naval aviation by the Langley was to test various systems of arrester gear. When she was first commissioned she had a British system of longitudinal wires, which were intended to engage hooks in the landing gear of the aircraft, and prevent it from slewing from side to side. However, the US Navy added a back-up system of transverse wires, whose retarding action was achieved by hanging sand-filled shellcases on the ends. This system (refined into a proper hydraulic arrester system) ultimately proved better, and is the basis of all modern carrier landings. Another innovation was a pair of flush-mounted pneumatic catapults on the flight deck; intended for seaplanes, they later proved that they could speed up the launching of conventional aircraft, and like the arrester gear, this procedure is still standard today.

The veteran 'Covered Wagon' spent her short war service as a humble aircraft transport. On 27 February 1942 a group of Japanese naval bombers operating from Bali caught her en route for Tjilatjap in Java, and sank her with five bombs.

**Specification**

**USSE Langley (CV.1)**
- Displacement: 11,050 tons standard, 14,700 tons full load
- Dimensions: length 165.3 m (542 ft 4 in); overall; beam 19.96 m (65 ft 6 in); draught 7.32 m (24 ft 0 in)

**USSE Lexington (CV.2)**
- Displacement: 36,000 tons standard, 47,700 tons full load
- Dimensions: length 270.66 m (888 ft 0 in); overall; beam 39.62 m (130 ft 0 in); draught 9.75 m (32 ft 0 in)
- Speed: 34 knots
- Armament: (in 1942) eight 127-mm (5-in) AA, 30 20-mm AA and six quadriguns
- Aircraft: (1923) 30 fighters
- Complement: 410 officers and enlisted men

The old Langley, with the forward part of her flight deck removed, served as a seaplane carrier from 1936. In her short wartime career, the first US aircraft carrier unembarked as an aircraft transport until sunk by Japanese bombers in February 1942.

**Specification**

**USSE Lexington (CV.2)**
- Displacement: 36,000 tons standard, 47,700 tons full load
- Dimensions: length 270.66 m (888 ft 0 in); overall; beam 39.62 m (130 ft 0 in); draught 9.75 m (32 ft 0 in)
- Speed: 34 knots
- Armament: 152-mm (6-in) guns, 30 20-mm AA and six quadruple 27.94-mm (1.1-in) AA guns
- Aircraft: (1942) 22 fighters, 36 dive-bombers and 12 torpedo-bombers
- Complement: 2,951 officers and enlisted men

**To encase the uptakes from 16 boilers, the Lexington and Saratoga were each given a massive funnel. Both ships had their 8-inch guns removed at the outbreak of World War II, and Saratoga was considerably altered in appearance by 1945.**

---
### USS Saratoga

Like her sister Lexington, the USS Saratoga (CV.3) was launched in 1925 after three years of conversion from an incomplete battle-cruiser hull. Like her sister she played a major role in developing the concept of the fast carrier task force, and from 1928 the two ships took part in the annual ‘Fleet Problem’ or war game of the Pacific Fleet.

At the time of Pearl Harbor the ‘Sara’ was back at San Diego on the US west coast undergoing a short refit, but she sailed shortly afterwards and took part with her sister ‘Lex’ in an abortive attempt to relieve Wake Island. During her refit the four twin 203-mm (8-in) turrets were removed, and in their place she received four twin 127-mm (5-in) dual-purpose mountings. She was torpedoed by a Japanese submarine off Hawaii, on 11 January 1942, and needed four months of repairs.

The ‘Sara’ was used to ferry fresh aircraft out to the Central Pacific, and so missed the Battle of Midway, but she was a welcome reinforcement by 8 June, the day after the sinking of the Yorktown. Her fighters and dive-bombers were given the task of softening up the defences of Guadalcanal on 7 August 1942 before the big amphibious landing by the US Marines. The Japanese responded vigorously to this challenge, and by 20 August a powerful carrier task force was nearing the Eastern Solomons.

The Saratoga, Enterprise and Wasp were heavily engaged in the Battle of the Eastern Solomons, but the ‘Sara’ escaped lightly. Not until 31 August did she sustain damage, when she was torpedomed by the submarine I-68 just after dawn. The carrier was not badly damaged by the hit, in spite of having one boiler room flooded and another partly flooded, but an electrical failure soon put her machinery out of action. Two hours later she got back limited power, and reached Pearl Harbor six days later; repairs took six weeks to complete.

In 1943-4 the Saratoga took part in the great ‘island-hopping’ drive across the Pacific, and in 1944 was detached to the East Indies, where she cooperated with the British and Free French in attacking Japanese positions in Java and Sumatra. On 21 February 1945 she was hit by a kamikaze while supporting the landings on Iwo Jima. By now she was showing her age, and although repaired was restricted to training duties at Pearl Harbor.

On 27 July 1946 the stripped hull of the Saratoga was sunk in Bikini Atoll during a series of nuclear tests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>USS Saratoga (CV.3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Displacement</td>
<td>36,000 tons standard, 47,700 tons full load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimensions</td>
<td>Length 270.66m (888ft 6in), draught 9.75m (32ft 0in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinery</td>
<td>4-shaft steam turbo-electric delivering 156,600kW (210,000shp)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed</td>
<td>34 knots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armament</td>
<td>Armour: belt 152mm (6in); flight deck 25mm (1in); main deck 51mm (2in); lower deck 25-76mm (1-3); barbettes 152mm (6in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Armament: (in 1945) eight twin 127-mm (5-in) dual-purpose, 24 quadruple 40-mm Bofors AA, two twin 40-mm AA and 16 20-mm AA guns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Saratoga's 'Lexingtons', they could actually carry more aircraft.**

### USS Yorktown

The USS Yorktown (CV.5) was the lead-ship of a new class of aircraft-carrier authorized out of President Roosevelt's Public Works Administration, the Federal unemployment relief agency. She and her sister Enterprise (CV.6) were authorized in 1933, and were followed by the Ranger, Shangri-la, Yorktown, Enterprise and Wasp.

The design was a development of that of the Ranger, with an ‘open’ hangar rather than the ‘closed’ type of the Lexington and Saratoga, to allow up to 80 aircraft to be carried. This arrangement proved highly successful, and formed the basis for the even more successful ‘Essex’ class.

The ship was commissioned in September 1937, and was hurriedly transferred to the Pacific after Pearl Harbor. Under Rear Admiral Frank J. Fletcher she was sent to the South West Pacific in the spring of 1942 and took part in the Battle of the Coral Sea. Her Air Group 5, comprising 20 Grumman F4F Wildcat fighters, 38 Douglas TBD Dauntless dive-bombers and 13 Douglas TBD Devastator torpedo-bombers, played a major role in the battle, sinking the light carrier Shoho in a brilliant attack lasting only 10 minutes. On the next day, 8 May, her dive-bombers inflicted damage on the carrier Zuikaku, but in return a force of Nakajima B5N Kate torpedo-bombers and Aichi D3A ‘Val’ dive-bombers penetrated a dense screen of fighters and gunfire to score a devastating hit on the flight deck.

The bomb went through three decks before exploding, and numerous fires were started. The damage control parties brought the fires under control, and the ship was able to return to Pearl Harbor for repairs.

Working around the clock, the repair teams were able to get Yorktown back in action in only four days, just in time for the Battle of Midway in June 1942. At a crucial point in the battle, Yorktown's dive-bombers took part in the attack on the Japanese carriers, and her aircraft were the only ones able to mount a search for the surviving Japanese carrier Hiryu. Even after the Yorktown was hit by three 250-kg (551-lb) bombs she was able to operate her aircraft, and it was not until she was hit by two torpedoes that she was fully out of action.

The Yorktown might have survived even this heavy damage, for by first light on 6 June salvage parties had put out the fires and had started to pump out flooded compartments. But the submarine I-68 put two more torpedoes into her, and early next morning she capsized and sank.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>USS Yorktown (CV.5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Displacement</td>
<td>19,800 tons standard, 28,700 tons full load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimensions</td>
<td>Length 246.7m (809ft 6in), draught 8.53m (28ft 1in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinery</td>
<td>4-shaft geared steam turbines delivering 89,520kW (120,000shp)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed</td>
<td>33 knots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armament</td>
<td>Armour: belt 102mm (4in); main deck 76mm (3in); lower deck 25-76mm (1-3in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Armament: (in 1945) eight 127-mm (5-in) AA, four quadruple 20mm (1.1-in) AA and 16 20mm (0.75-in) machine-guns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft</td>
<td>(1945) 57 fighters and 18 torpedo-bombers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Yorktown**
The USS Enterprise, (CV.6) was the second of the Yorktown class, and joined the Pacific Fleet in 1938. Fortunately, she and the other two carriers of the Pacific Fleet were away from Pearl Harbor on 7 December 1941 when the Japanese attacked. When they returned to Oahu they were immediately put into the front line, for the battle fleet no longer existed. Three days afterwards the Enterprise’s aircraft sank the submarine I-170, the first Japanese submarine to be destroyed.

The Enterprise supported her sister Hornet on the Tokyo Raid in April 1942 but did not embark B-25 bombers as her aircraft were to be used to sink the Japanese early warning picket line. Neither carrier was back in time for the Battle of the Coral Sea in the following month, but they joined the Yorktown in time for the Midway in June. Here the Douglas SBD Dauntlesses from the Enterprise sank the carriers Kaga and Akagi, and Yamaha’s Dauntlesses flying off Enterprise’s deck joined the group which sank the Hiroyu. Two days later the Enterprise’s dive-bombers sank the heavy cruiser Mikuma and damaged the cruiser Mogami and two destroyers.

The Enterprise covered the Guadalcanal landings in August 1942, and her aircraft shot down 17 Japanese aircraft in two days. During the Battle of the Eastern Solomons on 24 August she was hit by three bombs, and returned to Pearl Harbor for two months’ repairs. In the Battle of Santa Cruz on 26 October she once again took three hits, but was still able to operate her aircraft, and as she was now the only US carrier left she had to remain in the forward area. On 13 November her Grumman TBF Avenger torpedo-bombers finished off the damaged battleship Hiei, and next day devastated a troop convoy of 11 ships with no fewer than 26 bomb and six torpedo hits, Enterprise was finally given lengthy repairs in the United States and did not return to the Pacific until mid-1943. On 25 November 1943 one of her Avengers achieved the world’s first night kill at sea. She took part in the massive strike on Truk in February 1944, and in the famous ‘Marianas Turkey Shoot’ during the Battle of the Philippine Sea the following June. She continued in action into 1945, surviving two kamikaze attacks. A third kamikaze strike on 14 May finally brought her career to an end, for she had to return to the United States for major repairs.

As the holder of 19 Battle Stars the ‘Big E’ was a candidate for preservation as a memorial, but efforts to save her came to nothing and in 1958 she was sold for scrap, releasing her name for the first nuclear carrier.

**Specification**

**USS Enterprise (CV.6)**

- Displacement: 19,800 tons standard, 25,500 tons full load
- Dimensions: length 246.74m (809ft 6 in); beam 34.75m (114ft 0 in); flight deck 84.448m (277ft 7 in); overall 252.2m (827ft 5 in); overall; beam 34.8m (114ft 2 in)
- Armament: (1942) eight 127-mm (5-in) guns, four quadruple 27.94-mm (1.1-in) AA, 16 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns
- Aircraft: (1942) 27 fighters, 37 dive-bombers and 15 torpedo-bombers
- Complement: 2,919 officers and enlisted men

**USS Hornet**

Although she was the third member of the Yorktown class, the USS Hornet (CV.8) was authorized some years after her sisters. She commissioned on 20 October 1940, seven weeks before Pearl Harbor. After a shakedown cruise with her air group in the Caribbean in January 1942 the ship embarked the first twin-engine North American B-25 bombers for the famous Doolittle Raid on Tokyo. After two months of intensive trials and training the Hornet left for the Pacific on 2 April, carrying 16 B-25 Mitchell bombers.

The raid on 18 April took the Japanese completely by surprise, and most of the bombers reached China safely. The Hornet’s next assignment was the Battle of Midway, on 4-6 June 1942. Although her air group lost all its Douglas TBD Devastator torpedo-bombers and five Grumman TBF Avengers in an unsuccessful strike, and failed to hit the Japanese carrier Hiroyu in a second strike, on the last day of the battle it made two hits by sinking the damaged heavy cruiser Mikuma and inflicting severe damage on her sister Mogami.

The Hornet was ferrying US Marine Corps fighters at the time of the Guadalcanal landings in August 1942, but after landing her aircraft she joined the Wasp and Saratoga in the covering force. Although withdrawn to Espiritu Santo to avoid being sunk by submarines, she sortied early in October to attack Japanese targets, and on 25 October met the Japanese carriers once more, in the Battle of Santa Cruz. On 26 October, after the two sides had located one another, the two American carriers launched an air strike (a total of 175 aircraft), while the four Japanese carriers launched most of their 207 aircraft. But while the Hornet’s torpedo-bombers and dive-bombers were on their way, 27 Japanese strike aircraft broke through the fighter screen and scored six bomb and two torpedo hits on the Hornet. Although heroic efforts were made to extinguish the fires and get the carrier under way, four hours later another Japanese strike scored a torpedo hit and two more bomb hits. By now the American destroyers screening the Hornet were dangerously exposed, with the Japanese searching for them in the darkness. The decision was taken to scuttle the Hornet, but to the Americans’ dismay several torpedoes failed to detonate, and a total of 430 127-mm (5-in) shells fired at the carrier’s waterline had no appreciable effect. The waterlogged hulk was abandoned, but the Japanese found it impossible to tow her, and finally two Japanese destroyers gave the Hornet her death-blow in the early hours of 27 October.

**Specification**

**USS Hornet (CV.8)**

- Displacement: 19,000 tons standard; 29,100 tons full load
- Dimensions: length 252.2m (827ft 5 in); overall beam 34.8m (114ft 2 in); flight deck 84.448m (277ft 7 in); overall; beam 34.8m (114ft 2 in)
- Armament: (1942) eight 127-mm (5-in) AA, four quadruple 27.94-mm (1.1-in) AA, 30 20-mm AA and nine 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns
- Aircraft: (1942) 36 fighters, 36 dive-bombers and 15 torpedo-bombers
- Complement: 2,919 officers and enlisted men

The new carrier Hornet (CV.8) on trials in 1941. She was commissioned seven weeks before Pearl Harbor and left for the Pacific in March 1942.
**USA**

**USS Wasp**

Under the terms of the Washington Naval Treaty the US Navy was restricted to 135,000 tons of aircraft-carriers, and so could only build a further 14,700 tons of carriers after the completion of Lexington, Saratoga, Ranger, Yorktown and Enterprise. Thus in 1935 an improved version of the Ranger was ordered, also with modest speed and light armour but big aircraft capacity. The opportunity was taken to eradicate the worst faults of the Ranger, and the new carrier was given a proper island superstructure and better compartmentation.

The USN commissioned in April 1941, and from the autumn of that year was in the Atlantic on training duties. Late in March 1942 she went to the Mediterranean to ferry RAF Spitfires to Malta. At the beginning of July she left San Diego for the Pacific and took part in the Guadalcanal landings, where her aircraft flew more than 300 sorties. She missed the Battle of the Eastern Solomons as she had been detached to refuel, and she returned to Noumea to take on board a consignment of fighter aircraft for the US Marines on Guadalcanal.

Early in the afternoon of 15 September 1942 the Wasp flew off her fighters, but shortly afterwards she was hit by three torpedoes fired by the Japanese submarine I-19. Two of the torpedoes struck her on the port side near the aviation gasoline tanks, while the third struck higher up and damaged the refuelling system, which had already been ruptured.

For the ship was very quickly gutted by fire and explosions, and proved impossible to contain as the torpedo detonations had also ruptured the fire mains. In less than an hour the order to abandon ship was given, and she continued to burn for another 3½ hours; finally the destroyer Lansdowne was ordered to sink her, and four torpedoes were fired.

The Wasp proved the least battle-worthy of all American carriers, and her loss provided important lessons for the future. A board of enquiry showed that the majority of the damage was caused by the third torpedo-hit, for the first two hits had left the machinery and auxiliary power undamaged. However, the shock of the explosions and the ‘whip’ of the hull had knocked out electrical switchboards and the damage control organization. Thereafter a series of subsidiary explosions of bombs, torpedoes, ammunition and aircraft fuel tanks wrecked the ship.

**Specification**

**USS Wasp (CV.7)**

- **Displacement:** 14,700 tons standard, 20,500 tons full load
- **Dimensions:** length 267.21m (876ft), beam 24.61 m (80 ft 9 in); draught 8.53m (28ft 0 in)
- **Machinery:** 2-shaft geared steam turbines delivering 55950kW (75,000shp)
- **Speed:** 29N/knots
- **Armour:** belt 102 mm (4 in); main and lower decks 38 mm (1.5 in)
- **Armament:** four quadruple 27.94-mm (1,1-in) AA, four quadruple 37-mm (1.5-in) AA and 30 20-mm AA guns
- **Aircraft:** 12 fighters, 36 dive-bombers and 15 torpedo-bombers
- **Complement:** 2,367 officers and enlisted men

**USS Essex**

The ‘Essex’ class can claim to be the most cost-effective and successful aircraft-carriers ever built. The specification, issued in June 1939, was for an improved ‘Yorktown’ class, but with displacement increased by 7,000 tons to provide stronger defensive armourment, thicker armour, more power and above all, more aviation fuel. With more than 6,300 tons of oil fuel the endurance was 27,560km (17,000 miles) at 20 knots, while 600 tons of gasoline and 220 tons of ammunition pushed up the number of sorties which could be flown. Above all, the same number of aircraft could be earned, although in practice many more could be carried; the normal strength was 82 but by 1945 108 of the latest aircraft could be embarked.

Eleven of the class were ordered in 1940 and a further 13 were ordered during World War II. Building times were extremely short: USS Essex (CV.9) was built in 20 months, and the wartime average was cut to 10½ months. The lead-ship of her class, the Essex reached the Pacific in May 1943, by which time the worst was over, but she saw considerable heavy fighting with the Fast Carrier Task Force, with the Enterprise and Saratoga and the light fleet carriers of the ‘Independence’ class. In the spring of 1944 the Essex was withdrawn for a short refit, but returned to join Task Group 12.1 for the raid on the Marcus Islands. Later she formed part of the famous Task Group 38.3 in Task Force 38. On 25 November 1944, while supporting the Leyte Gulf landings, she was hit on the port side by a kamikaze, suffering 15 dead and 44 wounded, and had to be withdrawn for repairs. However, she was back in action after only three weeks.

In 1945 the Essex returned to TF 38, and took part in the attacks on Lingayen, Formosa, SakishimaGunto and Okinawa. With TF 58 she took part in the final assault on Japan, and was one of the enormous fleet mustered in Tokyo Bay for the Japanese surrender in August 1945. On her return the battered carrier received her first full repairs and was put into reserve.

In retrospect, the ‘Essex’ design proved ideal for the Pacific. It was seaworthy and had the endurance needed to cover the enormous distances involved, not only for itself but for its aircraft. Despite its ‘open’ hangars, the class proved surprisingly rugged, and during the first 14 months in action only three units of the class were damaged by enemy action; apart from the Franklin (CV.13) all returned to active service after sustaining severe battle damage.

**Specification**

**USS Essex (CV.9)**

- **Displacement:** 27,100 tons standard, 33,000 tons full load
- **Dimensions:** length 267.21m (876ft), beam 24.61 m (80 ft 9 in); draught 8.53m (28ft 0 in)
- **Machinery:** 2-shaft geared steam turbines delivering 111900kW (150,000shp)
- **Speed:** 33 knots
- **Armour:** belt 61-102 mm (2½-4 in); flight deck 38mm (1½ in); hangar deck 37 mm (1½ in); main deck 38 mm (1½ in)
- **Armament:** 12 127-mm (5-in) guns, 12 40-mm AA and 20 20-mm AA guns
- **Aircraft:** 12 fighters, 36 dive-bombers and 18 torpedo-bombers
- **Complement:** 3,240 officers and enlisted men

**USS Essex being fitted out at Pearl Harbor in 1942.**
were no slipways of the right length. Amsterdam pany, made up for the delay by com-

months later for an attack on the Bonin Islands. From then on she was con-

at Pearl Harbor as there was no hope of salvage. At 16.00 she was abandoned and the cruiser Reno was ordered to sink her with two torpedoes, after the destroyer Irwin had missed her with four.

To meet the acute shortage of carriers after Pearl Harbor the US Navy de-
cided to complete nine 'Cleveland' class light cruisers as carriers. The Amsterdam (CL 59), Tallahassee (CL 61), New Haven (CL 76), Hunting-
ton (CL 77), Dayton (CL 78), Fargo (CL 85), Wilmington (CL 79), Buffalo (CL 99) and Newport (CL 100) thus be-
came the USS Independence (CVL 22), Princeton (CVL 23), Belfau-
Wood (CVL 24), Cowpens (CVL 25), Montery (CVL 26), Langley (CVL 27), Cabot (CVL 28), Bataan (CVL 29) and Sanjicomo (CVL 30). Although it was an ingenious conversion, the results were disappointing, for the small hangar (65.5 m/215 ft by 17.7 m/58 ft) could accommodate fewer aircraft than that of the 'Sanoom' class CVLs, 33 in-
deck of the 45 planned. However, this

The fifth unit of the 'Essex' class was authorized in 1940 but was not started until a year after Pearl Harbor as there were no slipways of the right length available. However, her builders, Newport News Shipbuilding Com-

pany, made up for the delay by completing her in less than 14 months.

The USS Franklin (CV 13) was com-

missioned at the end of January 1944 and joined Task Group 58.2 exactly six months later for an attack on the Bön Islands. From then on she was con-

stantly in action: during an attack on Formosa and the Ryukus in October she was hit by a bomber which crashed on deck, and two days later her deck-edge lift was hit by a bomb which killed three men. The light carrier Chiyoda and finished off the Zuikaku. The Franklin's run of good luck ended on 30 October, while defending the Leyte Gulf land-
ing area she and the light carrier were attacked by five kamikaze aircraft which had broken through the fighter screen; she lost 56 dead and 60 wounded, while 33 aircraft were destroyed by the fire which followed. She had to return to Bremerton Navy Yard for ma-

repairs, and did not return to active service until February 1945. As part of TF 58 the Franklin attack-
ed Kyushu in the Japanese home is-
lands on 18 March. On the next day two Yokosuka D4Y 'Judy' bombers made a daring low-level attack, and hit the Franklin with two 250-kg (551-lb) bombs just as she was preparing her

The Franklin (CV 13) was gutted by fire after being hit by bombs off Kyushu on 19 March 1945, but still got home.

The Princeton (CVL 23) was con-

verted on the stocks from the hull of the light cruiser Tallahassee. Although cramped, the CVLs were fast and could keep up with the Fast Carrier Groups. Later they operated night fighters.

The Princeton (CVL 23) was part of Task Group 38.3, in the main Fast Carrier Group. On the morning of 24 October 1944 a lone Yokosuka D4Y 'Judy' bomber came out of cloud cover and dropped two 250-

kg (551-lb) bombs on the flight deck of the Princeton. The bombs passed through three decks before exploding, and the blast started fierce fires in the hangar. Six armed Avengers caught fire, and their torpedoes exploded, adding to the carnage. At 10.10, about half an hour after the attack, other ships were ordered alongside to take off all but essential firefighters and damage control personnel.

The light cruisers Birmingham and Reno lay alongside, pumping water and providing power for pumps, and all the while ships and friendly aircraft fought off Japanese air attacks. At 14.45 it appeared that all fires were out, but at 15.23 the Princeton blew up in a huge explosion. The blast swept the crowded decks of the Birmingham, killing 229 men and wounding another 420; the carrier herself had over 100 men killed and 190 injured. Surprising-

ly the shattered hulk of the Princeton was still afloat, but wrecked beyond any hope of salvage. At 16.00 she was abandoned and the cruiser Reno was ordered to sink her with two torpe-
The urgent need for air cover for convoys in the Battle of the Atlantic was met by converting mercantile hulls into small aircraft-carriers. In the summer of 1941 both the British and the Americans converted merchantmen into the first experimental 'escort carriers' or CVEs, and when these proved their worth orders went out for the first production class of 21 CVEs from US shipyards. Of these 11 went straight to the Royal Navy as the 'Attacker' class, while the remainder became the US Navy's 'Bogue' class. Being conversions of partially completed hulls, the 'Bogue' class was a great improvement on the prototypes, and had a full-length hangar, with two centreline lifts. The USS Bogue (CVE.9) and her sisters Card (CVE.11) and Core (CVE.13) even had two catapults. They earned 28 aircraft, and the Bogue was launched in January 1942.

With a good outfit of air-warning radar and more space than the destroyers and frigates, the escort carriers were designed for Hunter-killer or Anti-submarine support groups, which were being established in the autumn of 1942. The Bogue and her support group sank no fewer than 13 U-boats, while planes from her sisters Card, Core, Block Island and Croatian helped to sink another 20.

The USS Bogue joined the Atlantic Fleet in February 1943 as the Battle of the Atlantic reached crisis point. On her fourth crossing of the Atlantic her aircraft sank their first U-boat; two more followed on her next trip. On the seventh cruise, late in July 1943, her aircraft sank one U-Boat, and one of her escorting destroyers sank another.

The worst point of the battle was now over, and the tide had turned against the U-boats. The hunter-killer groups could not take the offensive against U-boats farther out in the Atlantic, and in November-December 1943 the Bogue and her group accounted for three U-boats. After a short break early in 1944 to ferry aircraft to the UK she returned to submarine-hunting, and in March helped to sink U-575. Three more U-boats were sunk by September 1944, when the Bogue returned to the United States for a period on training duties. Her last hunter-killer mission in April 1945 accounted for the last of 13 U-boats, U-546, when she was operating as part of Captain J. Dufek's Second Barrier Force.

In the closing months of the war the Bogue was sent to the Pacific, ferrying aircraft and stores to outlying garrisons, but with the collapse of Japan she was re-assigned to the 'Magic Carpet' operations, ferrying POWs and servicemen back to the United States.

**Specification**

**USS Bogue (CVE.9)**
- Displacement: 11,000 tons standard,
- Port profile of the ‘Bogue’ class, showing the ex-mercantile hull clearly. Despite their austere design they were a great success, particularly in anti-submarine warfare in the Atlantic.

**USS Sangamon**

The conversion of escort carriers was given top priority in 1942, but the rate at which these useful utility carriers could be brought into service was limited by the number of hulls available. Four newly-built US Navy oilers, the Sangamon (AO.28), Santee (AO.29), Chenango (AO.31) and Suwannee (AO.33), were taken out of commission in January 1942, reclassified as AVGs (Airplane Escort Vessels) and were immediately stripped of superstructures and fittings for a conversion lasting six to eight months.

Despite being an adaptation, the ‘Sangamon’ class was more successful than the earlier escort carriers, being larger and faster. Designed as tankers, they had their machinery right aft, and thus the small smoke-ducts caused less interference with flying operations. Provision was made for two catapults, although the second unit was not installed until 1944, and a number of large openings in the sides provided good ventilation for the hangar.

The Sangamon’s port profile shows her tankier origin, with the original well deck marked by large openings in the sides. Being fast and capacious, they were the most successful of all the CVE conversions.
The success of the converted CVEs led to a fresh design being prepared 'from the keel up', using a mercantile design as a basis but tailoring it to meet OVE needs, rather than adapting a hull on the slipway. These adaptations were more concerned with ease of construction than any radical improvement in operational capability. In all, 50 units of the Casablanca class (CVE.55-104) were authorized late in 1942. Although the flight deck was short (500 ft/152.4 m by 108 ft/32.9 m), two lifts and a catapult were provided, and as there were two propeller shafts there was greater manoeuvrability than with one shaft. To speed up manufacture, triple-expansion steam machinery was chosen, but in other respects the Casablanca design took the best of the Sangamon, Bogue and Prince William classes, and was a considerable success.

The USS St Lò (CVE.63) was laid down as the Chapm Bay (AVG.63) at Henry Kaiser's Vancouver shipyard in January 1943, but in April she was renamed Midway in honour of the recent battle, and entered service under that name in October 1943. The name was then allocated to a much bigger carrier, as it was considered too important for such a minor warship, and on 15 September 1944 CVE.63 became the USSS Lò. The little carrier had already made two ferry trips out to the Pacific and had supported the amphibious landings in Saipan, Eniwetok, Tinian and Morotai. In October 1944 she formed part of Taffy Three, part of the vast armada which fought the Battle of Leyte Gulf. Taffy Three, the most northern group of escort carriers covering the amphibious landing, had already suffered a gruelling bombardment from Japanese surface warships for the best part of 3 hours during the morning of 25 October 1944. After a lull of about 1 hour the kamikazes made a low-level attack, five Zeros coming in at low level before climbing rapidly to 1525m (5,000 ft) and then diving straight onto the flight deck. One of a pair attacking the Fanshaw Bay suddenly switched to the St Lò, striking her flight deck aft. The two bombs slung underneath the Zero set off gasoline, bombs and ammunition in the hangar, and wrecked the ship. The kamikaze hit at 10.53, and five minutes later a huge explosion devastated the carrier. She sank about 1 hour later, with 100 dead and many injured, the first American ship sunk by kamikaze attack.

Specification

USS St Lò (CVE.63)
Displacement: 7,800 tons standard, 10,400 tons full load
Dimensions: length 156.13m (512ft 3 in) overall; beam 39.92 m (108 ft 0 in) over flight deck; draught 6.86 m (22 ft 6 in)
Machinery: 2-shaft vertical triple-expansion delivering 6715 kW (9,000 ihp)
Speed: 19 knots
Armour: none

Armament: one 127-mm (5-m) AA, eight twin 40-mm Bofors AA and 20 20-mm AA guns
Aircraft: (October 1944) 17 Grumman F4F Wildcat fighters and 12 Grumman TBF Avenger torpedo-bombers
Complement: 860 officers and enlisted
Allied and Axis Battleships

Gunpower has dominated fighting at sea since the days of Sir Francis Drake. While the armoured colossi of 1939 bore no resemblance to Nelson's Victory, they served the same purpose: to destroy the enemy with their guns. World War II, however, was to see great changes in the role of the battleship.

When World War II broke out in September 1939 it was widely assumed that the battleship was still the most powerful warship available to both the Allied and Axis powers. However, by 1945 the place of the battleship had been taken by the aircraft carrier. Air power had proved itself to be capable of overwhelming defensive gunpower, and the air attack on Pearl Harbor, coupled with the loss of the British Prince of Wales and the Repulse to air attack, signalled the end of the reign of the battleship.

Nevertheless, the battleship continued to play a useful role right up to the end of World War II. Battleships were an integral part of the fast carrier task forces, providing not only defensive anti-aircraft fire but also invaluable gunfire in support of amphibious landings. Thus it was not so much that battleships had become useless but rather that the bombs and torpedoes of carrier strike aircraft could do a great deal more damage at a much greater distance.

The vulnerability of the carrier was just as great, but its offensive potential made the aircraft carrier a weapon that was worth defending.

In the early years of World War II, when shore-based aircraft were often unavailable, battleships' guns were generally the only way of stopping enemy heavy ships. In May 1941 Germany's Bismarck could only be stopped by other battleships, even if Allied carrier aircraft played a vital role in slowing her down. Similarly, in October 1944 it was the US Navy's old battleships at Surigao Strait that were the only sure way of stopping the Japanese battleships; destroyers and motor torpedo boats did their best but just did not have the necessary stopping power.

As the full danger of air attack began to be taken more seriously, all battleships were to receive extra anti-aircraft batteries. However, the only way in which to guarantee immunity was to provide air cover. For example, in the course of World War II, six battleships were sunk by air attack (bombs and torpedoes), and only two by submarine torpedoes at sea. Another 12 ships were sunk in harbour, either by high-level bombing or by special assault units.
**HMS Renown**

The battle-cruiser HMS Renown was a veteran of World War I but, unlike her sister Repulse, underwent full modernization. She emerged from Portsmouth Dockyard on 2 September 1939, just in time for the outbreak of war.

During her three-year refit she had been almost totally rebuilt, with new machinery and boilers (saving 2,800 tons of weight), new superstructure and bridge-work, and additional armour. The three gun turrets were taken out and modified to give the 381-mm (15-in) guns 30° elevation, and an entirely new anti-aircraft armament was provided: 10 twin 114-mm (4.5-in) gun mountings, three 8-barrelled pom-poms and four quadruple 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns. The weight saved on machinery was used to strengthen deck armour, particularly by adding 102-mm (4-in) armour over the magazines and 51-mm (2-in) armour over the machinery. She was also given a cross-deck catapult and a large hangar capable of accommodating two Walrus amphibian aircraft.

The new role for the ship was to act as a fast escort for aircraft-carriers, and when the Renown joined the Home Fleet she was teamed with the new carrier HMS Ark Royal in a partnership which continued for a long time. After hunting for KMS Graf Spee in the South Atlantic in November 1939 she returned to the Home Fleet as flagship of Vice Admiral Whitworth, and took part in the Norwegian campaign.

Early on the morning of 9 April 1940 the Renown was steaming about 130 km (80 miles) west of the Lofoten Islands in company with nine destroyers when she sighted the German battle-cruisers KMS Scharnhorst and KMS Gneisenau. The British ship had the advantage of the light and at 04.17 scored a hit on Gneisenau’s main fire-control position. The German ships turned away and escaped under cover of snow squalls, but not before the Renown had scored two more hits. She was hit by two or three 280-mm (11-in) shells but suffered only slight damage.

In August the Renown went to Gibraltar as part of Force ‘H’ with the Ark Royal, but returned to home waters in October 1941. After covering the North African landings she took Winston Churchill to Canada and was then sent to the Eastern Fleet, which was operating in the East Indies. On her return in March 1945 the Renown was laid up in reserve, and was sold for scrapping in 1948. Her career had spanned over 30 years, and she had served in every major theatre of the naval war.

**Specification**

HMS Renown

Displacement: 30,750 tons standard, 36,080 tons full load

**HMS Nelson**

At the outbreak of the war HMS Nelson and her sister HMS Rodney were the most modern British battleships in service. They had been completed in 1927, and were the only capital ships allowed to be built for the Royal Navy under the Washington Treaty. As such they were severely constrained by the need to keep within a standard displacement of 35,000 tons while at the same time carrying 406-mm (16-in) guns and heavy protection.

The designers accepted many unusual expedients to meet the specifications, including an ‘all-or-nothing’ scheme of armouring and the concentration of all three 406-mm (16-in) turrets forward of the bridge, and all 152-mm (6-in) guns aft. Another important innovation, not revealed until long after World War II, was the provision of water 'protection' or liquid-loaded vertical bulkheads below the waterline. With an additional 2,800 tons of water held in these compartments, any torpedo explosion would dissipate its effect over a large area of the bulkhead. Although the standard displacement of the two ships averaged 1,300 tons below the treaty limit, this was achieved by not flooding the vertical compartments in peacetime.

The Nelson was very badly damaged by a magnetic mine while entering Loch Ewe in December 1939, and was under repair until August 1940. In September 1941 she left the Home Fleet to join Force ‘H’ for a Malta convoy operation. On 27 September she was hit forward by an Italian aircraft torpedo but reached Gibraltar safely.

The awesome sight of a salvo from the 406-mm (16-in) guns of HMS Nelson, steaming at full speed.

Below: HMS Nelson, shown serving in the Indian ocean in June 1942. Although slow, Nelson and Rodney were the most powerful battleships in the Royal Navy.
five months later she sailed for the East Indies as flagship of the Eastern Fleet. On her return at the end of 1945 she replaced her sister Rodney as flagship of the Home Fleet at Scapa Flow. Having been recently modernized she remained in commission and in 1946 joined the Training Squadron at Portland for two years. With her sister she was laid up in the Firth of Forth in 1948 and used as a target for aerial bombing before being scrapped. She and her sister were greatly under-rated, and in 1939 they were certainly among the most powerful battleships afloat, with many more advanced features than contemporary designs in other navies.

HMS Prince of Wales

The second ship of the 'King George V class, HMS Prince of Wales was laid down in January 1937, launched in May 1939 and completed at the end of March 1941. She was still working up to operational efficiency on 23 May when she was ordered to leave Scapa Flow, with the flagship HMS Hood, to engage the German battleship KMS Bismarck.

The Prince of Wales was still suffering from teething troubles: one of her 356-mm (14-in) turrets could only fire one shell, the turrets were all subject to minor breakdowns, and the new Type 284 gunnery radar was not working. To make matters worse the inexperienced crew of T quadruple 356-mm (14-in) turret made an error in loading drill which jammed the turret. When the flagship Hood blew up, the Prince of Wales was thus badly placed to withstand the fire of two undamaged German ships. In spite of this she acquitted herself well. The Type 284 air-warning set was used to provide ranges to the guns, enabling her to get 'straddles' on the Bismarck resulting in two or three underwater hits. One of these hits caused serious contamination of the oil fuel and another reduced the Bismarck's speed by 2 kts, so it can be fairly said that the Prince of Wales initiated the chain of events which brought the Bismarck to her doom.

Although hit seven times the Prince of Wales was comparatively little damaged as only three of the shells detonated. The most serious damage was caused by a ricochet on the compass platform, which killed or wounded all but the captain.

In August 1941 the PoW carried Winston Churchill across the Atlantic to the Atlantic Charter meeting with President Roosevelt in Newfoundland. She hoisted the flag of Sir Tom Phillips, C-in-C Eastern Fleet in October, and left for Singapore on 25 October, in company with HMS Repulse. Force 'Z', as the two ships were designated, arrived at Singapore on 2 December, but eight days later they were sunk by Japanese torpedo-bombers. The Prince of Wales was crippled by a single torpedo which struck the port side abreast of the aftermost 133-mm (5.25-in) gun turret. The port outer propeller shaft was badly distorted, and because it was not rapidly disconnected it continued to revolve, making an enormous hole in the after bulkheads. Then the shock-effect of near-misses from bombs put five of the eight dynamos out of action, robbing the ship of pumping and power for the anti-aircraft guns. Out of control, she was unable to avoid another four torpedoes. She finally sank an hour and twenty minutes after the first attack, with the loss of Admiral Phillips and Captain Leach.

Specification

HMS Prince of Wales

Displacement: 38,000 tons standard, 43,550 tons full load

Dimensions: length 227.0 m (745 ft); beam 31.4 m (103 ft); draught 8.5 m (28 ft) mean

Machinery: 4-shaft geared steam turbines delivering 110,000 shp (82027 kW)

Speed: 28 kts

Armour: belt 356-381 mm (14-15-in); decks 127-152 mm (5-6-in); turrets and barbettes 305 mm (12 in)

Ammunition: 10 356-mm (14-inch), 16 133-mm (5.25-in) DP, 32 2-pdr pom-pom, and 16 12.7-mm (0.5-In) AA guns

Aircraft: two Supermarine Walrus amphibians

Complement: 1,422 officers and men

The doomed Prince of Wales arriving at Singapore on 2 December 1941. She would be sunk only eight days later by Japanese aircraft off the coast of Malaya.

Dimensions: length 216.4 m (710 ft); beam 32.3 m (106 ft); draught 8.5 m (28 ft)

Machinery: 2-shaft geared steam turbines of 45,000 shp (33556 kW)

Speed: 23 kts

Armour: belt 330-356 mm (13-14-in); decks 95-159 mm (3.75-6.25-in); turrets and barbettes 381-406 mm (15-16-in)

Ammunition: nine 406-mm (16-in), 12 152-mm (6-in), six 120-mm (4.7-in) AA, 16 2-pdr pom-pom and eight 12.7-mm (0.5-In) AA guns, and two 622-mm (24.5-in) torpedotubes

Aircraft: none

Complement: 1,314 officers and men

Nelson were unusual in having all three 406-mm (16-in) turrets grouped forward.

The 'King George V class reflected the impact of airpower on British battleship design, with the first combined high angle/low angle secondary armament and the first integral aircraft and catapult.

HMS Rodney and her sister ship

UK
Scharnhorst had a more eventful war career than most Kriegsmarine capital ships, yet this scene would have been a rare event for her crew, confined to harbour as she was for so long.

It was a badly planned operation, and the Scharnhorst failed in her attempt to brush aside the destroyers and cruisers escorting the convoy. Incompetent reconnaissance by the Luftwaffe left her with no idea that the battleship HMS King George V was closing fast, and she was taken by surprise when 356-mm (14-in) shells started to hit her. She disengaged but the British and Norwegian destroyers slowed her down with torpedoes, allowing the Duke of York to pound her again. She was finally sunk by torpedoes from HMS Sheffield and HMS Jamaica and went down with the loss of all but 46 of 1,840 men on board.

**Specification**

**KMS Scharnhorst**
- Displacement: 32,000 tons standard, 38,900 tons full load.
- Dimensions: length 234.9 m (770 ft 8 in); overall beam 30.0 m (98 ft 5 in); draught 9.1 m (29 ft 10 in);
- Machinery: 3-shaft geared steam turbines delivering 160,000 shp (119312 kW);
- Speed: 32 kts;
- Armament: nine 280-mm (11-in), 12 150-mm (5.9-in), 14 105-mm (4.1-in) AA and 16 37-mm AA guns, and six 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tubes.
- Aircraft: two Arado floatplanes.
- Complement: 1,840 officers and men.

**Germany**

**KMS Bismarck**

The first full-scale battleships built for Germany’s new Kriegsmarine after Hitler abrogated the Versailles Treaty were two 35,000-ton ships. As with the battle-cruisers, the design followed closely the final designs of the pre-war war, but with considerably higher installed power. The first of this pair was launched and christened KMS Bismarck on 14 February 1939. She was in fact 6,000 tons heavier than the international treaty limits allowed, but much of the extra tonnage went into additional fuel stowage.

The Bismarck was commissioned in August 1940 but underwent a further eight months of training in the Baltic before she was considered ready for a break-out into the Atlantic. In May 1941 she and the heavy cruiser Prinz Eugen left the Baltic bound for Bergen, but their passage had been detected by pro-British Swedes and the Admiralty had been alerted by analysis of radio traffic. As a result the heavy cruiser HMS Norfolk was already on station in the Denmark Strait on 23 May, and detected the two German ships on radar.

On the next morning the British Battle-Cruiser Squadron tried to intercept the German ships, but the German ships got the range quickly. Prinz Eugen’s shells started a fire aboard HMS Hood, and shortly afterwards she blew up, while the Bismarck hit HMS Prince of Wales in the bridge. The British ship was then ordered to break off the action and to retire, leaving the German ships to continue their foray into the Atlantic.

Examinations soon showed that a large quantity of fuel had been contaminated by underwater damage, and Admiral Lütjens decided to head for Brest. That night Fairey Swordfish torpedo-bombers from HMS Victorious attacked with torpedoes, but the single hit inflicted only minor damage. For a while the Bismarck eluded her pursuers, but after unwisely transmitting a long radio signal she was detected on 26 May, and that night more Swordfish from HMS Ark Royal hit her with two torpedoes, wrecking her steering gear. Further attacks by destroyers followed, although without success, but the Bismarck was doomed, unable to manoeuvre and steaming at only 5 kts. On the next morning HMS King George V and HMS Rodney came over the northern horizon, opening fire at 08.47. The British fire was deadly, and by 09.20 the Bismarck was silenced. During that time she had scored only one ‘straddle’ on the Rodney and hit her with a few splinters. In the final stages the range came down to only 3660 m (4,000 yards), and the Bismarck was battered into a waterlogged wreck. She was finally torpedoe by the cruiser HMS Dorsetshire and sank at 10.40.

**Specification**

**KMS Bismarck**
- Displacement: 41,676 tons standard, 50,153 tons full load;
- Dimensions: length 251.0 m (823 ft 6 in); overall beam 36.0 m (118 ft); draught 9.3 m (30 ft 7 in);
- Machinery: 3-shaft geared steam turbines delivering 138,000 shp (102907 kW);
- Speed: 29 kts;
- Armour: belt 320 mm (12.6 in); decks 50-120 mm (2-4.7 in); turrets and barbettes 230-355 mm (9-14 in);
- Armament: eight 380-mm (15-in), 12 150-mm (5.9-in), 16 105-mm (4.1-in) AA, 16 37-mm AA and 122-mm AA guns;
- Aircraft: two Arado floatplanes.
- Complement: 2,192 officers and men.
KMS Tirpitz was laid down in October 1914 as Schlachtschiffe, launched on 1 April 1919 and started sea trials in late February 1919. She was in most respects identical to her sister Bismarck but incorporated minor improvements, notably the addition of two sets of quadruple torpedo-tubes and improved aircraft-handling arrangements.

After a lengthy work-up in the Baltic the Tirpitz was ready for operational service towards the end of September 1941, and her first operation was a cruise in the Gulf of Finland to prevent any breakout by the Soviet Baltic Fleet. She was then sent to Trondheim in Norway to disrupt Allied convoys to Murmansk, but on her first sortie she failed to find the convoy and narrowly escaped damage from Fairey Albacore torpedo-bombers from HMS Victorious on 9 March. Her next move was much more successful, but inadvertent, for shift of berth led the British to think that she was putting to sea. As a result the convoy PQ-17 was ordered to scatter, allowing U-boats and bombers to sink 24 merchant ships.

Although the Tirpitz never made another sortie her presence could not be ignored, and the Royal Navy was forced to keep two capital ships and a fleet carrier in home waters in case the Tirpitz should break out. The first of a long series of attempts to neutralize her was an attack by Chariot human torpedoes in October 1942, but this achieved nothing as the Chariots were lost by accident. In September 1943 the Tirpitz put to sea once more, but only to bombard Søttnes. Late that month two British X-craft or midget submarines penetrated the defences of Altenfjord and laid 2-ton charges under the Tirpitz keel, causing extensive shock damage to the 380-mm (15-in) turrets and the main machinery.

Repairs lasted until the spring of 1944 but just as the battleship was getting under way on 3 April she was attacked by 40 Fairey Barracuda dive-bombers from British carriers. This Fleet Air Arm attack inflicted serious damage, but two later attacks in July and August did very little damage, for the steep sides of the keel made accurate bombing almost impossible. Finally, on 15 September, RAF Avro Lancasters managed to hit the Tirpitz with 5443-kg (12,000-lb) Tallboy bombs, causing severe damage. The Tirpitz then had to be moved south to Trondheim for repairs, where two more attacks by Lancasters achieved her destruction. On 12 November she was hit by three 5443-kg (12,000-lb) Tallboy bombs and capsized with the loss of 1,000 crewmen.

Specification
KMS Tirpitz
Displacement: 42,900 tons standard, 52,600 tons full load
Dimensions: length 250.5 m (821 ft 10 in) overall, beam 36.0 m (118 ft 1 in);
draft 11.0 m (36 ft 1 in) maximum
Machinery: 3-shaft geared steam turbines delivering 138,000 shp (102907kW)
Speed: 29 kts
Armour: belt 320 mm (12.6 in); decks 50-120 mm (2-4.7 in); turrets and barbettes 230-355 mm (9-14 in)
Armament: eight 380-mm (15-in), 12 150-mm (5.9-in), 16 105-mm (4.1-in) AA, 16 37-mm AA and 3020-mm AA guns, and eight 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tubes
Aircraft: four Arado floatplanes
Complement: 2,530 officers and men
Admiral Kidd and Captain van Valkenburg.

Right at the end of the war she was survived by an aircraft torpedo but survived and was used as a target in the Bikini nuclear tests in 1946.

**Specification**

**USS Arizona (BB.39)**

- Displacement: 32,600 tons standard, 36,500 tons full load
- Dimensions: length 183.32 m (608 ft); beam 29.56 m (97 ft); draught 8.76 m (28 ft 9 in)
- Machinery: 4-shaft geared steam turbines delivering 33,500 shp (24,980 kW)
- Speed: 21 kts
- Armour: belt 356 mm (14 in); deck 203 mm (8 in); turrets 229-457 mm (9-18 in)
- Armament: 12 356-mm (14-in), 12 127-mm (5-in), 12 127-mm (5-in) AA and eight 12.7-mm (0.5-in) A/A guns
- Aircraft: three floatplanes
- Complement: 2,290 officers and men

**USS Washington**

When the 15-year 'holiday' in battleship construction came to an end in 1937, the US Navy had plans to lay down two modern capital ships as soon as possible. The 35,000-ton limit was still in force, but the 1936 London Naval Treaty had reduced gun calibre from 356 mm to 14 in. The specification which emerged was very similar to the original design of HMS King George V, with three quadruple 356-mm (14-in) mountings and a speed of 28 kts. However, unlike the British the Americans could afford to wait, and when the Japanese refused to ratify the 1936 treaty the USN announced that it would exercise its right to go back to 406-mm (16-in) guns. On the dimensions it was only possible to have three triple mountings, and nothing could be done to increase protection, which had been planned to withstand 356-mm (14-in) shellfire.

**USS Washington (BB.56)** was the second of the two North Carolina class; she was laid down in June 1938 and commissioned in May 1941. She went to the Home Fleet for a while in 1942, and on 1 May was damaged by the explosion of HMS Punjabi's depth charges after the destroyer had been rammed by HMS King George V just ahead of her. By September that year she was back in the Pacific, with Task Force 17 in the Solomons.

On the night of 14/15 November the Washington and USS South Dakota were stalking a Japanese task force attempting to bombard Henderson Field, but just before the US ships could open fire the blast of a 127-mm (5-in) gun knocked out the South Dakota's electrical system. Fortunately the Washington had not yet switched on her searchlights, and remained undetected while the Japanese concentrated their fire on the South Dakota. From a range of about 7315 m (8,000 yards) the Washington closed the range to 1830 m (2,000 yards) before opening fire; in seven minutes she fired 75 406-in (16-in) and hundreds of 127-mm (5-in) shells, crippling the Kirishima with nine 406-mm (16-in) hits. Her intervention saved the South Dakota from serious damage and not only sank the Kirishima but badly damaged two heavy cruisers as well and saved Henderson Field from bombardment.

**USS South Dakota**

The need for a class of battleships powerful enough to resist 406-mm (16-in) shellfire without infringing the 35,000-ton treaty limit was clear in 1937. To achieve this, however, was another matter, and the US Navy’s designers were forced to make several compromises. Shortening the waterline length saved weight, but to support the additional weight of armour there had to be an increase in beam.

This caused more drag, and to maintain a speed of 28 kts more power was needed, but in the shorter hull there was now less space for machinery. The problems were solved eventually by considerable attention paid to redesign of machinery, and as a result the ‘South Dakota’ class proved to be cost-effective. The short hull was manoeuvrable, and protection against shellfire, bombs and torpedoes was as good as any contemporary built to the same nominal limitations. In fact, like the British King George V class, the ‘South Dakota’ class proved to be cost-effective. The short hull was manoeuvrable, and protection against shellfire, bombs and torpedoes was as good as any contemporary built to the same nominal limitations. In fact, like the British King George V class, the ‘South Dakota’ class proved to be cost-effective. The short hull was manoeuvrable, and protection against shellfire, bombs and torpedoes was as good as any contemporary built to the same nominal limitations. In fact, like the British King George V class, the ‘South Dakota’ class proved to be cost-effective. The short hull was manoeuvrable, and protection against shellfire, bombs and torpedoes was as good as any contemporary built to the same nominal limitations. In fact, like the British King George V class, the ‘South Dakota’ class proposed to be cost-effective. The short hull was manoeuvrable, and protection against shellfire, bombs and torpedoes was as good as any contemporary built to the same nominal limitations. In fact, like the British King George V class, the ‘South Dakota’ class proved to be cost-effective. The short hull was manoeuvrable, and protection against shellfire, bombs and torpedoes was as good as any contemporary built to the same nominal limitations. In fact, like the British King George V class, the ‘South Dakota’ class proved to be cost-effective. The short hull was manoeuvrable, and protection against shellfire, bombs and torpedoes was as good as any contemporary built to the same nominal limitations. In fact, like the British King George V class, the ‘South Dakota’ class proved to be cost-effective. The short hull was manoeuvrable, and protection against shellfire, bombs and torpedoes was as good as any contemporary built to the same nominal limitations. In fact, like the British King George V class, the ‘South Dakota’ class proved to be cost-effective. The short hull was manoeuvrable, and protection against shellfire, bombs and torpedoes was as good as any contemporary built to the same nominal limitations. In fact, like the British King George V class, the ‘South Dakota’ class proved to be cost-effective. The short hull was manoeuvrable, and protection against shellfire, bombs and torpedoes was as good as any contemporary built to the same nominal limitations. In fact, like the British King George V class, the ‘South Dakota’ class proved to be cost-effective. The short hull was manoeuvrable, and protection against shellfire, bombs and torpedoes was as good as any contemporary built to the same nominal limitations. In fact, like the British King George V class, the ‘South Dakota’ class proved to be cost-effective. The short hull was manoeuvrable, and protection against shellfire, bombs and torpedoes was as good as any contemporary built to the same nominal limitations. In fact, like the British King George V class, the ‘South Dakota’ class proved to be cost-effective. The short hull was manoeuvrable, and protection against shellfire, bombs and torpedoes was as good as any contemporary built to the same nominal limitations. In fact, like the British King George V class, the ‘South Dakota’ class proved to be cost-effective. The short hull was manoeuvrable, and protection against shellfire, bombs and torpedoes was as good as any contemporary built to the same nominal limitations. In fact, like the British King George V class, the ‘South Dakota’ class proved to be cost-effective. The short hull was manoeuvrable, and protection against shellfire, bombs and torpedoes was as good as any contemporary built to the same nominal limitations. In fact, like the British King George V class, the ‘South Dakota’ class proved to be cost-effective. The short hull was manoeuvrable, and protection against shellfire, bombs and torpedoes was as good as any contemporary built to the same nominal limitations. In fact, like the British King George V class, the ‘South Dakota’ class proved to be cost-effective. The short hull was manoeuvrable, and protection against shellfire, bombs and torpedoes was as good as any contemporary built to the same nominal limitations. In fact, like the British King George V class, the ‘South Dakota’ class proved to be cost-effective. The short hull was manoeuvrable, and protection against shellfire, bombs and torpedoes was as good as any contemporary built to the same nominal limitations. In fact, like the British King George V class, the ‘South Dakota’ class proved to be cost-effective. The short hull was manoeuvrable, and protection against shellfire, bombs and torpedoes was as good as any contemporary built to the same nominal limitations. In fact, like the British King George V class, the ‘South Dakota’ class proved to be cost-effective. The short hull was manoeuvrable, and protection against shellfire, bombs and torpedoes was as good as any contemporary built to the same nominal limitations. In fact, like the British King George V class, the ‘South Dakota’ class proved to be cost-effective. The short hull was manoeuvrable, and protection against shellfire, bombs and torpedoes was as good as any contemporary built to the same nominal limitations. In fact, like the British King George V class, the ‘South Dakota’ class proved to be cost-effective. The short hull was manoeuvrable, and protection against shellfire, bombs and torpedoes was as good as any contemporary built to the same nominal limitations. In fact, like the British King George V class, the ‘South Dakota’ class proved to be cost-effective. The short hull was manoeuvrable, and protection against shellfire, bombs and torpedoes was as good as any contemporary built to the same nominal limitations. In fact, like the British King George V class, the ‘South Dakota’ class proved to be cost-effective. The short hull was manoeuvrable, and protection against shellfire, bombs and torpedoes was as good as any contemporary built to the same nominal limitations. In fact, like the British King George V class, the ‘South Dakota’ class proved to be cost-effective. The short hull was manoeuvrable, and protection against shellfire, bombs and torpedoes was as good as any contemporary built to the same nominal limitations. In fact, like the British King George V class, the ‘South Dakota’ class proved to be cost-effective. The short hull was manoeuvrable, and protection against shellfire, bombs and torpedoes was as good as any contemporary built to the same nominal limitations. In fact, like the British King George V class, the ‘South Dakota’ class proved to be cost-effective. The short hull was manoeuvrable, and protection against shellfire, bombs and torpedoes was as good as any contemporary built to the same nominal limitations. In fact, like the British King George V class, the ‘South Dakota’ class proved to be cost-effective. The short hull was manoeuvrable, and protection against shellfire, bombs and torpedoes was as good as any contemporary built to the same nominal limitations. In fact, like the British King George V class, the ‘South Dakota’ class proved to be cost-effective. The short hull was manoeuvrable, and protection against shellfire, bombs and torpedoes was as good as any contemporary built to the same nominal limitations. In fact, like the British King George V class, the ‘South Dakota’ class proved to be cost-effective. The short hull was mane...
Dakota was less successful. While June 1940, launched in August 1942 and would not have to fight them as carrier was a need to provide them with bat-
ary 1938 emphasis switched from ships but she returned to the Pacific la-
ma, within 4570m (5,000 yards), at which range she was soon hit by a number of shells. She was hit by one 356-mm (14-
in), 18 203-mm (8-in), six 152-mm (6-in) and one 127-mm (5-in) shells, plus one of unknown calibre, suffering exten-
sive splinter damage which killed 38 men and wounded 60.

In 1943 the South Dakota joined the Home Fleet with her sister USS Alabama, but she returned to the Pacific later in that year. With her three sisters she took part in all the major amphibious operations which culminated in the surrender of Japan in August 1945. She was decommissioned in 1947 and stricken in 1962.

USS Iowa

Early in 1937 the US Navy started work on the design of 45,000-ton battleships as a contingency against any Japanese refusal to continue the international treaty limits on displacement. In January 1938 emphasis switched from ships with heavy armament and protection but modest speed (12 406-mm/16-in guns, 27 knots) to fast designs, capable of 30 kts or more. The new Essex class carriers were taking shape on the drawing board at this time, and there was a need to provide them with battleship escorts of similar performance.

The Iowa class which resulted sacrificed gunpower (only nine 406-mm/16-in guns) and protection (310-mm/12.2-in belt armour) to permit the speed to be increased to 33 kts. Although intelligence sources suspected that the new Japanese battleships would have 457-mm (18-in) guns, it was hoped that the Iowa class would not have to fight them as carrier aircraft would keep the Japanese giants outside gun-range. The Iowas were primarily intended to keep heavy cruisers, rather than battleships, at bay, and as such they came close to the original concept of the battlecruiser, although never rated as such.

The Iowa (BB.61) was laid down in June 1940, launched in August 1942 and commissioned in February 1943. In August of that year she escorted convoys from New Caledonia and then took President Roosevelt to North Af-
ica, before being sent to the Pacific to join the 5th Fleet. She took part in the Marshall Islands landing, and suffered slight damage from Japanese artillery. At Leyte she was part of Vice Admiral William Halsey's Fast Carrier Force, and took part in the Okinawa landing; in July 1945 she bombarded targets on Hokkaido and Honshu, and was part of the enormous force anchored in Tokyo Bay for the Japanese surrender.

The Iowa was mothballed in 1949 but reactivated in 1951 for service in the Korean War. She carried out a large number of shore bombardments but was decommissioned once more in 1953. It was widely thought that she would be scrapped, but in 1981 she was towed to New Orleans to begin reactivation. In her new configuration she will carry a large number of Harpoon anti-ship missiles and Tomahawk cruise missiles to enable her to func-
tion as the main unit of a Surface Action Group (SAG). Her 406-in (16-in) guns are to be retained to provide gunfire support.

Specification
USS Iowa (BB.61)
Displacement: 48,500 tons standard, 57,450 tons full load
Dimensions: length 270.43 m (887 ft 3 in) overall; beam 32.97 m (108 ft 2 in); draught 11.58 m (38 ft)
Machinery: four-shaft geared steam turbines delivering 212,000 shp (158088kW)
Speed: 33 kts
Armament: nine 406-mm (16-in), 20 127-mm (5-in) DP, 60 40-mm AA and 60 20-mm AA guns
Aircraft: three Vought Kingfisher floatplanes
Complement: 1,921 officers and men

The USS South Dakota with escorting destroyers, in the South Pacific in August 1943.

Below: The South Dakota design was shorter than that of the Washington to permit heavier protection against a 406-mm (16-in) shellfire.
JAPAN

IJA Kirishima

IJA Kirishima was the third of four 'Kongo' class battlecruisers built for the Imperial Japanese Navy between 1912 and 1915. Launched in December 1913, the Kirishima was completed in April 1915.

In common with her sisters Kirishima was modernized twice, in 1927-31 and 1934-40. The second modernization transformed her into a fast battleship for escorting carriers, with speed raised from 26 to 30 kts by doubling the horsepower, and with heavier anti-aircraft armament. The original three funnels had been reduced to two in the first reconstruction, and the second gave her a typical 'pagoda' foremast.

When war broke out in December 1941 all four were serving with the 3rd Battle Division, and Kirishima and Hiei accompanied the force which attacked Pearl Harbor. In June 1942 the Kirishima suffered slight damage from air attacks during the Battle of Midway.

With her sister Hiei she attacked US forces on Guadalcanal on the night of 12/13 November 1942. The two fast battleships engaged a force of American cruisers, sinking USS Atlanta, damaging USS San Francisco, Juneau, Helena and Portland and sinking the destroyers USS Barton and Lafey in a confused melee at short range.

Two nights later the Japanese tried again to get a troop convoy through to Guadalcanal and to bombard Henderson Field but ran into an American force. This time the battleships USS South Dakota and USS Washington were in support, both modern ships with radar, but superior night-fighting techniques enabled the Japanese to plan an ambush in which the American destroyers came off worse. When the light cruiser Nagara turned her searchlights on the South Dakota, the Kirishima immediately opened fire with her 356-mm (14-in) battery, but in the confusion the Japanese lookouts failed to spot the Washington, closing from 7300m (8,000 yards), Five minutes after midnight her deadly 406-mm (16-in) salvos began to burst around the Kirishima, which was quickly overwhelmed by an estimated total of nine 406-mm (16-in) and 40 127-mm (5-in) hits. Seven minutes later she was ablaze, unable to steer and taking on water fast from underwater damage.

Admiral Kondo ordered the destroyers IJN Asagumo, IJN Teruzuki, and IJN Simidare to take off survivors but no attempt was made to save the ship. Kirishima's sea cocks were opened and she sank at 03.23, about 11 km (7 miles) north west of Savo Island.

Specification

IJN Kirishima (after second reconstruction)

Displacement: 31,980 tons standard, 36,600 tons full load
Speed: 30 kts
Armour: belt 76-203 mm (3-8 in); deck 122 mm (4.75 in); turrets 280 mm (11 in)
Machinery: 4-shaft geared steam turbines delivering 150,000 shp (111895 kW)
Speed: 27 kts
Armour: belt 100-410 mm (3.94-16.14 in); bulkheads 300-350 mm (11.8-13.78 in); decks 200-230 mm (7.87-9.06 in); barbettes 380-560 mm (14.96-22.05 in); turrets 190-650 mm (7.48-25.6 in); conning tower 75-500 mm (2.95-19.7 in)
Armament: nine 460-mm (18.1 in), 12 155-mm (6.1 in); DP, 12 127-mm (5 in) AA, 24 25-mm AA and four 13-mm (0.52-in) AA guns
Aircraft: six floatplanes
Complement: 2,500 officers and men

The Imperial Japanese Navy, pursuing a goal of quality to offset the numerical advantage of the US Navy, started work in 1934 on a design of battleship to outclass any possible opponent. The ships were to be faster, better armoured and have longer-range guns, but the only way in which these qualities could be achieved would be to breach the existing international treaty limits of 35,000 tons and 406-mm (16-in) guns.

The design evolved to meet the requirement displaced 64,000 tons and was armed with nine 460-mm (18.1-in) guns capable of hitting the target at 48 km (30 miles). The protection was on an equally massive scale, with 410-mm (16.14-in) belt armoured and 650-mm (25.6-in) face plates on the turrets. To get the ships built without alarming the Americans and British required total secrecy, the theory being that if Japan refused to ratify the next naval treaty in 1936 and had the ships ready by 1940 (when all tonnage limits expired) nobody could accuse the Japanese of cheating. It was also assumed that if the new ships were longer and wider than the locks of the Panama Canal the US Navy would be unable to build battleships of equivalent power, and would be unable to oppose the Japanese Fleet in the Pacific without the enormous expense (and delay) of widening the Panama Canal.

Two ships, IJA Yamato and IJA Musashi, were ordered under the 3rd Reinforcement Programme of 1937. Yamato was laid down in November 1937, launched in August 1940 and completed in December 1941, just over a week after Pearl Harbor. She was Admiral Yamamoto's flagship at the Battle of Midway, but turned back before getting within gun-range of the American carriers. Yamato was torpedoned by the USS Skate in February 1944 but repairs were completed in time for her to take part in the Battle of the Philippine Sea in June 1944, in Vanguard Force of the 1st Mobile Fleet.

The Yamato, Musashi and Mago were formed the main strength of Vice-Admiral Kunta's Force A' in the Battle of Leyte Gulf, and Yamato fired her 460-mm (18.1-in) guns for the first and last time at surface targets when she engaged American light forces. However, poor visibility prevented her from using her monster guns to good effect. Her last sortie was a suicide mission from the Home Islands to Okinawa, but long before she could achieve anything she was sunk on 7 April 1945 by massive air strikes.

The Japanese Kirishima, built as a battle cruiser in 1915, was rebuilt as a fast battleship in the 1930s.

Specifications

IJN Yamato

Displacement: 64,000 tons standard, 69,988 tons full load
Dimensions: length 262.0 m (863 ft) overall; beam 36.0 m (118 ft 1 in); draught 10.45 m (34 ft 3 in)
Armament: eight 356-mm (14.1-in), 16 155-mm (6-in), eight 127-mm (5-in) AA, and 20 25-mm (1-in) AA guns
Aircraft: five floatplanes
Complement: 2,500 officers and men

The 64,000-ton Yamato had the heaviest armour and her 460-mm (IS.1-in) guns was the most powerful ever fitted to a battleship.

The Japanese Kirishima, built as a battle cruiser in 1915, was rebuilt as a fast battleship in the 1930s.

Specifications

IJN Yamato

Displacement: 64,000 tons standard, 69,988 tons full load
Dimensions: length 262.0 m (863 ft) overall; beam 36.0 m (118 ft 1 in); draught 10.45 m (34 ft 3 in)
Armament: eight 356-mm (14.1-in), 16 155-mm (6-in), eight 127-mm (5-in) AA, and 20 25-mm (1-in) AA guns
Aircraft: five floatplanes
Complement: 2,500 officers and men

The 64,000-ton Yamato had the heaviest armour and her 460-mm (IS.1-in) guns was the most powerful ever fitted to a battleship.
To maintain its position relative to other major navies, the French navy authorized two 35,000-ton battleships in 1935, to be named Richelieu and Jean Bart. They were basically enlarged editions of the 26,000-ton battlecruisers Dunkerque and Strasbourg, also with high speed and two quadruple turrets forward, but much heavier armament and protection.

The design which emerged was unique, with two large quadruple turrets well forward and widely spaced, and an ugly backward-angled funnel forming part of the after superstructure. They were, however, powerful and well-protected ships and their only drawback was low endurance, a result of being intended to operate primarily in the Mediterranean.

The Richelieu bore a charmed life, for she was running her trials at Brest when France fell in June 1940, but managed to escape to North Africa. She went to Dakar, and escaped without damage when a British motor launch tried to drop four depth charges under her stern. Early on the morning of 8 July she was attacked by six Fairey Swordfish torpedo bombers from HMS Hermes. One 457-mm (18-in) torpedo struck the Richelieu's stern, and flooding caused her to settle on the bottom of the harbour. However, her 380-mm (15-in) guns were still functioning, and accurate salvos helped to defeat an attack by British and Free French forces in September despite the fact that the ship was unable to steam, and that three of her guns were unable to fire.

As soon as her refit was completed the ship sailed to the UK via Toulon, and joined the Home Fleet at Scapa Flow, covering convoys to Murmansk and working up to full efficiency. In March 1944 she left the Home Fleet and was sent to Trincomalee to serve with the Eastern Fleet. She saw no great battles but took part in a number of bombardments and came under air attack on several occasions. Apart from a short refit at Casablanca from October 1944 to January 1945 she remained in the East Indies until October 1945, when she sailed for Indo-China.

**Specification**

Richelieu

- Displacement: 41,000 tons standard, 47,500 tons full load
- Dimensions: length 247.9 m (813 ft 4 in) overall; beam 32.9 m (108 ft 3 in); draught 9.7 m (31 ft 10 in)
- Machinery: 4-shaft geared steam turbines delivering 150,000 shp (111,885 kW)
- Speed: 30 kts
- Armament: eight 380-mm (15-in), nine 445-mm (6.75-in) AA, 16 37-mm AA and 16 20-mm AA guns
- Aircraft: three Loire-Nieuport floatplanes
- Complement: 1,550 officers and men

**Richelieu**

With her sister Littorio, the Vittorio Veneto formed the spearhead of the Italian navy at the outbreak of World War II, having been completed in April and May 1940 respectively. Both formed the 9th Division at Taranto, where it was hoped they would deter the British Mediterranean Fleet by virtue of their high speed and heavy armament.

Both ships put to sea several times in response to British operations, but they missed the Battle of Calabria on 9 July 1940. The Vittorio Veneto was lucky not to be damaged during the Fleet air raid on Taranto in November 1940, but she was the direct cause of the next disaster which overtook the Italian navy, the Battle of Matapan. On 28 March 1941 while taking part in a sweep against the British convoys evacuating troops from Greece to Alexandria and Crete, the Vittorio Veneto was hit by a torpedo dropped by one of HMS Formidable's Fairey Albacores. The 457-mm (18-in) torpedo hit aft T turret on the port side at 15:21. Serious flooding followed and power was lost on the port outer propeller shaft, but she could still steam, and limped away to the north west.

More British attacks followed at dusk, missing the battleship but hitting one of her escorting cruisers, the Pola. The engineers and damage control parties worked hard to stem the flooding, and by 20:34 the Vittorio Veneto's speed had increased to 19 kts, and she was able to make her way back to Taranto for repairs, leaving the Pola and two sisters to be destroyed by the British Mediterranean Fleet during the night.

In December 1941 the Vittorio Veneto was hit by a torpedo from the British submarine HMS Urge, and needed another three months in dock. She joined the Littorio for an operation against a British convoy in mid-June 1942, but the Italians were losing the initiative, and thereafter she spent most of her time in La Spezia as Tarento was under constant air attack. On 5 June 1943 she was damaged by Allied bombers, and the following September she joined the melancholy line which steamed to Malta to surrender to the British.

The Vittorio Veneto was interned at Alexandria while the Allies debated the future of all Italian warships. There was talk of 'tropicalising' the three 'Littorio' class battleships as fast carrier escorts for the Pacific but they lacked endurance, and although they returned to Italy in 1946 they were not permitted to be incorporated into the post-war Italian Navy, being sold for scrap in 1951.

**Specification**

Vittorio Veneto

- Displacement: 41,700 tons standard, 45,460 tons full load
- Dimensions: length 237.8 m (780 ft) overall; beam 32.9 m (108 ft); draught 10.5 m (34 ft 3 in)
- Machinery: 4-shaft geared steam turbines delivering 128,000 shp (95,450 kW)
- Speed: 30 kts
- Armament: six 381-mm (15-in), nine 445-mm (6.75-in) AA, 16 37-mm AA and 16 20-mm AA guns
- Aircraft: three floatplanes
- Complement: 1,872 officers and men

**Vittorio Veneto**

The Italian Littorio turning at speed on one of her rare trips to sea. Although well armed and fast, she and her sisters were short on endurance.

The Vittorio Veneto saw more action than any other Italian battleship, being hit twice by torpedoes and once by bombs.
Allied and Axis Cruisers

With duties that varied from commerce protection to convoy raiding, and from offensive operations to fleet reconnaissance, the World War II cruiser inherited the mantle of the frigate of Nelson’s day. Fast, well-armed vessels ranged the oceans in the service of all the major combatants, and proved extremely effective.

Though World War II could not be won for the Allies at sea, nevertheless the war could certainly have been lost there. Fortunately, there were many maritime arenas in which to fight, and the cruiser proved its value in each.

British trade, via merchant shipping, was as vulnerable as ever to attack from the Axis powers. Indeed, the Germans re-used a tactic that had proved successful a quarter-century earlier, when they had used cruisers to harry the merchant ships that the British had deployed cruisers to protect. Both the German and Japanese fleets employed cruisers effectively in small-scale, surprise assaults, and they often went to the extent of using them as transports. The Italians tried the same tactic, but it only suffered as the desperate measure that it was.

To the British, as an island-nation, the protection of their country’s supply routes was clearly of paramount importance. The Atlantic convoys were largely the concern of smaller escorts, which were fighting an anti-submarine war, but the Mediterranean and, to a lesser extent, the Arctic routes were dominated by enemy air power.

This threat to the Allies meant that close escort by cruisers was required - especially by cruisers that were equipped with adequate modern AA armament and direction.

Cruisers were occasionally operated by the British in offensive squadrons. During these operations they often played vital roles, for instance, in the sinking of tactically important ships, such as the Admiral Graf Spee, Bismarck and Scharnhorst. For large-scale ‘fleet’ use, however, one needs to look at the Pacific War where the Americans were able to take on, and eventually to prevail over, an enemy on his chosen ground. This was quite a feat.

The Japanese had probably the best cruisers of that era. Their crews were superbly trained and the boats themselves superbly handled, and used very imaginatively as we II. The Americans profited by their early reverses to improve both ship design and tactics; besides having excellent material, they developed the will to win, the most important weapon of all.

USS Biloxio/the Cleveland class firing her 152.4mm (Gin) guns, of which she had 12 in four triple turrets. Twenty-six Cleveland class entered service during the war.
Contemporary with the penultimate pair of Italian "Condottieri", the French 'La Galissonnière' class design had a distinct edge. By adopting a triple 152-mm (6-in) turret, the designers managed an excellent balance on the low standard displacement of 7,600 tons. Three mountings conferred a one-gun advantage over their rivals while economizing on overall weight, length of hull and area to be protected. Thus vertical protection of up to 120mm (4.62 in) could be worked in, together with a 50-mm (1.97-in) protective deck. Where the Italians could dispose of 120,000 shp (89485 kW) the French had only 84,000 shp (62640 kW), yet their effective speeds in a seaway were little different at 33 to 34 kts. Interestingly, the French hulls incorporated wide transom sterns; these are today virtually universal in warship design, reducing resistance through the suppression of the stern wave.

Six ships of the type were built, but they fared badly with the changing fortunes of the French state. Following the 1940 capitulation, the loyalties of French Senegal were not known to the British, who mounted an operation against Dakar: the Gloire, together with the Montcalm and Georges Leygues, sailed from Toulon to assist. Suffering from machinery problems the Gloire went into Casablanca but the other two reached Dakar. This port, though effectively neutralized, passed to Allied control only when the Axis finally occupied Vichy France, the three cruisers coming over to the Allied cause. With the occupation, the remaining French fleet, still inactive at Toulon, was scuttled, including the three remaining ships of the class. Of these, two were salvaged by the Italians only to be sunk finally by Allied bombing in 1943. The Gloire was present at Anzio, and the Montcalm at Normandy.

**Specification**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>La Galissonnière</th>
<th>Zara</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ships in class (launched): La Galissonnière (1933), Jeanne de Vienne (1935), Marseille (1935), Gloire (1935), Montcalm (1935) and Georges Leygues (1936)</td>
<td>Zara (1930), Gorizia (1930) and Pola (1931)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displacement: 7,600 tons standard and 9,120 tons full load</td>
<td>Displacement: 11,500-11,900 tons standard and 14,200-14,600 tons full load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimensions: length 179.0 m (586 ft 3 in); beam 17.5 m (57 ft 4 in); draught 5.3 m (17 ft 5 in)</td>
<td>Dimensions: length 182.7 m (599 ft 5 in); beam 20.6 m (67 ft 7 in); draught 5.9 m (19 ft 4 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propulsion: Râteau-Bretagne Parsons geared turbines delivering 84,000 shp (63640 kW) to two shafts</td>
<td>Propulsion: Parsons geared turbines delivering 108,000 shp (80535 kW) to two shafts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed: 35.7 kts</td>
<td>Speed: 32 kts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armour: belt 75-120 mm (3-4.7 in); deck 50 mm (2 in); turrets 75-130 mm (3-5.1 in)</td>
<td>Armour: belt 100-150 mm (3.9-5.9 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In contrast to the preceding 'Trento' class, the 'Zara' class sacrificed high speed in the interests of much improved protection. Zara and two of her three sisters met their end at the hands of the British Mediterranean fleet off Cape Matapan in March 1941.</td>
<td>In the interests of much improved protection. Zara and two of her three sisters met their end at the hands of the British Mediterranean fleet off Cape Matapan in March 1941.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The next significant action was also their last when, near the end of March 1941, a complex set of Italian fleet movements was undertaken with the object of intercepting a British convoy near Crete. The British, aware of what was afoot, cleared the area and set a trap for the Italians but the latter, as nervous and fleet as any antelope, smelled danger and made for home, Anxious to bring the Italian battleship division's flagship to account, the British used carrier air strikes to slow it sufficiently to allow their heavy ships to close. Only the 1st Division's Pola was thus stopped, however, her two running mates Zara and Fiume with two destroyers then staying to assist. Admiral Cunningham's battleships fell on them and despatched them with close-range 381-mm (15-in) salvos at what became known as the night Battle of Matapan. With the American Astoria and British 'Cressy' classes the 'Zara' class thus has the melancholy record of losing three of its type in one engagement.

**Specification**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zara class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ships in class (launched): Zara (1930), fiume (1930), Gorizia (1930) and Pola (1931)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displacement: 9,120 tons full load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimensions: length 140 mm (4.7-5.5 in); barbettes 140-140 mm (5.3-5.9 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propulsion: Parsons geared turbines delivering 108,000 shp (80535 kW) to two shafts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed: 32 kts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armour: belt 100-150 mm (3.9-5.9 in); deck 70 mm (2.75 in); turrets 120-140 mm (4.7-5.5 in); barbettes 140-150 mm (5.3-5.9 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armament: eight 203-mm (8-in), 16 100-mm (3.9-in) DP and eight 37-mm AAGuns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft: two floatplanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complement: 830</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Zara class heavy cruisers

Latent Franco-Italian naval rivalry broke out anew after the Washington Treaty, the two French 'Duquesne' class cruisers being immediately trumped by the Italian 'Trento' class with superior protection. The latter were not even completed before the French embarked on the four 'Suffren' class cruisers, whose survivability was improved a little at the cost of some speed. As it then took Italy three years to reply, predictably, with the four 'Zara' class cruisers, it would seem that the French design was acquired and thoroughly digested beforehand. In any case, the Italian units were excellent ships, with reduced power on only two shafts but with a high level of protection, whose weight took the ships beyond treaty limits.

Three of the cruisers formed the 1st Cruiser Division at the Battle of Calabria, only a month after the outbreak of the Mediterranean war. The action proved anti-climax, the Italian fleet disengaging immediately the flagship had been hit.

The next significant action was also their last when, near the end of March 1941, a complex set of Italian fleet movements was undertaken with the object of intercepting a British convoy near Crete. The British, aware of what was afoot, cleared the area and set a trap for the Italians but the latter, as nervous and fleet as any antelope, smelled danger and made for home, Anxious to bring the Italian battleship division’s flagship to account, the British used carrier air strikes to slow it sufficiently to allow their heavy ships to close. Only the 1st Division’s Pola was thus stopped, however, her two running mates Zara and Fiume with two destroyers then staying to assist. Admiral Cunningham's battleships fell on them and despatched them with close-range 381-mm (15-in) salvos at what become known as the night Battle of Matapan. With the American Astoria and British 'Cressy' classes the 'Zara' class thus has the melancholy record of losing three of its type in one engagement.

**Specification**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zara class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ships in class (launched): Zara (1930), fiume (1930), Gorizia (1930) and Pola (1931)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displacement: 9,120 tons full load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimensions: length 140 mm (4.7-5.5 in); barbettes 140-140 mm (5.3-5.9 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propulsion: Parsons geared turbines delivering 108,000 shp (80535 kW) to two shafts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed: 32 kts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armour: belt 100-150 mm (3.9-5.9 in); deck 70 mm (2.75 in); turrets 120-140 mm (4.7-5.5 in); barbettes 140-150 mm (5.3-5.9 in)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armament: eight 203-mm (8-in), 16 100-mm (3.9-in) DP and eight 37-mm AAGuns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft: two floatplanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complement: 830</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Right: 'Zara' class cruisers on patrol in the Mediterranean. Built in the early 1930's in response to the new French vessels then entering service, the 'Zara' class were fine, well-balanced ships, somewhat larger than the size set down in the Washington Treaty.
ITALY

'Condottieri' class cruiser

Though the Italians built many fine cruisers, lack of an offensive policy in war led to few of them being really tested in action. The 12 ‘Condottieri’ class ships formed the backbone of their light cruiser strength, a quartet and four pairs constituting a logical development sequence over a five-year period. The Garibaldi and her sister were the ultimate pair and were very close to the 10,000-ton limit. As a yardstick for the ‘Giussano’ class, the four-strong first group of ‘Condottieri’, laid down in 1928, the French ‘Duguay-Troumes’ class was completed less than two years previously. Both classes carried four twin turrets and, though the Italian ships were rather faster, neither type was more than minimally protected. An immediate response in the French ‘La Galissonniere’ class was paralleled by the remaining ‘Condottieri’ over the same period. With the French ships incorporating a measure of protection, the Italians successively increased power and dimensions to maintain speed while improving survivability in the ‘Bande Nere’, ‘Diaz’, ‘Montecuccoli’ and ‘Aosta’ classes. The last pair comprised the ‘Garibaldi’ class whose beam, draught and displacement were increased significantly to allow two extra guns in triple A and Y turrets and a further upgrading of protection. A fundamental shift in policy was the acceptance of a lower speed but this was accepted as still representing a margin over the equivalent French ships.

The Garibaldi’s war was involved mainly in the distant cover for the various convoys to North Africa. In July 1941 she was torpedoed and heavily damaged by the submarine HMS Upholder.

Both sisters survived to be incorporated into the post-war fleet, the Garibaldi lasting until the 1970s converted to a prototype guided-missile cruiser with Terrier surface-to-air missiles.

Specification

‘Condottieri’ class (Group 5)

Ships in class (launched): Group 1 Alberto di Giussano (1930), Giovanni delle Bande Nere (1930); Group 2 Armando Diaz (1930) and Luigi Cadorna (1930); Group 3 Raimondo Montecuccoli (1931) and Muzio Attendolo (1933); Group 4 Emanuele Filiberto Duca d’Aosta (1932) and Eugenio di Savoia (1933); and Group 5 Luigi di Savoia Duca degli Abruzzi (1933) and Giuseppe Garibaldi (1933)

Displacement: 9,195 tons standard and 11,250 tons full load
Dimensions: length 187.0 m (612 ft 5 in); beam 18.9 m (61 ft 11 in); draught 8.2 m (27 ft)
Machinery: Parsons geared turbines delivering 102,000 shp (76060 kW) to two shafts
Speed: 33.5 kts
Armour: belts 130 mm (5.1 in); deck 40 mm (1.6 in); turrets 135 mm (5.3 in)
Armament: 8 105-mm (4.1-in) weapons in the French units.

Backbone of the Italian light cruiser force, the five groups of ‘Condottieri’ class cruisers were built over a five-year period in the 1920s and early 1930s. Giuseppe Garibaldi was one of the last two to be built, surviving wartime damage to serve the Italian navy into the 1970s.

Armament: 10 152-mm (6-in), eight 100-mm (3.9-in) AA, eight 37-mm AA and 20-mm AA guns, plus six 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tubes
Aircraft: two floatplanes
Complement: 900

ITALY

'Capitani Romani' class light cruiser

The British concept of the small cruiser as used in World War I was followed by the Japanese in the 1920s but, thereafter, development lapsed for a decade until the French embarked on the ‘Mogador’ class. These looked like, and were, super destroyers but which, as used in World War I was followed by the Japanese in the 1920s but, there-

The ‘Mogador’ class vessels looked like small cruisers, their extra beam allowing space for machinery developing an astonishing maximum of 125,000 shp (93210kW), equivalent to that of a ‘Salem’ class heavy cruiser of four times the displacement. At this power the Italian ships were good for 43 kts and, while they were virtually unprotected, they also shipped a very respectable main battery. In addition, ten extra tubes were fitted and mines could be carried, though probably in lieu of other topweight.

Their Roman names were splendidly euphoric, belying the headache that they could have caused any convoy escort commander not blessed with air support. As it was, four were demolished on the ways, five more being sunk through various agencies whilst fitting out. Three were completed in 1942-3, and one other was eventually salvaged and fitted out, the four being the Attilio Regolo, Pompeo Magno, Giulio Germanico and Scipione Africano.

One pair served post-war with each of the French and Italian fleets. Of the Italians, the San Giorgio (ex-Giulio Germanico) served, albeit re-engined, until very recently. None of the four mounted its original armament, supply considerations dictating American 127-mm (5-in) L/38s in the Italian ships and ex-German 105-mm (4.1-in) weapons in the French units.

Specification

‘Capitani Romani’ class

Ships in class (launched): Attilio Regolo (1940), Pompeo Magno (1941), Giulio Germanico (1941) and Scipione Africano (1941)

Displacement: 3,750 tons standard and 5,400 tons full load
Dimensions: length 142.2 m (466 ft 6 in); beam 14.4 m (47 ft 3 in); draught 4.1 m (13 ft 5 in)
Machinery: geared turbines delivering 110,000 shp (82025 kW) to two shafts
Speed: 40 kts
Armour: not known
Armament: eight 135-mm (5.3-in), eight 37-mm AA and eight 20-mm AA guns, plus six 533-mm (21-in) torpedoes
Aircraft: none
Complement: 425
'Deutschland' class 'pocket battleship'

Until 1934 Germany was bound by the Treaty of Versailles, under whose terms no warship exceeding 10,000 tons could be built. To extract the maximum potency within this general limitation, the designers had to balance finely the conflicting requirements of speed, armament and protection. Long endurance was required to conduct an extensive guerre de course against France and the UK and the three 'Deutschland' class ships were given the quite novel machinery of eight diesels driving two shafts, allowing for flexible and highly economical propulsion.

Electric welding saved 15 per cent on weight as compared with riveting, allowing for extra weight to be allocated to both armament and protection. Despite the overt weight-saving, however, the ships all exceeded their stated displacements. When constructed, they continued the concept of the armoured cruiser, being faster than any battleship and more powerful than any cruiser. Like armoured cruisers, they were vulnerable to battlecruisers.

Until 1940, the ships were officially classified as Panzerschiffe (armoured ships), but were popularly known to the Allies as 'pocket battleships'. After the destruction of the Admiral Graf Spee, suffering a hit to the River Plate action, the surviving pair were re-categorized as heavy cruisers. The Admiral Scheer had a brief but successful career as a raider, gaining particular notoriety with her sinking of the armed merchant cruiser Jervis Bay. The Deutschland herself was politically renamed Lützow after the Admiral Graf Spee affair and, until early 1942, spent much time in dock after being torpedoed on two separate occasions. Her major action was the tactical defeat of North Cape on 30/31 December 1942. Both ships were finally sunk by British bombing in the closing days of the European war.

Specification

'Deutschland' class (Admiral Graf Spee) Ships in class (launched): Deutschland (1931), Admiral Scheer (1933) and Admiral Graf Spee (1934)

Displacement: 12,100 tons standard and 16,200 tons full load
Dimensions: length 186.0 m (610 ft 11 in); beam 21.3 m (69 ft 11 in); draught 5.8 m (19 ft)
Propulsion: eight MAN diesels delivering 56,000 shp (41760 kW) to two shafts
Speed: 28.5kts
Armour: belt 80 mm (3.1 in); deck 45 mm (1.8 in); turrets 85-140 mm (3.3-5.5 in); barbettes 100 mm (3.9 in)
Armament: six 280-mm (11-in), eight 150-mm (5.9-m), six 105-mm (4.1-in)

Lützow (formerly Deutschland) as she appeared in 1945. The curved bow was fitted in 1940, and the tall funnel cap in 1941. She was scuttled in May 1945 after being damaged beyond repair by near misses from RAF 'Tallboy' 5443-kg (12,000-lb) bombs.

'Hipper' class heavy cruiser

When heavy cruisers were finally built by Germany in the late 1930s, they were of orthodox design, showing no influence from the 'Deutschland' class Panzerschiffe. The name of the 'Hipper' class, the Admiral Hipper, was launched in February 1937 after the various treaties had lapsed and she was, as a result, comparable in displacement with the big Japanese cruisers. By shipping only an eight-gun main battery, however, the Germans had more scope for improved protection. The Admiral Hipper was the best known of the class, being active in the Norwegian campaign of 1940, during which she was rammed and damaged by an intended victim, the British destroyer HMS Glowworm. In late 1940 and early 1941, she had a successful period as a raider before moving again to Norway, where her presence was partly responsible for the PQ. 17 disaster in July 1942. On the last day of the year, in company with the 'pocket battleship' Lützow and a destroyer force, she unsuccessfully attacked the JW.51B convoy off North Cape. The outclassed British destroyer escort kept the Germans at arm's length for three hours until relieved by a cruiser force. Hitler's reaction at this rather inept operation being an order to decommission all heavy units. The Hipper thus survived to be taken in 1945. Also captured was the Prinz Eugen, best known for her being in company with the Bismarck in May 1941, and later accompanying the battlecruiser.

Continued on page 806

After Prinz Eugen was ceded to the US Navy in 1945, it was found that the high pressure steam machinery was a constant source of trouble. She was expended as an atom bomb target two weeks after this photograph was taken.
April 1941. The dazzle stripes were later painted over. Supposedly built to the Washington as a t Bergen in eats ever hve Lützow as an aircraft hangar, two of them superstructure was greatly enlarged produced variations. On four the after endurance and good standards of habitation were notable for their considerable and could approach 20,000 tons when deeply loaded. HMS ADMIRAL HIPPER (1937), Blücher (1937) and Prinz Eugen (1938) Supposedly built to the Washington Treaty limit of 10,000 tons, the 'hipper' class varied in standard tonnage from 14,000 to 17,000 tons and could approach 20,000 tons when deeply loaded. prinz Eugen is seen here as she was at Bergen in April 1941. The dazzle stripes were later painted over. 'County' class heavy cruiser It was because of the new British 'Hawkins' class ships (nearly 10,000 tons displacement and armed with 190.5-mm (7.5-in) guns) that the Washington Treaty limits on cruisers were set as they were. In keeping with the remainder of the signatories, who built up to these limits, the British produced the 'A' or 'County' class. Their designers eschewed, however, the current competitions for optimal armament or speed, producing instead a compromise well-suited to duties on imperial trade routes. Even so they were well-armed, had an adequate turn of speed, and were reasonably protected. They were notable for their considerable freeboard and three funnels, instantly recognizable anywhere. Excellent endurance and good standards of habitability made them both effective and popular. The London Treaty came into force before the construction programme was complete and some five planned units were cancelled. Though built in three separate groups as the 'Kent' class (seven ships), 'London' class (four ships) and 'Norfolk' class (two ships), the 'County' class ships were originally very similar, but modernisation during the 1930s produced variations. On four the after superstructure was greatly enlarged as an aircraft hangar, two of them being cut down a deck aft to compensate as the hull had a reputation for hard rolling. HMS London (only) emerged in 1941 from a rebuilding that left her looking like an enlarged Fiji class cruiser with improved AA armament, the war preventing further such exercises. In surface action, the ships proved generally effective but fell victim to air attack as readily as any other of their vintage. Their main contribution to the war at sea lay in the unspectacular but vital tasks of convoy protection and operations against raiders. Losses were HMS CABLEBRE, HMS DORSWORTH and HMS CORNWALL. Specification County class ('London' sub-class) Ships in class (launched): Berwick (1926), Cornw (1926), Cumberland (1926), Kent (1926), Suffolk (1926), Australia (1927), Camber (1927), Devonshire (1927), London (1927), Shropshire (1929), Sussex (1929), Dorsetshire (1929) and Norfo (1929) Displacement: 8,290 tons standard and 14,000 tons full load Dimensions: length 193.3 m (633 ft); beam 20.2 m (66 ft); draught 6.6 m (21 ft 6 in) Propulsion: Parsons or Brown Curtis geared turbines delivering 80,000 shp (5965 kW) to four shafts Speed: 32 kts Armour: belt 76-127 mm (3-5 in); deck 38-102 mm (1.5-4 in); turrets 38-51 mm (1.5-2 in); barbettes 25 mm (1 in) Armament: eight 203-mm (8-in), eight 102-mm (4-in) AA and eight or 16 2-pdr AA guns, plus eight 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tubes Aircraft: one or three flying-boats Complement: 660 The 'County' class cruiser HMS Devonshire draws alongside HMS Mauritius in the Indian Ocean. The two ships are about to effect a transfer of either stores or personnel, with a line being passed from one ship to the other by means of a small rocket. Below: HMS Norfolk as she appeared in 1943. The 'County' class heavy cruisers were a compromise designed to operate effectively in the protection of long trade routes. They had excellent endurance and were popular with their crews.
At the time of the London Treaty of 1930, the UK was building the first 152-mm (6-in) cruisers designed since World War I. Ostensibly replacements for the little 'C' and 'D' class cruisers, these were the five eight-gun 'Leander' class ships designed around a twin-gun mounting tried experimentally on HMS Enterprise. A three-ship derivative, the 'Amphion' class, differed mainly in having widely spaced funnels through the improved layout of machinery spaces.

Once ratified, the treaty imposed limits on the total replacement 152-mm (6-in) tonnage that the British were permitted to build, and the Admiralty experimented with a cut-down six-gunned version known as the 'Arethusa' class. In tonnage terms, four could be built for three 'Leander' class ships, but they were considered too small and only four were built.

Despite their lack of size, the 'Arethusa' class cruisers found their ideal slot in the Mediterranean war. Best known were HMS Aurora and HMS Penelope which, while forming the core of Force K working out of Malta in 1941, destroyed convoyed Italian shipping at a rate which caused the Axis armies in North Africa acute supply problems. Both were damaged on the night when Force K was very nearly destroyed in a minefield. The Penelope, repaired, went on to see the most hard-fought of the Malta convoys, including Admiral Vian's superb defence at 2nd Battle of Sirte in March 1942. Docked again in Malta, she was so riddled with splinters as to earn the sobriquet 'HMS Pepperpot'. Together again with the Aurora in Force Q the Penelope saw the end of Axis ambitions in North Africa, going on to the Sicilian and Salerno landings. Bombed and damaged in the Aegean, she saw her last action at Anzio, being sunk by a submarine's torpedo whilst returning to Naples in February 1944. Also sunk in the war was HMS Galatea.

The eight 'Southampton' or 'Town' class cruisers represented the end of British involvement with treaty obligations. With their 'Brooklyn' class the Americans had matched the Japanese 'Mogami' class, and the Admiralty felt obliged to respond with a powerful 152-mm (6-in) cruiser aimed at fleet work rather than commerce protection, as was the case with the 'Leander' class and its successors. Launched during 1936-7 the class was completed for the outbreak of war. Though designed on a smaller scale than the classes that had brought about their building, these ships carried 12 reliable guns in a new-pattern triple turret, and could maintain more than 32 kts in a seaway. They were used mainly in the European theatre, where they were more than adequate; though three were lost in the Mediterranean, none was sunk through conventional surface action.

For the ship's size and scale of protection the main battery was a little ambitious, and the last three units of the 'Southampton' class proper (HMS

Specifications

'Arethusa' class

- Ships in class (launched): Arethusa (1934), Galatea (1934), Penelope (1935) and Aurora (1936)
- Displacement: 5,250 tons standard
- Dimensions: length 154.2 m (506 ft); beam 15.5 m (51 ft); draught 4.2 m (13 ft 9 in)
- Propulsion: geared turbines delivering 64,000 shp (47725 kW) to four shafts
- Speed: 32.25 kts
- Armament: six 152-mm (6-in), eight 102-mm (4-in) AA, and eight 2-pdr AA guns; two 533-mm (21-in) torpedoes
- Aircraft: one flying-boat (not in Aurora)
- Complement: 470

Below: Three generations of light cruiser are seen heading to join the naval bombardment of Normandy in June 1944. Beyond HMS Arethusa can be seen HMS Danae, dating from 1918, and HMS Mauritius, completed in 1941.
Liverpool, HMS Manchester and HMS Gloucester) having an increased beam. Later modernizations saw the removal of X turret and the enhancement of the AA armament.

In 1938 two examples of an improved version were launched. While carrying the same armament as their predecessors HMS Belfast and HMS Edinburgh were larger, better protected and had more powerful machinery. All of the 'Town' class ships were well built, giving in some cases over 30 years of useful service. Indeed the Belfast is still afloat as the sole remaining example of a long line of British cruisers.

Developed from the 'Town' class was the 'Fiji' or 'Crown Colony' class (11 ships) and its first derivative, the Swiftsure' class (six ships). Smaller but slightly faster, these were emergency programme ships and, while effective in service, their inferior construction was reflected in their shorter lifespans.

**Specification**

Town class (Type III)

Ships in class (launched): Type I or 'Dido' class light cruiser

An increasing awareness of the threat from aerial attack was apparent in the warship design programmes just before World War II. Besides, for instance, rebuilding some of its older cruisers as AA ships, the Royal Navy acquired the 16-strong 'Dido' class in two groups for close defence work. Only a little larger than the Arethusa' class cruisers, the original 11 ships had a lean, elegant appearance, beautifully proportioned. They had no secondary armament, the main battery being 10 133-mm (5.25-in) guns in the twin mountings developed as a secondary weapon for the 'King George V class battleships. These were light enough to permit three superimposed mountings forward, though in later years the proliferation of tophamper resulted in the landing of the upper, or Q mounting.

The last five ships, labelled 'Improved Dido' class ships, had eight guns fits from the start, with shorter vertical funnels and sturdier masts. These modifications did nothing for their looks but the ships certainly had much improved secondary ammunition supply.

'Did o' class light cruiser

It could not be claimed that the 133-mm (5.25-in) weapon was the ideal dual-purpose gun, for it was rather on the light side for surface engagements against the protected ships of the day yet too heavy for effective use against aircraft, with too slow a rate of fire and reaction. In the post-war fleet the 'Dido' class ships proved of little more use to the Royal Navy than the equivalent Atlanta class, did to the US Navy, and most of them had been scrapped by the end of the 1950s. Wartime losses were HMS Charybdis, YMS Hermione, HMS Bonaventure, HMS Naiad and HMS Spartan.

**Specification**

'Dido' class (Type II)

Ships in class (launched): Type I 'Dido' (1939), 'Earl of Wessex' (1939), 'Naiad' (1939), 'Phoebe' (1939), 'Sinus' (1940), 'Bonaventure' (1939), 'Hermione' (1939), 'Eurydice' (1940), 'Cleopatra' (1940), 'Scylla' (1940) and 'Aragon' (1941); Type H 'Vina' (1942), 'Black Prince' (1942), 'Discam' (1942), 'Roi Sade' (1942) and 'Spartan' (1942)

**Specification**

'Dido' class (Type III)

Ships in class (launched): Type II 'Dido' (1939), 'Earl of Wessex' (1939), 'Naiad' (1939), 'Phoebe' (1939), 'Sinus' (1940), 'Bonaventure' (1939), 'Hermione' (1939), 'Eurydice' (1940), 'Cleopatra' (1940), 'Scylla' (1940) and 'Aragon' (1941); Type H 'Vina' (1942), 'Black Prince' (1942), 'Discam' (1942), 'Roi Sade' (1942) and 'Spartan' (1942)
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Ships in class (launched): Type II 'Dido' (1939), 'Earl of Wessex' (1939), 'Naiad' (1939), 'Phoebe' (1939), 'Sinus' (1940), 'Bonaventure' (1939), 'Hermione' (1939), 'Eurydice' (1940), 'Cleopatra' (1940), 'Scylla' (1940) and 'Aragon' (1941); Type H 'Vina' (1942), 'Black Prince' (1942), 'Discam' (1942), 'Roi Sade' (1942) and 'Spartan' (1942)

**Specification**

'Dido' class (Type III)

Ships in class (launched): Type II 'Dido' (1939), 'Earl of Wessex' (1939), 'Naiad' (1939), 'Phoebe' (1939), 'Sinus' (1940), 'Bonaventure' (1939), 'Hermione' (1939), 'Eurydice' (1940), 'Cleopatra' (1940), 'Scylla' (1940) and 'Aragon' (1941); Type H 'Vina' (1942), 'Black Prince' (1942), 'Discam' (1942), 'Roi Sade' (1942) and 'Spartan' (1942)

**Specification**

'Dido' class (Type III)
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Unlike her earlier naval model, the UK, Japan took to the 203-mm (8-in) cruiser enthusiasm with her only light cruiser being her 140-mm (5.5-in) gunned scouts such as the 'Sendai' class. But because of the restrictions of the 1930 London Treaty, however, four large 155-mm (6-in) gunned ships were built as the 'Mogami' class, this in turn stimulating the Americans to build the 'Brooklyn' class, each class having a 15-tun main battery. To achieve the high designed speed of 37 kts, the Japanese ships were very slender and proved to be dangerously vulnerable. They were, therefore, bulged externally in 1937. Less than two years later, all treaties having lapsed, they were again modified, their triple 155-mm (6-in) turrets being exchanged for twin 203-mm (8-in) turrets and the bulge being increased in size. Their speed was now barely 34 kts, the same as that of the 'Brooklyn' class but with 50 per cent extra power.

During World War II the class formed the coherent 7th Cruiser Squadron under the redoubtable Rear Admiral Kurita, and was continuously active. The Mogami, in company with the Mikuma, was instrumental in the destruction of the USS Houston and HMAS Perth after the Java Sea battle. Later, as part of the diversionary force involved in the Midway action, the two cruisers collided heavily before being attacked by carrier aircraft; the Mikuma was sunk but Mogami, with 300 dead, survived to fight another day.

In November 1943 the Mogami, together with a large force of the Japanese fleet, was caught unawares by air attack at Rabaul. Hit heavily, blazing and down by the bows from flooded magazines, she again just made it. She then survived the Battle of the Philippine Sea only to meet her end at the night action in the Surigao Strait. Battered by gunfire, she collided with the Nachi but, typically, went in again. Shattered but aloof, she was attacked next day by aircraft. Her surviving crew removed, she was then sunk by a Japanese torpedo. The other two units were also lost in the war.

The lapse of the various naval treaties in the late 1920s saw Japan replace the triple 152-mm (6-in) turrets on the 'Mogami' class with twin 203-mm (8-in) mounts. Depicted just before the Battle of Midway, Mogami was to be severely damaged several times before finally succumbing in October 1944.

While showing a distinct family relationship with the preceding 'Aoba' class, the four 'Myoko' class cruisers were some 10 per cent longer and introduced the fearsomely massive aspect characteristic of the next decade of Japanese cruiser construction. Proportionately more 'beamy' than earlier classes, the 'Myoko' class ships mounted 10 203-mm (8-in) guns and still had improved protection worked in. Like most of their kind, they looked strange to Western eyes but were powerfully built and extraordinarily difficult to sink. Immediately before the outbreak of World War II, their torpedo armament was increased to 16 610-mm (24-in) tubes in keeping with the aggressive tactical doctrine that was to pay such handsome dividends.

Their topweight reserves must thereby have been pushed to the limit, however, for when AA armament was urgently enhanced later in the war, some had the torpedo armament reduced again.

Like most of the hard worked Japanese cruiser classes, the 'Myoko' class ships were all lost (the Myoko being surrendered in a totally unserviceable state). Unusually, two of the four were sunk by the Royal Navy, the Ashigara being sunk by submarine torpedo in the Bangka Strait and the Haguro falling to a classically-executed night destroyer attack. The Haguro had been a particularly doughty opponent at the Java Sea, Sundra Strait and the action off Samar. She had survived Midway, Empress Augusta Bay and the 2nd Solomon Sea actions. It was, therefore, particularly gratifying for the renascent British Pacific Fleet to intercept her as she passed through the Malacca Strait in May 1945 en route to evacuate the garrison of the Andamans. Five destroyers of the 26th Flotilla attacked in divisions so that, in avoiding the torpedoes of the first, the Haguro ran foul of those of the second.

Specification

**'Myoko' class cruiser**

- Ships in class (launched): Myoko (1927), Nachi (1927), Haguro (1928) and Angara (1928)
- Displacement: 13,380 tons standard
- Dimensions: length 201.7 m (661 ft 9 in); beam 21.0 m (68 ft 9 in); draught 7.0 m (23 ft)
- Propulsion: geared turbines delivering 130,000 shp (96,940 kW) to four shafts
- Speed: 35 knots
- Armament: belt 100 mm (3.9 in); deck 127-mm (5.2 in); turrets 25 mm (1 in)
- Air armament: 10 203-mm (8-in), eight 127-mm (5-in) DP and eight 25-mm AA guns, plus 12 610-mm (24-in) torpedo tubes
- Aircraft: three floatplanes
- Complement: 870
The USA's first two Washington Treaty cruisers were the two 'Pensacola' class heavy cruisers of 1929. Both had very active lives in World War II, but their design was not particularly successful, being cramped and having an extremely low freeboard. Their 10-gun 203-mm (8-in) armament (set, unusually, in mixed twin and triple turrets) was overambitious to the point where the ships were extremely tender. Even before their completion an improved design, the 'Northampton' class, was well advanced. These not only regrouped the main battery into a homogeneous nine guns set in three triple turrets, but had a hull 4.4 m (14 ft 6 in) greater in length plus a raised forecastle to improve seaworthiness.

Of the class of six ships, the USS Houston was lost in March 1942 in the aftermath of the Java Sea debacle. The USS Chicago survived the shambles of the Savo Island battle in August 1942 with most of her bows removed by a Japanese torpedo. Guadalcanal still claimed her, however, for after repairs she returned shortly afterward to cover a replenishment trip to the island. Near Rennell Island she was sunk by air-dropped torpedoes. The USS Northampton also went down in the area, only a couple of miles from Savo, in the dreadful night action of Tassafaronga. An American force of five cruisers and six destroyers fell foul of the so-called Tokyo Express. The latter were surprised, but acted with great resolution and speed, using their specialized night-fighting training to good advantage. Despite being encumbered with embarked troops and stores, they split into subdivisions and launched a devastating torpedo attack.

Four of the five American cruisers were hit, although only the Northampton was a total loss. The surviving trio of the class, known as the 'Chester' class, lasted until 1960.

Specification
'Northampton' class
Ships in class (launched):
Northampton (1929), Chester (1929), Louisville (1930), Chicago (1930), Houston (1929) and Augusta (1930)

Displacement: 9,050-9,300 tons standard and 12,350 tons full load
Dimensions: length 183.0 m (600 ft 3 in); beam 20.1 m (66 ft); draught 4.95 m (16 ft 3 in)
Propulsion: Parsons geared turbines delivering 107,000 shp (79790 kW) to four shafts
Speed: 32.5kts
Armour: belt 76 mm (3 in); deck 51 mm (2 in); turrets 38-64 mm (1.5-2.5 in); barbettes 38 mm (1.5 in); conning tower 203 mm (8 in)
Armament: nine 203-mm (8-in), eight 127-mm (5-in) AA, two 3-pdr, and eight 12.7-mm (0.5-m) AA guns
Aircraft: four floatplanes
Complement: 1,200

An improvement on the preceding 'Pensacola' class, the Northamptons were found to be dangerously vulnerable to Japanese 203-mm (8-in) shells at long ranges. USS Northampton is depicted as she was in mid-1942, before her final campaign around Guadalcanal.
Stimulated by the Japanese 152-mm (6-in) Mogami class, the Americans built the nine 15-gun 'Brooklyn' class cruisers during the 1930s. For the war programmes, however, a more practical 12-gun layout was adopted, with enhanced secondary and AA batteries. While obviously derivatives of the 'Brooklyn' class, these new 'Cleveland' class cruisers were beamier on about the same length, and were better protected. The name ship was laid down in July 1940 and five years later the class stood at 26 units, with a further nine hulls converted to fast light carriers (CVL) of the 'Independence' class. Three more were cancelled and a fourth completed as a guided-missile cruiser, making a total of 39, the largest cruiser programme ever.

As with the heavy cruisers, layout was improved by development of a single-funnelled version, the 'Targo' class. Only two of these were completed because of the war's end and the introduction of a fully automatic 6-in gun mounting. As a result of the extra bulk of its loading gear, this weapon was accommodated in a new twin mounting and, with its higher rate of fire fewer barrels per ship could have been expected. Even so, the US Navy still demanded 12 guns and the resultant six-turret ships, the 'Worcester' class needed to be 21.7 m (69 ft 3 in) longer, with 20 per cent greater power.

Like all guns of its generation, the automatic 152-mm (6-in) weapon arrived too late to avoid being overtaken by the guided missile. Only two 'Worcester' class ships were completed, being rebuilt aft as interim CLGs with the long-range Talos surface-to-air missile. These survived until recently, largely because their un-fashionably spacious accommodation made them popular as peacetime flagships. No 'Cleveland' class ships were lost in World War II.

Specification
'Cleveland' class
Ships in class (launched): Cleveland (1941), Columbia (1941), Montpelier (1941), Denver (1942), Santa Fe (1942), Birmingham (1942), Mobile (1942), Vincennes (1943), Pasadena (1943), Springfield (1944), Topeka (1944), Biloxi (1945), Houston (1945), Providence (1946), Manchester (1946), Vicksburg (1943/1 Daluth (1944), Miami (1942), Astoria (1943), Oklahoma City (1944), Littlerock (1944), Galveston (1945), Amsterdam (1944), Portsmouth (1944), Oriskany (1943), Atlanta (1944), Dayton (1944), Baltimore (1942), Boston (1942), Canberra (1943), Quincy (1943), Pittsburg (1944), StPaul (1944), Columbia (1944), Helena (1945), Bremerton (1944), Fall River (1944), Macor (1944), Toledo (1945), Los Angeles (1944) and Chicago (1944). Displacement: 10,000 tons standard and 13,775 tons full load. Dimensions: length 185.9 m (607 ft 10 in); beam 20.3 m (66 ft 6 in); draught 7.6 m (25 ft). Propulsion: General Electric geared turbines delivering 100,000 hp (745,000 kW) to four shafts. Speed: 33 kts. Armament: belt 127 mm (5 in); conning tower 165 mm (6.5 in). Armament: 12 152-mm (6-in) DP, eight (first two) or 24 (eight ships) or 28 (others) 40-mm AA guns. Aircraft: four floatplanes. Complement: 1,425.

'Duluth' (1942), 'Miami' (1942), 'Astoria' (1943), 'Oklahoma City' (1944), 'LittleRock' (1944), 'Galveston' (1945), 'Amsterdam' (1944), 'Portsmouth' (1944), 'Oriskany' (1943), 'Atlanta' (1944), 'Dayton' (1944), 'Baltimore' (1942), 'Boston' (1942), 'Canberra' (1943), 'Quincy' (1943), 'Pittsburg' (1944), 'StPaul' (1944), 'Columbia' (1944), 'Helena' (1945).

'Brooklyn' class cruiser

Like all guns of its generation, the automatic 152-mm (6-in) weapon arrived too late to avoid being overtaken by the guided missile. Only two 'Worcester' class ships were completed, being rebuilt aft as interim CLGs with the long-range Talos surface-to-air missile. These survived until recently, largely because their un-fashionably spacious accommodation made them popular as peacetime flagships. No 'Cleveland' class ships were lost in World War II.

Specification
'Cleveland' class
Ships in class (launched): Cleveland (1941), Columbia (1941), Montpelier (1941), Denver (1942), Santa Fe (1942), Birmingham (1942), Mobile (1942), Vincennes (1943), Pasadena (1943), Springfield (1944), Topeka (1944), Biloxi (1945), Houston (1945), Providence (1946), Manchester (1946), Vicksburg (1943/1 Daluth (1944), Miami (1942), Astoria (1943), Oklahoma City (1944), Littlerock (1944), Galveston (1945), Amsterdam (1944), Portsmouth (1944), Oriskany (1943), Atlanta (1944), Dayton (1944), Baltimore (1942), Boston (1942), Canberra (1943), Quincy (1943), Pittsburg (1944), StPaul (1944), Columbia (1944), Helena (1945), Bremerton (1944), Fall River (1944), Macor (1944), Toledo (1945), Los Angeles (1944) and Chicago (1944). Displacement: 10,000 tons standard and 13,775 tons full load. Dimensions: length 185.9 m (607 ft 10 in); beam 20.3 m (66 ft 6 in); draught 7.6 m (25 ft). Propulsion: General Electric geared turbines delivering 100,000 hp (745,000 kW) to four shafts. Speed: 33 kts. Armament: belt 127 mm (5 in); conning tower 165 mm (6.5 in). Armament: 12 152-mm (6-in) DP, eight (first two) or 24 (eight ships) or 28 (others) 40-mm AA guns. Aircraft: four floatplanes. Complement: 1,425.
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Specification
'Cleveland' class
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Destroyers were the most active surface units of the Axis fleets. The Japanese had the finest destroyers in the world; these won a series of dramatic victories across the Pacific. In European waters, German destroyers preyed on the Soviet convoys while in the Mediterranean the Italians revealed a talent for anti-submarine warfare.

A 11 three of the major Axis fleets started World War II with considerable destroyer forces but found, just as the British did, that the attrition rate was high, particularly when the ships were used for duties other than those for which they had been designed. This occurred most of the time.

German ships tended to be overambitious, as the result of the twin requirements of meeting (officially at least) treaty limitations on displacement, while not appearing inferior on paper to the French ships seen as the inevitable enemy. British equivalents may have appeared more modest, but they could always fight their armament in a seaway, something that none of the larger German ships could boast. Following their losses in Norway, the German destroyer forces made little further impact on the naval war.

The Japanese used their many ships both in their designed fleet context and in other independent roles, largely in support of the army. Their supreme achievement was in the vicious six-month dispute for Guadalcanal. Not only did the destroyers make contention possible at all but, in doing so, made the island a catalyst, enabling them to take the war to the American fleet. That the policy of attrition against so powerful and numerous an enemy was flawed from the outset was a top-level strategic blunder and in no way detracted from the toughness and resource of the Japanese destroyer skippers.

Though never sacrificing their main weapon, the 610mm (24in) torpedo, the Japanese ships shed much of their main battery firepower in order to accommodate extra AA armament and to give space for troops, equipment and supplies. A similar role fell to many Italian destroyers, which also could just make the return trip to their beleaguered comrades in North Africa within the hours of darkness. Their contribution was useful but, again, losses were high because (as the British involved in the Tobruk siege discovered) ships were of necessity predictable in their timetable. Nevertheless it was preferable to sharing the big ships' usual routine of swinging around the buoy. Most successful of the Italians were the 'torpedo boats', which proved adept at anti-submarine warfare.
At the end of World War I the Japanese had the concept of first- and second-class destroyers, the one being a scaled-up version of the other. Before this the Japanese navy had either bought British ships or copied them closely, but with the 21 'Momi' class and 15 'Minekaze' class second- and first-class destroyers they produced something a little more original, following an earlier German lead in providing a well between the short forecastle and the bridge structure. Destroyers of the time were quite short overall and, still without the benefit of a superimposed gun on a deckhouse forward, were prone to bury their noses in a short head sea. At best this made life difficult for the bridge personnel, and at worst there was danger of the structure being flattened or swept clean. The forward well acted as a natural break and, as it moved things aft, also provided space for one set of torpedo tubes. The 'Minekazes', all launched between 1919 and 1922, were the 'Ari-kaze', 'Hakaze', 'Hokaze', 'Minekaze', 'Namikaze', 'Nokaze', 'Numakaze', 'Okikaze', 'Sawakaze', 'Shiokaze', 'Tachikaze', 'Yakaze' and 'Yukaze'.

The classes introduced the 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tube to Japanese destroyers, twins in the 'Momis' and triples in the 'Minekazes'. (And the nine similar 'Kamikaze' class follow-ons). Both types carried their 120-mm (4.72-in) guns (the calibre itself reflecting earlier British influence) high on deck-houses and forecastle, enabling them to be fought in poor conditions when the weather deck was likely to be swept by loose water.

By World War II standards the 'Minekazes' were both small and old, and, with the shortage of escorts being quickly and dramatically exploited by American submarines, most of the class shed half their main-calibre guns, mmesweeping gear and all but a pair of torpedo tubes to mount depth-charge throwers and ammunition, together with an ever-increasing number of light AA guns.

Four units, after the fashion of some older British destroyers, had a very thorough conversion to convoy escorts with some boiler capacity sacrificed for extra bunker space. One, the 'Sawakaze', was reportedly fitted with an ahead-firing nine-barrelled AS rocket-launcher. Nine of the class themselves fell victim to submarine attack, the nameship being sunk by the USS Pogy in the East China Sea early in 1944 at a time when destroyers were made priority torpedo targets.

**Specification 'Minekaze' class**

- Displacement: 1,215 tons standard and 1,650 tons full load
- Dimensions: length 102.5 m (336.3 ft); beam 9.0 m (29.5 ft); draught 2.89 m (9 ft)
- Propulsion: two sets of geared steam turbines delivering 2870 kW (38,500 shp) to two shafts
- Speed: 39 kts
- Endurance: 6670 km (4,145 miles) at 14 kts
- Armament: four single 120-mm (4.72-in) guns, two machine-guns, two triple 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tube mountings and up to 20 mines
- Complement: 148

Below: This is a 'Minekaze' as she appeared in late 1944 after being converted to carry Kaiten suicide torpedo craft. This desperate expedient failed to achieve success on a scale to rival that of the kamikaze aircraft, which had begun to launch their attacks earlier in the year.

**Tubuki' class**

At the time of their construction, the 20 'Tubuki' class destroyers (launched in 1927-31) were among the trend-setters of the destroyer world. They had been preceded by the 12 'Mutsuki' class destroyers, which had further refined the 'Kamikazes' with their strong Anglo-German influences. Only then did the Japanese designers go fully their own way and produce a type of destroyer so advanced that it was still formulative 15 years later at the end of World War II, besides influencing all the classes that followed it. Firstly a significant increase in size was accepted to accommodate more top-weight. The awkward forward well was discontinued in favour of a more conventional continuous forecastle, the freeboard of which was increased, and the bridgework was both strengthened and raised to reduce water impact damage. In the preceding class the 'Tubuki' class revolutionized destroyer design by substantially increasing the size of the vessel. This reduced the vulnerability to heavy seas and enabled them to carry a formidable armament of six 127-mm (5-in) guns and nine 610-mm (24-in) torpedo tubes.
the 610-mm (24-in) torpedo had been introduced and the ‘Fubuki’ carried three triple mountings, with stowage for nine spare torpedoes. This early commitment to torpedoes was obvious, yet Allied forces were constantly surprised by the bold and ready manner in which the Japanese were prepared to use them in World War II.

A further innovation was the updating of the main calibre guns to 127 mm (5 in) and mounting these in fully enclosed twin gunhouses, one forward and two aft to lower their combined centre of gravity. In those units launched from 1929 the elevation of the main battery was increased to 70°, an angle unmatched in their day to give a dual-purpose capacity that was little short of visionary. This splendid class was also of high power, the 37285 kW (50,000 shp) being good for 38 knots. Unfortunately, this was bought at the expense of hull strength, and a degree of tenderness. Service during the 1930s highlighted these failings, and the ships were heavily strengthened and given extra ballast. This added another 400 tons to the displacement, slowing them by 4 knots. Further topweight was saved later by not carrying spare torpedoes and landing the superfiring after mountings in favour of a very necessary enhancement to the AA defences. The class served widely in all theatres, only one unit surviving the war. The ships were the Akebono, Amagiri, Asagiri, Ayanami, Fubuki, Hatsuyuki, Izanami, Miyuki, Murakumo, Ohoro, Sagiri, Sazanami, Shikinami, Shino- nome, Shirakumo, Shirayuki, Uranami, Ushio, Usugumo and Yugiri.

Specification

Tubuki’ class (as built)
Displacement: 2,090 tons standard
Dimensions: length 118.35 m (388.3 ft); beam 10.36 m (34.0 ft); draught 3.2 m

Armament: three twin 127-mm (5-in) guns, two machine-guns, three triple 610-mm (24-in) torpedo tube mountings with nine reloads, and up to 18 mines
Complement: 197

Propulsion: two sets of geared steam turbines delivering 37285 kW (50,000 shp) to two shafts
Speed: 37 knots
Endurance: 7800 km (4,806 miles) at 15 knots
Armament: three single 120-mm (4.72-in) guns, two machine-guns, three triple 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tube mountings with nine reloads, and up to 18 mines
Complement: 112

Chiotori, second ship of the ‘Tomodzura’ class, is seen here off Maizuru in 1934, there the nameship capsized while on running trials due to the designers’ attempt to cram an excessive armament on to a vessel of modest displacement.

From 1943 the X turret was removed from surviving ‘Tubuki’ class destroyers in favour of more light AA guns. The original AA armament of two 13-mm machine-guns was changed to four 13-mm and 14 25-mm weapons as US aircraft were recognized as a major threat.

While it may demonstrate the ingenuity of the naval architect, warship design rarely profited from attempting an ambitious fit on a limited displacement, yet this is just what the interwar treaties brought about. The Japanese had operated a class of first-class torpedo boat (or coastal destroyer) up to the mid-1920s, but had not repeated the type until the four-ship ‘Tomodzura’ class, ordered in 1931 to top-up the Japanese tonnage entitled under the London Treaty. The value of such small craft is evident when it is remembered that Japanese interests looked not only eastward over the Pacific but also at the mainland Orient across the shallow and unrestricted Sea of Japan. At 650-ton standard displacement, these ships carried a very ambitious armament of a single and a twin 127-mm (5-in) gunhouse and two pairs of 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tubes, all on a fine hull able to achieve 30 knots on a modest 8203 kW (11,000 shp). The weakness of this maritime conjuring was made rudely evident when the nameship capsized in heavy weather in 1943. As the hull did not founder it was recovered, heavily modified (as were the remainder of the class) and recommissioned.

The lesson was timely as regards the whole fleet but particularly so in the case of the follow-on ‘Ootori’ class boats, then about to be ordered. Surprisingly, though the latter were enlarged their slender proportions hardly changed, the length: beam ratio still being nearly 11:1. As a comparison, the ‘Ootons’ were longer than either a British Type II Hunt (86.1 m/282.5 ft) or an American DE (88.1 m/289 ft), yet the beams of the latter were 9.6 m (31.5 ft) and 10.7 m (35 ft) respectively. At the same time the Japanese had eventually to accept a greatly reduced armament of two 120-mm (4.72-in) guns and only one pair of tubes. The price of a destroyer’s speed was, indeed, high. Although eight of the 16 units projected were cancelled, those completed (the ‘Hatsuyuki, Sigatoki, Kari, Kusasagi, Kijii, Ootori and Sagi, all launched in 1935–7) were reported a good AS ships, though both the Sagi and Hiyodori were sunk separately by the American submarine USS Gunnel on the same patrol. The nameship was sunk off Saipan in June 1944 with no less than 13 other ships when a convoy was totally overwhelmed by American carrierborne aircraft.

Specification

‘Ootori’ class (as built)
Displacement: 840 tons standard and 1050 tons full load
Dimensions: length 88.35 m (289.9 ft); beam 8.2 m (26.9 ft); draught 2.8 m (9.3 ft)
Propulsion: two sets of geared steam turbines delivering 14,688 kW (19,000 shp) to two shafts
Speed: 30 knots
Endurance: 7400 km (4,598 miles) at 14 knots
Armament: three single 120-mm (4.72-in) and one 40-mm AA guns, and one triple 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tube mounting
Complement: 111
'Akatsuki' and 'Kagero' classes

It is worthy of note that in the 'Fubukis' the Japanese had destroyers of a specification superior to that of the British T class, yet a full decade earlier. This sudden leap in capability was bound to bring problems, as succeeding classes demonstrated. The four 'Akatsuki' class ships of 1931-3 kept the same arrangement on a slightly shorter hull but reduced the forward funnel to a thick pipe to save topweight, with lightweight masting and a reduction in depth charges. The 'Hibiki' of this group was the first all-welded Japanese destroyer. In the six 'Hatsuhara' class ships that followed, length was again cut, along with one 127-mm (5-in) gun and a set of torpedo tubes with reloads; installed power and speed were also reduced as designers wrestled with London Treaty restrictions. They were largely repeated with the 10 'Shirasu' class ships, which again experienced a reduction in hull length, yet succeeded in increasing the torpedo armament to eight 610-mm (24-in) torpedoes with the usual set of reload weapons. The 10 'Asashio' class ships of 1937 were late enough to bypass lip service to treaties and returned to a size and armament almost identical with the 'Fubukis' of nearly a decade before. That this basic design was still relevant was underscored by recognizing it as the basis of the necessary expansion in destroyers on the lead-up to war. Thus 18 more destroyers, nearly identical, but proportionately beamier and known as the 'Kagero' class, were put into the water between 1938 and 1941.

Their main characteristics were little different from those of the 'Fubukis', with superimposed twin gunhouses and a forward funnel to a distinctive gap, which allowed very wide arcs. Both sets of torpedo tubes could be reloaded rapidly from low storages flanking the forward funnel and in the after deckhouse. A 20-ship repeat class, the 'Yugumo' class, followed in 1941-3.

The 'Kageros' themselves were heavily involved, only one ship surviving the war, the 'Natsumi' of the Kagero class, and thereby the 'Asashio' class, the 'Kageros' were excellent fleet destroyers. One of their number, the 'Kagero', became the first Japanese destroyer to receive radar in 1943.

Below: 'Hibiki' was Japan's first welded warship, and was the only 'Akatsuki' class destroyer to survive the war. Her X turret was replaced with more light AA weapons in 1942, and she ended the war carrying 28 25-mm cannon.

Above: Arashi creates an impressive wake at nearly 35 kts. Just after midnight on 7 August 1943, she and three other destroyers were intercepted by six US destroyers while on a resupply mission, and in a furious action lasting just 30 minutes she and two of her companions were torpedoed and sunk.

Kuroshio, Makaze, Onogake, Oyashio, Shiranuki, Tanikaze, Tokitsukaze, Urakaze and Yukiwaze

Specification

'Kagero' class (as built)

Displacement: 2,035 tons standard and 2,490 tons full load
Dimensions: length 118.45 m (388.6 ft); beam 10.8 m (35.4 ft); draught 3.76 m (12.3 ft)
Propulsion: two sets of geared steam turbines delivering 38,776 kW (52,000 shp) to two shafts
Speed: 35 kts
Endurance: 9,250 km (5,748 miles) at 15 kts
Armament: three twin 127-mm (5-in) and two twin 25-mm AA guns, and two quadruple 610-mm (24-in) torpedo tube mountings
Complement: 240
By far the largest destroyers built in series by the Japanese, the 'Akitsuki' class ships were conceived originally as AA escorts comparable with the British 'Dido' and US 'Atlanta' cruiser classes and, by comparison, offered a cheaper solution to the problem. The choice of a 100-mm (3.94-in) gun was probably better than that of the 133.4-mm (5.25-in) and 127-mm (5-in) weapons of the Western ships, whose rate of fire was considerably lower, though the lively hull of a destroyer must have made them less effective than cruisers when firing at aircraft. They were the only eight-gun destroyers in the Japanese fleet, and it would seem that the quadruple torpedo tube mounting was something of a late addition. Though they had the basis of an effective design, the Japanese too had underestimated the devastating effect of a determined air attack and only four light automatic guns of the standard 25-mm calibre were originally shipped. War experience encouraged the addition of more at virtually any opportunity, so that, by the end of the war, those still afloat (six were sunk) could dispose of up to 50 such weapons. Launched between 1941 and 1944, the ships of the class were the Akitsuki, Fuyutsuki, Hanatsuki, Harutsuki, Hatsutsuki, Natsusuki, Niitsuki, Shimotsuki, Suzutsuki, Terutsuki, Wakatsuki and Yoitsuki.

The most distinctive feature of the class was the complex casing of the single stack; extensive trunking enabled the funnel to be sited far enough abaft the bridge both to cut the smoke problem and greatly improve visibility, while placing it sufficiently far forward to permit extra AA platforms to be installed where the after stack would normally have been.

A feature of preceding classes had been their extremely light masts, but the 'Akitsuki' were among the first to have their masts strengthened for the support of the considerable bulk of the Type 22 surveillance radar antenna. The size of the hull, combined with a comparatively light armament and few torpedoes, allowed more generous topweight margins than was customary with Japanese destroyers, one result being a large depth-charge capacity. Nearly 40 more hulls to two improved designs were planned but never completed.

Specification

'Akitsuki' class (as built)

Displacement: 2,700 tons standard and 3,700 tons full load
Dimensions: length 134.12 m (440.0 ft); beam 11.6m (38.1 ft); draught 4.11 m (13.5ft)
Propulsion: two sets of geared steam turbines delivering 38776 kW (52,000 shp) to two shafts
Speed: 33 kts
Endurance: 14825 km (9,212 miles) at 18 kts
Armament: four twin 100-mm (3.94-in) and two twin 25-mm AA guns, and one quadruple 610-mm (24-in) torpedo tube mounting
Complement: 285

Carrying only a light gun armament and four torpedo tubes, the 'Akitsukis' could accommodate a substantial depth-charge capacity. Their large hulls soon bristled with light AA weapons, 40 to 50 25-mm guns being fitted to the units that were still operational in 1945.

'Akitsuki' class destroyers could easily be distinguished by their single funnel. Sited well abaft the bridge, it allowed greater visibility and less smoke difficulty while allowing more AA weapons to be shipped in the space where their contemporaries had a second stack.

Carry on a light gun armament and four torpedo tubes, the 'Akitsukis' could accommodate a substantial depth-charge capacity. Their large hulls soon bristled with light AA weapons, 40 to 50 25-mm guns being fitted to the units that were still operational in 1945.

JAPAN

'Matsu' class

Japan's commitment to a short war was nowhere more evident than in her lack of plans for rapid fleet expansion. Convoy escorts were virtually non-existent (as, indeed, were plans for the convoy system itself) and pre-war fleet destroyers, that were being lost and disabled at an alarming rate, were being replaced by ships of equal quality. Though the notion was laudable, there simply was neither the time nor the capacity to produce such ships, and a Japanese destroyer losses rapidly outpaced shipyard production, and in common with her enemies Japan resorted to the construction of a utility class of escort destroyer. Nevertheless, the 'Matsu' class were better armed and more comfortable vessels than their Allied equivalents.

By far the largest destroyers built in series by the Japanese, the 'Akitsuki' class ships were conceived originally as AA escorts comparable with the British 'Dido' and US 'Atlanta' cruiser classes and, by comparison, offered a cheaper solution to the problem. The choice of a 100-mm (3.94-in) gun was probably better than that of the 133.4-mm (5.25-in) and 127-mm (5-in) weapons of the Western ships, whose rate of fire was considerably lower, though the lively hull of a destroyer must have made them less effective than cruisers when firing at aircraft. They were the only eight-gun destroyers in the Japanese fleet, and it would seem that the quadruple torpedo tube mounting was something of a late addition. Though they had the basis of an effective design, the Japanese too had underestimated the devastating effect of a determined air attack and only four light automatic guns of the standard 25-mm calibre were originally shipped. War experience encouraged the addition of more at virtually any opportunity, so that, by the end of the war, those still afloat (six were sunk) could dispose of up to 50 such weapons. Launched between 1941 and 1944, the ships of the class were the Akitsuki, Fuyutsuki, Hanatsuki, Haratsuki, Hatsutsuki, Natsusuki, Niitsuki, Shimotsuki, Suzutsuki, Teratsuki, Wakatsuki and Yoitsuki.

The most distinctive feature of the class was the complex casing of the single stack; extensive trunking enabled the funnel to be sited far enough abaft the bridge both to cut the smoke problem and greatly improve visibility, while placing it sufficiently far forward to permit extra AA platforms to be installed where the after stack would normally have been.

A feature of preceding classes had been their extremely light masts, but the 'Akitsuki' were among the first to have their masts strengthened for the support of the considerable bulk of the Type 22 surveillance radar antenna. The size of the hull, combined with a comparatively light gun armament and few torpedoes, allowed more generous topweight margins than was customary with Japanese destroyers, one result being a large depth-charge capacity. Nearly 40 more hulls to two improved designs were planned but never completed.

Specification

'Akitsuki' class (as built)

Displacement: 2,700 tons standard and 3,700 tons full load
Dimensions: length 134.12 m (440.0 ft); beam 11.6m (38.1 ft); draught 4.11 m (13.5ft)
Propulsion: two sets of geared steam turbines delivering 38776 kW (52,000 shp) to two shafts
Speed: 33 kts
Endurance: 14825 km (9,212 miles) at 18 kts
Armament: four twin 100-mm (3.94-in) and two twin 25-mm AA guns, and one quadruple 610-mm (24-in) torpedo tube mounting
Complement: 285

Carrying only a light gun armament and four torpedo tubes, the 'Akitsukis' could accommodate a substantial depth-charge capacity. Their large hulls soon bristled with light AA weapons, 40 to 50 25-mm guns being fitted to the units that were still operational in 1945.

'Akitsuki' class destroyers could easily be distinguished by their single funnel. Sited well abaft the bridge, it allowed greater visibility and less smoke difficulty while allowing more AA weapons to be shipped in the space where their contemporaries had a second stack.

Carrying only a light gun armament and four torpedo tubes, the 'Akitsukis' could accommodate a substantial depth-charge capacity. Their large hulls soon bristled with light AA weapons, 40 to 50 25-mm guns being fitted to the units that were still operational in 1945.

'Akitsuki' class destroyers could easily be distinguished by their single funnel. Sited well abaft the bridge, it allowed greater visibility and less smoke difficulty while allowing more AA weapons to be shipped in the space where their contemporaries had a second stack.

Carrying only a light gun armament and four torpedo tubes, the 'Akitsukis' could accommodate a substantial depth-charge capacity. Their large hulls soon bristled with light AA weapons, 40 to 50 25-mm guns being fitted to the units that were still operational in 1945.
utility design had rapidly to be developed. In profile this, the 'Matsu' class, looked large by virtue of the two spindly and widely spaced funnels, but it was the smallest both in terms of size and displacement to be built since World War I. The correct scale was given by the gun mountings, which appeared overlarge. These were simple in the extreme, a single handwork-
ed 127-mm (5-in) weapon in a shield forward and a twin in an open structure aft. Installed power was little more than one-third that of the fleet destroyers, but the 'Matsu' could still manage about 28 kts, more than adequate for convoy work. A respectable two dozen 25-mm automatic AA weapons were carried, though many of these were single-barrelled mountings, sited in very exposed positions along the edges of the hull. Right amidships was a quadruple 610-mm (24-in) torpedo tube bank; a new-pattern sextuple unit had been planned but not completed. In a ship of this capcity and speed the tubes were mainly of defensive value but still had the splinter-proof houF° from which this important weapon could be worked in some comfort. This, and the en-
closed bridge, contrasted with the spartan appointments on British ships where, at the time, it seemed little appreciated that a comfortable crew actually performed better, without the tendency to 'go soft'1.

Only 17 of the planned 28 Matsu's were so completed in 1944-5, by which time the design had been even further simplified into the Tachibana' class variant, of which 23 were laid down but many were not completed. Ninety further units never proceeded beyond the planning stage. By virtue of their being completed late in the war and engaged on second-line duties, an unusual number survived, losses amount-
ing to 11.

 Specification
'Matsu' class
Displacement: 1,260 tons standard and 1,530 tons full load
Dimensions: length 100.0 m (328.1 ft); beam 9.35 m (30.7 ft); draught 3.27 m (10.7 ft)
Propulsion: two sets of geared steam turbines delivering 14168 k W (19.000 shp) to two shafts
Speed: 27.3 kts
Endurance: 8350 km (5,188 miles) at 16 kts
Armament: one twin and one single

 Designed for rapid production, the 'Matsu' class's turbines provided only about a third of the power of a fleet destroyer's machinery, but they were capable of a respectable 28 kts. The 'Matsu' class had their two sets of machinery arranged in separate units for better damage con trol.

127-mm (5-in) plus four triple and 12 single 25-mm AA guns, and one quadruple 610-mm (24-in) torpedo tube mounting
Complement: not known

ITALY
'Generale' class

Like the Germans, the Italians operated a large force of light destroyers alongside their main fleet units. Both navies referred to these as torpedo boats, a term which sometimes confuses the British reader, who may think of them in terms of MTBs. The nearest thing to such ships in the Royal Navy were the 'Hunt' classes which were more robust but slower; equivalent to the older enemy boats were the few Admiralty 'S' class units still serving.

The six 'Generale' class ships were the last of four very similar 73-m (239.5-ft) classes which commenced with the eight-strong 'Pilo' class of 1914-5. These were narrow-gutted three-
suckers, typical destroyers of their time, which were downgraded to torpedo boat status when the larger ships commissioned. For their size they were quite ambitiously armed, with five single 102-mm (4-in) guns and two twin 440-mm (17.3-in) tor-
pedo tube mountings. The gun layout was hardly satisfactory, with one mounting on the raised forecastle, two sided amidships and two on the quar-
terdeck, no more than three being effective on either beam. The four 'Sif-
tori' class ships squeezed in an extra gun on an already tight topweight re-
serve. These dated from 1916-7 and were followed by the eight 'La Masa' class ships of 1917-9, which had their armament reduced to only four guns. In 1919-20 came four 'Palestro' class ships, slightly larger at 82 m (269 ft) to accommodate a near 50 per cent in-
crease in power. Though these were to have a follow-on in the 'Curatatone' class of 1922-3, these two groups were separated by one last 73-m (239.5-ft) class, the 'Generali', all six of which were launched in 1921-2 by the single yard of Odero, at Sestri Ponente. The ships were the Generale Antonio Cattore, Generale Antonio Cascino, Generale Antonio Chinotto, Generale Carlo Montanari, Generale Achille Papa and Generale Marcello Pre-
sauari. Of similar size to the earlier ships, they carried only three guns, a complement to which most were eventually reduced by wartime demands. None of these small and elderly ships was employed in front-line operations, but all nevertheless became war casualties. Three were mined, one of them the Chinotto, sinking in a field laid by the British submarine HMS Ror-
gual during a particularly fruitful pat-
rol. These mines, off western Sicily, also claimed two merchantmen, while the submarine also sank another and an Italian submarine by torpedo.

 Specification
'Generale' class (as built)
Displacement: 635 tons standard and 890 tons full load
Dimensions: length 73.5 m (241.1 ft); beam 7.33 m (24.0 ft); draught 2.5 m (8.2 ft)
Propulsion: two sets of steam turbines delivering 11186 kW (15,000 shp) to two shafts
Speed: 30 kts
Armament: three single 102-mm (4-in) and two 76-mm (3-in) AA guns, two twin 450-mm (17.7-in) torpedo tube mountings and up to 18 mines
Complement: 105

The Italian navy, like the German navy, classified its light destroyers as 'torpedo boats'. Eight-hundred-ton vessels mounting three 102-mm (4-in) guns, a pair of 450-mm (17.7-in) torpedoes and up to 18 mines, the versatile 'Generale' class were built in the early 1920s.

502
Dating from 1927-8, the eight 'Turbine' class destroyers (Aquilone, Borea, Espero, Euro, Nembo, Ostro, Turbine and Zefiro) were nearly identical with the quartet of 'Sauro' class units that immediately preceded them, the major difference being an extra 3 m (9.84 ft) or so in length to accommodate an approximate 11 per cent increase in power. A feature of both types was the massive armoured 'pillbox' of a conning tower that topped-off the enclosed bridge. They were the last Italian destroyers to have the low velocity 45-calibre 120-mm (4.72-in) guns, all those following having a 50-calibre weapon. They were, however, the first to mount a second director for the after guns; this was sited between the torpedobo tube groups but was probably set too low to be of very much use. The four 'Sauro' class ships were destroyed as part of the hopelessly isolated Red Sea squadron, while no less than six of the 'Turbine' class were sunk in 1940. Each of the class, in common with most Italian destroyers, could carry over 50 mines, and four of them thoroughly mined the waters off Tobruk. The Axis garrison there was to prove as much a problem to support as it did for the British; and the Espero became the first casualty as early as 28 June 1940 when caught by the Australian cruiser HMAS Sydney, the ship that went on to sink the cruiser Bartolomeo Colleoni off Cape Spada only three weeks later. 'Slingbags' from the carrier HMS Eagle disposed of the Zeffiro and a freighter, and heavily damaged the Euro in Tobruk harbour during early July, repeating the exercise barely a fortnight later when they sank the Ostro and Nembo together with a freighter in the adjacent Gulf of Bomba. It was these same aircraft, working from a shore base near Port Sudan, that were to sink two of the 'Sauros' in the Red Sea in the following April. Carrier-based air attack accounted for the first on the night of 16/17 September when HMS Illustrious blitzed Benghazi. The Piuro was sunk by German bombers after the Italian capitulation, while the Turbine herself, captured by the Germans, was finally sunk by American aircraft in September 1944.

**Specification**

**Turbine** class

Displacement: 1,090 tons standard and 1,700 tons full load

Dimensions: length 92.65 m (304.0 ft); beam 9.2 m (30.2 ft); draught 2.9 m (9.5 ft)

Propulsion: two sets of geared steam turbines delivering 29828 kW (40,000 shp)

Speed: 36 kts

Armament: two twin 120-mm (4.72-in) and two single 40-mm AA guns, two triple 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tube mountings and up to 52 mines

Complement: 180

The 'Navigatore' class were designed to have high speed, and sacrificed both armament and seakeeping for this end. They were constructed to counter the threat posed by the French 'Jaguar' and 'Guépard' class destroyers, but found themselves fighting a very different war.

**Specification**

**Navigatore** class

Displacement: 1,945 tons standard and 2,580 tons full load

Dimensions: length 107.75 m (353.5 ft); beam 10.2 m (33.5 ft); draught 3.5 m (11.5 ft)

Propulsion: two sets of geared steam turbines delivering 37285 kW (50,000 shp) to two shafts

Speed: 38 kts

Armament: three twin 120-mm (4.72-in) and three single 37-mm AA guns, two twin or triple 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tube mountings and up to 54 mines

Complement: 225

The extensive 'Soldato' class was the ultimate development of a sequence that began with the four-ship 'Dardo' class of 1930-2. They used deck space very effectively by successfully trunking all boiler uptakes into one substantial funnel casing. Four 120-mm (4.72-in) guns were carried but, unlike the British disposition, these were sited in two twin mountings, one on the forecastle deck and one on the same level atop a house set well aft, saving both deckspace and topweight. Slightly smaller than their British counterparts, the Italian ships were more highly powered, being deficient only in their torpedo complement, weapons that the Italians never valued very highly. Their distinctive profile became very much associated with the Italian fleet and was repeated in the largely similar 'Folgore' class quartet built in parallel. A feature was a separate director for each pair of guns, allowing two targets to be engaged effectively and simultaneously.

It was to improve seaworthiness and fighting qualities that the four 'Maes-
The most numerous class ever ordered by the Italian navy, the 'Soldato' class trunked all their boiler uptakes into a single large funnel casing, which created a very distinctive silhouette. Once again they were highly powered and capable of up to 39 kts.

Right: Like many Italian destroyers, the 'Soldato' class emphasized speed at the expense of armament and strength, and the Lanciere, seen here, foundered in the storm after the battle of Sirte, not because of British action but simply because her light design betrayed her.

trale' class ships of 1934 were lengthened by nearly 10 m (32.8 ft), with a proportionate increase in beam; in other respects they and the four 'Orioni' class ships of 1936 were essentially repeats, the latter having slightly increased power.

With war in Europe looming the Italian navy expanded, a 12-ship repeat 'Orioni' order being shared between four yards. All were launched 1937-8 as the first group of 'Soldati', and were the Alpino, Artigliere, Ascari, Aviere, Bersaglierie, Camicia Nera, Corazzieri, Faciliero, Geniere, Granatieri and Lanciere. Four of these introduced the first major change by taking a fifth 120-mm gun in a single mounting between the torpedo tube groups. The arrangement was kept in all but one of a further series of seven, only five of which were completed.

The Lanciere, of the first group, and the 'Maestrale' class Scirocco foundered in the gale through which the 2nd Battle of Sirte was fought; they had suffered no action damage and their loss was a reflection on the generally overlight scantlings used in Italian design. Four of the first group and three of the second survived the war. Of these the three were ceded to France and two of the others to the Soviet Union.

**Specification**

'Soldato' class (first series)
- Displacement: 1,830 tons standard and 2,460 tons full load
- Dimensions: length 106.75 m (350.2 ft); beam 10.15 m (33.3 ft); draught 3.6 m (11.8 ft)
- Propulsion: two sets of geared steam turbines delivering 3,579 kW (4,800 shp) to two shafts
- Speed: 39 kts
- Armament: four or five 120-mm (4.72-in) and one 37-mm AA guns, two triple 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tube mountings and up to 48 mines
- Complement: 219

'Soldato' class (second series)
- Displacement: 1,850 tons standard and 2,460 tons full load
- Dimensions: length 106.75 m (350.2 ft); beam 10.15 m (33.3 ft); draught 3.6 m (11.8 ft)
- Propulsion: two sets of geared steam turbines delivering 3,750 kW (4,980 shp) to two shafts
- Speed: 39 kts
- Armament: four or five 120-mm (4.72-in) and one 37-mm AA guns, two triple 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tube mountings and up to 48 mines
- Complement: 219

Scirocco, fourth ship of the similar 'Maestrale' class, also went down in the storm that overwhelmed Lanciere. Despite this terrible vulnerability to heavy seas, the 'Maestrale' were judged an adequate design and were the basis for the 'Soldato' class.

**'Ariete' class**

With 32 'Spica' class torpedo boats launched in 1936-8, the Italians were able to adopt a single-funnel arrangement, the more efficient hull being driven at the same speed as the preceding 'Curtatoni' for less power. Their profile was remarkably similar to that of the contemporary 'Orioni' class fleet destroyers, the major difference being the much bulkier funnel casing of the latter, necessary because of extensive trunking from two separate boiler spaces. They also lacked the funnel cap of the torpedo boats. With the 'Spicas' the 100-mm (3.94-in) gun was introduced; only three were carried and they were essentially for use against ships, having an elevation of only 45° and a rate of fire of about eight rounds per minute. Surprisingly, considering their category, they adhered to only four of the small 450-mm (17.72-in) tubes and these were largely wasted by initially siding them as singles, only two tubes thus bearing on either broadside. Centreline twin mountings were later substituted.

The 'Ariete' class design was only that of an improved 'Spica', whose extra beam demanded about 15 per cent more installed power but allowed greater topweight. This was used by two extra torpedo tubes (these were never uprated to the far more useful 533-mm/21-in), an increase in mine capacity from 20 to 28, or an equivalent increase in depth charge capacity. The Italians used their torpedo boats extensively and effectively for mine-laying.
Ariete was the only vessel of the class to serve with the Italian navy, the others being seized by the Germans in September 1943. The 'Arietes' were improved 'Spica' class boats intended primarily to protect convoys from a surface threat. The 'Spicas' themselves became very active minelayers during the war.

Not until 1942-3 were the Ariete's laid down, the fleet having by then the benefit of combat experience. Over 40 units were planned in an extended programme but, though spread among three yards, only 16 (namely the Alabarda, Ariete, Arturo, Auriga, Balestra, Daga, Drago, Eridano, Fionda, Gladio, Lancia, Pugnale, Rigel, Spica and Stella Polare) were actually laid down. Of these only the nameship was actually delivered to the Italian fleet, a month before the armistice. The remainder, in various stages of completion, fell into German hands, only 13 of them actually seeing service at sea. Only two (Ariete and Balestra) survived to serve post-war, both under the Yugoslav flag.

**Specification**

- **'Ariete' class**
  - **Displacement:** 800 tons standard and 1,125 tons full load
  - **Dimensions:** length 82.25 m (269.8 ft); beam 8.6 m (28.2 ft); draught 2.8 m (9.2 ft)
  - **Propulsion:** two sets of geared steam turbines delivering 16,405 kW (22,000 shp) to two shafts
  - **Speed:** 31kts
  - **Armament:** two single 100-mm (3.94-in) and two single 37-mm AA guns, two triple 450-mm (17.72-in) torpedo tube mountings and up to 28 mines
  - **Complement:** 155

- **'Type 34' or 'Maass' class**
  - **Displacement:** 800 tons standard and 1,125 tons full load
  - **Dimensions:** length 82.25 m (269.8 ft); beam 8.6 m (28.2 ft);draught 2.8 m (9.2 ft)
  - **Propulsion:** two sets of geared steam turbines delivering 16,405 kW (22,000 shp) to two shafts
  - **Speed:** 31kts
  - **Armament:** single 100-mm (3.94-in) and single 37-mm AA guns, two triple 450-mm (17.72-in) torpedo tube mountings and up to 28 mines
  - **Complement:** 155

---

*Leberecht Maass is seen in a disruptive camouflage pattern which included a false bow wave and wash. Soon after completion she had her hull strengthened by additional plating and her bow extended slightly. The ship had the misfortune to run into a minefield laid by Heinkel He 111 bombers in the North Sea.*
It was the misfortune of the group to lose 10 of its number at Narvik, mainly through poor leadership. Another five were lost later in the war. During the first couple of months of the war, these ships had contributed greatly to the mining campaign off the east coast that cost the British dear. The ships in the class were the Leberecht Maass (Z1), Georg Thiele (Z2), Max Schultz (Z3), Richard Beitzen (Z4), Pauljacob (ZS), Theodor Riedel (Z6), Hermann Schumann (Z7), Bruno Heinemann (Z8), Wolfgang Zenker (Z9), Hans Lody (Z10), Bernd von Arnim (ZU), Erich Giese (Z12), Erich Köllner (Z13), Friedrich Hin (Z14), Erich Steinbrüch (Z15), Friedrich Eckoldt (Z16), Diether von Roeder (Z17), Hans Lüdemann (Zl8), Hermann Kühn (Z19), Karl Galster (Z20), Wilhelm Heidkamp (Z21) and Anton Schmitt (Z22).

**Specification**

*Type 34* class (as built)
- Displacement: 2,230 tons standard and 3,160 tons full load
- Dimensions: length 119.0 m (390.4 ft); beam 11.3 m (37.1 ft); draught 3.8 m (12.5 ft)
- Propulsion: two seats of geared steam turbines delivering 52,199 kW (70,000 shp) to two shafts
- Speed: 38 kts
- Endurance: 8,150 km (5,064 miles) at 19 kts
- Armament: five single 127-mm (5-in), two twin 37-mm AA and six single 20-mm AAGuns, two quadruple 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tube mountings, and up to 60 mines
- Complement: 315

Karl Galster shows off her clipper bow, which was fitted to all subsequent German destroyers. Her machinery was theoretically capable of 40 kts, but wartime shortages of material made maintenance increasingly difficult.

Below: Karl Galster was the fourth of six units of the *Type 36* destroyers, which were slightly modified *Type 34s*. All five other sister ships were sunk at Narvik, but Z20 survived the war and ended her days in Soviet service in the Baltic, renamed the Protschnyi. She was broken up in the 1950s.

**Type 36A** or 'Z23' class

The *Type 36A* class destroyers were war-built and launched in 1940-2, and while the fleet would have preferred a ship enlarged from the *Type 34* and capable of long-range operation, it received another slight stretch of the original design with the major difference of an increase in main battery calibre to 150mm (5.9-in). This had 60 per cent greater weight of shot and a better range, but was difficult and slow for handworking. The weight of the two forward superimposed guns was to be cut by substituting a twin turret, but this was long in development and troublesome when it finally entered service, and most of the class started their lives with only one single mounting forward which, if it did nothing for their fighting potential, certainly improved their seakeeping. Those that were retrofitted with the twin turret experienced severe green water effects forward in heavy weather. A problem with the earlier class, poor manoeuvrability, was met by a redesign of the area of the cut-up and the provision of twin rudders but, overall, the Type 36A did not appeal to a seaman.

The initial order for the Type 36A comprised Z23 to Z29, seven more, Z31 to Z34 and Z37 to Z39 (to a slightly modified design) were later added. These ships, though unnamed, were popularly known as the 'Narvik' class, the name originating with the Germans themselves, their Norwegian-based units adopting something of the earlier ships that had been destroyed there in April 1940.

Perhaps surprisingly, only six of the 15 *Type 36As* were lost during the war. Two of the survivors gave the French fleet over a decade of post-war use while another, the Z38, was actual-
Early experience with their 150-mm (5.91-in) gunned destroyers convinced the German naval planners of their mistake, and seven ships (Z35, Z36 and Z43 to Z47) of the same basic hull and machinery were redesigned around the earlier 127-mm (5-in) single-mountings. These ships were known as the Type 36 class but, confusingly, the last two of the group were again relabelled the ‘Type 36C’ class when yet another proposed design would have introduced a new twin 127-mm turret and uprated machinery performance. The confusion of types and pennant number sequences about this time were symptomatic of ambitious naval plans running foul of day-to-day priorities in meeting an overextended range of construction and repair demands. In short, the system could not cope, and the Z43 was the last destroyer actually completed for German service. This was in March 1944 but it was of only academic importance as, by this stage of the war, ocean operations had effectively ceased for the surface fleet, which existed largely in the relative safety of the Baltic.

The three units actually completed (Z35, Z36 and Z43) had a main battery disposition identical with that of the earlier ‘Type 34A’, but were given a greatly enhanced AA outfit. By virtue of the lower topweight of the 127-mm armament it was possible to ship two twin 37-mm, and three quadruple and three single 20-mm guns, the generosity of which scale reflected the aerial threat at this stage in the war. As all German destroyers could lay mines, the possible increase in capacity to 76 was important. The minelaying capacity of all three was being utilized on the night of 11/12 December 1944 when, accompanied by a pair of torpedo boats, they were due to lay a field west of the Estonian port of Revel. A combination of faulty navigation and a desire to ‘press on’ despite darkness and very poor weather conditions found the group straying into an earlier field. Both the Z35 and Z36 were blown up with their full loads and their complete crews. The Z43 survived to support the northern flank of the retreating German armies in the last desperate weeks of early 1945. Finally, damaged by ground mines and bombing, she was scuttled in the Geltunger Bucht.

**Specification**

**Type 36A class**

Displacement: 2,600 tons standard and 3,600 tons full load

Dimensions: length 127.0 m (416.67 ft); beam 12.0 m (39.4 ft); draught 3.9 m (12.8 ft)

Propulsion: two sets of geared steam turbines delivering 52199 kW (70,000 shp) to two shafts

Speed: 36 kts

**Endurance**: 10935 km (6,795 miles) at 19 kts

Armament: three single and one twin 150-mm (5.9-in), two twin 37-mm AA guns and five single 20-mm AA guns, two quadruple 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tube mountings and up to 60 mines

Complement: 321

---

**'SPI' or 'Z40' class**

The Germans seemed concerned at the potential firepower of the big French destroyers and, perceiving a requirement for ships of their own capable of a degree of independent action, initiated the Spähkreuzer (scout cruiser) or SP concept. At the beginning of World War II, however, the planned number of destroyers was trimmed in view of other priorities. Of the five stricken from the ‘Type 36A’ programme three (Z40 to Z42) were reinstated early in 1941 as an enlarged trio, which were to be followed by another with hull number unspecified. The design passed through several phases before losing favour and being recast into the so-called ‘Zerstörer 1941’, construction being suspended in 1942 and the incomplete hulls being scrapped in 1943.

With range a problem in earlier destroyers, the SPs would have had better endurance conferred by a three-shaft layout, with steam turbines on the wing shafts and cruising-diesel drive on a centreline shaft. They would have been nearly 10 m (32.8 ft) longer than the comparable ‘Capitani Romani’ of the Italian fleet and, while lacking the latter’s speed, would have been still more truly destroyers in concept. Their extra size would have made for steadier gun platforms and justified the 150-mm (5.91-in) main battery. Final innovations were the uprated torpedo tube battery and mine stowage.

Beyond the SPs the Germans worked on a couple of all-diesel designs. The multi-diesel layout was a popular concept with their designers since the proven reliability and economy of those in the Panzerschiffe. Lighter distillate fuels were more readily available in Germany by synthesis than heavy bunker oils, which had to be imported. The ‘Type 42’ class embraced initially only one prototype.
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Germany
specification
'SP' class
Displacement: 4,540 tons standard
Dimensions: length 152.0 m (498.7 ft);
beam 14.6 m (47.9 ft); draught 4.6 m
(15.1 ft)
Propulsion: two sets of geared steam
engines delivering 57792 kW
(77,500 shp) to the two wing shafts and
one diesel delivering 10815 kW
(14,500 bhp) to one centreline shaft
Speed: 36 kts on steam power
Endurance: 22250 km (13,826 miles) at
19 kts
Armament: three twin 150-mm (5.91-
in), one twin 88-mm (3.46-in) DP, four
twin 37-mm AA and three quadruple
20-mm AA guns, two quintiple 533-
mm (21-in) torpedo tube mountings,
and up to 140 mines
Complement: not known

speed: 33.5 kts
Endurance: 9300 km (5,789 miles) at
19 kts
Armament: four single 105-mm (4.13-
in), two twin 37-mm AA and six single
20-mm AA guns, two triple 533-mm
(21-in) torpedo tube mountings and up
to 50 mines
Complement: 198

Albatros was among the first units
built for the navy by the Weimar
Republic, and her design reflected
German experience in the 1914-18
war. Armed with six 500-mm (19.6-
in) torpedoes and three 105-mm (4.1-
in) guns, the class served as 'maids of
all work' and all six were casualties.
Of all the combatants in World War II, it was Britain and Japan who were most dependent upon a seaborne lifeline, and it was those nations to whom a threat to their merchant fleets was mortal. Obviously, means had to be established to protect the trade routes.

Before World War I, theory had it that merchant shipping could run safely in defended maritime corridors. However, this proved a costly fallacy. Following countless attacks, all merchant shipping had to be accompanied by escorted convoys - but not before millions of tons of merchant shipping had been lost. With such a hard lesson learned, the British now had the basis of a useful escort fleet at the beginning of World War II.

Initially, the numbers of these escort vessels were quite inadequate and the designs were limited in both capability and endurance. Nevertheless, the organization existed whereby these vessels could be expanded and improved rapidly. British convoys, in particular, had to face a variety of threats (high speed E-boat attacks on coastal routes, organized U-boat group assault in the Atlantic, and combined aircraft and surface attack on the Arctic and Mediterranean movements, to name but a few) and different escorts were required for each.

With too few anti-submarine (AS) ships and with very little experience in a theatre of war, the escort forces were at first on the defensive. More and larger ships enabled the close escorts to be strengthened and enhanced in capability. Science improved both equipment and techniques. The formation of roving support groups and the rapid development of aircraft support allowed, firstly, for threatened convoys to have their escort groups reinforced rapidly and decisively and, secondly, for the taking of the offensive against the U-boat by actually operating close astride its main route to and from its bases.

The aerial threat could never be met by direct attack and defeat of the aircraft themselves, only by land offensives which removed the aircraft bases or by satisfactory outcomes to campaigns that obviated the need to run the convoys themselves.

Interestingly, the discredited early British arguments against convoys and, therefore, the need for 'defensive' escort ships in an essentially 'offensive' fleet, were actually taken on board and carried on by both the Americans and Japanese fleets, neither of whom had had the benefit of bitter first-hand experience. Because both powers had neglected to learn from the lesson of the British they paid dearly for their unpreparedness.
'Wolf and 'Möwe' classes

Inasmuch as the Germans recognized that their mercantile marine would cease to function normally at the outbreak of war, they had little need of escorts in the same sense as the British. Nevertheless, the blockade of the German coast by submarine and mine entailed the covering of warships while the quite considerable volume of coastal traffic, (e.g. the iron ore trade from Scandinavia) needed protection without the tying down of major fleet units.

The six 'Möwe' class (officially Typ 23) ships were the first flotilla craft built by the 'new' German navy; they were strictly torpedo boats and, despite their modest size, carried two triple mountings. Though this class of ship was not designed to undertake escort duties, the lack of any alternative at that time probably accounted for their comparatively high speed. Three boilers were required in the slim hull, necessitating two widely-spread funnels, which made them look larger than they actually were. They carried three old, but effective 105-mm (4.13-in) guns which, together with the ambitious torpedo fit, brought up the top-weight allowance to the extent that (unusually for the German navy) mines could not be carried in addition. While the 'Möwes' were still building, a second group of six, the slightly-enlarged 'Wolf' class (Typ 24) ships were ordered. Though of the same calibre, their main armament was of an improved pattern.

The ships were heavily involved in near-coastal waters during the war, gradually acquiring more light automatic weapons, some at the expense of a set of torpedo tubes. Following these two classes, subsequent development went for larger torpedo boats and smaller S-boats. Neither of these types was viewed as an ideal escort, leaving the way clear for introduction of the specialist Geleitboote. All 12 became war casualties, no less than eight being sunk in the English Channel. Of these the 'llis and Seeadler' were sunk in the Dover Strait during the night of 12/13 May 1942, torpedoed by British MTBs while covering the passage of the raider 'Stier'.

The advanced propulsion machinery gave much trouble, and in spite of their pleasant lines, the 'F' class offshoot escort were never really satisfactory. 11 may be that the class was largely an experiment in the building and operation of the new high-pressure steam turbine machinery.
ITALY
'Spica' class

Like its German counterpart the Italian navy favoured construction of diminutive destroyer-type escorts, usually described as 'torpedo boats'. Though a long series of related classes had been completed by the mid-1920s, the type had lapsed for a decade before being resumed with the 32-strong 'Spica' class, laid down between 1934 and 1937. The design was influenced by that of the 'Maestrale' class destroyers then completing but, though superficially similar in overall profile, their single funnel lacked the massive trunking of that of the larger ships, serving as it did only one boiler room. The main armament consisted of 100-mm (3.94-in) guns of a new pattern with a respectable 16-km (10-mile) range. As these came only in single mountings, three were carried in the usual layout of one forward and two superimposed aft. Despite the fact that previous torpedo boats had been fitted with 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tubes, the 'Spicas' reverted to the earlier 450-mm (17.7-in) weapons of far inferior hitting power and range. For some odd reason these were initially single, sided mountings only, later exchanged for the more logical twin-centred type. As with most Italian ships they could lay mines but were also fitted for high-speed minesweeping, with conspicuous paravanes and associated gear right aft. Under the wartime construction programme a group of 42 improved 'Spicas' was also planned. Of these, known as the 'Arriete' class, only 16 were laid down, the majority of them being completed by the Germans after the Italian capitulation.

Of the 32 'Spicas', 23 became war casualties and a pair were sold, perhaps oddly, to the Swedish navy. The Airone and Ariel were sunk together in October 1940 when, with others, they unfisically attacked a British cruiser force covering an early Malta convoy. Of the latter, HMS Ajar was instrumental in their sinking, damaging also the destroyers Artigliere and Aviere, the former of which was eventually lost while in tow. A year later another pair, the Aldebaran and Affaire, were lost in a minefield laid by the British submarine HMS Rorqual in the Gulf of Athens.

Specification 'Spica' class
Displacement: 795 tons standard and 1,020 tons full load Dimensions: length 73.5 m (240.8 ft); beam 8.1 m (26.57 ft); draught 2.55 m (8.37 ft) Propulsion: two sets of geared steam turbines delivering 14,168 kW (19,000 shp) to two shafts Speed: 34.5 kts Armament: three single 100-mm (3.94-in), four twin and two single 20-mm AA, and two 13.2-mm (0.52-in) AA guns, four single or twin 450-mm (17.7-in) torpedo tubes, and up to 20 mines Complement: 116

Resembling reduced versions of the contemporary Treccia' class fleet destroyers, the 'Spica' class was designed for the torpedo-boat role, but in fact became anti-submarine escorts.

ITALY
'Gabbiano' class

With British submarines playing havoc on the vital supply route to North Africa, the Italians embarked in 1942 on an ambitious programme of 'Gabbiano' class corvette construction. This type of ship was new to the Italian fleet and, in British terms, may be said to equate to the 'Flowers' where the 'Spicas' equated to Hunts'. There the resemblance ended, however, for while the British ships were stubby and robust, the Italian ships were slightly longer but very much narrower in the beam. Not having to face North Atlantic winters they were able to place speed higher in their priorities. Even so, their seakeeping qualities were adequate, with a long forecastle of high freeboard that earlier 'Flower' skipper would have envied.

The great contrast was in propulsion. The British ships with their whaler origins had a pronounced trim by the stern to give adequate submergence for the single large-diameter screw, driven by a steam reciprocating engine. On the other hand the Italians, with a good industrial base for small diesel and petrol engines, favoured the former of these. Twin-shaft propulsion was adopted, so as to take advantage of established marques of engine, at the same time achieving redundancy, improving manœuvreability and, with smaller-diameter propellers, allowing a full 1 m (3.3 ft) less draught, an important factor in the shallow and increasingly mine-ridden Mediterranean. The price was more complex construction and extremely noisy ships with the diesels effectively secured to the hull framing and the necessarily high-speed propellers a source of cavitation. This was recognized as a necessary drawback in the interests of volume production and, very interestingly for the date, each shaft could be turned by a low-power electric motor for stalking submarines. This permitted not only silent manoeuvring but also an improved performance from the ship's own indiffere rent sonar. The 60-ship class (of which only 42 were completed after launching in 1942-3) defeated the usual Italian fettlered pendant system, the ships taking numbers. Few were completed in time to be used in earnest by the Italians; many were taken by the Germans, who did. War losses amounted to 20.

Specification 'Gabbiano' class displacement: 670 tons standard and 740 tons full load Dimensions: length 64.4 m (211.29 ft); beam 8.7 m (28.54 ft); draught 2.53 m (8.3 ft) Propulsion: two diesels and two electric motors delivering 3207 kW (4,300 bhp) and 112 kW (150 hp) to two shafts Speed: 18 kts Armament: one 100-mm (3.94-in) and seven single 20-mm AA guns, and on some (two) 450-mm (17.7-in) torpedo tubes Complement: 116

Name-ship of a class intended to include some 60 vessels, Gabbiano displayed the typically fine lines of Italian marine design. Unlike their British counterparts, these corvettes did not have to be able to withstend winter in the North Atlantic.

Dimensions: length 64.4 m (211.29 ft); beam 8.7 m (28.54 ft); draught 2.53 m (8.3 ft) Propulsion: two diesels and two electric motors delivering 3207 kW (4300 bhp) and 112 kW (150 hp) to two shafts Speed: 18 kts Armament: one 100-mm (3.94-in) and seven single 20-mm AA guns, and on some (two) 450-mm (17.7-in) torpedo tubes Complement: 116
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The UK's large fishing fleet in 1939 provided the Royal Navy with a ready source of ships and trained crews, not only for the obvious purposes of the Auxiliary Patrol, minesweeping and harbour defence but also, in the case of the large distant water trawlers, of convoy escorts at a time when these were in short supply. The speed of such vessels was limited but both endurance and seakeeping were adequate, and they were commonly employed on the Arctic convoy route in the early days.

From its experience in 1914-18 the Admiralty had forewarning of its needs and had already formulated plans for trawler construction at yards that were familiar with them and which were, in many cases, too small for the building of regular escorts. The 27 ships of the 'Hill', 'Military' and 'Fish' classes were all produced by one yard and had the very pretty lines of the distant water trawler. Half a dozen 'Lakes' from Smith's Dock were still almost pure whale-catchers. Most, however, were of a design developed from the prototype HMS *Basset*, completed by Robb's in 1936. This ship, though having an obvious trawler-type hull, had in addition a high raised forecastle and the bridge and funnel sited well amidships, leaving a short well deck forward, a long superstructure and a usable quarterdeck. With minor modifications, particularly in the height of the bridge structure, the type went into volume production, first as the 'Tree', 'Shakespeare' and 'Dance' classes, then as the 'Isles' class, which became 'Britannia', 'Shakespeare' and 'Dance' classes, then as the 'Isles' class, which became the best-known group. Altogether, these four groups comprised 218 trawlers. The 'Isles' class numbered 168 units built between 1940 and 1945 (130 in the UK, 22 in India and 16 in Canada).

Though many were equipped for anti-submarine work, their sonars were not generally very effective and few had radar. With only 11 or 12kts speed, dropping depth charges on a shallow target could be an advantage, while the pursuit of a submarine on the surface was clearly impossible, the submarine having a greatly superior speed in addition to out-gunning the average trawler's 12-pdr. The object was to force a submarine down, where it would lose touch with a convoy. Many of these 'rugged coal-burners' were sold out to commercial owners after the war to make good their grievous losses, though their layout was unsatisfactory for trawling until modified. Twelve 'Isles' were lost in the war.

**Specification**

*Isles* class (as designed)

- **Displacement**: 545 tons standard
- **Dimensions**: length 44.2 m (145.0 ft); beam 8.4 m (27.5 ft); draught 3.2 m (10.5 ft)
- **Propulsion**: one triple-expansion steam engine delivering 634 kW (850 hp) to one shaft
- **Speed**: 12kts
- **Armament**: one 12-pdr, and three single 20-mm AA guns, and depth charges
- **Complement**: 40

HMS *Shillay* is seen in February 1945. Though the trawler hull gave the type good seakeeping and endurance, it could never be said to have had a sparkling performance. They were easily built, however, and in quantity (a total of 168 in four related classes).

---

**'Isles' class**

The UK's large fishing fleet in 1939 provided the Royal Navy with a ready source of ships and trained crews, not only for the obvious purposes of the Auxiliary Patrol, minesweeping and harbour defence but also, in the case of the large distant water trawlers, of convoy escorts at a time when these were in short supply. The speed of such vessels was limited but both endurance and seakeeping were adequate, and they were commonly employed on the Arctic convoy route in the early days.

From its experience in 1914-18 the Admiralty had forewarning of its needs and had already formulated plans for trawler construction at yards that were familiar with them and which were, in many cases, too small for the building of regular escorts. The 27 ships of the 'Hill', 'Military' and 'Fish' classes were all produced by one yard and had the very pretty lines of the distant water trawler. Half a dozen 'Lakes' from Smith's Dock were still almost pure whale-catchers. Most, however, were of a design developed from the prototype HMS *Basset*, completed by Robb's in 1936. This ship, though having an obvious trawler-type hull, had in addition a high raised forecastle and the bridge and funnel sited well amidships, leaving a short well deck forward, a long superstructure and a usable quarterdeck. With minor modifications, particularly in the height of the bridge structure, the type went into volume production, first as the 'Tree', 'Shakespeare' and 'Dance' classes, then as the 'Isles' class, which became the best-known group. Altogether, these four groups comprised 218 trawlers. The 'Isles' class numbered 168 units built between 1940 and 1945 (130 in the UK, 22 in India and 16 in Canada).

Though many were equipped for anti-submarine work, their sonars were not generally very effective and few had radar. With only 11 or 12kts speed, dropping depth charges on a shallow target could be an advantage, while the pursuit of a submarine on the surface was clearly impossible, the submarine having a greatly superior speed in addition to out-gunning the average trawler's 12-pdr. The object was to force a submarine down, where it would lose touch with a convoy. Many of these 'rugged coal-burners' were sold out to commercial owners after the war to make good their grievous losses, though their layout was unsatisfactory for trawling until modified. Twelve 'Isles' were lost in the war.

**Specification**

*Isles* class (as designed)

- **Displacement**: 545 tons standard
- **Dimensions**: length 44.2 m (145.0 ft); beam 8.4 m (27.5 ft); draught 3.2 m (10.5 ft)
- **Propulsion**: one triple-expansion steam engine delivering 634 kW (850 hp) to one shaft
- **Speed**: 12kts
- **Armament**: one 12-pdr, and three single 20-mm AA guns, and depth charges
- **Complement**: 40

HMS *Shillay* is seen in February 1945. Though the trawler hull gave the type good seakeeping and endurance, it could never be said to have had a sparkling performance. They were easily built, however, and in quantity (a total of 168 in four related classes).

---

**'Isles' class (as designed)**

- **Displacement**: 545 tons standard
- **Dimensions**: length 44.2 m (145.0 ft); beam 8.4 m (27.5 ft); draught 3.2 m (10.5 ft)
- **Propulsion**: one triple-expansion steam engine delivering 634 kW (850 hp) to one shaft
- **Speed**: 12kts
- **Armament**: one 12-pdr, and three single 20-mm AA guns, and depth charges
- **Complement**: 40
Possibly because of their homely names or their rather unwarlike appearance, the units of the 'Flower' class (145 built in the UK and 113 in Canada for launch in 1940-2) came to be regarded by the British as the archetypal escort ship. Though they made their reputation in the early days of the Battle of the Atlantic, they were not really suited to the job, the type being developed primarily as a coastal escort fitted for minesweeping. This, however, would seem to be at variance with the fact that the design was based on that of a commercial whale-catcher, a hullform meant to survive the worst of the weather in the forbidding Southern Ocean. It was the rapid escalation of the North Atlantic convoy war and a general shortage of escorts that forced these little ships into ocean work. They were superb seaboats but, being so short, were horribly lively and wet in the deep ocean, exhausting the best of crews within days, though refuelling at sea kept them out for longer. It was the limitations that convinced the Admiralty that the larger frigate was the answer.

The 'Flowers' were business-like ships whose ancestry could be seen in the hull, with its pronounced sheer, strong flare and cutaway forefoot. Early units had a short forecastle with the single mast stepped forward of a mercantile-style bridge structure, but most of these were subsequently modified to the layout of the later 'Modified Flower' class ships (included in the totals above). These had the forecastle extended aft to the funnel, increasing accommodation and improving seaworthiness, particularly with respect to reducing wetness in the waist.

Machinery was purposely kept simple for mass production and operation by rapidly-trained personnel, the four-cylinder triple expansion engine taking steam from two Admiralty cylindrical single-ended boilers. The ships were, at that time, rare in the Royal Navy in being single-screw types. All had an old pattern 101.6-mm (4-in) gun on a 'band stand' forward, but the original inadequate AA outfit of machine-guns rapidly gave way to a 2-pdr pom-pom and as many 20-mm Oerlikons as could be 'come by'. Later ships, incorporating all modifications, certainly looked more 'pusser' and many, surprisingly, went on to serve in a mercantile role after the war. Also built in Canada, they served under a variety of Allied flags, including that of the USA when its need was greatest in 1942. Total wartime losses were 31.

**Specification**

**Flower class (original specification)**

Displacement: 940 tons standard and 1,160 tons full load
Dimensions: length 62.5 m (205.1 ft); beam 10.1 m (33.1 ft); draught 3.5 m (11.5 ft)
Propulsion: 4-cylinder triple-expansion steam engine delivering 2051 kW (2,750 ihp) to one shaft
Speed: 16 kts
Endurance: 6400 km (3,975 miles) at 12 kts
Armament: one 101.6-mm (4-in) gun, one 2-pdr or one quadruple 12.7-mm (0.5-in) AA gun, and depth charges
Complement: 85 maximum

HMS Myositis at sea displays the battered appearance that constant Atlantic exposure made inevitable. Based upon a commercial whaler hull, the 'Flower' class filled the gap in British escort capacity early in the war, until replaced by new frigates.

HMS Lotus is seen before her 1942 transfer to France as the Commandante d’Estienne d'Orves. She is fitted with minesweeping gear, which was to be a secondary task after the designed role as a coastal escort. Only the severe shortage of ocean escorts saw the class employed in the North Atlantic.
The powerful little ships of the 'Black Swan' class (13 built) had little in common with the remainder of the Royal Navy's sloops, most of which were later regarded as ocean minesweepers or simply patrol ships. Earlier units were certainly fitted with minesweeping gear, though under what circumstances such expensive and useful ships were expected to go looking for mines is not clear, at the same time the space and topweight margin consumed by this gear detracted considerably from the design's anti-submarine capacity.

Fine submarine hunters, the modified 'Black Swan' class were at their most effective in the Battle of the Atlantic. This is HMS Amethyst, seen in her wartime colours. Some years later she was to be the heart of the 'Yangtse Incident', suffering damage during the Chinese civil war.

The origins of the 'Black Swans' went back to HMS Enchantress, which had been launched in 1934. Though capable of minesweeping, the ship had a gun armament comparable with that of a fleet destroyer. The third of the class, HMS Bittern, differed in being completed in 1938 with three of the new high angle 101.6-mm (4-in) mountings and a prototype fin stabilizer system. This arrangement, promising that the three follow-on 'Egret' class units, on very little increase in dimensions, were completed with four such mountings, which was definitely over-ambitious. The 'Black Swans', very similar in appearance, were slightly larger with a useful quadruple 2-pdr in the Y position, from which it was later removed to improve quarterdeck layout, and as the close range armament elsewhere was beefed-up by the improving availability of 20-mm and 40-mm weapons.

The first impression of the appearance of the 'Black Swans' was the mass of superstructure, which was probably in accord with the desire to produce an easy roll to improve them as AA gun platforms. Oddly, they did not fare too well against aircraft, four being sunk by bombing out of a total of five lost. The reason was probably that, unlike the similarly-armed 'Hunts', they were slow and less nimble, and therefore, little used in the Mediterranean, making their name in ocean warfare with later units being able to ship over 100 depth charges and a Hedgehog split to flank B mounting. Most logically, were sent to the Far East in 1945. Best known ships of the class were Walker's HMS Starling and HMS Amethyst of the 1948 'Yangtse Incident'.

**Specification**

- **'Black Swan' class**
  - Displacement: 1,300 tons standard
  - Dimensions: length 91.3 m (299.5 ft); beam 11.43 m (37.51 ft); draught 2.59 m
  - Speed: 20 kts
  - Endurance: 1,482.5 km (921.5 miles) at 12 kts
  - Armament: three twin 101.6-mm (4-in) DP, one quadruple 2-pdr AA and six twin 20-mm AA guns, and depth charges
  - Complement: 180

The 'Hunt' class

Aware of the shortage of escorts even in 1938, the Admiralty designed what was termed a Fast Escort Vessel (FEV) to give convoys both AA and anti-submarine coverage without tying down the precious (and, as it turned out, inadequate) fleet destroyers. Rather short sightedly, there was perceived the need for speed (in order to prosecute sonar contacts and rejoin smartly) but not endurance. This was seen to be the province of the true escort vessels (which had to refer to the few 'Black Swans' rather than the 'Flowers' or 'Bangors'). To improve them as gun platforms the 'Hunt' class was devised, differing in being completed in 1938 with three of the new high angle 101.6-mm (4-in) mountings and a prototype fin stabilizer system. This arrangement, promising that the three follow-on 'Egret' class units, on very little increase in dimensions, were completed with four such mountings, which was definitely over-ambitious. The 'Black Swans', very similar in appearance, were slightly larger with a useful quadruple 2-pdr in the Y position, from which it was later removed to improve quarterdeck layout, and as the close range armament elsewhere was beefed-up by the improving availability of 20-mm and 40-mm weapons.

The first impression of the appearance of the 'Black Swans' was the mass of superstructure, which was probably in accord with the desire to produce an easy roll to improve them as AA gun platforms. Oddly, they did not fare too well against aircraft, four being sunk by bombing out of a total of five lost. The reason was probably that, unlike the similarly-armed 'Hunts', they were slow and less nimble, and therefore, little used in the Mediterranean, making their name in ocean warfare with later units being able to ship over 100 depth charges and a Hedgehog split to flank B mounting. Most logically, were sent to the Far East in 1945. Best known ships of the class were Walker's HMS Starling and HMS Amethyst of the 1948 'Yangtse Incident'.

**Specification**

- **'Hunt Type III' class**
  - Displacement: 1,015 tons standard and 1,000 tons full load
  - Dimensions: length 85.7 m (281.25 ft); beam 9.6 m (31.5 ft); draught 2.36 m (7.75 ft)
  - Speed: 20.5 kts
  - Endurance: 4,635 km (2,880 miles) at 20 kts
  - Armament: two twin 101.6-mm (4-in) DP, one quadruple (and in some vessels one single) 2-pdr AA, and one twin and up to four single 20-mm AA guns, two 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tubes, and depth charges
  - Complement: 170
The last of the 'Flowers' were launched in early 1942 and, considering that their limitations for North Atlantic operations had already begun to show, it may well be assumed that the corvette had reached the end of its development. There were, however, a number of smaller yards engaged in the 'Flower' programme which could not physically cope with the larger frigates. To keep them usefully occupied a large corvette, of length about mid-way between the 'Flower' and 'River', was designed, again by Smith's Dock, the home of the 'Flowers'. Named after British castles, the new 'Castle' class ships embodied all the lessons learned with their forebears, while contrasting to look remarkably like them. The hull was, again, of sweet line, although designed for series production with a large proportion of welded seams. This showed itself as minor cranks in the sheerstrake, the bow and stern sections being of constant sheer angle rather than the earlier continuous curve. The broad flat transom made for ease of construction and plenty of room aft. Some 44 units were launched in 1943-4, and another 38 were cancelled.

The 'Castles' boasted the same large and spacious bridge as the frigates, together with a substantial lattice mast to elevate the considerable mass of the early radars, made possible with the larger hull. Their great advance was in the inclusion of the Squid anti-submarine mortar, a weapon too heavy for the 'Flowers' and they found their main employment not as close convoy escorts, but in homogeneously-composed escort groups which were being formed in larger numbers towards the end of the war. All were based on the UK with the exception of a dozen transferred to the Royal Canadian Navy and one to the Norwegians.

**Specification**

**Castle class**
- Displacement: 1,060 tons standard
- Dimensions: length 76.8 m (252.0 ft); beam 11.2 m (36.75 ft); draught 3.05 m (10.0 ft)
- Propulsion: four 4-cylinder triple-expansion steam engine delivering 2,200 kW (2,950 ihp) to one shaft
- Speed: 16.5 knots
- Endurance: 6,910 km (4,295 miles)
- Complement: 120

**HMS Hedingham Castle** displays her family relationship to the 'Flower' class, but the extra 15 m (50 ft) made a great difference in habitability on the Atlantic run. The major offensive improvement came with the fitting of the heavy 'Squid' system of anti-submarine mortars.

One consequence of offsetting the triple Squid launcher between the gun and the bridge was a reduction in the numbers of conventional depth charges carried. Where a 'Flower' might be armed with 72 charges, the normal depth-charge fit of a 'Castle' was only 15.

**'Bangor', 'Bathurst' and 'Algerine' classes**

'Escort' is an all-embracing word and mention should be made of the contribution of the 'minesweeping sloops' and 'fleet minesweepers', both types of which performed valuable service in other roles. Somewhat confusingly, there were still serving 26 coal-burning 'Hunts' of 1917-9 vintage, twin-screw ships with limited sea-keeping ability due to their lack of forecastle. During the 1930s there were built the 'Grimsby' class (with sweep capacity but more truly sloops) and the extensive 'Halycon' class (ocean minesweepers with a corvette's speed and firepower but viewed as expensive).

For series production at the war's outbreak, the Halycon was scaled down to produce the 'Bangor' class. These doughty little 650-ton ships were extremely cramped, their size being governed primarily by the space necessary for the crew. Propulsion was by diesel, steam turbine or steam reciprocating machinery, and 113 ships were built in the UK, Canada, India and even Hong Kong. A further 60 were built in Australia to a modified design known as the 'Bathurst' class. It will be appreciated that the 'Bangors' were in reality a series of variants.

**Specification**

**Bangor class**
- Displacement: 850 tons standard and 970 tons full load
- Dimensions: length 70.1 m (230.0 ft); beam 10.8 m (35.5 ft); draught 2.9 m (9.5 ft)
- Propulsion: two sets of triple-expansion steam engines delivering 1491 kW (2,000 hp) to two shafts
- Speed: 16.5 knots
- Armament: one 101.6-mm (4-in) DP and four and between four and eight 20-mm AA guns
- Complement: 105

**HMS Rowena** was one of the 'Algerine' class fitted with vertical triple expansion engines, only 29 out of 101 units had the planned turbines. Although used as an escort, the class was really for ocean minesweeping.
'River' class

With the limitations of the 'Flowers' readily apparent, the Admiralty rapidly produced a design for a larger 'twin-screw corvette' which became known as the 'River' class. (The term 'frigate' was not officially reintroduced until 1942.) Overall they were about 28.30m (93 ft) longer than the later 'Flowers' and this made a very great difference in seakeeping, bunker capacity, installed power and armament. Between 1942 and 1944 some 57 were launched in the UK, 70 in Canada and 11 in Australia.

The hull had the raised forecastle extended well aft, with a low quarter-deck for the depth-charge gear and the minesweeping equipment with which too many useful escorts were cluttered at that time. They were the first ships to be fitted as standard with the Hedgehog anti-submarine spigot mortar which, with new sonar gear, made for a more rapid and accurate attack. The Hedgehog was originally sited well forward and was thus extremely exposed, but later units had the weapon split into two 12-bomb throwers which were sited one deck higher, winged out abaft the forward 101.6-mm (4-in) gun. Longer endurance demanded a larger depth-charge capacity, and up to 200 could be carried, compared with a maximum of 10 on the 'Flowers'.

Though not developed from a mercantile hull form the 'Rivers' were built to mercantile standards, which speeded construction. They featured a flat transom, which not only obviated much of the complex curvature of traditionally-shaped sterns but also actually improved the hull hydrodynamic characteristics. It is noteworthy that over half the 'Rivers' were Canadian-built (with more ships coming from Australia) and it is probably all too easily overlooked how magnificent a contribution the Canadian yards and the Royal Canadian Navy made to victory in the Atlantic. Most Canadian-built units had a twin 101.6-mm mounting forward and a single 12-pdr aft. They also had their full outfit of 14 20-mm weapons, which British-built ships rarely achieved. The machinery was simply that of the 'Flowers' doubled, though drawing steam from more efficient water-tube boilers. Four ships only were built with steam turbines, which were not generally adopted as a result of shortages of components, The 'Rivers' were highly successful, but most of the survivors (seven were sunk in the war) had been scrapped by the mid-1950s. Further 'Rivers', to a slightly modified design, were built by the Americans as the PF type; of these 21 served in the Royal Navy as the 'Colony' class.

HMS Helmsdale was a 'River' class frigate, and as such was a great improvement on previous escort designs. Unlike most 'Rivers', she had her prominent Hedgehog ASW mortar system replaced by the much heavier and effective Squid triple-barrelled ASW charge launcher.

'Specification

'River' class (original specification)

Displacement: 1,370 tons standard
Dimensions: length 91.9 m (301.5 ft); beam 11.2 m (36.5 ft); draught 3.91 m (12.83 ft)
Propulsion: two sets of 4-cylinder triple-expansion steam engines delivering 4101 kW (5,500 ihp) to two shafts
Speed: 20 kts
Endurance: 12970 km (8,060 miles) at 12 kts
Armament: two single 101.6-mm (4-in) guns, two single 2-pdr and 20-mm AA guns (later replaced by 10 20-mm AA guns), one Hedgehog, and depth charges
Complement: 107

A typically battered Atlantic escort, HMS Spey steams up the Ue of a convoy during February 1944, when this particular 'River' class frigate sank the Type VII U-boat U-406 (bn 8 February, and U-386 Con 19 February).

The 'Boy' class began to appear late in 1944 as a direct consequence of the course of the war, and in 1945 the last of 19 was launched. In the Atlantic the dour struggle against the U-boat had been successful (though was not yet done) and planning began to be directed towards building up the British Pacific Fleet in order to make a real contribution in the defeat of Japan, before reclaiming the UK's lost imperial possessions in the East.

In the Atlantic war the Canadian yards had been concentrating on production of 'Modified Rivers', to which they were most suited, while in the UK production since the commencement of 1944 had been of the 'Loch' class, of which 31 were completed as escorts. This bore all the marks of its 'River' class ancestry in appearance and machinery, but had important differences. For the first time all corners had been cut to allow the introduction of modular construction, the shipbuilder assembling hull components from a variety of sources. The structure had been greatly strengthened to allow the installation of a pair of triple-barrelled Squid anti-submarine mortars forward of the bridge. The spacious quarter-deck was now devoted not so much to depth charges and their caparison (whose functions the Squid had largely superseded) but to the trappings of a more scientific war, largely the towed Foxer gear, for defeating the menace of the acoustic torpedo with its affinity for frigate propellers. A major difference from the recognition point of view was the substantial lattice mast for the all-important radars. The 'Lochs' proved deadly against the U-boat, but...

HMS Loch Tarbert comes alongside after a voyage with a fag-cicle party ready to pass a line ashore. Improved versions of the 'River' designs, the 'Loch' class had a pair of triple-barrelled Squid ASW m or tar s as main anti-submarine weaponry.
though they soldiered on after the war until the 1960s, their speed would have been totally inadequate to meet the threat of such as the 'Type XXI' U-boats. These fortunately arrived too late, but during the early 1950s stimulated the prototype fast frigate in the 'Type 15' destroyer conversions.

In contrast, the Pacific war was predominantly AA in nature, and the 19 units of the 'Bay' class completed in 1944-5 were no more than 'Lochs' with their forward 101.6-mm (4-in) and after quadruple 2-pdr guns replaced by two twin 101.6-mm mountings, with a proper director atop the bridge. The heavy Squids, now non-essential, were replaced by the less effective Hedgehog, allowing also the substitution of two twin 40-mm mountings for some of the original 20-mm weapons.

**Specifcation**

**Bay** class

- Displacement: 1,580 tons standard
- Dimensions: length 93.6 m (307.25 ft); beam 11.73 m (38.5 ft); draught 2.9 m (9.5 ft)
- Propulsion: two sets of 4-cylinder triple expansion steam engines delivering 4101 kW (5,500 ihp) to two shafts
- Speed: 19.5kts
- Endurance: 17605 km (10,940 miles)
- Armament: two twin 101.6-mm (4-in) DP, two twin 40-mm AA, and two twin 20-mm AA guns, one Hedgehog, and depth charges
- Complement: 157

The 'Bay' class frigates were versions of the 'Loch' class optimized for Pacific operations, where escorts were more likely to be attacked from the air. The heavy Squid system was landed in favour of the lighter but less effective Hedgehog, and AA armament was improved.

**USA DE type**

The Americans, like the Japanese, had seen little need of defensive ships such as escorts before the war and, in its early days had little beyond their total unsuitability and veteran flush-deckers. It was the Royal Navy, desperately seeking to meet the submarine threat, that produced a specification for an Atlantic escort, followed by orders for no less than 300 being placed in the USA between November 1941 and January 1942, to be delivered over two years. The six classes delivered were variances on a theme, with differing propulsion systems.

As anti-submarine ships the DEs were very effective, while many went on after the war to be converted into fast transports (APD) or radar-pickets (DER).

**Specifcation**

**Buckley** class

- Displacement: 1,400 tons standard and 1,720 tons full load
- Dimensions: length 93.27 m (306.0 ft); beam 11.27 m (37.0 ft); draught 2.89 m (9.5 ft)
- Propulsion: two sets of geared steam turbines and two propulsion motors delivering 8948 kW (12,000 shp) to two shafts
- Speed: 24 kts
- Armament: three single 76.2-mm (3-in) DP, six single 40-mm AA, and two twin 20-mm AA guns, three 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tubes, one Hedgehog, and depth charges
- Complement: 220

The 'Bay' class frigates were versions of the 'Loch' class optimized for Pacific operations, where escorts were more likely to be attacked from the air. The heavy Squid system was landed in favour of the lighter but less effective Hedgehog, and AA armament was improved.

**USS Harmon**, a turbine-powered destroyer escort launched in July 1943, was named after a Navy Cross winner killed in the sea battle off Guadalcanal. The Harmon established a record, being delivered to the navy only 92 days after the keel was laid. Later vessels were built in even shorter times.

**Specification**

**'Captain' class**

- Displacement: 1,580 tons standard
- Dimensions: length 93.6 m (307.25 ft); beam 11.73 m (38.5 ft); draught 2.9 m (9.5 ft)
- Armament: two twin 101.6-mm (4-in) DP, two twin 40-mm AA, and two twin 20-mm AA guns, one Hedgehog, and depth charges
- Complement: 220

**Specification**

**Dock Station**

- Displacement: 1,400 tons standard and 1,720 tons full load
- Dimensions: length 93.27 m (306.0 ft); beam 11.27 m (37.0 ft); draught 2.89 m (9.5 ft)
- Propulsion: two sets of geared steam turbines and two propulsion motors delivering 8948 kW (12,000 shp) to two shafts
- Speed: 24 kts
- Armament: three single 76.2-mm (3-in) DP, six single 40-mm AA, and four twin 20-mm AA guns, three 533-mm (21-in) torpedo tubes, one Hedgehog, and depth charges
- Complement: 220

**Specification**

**'Evarts' class**

- Displacement: 1,400 tons standard and 1,720 tons full load
- Dimensions: length 93.27 m (306.0 ft); beam 11.27 m (37.0 ft); draught 2.89 m (9.5 ft)
- Propulsion: two sets of geared steam turbines and two propulsion motors delivering 8948 kW (12,000 shp) to two shafts
- Speed: 24 kts
- Armament: two twin 101.6-mm (4-in) DP, two twin 40-mm AA, and two twin 20-mm AA guns, one Hedgehog, and depth charges
- Complement: 220

Above: This is one of the earliest of more than 565 DEs built for the US Navy. The six classes delivered were variations on a theme, with differing propulsion systems.

**Below:** Apart from the choice of main armament, most DEs carried similar weaponry. Depth charges were handled by eight DC throwers and two DC racks.
Given the immense length of the Atlantic and Pacific coasts together with further major trans-Caribbean routes, particularly to the Venezuelan oil terminals and the Panama Canal, the US Navy had a major problem in protecting its coastal traffic, the pattern and volume of which was very different to that of the UK. The vulnerability of the shipping on the eastern seaboard was ruthlessly exposed by the German U-boat campaign of 1942, but had been anticipated to the extent that three prototype 53.26 m (174 ft 9 in) Patrol Craft (PC) type had been completed before the USA's entry into the war. These were slim-gutted diesel craft which, though relatively well armed, were restricted by their size to inshore work. Needing to expand the escort fleet rapidly and with the PC design to hand, it is understandable that a massive construction programme was rapidly instituted. In fact, over 830 of these craft were built using resources that, in retrospect, would better have been diverted to the production of larger and more versatile anti-submarine ships. Not until mid-1943, therefore, was the PC type introduced which, while only 3 m (10 ft) longer, was also a full 10 ft beamier. Unlike the PCs, with their characteristically American flush deck, the PCEs followed British frigate practice in their freeboard and long forecastle. Early units were funnelless, their diesels exhausting through the shell, but later examples had a thin stovepipe and the last a diminutive stack with a curved cap. Construction amounted to 78 units.

Their armament was better than that of many British frigates, a 76.2-mm (3-in) gun and a full Hedgehog forward, two/three single 40-mm and up to five 20-mm AA guns, with depth charges aft. Fifteen crossed the Atlantic for service with the Royal Navy. Known as the "Kil" class they served primarily off Gibraltar and Sierra Leone. One, HMS Kilmarnock, participated in the only U-boat sinking credited to the class, that of the U-731 off Tangier in May 1944.

**Specification**
- **PCE class**
  - Displacement: 795 tons standard and 850 tons full load
  - Dimensions: length 56.24 m (184.5 ft); beam 10.08 m (33.0 ft); draught 2.89 m (9.5 ft)
  - Propulsion: two diesel engines delivering 1417 kW (1,900 bhp) to two shafts
  - Speed: 16kts
  - Armament: one 76.2mm (3-in) DP, two or three single 40-mm AA, and four single 20-mm AA guns, one Hedgehog, and depth charges
  - Complement: 100

**Elan class**

At the outbreak of war the French fleet was poorly served for escorts in the accepted sense of the word, those available being designed primarily for colonial service. For effective anti-submarine work during the war, therefore, the Free French navy relied on frigates, corvettes and DEs transferred from the Royal Navy or the US Navy. The 13-strong 'Elan' class had been completed in 1939-40 as corvette-type vessels with minesweeping capability. Their 78 by 8.48 m (255.9 by 27.8 ft) hull dimensions, compared with the 62.50 m by 10.10 m (205 by 33.1 ft) of the British-built 'Flowers' that served under the same flag, characterize the greatly different approach, with strong emphasis on speed. Despite their fine lines, however, their low-power twin-shaft diesel machinery could drive them at only 20kts, but their excellent official endurance figures emphasize the economy of diesel propulsion. The first group was decidedly odd in appearance, with a distinctively low foredeck. What was in the designer's mind is hard to say but acute wetness must have been anticipated as the bridge was perched atop a solid house. No armament was mounted forward and the impact of green seas on the front of the house can only be imagined. An interesting detail was the rounded sheerstrake, extending the length of the hull, possibly better to accommodate the anticipated stresses generated in the hull when labouring in heavy seas. Two 100-mm (3.94-in) guns could be carried though only one was usually fitted, on the after house. Where ships are concerned it is often said that if it looks right, it is right. The converse apparently holds good, for a follow-on series of nine 'Chamois' class ships, whose entry into service was disrupted by the war, virtually repeated the design but incorporated a raised forecastle and looked altogether more workmanlike. Their careers were typically complex with, for instance, 'Impetueuse' being scuttled by the French at Toulon, only to be salvaged by the Italians and then, at their capitulation, being taken in turn by the Germans who, finally, scuttled her again at Marseilles. Another three units were war losses.

**Specification**
- **Elan' class (as built)**
  - Displacement: 630 tons standard and 740 tons full load
  - Dimensions: length 78.0 m (255.9 ft); beam 8.5 m (27.9 ft); draught 2.4 m (7.9 ft)
  - Propulsion: two diesels delivering 2852 kW (4,000 bhp) to two shafts
  - Speed: 20kts
  - Endurance: 16675 km (10,360 miles) at 14 kts
  - Armament: two 100-mm (3.94-in) guns, and two twin and four single 13.2-mm (0.52-in) machine-guns
  - Complement: not known

The early 'Elan' class vessels were notable for their unusual appearance: with no armament on their distinctive low foredeck and the rounded sheerstrake continuing the length of the hull, from some angles the Elans bore a resemblance to a top-heavy submarine.

The twin 100-mm (3.94-in) guns originally fitted to the French vessels were replaced in British service by British 4-in (102-mm) weapons. The minesweeping capability was never used, but two DCTs and a DC rack were fitted. Typically, after the surrender of France the class found itself in use by both sides.
Coastal Craft

Putting to sea in some of the fastest and most dashing warships of the time, the coastal naval forces saw much fierce fighting in locations as diverse as the North Sea and the 'Slot' in the Solomon Islands. Young, inexperienced crews took their fragile and inflammable craft into action, and often paid the highest price for their courage.

It is in the nature of things that in times of peace 'blue water' fleets tend to devote their limited resources to meeting the problems of ocean warfare; coastal warfare may or may not be required in some unspecified emergency in the future and, lacking urgency, inevitably lacked funds. Therefore, it is hardly surprising to discover that between the wars, British expertise was kept alive mainly through the dedication of private firms who were prepared to risk casting both their efforts and funds into the bottomless well of official disinterest. This was doubly fortunate, for the USA had similarly underinvested and owed much to Scott-Paine's British Power Boat Company for the eventual success of the PT boat.

Smaller fleets, however, with limited objectives and even more limited funds, tend to look harder at promisingly cost-effective solutions to countering possible hostile sea-powers. The submarine, the raider and mine warfare are three such solutions, and the high-speed coastal craft is a fourth, if geography permits. Germany, therefore, put much effort and ingenuity during the 1930s into producing the S-boat, one of her major successes of World War II. This success was mainly because due to the fact that there had been sufficient time for its development. Taken out of context, the effect of the S-boat on World War II was not great in a material sense but, measured in psychological and disruptive terms, it was a major nuisance, requiring a large expenditure of scarce war effort to counter.

Likewise, the geography of the central Mediterranean favoured the Italian use of such craft. The Italians had seen major successes from these craft in World War I and had every intention of keeping abreast of any new developments. Like the Germans they evolved reliable, high-speed machinery which stood them in good stead.

Finally, it was radar-controlled gunfire from would-be targets by night and air power by day that constrained the torpedo-boat's potential. With the comparatively recent introduction of the surface-to-surface missile the wheel has once again turned, putting a large measure of advantage back with the cheap, high-speed minor warship, the midget with the big clout.
Japanese coastal craft

Like the majority of major fleets, the Japanese navy had largely ignored coastal craft between the world wars. As a type it did not fit into ocean warfare and, though the acquisition of a many-islanded empire was accepted, the US Navy's fleet train would have seriously affected that navy's ability to operate, so would a powerful force of Japanese coastal craft have been able both to assist in the garrison supply task and to dispute the American's aim to disrupt it.

With their overrunning of China and Far East imperial possessions, the Japanese acquired a variety of foreign coastal craft in various states of repair (Dutch, British, German and Italian) all of which influenced subsequent designs. All were driven by petrol engines, but the Japanese had no capacity to build either these or small high-speed marine diesels in any quantity. Though engines were copied, they were low-powered and always in desperate short supply; as multi-engined layouts were thus out of the question, boats themselves had to be small to attain any speed. The largest Japanese MTBs were, therefore, of only about 18 m (59.06 ft) overall length. All were of hard-chine design to get over the low power problem and this, combined with their lack of length, gave them a poor performance in anything but sheltered waters. Designs were in both wood and steel and in anything but sheltered waters. Designed and machinery from France, Germany and Italy as available. With this as a baseline the Soviets produced a 19-m (62.3-ft) G5 type, which was still heavily influenced by the British CMB in having a stepped hull and two troughs aft for the stern launching of torpedoes that had been increased in size to 533 mm (21 in). For this craft the Soviets built a successful petrol engine that was tolerably reliable and capable of being upgraded. The fault with the G5 was its early use of aluminium alloy for both shell and frames, so that it was plagued with corrosion problems. For this reason, the follow-on 21.6-m (70.9-ft) D3 craft were wooden-built, differing further in having side-launching gear for their torpedoes. Numerous G5 and D3 types served during the war, supplemented by over 200 boats from the USA and UK. Also produced in large numbers were armoured craft of many types, comparatively slow but armed with guns and cannon in tank turrets. They proved formidable craft both offshore and up rivers.

**Specification**
- Type: 491 kW (660 bhp) to two shafts
- Speed: 48 kts
- Endurance: about 370 km (230 miles) at 48 kts
- Armament: two 533-mm (21-in) torpedoes, and two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns
- Complement: 7

**Japanese coastal craft**

There were strong influences working on the post-revolutionary Soviet navy to develop a powerful and effective force of coastal craft. The fleet itself had been relegated to the status of a means of guaranteeing the seaward flanks of the primary service, the army. These seaward flanks were all of shallow and sheltered water, and distances involved were small. Further, the successful attacks by British CMBs in 1919 had shown the potential of even a few such boats in the right hands, and a couple of unserviceable and damaged Thornycroft 55-footers were available as exemplars. From these beginnings the Soviets had produced by 1928 a reasonably successful 18-m (59.06-ft) craft known as an S4. The indifferent Soviet technology of the time required the incorporation of American petrol engines, but the reliability of these and the sound hull shape made for a very high trials speed, approaching 30 kts, though considerably diminished by a load of two 457-mm (18-in) torpedoes or anything but calm conditions. About 60 S4s were built, giving the Soviets considerable experience.

The Far East navy had been known for its innovation and readiness to adopt ideas, and this policy continued with the purchase of technology in hull design and machinery from France, Germany and Italy as available. With this as a baseline the Soviets produced a 19-m (62.3-ft) G5 type, which was still heavily influenced by the British CMB in having a stepped hull and two troughs aft for the stern launching of torpedoes that had been increased in size to 533 mm (21 in). For this craft the Soviets built a successful petrol engine that was tolerably reliable and capable of being upgraded. The fault with the G5 was its early use of aluminium alloy for both shell and frames, so that it was plagued with corrosion problems. For this reason, the follow-on 21.6-m (70.9-ft) D3 craft were wooden-built, differing further in having side-launching gear for their torpedoes. Numerous G5 and D3 types served during the war, supplemented by over 200 boats from the USA and UK. Also produced in large numbers were armoured craft of many types, comparatively slow but armed with guns and cannon in tank turrets. They proved formidable craft both offshore and up rivers.

**Specification**
- Type: 491 kW (660 bhp) to two shafts
- Speed: 48 kts
- Endurance: about 370 km (230 miles) at 48 kts
- Armament: two 533-mm (21-in) torpedoes, and two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns
- Complement: 7

Apart from their small torpedo craft, the Imperial Japanese Navy operated a limited number of Type 31 boats. These, based on the German S-boat concept, were much larger than was usual in a Japanese design, and were intended as division boats for their smaller sisters. Armament could include eight depth charges as well as the usual 457-mm (18-in) torpedoes.
**LS and KM**

Paralleling the carriage of small torpedo craft by major units in the Victorian era, the pre-war German navy investigated two possibilities of stowage for a small Schnellboot. Two 12.5-m (41-ft) LS type prototypes were built in the late 1930s, light enough to be handled by heavy deck gear yet able to carry two 457-mm (18-in) torpedoes. Only one of these, built of light alloy, met the weight limit and was put into service as LS2 (LS for Leicht Schnellboot). The torpedo gear was not standard in the German navy and was not available in time, so the boat was modified to lay three mines through apertures in the broad transom. Though this reduced the direct usefulness of the craft, she was shipped as an auxiliary aboard the raider Komet. The boat was a failure as the aero engines fitted in place of the planned diesels (also not available) failed with vibration and transmission problems.

LS3 and LS4 both received their designed pair of Daimler-Benz diesels, an interesting feature being that a gearbox was added to increase engine speed by 10 per cent to drive the propellers at a supercavitating 3,300rpm. Of these two craft the former was a minelayer attached to the raider Kormoran, and the latter (the first torpedo-fitted boat) was attached to the raider Michel.

Official policy regarding the use of these interesting little craft, which were armed also with a 15-mm or 20-mm gun in an aircraft turret, seemed to be lacking. Of the remaining eight that were completed most went overland to the Aegean to be used, ineffectually, for AS duties, carrying 11 depth charges but no sonar. In practice, they acted as high-speed inter-island vedettes.

Another innovative little craft was the 16-m (52.5-ft) KM type coastal minesweeper (KM for Küstenminenleger). Equipped with twin 410-kW (550-hp) aero engine drive, these 36 craft had sufficient speed to reach the British coast with mines during dark hours, having placed them far more accurately than aircraft. They proved too small for the job and were expended in theatres with sheltered waters.

**Specification**

LS type (as designed)

- **Displacement:** 11.5 tons
- **Dimensions:** length 12.5 m (41.0 ft); beam 3.3 m (10.8 ft); draught 0.76 m (2.5 ft)
- **Speed:** 42.8 kts
- **Endurance:** 555 km (345 miles) at 30 kts

LS4 was fitted with an aircraft gun turret and two 533-mm torpedoes. Named 'Esau', it was carried by the German commerce raider Michel, which sailed from Flushing in March 1942 and met its end at the hands of the American submarine USS Tarpon off Yokohama in 1943.

- **Armament:** two 450-mm (17.7-in) torpedoes, and one 20-mm cannon
- **Complement:** 9

**R-Boot**

Räumen is the German verb 'to clear' or 'to remove', hence the Raumboot or R-boat type of coastal minesweeper. These craft were of such a useful size, however, that they also did duty as minelayers and, suitably rearmmed, as escorts to convoys, in which guise they were involved in frequent brushes with British craft.

The original group, RL-16, was constructed in the early 1930s. Like the S-boats, they were built of wood on metal framing with round bilges. They were, however, of only 60-ton displacement and 26-m (85.3-ft) length. Propulsion was by twin-screw diesels for a modest 17 kts although one unit, R9, was fitted with Voith-Schneider cycloidal propellers, which made for great manoeuvrability at the cost of some speed. This experiment was deemed successful, and over 100 R-boats were eventually so fitted.

From R77 onwards dimensions were very similar to those of the S-boats, though with extra beam, and increased draught by virtue of their greater displacement. Even with progressively improved diesels, the average R-boat never much exceeded 20 kts and, when not actually involved in the minesweeping for which the type had been designed, was employed defensively. The exceptions were the dozen so-called GR-Boote (G for Geleit, or escort), R301-312, built to a stretched 41-m (134.5-ft) design displacing 175 tons. They had triplescrew propulsion for 24 kts and were fitted with a pair of torpedoes. Though used in something like the role for which the British employed MGBs, their firepower was little enhanced, and 88 more projected craft were cancelled.

Their construction did suggest the need for a true multi-purpose escort for the many convoys that the Germans ran around North European waters. The result was the hybrid, steel-built MZ-Boot design (Mz for Mehrzweck, or multi-purpose) which, while having a heavy surface armament including two 88-mm (3.46-in)
guns and two torpedo tubes, were of only single-shaft propulsion. Only Mz1 was ever completed, not proving sufficiently satisfactory to warrant further priority being given for completion of the remaining 11.

Specification
R-boat 140-ton type
Displacement: 140 tons standard
Dimensions: length 40.0 m (131.23 ft); beam 5.6 m (18.37 ft); draught 1.45 m (4.75 ft)
Propulsion: two diesels delivering 190 kW (2,550 bhp) to two shafts
Speed: 20.5 kts
Endurance: 2040 km (1,268 miles) at 18 kts
Armament: one 37-mm cannon and up to six 20-mm cannon
Complement: 38

The R-boats were originally 60-ton craft armed with a couple of 20-mm cannon plus depth charges or mines, as appropriate. From R17 on they grew to S-boat size, and mounted an increased armament necessary on the vital Norwegian iron ore route.

GERMANY
S-Boot

Known to the British for some ill-defined reason as an E-boat, the German Schnellboot, or S-Boot, differed greatly from its Royal Navy counterparts. From its origins in a Lurssen civil design of the early 1930s, the S-boat was built of wood on alloy frames and had a round-bilged hull form which, while possessing a lower maximum speed than the hard-chined British equivalents, was very much more seabound. In the event, the S-boat was able to sustain its maximum speed in sea states that forced the British to throttle back to avoid excessive pounding.

Diesel drive was specified from the outset, though the prototype S1 of 1930 and the follow-on S2-S5 of 1931-2 had to take petrol engines while Daimler-Benz and MAN developed a suitable unit. Only with the S6-S7 of 1934-5 did the three-shaft diesel layout become established. These craft were 32.4 m (106.3 ft) in length and powered for 35 kts. This speed was considered insufficient, so in the next group the seven-cylinder diesels were exchanged for 11-cylinder units, improving speed but necessitating an increase in length to 34.7 m (113.8 ft), which remained remarkably constant until 1945, in stark contrast to the variety of boats under the British flag.

Because of their greater length the S-boats carried their two torpedo tubes forward of the wheelhouse, giving space for two skid-mounted reloads abaft them. It was then a small design step from S26 onwards to raise the forecastle by 1 m (3.28 ft), so enclosing the tubes and leaving a forward gun-pit between them and, importantly, raising the freeboard to give the craft an enviable dryness.

Always quieter than British equivalents, the S-boats also had a profile that was hard to spot without radar. Gun armament had continually to be increased to match that of their opponents, the extra weight being offset to a great extent by improved weight-saving techniques in hull construction and engines of higher power. Protection was improved by the adoption of the armoured 'Kalotte' type bridge. By 1945 speeds had been pushed (by extremely unreliable engines) to a maximum 42 kts and, while no longer, the S700 type introduced two extra, aft-facing torpedo tubes. Over 200 S-boats were built, of which about half survived the war.

Specification
S26 class
Displacement: 93 tons standard and 115 tons full load
Dimensions: length 34.95 m (114.67 ft); beam 5.1 m (16.73 ft); draught 1.4 m (4.6 ft)
Propulsion: three diesels delivering 4474 kW (6,000 bhp) to three shafts
Speed: 39.5 kts
Endurance: 1390 km (864 miles) at 35 kts
Armament: two 533-m (21-in) torpedo tubes with four torpedoes, and two 20-mm cannon
Complement: up to 21

S1, the prototype S-boat, here seen in the Kiel canal, had to make do with a petrol engine while a diesel unit was perfected. The round-bilged hull enabled the S-boats to maintain high speeds even in a rough sea.

The 100-ton S81 works up to her full speed of 39 kts. Note the difference made by raising the forecastle 1 m (3.28 ft.), enclosing the torpedo tubes and leaving space for a forward 20-mm (0.78-in) gun-pit. The low profile of the S-boats was a considerable advantage in the nocturnal melees along the Channel coast.
As a result mainly of lack of funds, the British did not develop the CMB concept further until 1935, when British Power Boats interested the Admiralty in an 18.3-m (60-ft) boat developed as a private venture. It was wooden-hulled with, initially, aluminium decking and, in contrast to the CMB, was hard-chined without a step. Two 457-mm (18-in) torpedoes were carried; though launched over the stern as in earlier boats, the arrangement was different. The torpedoes protruded through ports in the transom and had their outboard halves supported on lattice outriggers that could be hinged back on deck when not required. The engine room crew had to work with the business ends of the torpedoes supported on overhead runways over the wing shafts. Launching involved running the torpedoes up, removing their restraints and suddenly accelerating the boat. With the torpedoes having to adjust in the disturbed water of the boat’s wake, aiming was somewhat haphazard.

A strong paper defence was afforded by no less than eight Lewis guns but these, being arranged in two quadruple mountings set in pits at the extreme ends of the boat, proved unsatisfactory. The hull design, though slower than that of the CMB, proved more seakindly if, like all of its kind, prone to pounding in a head sea. The BPB 60-footer proved seaworthy and capable of staging as far as Malta; the first type to be termed a motor torpedo boat, it rekindled interest on the part of the Admiralty.

Eighteen were built in the initial batches between 1936 and 1939 (MTB1-12 and MTB14-19), BPB then producing a two-engined version whose torpedoes were exchanged for depth charges. Known as MA/SB (Masby) craft, they found little employment in their initial form and most were later refitted as early MGB types to support MTBs in their operations.

For this they carried, usually, a single 2-pdr and four 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns, but many armament variations existed. Interestingly, depth charges were still often carried: set shallow, these could be used to deter pursuit or, hair-raisingly, drop alongside a target.

**Specification**

BPB 60-ft type
- **Displacement**: 22 tons
- **Dimensions**: length 18.36 m (60.25 ft); beam 4.1 m (13.4 ft); draught 0.86 m (2.83 ft)
- **Propulsion**: three petrol engines delivering 1342 kW (1,800 hp) to three shafts
- **Speed**: 33 kts
- **Endurance**: 652 km (405 miles) at 33 kts

British power boats were instrumental in the revival of Royal Navy interest in coastal craft in the 1930s. Their designs sold abroad, these 70-ft MGBs originally being built as stretched MA/SBs for the French until taken over and completed for the Royal Navy.

Armament: two 457-mm (18-in) torpedoes, and eight 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns

**Complement**: 9

---

The successful Vosper 70-ft type originated in the single 20.7-m (68-ft) boat built by Vosper as a private venture in 1935-6 and eventually commissioned by the navy as MTB 102. Built completely in wood, she was driven by three Isotta-Fraschini petrol engines with a maximum output of 2573 kW (3,450 bhp). These drove the boat at a maximum speed of nearly 44 kts in calm water, and were chosen as no British-built equivalent existed. Like all such engines they were extremely noisy, so a low-power Ford engine was also available to clutch into the wing shafts for a low-speed, but silent, approach. Centreline torpedo tubes were originally included, one forward and one aft. But the navy did not like them and developed the sided arrangement adopted thereafter. MTB 102 was notable also for being the first MTB 376, a US-built Vosper design, supplied under Lend-Lease, leaves harbour at Livorno. Both Royal and US Navies were involved in operations between Genoa and La Spezia from May 1944, and achieved considerable success harrying German supply convoys.

MTB 376, a US-built Vosper design, supplied under Lend-Lease, leaves harbour at Livorno. Both Royal and US Navies were involved in operations between Genoa and La Spezia from May 1944, and achieved considerable success harrying German supply convoys.
Royal Navy vessel fitted with the later universal 20-mm Oerlikon cannon.

Despite a further strong challenge by BPB with an alternative 21.34-m (70-ft) private-venture boat, the Admiralty chose the Vosper design as being the stronger in heavy seas. (Actually keeping these fast wooden hulls together in poor conditions was a problem that was never satisfactorily solved.) Four Vosper and two similar Thornycroft boats were ordered in 1938 and provided the basis for the war programme, with little design change except a nominal increase in length to improve seakeeping and cater for the growing load of topweight. Alternative engines were a problem; a few converted Merlins were coaxed from the powerful American Packard became available. Oerlikons were virtually unobtainable, and the alternative 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns proved of little use against E-boats running as MGBs. Eventually 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns and 6-pdr guns were fitted which, with radar, made for an efficient night-fighting boat. Stronger but lighter hulls, together with uprated engines, meant that boats were carrying a 70-per cent increased equipment load by 1944 with little speed penalty. Orders for 193 boats were met between 1939 and 1945 with the exception of a few late boats which were cancelled.

Specification
Vosper 72.5-lnft type
Displacement: 361 tons
Dimensions: length 23.1m (75.5 ft); beam 5.94 m (19.5 ft); draught 1.6 m (5.4 ft)
Propulsion: three petrol engines delivering 2983 kW (4000 bhp) to three shafts
Speed: 40 kts
Endurance: 463 km (282 miles) at 40 kts
Armament: two 533-mm (21-in) torpedoes, and various combinations of 6-pdr gun, 20-mm cannon, and 12.7-mm (0.5-in) and 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns
Complement: 12 or 13

MTB 80 was one of the first of Vosper's 72.2-footers. The class was to give excellent service when fitted with 5pdr and 20-mm cannons, but the original armament of two 12.7-mm (0.5-in) and four 7.7-mm (0.303-in) MCs was not adequate (as was unfortunately proven when MTB 80 was lost in 1941).

Fairmile 'D' type

Practical pre-war experience demonstrated that MTBs would probably find difficulty in penetrating a determined escort to reach a target, particularly if that escort was of E-boats. What was required was a more heavily armed, but still fast craft to occupy the latter's attentions, to defend the MTBs and allow them to concentrate on the main objectives. A further requirement was the need to defend convoys against E-boats in the absence of a destroyer escort. As already related, a few of the available BPB 'Masy' boats were rearmed to serve temporarily but, for the long term, the Fairmile 'D' type and the steam gunboat were developed.

Half as long again as the average MTB, the D' type was of unique form in having flatfish vee sections aft merging into a round-bilge form forward, the transition taking the form of a pronounced hard knuckle that acted usefully as a spray deflector. This compromise hull and the extra length allowed them to operate in more severe sea states without the usual heavy pounding. The wide transom permitted quadruple shaft propulsion but, where this decreased the draught as a result of smaller-diameter propellers, it was due more to the power of available engines than design requirements. Early craft had direct drive but gearboxes were later introduced, improving both efficiency and speed. Hard driving exposed the weaknesses of mass-producing an all-wood craft of this size, and repairs and strengthening were frequent. Some 200 D' Type craft were produced between 1942 and 1944.

Armaments, official and otherwise, varied considerably, the boats serving as MGBs (90-ton displacement), MTBs (95-ton displacement) or combination MGM/MTBs (105-ton displacement). In the last role the armament was formidable, with four 457-mm (18-in) torpedo tubes, two 6-pdr guns, and four 12.7-mm (0.5-in) and four 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns. The speed, inevitably, was reduced to about 29 kts. A short-barrelled 114.3-m (4.5-in) gun was fitted forward on some.

Because of their size (and endurance when fitted with auxiliary tanks) Shetland-based D' types were able to work the Norwegian coast and, operating out of Malta and Bone, to add to the misery heaped on the Afrika Korps' supply convoys. Against the low-profile E-boats, they possessed the great advantage of radar.

Fairmile 'D' MTB 1944 cutaway drawing key
So well associated with the construction of coastal craft did the name of Fairmile become that it is, perhaps, surprising to discover that it began operations as late as 1939. It convinced the Admiralty of the need for a motor launch (ML) along the lines of those ordered 12 Fairmile 'A' type craft, numbered ML100-111. They were, indeed, produced rapidly in 1940, but the design itself was found to be less than ideal. It had been developed for general inshore patrol and auxiliary duties, an asdic (sonar) set and 12 depth charges being carried as standard. In practice, the design's hard-chine form tended to pound in a sea-way and throw spray. More seriously, the bunker capacity for the three Hall Scott petrol engines was far too small. For the long wartime production runs, therefore, a new design known as the Fairmile 'B' type was produced.

The initial 'A' type boats were later converted into inshore mine-layers, with a capacity of up to nine mines each. During the invasion scare of 1940, motor gun boats (MGBs) were needed urgently and the 'A' type jigs were used to construct 24, known as the Fairmile 'C' type. These had super-charged engines and better laid out topsides. MTB-style, with an enhanced armament of two 2-pdr guns and two twin 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns.

**Specification**

**Fairmile 'A' type**
- Displacement: 58 tons
- Dimensions: length 53.33 m (110.0 ft); beam 6.4 m (21.0 ft); draught 1.83 m (6.0 ft)
- Propulsion: three petrol engines delivering 1342 kW (1,800 bhp) to three shafts
- Speed: 29 kts
- Endurance: not known
- Armament: two single 2-pdr guns, one twin 20-mm cannon, two twin 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns, and (optional) four 457-mm (18-in) torpedoes
- Complement: up to 30

**Fairmile 'B' type**
- Displacement: 75 tons
- Dimensions: length 33.53 m (110.0 ft); beam 5.31 m (17.42 ft); draught 1.58 m (5.17 ft)
- Propulsion: four petrol engines delivering 3728 kW (5,000 bhp) to four shafts
- Speed: 36 kts
- Endurance: not known
- Armament: two single 6-pdr guns, one twin 20-mm cannon, two twin 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns, and (optional) four 457-mm (18-in) torpedoes
- Complement: up to 30

**Fairmile 'C' type**
- Displacement: 85 tons
- Dimensions: length 33.53 m (110.0 ft); beam 6.4 m (21.0 ft); draught 1.63 m (5.36 ft)
- Propulsion: three petrol engines delivering 1662 kW (2,250 bhp) to three shafts
- Speed: 30 kts
- Endurance: not known
- Armament: two twin 2-pdr guns, one twin 20-mm cannon, two twin 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns, and (optional) four 457-mm (18-in) torpedoes
- Complement: up to 30

**Below:** Considerably larger than preceding MTB types, the Fairmile 'D' was of similar dimensions to its main opponent, the S-boat.

A Fairmile 'C'MGB with the original armament of two 2-pdrs and two pairs of 12.7-mm (0.5-in) MGs makes her way at close to her maximum speed of 25 kts. Slower but larger than standard MGBs, the Fairmiles were also up-gunned, with up to six 20-mm cannon being shipped.

Speed: 22 kts
- Endurance: not known
- Armament: one 3-pdr gun, and two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns
- Complement: 16

Bottom: A Fairmile 'D' enters harbour at Algiers during the North African campaign, when the big boats were much used in harassing Rommel's supply routes to Tunisia. Being such capable boats, they were used where the nighting was thickest, which explains the loss of nearly 40 of the class in action.

---

**UK**

**Fairmile 'A' and 'C' types**

**Fairmile 'D' type**
- Displacement: 90 tons
- Dimensions: length 33.53 m (110.0 ft); beam 6.4 m (21.0 ft); draught 1.58 m (5.17 ft)
- Propulsion: four petrol engines delivering 3728 kW (5,000 bhp) to four shafts
- Speed: 29 kts
One of the most versatile types of warship ever to serve, the Fairmile 'B' type motor launch (ML) was also one of the most numerous, some 670 being built in all between 1940 and 1944. The lines, in contrast with those of the 'A' type, were of a seakindly round-bilge form and were of Admiralty design, being turned over to Fairmile for the preparation of constructional drawings to suit a mass production programme. A large number of yards were involved in the construction, ranging in the UK from Sheerness Royal Naval Dockyard to Southampton Steam Joinery, and all over the Commonwealth from Vancouver Shipyard to the Anglo-American Nile Tourist Company at Cairo.

The initial requirement was for a triple-screwed craft, but the Hall Scott petrol engines specified were in short supply and only two were ever fitted, incurring a speed penalty of 4 to 5 kts. Their legend armament was a 3-pdr gun, two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) Lewis guns and a dozen depth charges (supported by an asdic set) but in practice they took a bewildering variety of fits. Torpedo tubes were removed from the Lease-Lend flush-deck destroyers and fitted to some, making them the world's only 20-kt MTBs, a measure of the desperate stop gaps adopted in 1940. They served as gunboats, air-sea rescue boats, AS patrol boats, inshore smokelayers, hospital boats and convoy escorts, for which duty they sailed on their own bottoms to the West Indies, West Africa and the Mediterranean. Hulls were supplied with standard fittings to allow rapid change of armament or the shipping of auxiliary fuel tanks.

Probably the best-known involvement of MLs in an operation was that of 16 in the raid on St Nazaire in March 1942, the situation of the port inside a well-defended estuary demanding shoal-draught vessels. In fighting their way in and out and covering the commandos they carried, 12 were destroyed, some needing to be scuttled during the retirement for damage received.

**Specification**

*Fairmile 'B' type*

- Displacement: 67 to 85 tons
- Dimensions: length 34.14 m (112.0 ft); beam 5.56 m (18.25 ft); draught 1.52 m (5.0 ft)
- Propulsion: two petrol engines delivering 895 kW (1,200 bhp) to two shafts
- Speed: 20 kts
- Endurance: 1112 km (691 miles) at 20 kts
- Armament: (as designed) one 3-pdr gun, two 7.7-mm (0.303-in) machine-guns, and depth charges
- Complement: 16

It was perhaps inevitable that such a versatile class built in some numbers would see considerable variation in weapon fit.

---

**Harbour Defence Motor Launch**

Far removed from the 'mile-a-minute navy' image of the coastal forces, so beloved of the popular press, was the Harbour Defence Motor Launch (HDML), really a smaller 12-kt version of the Fairmile 'B' type. It was a general-purpose utility craft whose defined employment demanded only modest performance and endurance: should such craft be required for foreign service they were to be shipped as deck cargo, War, as ever, changed all that.

It was widely assumed before World War II that the immediate approaches to ports would, somehow, be infested with submarines and it was in ASW that the craft was generally planned to be used. They were equipped with a small asdic (sonar) set and eight depth charges, though the combination of little or no live practice allied to immense enthusiasm, shallow water and a well-defended estuary demanding shoal-draught vessels, the craft were re-allocated to the North African landing forces. They worked also as inshore minesweepers, despatch boats and (radar-fitted) as navigation markers for major amphibious operations.

**Specification**

*Harbour Defence Motor Launch*

- Displacement: 54 tons
- Dimensions: length 21.95 m (72.0 ft); beam 4.82 m (15.83 ft); draught 1.68 m (5.5 ft)
- Propulsion: two diesels delivering 239 kW (320 bhp) to two shafts
- Speed: 12 kts
- Endurance: unknown
- Armament: one 3-pdr gun, one 20-mm cannon, and two 7.7-mm(0.303-in)
- Complement: 10
Steam Gun Boat

Developed in parallel with the Fairmile D’s type was the Steam Gun Boat (SGB), a type which at over 44 m (144.4 ft) length was viewed as virtual ‘Queen Mary’ by the coastal forces. The first of class commenced trials in November 1941, some three months ahead of the first D type. The concept existed at all only as a typically British solution to a problem that should not have existed in the first place, i.e. the lack of a suitable indigenous small diesel engine. Steam plant had the advantage of quietness but, even with the most compact twin-screw plant drawing steam from a single boiler, it demanded a large hull which while having the disadvantage of being a larger target was a positive factor for sea-keeping, in which the E-boat was acknowledged to be excellent.

Wooden hulls of this size were not feasible for mass production and steel was used. Unfortunately this meant that both hulls and machinery were now beyond the scope of the small yards engaged in the rapid expansion of the coastal forces, and the SGB thus competed for berths in yards hard put to produce urgently required convoy escorts. Of the 60 planned, therefore, it is not surprising that only nine were ordered, and of these but seven completed, in 1941-2.

In practice the steam plant proved complex and vulnerable, with initial troubles concentrated on insufficient energy being delivered by the boiler and heavily-cavitating propellers. Even when these problems were cured, fuel consumption remained heavy, with the added disadvantage that where a petrol-engined boat could start from cold and get away immediately, an SGB had to remain in steam, using a considerable amount of fuel and main hours in the process. In action, however, the E-boat commanders respected the SGBs only a little less than destroyers, as they could pouce unexpectedly and hold their speed in a seaway. They proved excessively vulnerable to action damage in the machinery spaces, and the necessary addition of 18-mm (0.7-in) protective plate added much weight.

More armament and crew increased displacement further and service speed was eventually reduced to only 30 kts.

Veritable battleships of the coastal forces, the Steam Gun Boats were fast and heavily-armed vessels, although vulnerable to damage in the machinery rooms. Nonetheless, they were warily respected by their opponents across the North Sea.
The Higgins 78-ft type had its origins in a series of trials held by the US Navy in 1941 to determine the boat best suited to its purposes from a series of contenders. Higgins entered a 25.15-m (82.5-ft) private-venture boat derived from an earlier Sparkman and Stevens design. Six boats of various types were exhaustively evaluated in a range of conditions. From this experience a short list of three was selected for mass production against a light specification. One of these was the Higgins, which was to be 'suitably reduced in size to carry such ordnance loads as are required by our Navy'.

Those boats chosen were of hard-chine wooden construction and propelled by three Packard petrol engines, which had emerged as the most reliable and economical for the job. Each would carry four 533-mm (21-in) torpedoes and four 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns. Fully laden they would be capable of 40 kts for one hour. From virtually nothing the Americans created, in a space of three years, the nucleus of a powerful force of PT boats. Two dozen (two squadrons) of Higgins' boats, now 23.77m (78 ft) in length, were initially ordered, but these did not start to enter service until the second half of 1942 and, of these, six were transferred to the British and four to the Soviets. Eventually over 200 were constructed which, with the Eleo 24.38-m (80-ft) type, were to be the US Navy's standard choices. The third type successful in the pre-war play-off, the Huckins 23.77-m (78-ft) type, was used in the training role.

Service speed, always heavily dependent upon weather conditions, deteriorated further as more and more armament and equipment were added. To assist matters a new lightweight torpedo was developed. This had a heavier warhead and a higher speed, but only a short range. It could be launched by dropping gear, obviating the need for torpedo tubes. Gun armament varied considerably and unofficially. Most later craft had a 40-mm weapon aft, a 20-mm cannon forward and up to five 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-guns. Some landed their torpedoes in favour of more guns for use in an MOB role against the Japanese.

### Specification

**Higgins 78-ft type**

- **Displacement:** 35 tons
- **Dimensions:** length 23.77 m (78.0 ft); beam 6.32 m (20.75 ft); draught 1.52 m (5.0 ft)
- **Propulsion:** three petrol engines delivering 3356 kW (4,500 bhp) to three shafts
- **Speed:** 41 kts
- **Endurance:** 555 km (345 miles) at 41 kts
- **Armament:** four 533-mm (21-in) torpedoes, one 40-mm gun, and two 20-mm cannon
- **Complement:** 17

The Higgins boats (along with the Eleo design) bore the brunt of the US PT boat war. At 78 ft (23.77 m), it was also large enough to carry four torpedoes although some were landed later in the war in favour of more guns.

While the activities of the PT boats in the Solomons and the Philippines are well known, it should be remembered that they contributed to campaigns as far apart as those of the Adriatic or (as seen here) around the Aleutians in the Northern Pacific.

Initially the secondary armament on PTBs was limited to 12.7-mm (0.5-in) MGs, but before long heavier weapons, such as stern-mounted 20-mm cannon, began to be fitted.
Assault Ships

The ability of the Allies to wage successful amphibious warfare contributed in no small measure to the eventual downfall of the Axis powers. Development was fast, when it became clear that Allied success would require a cross-Channel assault, and the very nature of the Pacific war demanded mastery of the new form of battle.

A Ithough in the late 1930s war in Europe was viewed by the services as only a matter of time, its likely form was difficult to predict, so available rearmament resources were expanded in generally conventional directions. The total subjugation by the Axis powers of Europe, and later the Far East, changed the situation completely. Both areas would need to be reconquered through the carriage by Allies of vast forces over very considerable distances. Established ports, even if they were available, would be either heavily defended or destroyed. Obviously it became increasingly desirable to put everything ‘over the beach’ in order to retain the initiative.

Only the most basic consideration had been paid officially to landing craft before the war but from the time of Dunkirk onwards the British developed ideas rapidly, passing them to the Americans for implementation under the Lend-Lease agreement. By the time that the USA became embroiled in the war, in December 1941, it had already accumulated much experience upon which to build.

The vast armada of amphibious warfare vessels that was ultimately produced was, for the most part, built to standards that were totally unacceptable before the war, but which held together and displayed a high degree of innovation. The vessels fell into several major groups:

(a) ships for carrying the infantry themselves, the Landing Ships, Infantry or LSIs, which differed from ordinary troop ships in also carrying their own assault landing craft;

(b) ships, mainly Landing Ships, Dock or LSDs, for carrying smaller craft in numbers, these craft being of insufficient size in which to make protracted sea crossings;

(c) landing craft, which were smaller than landing ships, for putting vehicles, equipment and personnel directly on the beach, where categories (a) and (b) needed to anchor offshore; and

(d) miscellaneous vessels, which covered a range as diverse as the vital Headquarters Ships to the humble, but equally vital, Landing Barge, Kitchen.

This motley armada of ‘floating bootboxes’ did not, of itself, win the war, but the war could not have been won without it.
Amphibious operations are exceedingly complex and, despite meticulous planning and allowance for apparently adequate contingencies, everything that can go wrong will try to go wrong. Headquarters ships were devised to tie off the beach and control operations until a proper HQ could be set up ashore, after which they could probably stay on as long as there was any requirement for naval support. Early practice was to employ a major warship in the role, but suitably equipped ships were rare, never having sufficient accommodation and were liable to be called out to do some fighting. Not until 1942 were dedicated ships introduced: medium-sized merchantmen (with plenty of space for conversion) were selected, and these were instantly recognizable by the variety of communications antennas that were added (and, it was rumoured, by the wine bottles floating around them). These ships handled a tremendous volume of signal traffic, the embarked staff being able to make rapid decisions on the spot to counter any problem as it arose. On occasion the Landing Ship, Headquarters (LSH) even acted as an aircraft-direction ship, a complex-enough task in itself even as an ocean boarding vessel. She was converted into a headquarters ship in 1943, being fitted with the complex communication systems required to control an amphibious landing.

HMS Bulolo was a typical British conversion, starting as an armed merchant cruiser before doing a spell as an LSI. As an LSH she saw service at Algiers, in the Levant, at Anzio and, finally, at Normandy, where she was damaged by bombing. Other large British conversions were HMS Hilary, Largs and Lochgilphead. Furthermore, the American equivalent was the Amphibious Force Flagship (AGC), converted C2 and C3 hulls, the former going to 17 units. For smaller operations the British modified eight assorted frigates and gunboats, the Americans preferring the more suitable long-endurance coastguard cutters which are available for regular naval use in time of war.

**Specification**

HMS Bulolo

Displacement: 9,110 tons standard

**Dimensions:**

- Length: 125.7 m (412.5 ft)
- Beam: 17.8 m (58.25 ft)
- Draft: 6.6 m (21.7 ft)

**Propulsion:**

- Two diesels delivering 4608 kW (6,300 bhp) to two shafts

**Performance:**

- Maximum speed: 15 kts

**Armament:**

- Two twin 102-mm (4-in) AA guns
- Eight 20-mm AA guns

**Complement:**

- 264 men

**Conversion:**

- Formerly a liner, the USS Ancon was taken over in 1942 as a transport, but soon became an amphibious force flagship with the US Navy. She was used as an HQ ship at the Sicily landings, Salerno, Normandy and Okinawa, and was present at the Japanese surrender at Tokyo Bay.

**The Landing Ship, Infantry (Large) (LSI(L))/Transport (AP)**

The Landing Ship, Infantry (Large) or LSI(L) was used for the delivery of troops over distances too great for their embarkation and support in landing craft. Many were little more than basic conversions of passenger or cargo/passenger liners, but others were rebuilt for more specific purposes. Such were the trio of Glen Line ships (Glenearn, Glengyle and Glenroy) converted in 1941 following initial service as stores carriers and commando ships. These were new and powerful ships built for the Blue Funnel/Glen/Shire services in the Far East, and the conversion involved much subdivision of the cargo spaces into accommodation for upwards of 1,300 personnel. Sleeping was in the traditional hammock, but mess spaces, latrines, wash places, and general stowage had to be created, together with space for such heavy equipment as had also to be put ashore. Extra sets of davits were installed for the stowage of 12 LCAs, and two heavier LCMs were also carried on deck, handled by the ship's derricks. The ships were well armed, commensurate with their high value, originally with eight 2-pdr pompoms but later with six 102-mm (4-in) AA guns, four 2-pdr guns and up to eight 20-mm Oerhkon. Despite heavy involvement, for instance in Crete, Syria, Malta and Dieppe, none of the three was lost. There were three further sisters; of these Breconshire of the Shire Line became briefly famous in her runs to Malta at the height of the siege until she was finally sunk. Glengarry was a fourth Glen ship, building in Denmark.

The Empire Arquebus, built in the USA under the massive US Maritime commission programme and supplied under Lend-lease. It was similar in many respects to the US Navy’s ‘General’ and ‘Admiral’ classes, and like them was used as an infantry transport.
Landing Ship, Infantry (Medium) (LSI(M))/High-Speed Transport (APD)

Cross-channel packets, though short-legged, were fast and showed great potential for conversion in World War I. So it was in World War II, with the bonus that the German invasion of the Low Countries provided many fine Belgian and Dutch ships in addition to British and French vessels. Queen Emma (Konmgen Emma) and Princess Beatrix (Princess Beatrix) had been completed by Dutch yards only months before hostilities and, being motorships, had the advantage of small machinery spaces and great economy. They were converted for small-scale assault as Landing Ship, Infantry (Medium) or LSI(M), their large accommodation areas being ideal for some 600 complement: crew, landing craft crews and army personnel. The troops were put ashore by six LCAs, stowed under davits. Two LCUs were also davit-carried but the machinery’s 6-ton capacity limited their use, the craft having to be pre-loaded with vehicles by crane. Both ships, together with several smaller ex-Belgian vessels, carried the bulk of the force on the Dieppe raid, all returning safely.

Not strictly similar in designed function but often used as such were the American APA, or High-Speed Transport ships. The first group comprised 32 very-similar flush-decked destroyers (the venerable Tour-pipers’). By stripping out the forward machinery spaces, accommodation was created for about 150 troops, though 200 could be carried over short hauls, when the Germans invaded. She was converted to the auxiliary cruiser Meersburg and also survived the war.

Last was the Blue Funneler Tele-cruers (the venerable Tour-pipers’). When the Germans invaded, she was converted to the escort carrier Meersburg and also survived the war. The Blue Funneler Tele-cruers (the venerable Tour-pipers’). When the Germans invaded, she was converted to the escort carrier Meersburg and also survived the war.


**Landing Ship, Tank Mk 1 (LST(1)), 'Maracaibo' type**

As early as 1940 Winston Churchill’s energetic mind was turned to matters offensive and he perceived the need for a vessel able to put armour and vehicles ashore ‘over beaches’ and ‘anywhere in the world’. Ultimately ships could be designed and built for this revolutionary purpose but, at the time, only conversions were feasible to prove the concept. The problem was a nice one, for a beach of a gradient kind enough for the operation would have shallow enough draughts. This required a ship large enough both for ocean passage and to accommodate the designed bow draught of shallow enough draught to put her bows ashore. Even then it was likely that a considerable width of water would still exist between herself and the beach, so a bow door together with ramps of considerable length were needed.

The ships identified for conversion were the *Bachaquero*, *Missou* and *Tasajera*, launched in 1937-8 and used in British operations to shuttle oil from Venezuela’s shallow Lake Maracaibo and therefore, designed with a mean draught of only 3 m (9.8 ft). Their original design was that of a turret-decked, the weather decks at the side flanking a deep central trunk. As the ships’ length:beam ratio was only about 6:1, they had plenty of deck space once the side decks were platted over. The drawback was that the resultant tank deck was well above the waterline, making even more acute the design of the bow ramp. In the event, the already bluff bows were modified with a flat rectangular door which hinged from its lower edge. This allowed a two-stage ramp to be run down an internal slope, under the control of several winches. The first 1.6-m (7-ft) long stage supported a 16.5-m (54-ft) extension. While these permitted the dry landing of a 30-ton tank, they were very greedy of internal space. The Maracaibos’ can claim to be the first LSTs and though far from ideal, particularly in terms of speed, these *Landing Ship, Tank MK 1* or LST(1) vessels demonstrated the practicality of working on and off a beach in a controlled manner, the value of good subdivision and the need for well-distributed ballast space. Interestingly, even the eventual ‘last word’ in LSTs never claimed to be able to work more than 17 per cent of the world’s beaches, with the American LCAC air cushion landing craft of 1985 not extending this beyond a reported 70 per cent.

**Specification**

LST(1), 'Maracaibo' type

- Displacement: 4,890 tons gross
- Dimensions: length 116.5 m (382.5 ft); beam 19.5 m (64 ft); draught 4.6 m (15 ft); beaching draught 1.3 m (4.25 ft)
- Propulsion: two sets of reciprocating steam engines delivering 2237 kW (3,000 shp) to two shafts
- Performance: maximum speed 11 kts; range 1204.5 km (7,845 miles) at 10 kts
- Armament: two 102-mm (4-in) smoke mortars, and four single 2-pdr AA and six single 20-mm AA guns
- Complement: 98 (NB: the *Tasajera* was slightly smaller)

**Landing Ship, Tank Mk 1 (LST(1)), 'Boxer' type**

The 'Maracaibo' LST conversions were never viewed as more than useful prototypes and their 10-kts speed was widely criticized as insufficient for possible sea passages to a distant assault area. Although a higher speed directly conflicted as a design requirement with the needs for shallow draught, bow doors and large capacity, the trio of *Landing Ship, Tank Mk 1* 'Boxer' type for the Royal Navy, were capable of 17 kts. As it turned out, this speed was considered high but the specification, it should be remembered, had been formulated without the benefit of any previous experience, the whole concept being novel. A bow door and ramp were regarded as essential and, as a ship-type bow was necessary, a pair of vertically-hinged doors was adopted (a first for Harland & Wolff and later used on many post-war vehicular ferries) behind which a set of transverse watertight doors was placed instead of a conventional bulkhead. Thirteen 40-ton or 20 25-ton tanks could be stowed on the tank deck, which also formed the freeboard deck, close above the waterline and avoiding any hoisting or negotiation of steep ramps. Much space on this deck was lost, firstly because of the large inboard-stowing ramp that was required to span a distance of about 30 m (100 ft) to the shore and, secondly, through a deliberate reduction in area to reduce free-surface effects in the event of flooding. The resulting side subdivision allowed steam plant to be fitted, thus providing the relatively high power required. This machinery was placed amidships, with the uptakes and funnel offset to starboard to allow clear passage below. On the weather deck up to 27 loaded 3-ton lorries could be stowed via a vehicle lift from the tank deck. A hatch was provided abaft the superstructure, served by a 40-ton crane, to offload vehicles in the event of damage to the bow door. The complexity of the *Boxers’ (Boxer, Bruizer and Thrustor)* meant that the first was not ready until early in 1943, by which time the better features had been incorporated in the far superior LST(2)s. The speed and endurance of the Boxers thus saw them suitable for conversion to LSFs, with four tall masts covered in antennae for the purpose of aircraft direction.

**Specification**

LST(1), 'Boxer' type

- Displacement: 3,615 tons standard and 5,410 tons full load
- Dimensions: length 116.9 m (400 ft); beam 14.9 m (49 ft); draught 1.7/4.4 m (5.5/14.5 ft)
- Propulsion: two sets of geared steam turbines delivering 5220 kW (7,000 shp) to two shafts
- Performance: maximum speed 17 kts; range 14830 km (9,215 miles) at 14 kts
- Armament: two 102-mm (4-in) smoke mortars, and four single 2-pdr AA and eight single 20-mm AA guns
- Capacity: 20 medium or 13 heavy tanks, 27 loaded lorries and 193 troops
- Complement: 169

*By the time of the invasion of Normandy, the three 'Boxers' had been converted to LSF (LandingShip Fighter-direction).*

---

**Notes:**

- **UK**
- **Landing Ship, Tank Mk 1 (LST(1)), 'Boxer' type**
- **Specification**
  - Displacement: 3,615 tons standard and 5,410 tons full load
  - Dimensions: length 116.9 m (400 ft); beam 14.9 m (49 ft); draught 1.7/4.4 m (5.5/14.5 ft)
  - Propulsion: two sets of geared steam turbines delivering 5220 kW (7,000 shp) to two shafts
  - Performance: maximum speed 17 kts; range 14830 km (9,215 miles) at 14 kts
  - Armament: two 102-mm (4-in) smoke mortars, and four single 2-pdr AA and eight single 20-mm AA guns
  - Capacity: 20 medium or 13 heavy tanks, 27 loaded lorries and 193 troops
  - Complement: 169

---

**Notes:**

- **UK**
- **Landing Ship, Tank Mk 1 (LST(1)), 'Maracaibo' type**
- **Specification**
  - Displacement: 4,890 tons gross
  - Dimensions: length 116.5 m (382.5 ft); beam 19.5 m (64 ft); draught 4.6 m (15 ft); beaching draught 1.3 m (4.25 ft)
  - Propulsion: two sets of reciprocating steam engines delivering 2237 kW (3,000 shp) to two shafts
  - Performance: maximum speed 11 kts; range 1204.5 km (7,845 miles) at 10 kts
  - Armament: two 102-mm (4-in) smoke mortars, and four single 2-pdr AA and six single 20-mm AA guns
  - Complement: 98 (NB: the *Tasajera* was slightly smaller)
### UK/USA

**Landing Ship, Tank Mk 2 (LST(2))**

Even while the three LST(1)s were still in the early stages of construction, before Pearl Harbor and the USA’s entry into the war, it was realized that a large number of large landing craft would ultimately be required for the assault that would have to precede the reconquest of Europe. Only construction in the USA under Lend-Lease terms could produce these numbers, but the resulting ships would need to be capable of crossing the Atlantic. The conception of the Landing Ship, Tank Mk 2 or LST(2), despite frequent US claims to the contrary, was British and was worked out in detail by a British mission in Washington in the winter of 1941-2, the first order being placed in February 1942.

The major differences from the LST(1) were the adoption of an engines-aft layout, a smaller length:beam ratio and an acceptance of a 10-kk maximum speed. A suitable locomotive-type diesel was available in the USA. Two of these developed sufficient power while having only a limited height, enabling the tank deck to be continued over the machinery space, and thus run the full useful length of the ship. By adopting a bluff, beamy form, the loaded draught was considerably reduced; for sea passages the ship could be ballasted down and, for beaching, trimmed by the stern to give a very small forward draught. This, in turn, enabled the vessel to ground much closer to the tideline and only a short ramp was fitted inside the vertically-hinged bow doors. On beaches with the minimum declivity of 1 in 50 this still meant a lot of water for vehicles to traverse, and research was started into their waterproofing. Only with the adoption in 1943 of sectioned pontoons for the rapid construction of ship-to-shore causeways was the problem really solved.

A spacious upper deck, encumbered only with the exhaust vents from the tank deck, was served both by hatch and elevator (or ramp in later versions). It could be used for stowage of lighter vehicles or, if required, an LCT(5) or LCT(6). Heavy gravity davits could accommodate up to six LCVPs for use as lifeboats or general utility craft. The double-skin hull flanking the tank deck gave accommodation to up to 163 army personnel. The LST(2) became the standard assault ship and played an indispensable role in all theatres, 1,077 of these ships being built between 1942 and 1945.

**Specification**

- **LST(2)**
  - Displacement: 1,490 tons standard and 2,160 tons full load
  - Dimensions: length 100 m (328 ft); beam 15.2 m (50 ft); draught 3.9 m (12.8 ft)
  - Propulsion: two diesels delivering 134 kV (1,800 bhp) to two shafts
  - Performance: maximum speed 10 kts; range 1,120 km (6,910 miles) at 9 kts
  - Armament: one 127-mm (5-in) or 76-mm AA and six to 12 single 20-mm AA guns was carried
  - Capacity: two LCVPs, 18 heavy tanks, 27 lorries or one LCT(5), and 163 troops
  - Complement: 211

- **LST(3)**
  - Displacement: 2,255 tons standard and 3,065 tons full load
  - Dimensions: length 105.4 m (345.75 ft); beam 16.5 m (54 ft); draught 3.5 m (11 ft)
  - Propulsion: two sets of reciprocating steam engines delivering 4,011 kW (5,300 bhp) to two shafts
  - Performance: maximum speed 13.5 kts; range 1,482 km (921 miles) at 11 kts
  - Armament: two twin 40-mm AA and six single 20-mm AA guns
  - Capacity: five LCAs, 15 heavy or 27 medium tanks, 14 lorries and 168 troops
  - Complement: 104

### UK/CANADA

**Landing Ship, Tank Mk 3 (LST(3))**

So well did the LST(2) suit the needs of the Americans that the UK had difficulty in being allocated suitable numbers from the construction programme that it had itself initiated. Eighty were needed, and it was decided to improve on the LST(2) design, with 45 to be built in the UK and the remainder in Canada. There were two major problems.

Firstly, the locomotive diesels used by the Americans were fully committed and, as no alternative existed to the British, they had to specify the simple steam reciprocating engines used in the frigate programme. As amphibious warfare ships were now commanding a priority as high (or even higher) than frigates, this was a little less headche, but the machinery and its boilers were bulky and heavy, both intruding into the tank deck space and causing the ship to ground by the stern rather than the bow on beaches of minimum declivity.

The second problem lay in construction techniques for as British and Canadian yards had, as yet, no experience in large-scale welding, riveting was necessary. Even though a hard chine was adopted to simplify construction, the Landing Ship, Tank Mk 3 or LST(3) took longer to build, and the resulting low-efficiency hull was disappointingly slow. Though significant try to accommodate the same machinery and disposing of over three times the power, the LCT(3) was only 3 kts faster than the diesel-driven American LST(2)s. No surprise was included on the weather deck; this was a counter-productive shortcut as loose water was very loath to disperse. With their deeper draught, the LST(3)s tended to ground farther from the dry beach and a double-section bow ramp was incorporated as part-compensation, although floating causeway sections were again a successful answer. The LST(3) is very well built, having LCAs under their gravity davits and the capacity to stow up to seven LCM(7)s on the upper deck. These were offloaded via a 30-ton SWL derrick set on a portside king post forward of the bridge. A 15-ton SWL derrick was steered on the other post. Though a few of the programme were eventually cancelled, the 44 British and 28 Canadian ships completed gave 20 years and more of post-war service.

**Specification**

- **LST(3)**
  - Displacement: 2,255 tons standard and 3,065 tons full load
  - Dimensions: length 105.4 m (345.75 ft); beam 16.5 m (54 ft); draught 3.5 m (11 ft)
  - Propulsion: two sets of reciprocating steam engines delivering 4,011 kW (5,300 bhp) to two shafts
  - Performance: maximum speed 13.5 kts; range 1,482 km (921 miles) at 11 kts
  - Armament: two twin 40-mm AA and six single 20-mm AA guns
  - Capacity: five LCAs, 15 heavy or 27 medium tanks, 14 lorries and 168 troops
  - Complement: 104
So many examples of the Landing Ship, Dock (LSD) and its derivatives have been constructed by the Americans during the last 40 years that one could be forgiven for assuming that the concept stemmed from the US Navy. In fact the draft was prepared in the UK as a carrier for the largest LCTs then envisaged. This was September 1941, when the sea-going LST had not yet been developed. The LCT was not regarded as sea-going yet, loaded, was far too heavy to be handled by the likes of the LSS or LSG, hence the idea of floating them in and out of a self-propelled floating dock. The draft was put to the Americans for completion and execution under the terms of Lend-Lease. Seven were requested but, in the event, the Americans completed another 20 to their own account. The 27 ships were launched between 1942 and 1946.

They were designed around a pontoon deck (or dockfloor) large enough to store two LCTs. This was enclosed by the dock walls and a full-width stern gate pivoted at the lower edge. From the forward end of the dock well the craft was an orthodox ship. All were steam-propelled, the first eight having Hall-Scott petrol engines used on coastal services. Most LCT(l) s were built (during 1940-1) in four sections, which could be broken down for shipment to distant parts.

Specification

LSD
Displacement: 4,270 tons standard and 7,950 tons full load
Dimensions: length 139.5 m (457.75 ft); beam 22.0 m (72.25 ft); draught 5.3 m (17.5 ft)
Propulsion: (LSD 1-8) two sets of reciprocating steam engines delivering 8203 kW (11,000 shp) to two shafts, or (others) two sets of geared steam turbines delivering 5593 kW (7,500 shp) to two shafts
Performance: maximum speed (LSD 1-8) 14.830 km (9,215 miles) at 15 kts
Armament: one 127-mm (5-in) or (British ships) 76-mm (3-in) DP, and six twin 40-mm AA or 16 single 20-mm AA guns
Complement: 254

The landing ship, Dock was planned as a carrier for the largest LCTs, which in 1941 were still not sea-going. They had a pontoon deck big enough for two LCTs; here trucks are transferred from the LSD deck to a waiting LCT.

USS Belle Grove was the second vessel of the first class of US LSDs, and had Skinner Uniflow reciprocating engines which were replaced by steam turbines in subsequent classes. The wartime LSDs provided the basis for the RoRo ships of today.
Three engines were fitted, petrol or diesel as available. For the first time the design lent itself to construction by general steel fabricators, relieving the load on shipyards. To increase capacity yet further, a fifth midbody section was then inserted to create the Landing Craft, Tank Mk 3 or LCT(3) with a length of 58.52 m (192 ft). These could carry five heavy or 11 medium tanks for very little extra draught and, despite reversion to twin-screw propulsion, were only marginally slower.

**Specification**
**LCT(1)**
Displacement: 226 tons light and 372 tons loaded

_LCT(3)s were essentially LCT(2)s with a 9.75-m (32-ft) section added amidships, enabling them to accommodate up to five 40-ton or 11 30-ton tanks. Later units were fitted with petrol engines giving a maximum speed of 10 kts._

**Dimensions:**
- Length: 46.3 m (152 ft)
- Beam: 8.8 m (29 ft)
- Draught: 0.9/1.75 m (3/5.75 ft)

**Propulsion:** Two petrol engines delivering 746 kW (1,000 hp) to two shafts.

**Performance:**
- Maximum speed: 10 kts
- Range: 1666 km (1,035 miles) at 10 kts

**Armament:** Two single 2-pdr pompoms.

**Capacity:**
- Three heavy or six medium tanks

**Complement:**
- 12

Below: Only 30 LCT(1)s were constructed before the three-shaft LCT(2)s were introduced. Beside and underneath the tank deck, the double skin of the vessel was heavily compartmented into ballast and trim tanks plus bunkers and stowage.

**Above:** LCT(4)s were developed because the earlier LCTs were of too deep a draught for the French beaches on which the Allies intended to land.

**Below:** Compared to the LCT(3)s the LCT(4)s were beamier and a little shorter. Their shallow draught enabled them to beach on a 1 in 150 slope, putting vehicles ashore in less than a metre of water.

***UK***

**Landing Craft, Tank Mk 4 (LCT(4))**

While the LCT(1), (2) and (3) were admirable in concept, they were too deep-draughted to use on French beaches, which were found to have far shallower gradients than had been suspected. At the same time, larger numbers of craft were seen as necessary, each having greater capacity. In October 1941, therefore, a new version, the Landing Craft, Tank Mk 4 or LCT(4) was put into production. Again, no shipyard was involved and, to achieve the lightest possible draught, scantlings were extremely flimsy and would never have met peacetime standards. Compared with the LCT(3), the new design was a little shorter but considerably beamier, propelled by the same diesel machinery it was, therefore, considerably slower. The tank deck was sized to accommodate six heavy tanks in two rows of three, or nine medium tanks in three rows. Deadweight tonnage was about 300 and, in the loaded condition, the craft could beach successfully on a 1 in 150 slope, putting wading vehicles down in the specified depth of only 76 cm (30 in) of water.

To simplify production, the 865 planned craft were initially specified without armament, but this was very soon added once they were completed. The side coamings were comparatively shallow so that the stowage space could not be covered, as in the earlier types and, more importantly, it lacked sufficient longitudinal stiffness. With the first craft entering service in the autumn of 1942, it was already realized that they would eventually be required to operate in the Far East, where sea passages would be expected of them. Extra stiffening was, therefore, incorporated by bringing the shell plating up to the height of the coaming, effectively creating a box section of maximum depth, using heavier plate where appropriate. These measures did nothing for their draught figures but did enable them to proceed to the Indian Ocean on their own bottoms. Some were converted to Landing Craft, Flak Mk 4 or LCF(4) by the addition of four 2-pdr pompoms and eight 20-mm Oerlikons, or Landing Craft, Gun Mk 4 or LCG(4) with two 119-mm (4.7-in) guns from old destroyers and up to a dozen 20-mm weapons.

**Specification**
**LCT(4)**
Displacement: 200 tons light and 586 tons loaded (or 611 tons when stiffened)

**Dimensions:**
- Length: 57.1 m (187.25 ft)
- Beam: 11.8 m (38.7 ft)
- Draught: 1.1/1.4 m (3.5/4.7 ft)

**Propulsion:** Two diesels delivering 686 kW (920 bhp) to two shafts.

**Performance:**
- Maximum speed: 9 kts
- Range: 2035 km (1,265 miles) at 8 kts

**Armament:** Up to two single 20-mm AA guns.

**Capacity:**
- Six heavy or nine medium tanks

**Complement:**
- 12
As World War II proceeded, it became apparent that the draught problem would inhibit the use of LSTs in some instances and the British proposed a short, beamy, drive-through craft (another new concept) that could either ferry the LSTs' vehicles ashore (a slow process for a landing) or act as a temporary bridge to link the large vessel to the beach. The result was the Landing Craft, Tank Mk 5 or LCT(5), which could either be transported in sections to a desired theatre and assembled afloat, or actually transported complete on an LST's upper deck and launched by simply sliding all 134 tons of it over the side. She was a slow short-haul craft and nearly 500 were built in the USA with a conventional layout before the Landing Craft, Tank Mk 6 or LCT(6) was introduced on much the same dimensions but finally with the bridge on the starboard side to permit the earlier-proposed drive-through operation. Triple-screw propulsion suited the diesels available and improved the craft's handling somewhat.

Some LCT(5) and (6) vessels supplied to the British were subsequently lengthened by about 12 m (39.4 ft). At about this same time in 1943 the Americans designed their first large craft from scratch, designated the Landing Craft, Tank Mk 7 or LCT(7) for a time but then, as a blend of LCT and LST, known as an LSM (Landing Ship, Medium). Though larger than an LCT(3), it had finer lines and a ship-type bow with vertically-hinged doors to be capable of ocean passages at 12 kts. As a result, its capacity was reduced three heavy or five medium tanks and its draught increased. The LSM had a characteristically high tower of a bridge, set amidships on the starboard side, and enclosed accommodation for over 50 troops.

The LCT was not suitable for use by the British who, nevertheless, used the basic idea for their final Landing Craft, Tank Mk 8 or LCT 8. At 68.6 m (225 ft) this was limited to eight medium tanks. The production of LCT(8)s with their four-diesel, two-shaft drive and improved facilities could be undertaken only because of the relaxing of supply problems near the war's end.

**Specification**

LCT(7) or LSM
- Displacement: 513 tons light and 900 tons full load
- Dimensions: length 62.0 m (203.5 ft); beam 10.4 m (34 ft); draught 1.02 m (3.4 ft)
- Propulsion: two diesels delivering 2088 kW (2,800 bhp) to two shafts
- Performance: maximum speed 13 kts; range 4,160 km (2,600 miles) at 11 kts
- Armament: two single 40-mm AA and six single 20-mm AA guns
- Capacity: three heavy or five medium tanks, and 54 troops
- Complement: 52

A short, beamy, drive-through craft, the LCT(6) series was designed to ferry vehicles ashore from an LST if her draught was too great for the beach or to make an improvised bridge for the same purpose.

**Landing Craft, Infantry Large and Small (LCI(L) and (S))**

First described as a Giant Raiding Craft, the Landing Craft, Infantry (Large) or LCI(L) was a relatively fast craft designed around the carriage of 210 troops on sea crossings of up to 48-hour duration. The type was first mooted in 1942 for general raiding around the coast of occupied Europe and, as the troops needed to get ashore rapidly, a gangway (or 'brow') was included on either bow. Once lowered, these were required to put the troops down in water shallow enough to wade ashore. In turn, this demanded a shallow beaching draught forward, together with a (typically British) stowage for 12 bicycles. Propulsion was by a pair of the well-tried Hall-Scott petrol engines, and the craft were turbocharged.

The LSM was not suitable for use by the British who, nevertheless, used the basic idea for their final Landing Craft, Tank Mk 8 or LCT 8. At 68.6 m (225 ft) this was limited to eight medium tanks. The production of LCT(8)s with their four-diesel, two-shaft drive and improved facilities could be undertaken only because of the relaxing of supply problems near the war's end.

**Specification**

LCI(L)
- Displacement: 246 tons light and 384 tons full load
- Dimensions: length 48.9 m (160.3 ft); beam 7.2 m (23.5 ft); draught 0.9 m (3.0 ft)
- Propulsion: two diesels delivering 1700 kW (2,280 bhp) to two shafts
- Performance: maximum speed 14 kts; range 14,822 km (9,210 miles) at 12 kts
- Armament: five single 20-mm AA guns
- Capacity: 210 troops
- Complement: 29

**Above:** A US LCI Mk 3. Fast by landing craft standards, these vessels stemmed from a 1942 requirement for a raiding craft able to land 200 infantrymen. Built in the USA to British requirements, the shallow beaching draught permitted forward, necessitated steel, not wood, construction.

**Below:** The Landing Craft, Infantry (Large) or LCI(L) could accommodate up to 210 troops, and from LCI(L) 351 onwards they featured a centreline bow ramp operating through bow doors.
Motor Landing Craft (MLC) had been the subject of experiments in various guises by the British as far back as 1926, but the true progenitor of the species was MLC10, completed in 1929. She was a 12.8-m (42-ft) craft capable of reaching a speed of barely 5 kts. Developed from this modest prototype, Thornycroft completed in early 1940 the first Landing Craft, Mechanized Mk 1 or LCM(I), slightly longer and able to carry a single 14-ton tank. Screw propulsion was used, and this increased speed by 50 per cent. Well and able to carry a single 14-ton tank, it could be hoisted under davits even when loaded. Trials were not even complete when Dunkirk stimulated an order for two dozen more. Eventually about 500 LCM(1)s were constructed, largely by railway workshops.

While these activities were in progress, the US Marine Corps had its own specification prepared for a similar type of craft. This was based on the hull of an up-river, shallow-draught tug and became known as the LCM(2). The craft were very close to the British craft in both layout and performance, even to the unloved petrol engine propulsion. About 30 were built before an improved 15.2-m (50-ft) version was produced at the suggestion of the British.

Thornycroft completed the first LCM in 1940, describing it as a ‘powered pontoon with bulwarks’. Able to carry a 14-ton light tank, it could be hoisted under davits even when loaded.

The LCM(4) and LCM(6) were essentially the same craft, an LCM(3) with an extra 1.83-m (6-ft) section added amidships for extra capacity, and some 2,700 were built. The LCM(5) was stillborn, but the British-built LCM(7), which first appeared late in 1944, was really a further-enlarged LCM(3) aimed primarily at operations in the Far East. Its length was over 18.3 m (60ft), but it had the size for versatility, being used also in a wide variety of (chiefly unofficial) gunboat guises for fire-support purposes.

Specification
LCM(3)
Displacement: 23 tons light and 52 tons full load
Dimensions: length 15.2m (50ft); beam 4.3 m (14.1ft); draught 1.0/1.3 m (3.25/4.25 ft)
Propulsion: two diesels delivering 164/336 kW (220/450 bhp) to two shafts
Performance: average speed about 8.5 kts; range 1577 km (980 miles) at 6 kts
Armament: one twin 12.7-mm (0.5-in) machine-gun
Capacity: one medium tank or 60 troops
Complement: 4

Not all landing craft were used for the carriage of men or machinery: a goodly number were converted to auxiliary, if unorthodox, warships. Some, the Landing Craft, Flak or LCF type, were produced to give AA protection where sufficient regular navy back-up was likely to be lacking, while the Landing Craft Support or LCS were designed for the close support of troops on the beach. This is an LCS(L)/2 fitted with the turret of the obsolete Valentine tank, two 20-mm cannon and a 4-in smokemortar.

Two prototype LCFs were produced from LCT(2) hulls in late 1941. The first was a ‘Rolls-Royce’ with two twin 102-mm (4-in) HA mountings and three 20-mm guns. Besides the work involved, which was considerable, these mountings were already in great demand for a wide range of escort ships, the LCT structure was inherently flimsy and, last but not least, the low-sited director in combination with a ship motion made for poor accuracy. More realistically, the LCT(2) took eight single 2-pdr pompoms and four 20-mm guns. Such mountings were more easily come by and could not only hose out a reassuringly large volume of fire against aircraft, but the low work done already by any enemy personnel foolish enough to break cover ashore. Thus the final LCF forms were based on the LCF(2), with the LCF(3) and LCF(4) being built on LCT(3) and LCT(4) hulls respectively, with the bow ramp permanently secured and a false deck added over the cargo well.

Above: The LCSs were designed for the close support of troops on the beach. Below: LCS Mk.3s were LCT(3)s converted to anti-aircraft gun platforms carrying up to eight single pom-poms and four 20-mm cannon (first batch), or four pom-poms and eight 120-mm guns (second batch).
A further refinement was the LCS which carried, either singly or in combination, a medium-calibre weapon for tackling enemy armoured vehicles, or mortars to engage enemy infantry who, all too frequently, were dug-in behind the rise that backed the beach, virtually safe from close range low- trajectory fire. LCS(S)s were actually converted from the fairly fast but wooden-hulled LCI(S) which, rather quirky, were equipped with British armoured tank turrets containing a 6-pdr gun or, in the American case, with heavy and light machine-guns, and racks for light rockets.

The LCS(M)2s were built to provide close support for the LCAs during the approach to the beach. They carried a pair of 4-in (100-mm) machine-guns and a smoke mortar. Specification:

- **LCF(3)**
  - Displacement: 420 tons light and 515 tons full load
  - Dimensions: length 58.1m (190.75 ft); beam 9.4 m (31 ft); draught 1.17 m (1.75/2.25 ft)
  - Propulsion: two diesels delivering 746 kW (1,000 bhp) to two shafts
  - Performance: maximum speed 9.5 kts; range 2688 km (1,670 miles) at 8.5 kts

A Landing Craft, Flak displays an impressive selection of automatic weapons: visible are 2-pdr pom-pom single mounts, and much smaller 20-mm (0.78-in) cannon.

Armament: eight single 2-pdr pompoms and four single 20-mm AA guns

Complement: 68

A Royal Marine LCA disgorges its troops during the crossing of the river Maas.

Specifications for these 10-ton mass-produced craft dated from the deliberations of the 1938 Landing Craft Committee.

**Landing Craft, Assault (LCA)**

'Like floating bootboxes pretending to be motorboats, mere square shells for carrying troops' is a description of a Landing Craft, Assault (LCA) by one who spent the war in landing craft. The LCA was one of the smallest of the practical, mass-produced craft arising from a specification written by the British Landing Craft Committee in 1938, calling for a craft which, with a loaded weight of under 10 tons, should be capable of being slung under a liner's davits. It should be able to carry an army platoon fully equipped and land the men in less than 0.5 m (19.7 in) of water. Two prototypes were built, one of aluminium alloy and one of wood with protective plating. These (originally called Assault Landing Craft) gave experience for the final design, whose wooden construction allowed them to be built by a wide variety of concerns.

Troops along both sides sat covered from the worst of the elements, but a centreline row had to tolerate both wetness and the inevitable sea sickness. In any sea, the LCA could make little progress, and a tow was always preferred. In ideal conditions they could make 7 kts.

**Specification**

- **LCA**
  - Displacement: 10 tons light and 13 tons full load
  - Dimensions: length 12.6 m (41.5 ft); beam 3.0 m (10 ft); draught 0.5/0.7 m (1.75/2.25 ft)
  - Propulsion: two petrol engines delivering 97 kW (130 bhp) to two shafts
  - Performance: maximum speed 7 kts; range 93-150 km (59-93 miles) depending on sea conditions
  - Armament: two or three machine-guns
  - Capacity: 35 troops with 363 kg (800 lb) of equipment
  - Crew: 4

A Royal Marine LCA discharges its troops during the crossing of the river Maas.

Specifications for these 10-ton mass-produced craft dated from the deliberations of the 1938 Landing Craft Committee.
Support firepower during landings was anticipated as being in short supply so, profiting from the successful LCF conversions, 23 LCT(3)s were fitted with two single 119-mm (4.7-in) guns and reclassified Landing Craft, Gun (Large) or LCG(L). They had ex-deestroyer mountings, the latter ships having been rearmed for anti-submarine work. The guns were sited at the same level on a new upper deck with deep bulwarks, and the after weapon had only limited arcs on the beam. Range-finding was rudimentary but the craft needed to operate at some distance from the beach, firstly to gain some falling trajectory for their guns and secondly to stay out of range of enemy weapons (particularly mortars) as their ammunition stowage was considered vulnerable.

They served well in Europe, so 10 LCT(4)s were also converted. These craft were flimsier but had extra beam, making for a steadier platform. They had a simple director, with their larger guns mounted superimposed and with full blast shielding. Light armouring was also added. Unfortunately only one was completed in time for the Far Eastern war.

The Landing Craft, Gun (Medium) or LCG(M) was designed to go right in and was protected for the purpose, while carrying two army 25- or 17-pdr guns in single armoured turrets. They were considered proof against medium-calibre return fire (in fact, they were not) and were meant to engage targets on the run in, and then to flood down to reduce freeboard as far as possible and, by sitting on the bottom, shoot accurately while gaining extra protection from their submergence. Their hulls were one-off, with a ship bow and a low initial freeboard. Their metacentric heights gave them legendary roll angles and they manoeuvred poorly.

A most spectacular modification to LCTs was to the Landing Craft, Tank (Rocket) or LCT(R). Both LCT(2)s and LCT(3)s were used, the whole forward end looking like a vast milk crate for the launch of 792 or 1,064 127-mm (5-in) rockets. Fixed in elevation and bearing, the weapons were launched from precisely 2-mile (3.2-km) range in 24 salvoes. Ideally the bombs came down at 10-yard intervals, laying a carpet of about 17 tons of explosive over an area of 685 by 145 m (750 by 160 yards) of the enemy defences. One set of reloads was carried and, this fired, the craft disposed of her launchers and did duty as a ferry.

**Specification**

**LCG(L) Mk3**
- Displacement: 495 tons full load
- Dimensions: length 58.5 m (192 ft); beam 9.4 m (31 ft); draught 1.1/1.8 m (3.5/6 ft)
- Propulsion: two diesels delivering 746 kW (1,000 bhp) to two shafts
- Performance: maximum speed 10 kts; range 2,688 km (1,670 miles) at 8.5 kts
- Armament: two single 119-mm (4.7-in) and one or two twin 20-mm AA guns
- Complement: 47

**Right:** The LCG(M) was specially designed to engage enemy pillboxes, so it carried two turrets with either 17-pdr anti-tank or (as here) 25-pdr guns.

**Below:** The most spectacular of all the landing craft conversions, the LCT(R) was able to launch 5-in (127-mm) rockets over a two-mile range.

Above: The LCT(R) Mk 3 could carry over a thousand rockets, which were released in 24 salvoes. Anyone in the target area (measuring some 685 m by 145 m/750 by 160 yards) would be unlikely to feel happy as 17 tons of explosive burst around him!

Below: The LCG(L) Mk 4 usually had its turrets manned by Royal Marines, and proved most successful. It was more elaborate than its Mk5 predecessor, with more 20-mm mountings, a modified bow form and the after 4.7-in (119-mm) gun made superfiring.
Glossary of Weapons

Tanks

American
Light Tank M1
Light Tank M2
Light Tank M3
Light Tank M5 General Stuart
Light Tank M22 Locust
Light Tank M24 Chaffee
Medium Tank M2
Medium Tank M3 General Grant
Medium Tank M4 General Sherman
Medium/Heavy Tank M26 Pershing

British
Black Prince Infantry Tank (A43)
Cavalier Cruiser Tank (A24)
Centaur Cruiser Tank (A27L)
Centurion Cruiser Tank (A41)
Churchill Infantry Tank (A22)
Comet Cruiser Tank (A34)
Covenanter Cruiser Tank (A13)
Cromwell Cruiser Tank (A27M)
Crusader Cruiser Tank (A15)

General Grant Medium Tank

General Lee Medium Tank (US M3)
General Stuart (Honey) Light Tank (USM2)
General Stuart Light Tank (US M5)
Harry Hopkins (Light Tank Mk VIII)
Lion Tank Mk VI
Matilda I Infantry Tank (A1)
Matilda II Infantry Tank (A12)
Sherman Firefly Medium Tank
US M4, modified
Tetrach (Light Tank Mk VII)
Tortoise Heavy Tank (A39)
Valentine Infantry Tank
Valiant Infantry Tank (A38)

French
Char B-1 Medium Tank
Hotchkiss H-35 Char Léger Light Tank
Renault R-35 Char Léger Light Tank
Renault AMC-35 Automitrailleuse de Combat Light Tank
Renault AMR-35 Automitrailleuse de Reconnaissance Light Tank
Somua S-35 Medium Tank

German
PzKpfw I (Sdkfz 101) Light Tank
PzKpfw II (Sdkfz 121) Light Tank
PzKpfw III (Sdkfz 141) Medium Tank
PzKpfw IV (Sdkfz 161) Medium Tank
PzKpfw V Panther (Sdkfz 171) Heavy Medium Tank
PzKpfw VI Tiger (Sdkfz 181) Heavy Tank
PzKpfw VI Tiger II (Sdkfz 182) Heavy Tank
PzKpfw 38(t) (TNHP) Light Tank
PzKpfw NbFz/48 Heavy Tank

Italian
L 3/3 Light Tank
L 3/5 Light Tank
L 6/40 Light Tank
M 1/9 Medium Tank
M 1/340 Medium Tank
M 1/414 Medium Tank
M 1/542 Medium Tank
R 2/640 Heavy Medium Tank

Japanese
Type 95 (Bo-Go) Light Tank
Type 98 (Ke-Ho) Light Tank
Type 97 (Chi-Ha) Medium Tank
Type 1 (Chi-He) Medium Tank
Type 3 (Chi-Nu) Medium Tank
Type 4 (Chi-To) Medium Tank
Type 5 (Chi-Ri) Medium Tank
Type 94 Tankette
Type 97 (Te-Ko) Tankette

Russian
BT-5 Fast Medium Tank
BT-7 Fast Medium Tank
T-26 Light Tank
T-28 Heavy Tank
T-32 Heavy Tank
T-35 Heavy Tank
T-34/76 Medium Tank
T-34/85 Medium Tank
T-60 Light Tank
T-70 Light Tank
T-100/SMK Heavy (SdKfz 181)
KV (Kliment Voroshilov) series

Heavy Tanks
IS (Josef Stalin) series Heavy Tanks

Others
AC-1 Sentinel (Auss) Cruiser Tank
Grizzly (Can) Medium Tank
Ram Mk I (Can) Medium Tank
Ram Mk II (Can) Medium Tank
LT-35 (Cz) Light Tank
TNHP (Cz) Light Tank
TR (Pol) Light Tank
IOTP (Pol) Light Tank

Tank Destroyers
American
3in Gun Motor Carriage M10, M18
Hellcat
9/12mm Gun Motor Carriage M36

British
Achilles (MO)
Archer

German
Jagdpanzer IV (Sdkfz 162)
Jagdpanzer (Panzerjäger Tiger Ausf. B)
Marder II (Sdkfz 131)
Nashorn/Hornisse (Sdkfz 164)
Panzerjäger I
Panzerjäger 38(t) (Marder III)
Panzerjäger Panther (Sdkfz 173)
Panzerjäger Tiger (Sdkfz 184)
Sturmgeschütz III (Sdkfz 172)

Italian
Semovente L 40, M 41

Special-Purpose Tanks (see also Flame Weapons)

American
M3 Grant/M4 Sherman (Beach)
Armored Recovery Vehicle (M3/312)

Halftack Vehicles

American
M1
M9
M14

French
Citroën-Reguesse series

German
Klenes Kettenrad (Sdkfz 2)
Leichter Zugkraftwagen 1t (Sdkfz 10)
Maultier
Leichter Zugkraftwagen 3t (Sdkfz 11)
Mittlerer Zugkraftwagen 5t (Sdkfz 6)
Mittlerer Zugkraftwagen 8t (Sdkfz 9)

Self-Propelled Guns

American
75mm Gun Motor Carriage M3
105mm Howitzer Motor Carriage M7 (Priest), M37
155mm (Inf Motor Carriage M2 155mm Gun Motor Carriage M40
155mm Howitzer Motor Carriage M41

British
6in Howitzer Motor Carriage M43
240mm Gun Motor Carriage T92

Armed Cars

Armoured Car M6 Staghound
Armoured Car T18 Boarhound
Armoured Car T19

Armoured Car M38

Light Armoured Car M8 Greyhound
Marmon-Herrington Armoured Car

Armoured Car T37

Amphibious Vehicles

British
DUKW
LV72
LV73
LV74
M292 Weasel
Medium Tank M4 Sherman w/M19

Amphibious Tank Type 2 (Ka-Mi)

Italian
Semovente L 40, M 41

Japanese
3in Gun Motor Carriage M10, M18

Heavy Artillery

American
4.7inGun/M1917
4.5inGun/M1

Russian
ISU-122
SU-152
SU-76
SU-85

GM Fox (Can)
GM/STATA (Can)
CAPLAD (Can)

Passenger Cars & Light Vehicles

American
Willys Jeep

German
Auto Union/Horch Typ 830 (Kfz 11)
Daimler-Benz 507
Kübelwagen (VolkswagenTyp 62)
Mercedes-Benz 320/340 (Kfz 15)
Sow 40 (Kfz 2)

Others

French
Armoured Car

British
Alceo
Bishop (Carrier, Valentine, 25-pdr Gun, Mk I)

Others

Brazil
São Paulo (Sdkfz 138) (sdg 33 15cm)
Brummbär
Geschütztenwagen I (sdkfz 33 15cm)

German
Bison (Sdkfz 141) (sdkfz 121)
Grille (sdkfz 33 15cm)
Heuschrecke IVB
Hummel (Sdkfz 165) (sFH 18 auf PzKpfw IV, 15cm)

Italian
Semovente 90

Russian
SU-85

SU-76

Sturmgeschütz 4 (7.5cm)

Sturmschlepper (Sturmtiger) (sdkfz 123)

Italian
Semovente 90

Japanese
Type 38 (Ho-Ro), 150mm

Heavy Artillery

American
4.7inGun/M1917
4.5inGun/M1
24mm Howitzer M1
Little David’(Bomferry Testing Device Ti)

British
4.5in Gun M1
5in (60pdr) Gun MkI/Mk2
5.5in Gun M3
7.2in Howitzer Mk1
7.2in Howitzer Mk 6

French
155mm Gun Modelle 1917 CGFP
155mm Gun Modelle 1917
155mm Howitzer Mle 1917

German
10.5cm Kanone 18
10.5cm schwere K 18/40
15cm K 18
15cm schwere Feld Haubitze 13
15cm sFH 18
15cm sFH 36
17cm K 18
2 cm K 36
21cm K 39
21cm Morser 18
24cm K 38 K
24cm Haubitze 39
35.5cm H Ml

Italian
Canone da 149/40 Modello 35 (Gun)
Obice da 210/22 M35 (Howitzer)

Japanese
150mm Gun, Model 89
15.5cm Howitzer, Model 96

Russian
122mm Gun, M 1937
152mm Gun, M90/30
152mm Gun, M 1930
152mm Gun, M1934
152mm Gun, BR-2, M 1935
152mm Howitzer, M-10, M 1938
152mm Howitzer, D-1, M1943
152mm Gun/Howitzer Model 1934
M 1937
200mm Howitzer, L-25 (B-4), M1931
210mm Gun, M1940, M1940
305mm Howitzer, BR-18, M 1940

Others
15cm FH 38 (Austria)
150mm Howitzer vz87 (Cz)
220mm Howitzer M.28 (Cz)

Field Artillery
American
75mm Gun M2A2
105mm Howitzer M1
105mm Howitzer M2A1
105mm Howitzer M3A1
British
25pdr Mk 2 (Ordnance, QF, 25-pdr Mk II)
25pdr Short, Mk I

French
75mm Gun Mmdle 1907
75mm Mle 32 (Mountain Gun)
105mm Gun Mle 13TR (L 13S)
105mm Gun Mle 36
105mm Canon Court Mle 35

German
7.5cm FeldKanone 15a
7.5cm Leicht FK18
7.5cm FK38
7.5cm FK7M85
7.5cm Gebergkanonel5

Light Anti-Aircraft Artillery
American
37mm Anti-aircraft Gun M1
40mm Anti-aircraft Gun M1

British
20mm Polsten Mk 1
2-pdr Mk 8
6-pdr 6cwt Mk 1
Cruiser AA Tank

French
25mm Hotchkiss Modèle 38, 39, 40
37mm Schneider Mle 1930

German
3.7cm Panzerabwehrkanone 36
4.2cm Leicht PaK41 (Taper-Bore)
5cm PaK38
7.5cm PaK40
7.5cm PaK41 (Taper-Bore)
7.5cm PaK97/38
8cm Panzerabwehrwerfer 600
Panzerabwehrkanone 96h3
8.8cm PaK43
12.8cm PaK44

Italian
Canone Anticarro da 47/32
Modello 1935/37

Japanese
Type 47mm

Russian
37mm Gun M39

Infantry Support Weapons
American
37mm Gun M3A1
57mm Recoiless Rifle M18
60mm Mortar M2
60mm Mortar M19
75mm Pack Howitzer M1A1
75mm Recoiless Rifle M20
81mm Mortar M1

British
2in Mortar (Ordnance, Smooth Bore, Muzzle Loading, 2in Mortar, MKII-MKIII)
6pdr 7cwt Gun Mk 1
3in Mortar (Mk I & MkII)

95mm (3.7in) Infantry Howitzer Mk2
4.2in Mortar

French
Mortier Brandt de 81mm Modèle 2731

German
5cm Leicht Granatwerfer 36
8cm schwere GrW 34
7.5cm Leicht Infanterieschützen 18
15cm Schwere IG 33

Italian
Canone da 45/5 Modello 1935
"Brixia"
Canone da 81 mm M 1935

Japan
Type 89 50mm Mortar
Type 92 70mm Battalion Gun

Infantry Anti-Tank Weapons
American
2.36in Rocket Launcher Ml/M1A1
(Bazooka)
M3 Grenade

British
Boys Rifle (Rifle, Anti-tank, 0.55in)
Grenade, No 68
Cirende, No 74 (Sicky Bomb)
PAT (Projectile, Infantry, Anti-Tank)

German
7.92mm Panzermörser 38/39
2cm PaK18-100/100s
Anti-Tank Rifle
8.8cm Raketenpanzerbüchse 43/54
10cm Raketenwerfer 43

Italian
Canone Antitank M50, M1936

Japanese
Type 97 20mm Anti-Tank Rifle

Russian
14.5mm PTRD1941

Others
20mm Lathi Model 39 (Fin)
7.92mm Karabin WZ/35

Maroszek (Pol)

Rifles
American
Carbine, .30 M1/M2/M3
Rifle, Calibre .30 M1903
"Springfield"
Rifle, Calibre .30 M1917 (Owenfield)
Rifle, Calibre .30 M1 Garand
Rifle, Calibre .30 M1941 (Johnson)

British
Rifle, .303in, Short, Magazine, Lee-Enfield (SMLE) Mk III
Rifle, .303in, Lee-Enfield No 4 Mk I
Rifle, .303in, Lee-Enfield No 5
"Jungle Carbine"
De Lisle Carbine (.45SA) (silent)

French
Berthier Fusil d’Infanterie Modèle 1907/15 M.13/44 (8mm)
Lebel Mie 1886/93 (8mm)

Mas 76 (7.75 mm)

German
Geher Gewehr 98 (7.92mm)
Karabiner 98k (7.92mm)
Geher 41 (W) (Gewehr 41) (7.92mm)
Fallschirmjägergewehr 42 (FG42) (7.92mm)
Maschinenkarabiner 42(H) (MKb42(H)) (7.92mm pist.)
MKb42(W) (7.92mm pist.)
Gew/Kar 43 (7.92mm)
Maschinenpistole 43/44

(6.5inm Arisaka Meiji 38)

Japanese
6.5mm Fucile Modello 91
7.7mm Parachutist's Rifle Type 2

Italian
Maschinenpistole 28/I1 (9mm Parabellum)

(7.5mm pist.)

Options
ZB30 (7.92mm) (Cz)
ZB53 (vz27) (7.92mm) (Cz)

Sub-Machine Guns

American
Sub-machine gun Caliber .45
M1928/M1/M1A1 (Thompson)
Reising Model 50/Model 55 (.45 ACP)
UZM42/9mmFarbhallen/45 ACP
US Sub-machine gun Modelle 35/38 (.30 M1919)

British
British Machine Carbine, 9mm, Lanchester
Machine Carbine, 9mm, Sten, Marks 1-6
Machine Carbine, 9mm, V42
Machine Carbine, 9mm, Weigel

Machine-Guns

American
Automatic Rifle, Caliber .30 M1919/1922 (BAR)
Machine Gun, Caliber 30 M1919
Machine Gun, Caliber 50 M1912/M2

British
Gun, Machine, Besa, 7.92mm, Marks 1-3
Gun, Machine, Besa, 15mm, Mark 1
Gun, Machine, Besa/Faulkener, 303
Gun, Machine, Bren, 303, Marks 1-4
Gun, Machine, Hotchkiss, 303, Mark 1
Gun, Machine, Lewis, 303, Marks 1-3
Gun, Machine, Vickers, 303, (Type K/V/G/O)
Gun, Machine, Vickers-Berther, 303, Marks 1-3

French
Fusil Mitrailleur Modèle 1924/1929/1931 (7.5mm Long)

German
Maschinengewehr 08 (Maxim) (7.92mm)
MG34 (7.92mm)
MG42 (7.92mm)

Italian
Mitragliatrice Sistema Revelli, Modell 14 (6.5mm)
Breda M30 (6.5mm)
Breda RM M31 (13.2mm)
Breda M37 (8mm)
FIAT/Revoli M35 (8mm)
Scotti M28 (7.92mm/303in British)

Japanese
Type 11 6.5mm
Type 96 6.5mm
Type 97 7.7mm
Type 99 7.7mm

Russian
Maxim M1910 (7.62mm)
DPD'T M1928 (7.62mm)
ShKAs KM33/KM35/KM64 (7.62mm)
SvAK/KPV (12.7mm/20mm)
DShKM1938/12.7mm
SG43 (7.62mm)
DPM (7.62mm)

Others
Let Maskingvær Madsen (.8mm)
Demi Schwarzlose Modell 07/12 (8mm) (Austria)
ZB26 (7.92mm) (Cz)

Flame Weapons

American
Portable Flame-Thrower M1/Model 1917
Portable Flame-Thrower M2-2
M4-3 (M4 tank-mounted)
Sherman Crocodile

British
Alder (tank-mounted)
Crocodile (tank-towed)
Harvev
Flame-Thrower, Portable, No. 2, Mark I and II (Lifeboat)
Salamaner (tank-mounted)
Wasp (Universal Carrier-mounted)
Wasp II (APC-mounted)

German
Flammenwerfer 35
Flammenwerfer 41
Abwehrflammenwerfer 42
Flammenpanzer I (PzKpfw I)
Flammenpanzer II (PzKpfw II)
Flammenpanzer III (PzKpfw III)
Flammenpanzer 38(t) (PzKpfw 38(t))
Mittlerer Flammenwerfer (SDKs 251)

Italian
Lanciamine Modello 35
Lanciamine M4
Lanciamine I (L3 Light Tank-mounted)

Japanese
Portable Flamethrower Type 93/Type 100

Russian
ROKS-2
ROKS-3
ATO-41/42 (tank-mounted)

Fighter Aircraft

American
Bell P-39 Airacobra
Bell P-63 Kingcobra
Curtiss P-36
P-40 Warhawk
Lockheed P-38 Lightning
North American P-51 Mustang
Republic P-47 Lancer
Republic P-41 Thunderbolt
Seversky P-35

British
Blackburn Roc
Blackburn Skua
Bolton-Duffy
Boulter Hurrican
Boulter Temple
Boulter Typhoon
Supermarine Spitfire

French
Aeroplane V.33
Bristol F.2b

German
Aeroplane V.33
Bristol F.2b

Italian
Boulter Hurrican
Boulter Temple
Boulter Typhoon
Supermarine Spitfire

British
Amiot 354
Amiot 143

Italian
Amiot 143

French
Amiot 354

German
Boeing B-17 Flying Fortress
Boeing B-29 Superfortress
Consolidated B-24 Liberator

Russian
Amiot 354

Others
Avia B.534 (Czechoslovakia)
Curtiss P-36
Curtiss P-40 Warhawk
Lockheed P-38 Lightning
North American P-51 Mustang
Republic P-47 Lancer
Republic P-41 Thunderbolt
Seversky P-35

British
Armstrong Whitworth AW 38
Whitley

Italian
Avro 679 Manchester
Avro 663 Lancaster
Avro 694 Lincoln

Handley-Page HP 57 Halifax
Short S 29 Stirling

Vickers Type 271 Wellington

French
Bristol F2b

German
Heinkel He 177 Greif

Italian
Paggio R 108

Japanese
Nakajima G4M ("Betty")
Nakajima G6N ("Rita")

Others
Nakajima Ki-27 ("Nate")

Russian
Petlyakov Pe-8
Tupolev ANT-6 (TB-3)

Light-Medium Bombers

American
Douglas B-18 Bolo

Martin B-26 Marauder
North American B-25 Mitchell

British
Avro 566 Lancaster

Handley-Page HP 57 Halifax
Short S 29 Stirling

Vickers Type 271 Wellington

French
Bristol F.2b

German
Heinkel He 177 Greif

Italian
Amicizia

Russian
Tupolev ANT-6 (TB-3)

Heavy Bombers

American
Boeing B-17 Flying Fortress
Boeing B-29 Superfortress
Consolidated B-24 Liberator

British
Armstrong Whitworth AW 38
Whitley

Italian
Avro 679 Manchester
Avro 663 Lancaster
Avro 694 Lincoln

Handley-Page HP 57 Halifax
Short S 29 Stirling

Vickers Type 271 Wellington

French
Bristol F.2b

German
Heinkel He 177 Greif

Italian
Paggio R 108

Japanese
Nakajima G4M ("Betty")
Nakajima G6N ("Rita")

Others
Nakajima Ki-27 ("Nate")

Russian
Petlyakov Pe-8
Tupolev ANT-6 (TB-3)
midget submarines:  
A Type  
B/C Type  
D Type (Koryu Type)  
Kaiyu Type  
Kaiten Type (human torpedoes)

**Russian**

**Kurile**  
**Rom**  
Series I  
Series II  
Series III  
Series IV  
Series V  
Series VI  
Series X  
Series XI  
Series XII  
Series XIII  
Series XIV  
Series XV  
Series XVI

**Others**

Captitius O'Brien (Chile)  
Daphne (Den)  
Havmanden (Den)  
Kalev (Estonia)  
Saikko (Fin)  
Vetehinen (Fin)  
Vesikko (Fin)  
Kaisen (Gr)  
Proxas (Gr)  
KX1-class (Hoi)  
KXV-class (Hoi)  
O9-class  
O12-class (Hoi)  
O16-class (Hoi)  
O19-class (Hoi)  
O21-class (Hoi)  
R-class (Peru)  
Orzel (Pol)  
Wilk (Pol)  
Delftman (Rom)  
Maristul (Rom)  
Requitul (Rom)  
C-class (Sp)  
D-class (Sp)  
Delmen (Swe)  
Draken (Swe)  
Neptun (Swe)  
Spjolnet (Swe)  
U1-class (Swe)  
Valen (Swe)  
Simsamudar (Thai)  
AV-class (Tr)  
Bairas (Tr)  
Birindici Inonu (Tr)  
Dumlupinar (Tr)  
Gar (Tr)  
Oruc Reis (Tr)  
Sakarya (Tr)

**Aircraft Carriers**

American  
Bogus  
Casablanca  
Commencement Bay  
Exaa  
Independence  
Langley  
Lexington  
Long Island  
Midway  
Ranger  
Sangamon  
Wasp  
Yorktown  

British  
Activity  
Ameer  
Archer  
Ark Royal  
Attacker  

**Battleships**

American  
California  
Iowa  
Maryland  
Nevada  
New Mexico  
North Carolina  
Pennsylvania  
South Dakota  
Texas  
Wyoming  

British  
Albion  
Emden  
Hesper  
K-class  
Leipzig  
Nürnberg  

Italian  
Abruzzi  
Bolzano  
Caldona  
Capitani Romani  
Costanzo Ciano  
Duca d'Aosta  
Giuliano  
Montecuccoli/Trento  
Zara  

Japanese  
Agano  
Aoba  
Furutaka  
Katori  
Magami  
Nachi  
Oyodo  
Sendai  
Takao  
Tone  
Yubari  

Russian  
Kirov  
Krasnyy Kavkaz  
Maxim Gorkiy  

**Cruisers**

American  
Alaska  
Atlanta  
Baltimore  
Brooklyn  
Cleveland  
New Orleans  
Northampton  
Pensacola  
Portland  
Wichita  

British  
Ariel (Cruiser/minelayer)  
Adventure (Cruiser/minelayer)  
Aresibusa  
Bellona  
Dido  
Edinburgh  
Exeter  
Fiji  
Glooucester  
Kent  
Leander  
London  
Norfolk  
Perth  
Southampton  
Surrey  
Swiftsure  
York  

**Destroyers**

American  
Allen M Summer  
Bayley  
Bentham  
Benson/Cleaves  
Clemson (many transferred to Britain, 1940)  
Farragut  
Fletcher  
Gearing  
Geddes  
Mahan  
Porter  
Sims  
Somers  
Wixxen (many transferred to Britain, 1940)  

British  
A-class/B-class  
Amazon  
Ambuscade  
Battles  
C-class/D-class  
E-class/F-class  
G-class/H-class/L-class  
J-class/K-class/N-class  
L-class/M-class  
O-class/P-class  
Q-class/R-class  
Tribal  
S-class/T-class/U-class/V-class/W-class  
X-class/Ca-class  
Ch-class/Co-class/Cr-class  

French  
Aigle  
Bourrasque  
Chacal  
L'Adroit  
Le Fantasque  
Le Hardi  
Mogador  
Guépard  
Vauquelin  

German  
1934 Type  
1936 Type  
1940/41 Type  
1942 Type  

Italian  
Folgore  
Frecce  
Maestrale  
Navigato  
Nerone  
Oriente  
Sauro  
Sella  
Soldati  
Turbine  

Japanese  
Akatsuki  
Akebono  
Asahiko  
Fubuki  
Hatsuzan  
Kagero  
Mitsuki  
Shimakaze  
Shiratsuyu  
Yugumo  

Others  
De Ruyter (Hol)  
Tromp (Hoi)  

**Escorte**

American  
DET-class/FMR-class  
GMT-class  
TE-class  
TEV-class/WGT-class  

patrol frigates:  
Ashei Vil/ Tacoma  

British  
Hunt (Types 1-4)  
frigates:  
Bay  
Captain (ex-US GMT & TE class)  
Loch  
River/Modified River  

sloops:  
Bitter/Modified Bitter  
Black Swan/ Modified Black  
Skan  
Bridgewater  

Egret  

Grimsby  
Hastings  
Hindustan  
Indus  
Shoreham  

covertes:  
Castle  
Flower/ Modified Flower  

patrol vessels:  
Kil-class  
Kingfisher  
Shearwater  

German  
P-class  

Italian  
Ariete  

Ciclone  
Pegaso  

Spica  

Japanese  
Etorofu  
Matsu  
Mikura  
Shimushu  
Tachibana  
Type D  
Ulba  

Russian  
Gneven  
Kiev (Flotilla Leaders)  
Leningrad (Flotilla Leaders)  

Ognevoi  
Opymyi  
Shorchevich  
Taschkent (Flotilla Leaders)  

Others  
Kasilof Georgios (Gr)  
Ydra (Gr)  
Tjerk Hiddes (Hoi)  
Van Galen (Hoi)  
Van Ghent (Hoi)  
Aalesund (Nor)  
Grom (Pol)  
Wicher (Pol)  
Douro (Port)  
Alava (Sp)  
Churruca (Sp)  
Ehrenskold (Swe)  
Göteborg (Swe)  
Mode (Swe)  
Oland (Swe)  
Psalander (Swe)  
Klas Hoen (Swe)  
Romulus (Swe)  
Vissy (Swe)  

544